

VIRGINIA INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM

MONTHLY REPORT

Including Graphs of Statewide Trends

September 2011

Prepared by:

Virginia Department of Social Services October 27, 2011

Monthly Report, September 2011

Table of Contents

Work Participation Estimates (from the VDSS data warehouse) (A work participation estimate percent should not be compared to a percent in a work activity in the main body of the report which includes only clients in VIEW and does not consider the number of hours in a work activity during a month)	i-vi
TANF, TANF-UP Case Activity.....	1
Graphs of Case Activity.....	at end
VIEW Work Activity	
Statewide	4
Graphs of Statewide Activity.....	at end
EDD1.....	8
EDD2.....	9
EDD3.....	10
EDD4.....	11
EDD5 & EDD18.....	12
EDD6.....	13
EDD7.....	14
EDD8.....	15
EDD9.....	16
EDD10.....	17
EDD11.....	18
EDD14.....	19
EDD1.....	20
EDD3.....	21
EDD11.....	22
EDD15.....	23
EDD17.....	24
VIEW Year to Date Rankings.....	25-27
VIEW Cumulative Rankings.....	28-30
VIEW Timeliness Measures.....	31-39
Child Support Enforcement.....	40
Diversion.....	41
VIEW Definition Sheet and Endnotes.....	42-44

Estimated Work Participation Rates for September

These are estimated locality September 2011 work participation rates that include clients receiving either TANF or VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). The official participation rate will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated September statewide rate is 42% with a numerator of 7,763 and a denominator of 18,648.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	15	50	30%	061	Fauquier	17	46	37%
003	Albemarle	31	68	46%	063	Floyd	8	22	36%
005	Alleghany/Covington	19	42	45%	065	Fluvanna	8	24	33%
007	Amelia	14	37	38%	067	Franklin County	36	104	35%
009	Amherst	15	35	43%	069	Frederick	20	90	22%
011	Appomattox	21	47	45%	071	Giles	10	26	38%
013	Arlington	45	122	37%	073	Gloucester	16	44	36%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	144	258	56%	075	Goochland	11	37	30%
017	Bath	0	2	0%	077	Grayson	16	29	55%
019	Bedford County/City	49	93	53%	079	Greene	8	24	33%
021	Bland	3	10	30%	081	Greensville/Emporia	24	90	27%
023	Botetourt	4	21	19%	083	Halifax	35	98	36%
025	Brunswick	35	78	45%	085	Hanover	42	81	52%
027	Buchanan	17	41	41%	087	Henrico	337	918	37%
029	Buckingham	19	36	53%	089	Henry/Martinsville	86	272	32%
031	Campbell	77	190	41%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	22	119	18%	093	Isle of Wight	21	60	35%
035	Carroll	35	65	54%	095	James City	30	80	38%
036	Charles City	5	13	38%	097	King and Queen	1	7	14%
037	Charlotte	20	56	36%	099	King George	6	19	32%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	228	545	42%	101	King William	11	31	35%
043	Clarke	6	12	50%	103	Lancaster	10	26	38%
045	Craig	2	9	22%	105	Lee	62	130	48%
047	Culpeper	41	90	46%	107	Loudoun	45	140	32%
049	Cumberland	8	36	22%	109	Louisa	24	65	37%
051	Dickensen	14	38	37%	111	Lunenburg	11	45	24%
053	Dinwiddie	19	54	35%	113	Madison	3	6	50%
057	Essex	20	52	38%	115	Mathews	6	10	60%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	339	696	49%	117	Mecklenburg	30	79	38%

Estimated Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent
119	Middlesex	7	15	47%	187	Warren	32	76	42%
121	Montgomery	103	173	60%	191	Washington	39	77	51%
125	Nelson	7	16	44%	193	Westmoreland	19	47	40%
127	New Kent	0	32	0%	195	Wise	72	190	38%
131	Northampton	23	64	36%	197	Wythe	35	79	44%
133	Northumberland	6	10	60%	199	York/Poquoson	41	89	46%
135	Nottoway	36	91	40%	510	Alexandria	111	254	44%
137	Orange	15	41	37%	520	Bristol	85	164	52%
139	Page	20	35	57%	540	Charlottesville	91	195	47%
141	Patrick	30	54	56%	550	Chesapeake	364	690	53%
143	Pittsylvania	33	83	40%	590	Danville	98	195	50%
145	Powhatan	4	20	20%	620	Franklin City	1	56	2%
147	Prince Edward	29	67	43%	630	Fredericksburg	58	127	46%
149	Prince George	18	45	40%	640	Galax	11	37	30%
153	Prince William	316	716	44%	650	Hampton	340	803	42%
155	Pulaski	39	74	53%	670	Hopewell	30	213	14%
157	Rappahannock	0	2	0%	680	Lynchburg	142	352	40%
159	Richmond County	0	12	0%	683	Manassas	32	98	33%
161	Roanoke County	63	140	45%	685	Manassas Park	3	16	19%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	17	53	32%	700	Newport News	462	1,048	44%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	61	144	42%	710	Norfolk	693	1,352	51%
167	Russell	39	98	40%	720	Norton	11	27	41%
169	Scott	34	87	39%	730	Petersburg	144	324	44%
171	Shenandoah	7	21	33%	740	Portsmouth	261	629	41%
173	Smyth	40	98	41%	750	Radford	7	40	18%
175	Southampton	22	74	30%	760	Richmond City	599	1,606	37%
177	Spotsylvania	94	244	39%	770	Roanoke City	173	606	29%
179	Stafford	73	184	40%	800	Suffolk	80	165	48%
181	Surry	12	28	43%	810	Virginia Beach	276	571	48%
183	Sussex	8	44	18%	830	Williamsburg	12	30	40%
185	Tazewell	54	133	41%	840	Winchester	30	76	39%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF and VTP recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rate presented here is the all families rate, i. e. the overall rate, including VTP..

Estimated TANF only Work Participation Rates for September

These are estimated locality September 2011 work participation rates that include only clients receiving TANF. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated September statewide rate is 35% with a numerator of 5,842 and a denominator of 16,547. VTP only estimates are on pages v and vi.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	<i>Accomack</i>	11	46	24%	061	<i>Fauquier</i>	11	39	28%
003	<i>Albemarle</i>	19	56	34%	063	<i>Floyd</i>	6	19	32%
005	<i>Alleghany/Covington</i>	13	34	38%	065	<i>Fluvanna</i>	5	20	25%
007	<i>Amelia</i>	12	35	34%	067	<i>Franklin County</i>	32	99	32%
009	<i>Amherst</i>	11	31	35%	069	<i>Frederick</i>	13	82	16%
011	<i>Appomattox</i>	16	39	41%	071	<i>Giles</i>	6	22	27%
013	<i>Arlington</i>	23	98	23%	073	<i>Gloucester</i>	10	38	26%
015	<i>Augusta/S'ton/W'boro</i>	98	212	46%	075	<i>Goochland</i>	9	33	27%
017	<i>Bath</i>	0	1	0%	077	<i>Grayson</i>	11	24	46%
019	<i>Bedford County/City</i>	43	87	49%	079	<i>Greene</i>	6	22	27%
021	<i>Bland</i>	3	10	30%	081	<i>Greensville/Emporia</i>	15	81	19%
023	<i>Botetourt</i>	4	19	21%	083	<i>Halifax</i>	25	88	28%
025	<i>Brunswick</i>	32	75	43%	085	<i>Hanover</i>	32	68	47%
027	<i>Buchanan</i>	13	36	36%	087	<i>Henrico</i>	260	831	31%
029	<i>Buckingham</i>	15	31	48%	089	<i>Henry/Martinsville</i>	60	246	24%
031	<i>Campbell</i>	54	166	33%	091	<i>Highland</i>	0	0	N/A
033	<i>Caroline</i>	19	111	17%	093	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	16	53	30%
035	<i>Carroll</i>	26	55	47%	095	<i>James City</i>	21	71	30%
036	<i>Charles City</i>	3	11	27%	097	<i>King and Queen</i>	1	7	14%
037	<i>Charlotte</i>	15	51	29%	099	<i>King George</i>	3	16	19%
041	<i>Chesterfield/Col Hgts</i>	173	489	35%	101	<i>King William</i>	9	29	31%
043	<i>Clarke</i>	4	9	44%	103	<i>Lancaster</i>	8	24	33%
045	<i>Craig</i>	2	8	25%	105	<i>Lee</i>	50	117	43%
047	<i>Culpeper</i>	31	77	40%	107	<i>Loudoun</i>	22	116	19%
049	<i>Cumberland</i>	6	33	18%	109	<i>Louisa</i>	10	51	20%
051	<i>Dickensen</i>	8	32	25%	111	<i>Lunenburg</i>	11	45	24%
053	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	14	47	30%	113	<i>Madison</i>	3	6	50%
057	<i>Essex</i>	15	43	35%	115	<i>Mathews</i>	4	8	50%
059	<i>Fairfax/Falls Church</i>	227	579	39%	117	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	22	69	32%

