

# **VIRGINIA INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM**

## **MONTHLY REPORT**

**Including Graphs of Statewide Trends**

**August 2011**

# Monthly Report, August 2011

## Table of Contents

Work Participation Estimates (from the VDSS data warehouse) (A work participation estimate percent should not be compared to a percent in a work activity in the main body of the report which includes only clients in VIEW and does not consider the number of hours in a work activity during a month)	i-vi
TANF, TANF-UP Case Activity.....	1
Graphs of Case Activity.....	at end
VIEW Work Activity	
Statewide .....	4
Graphs of Statewide Activity.....	at end
EDD1.....	8
EDD2.....	9
EDD3.....	10
EDD4.....	11
EDD5 & EDD18.....	12
EDD6.....	13
EDD7.....	14
EDD8.....	15
EDD9.....	16
EDD10.....	17
EDD11.....	18
EDD14.....	19
EDD1.....	20
EDD3.....	21
EDD11.....	22
EDD15.....	23
EDD17.....	24
VIEW Year to Date Rankings.....	25-27
VIEW Cumulative Rankings.....	28-30
VIEW Timeliness Measures.....	31-39
Child Support Enforcement.....	40
Diversion.....	41
VIEW Definition Sheet and Endnotes.....	42-44

# Estimated Work Participation Rates for August

*These are estimated locality August 2011 work participation rates that include clients receiving either TANF or VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). The official participation rate will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated August statewide rate is 42% with a numerator of 7,830 and a denominator of 18,653.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	15	58	26%	061	Fauquier	21	57	37%
003	Albemarle	38	78	49%	063	Floyd	9	22	41%
005	Alleghany/Covington	20	45	44%	065	Fluvanna	10	23	43%
007	Amelia	9	39	23%	067	Franklin County	31	103	30%
009	Amherst	13	36	36%	069	Frederick	8	82	10%
011	Appomattox	25	47	53%	071	Giles	9	20	45%
013	Arlington	54	127	43%	073	Gloucester	18	42	43%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	145	257	56%	075	Goochland	15	38	39%
017	Bath	1	2	50%	077	Grayson	16	30	53%
019	Bedford County/City	39	93	42%	079	Greene	8	22	36%
021	Bland	2	8	25%	081	Greensville/Emporia	26	85	31%
023	Botetourt	5	21	24%	083	Halifax	33	93	35%
025	Brunswick	32	69	46%	085	Hanover	43	82	52%
027	Buchanan	15	41	37%	087	Henrico	333	936	36%
029	Buckingham	16	30	53%	089	Henry/Martinsville	95	266	36%
031	Campbell	69	190	36%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	24	123	20%	093	Isle of Wight	25	64	39%
035	Carroll	33	63	52%	095	James City	27	76	36%
036	Charles City	8	13	62%	097	King and Queen	2	9	22%
037	Charlotte	18	47	38%	099	King George	5	20	25%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	235	551	43%	101	King William	9	31	29%
043	Clarke	4	12	33%	103	Lancaster	8	27	30%
045	Craig	2	7	29%	105	Lee	55	131	42%
047	Culpeper	37	97	38%	107	Loudoun	49	146	34%
049	Cumberland	9	42	21%	109	Louisa	31	61	51%
051	Dickensen	13	35	37%	111	Lunenburg	15	47	32%
053	Dinwiddie	25	57	44%	113	Madison	5	8	63%
057	Essex	22	50	44%	115	Mathews	5	13	38%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	316	716	44%	117	Mecklenburg	32	86	37%

# Estimated Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent
119	Middlesex	8	21	38%	187	Warren	29	71	41%
121	Montgomery	102	171	60%	191	Washington	34	85	40%
125	Nelson	6	16	38%	193	Westmoreland	19	48	40%
127	New Kent	5	33	15%	195	Wise	69	184	38%
131	Northampton	21	61	34%	197	Wythe	35	75	47%
133	Northumberland	8	9	89%	199	York/Poquoson	43	94	46%
135	Nottoway	42	87	48%	510	Alexandria	117	250	47%
137	Orange	3	39	8%	520	Bristol	90	169	53%
139	Page	17	34	50%	540	Charlottesville	100	196	51%
141	Patrick	19	50	38%	550	Chesapeake	347	673	52%
143	Pittsylvania	36	84	43%	590	Danville	89	198	45%
145	Powhatan	4	17	24%	620	Franklin City	0	49	0%
147	Prince Edward	22	69	32%	630	Fredericksburg	64	133	48%
149	Prince George	15	38	39%	640	Galax	10	37	27%
153	Prince William	333	721	46%	650	Hampton	363	796	46%
155	Pulaski	43	72	60%	670	Hopewell	12	215	6%
157	Rappahannock	0	2	0%	680	Lynchburg	139	343	41%
159	Richmond County	4	10	40%	683	Manassas	32	92	35%
161	Roanoke County	67	139	48%	685	Manassas Park	7	20	35%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	6	52	12%	700	Newport News	489	1,058	46%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	68	149	46%	710	Norfolk	672	1,338	50%
167	Russell	39	93	42%	720	Norton	13	27	48%
169	Scott	32	80	40%	730	Petersburg	142	324	44%
171	Shenandoah	8	22	36%	740	Portsmouth	245	641	38%
173	Smyth	38	92	41%	750	Radford	9	39	23%
175	Southampton	26	75	35%	760	Richmond City	666	1,623	41%
177	Spotsylvania	68	236	29%	770	Roanoke City	188	630	30%
179	Stafford	75	180	42%	800	Suffolk	99	162	61%
181	Surry	12	26	46%	810	Virginia Beach	281	551	51%
183	Sussex	14	41	34%	830	Williamsburg	9	25	36%
185	Tazewell	60	137	44%	840	Winchester	30	77	39%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF and VTP recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rate presented here is the all families rate, i. e. the overall rate, including VTP..

# *Estimated TANF only Work Participation Rates for August*

*These are estimated locality August 2011 work participation rates that include only clients receiving TANF. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated August statewide rate is 36% with a numerator of 5,928 and a denominator of 16,570. VTP only estimates are on pages v and vi.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	<i>Accomack</i>	9	50	18%	061	<i>Fauquier</i>	11	47	23%
003	<i>Albemarle</i>	23	63	37%	063	<i>Floyd</i>	6	19	32%
005	<i>Alleghany/Covington</i>	14	37	38%	065	<i>Fluvanna</i>	7	20	35%
007	<i>Amelia</i>	7	36	19%	067	<i>Franklin County</i>	26	97	27%
009	<i>Amherst</i>	9	32	28%	069	<i>Frederick</i>	1	75	1%
011	<i>Appomattox</i>	20	39	51%	071	<i>Giles</i>	6	17	35%
013	<i>Arlington</i>	34	102	33%	073	<i>Gloucester</i>	14	37	38%
015	<i>Augusta/S'ton/W'boro</i>	105	216	49%	075	<i>Goochland</i>	14	35	40%
017	<i>Bath</i>	0	1	0%	077	<i>Grayson</i>	13	27	48%
019	<i>Bedford County/City</i>	33	87	38%	079	<i>Greene</i>	6	20	30%
021	<i>Bland</i>	2	8	25%	081	<i>Greensville/Emporia</i>	18	77	23%
023	<i>Botetourt</i>	5	21	24%	083	<i>Halifax</i>	27	87	31%
025	<i>Brunswick</i>	28	65	43%	085	<i>Hanover</i>	31	70	44%
027	<i>Buchanan</i>	12	37	32%	087	<i>Henrico</i>	257	849	30%
029	<i>Buckingham</i>	14	27	52%	089	<i>Henry/Martinsville</i>	68	239	28%
031	<i>Campbell</i>	48	169	28%	091	<i>Highland</i>	0	0	N/A
033	<i>Caroline</i>	21	114	18%	093	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	21	59	36%
035	<i>Carroll</i>	24	54	44%	095	<i>James City</i>	21	70	30%
036	<i>Charles City</i>	6	11	55%	097	<i>King and Queen</i>	2	8	25%
037	<i>Charlotte</i>	13	42	31%	099	<i>King George</i>	0	15	0%
041	<i>Chesterfield/Col Hgts</i>	175	491	36%	101	<i>King William</i>	7	29	24%
043	<i>Clarke</i>	3	9	33%	103	<i>Lancaster</i>	6	25	24%
045	<i>Craig</i>	2	6	33%	105	<i>Lee</i>	44	118	37%
047	<i>Culpeper</i>	28	80	35%	107	<i>Loudoun</i>	27	122	22%
049	<i>Cumberland</i>	6	38	16%	109	<i>Louisa</i>	17	47	36%
051	<i>Dickensen</i>	7	29	24%	111	<i>Lunenburg</i>	15	47	32%
053	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	17	48	35%	113	<i>Madison</i>	5	8	63%
057	<i>Essex</i>	15	43	35%	115	<i>Mathews</i>	4	12	33%
059	<i>Fairfax/Falls Church</i>	207	604	34%	117	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	20	74	27%

# Estimated TANF Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	6	19	32%	187	Warren	20	60	33%
121	Montgomery	73	142	51%	191	Washington	29	80	36%
125	Nelson	4	13	31%	193	Westmoreland	19	44	43%
127	New Kent	5	33	15%	195	Wise	51	164	31%
131	Northampton	16	56	29%	197	Wythe	29	69	42%
133	Northumberland	8	9	89%	199	York/Poquoson	32	83	39%
135	Nottoway	34	78	44%	510	Alexandria	77	210	37%
137	Orange	0	35	0%	520	Bristol	69	148	47%
139	Page	9	26	35%	540	Charlottesville	77	169	46%
141	Patrick	15	46	33%	550	Chesapeake	287	607	47%
143	Pittsylvania	32	79	41%	590	Danville	74	175	42%
145	Powhatan	4	17	24%	620	Franklin City	0	48	0%
147	Prince Edward	15	61	25%	630	Fredericksburg	39	108	36%
149	Prince George	8	31	26%	640	Galax	9	36	25%
153	Prince William	225	611	37%	650	Hampton	292	716	41%
155	Pulaski	33	62	53%	670	Hopewell	5	199	3%
157	Rappahannock	0	2	0%	680	Lynchburg	99	303	33%
159	Richmond County	4	10	40%	683	Manassas	17	76	22%
161	Roanoke County	44	116	38%	685	Manassas Park	4	15	27%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	1	46	2%	700	Newport News	379	939	40%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	39	120	33%	710	Norfolk	577	1,237	47%
167	Russell	32	86	37%	720	Norton	9	22	41%
169	Scott	21	67	31%	730	Petersburg	121	297	41%
171	Shenandoah	6	19	32%	740	Portsmouth	185	575	32%
173	Smyth	30	84	36%	750	Radford	7	37	19%
175	Southampton	22	71	31%	760	Richmond City	560	1,500	37%
177	Spotsylvania	53	212	25%	770	Roanoke City	131	568	23%
179	Stafford	41	146	28%	800	Suffolk	74	137	54%
181	Surry	8	20	40%	810	Virginia Beach	200	469	43%
183	Sussex	12	39	31%	830	Williamsburg	7	23	30%
185	Tazewell	44	121	36%	840	Winchester	24	70	34%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are for the TANF all family rate not including VTP.

## *Estimated VTP only Work Participation Rates for August*

*These are estimated locality August 2011 work participation rates that include only clients receiving VTP. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated August statewide rate is 91% with a numerator of 1,902 and a denominator of 2,083. TANF only estimates are on pages iii and iv.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	6	8	75%	061	Fauquier	10	10	100%
003	Albemarle	15	15	100%	063	Floyd	3	3	100%
005	Alleghany/Covington	6	8	75%	065	Fluvanna	3	3	100%
007	Amelia	2	3	67%	067	Franklin County	5	6	83%
009	Amherst	4	4	100%	069	Frederick	7	7	100%
011	Appomattox	5	8	63%	071	Giles	3	3	100%
013	Arlington	20	25	80%	073	Gloucester	4	5	80%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	40	41	98%	075	Goochland	1	3	33%
017	Bath	1	1	100%	077	Grayson	3	3	100%
019	Bedford County/City	6	6	100%	079	Greene	2	2	100%
021	Bland	0	0	N/A	081	Greensville/Emporia	8	8	100%
023	Botetourt	0	0	N/A	083	Halifax	6	6	100%
025	Brunswick	4	4	100%	085	Hanover	12	12	100%
027	Buchanan	3	4	75%	087	Henrico	76	87	87%
029	Buckingham	2	3	67%	089	Henry/Martinsville	27	27	100%
031	Campbell	21	21	100%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	3	9	33%	093	Isle of Wight	4	5	80%
035	Carroll	9	9	100%	095	James City	6	6	100%
036	Charles City	2	2	100%	097	King and Queen	0	1	0%
037	Charlotte	5	5	100%	099	King George	5	5	100%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	60	60	100%	101	King William	2	2	100%
043	Clarke	1	3	33%	103	Lancaster	2	2	100%
045	Craig	0	1	0%	105	Lee	11	13	85%
047	Culpeper	9	17	53%	107	Loudoun	22	24	92%
049	Cumberland	3	4	75%	109	Louisa	14	14	100%
051	Dickensen	6	6	100%	111	Lunenburg	0	0	N/A
053	Dinwiddie	8	9	89%	113	Madison	0	0	N/A
057	Essex	7	7	100%	115	Mathews	1	1	100%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	109	112	97%	117	Mecklenburg	12	12	100%

# Estimated VTP Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	2	2	100%	187	Warren	9	11	82%
121	Montgomery	29	29	100%	191	Washington	5	5	100%
125	Nelson	2	3	67%	193	Westmoreland	0	4	0%
127	New Kent	0	0	N/A	195	Wise	18	20	90%
131	Northampton	5	5	100%	197	Wythe	6	6	100%
133	Northumberland	0	0	N/A	199	York/Poquoson	11	11	100%
135	Nottoway	8	9	89%	510	Alexandria	40	40	100%
137	Orange	3	4	75%	520	Bristol	21	21	100%
139	Page	8	8	100%	540	Charlottesville	23	27	85%
141	Patrick	4	4	100%	550	Chesapeake	60	66	91%
143	Pittsylvania	4	5	80%	590	Danville	15	23	65%
145	Powhatan	0	0	N/A	620	Franklin City	0	1	0%
147	Prince Edward	7	8	88%	630	Fredericksburg	25	25	100%
149	Prince George	7	7	100%	640	Galax	1	1	100%
153	Prince William	108	110	98%	650	Hampton	71	80	89%
155	Pulaski	10	10	100%	670	Hopewell	7	16	44%
157	Rappahannock	0	0	N/A	680	Lynchburg	40	40	100%
159	Richmond County	0	0	N/A	683	Manassas	15	16	94%
161	Roanoke County	23	23	100%	685	Manassas Park	3	5	60%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	5	6	83%	700	Newport News	110	119	92%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	29	29	100%	710	Norfolk	95	101	94%
167	Russell	7	7	100%	720	Norton	4	5	80%
169	Scott	11	13	85%	730	Petersburg	21	27	78%
171	Shenandoah	2	3	67%	740	Portsmouth	60	66	91%
173	Smyth	8	8	100%	750	Radford	2	2	100%
175	Southampton	4	4	100%	760	Richmond City	106	123	86%
177	Spotsylvania	15	24	63%	770	Roanoke City	57	62	92%
179	Stafford	34	34	100%	800	Suffolk	25	25	100%
181	Surry	4	6	67%	810	Virginia Beach	81	82	99%
183	Sussex	2	2	100%	830	Williamsburg	2	2	100%
185	Tazewell	16	16	100%	840	Winchester	6	7	86%

All VTP recipients are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” Thus, all VTP cases are in the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, job follow-up entries are reviewed to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. The basic requirement for VTP recipients is employment for at least 30 hours per week. The requirement is contained in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are also sometimes referred to as the rates for the maintenance of effort program caseload. .