Estimated TANF Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	5	13	38%	187	Warren	24	65	37%
121	Montgomery	76	146	52%	191	Washington	34	72	47%
125	Nelson	4	13	31%	193	Westmoreland	19	43	44%
127	New Kent	0	32	0%	195	Wise	49	167	29%
131	Northampton	16	57	28%	197	Wythe	29	73	40%
133	Northumberland	6	10	60%	199	York/Poquoson	30	77	39%
135	Nottoway	31	84	37%	510	Alexandria	73	215	34%
137	Orange	11	37	30%	520	Bristol	65	144	45%
139	Page	13	28	46%	540	Charlottesville	73	175	42%
141	Patrick	26	50	52%	550	Chesapeake	296	616	48%
143	Pittsylvania	27	77	35%	590	Danville	87	178	49%
145	Powhatan	2	18	11%	620	Franklin City	1	55	2%
147	Prince Edward	23	61	38%	630	Fredericksburg	35	103	34%
149	Prince George	9	35	26%	640	Galax	10	36	28%
153	Prince William	196	592	33%	650	Hampton	275	723	38%
155	Pulaski	31	66	47%	670	Hopewell	20	195	10%
157	Rappahannock	0	2	0%	680	Lynchburg	101	310	33%
159	Richmond County	0	12	0%	683	Manassas	17	83	20%
161	Roanoke County	35	111	32%	685	Manassas Park	0	12	0%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	11	47	23%	700	Newport News	359	934	38%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	33	115	29%	710	Norfolk	594	1,248	48%
167	Russell	31	90	34%	720	Norton	7	21	33%
169	Scott	24	74	32%	730	Petersburg	120	294	41%
171	Shenandoah	4	18	22%	740	Portsmouth	203	568	36%
173	Smyth	29	87	33%	750	Radford	5	38	13%
175	Southampton	18	70	26%	760	Richmond City	495	1,486	33%
177	Spotsylvania	72	216	33%	770	Roanoke City	118	549	21%
179	Stafford	41	152	27%	800	Suffolk	58	142	41%
181	Surry	7	23	30%	810	Virginia Beach	193	487	40%
183	Sussex	6	42	14%	830	Williamsburg	11	28	39%
185	Tazewell	38	116	33%	840	Winchester	25	71	35%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are for the TANF all family rate not including VTP.

Estimated VTP only Work Participation Rates for September

These are estimated locality September 2011 work participation rates that include only clients receiving VTP. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated September statewide rate is 91% with a numerator of 1,921 and a denominator of 2,101. TANF only estimates are on pages iii and iv.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	4	4	100%	061	Fauquier	6	7	86%
003	Albemarle	12	12	100%	063	Floyd	2	3	67%
005	Alleghany/Covington	6	8	75%	065	Fluvanna	3	4	75%
007	Amelia	2	2	100%	067	Franklin County	4	5	80%
009	Amherst	4	4	100%	069	Frederick	7	8	88%
011	Appomattox	5	8	63%	071	Giles	4	4	100%
013	Arlington	22	24	92%	073	Gloucester	6	6	100%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	46	46	100%	075	Goochland	2	4	50%
017	Bath	0	1	0%	077	Grayson	5	5	100%
019	Bedford County/City	6	6	100%	079	Greene	2	2	100%
021	Bland	0	0	N/A	081	Greensville/Emporia	9	9	100%
023	Botetourt	0	2	0%	083	Halifax	10	10	100%
025	Brunswick	3	3	100%	085	Hanover	10	13	77%
027	Buchanan	4	5	80%	087	Henrico	77	87	89%
029	Buckingham	4	5	80%	089	Henry/Martinsville	26	26	100%
031	Campbell	23	24	96%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	3	8	38%	093	Isle of Wight	5	7	71%
035	Carroll	9	10	90%	095	James City	9	9	100%
036	Charles City	2	2	100%	097	King and Queen	0	0	N/A
037	Charlotte	5	5	100%	099	King George	3	3	100%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	55	56	98%	101	King William	2	2	100%
043	Clarke	2	3	67%	103	Lancaster	2	2	100%
045	Craig	0	1	0%	105	Lee	12	13	92%
047	Culpeper	10	13	77%	107	Loudoun	23	24	96%
049	Cumberland	2	3	67%	109	Louisa	14	14	100%
051	Dickensen	6	6	100%	111	Lunenburg	0	0	N/A
053	Dinwiddie	5	7	71%	113	Madison	0	0	N/A
057	Essex	5	9	56%	115	Mathews	2	2	100%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	112	117	96%	117	Mecklenburg	8	10	80%

Estimated VTP Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	2	2	100%	187	Warren	8	11	73%
121	Montgomery	27	27	100%	191	Washington	5	5	100%
125	Nelson	3	3	100%	193	Westmoreland	0	4	0%
127	New Kent	0	0	N/A	195	Wise	23	23	100%
131	Northampton	7	7	100%	197	Wythe	6	6	100%
133	Northumberland	0	0	N/A	199	York/Poquoson	11	12	92%
135	Nottoway	5	7	71%	510	Alexandria	38	39	97%
137	Orange	4	4	100%	520	Bristol	20	20	100%
139	Page	7	7	100%	540	Charlottesville	18	20	90%
141	Patrick	4	4	100%	550	Chesapeake	68	74	92%
143	Pittsylvania	6	6	100%	590	Danville	11	17	65%
145	Powhatan	2	2	100%	620	Franklin City	0	1	0%
147	Prince Edward	6	6	100%	630	Fredericksburg	23	24	96%
149	Prince George	9	10	90%	640	Galax	1	1	100%
153	Prince William	120	124	97%	650	Hampton	65	80	81%
155	Pulaski	8	8	100%	670	Hopewell	10	18	56%
157	Rappahannock	0	0	N/A	680	Lynchburg	41	42	98%
159	Richmond County	0	0	N/A	683	Manassas	15	15	100%
161	Roanoke County	28	29	97%	685	Manassas Park	3	4	75%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	6	6	100%	700	Newport News	103	114	90%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	28	29	97%	710	Norfolk	99	104	95%
167	Russell	8	8	100%	720	Norton	4	6	67%
169	Scott	10	13	77%	730	Petersburg	24	30	80%
171	Shenandoah	3	3	100%	740	Portsmouth	58	61	95%
173	Smyth	11	11	100%	750	Radford	2	2	100%
175	Southampton	4	4	100%	760	Richmond City	104	120	87%
177	Spotsylvania	22	28	79%	770	Roanoke City	55	57	96%
179	Stafford	32	32	100%	800	Suffolk	22	23	96%
181	Surry	5	5	100%	810	Virginia Beach	83	84	99%
183	Sussex	2	2	100%	830	Williamsburg	1	2	50%
185	Tazewell	16	17	94%	840	Winchester	5	5	100%

All VTP recipients are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” Thus, all VTP cases are in the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, job follow-up entries are reviewed to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. The basic requirement for VTP recipients is employment for at least 30 hours per week. The requirement is contained in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are also sometimes referred to as the rates for the maintenance of effort program caseload. .

TANF

TANF Case Activity	Total Statewide				
	Sep-11	Sep-10	Change from Sep-10	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	5,434	5,641	-4%	4,807	13%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	3,281	3,169	4%	1,658	98%
Applications Approved	2,370	2,643	-10%	2,820	-16%
Cases Closed *	2,676	2,822	-5%	4,476	-40%
Cases at End of Month	32,478	34,202	-5%	67,995	-52%
Cases Paid During Month ²	33,667	35,425	-5%	70,403	-52%

•The database indicates that of the 2,676 closed cases, 981 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 612 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 240 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 156 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

TANF-UP

TANF-UP Case Activity	Total Statewide				
	Sep-11	Sep-10	Change from Sep-10	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	462	658	-30%	338	37%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	299	375	-20%	232	29%
Applications Approved	209	286	-27%	81	158%
Cases Closed *	255	287	-11%	107	138%
Cases at End of Month	1,893	2,133	-11%	321	490%
Cases Paid During Month ²	2,030	2,285	-11%	394	415%

•The database indicates that of the 255 closed cases, 121 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 86 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 26 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 23 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

Statewide - Status through September 30, 2011

TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/11 and Work Activity as of 9/30/11

Current Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	34,371	1,723	1,091	2,668	953	535	3,912	348	679	1,336	1,534	1,063	5,729	1,121	401	3,541	1,197	6,250	290
Total Enrolled in VIEW	14,696	662	479	1,037	372	285	1,613	151	326	669	473	539	2,490	649	152	1,555	601	2,562	81
Part. in a Work Activity	10,905	510	407	722	291	188	1,296	104	275	485	365	386	1,826	390	114	1,183	344	1,973	46
% of Enrolled	74%	77%	85%	70%	78%	66%	80%	69%	84%	72%	77%	72%	73%	60%	75%	76%	57%	77%	57%
Part. Assigned to Inactive	127	1	4	17	1	7	15	7	3	3	2	8	10	8	4	13	5	19	0
Participants Employed	7,145	363	256	568	241	126	792	70	165	315	220	249	1,097	267	80	751	247	1,300	38
% of Enrolled	49%	55%	53%	55%	65%	44%	49%	46%	51%	47%	47%	46%	44%	41%	53%	48%	41%	51%	47%
Full Time	5,657	320	231	490	202	99	591	56	108	221	179	188	827	190	68	588	190	1,084	25
Part Time	1,488	43	25	78	39	27	201	14	57	94	41	61	270	77	12	163	57	216	13
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.24	\$7.64	\$7.68	\$7.92	\$8.11	\$8.29	\$9.74	\$8.84	\$8.46	\$7.96	\$7.85	\$7.84	\$8.27	\$8.46	\$7.86	\$8.16	\$7.92	\$7.99	\$8.08
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,095	\$1,044	\$1,067	\$1,102	\$1,102	\$1,104	\$1,275	\$1,191	\$1,011	\$1,015	\$1,082	\$1,033	\$1,104	\$1,082	\$1,078	\$1,086	\$1,071	\$1,049	\$1,016

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – September 30, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	9,244	469	336	868	314	185	965	117	214	394	379	326	1,231	332	114	1,024	274	1,632	70
Total Enrolled in VIEW	20,237	922	677	1,582	529	371	2,287	221	452	896	729	697	3,285	847	242	2,190	755	3,428	127
Part. in a Work Activity	16,983	815	614	1,262	468	296	2,006	177	408	751	634	591	2,721	628	211	1,857	514	2,928	102
% of Total Enrolled	84%	88%	91%	80%	88%	80%	88%	80%	90%	84%	87%	85%	83%	74%	87%	85%	68%	85%	80%
Participants Employed	9,879	511	351	822	326	176	1,180	112	236	433	308	311	1,431	359	124	1,055	312	1,777	55
% of Total Enrolled	49%	55%	52%	52%	62%	47%	52%	51%	52%	48%	42%	45%	44%	42%	51%	48%	41%	52%	43%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.33	\$7.71	\$7.82	\$8.06	\$8.09	\$8.29	\$9.84	\$8.73	\$8.61	\$7.96	\$7.88	\$7.83	\$8.36	\$8.64	\$7.96	\$8.18	\$8.06	\$8.02	\$8.11
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,075	\$1,026	\$1,064	\$1,101	\$1,079	\$1,084	\$1,255	\$1,146	\$1,026	\$1,006	\$1,005	\$984	\$1,074	\$1,085	\$1,040	\$1,048	\$1,061	\$1,027	\$1,000
Three Months Employment ⁸	70%	72%	60%	64%	70%	62%	72%	66%	68%	64%	61%	75%	73%	76%	64%	72%	70%	73%	70%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	262,448	16,492	9,901	19,562	7,647	5,009	32,642	3,720	5,680	9,884	12,257	7,565	34,997	7,956	3,425	24,868	8,872	49,592	2,379
Total Enrolled in VIEW ⁵	200,663	12,646	8,321	14,928	5,826	3,703	25,126	2,798	4,375	8,175	9,809	5,812	27,301	5,846	2,541	18,600	6,927	36,184	1,745
Part. in a Work Activity	191,900	12,150	7,974	14,227	5,679	3,501	24,269	2,695	4,260	7,726	9,488	5,553	26,227	5,515	2,382	17,720	6,602	34,247	1,685
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	96%	95%	97%	95%	97%	96%	97%	95%	97%	96%	96%	94%	94%	95%	95%	95%	97%
Participants Employed	136,318	7,968	5,415	10,200	4,072	2,427	17,790	1,889	3,267	5,591	6,058	3,522	18,491	3,864	1,608	13,004	4,725	25,441	986
% of Total Enrolled	68%	63%	65%	68%	70%	66%	71%	68%	75%	68%	62%	61%	68%	66%	63%	70%	68%	70%	57%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.11	\$6.18	\$6.28	\$7.05	\$7.10	\$7.30	\$8.63	\$7.42	\$7.36	\$6.55	\$6.36	\$6.71	\$7.31	\$7.87	\$6.94	\$6.98	\$6.95	\$6.82	\$6.65
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$941	\$814	\$852	\$948	\$944	\$979	\$1,145	\$979	\$944	\$851	\$849	\$872	\$982	\$1,017	\$890	\$915	\$939	\$893	\$839
Three Months Employment ⁹	67%	68%	62%	61%	67%	63%	71%	65%	63%	65%	63%	66%	70%	65%	57%	66%	65%	68%	72%

EDD1 - Southwest Region: Status through September 30, 2011

TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/11 and Work Activity as of 9/30/11

Current Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,723	139	91	307	239	190	261	448	48
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	662	21	39	144	98	92	104	146	18
Participants in a Work Activity	510	19	24	120	82	59	91	102	13
% of Enrolled	77%	90%	62%	83%	84%	64%	88%	70%	72%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Participants Employed	363	12	22	91	45	46	56	78	13
% of Enrolled	55%	57%	56%	63%	46%	50%	54%	53%	72%
Full Time	320	10	22	82	36	38	48	73	11
Part Time	43	2	0	9	9	8	8	5	2
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.64	\$7.64	\$8.50	\$7.54	\$7.64	\$7.53	\$7.81	\$7.56	\$6.99
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,044	\$1,041	\$1,316	\$1,004	\$954	\$1,026	\$1,055	\$1,082	\$966

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – September 30, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	469	16	15	89	60	53	95	135	6
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	922	28	58	191	126	130	148	218	23
Participants in a Work Activity	815	24	51	179	110	108	146	178	19
% of Total Enrolled	88%	86%	88%	94%	87%	83%	99%	82%	83%
Participants Employed	511	14	35	116	61	66	87	116	16
% of Total Enrolled	55%	50%	60%	61%	48%	51%	59%	53%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.71	\$7.59	\$8.12	\$7.61	\$7.60	\$7.59	\$7.92	\$7.75	\$7.05
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,026	\$1,017	\$1,150	\$976	\$903	\$1,003	\$1,090	\$1,085	\$927
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	59%	67%	72%	74%	85%	74%	67%	63%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	16,492	1,617	1,162	2,317	2,261	1,614	3,158	3,837	526
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	12,646	1,234	900	1,762	1,814	1,167	2,411	2,944	414
Participants in a Work Activity	12,150	1,154	850	1,741	1,724	1,145	2,324	2,829	383
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	94%	99%	95%	98%	96%	96%	93%
Participants Employed	7,968	653	543	1,153	1,122	681	1,627	1,877	312
% of Total Enrolled	63%	53%	60%	65%	62%	58%	67%	64%	75%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.18	\$6.36	\$6.25	\$5.92	\$6.38	\$6.47	\$6.09	\$6.17	\$6.00
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$814	\$833	\$826	\$781	\$847	\$878	\$803	\$809	\$739
Three Months Employment ⁹	68%	63%	56%	72%	67%	77%	66%	67%	72%

EDD2 – Bristol-Galax Region: Status through September 30, 2011

TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/11 and Work Activity as of 9/30/11

Current Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,091	16	122	50	186	217	135	294	71
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	479	5	56	21	89	61	57	158	32
Participants in a Work Activity	407	4	52	20	68	49	52	138	24
% of Enrolled	85%	80%	93%	95%	76%	80%	91%	87%	75%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0
Participants Employed	256	1	35	14	46	34	40	69	17
% of Enrolled	53%	20%	63%	67%	52%	56%	70%	44%	53%
Full Time	231	1	32	12	43	31	36	62	14
Part Time	25	0	3	2	3	3	4	7	3
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.68	\$8.00	\$7.82	\$7.42	\$7.74	\$7.57	\$7.81	\$7.57	\$7.78
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,067	\$1,386	\$1,082	\$1,027	\$1,102	\$1,001	\$1,101	\$1,049	\$1,077

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – September 30, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	336	5	37	13	66	52	38	101	24
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	677	6	78	24	131	88	77	220	53
Participants in a Work Activity	614	5	72	24	117	82	73	200	41
% of Total Enrolled	91%	83%	92%	100%	89%	93%	95%	91%	77%
Participants Employed	351	2	44	18	64	50	49	96	28
% of Total Enrolled	52%	33%	56%	75%	49%	57%	64%	44%	53%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.82	\$8.00	\$7.77	\$7.59	\$7.83	\$7.95	\$7.93	\$7.66	\$8.09
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,064	\$1,204	\$1,089	\$983	\$1,111	\$1,076	\$1,022	\$1,026	\$1,142
Three Months Employment ⁸	60%	80%	60%	53%	67%	59%	65%	55%	64%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	9,901	247	1,334	635	1,710	1,706	1,497	2,072	700
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	8,321	188	1,102	516	1,433	1,421	1,200	1,849	612
Participants in a Work Activity	7,974	177	1,014	480	1,378	1,385	1,179	1,781	580
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	92%	93%	96%	97%	98%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	5,415	114	738	345	845	918	801	1,267	387
% of Total Enrolled	65%	61%	67%	67%	59%	65%	67%	69%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.28	\$6.13	\$6.09	\$6.32	\$6.06	\$6.53	\$6.29	\$6.34	\$6.26
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$852	\$836	\$822	\$842	\$832	\$887	\$833	\$864	\$885
Three Months Employment ⁹	62%	57%	55%	61%	64%	58%	67%	62%	70%

EDD3 – Roanoke Region: Status through September 30, 2011

TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/11 and Work Activity as of 9/30/11

Current Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	2,668	92	51	13	51	248	54	351	192	284	65	1,267
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1037	37	18	4	17	93	16	153	63	129	29	478
Participants in a Work Activity	722	32	6	3	12	55	11	130	57	101	18	297
% of Enrolled	70%	86%	33%	75%	71%	59%	69%	85%	90%	78%	62%	62%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	17	3	2	1	0	0	0	2	0	3	2	4
Participants Employed	568	21	6	2	8	34	6	119	36	78	17	241
% of Enrolled	55%	57%	33%	50%	47%	37%	38%	78%	57%	60%	59%	50%
Full Time	490	17	6	2	7	23	5	101	35	67	15	212
Part Time	78	4	0	0	1	11	1	18	1	11	2	29
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.92	\$8.23	\$8.29	\$7.88	\$7.88	\$7.88	\$7.82	\$7.81	\$7.59	\$7.95	\$8.53	\$7.94
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,102	\$1,112	\$1,162	\$1,285	\$1,038	\$982	\$1,168	\$1,073	\$1,092	\$1,069	\$1,207	\$1,134