## TANF

TANF Case Activity	Total Statewide				
	Aug-11	Aug-10	Change from Aug-10	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received <sup>1</sup>	5,907	5,824	1%	4,807	23%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	3,213	3,012	7%	1,658	94%
Applications Approved	2,386	2,531	-6%	2,820	-15%
Cases Closed *	2,716	2,932	-7%	4,476	-39%
Cases at End of Month	32,360	34,038	-5%	67,995	-52%
Cases Paid During Month <sup>2</sup>	33,576	35,388	-5%	70,403	-52%

•The database indicates that of the 2,716 closed cases, 1,074 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 547 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 221 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 147 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

## TANF-UP

TANF-UP Case Activity	Total Statewide				
	Aug-11	Aug-10	Change from Aug-10	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received <sup>1</sup>	490	646	-24%	338	45%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	284	368	-23%	232	22%
Applications Approved	266	254	5%	81	228%
Cases Closed *	299	280	7%	107	179%
Cases at End of Month	1,945	2,115	-8%	321	506%
Cases Paid During Month <sup>2</sup>	2,086	2,264	-8%	394	429%

•The database indicates that of the 299 closed cases, 153 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 88 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 28 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 24 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

## Statewide - Status through August 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/11 and Work Activity as of 8/31/11

Current Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	34,305	1,694	1,075	2,667	966	541	3,889	344	679	1,360	1,520	1,071	5,736	1,103	409	3,511	1,226	6,240	274
Total Enrolled in VIEW	14,619	654	484	1,041	385	266	1,625	152	325	647	491	510	2,523	648	155	1,513	583	2,523	94
Part. in a Work Activity	10,651	489	385	706	288	176	1,274	94	273	433	342	375	1,817	382	114	1,183	335	1,938	47
% of Enrolled	73%	75%	80%	68%	75%	66%	78%	62%	84%	67%	70%	74%	72%	59%	74%	78%	57%	77%	50%
Part. Assigned to Inactive	122	3	1	16	1	7	16	4	2	2	7	8	13	6	6	3	3	24	0
Participants Employed	7,043	360	255	567	254	125	767	67	163	284	211	231	1,094	261	84	749	228	1,300	43
% of Enrolled	48%	55%	53%	54%	66%	47%	47%	44%	50%	44%	43%	45%	43%	40%	54%	50%	39%	52%	46%
Full Time	5,575	324	231	484	220	98	571	51	104	199	168	179	829	187	73	575	177	1,074	31
Part Time	1,468	36	24	83	34	27	196	16	59	85	43	52	265	74	11	174	51	226	12
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.21	\$7.68	\$7.80	\$7.96	\$8.06	\$8.16	\$9.45	\$8.37	\$8.39	\$7.90	\$7.85	\$7.77	\$8.33	\$8.45	\$7.89	\$8.15	\$7.98	\$7.97	\$8.15
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,090	\$1,067	\$1,082	\$1,105	\$1,117	\$1,096	\$1,224	\$1,095	\$1,030	\$1,012	\$1,080	\$1,023	\$1,108	\$1,080	\$1,068	\$1,077	\$1,068	\$1,044	\$1,067

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – August 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	10,651	489	385	706	288	176	1,274	94	273	433	342	375	1,817	382	114	1,183	335	1,938	47
Total Enrolled in VIEW	18,016	801	601	1,410	479	316	2,057	197	397	789	650	617	3,009	756	213	1,903	680	3,024	117
Part. in a Work Activity	14,696	687	524	1,076	401	238	1,769	144	359	642	535	522	2,408	541	179	1,593	434	2,555	89
% of Total Enrolled	82%	86%	87%	76%	84%	75%	86%	73%	90%	81%	82%	85%	80%	72%	84%	84%	64%	84%	76%
Participants Employed	8,622	444	311	721	294	150	1,013	97	199	361	273	268	1,283	317	109	916	260	1,554	52
% of Total Enrolled	48%	55%	52%	51%	61%	47%	49%	49%	50%	46%	42%	43%	43%	42%	51%	48%	38%	51%	44%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.27	\$7.67	\$7.82	\$8.05	\$8.07	\$8.18	\$9.61	\$8.49	\$8.57	\$7.91	\$7.89	\$7.77	\$8.35	\$8.53	\$8.00	\$8.14	\$8.03	\$8.00	\$8.10
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,064	\$1,027	\$1,060	\$1,095	\$1,074	\$1,063	\$1,212	\$1,108	\$1,032	\$996	\$1,002	\$983	\$1,068	\$1,066	\$1,043	\$1,039	\$1,049	\$1,024	\$998
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	71%	74%	60%	64%	70%	62%	74%	68%	71%	64%	61%	74%	74%	79%	63%	73%	70%	74%	70%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	261,147	16,428	9,857	19,468	7,601	4,969	32,489	3,706	5,648	9,840	12,213	7,514	34,835	7,896	3,404	24,724	8,832	49,354	2,369
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>5</sup>	199,357	12,579	8,279	14,829	5,799	3,667	24,979	2,784	4,337	8,113	9,773	5,770	27,135	5,778	2,519	18,424	6,881	35,973	1,738
Part. in a Work Activity	190,646	12,085	7,918	14,124	5,650	3,464	24,131	2,677	4,233	7,657	9,451	5,517	26,056	5,453	2,360	17,561	6,562	34,070	1,677
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	96%	95%	97%	94%	97%	96%	98%	94%	97%	96%	96%	94%	94%	95%	95%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	135,529	7,921	5,388	10,138	4,056	2,409	17,676	1,881	3,244	5,544	6,045	3,492	18,399	3,834	1,597	12,920	4,691	25,310	984
% of Total Enrolled	68%	63%	65%	68%	70%	66%	71%	68%	75%	68%	62%	61%	68%	66%	63%	70%	68%	70%	57%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.10	\$6.17	\$6.27	\$7.04	\$7.10	\$7.29	\$8.61	\$7.41	\$7.35	\$6.53	\$6.35	\$6.69	\$7.31	\$7.85	\$6.94	\$6.97	\$6.95	\$6.81	\$6.65
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$940	\$813	\$851	\$947	\$944	\$978	\$1,144	\$978	\$943	\$850	\$848	\$871	\$982	\$1,015	\$889	\$913	\$938	\$893	\$839
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	67%	68%	62%	61%	67%	63%	71%	65%	63%	65%	63%	66%	70%	66%	57%	66%	66%	68%	72%

## EDDI - Southwest Region: Status through August 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/11 and Work Activity as of 8/31/11

Current Data	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,694	136	99	307	230	183	255	431	53
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	654	15	43	138	92	93	114	142	17
Participants in a Work Activity	489	13	24	111	75	59	103	92	12
% of Enrolled	75%	87%	56%	80%	82%	63%	90%	65%	71%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0
Participants Employed	360	9	22	86	43	51	63	75	11
% of Enrolled	55%	60%	51%	62%	47%	55%	55%	53%	65%
Full Time	324	9	21	76	36	43	59	70	10
Part Time	36	0	1	10	7	8	4	5	1
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.68	\$7.53	\$7.99	\$7.54	\$7.52	\$7.57	\$7.93	\$7.83	\$6.94
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,067	\$1,073	\$1,180	\$1,008	\$955	\$1,039	\$1,148	\$1,126	\$1,005

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – August 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	489	13	24	111	75	59	103	92	12
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	801	22	55	170	106	113	132	183	20
Participants in a Work Activity	687	19	42	158	96	87	130	138	17
% of Total Enrolled	86%	86%	76%	93%	91%	77%	98%	75%	85%
Participants Employed	444	10	29	102	53	61	76	98	15
% of Total Enrolled	55%	45%	53%	60%	50%	54%	58%	54%	75%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.67	\$7.50	\$7.79	\$7.58	\$7.49	\$7.62	\$7.87	\$7.80	\$7.02
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,027	\$1,053	\$1,080	\$975	\$897	\$1,009	\$1,111	\$1,089	\$947
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	74%	57%	77%	73%	73%	84%	76%	72%	65%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	16,428	1,614	1,161	2,304	2,253	1,606	3,143	3,821	526
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	12,579	1,232	898	1,749	1,802	1,155	2,403	2,928	412
Participants in a Work Activity	12,085	1,153	846	1,731	1,716	1,130	2,316	2,811	382
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	94%	99%	95%	98%	96%	96%	93%
Participants Employed	7,921	651	539	1,141	1,116	676	1,620	1,866	312
% of Total Enrolled	63%	53%	60%	65%	62%	59%	67%	64%	76%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.17	\$6.36	\$6.23	\$5.91	\$6.37	\$6.47	\$6.08	\$6.16	\$5.99
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$813	\$832	\$822	\$780	\$847	\$877	\$803	\$808	\$739
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	68%	63%	56%	72%	67%	77%	66%	67%	72%

## EDD2 – Bristol-Galax Region: Status through August 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/11 and Work Activity as of 8/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,075	16	123	49	191	209	121	300	66
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	484	4	57	20	86	65	51	172	29
Participants in a Work Activity	385	4	50	17	73	47	38	138	18
% of Enrolled	80%	100%	88%	85%	85%	72%	75%	80%	62%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Participants Employed	255	2	35	13	47	40	34	68	16
% of Enrolled	53%	50%	61%	65%	55%	62%	67%	40%	55%
Full Time	231	2	33	12	45	35	29	64	11
Part Time	24	0	2	1	2	5	5	4	5
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.80	\$8.00	\$7.77	\$7.53	\$7.82	\$8.06	\$7.69	\$7.66	\$8.11
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,082	\$1,212	\$1,098	\$1,041	\$1,131	\$1,073	\$1,016	\$1,077	\$1,102

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – August 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	385	4	50	17	73	47	38	138	18
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	601	5	70	22	110	79	66	205	44
Participants in a Work Activity	524	4	63	19	97	67	61	181	32
% of Total Enrolled	87%	80%	90%	86%	88%	85%	92%	88%	73%
Participants Employed	311	2	40	15	55	46	42	88	23
% of Total Enrolled	52%	40%	57%	68%	50%	58%	64%	43%	52%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.82	\$8.00	\$7.72	\$7.49	\$7.86	\$7.96	\$7.88	\$7.69	\$8.15
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,060	\$1,204	\$1,064	\$962	\$1,116	\$1,081	\$986	\$1,035	\$1,166
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	60%	80%	60%	56%	69%	60%	59%	53%	63%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	9,857	245	1,328	633	1,696	1,697	1,496	2,064	698
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	8,279	187	1,096	515	1,422	1,413	1,195	1,842	609
Participants in a Work Activity	7,918	176	1,006	478	1,366	1,376	1,173	1,769	574
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	92%	93%	96%	97%	98%	96%	94%
Participants Employed	5,388	114	735	343	841	915	795	1,263	382
% of Total Enrolled	65%	61%	67%	67%	59%	65%	67%	69%	63%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.27	\$6.13	\$6.08	\$6.31	\$6.05	\$6.53	\$6.28	\$6.33	\$6.25
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$851	\$836	\$821	\$841	\$831	\$886	\$831	\$864	\$884
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	62%	57%	55%	61%	64%	58%	67%	62%	70%

## EDD3 – Roanoke Region: Status through August 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/11 and Work Activity as of 8/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	2,667	89	53	14	54	255	50	345	199	277	70	1,261
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1041	38	19	2	18	106	12	156	60	134	25	471
Participants in a Work Activity	706	29	8	2	8	71	6	123	55	110	20	274
% of Enrolled	68%	76%	42%	100%	44%	67%	50%	79%	92%	82%	80%	58%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	16	1	3	0	0	0	1	6	0	4	1	0
Participants Employed	567	20	8	1	6	43	6	116	38	84	18	227
% of Enrolled	54%	53%	42%	50%	33%	41%	50%	74%	63%	63%	72%	48%
Full Time	484	16	8	1	5	32	5	97	35	71	15	199
Part Time	83	4	0	0	1	11	1	19	3	13	3	28
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.96	\$8.25	\$8.03	\$7.25	\$7.67	\$8.99	\$7.96	\$7.80	\$7.61	\$7.92	\$7.96	\$7.92
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,105	\$1,021	\$1,146	\$1,099	\$925	\$1,231	\$1,151	\$1,054	\$1,088	\$1,073	\$1,094	\$1,131