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – September 30, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	868	31	9	6	22	63	18	94	57	77	27	464
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,582	61	24	8	25	155	22	232	91	195	46	723
Participants in a Work Activity	1,262	52	12	6	20	132	17	205	84	164	32	538
% of Total Enrolled	80%	85%	50%	75%	80%	85%	77%	88%	92%	84%	70%	74%
Participants Employed	822	34	8	4	9	64	9	169	50	122	26	327
% of Total Enrolled	52%	56%	33%	50%	36%	41%	41%	73%	55%	63%	57%	45%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.06	\$8.03	\$8.03	\$7.56	\$8.06	\$8.79	\$7.89	\$7.82	\$7.62	\$8.36	\$8.23	\$8.00
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,101	\$1,094	\$1,138	\$1,145	\$1,070	\$1,205	\$1,069	\$1,039	\$1,050	\$1,138	\$1,143	\$1,104
Three Months Employment ⁸	64%	51%	71%	100%	36%	61%	58%	65%	60%	66%	70%	66%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	19,562	1,123	327	83	493	1,710	493	2,794	1,703	2,130	764	7,942
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	14,928	848	205	42	353	1,295	353	2,275	1,341	1,705	592	5,919
Participants in a Work Activity	14,227	816	181	41	340	1,155	345	2,242	1,295	1,605	569	5,638
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	88%	98%	96%	89%	98%	99%	97%	94%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	10,200	604	90	23	236	814	208	1,805	863	1,259	416	3,882
% of Total Enrolled	68%	71%	44%	55%	67%	63%	59%	79%	64%	74%	70%	66%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.05	\$6.83	\$7.26	\$7.13	\$6.89	\$6.95	\$7.02	\$6.89	\$6.74	\$7.55	\$6.97	\$7.12
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$948	\$832	\$931	\$1,038	\$924	\$947	\$954	\$929	\$933	\$1,034	\$904	\$963
Three Months Employment ⁹	61%	58%	56%	65%	57%	58%	54%	65%	54%	59%	61%	62%

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: Status through September 30, 2011

TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/11 and Work Activity as of 9/30/11

Current Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	953	548	6	2	88	309
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	372	224	2	1	35	110
Participants in a Work Activity	291	176	1	1	23	90
% of Enrolled	78%	79%	50%	100%	66%	82%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	1	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	241	145	1	1	20	74
% of Enrolled	65%	65%	50%	100%	57%	67%
Full Time	202	118	1	1	14	68
Part Time	39	27	0	0	6	6
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.11	\$8.02	\$8.00	\$8.00	\$7.85	\$8.38
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,102	\$1,068	\$1,212	\$1,386	\$935	\$1,208

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – September 30, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	314	188	0	0	30	96
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	529	331	3	1	43	151
Participants in a Work Activity	468	294	2	1	32	139
% of Total Enrolled	88%	89%	67%	100%	74%	92%
Participants Employed	326	204	2	1	22	97
% of Total Enrolled	62%	62%	67%	100%	51%	64%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.09	\$7.97	\$7.63	\$8.00	\$7.81	\$8.39
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,079	\$1,052	\$758	\$1,376	\$925	\$1,172
Three Months Employment ⁸	70%	71%	100%	100%	65%	67%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	7,647	4,109	74	18	686	2,760
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	5,826	3,189	46	8	508	2,075
Participants in a Work Activity	5,679	3,125	46	8	475	2,025
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	100%	100%	94%	98%
Participants Employed	4,072	2,147	30	6	367	1,522
% of Total Enrolled	70%	67%	65%	75%	72%	73%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.10	\$7.09	\$6.48	\$7.79	\$6.70	\$7.22
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$944	\$950	\$698	\$784	\$862	\$961
Three Months Employment ⁹	67%	67%	62%	83%	66%	68%

EDDS 5 & 18 – Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: Status through September 30, 2011

TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/11 and Work Activity as of 9/30/11

Current Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	535	23	163	62	42	110	135	290	192	98
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	285	4	101	33	19	60	68	81	31	50
Participants in a Work Activity	188	4	51	31	9	45	48	46	20	26
% of Enrolled	66%	100%	50%	94%	47%	75%	71%	57%	65%	52%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	7	0	2	1	0	1	3	0	0	0
Participants Employed	126	2	36	22	7	27	32	38	15	23
% of Enrolled	44%	50%	36%	67%	37%	45%	47%	47%	48%	46%
Full Time	99	2	29	18	5	21	24	25	12	13
Part Time	27	0	7	4	2	6	8	13	3	10
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.29	\$8.63	\$8.60	\$8.11	\$8.18	\$8.03	\$8.29	\$8.08	\$7.95	\$8.16
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,104	\$1,260	\$1,119	\$1,067	\$1,060	\$1,087	\$1,125	\$1,016	\$1,056	\$989

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – September 30, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	185	9	52	19	23	43	39	70	45	25
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	371	8	109	50	28	87	89	127	59	68
Participants in a Work Activity	296	7	70	50	24	71	74	102	44	58
% of Total Enrolled	80%	88%	64%	100%	86%	82%	83%	80%	75%	85%
Participants Employed	176	5	40	34	10	40	47	55	18	37
% of Total Enrolled	47%	63%	37%	68%	36%	46%	53%	43%	31%	54%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.29	\$9.10	\$8.49	\$8.03	\$8.39	\$8.32	\$8.18	\$8.11	\$7.90	\$8.21
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,084	\$1,205	\$1,053	\$1,036	\$1,115	\$1,122	\$1,089	\$1,000	\$1,041	\$980
Three Months Employment ⁸	62%	50%	76%	53%	67%	67%	55%	70%	58%	80%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	5,009	197	1047	767	621	1205	1172	2,379	1501	878
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	3,703	115	767	626	375	901	919	1,745	1072	673
Participants in a Work Activity	3,501	109	714	611	362	834	871	1685	1049	636
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	93%	98%	97%	93%	95%	97%	98%	95%
Participants Employed	2,427	71	433	441	230	621	631	986	556	430
% of Total Enrolled	66%	62%	56%	70%	61%	69%	69%	57%	52%	64%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.30	\$7.41	\$7.63	\$7.29	\$6.68	\$7.37	\$7.23	\$6.65	\$6.69	\$6.60
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$979	\$981	\$998	\$986	\$917	\$1,000	\$961	\$839	\$843	\$835
Three Months Employment ⁹	63%	62%	62%	60%	70%	69%	59%	72%	65%	80%

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: Status through September 30, 2011

TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/11 and Work Activity as of 9/30/11

Current Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	3,912	276	1,468	224	1,252	453	200	39
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,613	98	511	150	605	182	63	4
Participants in a Work Activity	1,296	83	447	94	478	142	48	4
% of Enrolled	80%	85%	87%	63%	79%	78%	76%	100%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	15	1	6	2	2	2	2	0
Participants Employed	792	41	266	74	313	78	16	4
% of Enrolled	49%	42%	52%	49%	52%	43%	25%	100%
Full Time	591	18	205	47	244	62	11	4
Part Time	201	23	61	27	69	16	5	0
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.74	\$11.23	\$9.66	\$10.78	\$9.32	\$10.02	\$9.35	\$8.40
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,275	\$1,130	\$1,300	\$1,377	\$1,243	\$1,318	\$1,165	\$1,199

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – September 30, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	965	54	322	60	349	126	45	9
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,287	140	767	190	845	245	92	8
Participants in a Work Activity	2,006	128	700	151	725	209	85	8
% of Total Enrolled	88%	91%	91%	79%	86%	85%	92%	100%
Participants Employed	1,180	67	410	104	452	107	32	8
% of Total Enrolled	52%	48%	53%	55%	53%	44%	35%	100%
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.84	\$11.16	\$9.88	\$10.53	\$9.33	\$10.64	\$9.52	\$8.45
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,255	\$1,223	\$1,290	\$1,320	\$1,191	\$1,371	\$1,267	\$1,073
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	73%	68%	71%	73%	82%	67%	71%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	32,642	2,793	11,474	2,287	10,504	3,761	1,409	414
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	25,126	2,175	8,633	1,815	8,146	2,979	1,079	299
Participants in a Work Activity	24,269	2,155	8,334	1,739	7,851	2,845	1,048	297
% of Total Enrolled	97%	99%	97%	96%	96%	96%	97%	99%
Participants Employed	17,790	1,581	5,861	1,414	5,925	2,095	693	221
% of Total Enrolled	71%	73%	68%	78%	73%	70%	64%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.63	\$8.22	\$8.63	\$9.17	\$8.74	\$8.33	\$8.12	\$8.10
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,145	\$1,061	\$1,141	\$1,184	\$1,190	\$1,069	\$1,095	\$1,075
Three Months Employment ⁹	71%	70%	69%	72%	71%	77%	71%	78%

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: Status through September 30, 2011

TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/11 and Work Activity as of 9/30/11