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – August 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	706	29	8	2	8	71	6	123	55	110	20	274
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,410	58	23	5	21	143	16	206	80	175	37	646
Participants in a Work Activity	1,076	43	10	2	14	114	10	174	72	150	28	459
% of Total Enrolled	76%	74%	43%	40%	67%	80%	63%	84%	90%	86%	76%	71%
Participants Employed	721	31	8	1	7	57	8	145	48	108	23	285
% of Total Enrolled	51%	53%	35%	20%	33%	40%	50%	70%	60%	62%	62%	44%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.05	\$8.02	\$8.03	\$7.25	\$7.93	\$8.96	\$7.83	\$7.82	\$7.63	\$8.29	\$7.86	\$7.99
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,095	\$1,064	\$1,138	\$1,091	\$981	\$1,247	\$1,025	\$1,034	\$1,059	\$1,125	\$1,072	\$1,099
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	64%	51%	86%	100%	44%	61%	62%	67%	60%	63%	67%	66%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	19,468	1,122	327	80	490	1,701	493	2,785	1,692	2,118	761	7,899
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	14,829	848	204	39	349	1,285	351	2,262	1,333	1,695	585	5,878
Participants in a Work Activity	14,124	811	179	38	336	1,146	342	2,227	1,287	1,599	565	5,594
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	88%	97%	96%	89%	97%	98%	97%	94%	97%	95%
Participants Employed	10,138	602	90	20	236	807	208	1,793	862	1,252	414	3,854
% of Total Enrolled	68%	71%	44%	51%	68%	63%	59%	79%	65%	74%	71%	66%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.04	\$6.83	\$7.26	\$7.06	\$6.88	\$6.94	\$7.02	\$6.89	\$6.73	\$7.54	\$6.95	\$7.11
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$947	\$830	\$931	\$1,028	\$922	\$947	\$952	\$928	\$933	\$1,033	\$901	\$962
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	61%	58%	56%	63%	57%	58%	54%	65%	54%	59%	61%	62%

## EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: Status through August 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/11 and Work Activity as of 8/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	966	560	6	2	86	312
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	385	231	2	1	35	116
Participants in a Work Activity	288	169	1	1	23	94
% of Enrolled	75%	73%	50%	100%	66%	81%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	0	0	1
Participants Employed	254	155	1	1	20	77
% of Enrolled	66%	67%	50%	100%	57%	66%
Full Time	220	132	1	1	14	72
Part Time	34	23	0	0	6	5
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.06	\$7.94	\$8.00	\$8.00	\$7.85	\$8.36
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,117	\$1,093	\$1,212	\$1,386	\$935	\$1,209

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – August 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	288	169	1	1	23	94
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	479	296	3	1	42	137
Participants in a Work Activity	401	245	2	1	30	123
% of Total Enrolled	84%	83%	67%	100%	71%	90%
Participants Employed	294	181	2	1	22	88
% of Total Enrolled	61%	61%	67%	100%	52%	64%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.07	\$7.95	\$7.63	\$8.00	\$7.81	\$8.39
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,074	\$1,050	\$758	\$1,376	\$925	\$1,163
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	70%	71%	100%	100%	66%	67%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	7,601	4,079	74	18	681	2,749
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	5,799	3,169	46	8	507	2,069
Participants in a Work Activity	5,650	3,104	46	8	474	2,018
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	100%	100%	93%	98%
Participants Employed	4,056	2,136	30	6	367	1,517
% of Total Enrolled	70%	67%	65%	75%	72%	73%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.10	\$7.09	\$6.48	\$7.79	\$6.70	\$7.21
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$944	\$950	\$698	\$784	\$862	\$960
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	67%	67%	62%	83%	66%	68%

## EDDS 5 & 18 – Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: Status through August 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/11 and Work Activity as of 8/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	541	16	165	58	45	114	143	274	177	97
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	266	7	81	33	17	62	66	94	39	55
Participants in a Work Activity	176	5	38	26	14	45	48	47	16	31
% of Enrolled	66%	71%	47%	79%	82%	73%	73%	50%	41%	56%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	7	0	0	2	1	2	2	0	0	0
Participants Employed	125	3	34	18	7	30	33	43	14	29
% of Enrolled	47%	43%	42%	55%	41%	48%	50%	46%	36%	53%
Full Time	98	3	26	17	6	21	25	31	12	19
Part Time	27	0	8	1	1	9	8	12	2	10
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.16	\$8.17	\$8.46	\$8.07	\$8.40	\$8.15	\$7.85	\$8.15	\$8.00	\$8.23
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,096	\$1,175	\$1,087	\$1,181	\$1,191	\$1,079	\$1,047	\$1,067	\$1,102	\$1,050

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – August 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	176	5	38	26	14	45	48	47	16	31
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	316	9	85	42	25	76	79	117	54	63
Participants in a Work Activity	238	6	47	40	21	64	60	89	38	51
% of Total Enrolled	75%	67%	55%	95%	84%	84%	76%	76%	70%	81%
Participants Employed	150	5	35	26	9	37	38	52	17	35
% of Total Enrolled	47%	56%	41%	62%	36%	49%	48%	44%	31%	56%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.18	\$9.10	\$8.45	\$7.88	\$8.49	\$8.29	\$7.84	\$8.10	\$7.94	\$8.18
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,063	\$1,205	\$1,033	\$1,050	\$1,167	\$1,100	\$1,020	\$998	\$1,046	\$975
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	62%	57%	77%	53%	64%	70%	53%	70%	54%	82%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	4,969	193	1031	763	618	1198	1166	2,369	1494	875
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	3,667	115	748	621	372	896	915	1,738	1069	669
Participants in a Work Activity	3,464	109	698	605	359	830	863	1677	1045	632
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	93%	97%	97%	93%	94%	96%	98%	94%
Participants Employed	2,409	71	431	436	229	618	624	984	556	428
% of Total Enrolled	66%	62%	58%	70%	62%	69%	68%	57%	52%	64%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.29	\$7.41	\$7.63	\$7.28	\$6.68	\$7.36	\$7.20	\$6.65	\$6.69	\$6.59
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$978	\$981	\$997	\$986	\$918	\$999	\$958	\$839	\$843	\$834
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	63%	64%	62%	60%	70%	69%	59%	72%	65%	80%

## EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: Status through August 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/11 and Work Activity as of 8/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	3,889	283	1,448	226	1,245	457	194	36
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,625	96	525	152	602	180	63	7
Participants in a Work Activity	1,274	74	449	102	454	136	52	7
% of Enrolled	78%	77%	86%	67%	75%	76%	83%	100%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	16	2	7	1	2	2	2	0
Participants Employed	767	37	262	68	304	71	18	7
% of Enrolled	47%	39%	50%	45%	50%	39%	29%	100%
Full Time	571	18	203	46	229	56	12	7
Part Time	196	19	59	22	75	15	6	0
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$9.45	\$11.30	\$9.70	\$9.94	\$8.97	\$9.36	\$8.92	\$8.51
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,224	\$1,156	\$1,306	\$1,304	\$1,154	\$1,221	\$1,072	\$1,168

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – August 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	1,274	74	449	102	454	136	52	7
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,057	131	685	178	756	217	82	8
Participants in a Work Activity	1,769	116	607	132	646	186	74	8
% of Total Enrolled	86%	89%	89%	74%	85%	86%	90%	100%
Participants Employed	1,013	60	353	86	391	86	29	8
% of Total Enrolled	49%	46%	52%	48%	52%	40%	35%	100%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$9.61	\$11.21	\$9.77	\$9.95	\$9.13	\$9.78	\$9.66	\$8.45
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,212	\$1,217	\$1,271	\$1,249	\$1,150	\$1,214	\$1,255	\$1,073
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	74%	72%	70%	72%	75%	84%	72%	80%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	32,489	2,788	11,430	2,272	10,450	3,737	1,398	414
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	24,979	2,169	8,583	1,806	8,086	2,963	1,073	299
Participants in a Work Activity	24,131	2,147	8,283	1,730	7,801	2,832	1,041	297
% of Total Enrolled	97%	99%	97%	96%	96%	96%	97%	99%
Participants Employed	17,676	1,577	5,824	1,399	5,885	2,080	690	221
% of Total Enrolled	71%	73%	68%	77%	73%	70%	64%	74%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.61	\$8.21	\$8.62	\$9.13	\$8.73	\$8.30	\$8.12	\$8.10
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,144	\$1,061	\$1,139	\$1,181	\$1,189	\$1,064	\$1,094	\$1,075
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	71%	70%	69%	72%	71%	77%	71%	78%

## EDD7 - Culpeper Region: Status through August 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/11 and Work Activity as of 8/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	344	158	85	21	74	6
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	152	78	30	6	35	3
Participants in a Work Activity	94	50	21	4	18	1
% of Enrolled	62%	64%	70%	67%	51%	33%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	0	2	0	2	0
Participants Employed	67	35	14	2	16	0
% of Enrolled	44%	45%	47%	33%	46%	0%
Full Time	51	26	12	2	11	N/A
Part Time	16	9	2	0	5	N/A
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.37	\$8.31	\$7.87	\$7.75	\$9.04	N/A
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,095	\$1,054	\$1,050	\$1,039	\$1,229	N/A

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – August 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	94	50	21	4	18	1
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	197	94	47	9	44	3
Participants in a Work Activity	144	66	42	8	27	1
% of Total Enrolled	73%	70%	89%	89%	61%	33%
Participants Employed	97	42	27	4	24	0
% of Total Enrolled	49%	45%	57%	44%	55%	0%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.49	\$8.24	\$8.67	\$7.69	\$8.87	N/A
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,108	\$1,004	\$1,193	\$1,077	\$1,198	N/A
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	68%	69%	62%	86%	72%	N/A

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	3,706	1,425	1096	344	748	93
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	2,784	1021	839	254	608	62
Participants in a Work Activity	2,677	980	829	247	562	59
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	99%	97%	92%	95%
Participants Employed	1,881	667	573	162	440	39
% of Total Enrolled	68%	65%	68%	64%	72%	63%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.41	\$7.35	\$7.74	\$7.12	\$7.17	\$7.26
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$978	\$954	\$1,014	\$990	\$963	\$954
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	65%	67%	69%	63%	59%	45%

## EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: Status through August 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/11 and Work Activity as of 8/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	679	123	52	50	104	42	308
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	325	46	20	27	47	14	171
Participants in a Work Activity	273	41	17	18	35	9	153
% of Enrolled	84%	89%	85%	67%	74%	64%	89%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	1	0	0	0	1
Participants Employed	163	25	7	12	22	7	90
% of Enrolled	50%	54%	35%	44%	47%	50%	53%
Full Time	104	16	6	5	15	7	55
Part Time	59	9	1	7	7	0	35
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.39	\$8.11	\$8.82	\$8.58	\$8.46	\$7.88	\$8.44
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,030	\$1,064	\$1,204	\$961	\$1,145	\$1,132	\$981

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – August 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	273	41	17	18	35	9	153
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	397	59	23	31	59	18	207
Participants in a Work Activity	359	58	21	23	48	11	198
% of Total Enrolled	90%	98%	91%	74%	81%	61%	96%
Participants Employed	199	35	9	13	28	8	106
% of Total Enrolled	50%	59%	39%	42%	47%	44%	51%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.57	\$8.51	\$8.81	\$8.55	\$8.79	\$8.00	\$8.56
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,032	\$1,081	\$1,181	\$1,038	\$1,194	\$1,095	\$956
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	71%	76%	67%	78%	76%	70%	67%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	5,648	1312	229	441	883	275	2,508
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	4,337	939	155	318	644	195	2,086
Participants in a Work Activity	4,233	918	150	299	617	192	2,057
% of Total Enrolled	98%	98%	97%	94%	96%	98%	99%
Participants Employed	3,244	682	106	240	394	124	1,698
% of Total Enrolled	75%	73%	68%	75%	61%	64%	81%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.35	\$7.74	\$7.50	\$7.31	\$7.50	\$7.60	\$7.21
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$943	\$1,000	\$1,063	\$916	\$977	\$1,000	\$920
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	63%	57%	59%	57%	64%	61%	65%

## EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: Status through August 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/11 and Work Activity as of 8/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,360	80	93	223	311	653
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	647	30	42	101	196	278
Participants in a Work Activity	433	18	36	72	146	161
% of Enrolled	67%	60%	86%	71%	74%	58%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	1	0	0	0	1
Participants Employed	284	14	23	47	83	117
% of Enrolled	44%	47%	55%	47%	42%	42%
Full Time	199	9	23	37	50	80
Part Time	85	5	0	10	33	37
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.90	\$7.85	\$7.92	\$7.95	\$8.03	\$7.78
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,012	\$921	\$1,137	\$1,031	\$1,042	\$969

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – August 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	433	18	36	72	146	161
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	789	36	52	124	240	337
Participants in a Work Activity	642	30	46	96	212	258
% of Total Enrolled	81%	83%	88%	77%	88%	77%
Participants Employed	361	19	29	63	107	143
% of Total Enrolled	46%	53%	56%	51%	45%	42%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.91	\$7.86	\$7.85	\$7.98	\$8.06	\$7.78
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$996	\$967	\$1,140	\$984	\$1,034	\$948
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	64%	55%	75%	57%	66%	66%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	9,840	911	771	1,979	2,291	3,888
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	8,113	729	672	1,581	1,946	3,185
Participants in a Work Activity	7,657	696	660	1,470	1,837	2,994
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	98%	93%	94%	94%
Participants Employed	5,544	489	472	1,032	1,332	2,219
% of Total Enrolled	68%	67%	70%	65%	68%	70%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.53	\$6.71	\$6.22	\$6.61	\$6.74	\$6.42
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$850	\$857	\$860	\$850	\$891	\$824
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	65%	60%	56%	61%	64%	69%

## EDD10 - Danville Region: Status through August 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/11 and Work Activity as of 8/31/11

<b>Current Data</b>	<b>Total EDD10</b>	<b>Halifax</b>	<b>Henry/ Martinsville</b>	<b>Patrick</b>	<b>Pittsylvania</b>	<b>Danville</b>
<b>TANF Cases End of Month<sup>10</sup></b>	1,520	243	497	110	233	437
<b>Total Enrolled in VIEW<sup>3</sup></b>	491	72	170	72	57	120
<b>Participants in a Work Activity</b>	342	37	120	51	40	94
<b>% of Enrolled</b>	70%	51%	71%	71%	70%	78%
<b>Participants Assigned to Inactive</b>	7	0	0	5	0	2
<b>Participants Employed</b>	211	33	63	37	29	49
<b>% of Enrolled</b>	43%	46%	37%	51%	51%	41%
Full Time	168	29	46	28	26	39
Part Time	43	4	17	9	3	10
<b>Average Wage<sup>6</sup></b>	\$7.85	\$8.16	\$7.74	\$7.65	\$8.14	\$7.75
<b>Average Monthly Earnings<sup>7</sup></b>	\$1,080	\$1,165	\$1,055	\$1,045	\$1,187	\$1,019

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – August 31, 2011, Year to Date