Current Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	348	151	91	20	81	5
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	151	72	34	5	37	3
Participants in a Work Activity	104	51	24	4	24	1
% of Enrolled	69%	71%	71%	80%	65%	33%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	7	2	4	0	1	0
Participants Employed	70	33	17	2	18	0
% of Enrolled	46%	46%	50%	40%	49%	0%
Full Time	56	26	16	2	12	N/A
Part Time	14	7	1	0	6	N/A
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.84	\$8.65	\$9.40	\$7.75	\$8.77	N/A
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,191	\$1,128	\$1,306	\$1,039	\$1,216	N/A

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – September 30, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	117	49	42	7	17	2
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	221	103	57	11	47	3
Participants in a Work Activity	177	80	52	10	34	1
% of Total Enrolled	80%	78%	91%	91%	72%	33%
Participants Employed	112	48	33	4	27	0
% of Total Enrolled	51%	47%	58%	36%	57%	0%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.73	\$8.43	\$9.32	\$7.69	\$8.70	N/A
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,146	\$1,050	\$1,299	\$1,077	\$1,142	N/A
Three Months Employment ⁸	66%	63%	65%	71%	71%	N/A

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	3,720	1,429	1105	344	749	93
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	2,798	1027	844	254	611	62
Participants in a Work Activity	2,695	986	835	247	568	59
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	99%	97%	93%	95%
Participants Employed	1,889	669	576	162	443	39
% of Total Enrolled	68%	65%	68%	64%	73%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.42	\$7.37	\$7.78	\$7.12	\$7.17	\$7.26
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$979	\$956	\$1,019	\$990	\$962	\$954
Three Months Employment ⁹	65%	66%	69%	62%	59%	45%

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: Status through September 30, 2011

TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/11 and Work Activity as of 9/30/11

Current Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	679	126	54	47	106	43	303
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	326	43	20	23	47	18	175
Participants in a Work Activity	275	42	10	15	37	12	159
% of Enrolled	84%	98%	50%	65%	79%	67%	91%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	0	0	0	3	0
Participants Employed	165	26	7	12	19	6	95
% of Enrolled	51%	60%	35%	52%	40%	33%	54%
Full Time	108	17	6	7	15	6	57
Part Time	57	9	1	5	4	0	38
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.46	\$8.21	\$8.82	\$8.55	\$8.68	\$7.49	\$8.52
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,011	\$1,000	\$1,204	\$1,067	\$1,197	\$1,025	\$955

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – September 30, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	214	40	16	15	39	13	91
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	452	67	26	33	72	24	230
Participants in a Work Activity	408	66	21	24	58	16	223
% of Total Enrolled	90%	99%	81%	73%	81%	67%	97%
Participants Employed	236	44	10	15	32	9	126
% of Total Enrolled	52%	66%	38%	45%	44%	38%	55%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.61	\$8.42	\$8.65	\$8.59	\$9.23	\$7.92	\$8.56
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,026	\$1,028	\$1,109	\$1,080	\$1,260	\$1,112	\$943
Three Months Employment ⁸	68%	78%	54%	73%	72%	73%	65%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	5,680	1319	229	443	892	280	2,517
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	4,375	946	157	318	657	200	2,097
Participants in a Work Activity	4,260	925	150	299	624	195	2,067
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	96%	94%	95%	98%	99%
Participants Employed	3,267	691	107	240	398	125	1,706
% of Total Enrolled	75%	73%	68%	75%	61%	63%	81%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.36	\$7.74	\$7.50	\$7.31	\$7.54	\$7.60	\$7.23
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$944	\$998	\$1,058	\$918	\$983	\$1,001	\$921
Three Months Employment ⁹	63%	57%	59%	57%	64%	62%	65%

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: Status through September 30, 2011

TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/11 and Work Activity as of 9/30/11

Current Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,336	89	88	210	311	638
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	669	31	47	94	207	290
Participants in a Work Activity	485	18	36	76	151	204
% of Enrolled	72%	58%	77%	81%	73%	70%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	1	0	0	2	0
Participants Employed	315	15	27	49	86	138
% of Enrolled	47%	48%	57%	52%	42%	48%
Full Time	221	13	27	39	53	89
Part Time	94	2	0	10	33	49
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.96	\$7.75	\$7.84	\$8.00	\$7.94	\$8.00
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,015	\$953	\$1,144	\$1,006	\$1,024	\$994

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – September 30, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	394	26	26	52	78	212
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	896	46	62	138	263	387
Participants in a Work Activity	751	36	52	113	237	313
% of Total Enrolled	84%	78%	84%	82%	90%	81%
Participants Employed	433	25	34	70	123	181
% of Total Enrolled	48%	54%	55%	51%	47%	47%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.96	\$7.86	\$7.77	\$8.04	\$8.04	\$7.92
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,006	\$962	\$1,135	\$990	\$1,033	\$976
Three Months Employment ⁸	64%	54%	75%	63%	65%	64%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	9,884	919	774	1,986	2,300	3,905
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	8,175	736	675	1,587	1,966	3,211
Participants in a Work Activity	7,726	700	662	1,479	1,858	3,027
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	98%	93%	95%	94%
Participants Employed	5,591	492	474	1,037	1,344	2,244
% of Total Enrolled	68%	67%	70%	65%	68%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.55	\$6.73	\$6.23	\$6.61	\$6.75	\$6.44
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$851	\$858	\$862	\$850	\$891	\$826
Three Months Employment ⁹	65%	60%	55%	61%	64%	69%

EDD10 - Danville Region: Status through September 30, 2011

TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/11 and Work Activity as of 9/30/11

Current Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,534	236	499	112	236	451
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	473	71	180	76	43	103
Participants in a Work Activity	365	38	134	69	30	94
% of Enrolled	77%	54%	74%	91%	70%	91%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	0	0	1	1
Participants Employed	220	30	82	43	22	43
% of Enrolled	47%	42%	46%	57%	51%	42%
Full Time	179	27	59	34	21	38
Part Time	41	3	23	9	1	5
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.85	\$8.02	\$7.84	\$7.61	\$8.02	\$7.88
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,082	\$1,124	\$1,059	\$1,051	\$1,198	\$1,068

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – September 30, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	379	61	106	39	56	117
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	729	104	233	96	97	199
Participants in a Work Activity	634	78	204	86	80	186
% of Total Enrolled	87%	75%	88%	90%	82%	93%
Participants Employed	308	40	107	51	40	70
% of Total Enrolled	42%	38%	46%	53%	41%	35%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.88	\$8.02	\$7.91	\$7.62	\$8.20	\$7.79
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,005	\$1,060	\$1,014	\$945	\$1,062	\$972
Three Months Employment ⁸	61%	60%	61%	76%	63%	50%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	12,257	1,916	3,292	1,201	1,989	3,859
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	9,809	1,585	2,492	1,040	1,525	3,167
Participants in a Work Activity	9,488	1,523	2,390	982	1,499	3,094
% of Total Enrolled	97%	96%	96%	94%	98%	98%
Participants Employed	6,058	899	1,574	702	831	2,052
% of Total Enrolled	62%	57%	63%	68%	54%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.36	\$6.33	\$6.77	\$6.28	\$6.35	\$6.12
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$849	\$836	\$936	\$817	\$862	\$799
Three Months Employment ⁹	63%	59%	64%	75%	61%	62%

EDD11 - Southside Region: Status through September 30, 2011

TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/11 and Work Activity as of 9/30/11

Current Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,063	74	122	71	85	62	147	70	162	136	134
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	539	32	64	34	44	36	65	42	70	81	71
Participants in a Work Activity	386	23	43	31	33	27	40	24	55	57	53
% of Enrolled	72%	72%	67%	91%	75%	75%	62%	57%	79%	70%	75%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	8	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	0
Participants Employed	249	16	32	19	13	14	20	18	36	53	28
% of Enrolled	46%	50%	50%	56%	30%	39%	31%	43%	51%	65%	39%
Full Time	188	11	24	18	11	10	12	15	18	46	23
Part Time	61	5	8	1	2	4	8	3	18	7	5
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.84	\$7.80	\$7.51	\$7.77	\$7.77	\$8.82	\$7.41	\$7.75	\$7.82	\$7.99	\$7.92
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,033	\$949	\$962	\$1,118	\$1,037	\$1,105	\$954	\$1,081	\$925	\$1,086	\$1,132

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – September 30, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	326	27	38	24	37	14	34	22	43	44	43
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	697	36	79	48	59	43	90	56	91	105	90
Participants in a Work Activity	591	29	70	45	48	36	78	46	79	84	76
% of Total Enrolled	85%	81%	89%	94%	81%	84%	87%	82%	87%	80%	84%
Participants Employed	311	18	33	24	17	18	28	23	48	65	37
% of Total Enrolled	45%	50%	42%	50%	29%	42%	31%	41%	53%	62%	41%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.83	\$7.79	\$7.46	\$8.01	\$7.71	\$8.60	\$7.41	\$7.66	\$7.75	\$8.04	\$7.96
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$984	\$943	\$920	\$1,182	\$937	\$1,111	\$828	\$948	\$880	\$1,049	\$1,076
Three Months Employment ⁸	75%	64%	58%	80%	59%	73%	63%	84%	84%	87%	80%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	7,565	485	956	745	650	513	893	331	1096	903	993
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	5,812	342	757	554	471	373	699	257	866	688	805
Participants in a Work Activity	5,553	323	753	521	432	356	668	249	825	640	786
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	99%	94%	92%	95%	96%	97%	95%	93%	98%
Participants Employed	3,522	206	429	314	237	213	400	121	572	469	561
% of Total Enrolled	61%	60%	57%	57%	50%	57%	57%	47%	66%	68%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.71	\$7.37	\$6.18	\$6.98	\$7.16	\$7.21	\$6.63	\$6.13	\$6.51	\$6.72	\$6.69
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$872	\$958	\$819	\$940	\$926	\$950	\$812	\$755	\$834	\$894	\$876
Three Months Employment ⁹	66%	63%	67%	63%	58%	59%	64%	62%	69%	69%	70%