<b>State Fiscal Year to Date</b>	<b>Total EDD10</b>	<b>Halifax</b>	<b>Henry/ Martinsville</b>	<b>Patrick</b>	<b>Pittsylvania</b>	<b>Danville</b>
<b>Individuals Referred</b>	342	37	120	51	40	94
<b>Total Enrolled in VIEW<sup>3</sup></b>	650	90	207	87	85	181
<b>Participants in a Work Activity</b>	535	65	171	68	69	162
<b>% of Total Enrolled</b>	82%	72%	83%	78%	81%	90%
<b>Participants Employed</b>	273	39	88	44	37	65
<b>% of Total Enrolled</b>	42%	43%	43%	51%	44%	36%
<b>Average Wage<sup>6</sup></b>	\$7.89	\$8.04	\$7.88	\$7.64	\$8.25	\$7.77
<b>Average Monthly Earnings<sup>7</sup></b>	\$1,002	\$1,053	\$1,007	\$950	\$1,078	\$958
<b>Three Months Employment<sup>8</sup></b>	61%	57%	62%	73%	66%	51%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/96

<b>Cumulative Data</b>	<b>Total EDD10</b>	<b>Halifax</b>	<b>Henry/ Martinsville</b>	<b>Patrick</b>	<b>Pittsylvania</b>	<b>Danville</b>
<b>Individuals Referred</b>	12,213	1,910	3,280	1,195	1,979	3,849
<b>Total Enrolled in VIEW<sup>3,5</sup></b>	9,773	1,575	2,477	1,040	1,517	3,164
<b>Participants in a Work Activity</b>	9,451	1,517	2,377	976	1,491	3,090
<b>% of Total Enrolled</b>	97%	96%	96%	94%	98%	98%
<b>Participants Employed</b>	6,045	898	1,570	698	829	2,050
<b>% of Total Enrolled</b>	62%	57%	63%	67%	55%	65%
<b>Average Wage<sup>6</sup></b>	\$6.35	\$6.33	\$6.74	\$6.27	\$6.35	\$6.11
<b>Average Monthly Earnings<sup>7</sup></b>	\$848	\$835	\$934	\$816	\$862	\$797
<b>Three Months Employment<sup>9</sup></b>	63%	59%	64%	75%	61%	62%

## EDD11 - Southside Region: Status through August 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/11 and Work Activity as of 8/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,071	73	127	71	85	65	145	73	164	136	132
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	510	31	61	33	41	33	64	33	65	87	62
Participants in a Work Activity	375	26	33	29	30	24	40	27	55	61	50
% of Enrolled	74%	84%	54%	88%	73%	73%	63%	82%	85%	70%	81%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	8	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	2	1
Participants Employed	231	15	28	21	13	14	17	15	30	51	27
% of Enrolled	45%	48%	46%	64%	32%	42%	27%	45%	46%	59%	44%
Full Time	179	11	21	20	11	9	8	11	19	47	22
Part Time	52	4	7	1	2	5	9	4	11	4	5
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.77	\$7.83	\$7.36	\$7.85	\$7.73	\$8.56	\$7.42	\$7.73	\$7.51	\$7.93	\$7.91
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,023	\$971	\$924	\$1,142	\$1,013	\$1,026	\$832	\$986	\$996	\$1,081	\$1,124

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – August 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	375	26	33	29	30	24	40	27	55	61	50
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	617	33	72	43	49	40	84	46	76	99	75
Participants in a Work Activity	522	28	59	40	41	31	72	43	69	75	64
% of Total Enrolled	85%	85%	82%	93%	84%	78%	86%	93%	91%	76%	85%
Participants Employed	268	16	29	23	14	16	22	20	38	57	33
% of Total Enrolled	43%	48%	40%	53%	29%	40%	26%	43%	50%	58%	44%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.77	\$7.84	\$7.34	\$7.98	\$7.70	\$8.52	\$7.43	\$7.65	\$7.52	\$7.96	\$7.94
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$983	\$962	\$916	\$1,180	\$928	\$1,096	\$733	\$880	\$923	\$1,043	\$1,085
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	74%	65%	67%	79%	54%	69%	65%	82%	83%	82%	77%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	7,514	477	949	742	644	512	888	328	1090	895	989
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	5,770	340	754	552	463	371	699	251	856	685	799
Participants in a Work Activity	5,517	323	747	518	426	354	668	247	820	634	780
% of Total Enrolled	96%	95%	99%	94%	92%	95%	96%	98%	96%	93%	98%
Participants Employed	3,492	204	426	313	234	211	397	119	567	464	557
% of Total Enrolled	61%	60%	56%	57%	51%	57%	57%	47%	66%	68%	70%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.69	\$7.37	\$6.16	\$6.98	\$7.15	\$7.20	\$6.62	\$6.09	\$6.49	\$6.70	\$6.69
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$871	\$959	\$817	\$940	\$926	\$949	\$809	\$744	\$835	\$892	\$875
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	66%	63%	67%	62%	58%	58%	64%	60%	69%	69%	70%

## EDD12 - Richmond Region: Status through August 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/11 and Work Activity as of 8/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	5,736	1040	52	144	1,637	54	2,809
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,523	416	29	68	884	16	1,110
Participants in a Work Activity	1,817	324	24	55	568	11	835
% of Enrolled	72%	78%	83%	81%	64%	69%	75%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	13	1	2	0	5	0	5
Participants Employed	1,094	221	17	37	393	5	421
% of Enrolled	43%	53%	59%	54%	44%	31%	38%
Full Time	829	176	8	35	289	4	317
Part Time	265	45	9	2	104	1	104
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.33	\$8.44	\$8.06	\$8.55	\$8.46	\$10.10	\$8.14
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,108	\$1,130	\$931	\$1,256	\$1,119	\$1,445	\$1,075

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – August 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	1,817	324	24	55	568	11	835
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	3,009	528	33	76	1,022	25	1,325
Participants in a Work Activity	2,408	425	29	66	772	17	1,099
% of Total Enrolled	80%	80%	88%	87%	76%	68%	83%
Participants Employed	1,283	253	19	42	454	11	504
% of Total Enrolled	43%	48%	58%	55%	44%	44%	38%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.35	\$8.40	\$8.17	\$8.68	\$8.49	\$9.90	\$8.15
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,068	\$1,095	\$954	\$1,109	\$1,073	\$1,098	\$1,050
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	74%	78%	62%	69%	80%	67%	69%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	34,835	7,111	263	1181	8,572	330	17,378
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	27,135	5,375	192	844	6,883	233	13,608
Participants in a Work Activity	26,056	5,189	182	776	6,500	214	13,195
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	95%	92%	94%	92%	97%
Participants Employed	18,399	3,458	129	548	4,596	131	9,537
% of Total Enrolled	68%	64%	67%	65%	67%	56%	70%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.31	\$7.56	\$6.95	\$8.17	\$7.60	\$7.56	\$7.07
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$982	\$1,015	\$891	\$1,048	\$1,018	\$946	\$955
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	70%	70%	63%	67%	66%	64%	72%

## EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: Status through August 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/11 and Work Activity as of 8/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,103	191	44	397	282	189
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	648	131	8	232	151	126
Participants in a Work Activity	382	68	5	130	99	80
% of Enrolled	59%	52%	63%	56%	66%	63%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	6	0	0	4	0	2
Participants Employed	261	40	2	93	63	63
% of Enrolled	40%	31%	25%	40%	42%	50%
Full Time	187	31	2	69	40	45
Part Time	74	9	0	24	23	18
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.45	\$8.14	\$7.36	\$8.14	\$8.77	\$8.84
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,080	\$1,052	\$1,085	\$1,043	\$1,022	\$1,209

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – August 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	382	68	5	130	99	80
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	756	139	18	271	175	153
Participants in a Work Activity	541	86	15	178	141	121
% of Total Enrolled	72%	62%	83%	66%	81%	79%
Participants Employed	317	41	8	115	72	81
% of Total Enrolled	42%	29%	44%	42%	41%	53%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.53	\$8.22	\$8.76	\$8.07	\$8.96	\$8.93
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,066	\$1,003	\$1,242	\$1,039	\$1,041	\$1,142
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	79%	83%	50%	78%	89%	69%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	7,896	1,225	482	2,364	2,271	1,554
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	5,778	859	323	1,847	1,437	1,312
Participants in a Work Activity	5,453	808	308	1,691	1,388	1,258
% of Total Enrolled	94%	94%	95%	92%	97%	96%
Participants Employed	3,834	522	202	1,269	849	992
% of Total Enrolled	66%	61%	63%	69%	59%	76%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.85	\$7.54	\$7.32	\$7.93	\$8.35	\$7.68
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,015	\$956	\$917	\$1,026	\$1,111	\$988
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	66%	57%	60%	68%	68%	66%

## EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: Status through August 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/11 and Work Activity as of 8/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	409	89	21	49	57	18	31	26	38	80
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	155	40	4	23	14	6	19	7	7	35
Participants in a Work Activity	114	26	2	21	12	6	13	7	6	21
% of Enrolled	74%	65%	50%	91%	86%	100%	68%	100%	86%	60%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	6	2	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	1
Participants Employed	84	19	1	14	8	6	8	4	5	19
% of Enrolled	54%	48%	25%	61%	57%	100%	42%	57%	71%	54%
Full Time	73	17	1	11	5	5	7	4	5	18
Part Time	11	2	0	3	3	1	1	0	0	1
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.89	\$7.90	\$8.00	\$7.47	\$8.06	\$8.23	\$8.21	\$7.92	\$7.36	\$8.01
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,068	\$1,156	\$1,039	\$957	\$994	\$1,230	\$1,056	\$1,127	\$1,044	\$1,043

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – August 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	114	26	2	21	12	6	13	7	6	21
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	213	54	6	27	17	11	30	11	9	48
Participants in a Work Activity	179	47	5	24	16	9	25	10	8	35
% of Total Enrolled	84%	87%	83%	89%	94%	82%	83%	91%	89%	73%
Participants Employed	109	30	2	16	11	8	10	4	6	22
% of Total Enrolled	51%	56%	33%	59%	65%	73%	33%	36%	67%	46%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.00	\$8.19	\$7.88	\$7.60	\$7.91	\$8.08	\$8.67	\$7.79	\$7.34	\$7.98
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,043	\$1,067	\$783	\$969	\$1,068	\$1,024	\$1,184	\$1,039	\$1,046	\$1,017
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	63%	76%	50%	75%	83%	46%	75%	64%	50%	38%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	3,404	512	256	307	383	193	389	300	309	755
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	2,519	405	171	246	299	129	287	244	182	556
Participants in a Work Activity	2,360	388	161	235	280	121	262	237	176	500
% of Total Enrolled	94%	96%	94%	96%	94%	94%	91%	97%	97%	90%
Participants Employed	1,597	280	90	148	197	85	188	141	113	355
% of Total Enrolled	63%	69%	53%	60%	66%	66%	66%	58%	62%	64%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.94	\$6.98	\$6.97	\$7.31	\$6.68	\$6.99	\$6.96	\$6.58	\$6.93	\$7.00
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$889	\$862	\$867	\$961	\$840	\$891	\$902	\$850	\$929	\$914
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	57%	49%	46%	61%	54%	68%	69%	53%	39%	64%

## EDD15 - Peninsula Region: Status through August 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/11 and Work Activity as of 8/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	NewKent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	3,511	28	88	149	51	124	1,275	1,751	45
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,513	10	25	47	20	76	554	757	24
Participants in a Work Activity	1,183	7	20	37	15	52	448	589	15
% of Enrolled	78%	70%	80%	79%	75%	68%	81%	78%	63%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0
Participants Employed	749	6	16	30	9	32	251	390	15
% of Enrolled	50%	60%	64%	64%	45%	42%	45%	52%	63%
Full Time	575	5	11	20	7	17	186	318	11
Part Time	174	1	5	10	2	15	65	72	4
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.15	\$8.25	\$8.01	\$8.55	\$8.67	\$7.99	\$8.06	\$8.19	\$7.95
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,077	\$1,169	\$1,052	\$1,169	\$1,309	\$932	\$1,030	\$1,106	\$1,106

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – August 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	1,183	7	20	37	15	52	448	589	15
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,903	13	35	57	24	102	673	972	27
Participants in a Work Activity	1,593	11	30	48	17	85	576	804	22
% of Total Enrolled	84%	85%	86%	84%	71%	83%	86%	83%	81%
Participants Employed	916	8	19	36	12	44	300	481	16
% of Total Enrolled	48%	62%	54%	63%	50%	43%	45%	49%	59%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.14	\$8.03	\$7.90	\$8.53	\$8.58	\$7.99	\$8.06	\$8.18	\$8.06
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,039	\$1,068	\$973	\$1,187	\$1,249	\$835	\$998	\$1,069	\$1,026
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	73%	64%	57%	70%	75%	69%	76%	73%	77%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	24,724	157	1013	1012	308	1007	8,628	12,242	357
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	18,424	113	711	790	217	751	6,300	9,282	260
Participants in a Work Activity	17,561	109	659	737	208	723	6,137	8,745	243
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	93%	93%	96%	96%	97%	94%	93%
Participants Employed	12,920	63	470	552	129	471	4,404	6,644	187
% of Total Enrolled	70%	56%	66%	70%	59%	63%	70%	72%	72%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.97	\$7.05	\$6.79	\$7.35	\$7.47	\$7.16	\$6.96	\$6.93	\$7.19
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$913	\$926	\$841	\$902	\$1,021	\$890	\$907	\$922	\$907
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	66%	54%	60%	61%	73%	59%	63%	69%	64%

## EDD16 - Petersburg Region: Status through August 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/11 and Work Activity as of 8/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,226	130	75	50	78	314	579
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	583	28	31	31	31	223	239
Participants in a Work Activity	335	22	25	25	25	74	164
% of Enrolled	57%	79%	81%	81%	81%	33%	69%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	1	1	1	0	0
Participants Employed	228	15	12	20	14	60	107
% of Enrolled	39%	54%	39%	65%	45%	27%	45%
Full Time	177	11	9	11	10	44	92
Part Time	51	4	3	9	4	16	15
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.98	\$7.64	\$8.13	\$8.36	\$8.01	\$7.85	\$8.00
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,068	\$1,028	\$1,137	\$973	\$1,106	\$990	\$1,123