EDD12 - Richmond Region: Status through September 30, 2011

TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/11 and Work Activity as of 9/30/11

Current Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	5,729	1049	48	142	1,619	58	2,813
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,490	407	31	53	868	18	1,113
Participants in a Work Activity	1,826	312	25	40	577	13	859
% of Enrolled	73%	77%	81%	75%	66%	72%	77%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	10	1	1	0	6	0	2
Participants Employed	1,097	204	17	29	391	6	450
% of Enrolled	44%	50%	55%	55%	45%	33%	40%
Full Time	827	163	7	28	286	5	338
Part Time	270	41	10	1	105	1	112
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.27	\$8.35	\$7.82	\$8.28	\$8.44	\$9.43	\$8.08
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,104	\$1,128	\$857	\$1,223	\$1,124	\$1,255	\$1,074

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – September 30, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	1,231	243	13	48	339	15	573
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	3,285	586	37	84	1,095	29	1,454
Participants in a Work Activity	2,721	479	32	75	874	23	1,238
% of Total Enrolled	83%	82%	86%	89%	80%	79%	85%
Participants Employed	1,431	272	20	47	498	13	581
% of Total Enrolled	44%	46%	54%	56%	45%	45%	40%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.36	\$8.45	\$8.12	\$8.57	\$8.49	\$9.55	\$8.17
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,074	\$1,109	\$931	\$1,108	\$1,080	\$1,033	\$1,056
Three Months Employment ⁸	73%	76%	64%	65%	81%	50%	68%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	34,997	7,156	265	1187	8,614	334	17,441
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	27,301	5,415	196	847	6,936	237	13,670
Participants in a Work Activity	26,227	5,225	185	785	6,558	218	13,256
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	94%	93%	95%	92%	97%
Participants Employed	18,491	3,471	130	553	4,632	133	9,572
% of Total Enrolled	68%	64%	66%	65%	67%	56%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.31	\$7.56	\$6.95	\$8.17	\$7.61	\$7.56	\$7.07
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$982	\$1,016	\$889	\$1,048	\$1,019	\$943	\$955
Three Months Employment ⁹	70%	70%	63%	67%	66%	63%	72%

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: Status through September 30, 2011

TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/11 and Work Activity as of 9/30/11

Current Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,121	198	39	409	294	181
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	649	120	9	241	155	124
Participants in a Work Activity	390	61	6	134	104	85
% of Enrolled	60%	51%	67%	56%	67%	69%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	8	5	0	1	0	2
Participants Employed	267	38	1	102	62	64
% of Enrolled	41%	32%	11%	42%	40%	52%
Full Time	190	29	1	77	41	42
Part Time	77	9	0	25	21	22
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.46	\$8.16	\$7.25	\$8.15	\$8.71	\$8.93
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,082	\$1,041	\$942	\$1,051	\$1,043	\$1,195

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – September 30, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	332	49	17	109	83	74
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	847	146	23	306	199	173
Participants in a Work Activity	628	104	19	198	165	142
% of Total Enrolled	74%	71%	83%	65%	83%	82%
Participants Employed	359	47	8	134	80	90
% of Total Enrolled	42%	32%	35%	44%	40%	52%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.64	\$8.23	\$8.76	\$8.17	\$8.94	\$9.24
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,085	\$1,007	\$1,242	\$1,059	\$1,042	\$1,189
Three Months Employment ⁸	76%	80%	38%	75%	89%	68%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	7,956	1,238	486	2,380	2,288	1,564
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	5,846	866	326	1,875	1,455	1,324
Participants in a Work Activity	5,515	823	310	1,703	1,405	1,274
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	95%	91%	97%	96%
Participants Employed	3,864	526	202	1,279	857	1,000
% of Total Enrolled	66%	61%	62%	68%	59%	76%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.87	\$7.54	\$7.32	\$7.94	\$8.38	\$7.71
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,017	\$956	\$917	\$1,028	\$1,114	\$991
Three Months Employment ⁹	65%	57%	60%	68%	68%	66%

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: Status through September 30, 2011

TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/11 and Work Activity as of 9/30/11

Current Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	401	85	19	51	51	18	29	28	37	83
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	152	40	4	25	17	7	14	8	6	31
Participants in a Work Activity	114	28	3	18	12	7	13	8	3	22
% of Enrolled	75%	70%	75%	72%	71%	100%	93%	100%	50%	71%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	1
Participants Employed	80	20	1	9	9	5	11	5	3	17
% of Enrolled	53%	50%	25%	36%	53%	71%	79%	63%	50%	55%
Full Time	68	15	1	7	8	4	9	5	3	16
Part Time	12	5	0	2	1	1	2	0	0	1
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.86	\$7.78	\$7.25	\$7.39	\$7.98	\$7.28	\$8.00	\$8.04	\$7.25	\$8.31
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,078	\$1,040	\$942	\$933	\$1,162	\$1,026	\$1,185	\$1,166	\$1,046	\$1,088

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – September 30, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	114	28	7	19	12	4	11	5	5	23
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	242	63	8	33	23	12	31	12	9	51
Participants in a Work Activity	211	58	7	26	20	11	30	11	8	40
% of Total Enrolled	87%	92%	88%	79%	87%	92%	97%	92%	89%	78%
Participants Employed	124	33	3	16	14	8	14	5	7	24
% of Total Enrolled	51%	52%	38%	48%	61%	67%	45%	42%	78%	47%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.96	\$8.08	\$7.67	\$7.60	\$7.83	\$8.08	\$8.39	\$7.91	\$7.33	\$8.06
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,040	\$1,030	\$833	\$969	\$1,101	\$1,024	\$1,123	\$1,085	\$1,052	\$1,033
Three Months Employment ⁸	64%	82%	60%	57%	83%	54%	80%	64%	56%	42%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	3,425	520	258	311	384	193	388	302	311	758
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	2,541	414	171	251	303	130	287	245	183	557
Participants in a Work Activity	2,382	397	161	236	283	123	264	238	177	503
% of Total Enrolled	94%	96%	94%	94%	93%	95%	92%	97%	97%	90%
Participants Employed	1,608	283	90	148	199	85	191	141	114	357
% of Total Enrolled	63%	68%	53%	59%	66%	65%	67%	58%	62%	64%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.94	\$6.99	\$6.97	\$7.31	\$6.69	\$6.99	\$6.97	\$6.59	\$6.93	\$7.00
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$890	\$861	\$867	\$961	\$843	\$891	\$902	\$851	\$930	\$915
Three Months Employment ⁹	57%	50%	47%	60%	53%	68%	69%	53%	40%	64%

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: Status through September 30, 2011

TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/11 and Work Activity as of 9/30/11

Current Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	NewKent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	3,541	25	95	141	52	123	1,294	1,764	47
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,555	9	32	44	20	73	565	781	31
Participants in a Work Activity	1,183	7	21	38	11	57	449	584	16
% of Enrolled	76%	78%	66%	86%	55%	78%	79%	75%	52%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	13	0	0	0	0	0	8	5	0
Participants Employed	751	4	18	25	9	36	262	381	16
% of Enrolled	48%	44%	56%	57%	45%	49%	46%	49%	52%
Full Time	588	4	14	15	7	20	195	322	11
Part Time	163	0	4	10	2	16	67	59	5
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.16	\$8.09	\$8.10	\$8.06	\$8.67	\$8.49	\$8.03	\$8.21	\$8.05
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,086	\$1,143	\$1,054	\$1,000	\$1,309	\$1,010	\$1,044	\$1,121	\$1,121

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – September 30, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	1,024	3	35	32	11	35	384	507	17
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,190	14	47	67	24	109	777	1,116	36
Participants in a Work Activity	1,857	11	36	57	17	93	681	935	27
% of Total Enrolled	85%	79%	77%	85%	71%	85%	88%	84%	75%
Participants Employed	1,055	9	24	41	12	50	358	542	19
% of Total Enrolled	48%	64%	51%	61%	50%	46%	46%	49%	53%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.18	\$8.12	\$7.89	\$8.46	\$8.58	\$8.35	\$8.05	\$8.23	\$8.09
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,048	\$1,077	\$982	\$1,155	\$1,249	\$895	\$1,009	\$1,077	\$1,045
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	54%	58%	70%	75%	65%	76%	71%	80%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	24,868	157	1017	1023	310	1012	8,685	12,302	362
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	18,600	114	717	797	217	757	6,367	9,365	266
Participants in a Work Activity	17,720	109	663	743	208	730	6,201	8,820	246
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	92%	93%	96%	96%	97%	94%	92%
Participants Employed	13,004	64	473	554	129	476	4,435	6,684	189
% of Total Enrolled	70%	56%	66%	70%	59%	63%	70%	71%	71%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.98	\$7.07	\$6.80	\$7.36	\$7.47	\$7.20	\$6.97	\$6.94	\$7.20
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$915	\$928	\$842	\$903	\$1,021	\$894	\$909	\$924	\$909
Three Months Employment ⁹	66%	52%	60%	61%	73%	58%	63%	69%	64%