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – August 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	335	22	25	25	25	74	164
Total Enrolled in VIEW	680	32	42	39	46	229	292
Participants in a Work Activity	434	31	33	34	35	83	218
% of Total Enrolled	64%	97%	79%	87%	76%	36%	75%
Participants Employed	260	19	16	24	17	62	122
% of Total Enrolled	38%	59%	38%	62%	37%	27%	42%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.03	\$7.73	\$8.81	\$8.44	\$8.02	\$7.84	\$7.99
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,049	\$1,079	\$1,258	\$910	\$1,110	\$958	\$1,084
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	70%	78%	67%	65%	60%	70%	72%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	8,832	1126	776	342	704	2,198	3,686
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	6,881	766	577	280	562	1,757	2,939
Participants in a Work Activity	6,562	763	506	267	545	1,673	2,808
% of Total Enrolled	95%	100%	88%	95%	97%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	4,691	507	356	202	370	1,192	2,064
% of Total Enrolled	68%	66%	62%	72%	66%	68%	70%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.95	\$6.86	\$7.43	\$7.19	\$6.93	\$6.80	\$6.95
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$938	\$953	\$993	\$871	\$945	\$912	\$946
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	66%	59%	58%	58%	65%	63%	70%

## EDD17 - Tidewater Region: Status through August 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/11 and Work Activity as of 8/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	6,240	135	131	1,061	116	2,198	1,175	372	1,052
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,523	40	62	465	23	965	465	115	388
Participants in a Work Activity	1,938	29	38	388	8	755	355	87	278
% of Enrolled	77%	73%	61%	83%	35%	78%	76%	76%	72%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	24	0	3	0	0	2	10	2	7
Participants Employed	1,300	24	16	269	7	508	204	73	199
% of Enrolled	52%	60%	26%	58%	30%	53%	44%	63%	51%
Full Time	1,074	19	10	229	4	445	147	63	157
Part Time	226	5	6	40	3	63	57	10	42
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.97	\$7.79	\$7.76	\$8.05	\$8.07	\$7.92	\$8.02	\$7.70	\$8.10
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,044	\$1,069	\$933	\$1,096	\$940	\$1,017	\$1,045	\$1,033	\$1,058

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – August 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	1,938	29	38	388	8	755	355	87	278
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	3,024	46	74	580	23	1,107	567	151	476
Participants in a Work Activity	2,555	38	64	513	9	925	465	139	402
% of Total Enrolled	84%	83%	86%	88%	39%	84%	82%	92%	84%
Participants Employed	1,554	28	23	328	7	570	254	92	252
% of Total Enrolled	51%	61%	31%	57%	30%	51%	45%	61%	53%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.00	\$8.08	\$8.23	\$8.07	\$8.07	\$7.90	\$8.00	\$7.76	\$8.19
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,024	\$1,126	\$944	\$1,063	\$934	\$995	\$1,038	\$1,009	\$1,028
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	74%	64%	63%	82%	40%	75%	72%	65%	73%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	49,354	1119	953	7,917	778	15,914	7,967	3,139	11,567
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	35,973	800	759	5,770	600	11,473	6,285	2,440	7,846
Participants in a Work Activity	34,070	767	727	5,498	589	10,864	5,924	2,415	7,286
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	96%	95%	98%	95%	94%	99%	93%
Participants Employed	25,310	556	436	4,218	397	8,095	4,548	1,814	5,246
% of Total Enrolled	70%	70%	57%	73%	66%	71%	72%	74%	67%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.81	\$6.85	\$6.57	\$6.98	\$6.42	\$6.70	\$6.70	\$6.65	\$7.12
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$893	\$909	\$823	\$924	\$803	\$858	\$899	\$867	\$947
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	68%	60%	54%	65%	64%	67%	70%	68%	70%

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date

*This section lists the relative state fiscal year to date rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Wage, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	31.5%	3.15	112	\$7.94	2.20	72	53.6%	2.52	107	2.62	113
003	Albemarle	59.3%	5.93	24	\$8.51	3.56	25	76.3%	6.18	28	5.22	12
005	Alleghany/Covington	53.4%	5.34	44	\$8.02	2.39	57	51.2%	2.14	111	3.29	102
007	Amelia	48.5%	4.85	64	\$7.84	1.96	87	65.4%	4.42	78	3.74	88
009	Amherst	52.8%	5.28	47	\$7.86	2.00	83	55.2%	2.78	105	3.35	100
011	Appomattox	55.8%	5.58	34	\$7.85	1.98	86	75.0%	5.97	33	4.51	42
013	Arlington	45.8%	4.58	70	\$11.21	10.00	1	72.2%	5.52	45	6.70	3
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	61.1%	6.11	18	\$7.95	2.22	71	71.4%	5.39	52	4.57	37
017	Bath	66.7%	6.67	7	\$7.63	1.46	106	100.0%	10.00	1	6.04	4
019	Bedford County/City	50.8%	5.08	55	\$7.98	2.29	66	56.6%	3.00	103	3.46	98
021	Bland	40.0%	4.00	96	\$8.00	2.34	59	80.0%	6.78	16	4.37	53
023	Botetourt	34.8%	3.48	108	\$8.03	2.41	55	85.7%	7.70	5	4.53	40
025	Brunswick	40.3%	4.03	95	\$7.34	0.76	116	66.7%	4.63	68	3.14	107
027	Buchanan	45.5%	4.55	71	\$7.50	1.15	112	57.1%	3.09	99	2.93	110
029	Buckingham	53.5%	5.35	43	\$7.98	2.29	67	79.0%	6.61	19	4.75	30
031	Campbell	44.6%	4.46	74	\$8.06	2.48	51	65.5%	4.44	76	3.80	85
033	Caroline	29.5%	2.95	115	\$8.22	2.86	38	83.3%	7.31	9	4.38	52
035	Carroll	57.1%	5.71	32	\$7.72	1.67	100	60.4%	3.62	94	3.67	90
036	Charles City	61.5%	6.15	16	\$8.03	2.41	56	63.6%	4.14	83	4.24	64
037	Charlotte	28.6%	2.86	116	\$7.70	1.62	101	54.2%	2.62	106	2.37	116
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	47.9%	4.79	67	\$8.40	3.29	31	78.2%	6.49	21	4.86	24
043	Clarke	55.6%	5.56	35	\$9.10	4.96	8	57.1%	3.09	100	4.54	39
045	Craig	20.0%	2.00	119	\$7.25	0.55	118	100.0%	10.00	2	4.18	67
047	Culpeper	44.7%	4.47	73	\$8.24	2.91	36	68.9%	4.99	62	4.12	69
049	Cumberland	40.0%	4.00	97	\$8.52	3.58	24	69.2%	5.04	60	4.21	66
051	Dickensen	52.7%	5.27	48	\$7.79	1.84	94	76.7%	6.24	27	4.45	45
053	Dinwiddie	59.4%	5.94	23	\$7.73	1.69	99	77.8%	6.42	22	4.68	31
057	Essex	55.6%	5.56	36	\$8.19	2.79	39	75.7%	6.08	31	4.81	26
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	51.5%	5.15	52	\$9.77	6.56	5	70.4%	5.24	53	5.65	8
061	Fauquier	57.4%	5.74	31	\$8.67	3.94	18	61.9%	3.86	90	4.51	41
063	Floyd	33.3%	3.33	109	\$7.93	2.17	74	43.8%	0.94	117	2.15	118
065	Fluvanna	39.1%	3.91	100	\$8.81	4.27	13	66.7%	4.63	69	4.27	60
067	Franklin County	39.9%	3.99	98	\$8.96	4.63	9	61.2%	3.75	93	4.12	68
069	Frederick	41.2%	4.12	93	\$8.45	3.41	28	76.9%	6.28	25	4.60	34
071	Giles	50.0%	5.00	57	\$7.83	1.93	90	61.5%	3.80	91	3.58	93
073	Gloucester	54.3%	5.43	40	\$7.90	2.10	76	56.8%	3.03	102	3.52	96
075	Goochland	57.6%	5.76	28	\$8.17	2.74	43	61.5%	3.80	92	4.10	70
077	Grayson	68.2%	6.82	6	\$7.49	1.12	113	55.6%	2.84	104	3.59	92

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	41.9%	4.19	91	\$8.55	3.65	22	77.8%	6.42	23	4.76	28
081	Greensville/Emporia	26.2%	2.62	118	\$7.43	0.98	115	64.7%	4.31	81	2.64	112
083	Halifax	43.3%	4.33	85	\$8.04	2.43	54	57.1%	3.09	101	3.29	103
085	Hanover	55.3%	5.53	38	\$8.68	3.96	17	69.4%	5.06	58	4.85	25
087	Henrico	44.4%	4.44	79	\$8.49	3.51	26	79.8%	6.75	18	4.90	21
089	Henry/Martinsville	42.5%	4.25	88	\$7.88	2.05	78	62.2%	3.91	89	3.40	99
091	Highland	100.0%	10.00	1	\$8.00	2.34	60	100.0%	10.00	3	7.45	1
093	Isle of Wight	60.9%	6.09	20	\$8.08	2.53	46	63.9%	4.18	82	4.27	61
095	James City	63.2%	6.32	12	\$8.53	3.60	23	69.6%	5.10	56	5.01	19
097	King and Queen	33.3%	3.33	110	\$7.88	2.05	79	50.0%	1.94	113	2.44	115
099	King George	44.4%	4.44	76	\$8.76	4.15	16	50.0%	1.94	114	3.51	97
101	King William	59.3%	5.93	25	\$7.60	1.38	109	75.0%	5.97	34	4.43	47
103	Lancaster	64.7%	6.47	9	\$7.91	2.12	75	83.3%	7.31	10	5.30	10
105	Lee	60.0%	6.00	21	\$7.58	1.34	110	72.9%	5.64	43	4.33	57
107	Loudoun	48.3%	4.83	65	\$9.95	6.99	2	71.7%	5.44	49	5.75	6
109	Louisa	47.5%	4.75	68	\$8.79	4.22	15	75.6%	6.06	32	5.01	18
111	Lunenburg	43.5%	4.35	84	\$7.65	1.50	104	82.4%	7.16	12	4.34	55
113	Madison	44.4%	4.44	77	\$7.69	1.60	102	85.7%	7.70	6	4.58	36
115	Mathews	72.7%	7.27	4	\$8.08	2.53	47	46.2%	1.32	116	3.71	89
117	Mecklenburg	50.0%	5.00	58	\$7.52	1.19	111	83.3%	7.31	11	4.50	43
119	Middlesex	33.3%	3.33	111	\$8.67	3.94	19	75.0%	5.97	35	4.41	48
121	Montgomery	70.4%	7.04	5	\$7.82	1.91	91	67.0%	4.69	67	4.54	38
125	Nelson	44.4%	4.44	78	\$8.00	2.34	61	70.0%	5.17	54	3.98	75
127	New Kent	50.0%	5.00	59	\$8.58	3.72	20	75.0%	5.97	36	4.90	22
131	Northampton	55.6%	5.56	37	\$8.18	2.77	41	82.1%	7.11	14	5.14	13
133	Northumberland	36.4%	3.64	104	\$7.79	1.84	95	63.6%	4.14	84	3.21	106
135	Nottoway	57.6%	5.76	29	\$7.96	2.24	69	82.2%	7.14	13	5.05	17
137	Orange	54.5%	5.45	39	\$8.87	4.42	12	72.0%	5.49	47	5.12	14
139	Page	61.9%	6.19	14	\$7.88	2.05	80	53.3%	2.48	108	3.57	94
141	Patrick	50.6%	5.06	56	\$7.64	1.48	105	73.1%	5.66	41	4.07	73
143	Pittsylvania	43.5%	4.35	83	\$8.25	2.94	35	65.8%	4.49	75	3.93	81
145	Powhatan	44.0%	4.40	81	\$9.90	6.87	3	66.7%	4.63	70	5.30	11
147	Prince Edward	44.0%	4.40	82	\$7.94	2.20	73	77.3%	6.34	24	4.31	58
149	Prince George	38.1%	3.81	101	\$8.81	4.27	14	66.7%	4.63	71	4.24	63
153	Prince William	51.7%	5.17	51	\$9.13	5.04	7	75.0%	5.96	37	5.39	9
155	Pulaski	60.0%	6.00	22	\$7.63	1.46	107	59.7%	3.50	96	3.65	91
157	Rappahannock	0.0%	0.00	120	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.00	120
159	Richmond County	66.7%	6.67	8	\$7.34	0.76	117	50.0%	1.94	115	3.12	108
161	Roanoke County	61.7%	6.17	15	\$8.29	3.03	33	62.7%	3.99	87	4.40	50
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	52.4%	5.24	49	\$7.81	1.89	92	73.0%	5.65	42	4.26	62
165	Rockingham/H'burg	64.2%	6.42	10	\$8.39	3.27	32	67.1%	4.70	66	4.80	27
167	Russell	50.0%	5.00	60	\$7.49	1.12	114	73.3%	5.70	39	3.94	80
169	Scott	54.0%	5.40	41	\$7.62	1.43	108	84.1%	7.43	7	4.75	29
171	Shenandoah	36.0%	3.60	105	\$8.49	3.51	27	63.6%	4.14	85	3.75	87