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: Status through September 30, 2011

TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/11 and Work Activity as of 9/30/11

Current Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,197	129	70	48	82	311	557
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	601	24	31	29	27	239	251
Participants in a Work Activity	344	20	25	20	22	81	176
% of Enrolled	57%	83%	81%	69%	81%	34%	70%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	0	1	2	2	0	0
Participants Employed	247	17	13	13	14	66	124
% of Enrolled	41%	71%	42%	45%	52%	28%	49%
Full Time	190	14	8	6	11	48	103
Part Time	57	3	5	7	3	18	21
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.92	\$7.80	\$8.22	\$8.48	\$8.11	\$7.67	\$7.95
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,071	\$1,140	\$1,073	\$906	\$1,130	\$967	\$1,127

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – September 30, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	274	35	30	9	29	63	108
Total Enrolled in VIEW	755	36	49	44	49	250	327
Participants in a Work Activity	514	35	40	39	39	105	256
% of Total Enrolled	68%	97%	82%	89%	80%	42%	78%
Participants Employed	312	22	21	25	20	69	155
% of Total Enrolled	41%	61%	43%	57%	41%	28%	47%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.06	\$7.83	\$8.82	\$8.66	\$8.09	\$7.67	\$8.05
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,061	\$1,118	\$1,207	\$953	\$1,116	\$952	\$1,095
Three Months Employment ⁸	70%	72%	68%	70%	61%	66%	72%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	8,872	1137	779	345	707	2,206	3,698
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	6,927	769	582	284	563	1,772	2,957
Participants in a Work Activity	6,602	766	510	271	545	1,688	2,822
% of Total Enrolled	95%	100%	88%	95%	97%	95%	95%
Participants Employed	4,725	510	360	202	372	1,196	2,085
% of Total Enrolled	68%	66%	62%	71%	66%	67%	71%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.95	\$6.87	\$7.44	\$7.21	\$6.94	\$6.80	\$6.97
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$939	\$954	\$993	\$874	\$946	\$912	\$947
Three Months Employment ⁹	65%	59%	58%	58%	64%	63%	70%

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: Status through September 30, 2011

TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/11 and Work Activity as of 9/30/11

Current Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South -ampton	Chesa-peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	6,250	133	129	1,071	125	2,209	1,170	380	1,033
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,562	40	71	481	28	953	471	117	401
Participants in a Work Activity	1,973	31	39	403	10	763	359	87	281
% of Enrolled	77%	78%	55%	84%	36%	80%	76%	74%	70%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	19	0	2	0	0	2	9	1	5
Participants Employed	1,300	26	21	272	7	515	203	72	184
% of Enrolled	51%	65%	30%	57%	25%	54%	43%	62%	46%
Full Time	1,084	21	12	237	4	455	152	59	144
Part Time	216	5	9	35	3	60	51	13	40
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.99	\$7.92	\$7.89	\$7.96	\$8.07	\$7.90	\$8.10	\$7.75	\$8.25
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,049	\$1,034	\$964	\$1,084	\$940	\$1,023	\$1,069	\$1,022	\$1,075

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – September 30, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South -ampton	Chesa-peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	1,632	33	40	284	37	531	294	94	319
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	3,428	53	84	653	28	1,219	653	179	559
Participants in a Work Activity	2,928	47	76	586	12	1,036	554	158	459
% of Total Enrolled	85%	89%	90%	90%	43%	85%	85%	88%	82%
Participants Employed	1,777	34	29	369	7	654	296	107	281
% of Total Enrolled	52%	64%	35%	57%	25%	54%	45%	60%	50%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.02	\$8.08	\$8.10	\$8.07	\$8.07	\$7.90	\$8.06	\$7.84	\$8.26
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,027	\$1,088	\$946	\$1,067	\$934	\$996	\$1,041	\$1,011	\$1,046
Three Months Employment ⁸	73%	65%	58%	82%	38%	73%	72%	64%	71%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South -ampton	Chesa-peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	49,592	1124	956	7,965	788	15,973	8,012	3,150	11,624
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	36,184	806	764	5,805	604	11,521	6,325	2,458	7,901
Participants in a Work Activity	34,247	774	732	5,531	592	10,912	5,962	2,427	7,317
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	96%	95%	98%	95%	94%	99%	93%
Participants Employed	25,441	562	438	4,237	397	8,142	4,576	1,823	5,266
% of Total Enrolled	70%	70%	57%	73%	66%	71%	72%	74%	67%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.82	\$6.86	\$6.64	\$6.99	\$6.42	\$6.71	\$6.71	\$6.66	\$7.13
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$893	\$909	\$825	\$924	\$803	\$859	\$899	\$867	\$948
Three Months Employment ⁹	68%	60%	54%	65%	64%	67%	70%	68%	69%

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date

This section lists the relative state fiscal year to date rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Wage, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	30.5%	3.05	116	\$7.90	2.07	83	58.1%	3.41	99	2.84	112
003	Albemarle	65.7%	6.57	10	\$8.42	3.33	30	77.5%	6.46	21	5.45	11
005	Alleghany/Covington	55.7%	5.57	34	\$8.03	2.38	66	51.2%	2.34	112	3.43	101
007	Amelia	50.0%	5.00	58	\$7.79	1.80	94	64.0%	4.34	78	3.71	89
009	Amherst	54.3%	5.43	40	\$7.86	1.97	87	53.6%	2.70	109	3.37	102
011	Appomattox	54.8%	5.48	36	\$7.77	1.75	96	75.0%	6.07	27	4.44	50
013	Arlington	47.9%	4.79	67	\$11.16	10.00	1	73.3%	5.80	34	6.86	2
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	61.6%	6.16	18	\$7.97	2.24	74	71.0%	5.45	50	4.62	36
017	Bath	66.7%	6.67	8	\$7.63	1.41	106	100.0%	10.00	1	6.03	6
019	Bedford County/City	50.7%	5.07	56	\$8.04	2.41	63	63.4%	4.25	82	3.91	77
021	Bland	33.3%	3.33	112	\$8.00	2.31	71	80.0%	6.86	15	4.17	64
023	Botetourt	33.3%	3.33	113	\$8.03	2.38	67	71.4%	5.51	43	3.74	87
025	Brunswick	41.8%	4.18	90	\$7.46	1.00	116	57.9%	3.38	100	2.85	111
027	Buchanan	50.0%	5.00	59	\$7.59	1.31	112	58.8%	3.53	96	3.28	104
029	Buckingham	50.0%	5.00	60	\$8.01	2.34	70	80.0%	6.86	16	4.73	31
031	Campbell	46.8%	4.68	71	\$8.04	2.41	64	65.2%	4.53	69	3.87	80
033	Caroline	32.2%	3.22	114	\$8.23	2.87	38	79.6%	6.79	20	4.29	60
035	Carroll	56.4%	5.64	32	\$7.77	1.75	97	60.0%	3.71	92	3.70	90
036	Charles City	64.3%	6.43	11	\$8.12	2.60	46	53.9%	2.75	106	3.93	76
037	Charlotte	28.8%	2.88	117	\$7.71	1.61	100	59.1%	3.57	94	2.69	114
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	46.4%	4.64	73	\$8.45	3.41	27	76.2%	6.25	24	4.77	29
043	Clarke	62.5%	6.25	16	\$9.10	4.99	11	50.0%	2.14	113	4.46	48
045	Craig	50.0%	5.00	61	\$7.56	1.24	115	100.0%	10.00	2	5.41	12
047	Culpeper	46.6%	4.66	72	\$8.43	3.36	29	62.8%	4.15	84	4.06	70
049	Cumberland	41.9%	4.19	89	\$8.60	3.77	19	73.3%	5.81	32	4.59	37
051	Dickensen	60.3%	6.03	23	\$8.12	2.60	47	66.7%	4.76	61	4.47	46
053	Dinwiddie	61.1%	6.11	20	\$7.83	1.90	89	72.0%	5.60	42	4.54	40
057	Essex	52.4%	5.24	51	\$8.08	2.51	53	81.6%	7.11	12	4.95	23
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	53.5%	5.35	44	\$9.88	6.89	4	67.5%	4.90	57	5.71	7
061	Fauquier	57.9%	5.79	26	\$9.32	5.52	8	65.1%	4.52	70	5.28	13
063	Floyd	36.0%	3.60	106	\$8.06	2.46	58	36.4%	0.00	119	2.02	118
065	Fluvanna	38.5%	3.85	100	\$8.65	3.89	18	53.9%	2.75	107	3.50	99
067	Franklin County	41.3%	4.13	92	\$8.79	4.23	14	60.7%	3.83	87	4.06	69
069	Frederick	36.7%	3.67	104	\$8.49	3.50	24	76.3%	6.28	23	4.48	44
071	Giles	40.9%	4.09	96	\$7.89	2.04	85	58.3%	3.45	97	3.20	105
073	Gloucester	51.1%	5.11	54	\$7.89	2.04	86	57.9%	3.38	101	3.51	97
075	Goochland	54.1%	5.41	41	\$8.12	2.60	48	64.3%	4.39	76	4.13	66
077	Grayson	75.0%	7.50	4	\$7.59	1.31	113	52.9%	2.61	111	3.81	85