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	50.0%	5.00	<b>61</b>	\$7.86	2.00	<b>84</b>	68.6%	4.94	<b>64</b>	3.98	<b>76</b>
175	<i>Southampton</i>	31.1%	3.11	<b>113</b>	\$8.23	2.89	<b>37</b>	63.0%	4.03	<b>86</b>	3.34	<b>101</b>
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	42.4%	4.24	<b>89</b>	\$8.07	2.51	<b>48</b>	78.2%	6.49	<b>20</b>	4.41	<b>49</b>
179	<i>Stafford</i>	41.1%	4.11	<b>94</b>	\$8.96	4.63	<b>10</b>	89.3%	8.27	<b>4</b>	5.67	<b>7</b>
181	<i>Surry</i>	61.5%	6.15	<b>17</b>	\$8.44	3.39	<b>30</b>	65.2%	4.40	<b>79</b>	4.65	<b>33</b>
183	<i>Sussex</i>	37.0%	3.70	<b>103</b>	\$8.02	2.39	<b>58</b>	60.0%	3.56	<b>95</b>	3.21	<b>105</b>
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	57.6%	5.76	<b>30</b>	\$7.87	2.03	<b>82</b>	76.2%	6.16	<b>29</b>	4.65	<b>32</b>
187	<i>Warren</i>	48.7%	4.87	<b>63</b>	\$8.29	3.03	<b>34</b>	69.6%	5.10	<b>57</b>	4.33	<b>56</b>
191	<i>Washington</i>	58.2%	5.82	<b>27</b>	\$7.96	2.24	<b>70</b>	59.7%	3.50	<b>97</b>	3.86	<b>83</b>
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	45.8%	4.58	<b>69</b>	\$7.98	2.29	<b>68</b>	37.9%	0.00	<b>119</b>	2.29	<b>117</b>
195	<i>Wise</i>	53.6%	5.36	<b>42</b>	\$7.80	1.86	<b>93</b>	71.6%	5.42	<b>51</b>	4.21	<b>65</b>
197	<i>Wythe</i>	63.6%	6.36	<b>11</b>	\$7.88	2.05	<b>81</b>	59.2%	3.42	<b>98</b>	3.95	<b>79</b>
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	43.1%	4.31	<b>86</b>	\$7.99	2.32	<b>63</b>	68.6%	4.95	<b>63</b>	3.86	<b>82</b>
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	39.6%	3.96	<b>99</b>	\$9.78	6.59	<b>4</b>	83.6%	7.35	<b>8</b>	5.97	<b>5</b>
520	<i>Bristol</i>	42.9%	4.29	<b>87</b>	\$7.69	1.60	<b>103</b>	53.1%	2.44	<b>109</b>	2.78	<b>111</b>
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	51.2%	5.12	<b>54</b>	\$8.56	3.68	<b>21</b>	67.2%	4.71	<b>65</b>	4.50	<b>44</b>
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	56.6%	5.66	<b>33</b>	\$8.07	2.51	<b>49</b>	82.0%	7.10	<b>15</b>	5.09	<b>16</b>
590	<i>Danville</i>	35.9%	3.59	<b>106</b>	\$7.77	1.79	<b>97</b>	51.1%	2.12	<b>112</b>	2.50	<b>114</b>
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	30.4%	3.04	<b>114</b>	\$8.07	2.51	<b>50</b>	40.0%	0.33	<b>118</b>	1.96	<b>119</b>
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	52.9%	5.29	<b>45</b>	\$8.93	4.56	<b>11</b>	69.3%	5.06	<b>59</b>	4.97	<b>20</b>
640	<i>Galax</i>	52.3%	5.23	<b>50</b>	\$8.15	2.70	<b>44</b>	62.5%	3.96	<b>88</b>	3.96	<b>77</b>
650	<i>Hampton</i>	44.6%	4.46	<b>75</b>	\$8.06	2.48	<b>52</b>	75.9%	6.12	<b>30</b>	4.35	<b>54</b>
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	27.1%	2.71	<b>117</b>	\$7.84	1.96	<b>88</b>	70.0%	5.17	<b>55</b>	3.28	<b>104</b>
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	42.4%	4.24	<b>90</b>	\$7.78	1.81	<b>96</b>	66.0%	4.52	<b>74</b>	3.52	<b>95</b>
683	<i>Manassas</i>	35.4%	3.54	<b>107</b>	\$9.66	6.30	<b>6</b>	71.8%	5.46	<b>48</b>	5.10	<b>15</b>
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	100.0%	10.00	<b>2</b>	\$8.45	3.41	<b>29</b>	80.0%	6.78	<b>17</b>	6.73	<b>2</b>
700	<i>Newport News</i>	49.5%	4.95	<b>62</b>	\$8.18	2.77	<b>42</b>	72.5%	5.57	<b>44</b>	4.43	<b>46</b>
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	51.5%	5.15	<b>53</b>	\$7.90	2.10	<b>77</b>	74.6%	5.90	<b>38</b>	4.38	<b>51</b>
720	<i>Norton</i>	75.0%	7.50	<b>3</b>	\$7.02	0.00	<b>119</b>	65.0%	4.36	<b>80</b>	3.95	<b>78</b>
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	41.8%	4.18	<b>92</b>	\$7.99	2.32	<b>64</b>	72.2%	5.52	<b>46</b>	4.00	<b>74</b>
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	44.8%	4.48	<b>72</b>	\$8.00	2.34	<b>62</b>	71.6%	5.43	<b>50</b>	4.08	<b>72</b>
750	<i>Radford</i>	62.2%	6.22	<b>13</b>	\$7.86	2.00	<b>85</b>	66.7%	4.63	<b>72</b>	4.28	<b>59</b>
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	38.0%	3.80	<b>102</b>	\$8.15	2.70	<b>45</b>	69.1%	5.02	<b>61</b>	3.84	<b>84</b>
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	44.1%	4.41	<b>80</b>	\$7.99	2.32	<b>65</b>	66.5%	4.60	<b>73</b>	3.77	<b>86</b>
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	60.9%	6.09	<b>19</b>	\$7.76	1.77	<b>98</b>	65.4%	4.43	<b>77</b>	4.10	<b>71</b>
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	52.9%	5.29	<b>46</b>	\$8.19	2.79	<b>40</b>	73.2%	5.68	<b>40</b>	4.59	<b>35</b>
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	59.3%	5.93	<b>26</b>	\$8.06	2.48	<b>53</b>	76.9%	6.28	<b>26</b>	4.90	<b>23</b>
840	<i>Winchester</i>	48.1%	4.81	<b>66</b>	\$7.84	1.96	<b>89</b>	52.9%	2.42	<b>110</b>	3.06	<b>109</b>

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores is done to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception of VIEW

*This section lists the relative cumulative rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Monthly Earnings, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	52.0%	2.12	116	\$843	2.96	93	65.2%	5.90	48	3.66	109
003	Albemarle	72.6%	7.65	15	\$1,000	6.16	18	57.4%	4.12	102	5.97	30
005	Alleghany/Covington	71.0%	7.21	22	\$830	2.70	104	58.4%	4.35	96	4.75	79
007	Amelia	60.0%	4.26	97	\$959	5.33	32	63.2%	5.43	65	5.01	70
009	Amherst	67.1%	6.16	51	\$857	3.24	89	60.2%	4.75	85	4.72	82
011	Appomattox	70.2%	7.01	25	\$860	3.31	87	55.7%	3.73	108	4.68	84
013	Arlington	72.7%	7.67	14	\$1,061	7.39	9	70.0%	6.99	16	7.35	6
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	67.4%	6.25	48	\$950	5.13	40	66.8%	6.25	41	5.87	31
017	Bath	65.2%	5.66	69	\$698	0.00	120	62.1%	5.18	72	3.61	110
019	Bedford County/City	65.3%	5.67	67	\$850	3.10	90	60.9%	4.93	79	4.57	90
021	Bland	61.0%	4.52	93	\$836	2.81	97	56.6%	3.95	106	3.76	106
023	Botetourt	44.1%	0.00	120	\$931	4.74	50	56.3%	3.89	107	2.88	118
025	Brunswick	56.5%	3.32	110	\$817	2.42	109	67.1%	6.32	38	4.02	103
027	Buchanan	52.8%	2.34	114	\$832	2.74	101	63.4%	5.49	62	3.52	113
029	Buckingham	56.7%	3.38	109	\$940	4.93	47	62.4%	5.25	69	4.52	93
031	Campbell	68.4%	6.53	39	\$891	3.93	74	64.3%	5.69	53	5.38	55
033	Caroline	60.8%	4.47	94	\$956	5.27	34	56.8%	3.99	105	4.57	88
035	Carroll	67.1%	6.15	52	\$821	2.51	108	54.9%	3.56	110	4.07	101
036	Charles City	55.8%	3.12	112	\$926	4.65	53	54.0%	3.36	114	3.71	107
037	Charlotte	50.5%	1.72	118	\$926	4.64	54	58.5%	4.36	95	3.58	111
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	64.3%	5.42	74	\$1,015	6.46	16	69.9%	6.96	17	6.28	21
043	Clarke	61.7%	4.73	89	\$981	5.76	26	64.1%	5.64	57	5.37	56
045	Craig	51.3%	1.92	117	\$1,028	6.72	12	63.2%	5.43	66	4.69	83
047	Culpeper	65.3%	5.69	66	\$954	5.22	37	66.7%	6.23	42	5.71	38
049	Cumberland	56.9%	3.42	107	\$949	5.11	41	58.2%	4.31	99	4.28	96
051	Dickenson	60.0%	4.27	96	\$822	2.53	107	55.6%	3.72	109	3.51	114
053	Dinwiddie	66.2%	5.92	58	\$953	5.19	38	58.9%	4.46	89	5.19	64
057	Essex	69.1%	6.71	35	\$862	3.34	85	49.0%	2.22	117	4.09	100
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	67.9%	6.37	43	\$1,139	8.99	3	69.4%	6.84	23	7.40	5
061	Fauquier	68.3%	6.49	41	\$1,014	6.44	17	69.3%	6.82	25	6.58	13
063	Floyd	67.6%	6.30	46	\$922	4.56	57	56.9%	4.02	104	4.96	73
065	Fluvanna	68.4%	6.51	40	\$1,063	7.43	8	58.7%	4.41	91	6.12	26
067	Franklin County	62.8%	5.01	83	\$947	5.08	43	58.4%	4.35	97	4.82	78
069	Frederick	57.6%	3.62	104	\$997	6.09	21	61.9%	5.14	73	4.95	75
071	Giles	59.3%	4.06	99	\$952	5.18	39	54.4%	3.44	111	4.23	97
073	Gloucester	66.1%	5.90	60	\$841	2.93	94	60.0%	4.72	86	4.51	94
075	Goochland	67.2%	6.19	49	\$891	3.94	72	63.0%	5.39	67	5.17	65
077	Grayson	66.6%	6.03	55	\$841	2.92	95	61.0%	4.94	78	4.63	86

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	75.5%	8.41	6	\$916	4.44	61	57.1%	4.07	103	5.64	40
081	Greensville/Emporia	56.8%	3.40	108	\$809	2.27	111	64.2%	5.67	55	3.78	105
083	Halifax	57.0%	3.46	106	\$835	2.80	99	58.6%	4.39	94	3.55	112
085	Hanover	64.9%	5.58	70	\$1,048	7.12	10	67.3%	6.37	36	6.36	19
087	Henrico	66.8%	6.08	54	\$1,018	6.52	15	66.0%	6.08	44	6.22	23
089	Henry/Martinsville	63.4%	5.17	81	\$934	4.81	48	64.3%	5.69	52	5.22	63
091	Highland	75.0%	8.28	7	\$784	1.75	116	83.3%	10.00	1	6.68	10
093	Isle of Wight	69.5%	6.81	34	\$909	4.30	64	60.3%	4.79	84	5.30	61
095	James City	69.9%	6.91	31	\$902	4.17	67	61.4%	5.04	75	5.37	57
097	King and Queen	52.6%	2.28	115	\$867	3.45	81	46.3%	1.60	118	2.44	119
099	King George	62.5%	4.94	86	\$917	4.47	60	60.3%	4.79	83	4.73	80
101	King William	60.2%	4.30	95	\$961	5.36	30	61.0%	4.95	77	4.87	76
103	Lancaster	65.9%	5.84	62	\$840	2.89	96	53.9%	3.33	115	4.02	102
105	Lee	65.2%	5.66	68	\$780	1.67	117	72.4%	7.52	8	4.95	74
107	Loudoun	77.5%	8.94	3	\$1,181	9.84	2	71.6%	7.33	11	8.71	1
109	Louisa	61.2%	4.58	92	\$977	5.69	27	64.3%	5.69	54	5.32	60
111	Lunenburg	47.4%	0.88	119	\$744	0.95	118	60.4%	4.81	82	2.22	120
113	Madison	63.8%	5.27	78	\$990	5.96	23	62.8%	5.34	68	5.52	47
115	Mathews	65.9%	5.84	61	\$891	3.94	73	67.6%	6.43	33	5.40	51
117	Mecklenburg	66.2%	5.93	57	\$835	2.80	98	69.0%	6.76	27	5.17	66
119	Middlesex	65.5%	5.74	65	\$902	4.16	68	68.9%	6.74	28	5.54	44
121	Montgomery	79.3%	9.43	2	\$928	4.69	52	65.1%	5.86	50	6.66	11
125	Nelson	63.6%	5.22	80	\$1,000	6.15	19	60.9%	4.92	80	5.43	49
127	New Kent	59.4%	4.11	98	\$1,021	6.58	14	73.3%	7.73	7	6.14	25
131	Northampton	64.0%	5.33	76	\$834	2.78	100	79.7%	9.17	2	5.76	35
133	Northumberland	57.8%	3.67	103	\$850	3.09	91	53.1%	3.16	116	3.31	115
135	Nottoway	67.7%	6.34	45	\$892	3.96	71	69.4%	6.84	22	5.71	37
137	Orange	72.4%	7.58	17	\$963	5.40	28	59.0%	4.48	88	5.82	33
139	Page	70.2%	7.00	27	\$986	5.87	25	59.5%	4.60	87	5.82	32
141	Patrick	67.1%	6.17	50	\$816	2.41	110	74.7%	8.05	6	5.54	45
143	Pittsylvania	54.6%	2.82	113	\$862	3.34	86	60.6%	4.85	81	3.67	108
145	Powhatan	56.2%	3.25	111	\$946	5.06	44	64.0%	5.62	58	4.64	85
147	Prince Edward	69.7%	6.87	32	\$875	3.62	79	69.6%	6.89	20	5.79	34
149	Prince George	61.7%	4.72	90	\$993	6.02	22	57.8%	4.22	101	4.98	72
153	Prince William	72.8%	7.69	13	\$1,189	10.00	1	71.0%	7.22	13	8.30	2
155	Pulaski	64.7%	5.51	73	\$933	4.79	49	54.2%	3.39	112	4.57	91
157	Rappahannock	62.9%	5.04	82	\$954	5.22	36	44.7%	1.26	119	3.84	104
159	Richmond County	62.1%	4.82	87	\$929	4.70	51	39.2%	0.00	120	3.17	116
161	Roanoke County	73.9%	7.98	10	\$1,033	6.83	11	58.7%	4.42	90	6.41	17
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	72.4%	7.58	16	\$862	3.35	84	66.1%	6.10	43	5.68	39
165	Rockingham/H'burg	73.3%	7.83	11	\$960	5.34	31	67.5%	6.42	34	6.53	15
167	Russell	61.9%	4.78	88	\$847	3.04	92	67.2%	6.36	37	4.73	81
169	Scott	58.5%	3.87	102	\$877	3.66	78	76.9%	8.53	5	5.35	59
171	Shenandoah	61.6%	4.68	91	\$918	4.48	59	69.8%	6.93	18	5.36	58

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	59.1%	4.03	<b>100</b>	\$831	2.71	<b>103</b>	64.1%	5.65	<b>56</b>	4.13	<b>99</b>
175	<i>Southampton</i>	57.4%	3.57	<b>105</b>	\$823	2.55	<b>106</b>	54.1%	3.37	<b>113</b>	3.17	<b>117</b>
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	68.7%	6.60	<b>37</b>	\$1,026	6.69	<b>13</b>	68.1%	6.54	<b>32</b>	6.61	<b>12</b>
179	<i>Stafford</i>	59.1%	4.01	<b>101</b>	\$1,111	8.41	<b>4</b>	68.1%	6.56	<b>30</b>	6.33	<b>20</b>
181	<i>Surry</i>	72.1%	7.52	<b>19</b>	\$871	3.52	<b>80</b>	57.8%	4.23	<b>100</b>	5.09	<b>68</b>
183	<i>Sussex</i>	65.8%	5.83	<b>63</b>	\$945	5.04	<b>46</b>	64.6%	5.76	<b>51</b>	5.54	<b>46</b>
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	67.4%	6.25	<b>47</b>	\$803	2.14	<b>114</b>	66.0%	6.06	<b>45</b>	4.82	<b>77</b>
187	<i>Warren</i>	69.0%	6.67	<b>36</b>	\$999	6.14	<b>20</b>	69.3%	6.82	<b>26</b>	6.54	<b>14</b>
191	<i>Washington</i>	64.8%	5.54	<b>72</b>	\$886	3.84	<b>76</b>	58.3%	4.34	<b>98</b>	4.57	<b>89</b>
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	63.8%	5.29	<b>77</b>	\$914	4.41	<b>62</b>	63.8%	5.57	<b>59</b>	5.09	<b>67</b>
195	<i>Wise</i>	63.7%	5.26	<b>79</b>	\$808	2.24	<b>112</b>	67.1%	6.32	<b>39</b>	4.61	<b>87</b>
197	<i>Wythe</i>	66.5%	6.01	<b>56</b>	\$831	2.72	<b>102</b>	66.8%	6.27	<b>40</b>	5.00	<b>71</b>
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	62.7%	4.99	<b>85</b>	\$890	3.93	<b>75</b>	58.6%	4.39	<b>93</b>	4.43	<b>95</b>
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	70.2%	7.00	<b>28</b>	\$1,064	7.45	<b>7</b>	77.0%	8.56	<b>4</b>	7.67	<b>4</b>
520	<i>Bristol</i>	68.6%	6.56	<b>38</b>	\$864	3.39	<b>83</b>	62.4%	5.25	<b>71</b>	5.06	<b>69</b>
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	81.4%	10.00	<b>1</b>	\$920	4.53	<b>58</b>	65.4%	5.94	<b>47</b>	6.82	<b>8</b>
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	73.1%	7.77	<b>12</b>	\$924	4.60	<b>55</b>	65.1%	5.87	<b>49</b>	6.08	<b>27</b>
590	<i>Danville</i>	64.8%	5.55	<b>71</b>	\$797	2.03	<b>115</b>	61.7%	5.09	<b>74</b>	4.22	<b>98</b>
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	66.2%	5.91	<b>59</b>	\$803	2.14	<b>113</b>	63.7%	5.54	<b>60</b>	4.53	<b>92</b>
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	75.6%	8.45	<b>5</b>	\$988	5.90	<b>24</b>	65.9%	6.06	<b>46</b>	6.80	<b>9</b>
640	<i>Galax</i>	62.7%	4.99	<b>84</b>	\$884	3.80	<b>77</b>	70.0%	6.99	<b>15</b>	5.26	<b>62</b>
650	<i>Hampton</i>	69.9%	6.92	<b>30</b>	\$907	4.26	<b>66</b>	63.4%	5.48	<b>63</b>	5.55	<b>43</b>
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	67.8%	6.36	<b>44</b>	\$912	4.36	<b>63</b>	63.2%	5.44	<b>64</b>	5.39	<b>52</b>
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	69.7%	6.85	<b>33</b>	\$824	2.58	<b>105</b>	68.9%	6.72	<b>29</b>	5.38	<b>53</b>
683	<i>Manassas</i>	64.3%	5.41	<b>75</b>	\$1,094	8.06	<b>5</b>	71.4%	7.30	<b>12</b>	6.93	<b>7</b>
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	73.9%	7.99	<b>9</b>	\$1,075	7.67	<b>6</b>	78.1%	8.81	<b>3</b>	8.16	<b>3</b>
700	<i>Newport News</i>	71.6%	7.37	<b>21</b>	\$922	4.57	<b>56</b>	69.4%	6.84	<b>24</b>	6.26	<b>22</b>
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	70.6%	7.09	<b>24</b>	\$858	3.27	<b>88</b>	67.4%	6.40	<b>35</b>	5.59	<b>41</b>
720	<i>Norton</i>	75.7%	8.48	<b>4</b>	\$739	0.84	<b>119</b>	71.8%	7.39	<b>9</b>	5.57	<b>42</b>
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	70.2%	7.00	<b>26</b>	\$946	5.05	<b>45</b>	70.2%	7.04	<b>14</b>	6.36	<b>18</b>
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	72.4%	7.58	<b>18</b>	\$899	4.09	<b>70</b>	69.6%	6.90	<b>19</b>	6.19	<b>24</b>
750	<i>Radford</i>	70.8%	7.15	<b>23</b>	\$901	4.15	<b>69</b>	61.0%	4.95	<b>76</b>	5.42	<b>50</b>
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	70.1%	6.96	<b>29</b>	\$955	5.23	<b>35</b>	71.8%	7.39	<b>10</b>	6.53	<b>16</b>
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	65.6%	5.75	<b>64</b>	\$962	5.38	<b>29</b>	62.4%	5.25	<b>70</b>	5.46	<b>48</b>
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	74.3%	8.11	<b>8</b>	\$867	3.44	<b>82</b>	68.1%	6.55	<b>31</b>	6.03	<b>28</b>
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	66.9%	6.10	<b>53</b>	\$947	5.08	<b>42</b>	69.5%	6.87	<b>21</b>	6.02	<b>29</b>
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	71.9%	7.46	<b>20</b>	\$907	4.26	<b>65</b>	63.6%	5.53	<b>61</b>	5.75	<b>36</b>
840	<i>Winchester</i>	68.2%	6.46	<b>42</b>	\$958	5.29	<b>33</b>	58.6%	4.40	<b>92</b>	5.38	<b>54</b>

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores was begun in May 1999 to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

## State Summary July 1, 2011 – August 31, 2011

	State	ED 1	ED 2	ED 3	ED 4	ED 5	ED 6	ED 7	ED 8	ED 9	ED 10	ED 11	ED 12	ED 13	ED 14	ED 15	ED 16	ED 17	ED 18
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>																			
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	2,164	100	82	197	83	42	184	18	64	104	90	41	292	87	28	281	64	393	14
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	94%	97%	98%	98%	96%	62%	93%	89%	100%	99%	97%	98%	96%	72%	93%	96%	88%	92%	100%
% Timely in August 2011	94%	97%	98%	98%	96%	62%	93%	89%	100%	99%	97%	98%	96%	72%	93%	96%	88%	92%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>																			
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>																			
# Over 30 Days	93	0	1	2	3	16	11	0	0	0	0	0	13	21	1	12	6	7	0
Average # of Days Over 30	30	0	14	4	27	44	24	0	0	0	0	0	47	22	3	14	56	28	0

## EDD1 – Southwest Region: July 1, 2011 - August 31, 2011

	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	100	4	5	21	13	10	18	29	0
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	94%	93%	N/A
% Timely in August 2011	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	94%	93%	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>									
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD2 - Bristol-Galax Region: July 1, 2011 - August 31, 2011

	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	82	1	5	3	13	13	8	32	7
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	98%	0%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	86%
% Timely in August 2011	98%	0%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	86%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>									
# Over 30 Days	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	14	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD3 - Roanoke Region: July 1, 2011 - August 31, 2011

	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covington	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>												
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	197	11	3	1	6	16	1	3	18	25	5	108
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	80%	97%
% Timely in August 2011	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	80%	97%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>												
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>												
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Average # of Days Over 30	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4

## EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: July 1, 2011 - August 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD4</i>	<i>Aug/S'ton /W'boro</i>	<i>Bath</i>	<i>Highland</i>	<i>Rockbridge/ BV/Lex</i>	<i>Rockingham/ HBurg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	83	54	0	0	7	22
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	96%	100%	N/A	N/A	57%	100%
% Timely in August 2011	96%	100%	N/A	N/A	57%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	3	0	1	0	2	0
Average # of Days Over 30	27	0	68	0	6	0

## EDD5 & EDD18 - Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: July 1, 2011 - August 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD5</i>	<i>Clarke</i>	<i>Frederick</i>	<i>Page</i>	<i>Shen.</i>	<i>Warren</i>	<i>Winchester</i>	<i>Total EDD18</i>	<i>Accomack</i>	<i>Nhampton</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	42	0	15	0	7	10	10	14	14	0
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	62%	N/A	13%	N/A	100%	100%	70%	100%	100%	N/A
% Timely in August 2011	62%	N/A	13%	N/A	100%	100%	70%	100%	100%	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>										
# Over 30 Days	16	0	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	44	0	44	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: July 1, 2011 - August 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD6</i>	<i>Arlington</i>	<i>Fairfax</i>	<i>Loudoun</i>	<i>Prince William</i>	<i>Alexandria</i>	<i>Manassas</i>	<i>Manassas Park</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>								
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	184	24	79	20	13	36	12	0
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	93%	100%	96%	95%	38%	100%	100%	N/A
% Timely in August 2011	93%	100%	96%	95%	38%	100%	100%	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>								
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>								
# Over 30 Days	11	0	0	2	9	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	24	0	0	24	24	0	0	0

## EDD7 - Culpeper Region: July 1, 2011 - August 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD7</i>	<i>Culpeper</i>	<i>Fauquier</i>	<i>Madison</i>	<i>Orange</i>	<i>Rapp.</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	18	14	4	0	0	0
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	89%	86%	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in August 2011	89%	86%	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: July 1, 2011 - August 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD8</i>	<i>Albemarle</i>	<i>Fluvanna</i>	<i>Greene</i>	<i>Louisa</i>	<i>Nelson</i>	<i>Cville</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	64	16	4	6	13	0	25
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%
% Timely in August 2011	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: July 1, 2011 - August 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD9</i>	<i>Amherst</i>	<i>Appom.</i>	<i>Bedford</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Lynchburg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	104	7	4	14	27	52
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	98%
% Timely in August 2011	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	98%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD10 - Danville Region: July 1, 2011 - August 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD10</i>	<i>Halifax</i>	<i>Henry/ Martinsville</i>	<i>Patrick</i>	<i>Pittsylvania</i>	<i>Danville</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	90	23	29	0	3	35
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	97%	96%	93%	N/A	100%	100%
% Timely in August 2011	97%	96%	93%	N/A	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD11 – Southside Region: July 1, 2011 - August 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD11</i>	<i>Amelia</i>	<i>Brunswick</i>	<i>Buckingham</i>	<i>Charlotte</i>	<i>Cumberland</i>	<i>Gr'nsville Emporia</i>	<i>Lunenburg</i>	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	<i>Nottoway</i>	<i>Pr. Edward</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>											
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	41	2	11	6	9	2	11	0	0	0	0
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	91%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in August 2011	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	91%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>											
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>											
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD12 - Richmond Region: July 1, 2011 - August 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD12</i>	<i>Chesterfield/ Col Hgts</i>	<i>Goochland</i>	<i>Hanover</i>	<i>Henrico</i>	<i>Powhatan</i>	<i>Richmond City</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	292	53	3	7	92	0	137
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	96%	94%	100%	100%	90%	N/A	99%
% Timely in August 2011	96%	94%	100%	100%	90%	N/A	99%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	13	3	0	0	10	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	47	52	0	0	46	0	0

## EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: July 1, 2011 - August 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD13</i>	<i>Caroline</i>	<i>King George</i>	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	<i>Stafford</i>	<i>Fredericksburg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	87	17	4	28	17	21
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	72%	65%	100%	39%	100%	95%
% Timely in August 2011	72%	65%	100%	39%	100%	95%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	21	7	0	14	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	22	30	0	18	0	0

## EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: July 1, 2011 - August 31, 2011

	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North- umberland	Richmond County	West- moreland
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	28	11	0	9	1	1	0	0	2	4
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	93%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	0%	N/A	N/A	50%	100%
% Timely in August 2011	93%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	0%	N/A	N/A	50%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>										
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	3	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0

## EDD15 - Peninsula Region: July 1, 2011 - August 31, 2011

	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	281	0	8	6	6	16	109	132	4
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	96%	N/A	100%	100%	0%	100%	99%	98%	75%
% Timely in August 2011	96%	N/A	100%	100%	0%	100%	99%	98%	75%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>									
# Over 30 Days	12	0	0	0	9	0	1	1	1
Average # of Days Over 30	14	0	0	0	16	0	3	7	4

## EDD16 - Petersburg Region: July 1, 2011 - August 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD16</i>	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	<i>Prince George</i>	<i>Surry</i>	<i>Sussex</i>	<i>Hopewell</i>	<i>Petersburg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	64	7	1	2	9	11	34
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	88%	100%	100%	100%	100%	45%	94%
% Timely in August 2011	88%	100%	100%	100%	100%	45%	94%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	6	0	0	0	0	4	2
Average # of Days Over 30	56	0	0	0	0	81	4

## EDD17 - Tidewater Region: July 1, 2011 - August 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD17</i>	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	<i>South- hampton</i>	<i>Chesapeake</i>	<i>Franklin City</i>	<i>Norfolk</i>	<i>Portsmouth</i>	<i>Suffolk</i>	<i>VA Beach</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	393	13	15	70	2	125	83	26	59
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	92%	100%	100%	97%	50%	83%	98%	100%	90%
% Timely in August 2011	92%	100%	100%	97%	50%	83%	98%	100%	90%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>									
# Over 30 Days	7	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	5
Average # of Days Over 30	28	0	35	0	0	0	16	0	29

## *Child Support Enforcement - Statewide Totals*

### *Collections*

	<i>Aug-10</i>	<i>Aug-11</i>	<i>% Change</i>
TANF Cases Collected	14,609	14,729	0.8%
NTANF Cases Collected	127,837	129,350	1.2%
Total Cases Collected	142,446	144,079	1.1%
TANF Collections	\$2,898,837	\$2,970,735	2.5%
NTANF Collections	\$48,916,361	\$48,898,021	-0.04%
Total Collections	\$51,815,198	\$51,868,756	0.1%
SFY YTD Collections	\$101,175,779	\$102,249,609	1.1%
SFY YTD Average Collection	\$357	\$356	-0.3%

### *Paternities Established*

	<i>Aug-11</i>
TANF Paternities	265
NTANF Paternities	420
Combined Paternities	685

# *Diversionsary Assistance*

## *August Diversionsary Assistance Cases*

<b>Locality</b>	<b>Number of Cases</b>		<b>Locality</b>	<b>Number of Cases</b>
Albemarle	4		Prince Edward	1
Alleghany / Covington	3		Prince William	3
Amherst	1		Pulaski	2
Appomattox	2		Roanoke County	4
Augusta / Staunt'n / W'boro	6		Rockbridge / BV / Lexington	1
Buckingham	1		Rockingham / H'burg	4
Caroline	2		Shenandoah	9
Chesterfield/Colonial Hgts	7		Spotsylvania	5
Culpeper	3		Stafford	10
Cumberland	2		Warren	5
Dinwiddie	2		York / Poquoson	4
Fairfax / Falls Church	5		Bristol	2
Fauquier	5		Charlottesville	3
Floyd	1		Chesapeake	6
Fluvanna	1		Danville	2
Franklin County	3		Hampton	11
Frederick	4		Hopewell	6
Greene	2		Manassas Park	1
Halifax	3		Newport News	24
Henrico	5		Norfolk	18
Henry / Martinsville	3		Petersburg	4
Isle of Wight	2		Portsmouth	2
James City	1		Richmond City	7
Louisa	1		Roanoke City	7
Montgomery	2		Suffolk	10
Orange	7		Virginia Beach	5
Patrick	3		Winchester	1
Pittsylvania	4		Statewide	242

## *Definitions of Selected Terms Contained in Report*

**Average Monthly Earnings.** This is the average monthly earnings of the unsubsidized jobs that participants obtained. The value is calculated by multiplying the hourly wage by the weekly hours worked by 4.3 average weeks per month. For the cumulative figures the initial wage and initial hours are used. For the current figures, the initial wage and most current hours are used, except for the November 2001 report which used initial hours. Earlier than June 1999, wage changes that were entered overwrote the initial wage, so prior to that date, the cumulative figures used the initial hours and the last wage entered. With the advent of the VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) program in October 2006 the end of month average monthly earnings might be expected to decline somewhat because prior to VTP, cases with high earnings might remain open for job follow-up, but after the implementation of VTP the VIEW case would be closed when the TANF case was closed and a VTP case opened. VTP cases are not included in this report except for estimating the participation rate.

**Average Wage.** The average of the wages reported for each occurrence of unsubsidized employment. After June 1999 the wage is the wage at hiring, that is, the initial wage. Prior to June 1999, that wage may have been updated if changed during employment. After the implementation of the VIEW Transitional Payment program the end of month point in time average wage might be expected to decline some for the same reason mentioned in the explanation of possible decline in Average Monthly earnings, above. See note 6 on page 44.

**Cases Closed with Employment.** These are TANF or TANF-UP cases that are closed with an indication in the data base of employment at the date of closure. It does not mean that the case necessarily closed because of employment. For example, a TANF case may have an indication of closing at the client's request, along with indication of existing employment in VIEW. That case is counted as closed with employment.

**EDD.** This stands for Economic Development District. EDDs were developed during the administration of Governor Allen. They generally are no longer in use. This report continues to refer to them because VIEW was phased in by EDD and their use provides consistency over time.

**Enrolled.** Unduplicated number by locality of TANF recipients who were interviewed, received an individual assessment, signed the Agreement of Personal Responsibility, and enrolled in the VIEW program. Because the count is by locality, a recipient may be counted once in more than one locality. The fiscal year to date numbers include those who were enrolled at the beginning of the fiscal year as well as those enrolled during the year. There are clients enrolled in VIEW who have had their TANF case closed because VIEW policy requires that the enrollment remain open for job follow-up after employment unless the employment meets federal work participation guidelines, in which case the VIEW case is closed and a VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) case is opened.

**Full Time Employment.** Employment at 30 hours a week or greater.

**Participants Assigned to Inactive.** Inactive is a status assigned to participants who cannot participate in the VIEW program for reasons other than being exempt. Examples are unavailability of child care, unavailability of transportation, and mental or medical problems that make participation impractical. Inactive participants are due to be reviewed each month. While in inactive status, the 24 month time limit for TANF benefits is suspended.

**Participants Employed.** Total number of participants by locality who ever obtained an unsubsidized job, either full time or part time. If employed more than one time, they are still only counted once, but may be counted in more than one locality. Also, these participants may have left their job and not gotten another one. For the year to date numbers, those employed at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first became employed in the fiscal year.

**Participants in a Work Activity.** Unduplicated count by locality of persons who have been in any of the following components: unsubsidized employment, full employment program (FEP), on-the-job training (OJT), community work experience program (CWEP), public service program (PSP), vocational education and training, and job search/job readiness. For example, a person who was in community work experience and then employed would be counted only once.

For the year to date numbers, those in a work activity at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first entered a work activity in the fiscal year. Note that this is a revised definition of work activity from reports through October 2006. The revised definition captures the activities that count toward the first 20 hours of federal participation requirements – the core work activities. Clients who are counted as being in a core work activity must meet additional participation rate requirements in order to be included in the work participation rate, and only VIEW clients are counted here, not all work eligible TANF clients **Thus, these percentages in a work activity are quite different from the percentages in the TANF work participation rate.**

**Part Time Employment.** Employment at fewer than 30 weekly hours.

**Referred.** Unduplicated number of TANF recipients by locality who were initially evaluated as eligible for VIEW and had their names referred to the automated VIEW list for participation.

**Relationship Between TANF and VIEW Participation.** On the chart at page 5a, the column heading “Total Adults in the Case” includes adults who are not considered to be part of the assistance unit. For example, a mother receiving SSI may be in the case, but because she receives SSI is not considered eligible for TANF and thus is not part of the assistance unit. Persons such as she who are not in the assistance unit are not eligible to participate in VIEW. Also included are adults who are part of the assistance unit but exempt from VIEW

**Five Months Employment.** In earlier reports, this represented the percent of all participants that were employed 150 days after their first employment. Participants who were employed in any job 150 days after their first employment were counted in this measure.. This measure became unavailable after July 1, 2011. See note 9 on page 44.

**Three Months Employment.** This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 90 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 90 days after their first employment are counted in this measure. For year to date percents, the calculations are based on the first employment that began one year before the end of the report month. That employment may not have been the first ever for the participant. The year to date percents were calculated differently in reports before September 2004. Also for year to date percents, transferred cases were not counted for months after the January 2002 report.

**TANF / TANF-UP Payment Cases.** Up to November 2003 these counts were taken from a monthly report with no adjustment. After that, the monthly counts included some cases that were being paid because child support payments had been received and were being passed on. These counts were adjusted to mostly eliminate those additional cases. Further adjustment may be appropriate. It should also be noted that the counts include both strictly TANF cases and MOE cases for some years. With the end of waiver provisions in July 2003, a substantial part of the caseload was placed in a state program and funded with MOE funds. This change in July 2003 was a change in funding, not a change in eligibility requirements or case payment amounts. It ended in September 2006 when VIEW transitional payments (VTP) were introduced. Adjustments have been made to take out VTP cases. Also, TANF-UP cases are currently funded with only state monies.

**VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP).** Beginning in October 2006, VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) were introduced. These are payments of \$50 per client per month for one year to VIEW clients that leave “regular” TANF with employment sufficient to meet Federal work participation requirements. The employment must be maintained to be eligible for VTP. Previously, VTP clients normally would have remained VIEW clients for five or six months of job-follow-up. Thus, the VTP program has had a diminishing effect on some of the statistics in this report such as end of month percent employed and average wage. See graph on page 5a.

**Time That Data Represent.** The data in the top sections of page 4 and pages 8 – 24 are point in time data as of the date indicated. The year to date section represents state fiscal year to date data, with the exception of three month retention (see above). The bottom section is cumulative from when the locality began VIEW.

**General Statement About Accuracy.** The report is based on an extract from the Employment Services automated system. The extract is normally made the night of the first work day after the end of the report month. Data that staff of local social services agencies enter into the automated system after the time of the extract are not included in the report, even though the activity reflected actually occurred in the report month. However, that data, and the activity reflected, are included in the reports for subsequent months. Further, because the data are entered by staff in the 120 localities, the accuracy of the data in the data base is, of course, subject to inadvertent error.


- <sup>1</sup> Applications are not necessarily acted upon in the month that they are received. Also, applications may be transferred from regular TANF to TANF-UP and vice versa as they are processed.
- <sup>2</sup> These TANF cases paid counts are prior to possible adjustment. See definition of payment cases. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes.
- <sup>3</sup> VIEW enrolled counts do not include VTP clients
- <sup>4</sup> A case may be open in more than one locality during the time period.
- <sup>5</sup> Prior to June 1999, when individuals were transferred from one locality to another, their history was transferred as well. This may have an effect on a locality's cumulative VIEW statistics.
- <sup>6</sup> The minimum wage rose from \$6.55 to \$7.25 an hour on 7/24/09. The report reflects initial wages so some effect on wages in the report was delayed. The average wage includes full and part time, unless otherwise indicated. See the definition of average wage on page 42.
- <sup>7</sup> Includes full and part time earnings.
- <sup>8</sup> This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed three months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed three months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous. See definition on page 43 for the revised method of calculating the year to date percentages.
- <sup>9</sup> Until the July 2011 report, this was employed after five months. However, on July 1, 2011 the number of required job follow-ups was reduced to three. Thus, data for five month job retention became unavailable.
- <sup>10</sup> Number includes TANF-UP and represents cases carried over to the next month. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes, but with the advent of VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) such occurrences were reduced from earlier months.
- <sup>11</sup> Enrollment is timely if done within 30 days of referral in previous month of the state fiscal year. The monthly percent includes evaluation of clients waiting in the queue. The year to date does not.
- <sup>12</sup> Placement in a work activity on the 95th day after referral was a measure of timeliness before Oct 1, 2006. The revised definition of work activity has increased the percentage from reports before November 2006. Clients whose cases have closed before the 95<sup>th</sup> day are excluded, so 100% is an attainable mark.
- <sup>14</sup> As of the morning of September 6, 2011. Some referrals to the waiting list are not appropriate, and there may be considerable lag time in correcting them..

This report is available on the Department of Social Services web site at:  
[http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial\\_assistance/tanf.cgi](http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial_assistance/tanf.cgi)

The scores in the VIEW Rankings are determined by placing a locality on a scale of zero to ten in the same relative position as its place in the difference between the high and low of the measure in question. This converts all measures to a similar scale and provides a more equitable way to combine the ratings in each measure to determine a combined rank. (Questions, please call 804 726-7618.)

**Comments on the format or content of the report and requests for Excel files for portions of current and past reports may be sent by e-mail to: [william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov](mailto:william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov).**


## TANF and TANF-UP Cases Paid at Six Month Intervals, except last month June 1995 through August 2011



This graph illustrates the dramatic decline of the TANF caseload as VIEW was implemented. There was some sign of slowing in the rate of decline after June 1998. Nevertheless, wide community involvement, a strong economy, and the effect of the 24 month rule allowed the caseload to continue to fall until it was near the 30,000 level. There was little net change in the caseload from June 2000 to June 2002, but some upward movement was seen after June 2002, until 2005. The more recent trends are presumed to be a result of economic conditions. Of note is that the caseload appeared to level off after recent growth and seems now in decline. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

# Applications Received with Approval Percentage

Six Month Asymmetrical Moving Averages by Quarter, July 1995 through August 2011




This graph of application activity is presented as six month moving averages to produce smoother lines from which trends may be seen and in recognition that applications are not always acted on in the month received. The trends are not dramatic, but there seems to have been a general decline in the number of applications received until the July 2001 data. The decline in applications received after December 2004 and the recent changes appear to be reflected in the case load trends.

Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

## TANF Cases, VIEW Enrolled, and Employed VIEW Participants


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide  
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. August is the last month



This graph shows that the number enrolled in VIEW increased during early implementation and then generally tracked the number of cases. The effects of the recent economic conditions are apparent. A VIEW case may remain open for five to six months or more after the TANF case closes, if job follow-up is being done and the case has not been converted to the VIEW Transitional Payment program. It is unlikely that the number employed will ever equal the number enrolled because there are always new enrollees without employment, and there are some enrollees in work activities, other than employment, that meet VIEW requirements. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months. It includes TANF-UP

## Chart Displaying the Relationships Between TANF and VIEW Participation


Aug 31, 2011



This chart illustrates that there are a large number of adults who are exempt from VIEW and that many of the VIEW enrollees have had their TANF cases closed. They are now in a job follow-up phase of VIEW. They have not had their VIEW case closed and become enrolled in the VIEW Transitional Payment program. The source of this information is a monthly TANF extract from ADAPT and the monthly extract from ESPAS, the VIEW automated data system. The extracts were made at the beginning of September and represent end of August data. TANF-UP is included.

## VIEW Percent Total Employed and Average Wage at End of Month


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide  
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. August is the last month



This graph shows that the average wage has seen a general increase as the percent of VIEW employed initially increased and then leveled. Besides employment, VIEW participants may be in various other activities or initial assessment and job search. It is unlikely that the percent employed will rise more, and the recent economic conditions may have caused a decline. The wages shown are generally the initial wages. When the average wage was calculated at about the end of August it was \$8.27 rather than the \$8.21 initial wages used to calculate wages shown on this graph. See page 42 of the report for an explanation of why the VIEW average wage might decline after October 2006 and note 6 on page 44 for the effect of the increase in minimum wage. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.

# Percent of Jobs Found During a Month That Were Full Time and Percent Part Time

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide  
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. August is the last month



This graph illustrates an initial trend of VIEW participants obtaining a greater percent of full time jobs than part time. After the initial months, the trend seems to be fairly level with an increase in the percent full time after TANF reauthorization which probably reflects increased emphasis on meeting the required TANF work participation rate. The more recent changes are presumed to be a result of economic developments. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.