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	45.5%	4.55	79	\$8.59	3.75	20	73.3%	5.81	33	4.70	33
081	Greensville/Emporia	31.1%	3.11	115	\$7.41	0.88	117	63.3%	4.24	83	2.74	113
083	Halifax	38.5%	3.85	101	\$8.02	2.36	69	60.4%	3.78	90	3.33	103
085	Hanover	56.0%	5.60	33	\$8.57	3.70	22	64.8%	4.47	72	4.59	38
087	Henrico	45.5%	4.55	78	\$8.49	3.50	25	81.1%	7.03	13	5.03	20
089	Henry/Martinsville	45.9%	4.59	76	\$7.91	2.09	81	60.7%	3.82	89	3.50	98
091	Highland	100.0%	10.00	1	\$8.00	2.31	72	100.0%	10.00	3	7.44	1
093	Isle of Wight	64.2%	6.42	13	\$8.08	2.51	54	64.9%	4.48	71	4.47	47
095	James City	61.2%	6.12	19	\$8.46	3.43	26	70.2%	5.32	51	4.96	22
097	King and Queen	37.5%	3.75	102	\$7.67	1.51	102	60.0%	3.71	93	2.99	108
099	King George	34.8%	3.48	109	\$8.76	4.16	15	38.5%	0.33	117	2.66	116
101	King William	48.5%	4.85	65	\$7.60	1.34	110	57.1%	3.27	102	3.15	107
103	Lancaster	60.9%	6.09	21	\$7.83	1.90	90	83.3%	7.38	9	5.12	15
105	Lee	60.7%	6.07	22	\$7.61	1.36	109	72.2%	5.63	38	4.36	57
107	Loudoun	54.7%	5.47	38	\$10.53	8.47	3	71.4%	5.51	44	6.48	4
109	Louisa	44.4%	4.44	84	\$9.23	5.30	10	72.1%	5.61	40	5.12	16
111	Lunenburg	41.1%	4.11	95	\$7.66	1.48	104	84.2%	7.52	7	4.37	56
113	Madison	36.4%	3.64	105	\$7.69	1.56	101	71.4%	5.51	45	3.57	94
115	Mathews	66.7%	6.67	9	\$8.08	2.51	55	53.9%	2.75	108	3.97	73
117	Mecklenburg	52.7%	5.27	50	\$7.75	1.70	98	83.7%	7.43	8	4.80	28
119	Middlesex	45.2%	4.52	82	\$8.39	3.26	31	80.0%	6.86	17	4.88	26
121	Montgomery	72.8%	7.28	5	\$7.82	1.87	92	64.7%	4.45	74	4.54	41
125	Nelson	37.5%	3.75	103	\$7.92	2.12	78	72.7%	5.71	36	3.86	82
127	New Kent	50.0%	5.00	62	\$8.58	3.72	21	75.0%	6.07	28	4.93	24
131	Northampton	54.4%	5.44	39	\$8.21	2.82	41	80.0%	6.86	18	5.04	19
133	Northumberland	41.7%	4.17	91	\$7.91	2.09	82	63.6%	4.29	80	3.52	96
135	Nottoway	61.9%	6.19	17	\$8.04	2.41	65	87.0%	7.95	5	5.52	10
137	Orange	57.4%	5.74	27	\$8.70	4.01	16	71.4%	5.51	46	5.09	17
139	Page	68.0%	6.80	7	\$8.03	2.38	68	53.3%	2.67	110	3.95	74
141	Patrick	53.1%	5.31	46	\$7.62	1.39	107	75.9%	6.22	25	4.31	59
143	Pittsylvania	41.2%	4.12	93	\$8.20	2.80	42	62.5%	4.11	85	3.68	92
145	Powhatan	44.8%	4.48	83	\$9.55	6.08	5	50.0%	2.14	114	4.24	62
147	Prince Edward	41.1%	4.11	94	\$7.96	2.21	75	80.5%	6.93	14	4.42	51
149	Prince George	42.9%	4.29	88	\$8.82	4.31	13	68.0%	4.97	54	4.52	43
153	Prince William	53.5%	5.35	43	\$9.33	5.55	7	72.6%	5.70	37	5.53	9
155	Pulaski	54.9%	5.49	35	\$7.62	1.39	108	60.3%	3.77	91	3.55	95
157	Rappahannock	0.0%	0.00	120	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.00	120
159	Richmond County	77.8%	7.78	3	\$7.33	0.68	118	55.6%	3.02	103	3.83	84
161	Roanoke County	62.6%	6.26	15	\$8.36	3.19	34	66.4%	4.71	66	4.72	32
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	51.2%	5.12	53	\$7.81	1.85	93	77.1%	6.41	22	4.46	49
165	Rockingham/H'burg	64.2%	6.42	12	\$8.39	3.26	32	66.9%	4.80	60	4.83	27
167	Russell	48.4%	4.84	66	\$7.60	1.34	111	74.2%	5.94	30	4.04	71
169	Scott	50.8%	5.08	55	\$7.59	1.31	114	84.6%	7.58	6	4.66	34
171	Shenandoah	35.7%	3.57	107	\$8.39	3.26	33	66.7%	4.76	62	3.86	81

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	48.9%	4.89	63	\$7.83	1.90	91	67.2%	4.84	58	3.87	79
175	<i>Southampton</i>	34.5%	3.45	111	\$8.10	2.55	49	58.3%	3.45	98	3.15	106
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	43.8%	4.38	85	\$8.17	2.73	44	74.7%	6.02	29	4.37	55
179	<i>Stafford</i>	40.2%	4.02	98	\$8.94	4.60	12	88.8%	8.23	4	5.62	8
181	<i>Surry</i>	56.8%	5.68	28	\$8.66	3.92	17	70.0%	5.29	52	4.96	21
183	<i>Sussex</i>	40.8%	4.08	97	\$8.09	2.53	50	60.7%	3.83	88	3.48	100
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	58.8%	5.88	25	\$7.92	2.12	79	74.1%	5.93	31	4.64	35
187	<i>Warren</i>	46.0%	4.60	75	\$8.32	3.09	36	66.7%	4.76	63	4.15	65
191	<i>Washington</i>	56.8%	5.68	29	\$7.95	2.19	76	58.9%	3.55	95	3.81	86
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	47.1%	4.71	69	\$8.06	2.46	59	41.9%	0.88	116	2.68	115
195	<i>Wise</i>	53.2%	5.32	45	\$7.75	1.70	99	67.0%	4.81	59	3.94	75
197	<i>Wythe</i>	63.6%	6.36	14	\$7.93	2.14	77	64.7%	4.45	73	4.32	58
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	45.9%	4.59	77	\$8.35	3.16	35	65.4%	4.56	68	4.10	67
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	43.7%	4.37	86	\$10.64	8.73	2	81.8%	7.13	10	6.74	3
520	<i>Bristol</i>	43.6%	4.36	87	\$7.66	1.48	105	55.1%	2.94	105	2.93	110
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	54.8%	5.48	37	\$8.56	3.67	23	64.6%	4.43	75	4.53	42
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	56.5%	5.65	31	\$8.07	2.48	56	81.6%	7.11	11	5.08	18
590	<i>Danville</i>	35.2%	3.52	108	\$7.79	1.80	95	50.0%	2.14	115	2.49	117
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	25.0%	2.50	119	\$8.07	2.48	57	37.5%	0.18	118	1.72	119
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	52.0%	5.20	52	\$9.24	5.33	9	67.7%	4.93	56	5.15	14
640	<i>Galax</i>	52.8%	5.28	47	\$8.09	2.53	51	63.6%	4.29	81	4.03	72
650	<i>Hampton</i>	46.1%	4.61	74	\$8.05	2.43	61	75.6%	6.16	26	4.40	53
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	27.6%	2.76	118	\$7.67	1.51	103	66.1%	4.67	67	2.98	109
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	46.8%	4.68	70	\$7.92	2.12	80	64.1%	4.36	77	3.72	88
683	<i>Manassas</i>	34.8%	3.48	110	\$9.52	6.01	6	66.7%	4.76	64	4.75	30
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	100.0%	10.00	2	\$8.45	3.41	28	71.4%	5.51	47	6.31	5
700	<i>Newport News</i>	48.6%	4.86	64	\$8.23	2.87	39	71.4%	5.51	48	4.41	52
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	53.7%	5.37	42	\$7.90	2.07	84	73.0%	5.75	35	4.39	54
720	<i>Norton</i>	69.6%	6.96	6	\$7.05	0.00	119	62.5%	4.11	86	3.69	91
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	47.4%	4.74	68	\$8.05	2.43	62	72.2%	5.62	39	4.27	61
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	45.3%	4.53	80	\$8.06	2.46	60	72.1%	5.61	41	4.20	63
750	<i>Radford</i>	56.5%	5.65	30	\$8.23	2.87	40	69.6%	5.22	53	4.58	39
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	40.0%	4.00	99	\$8.17	2.73	45	67.9%	4.95	55	3.89	78
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	45.2%	4.52	81	\$8.00	2.31	73	66.5%	4.73	65	3.86	83
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	59.8%	5.98	24	\$7.84	1.92	88	63.8%	4.31	79	4.07	68
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	50.3%	5.03	57	\$8.26	2.94	37	71.2%	5.47	49	4.48	45
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	52.8%	5.28	49	\$8.09	2.53	52	80.0%	6.86	19	4.89	25
840	<i>Winchester</i>	52.8%	5.28	48	\$8.18	2.75	43	55.2%	2.96	104	3.66	93

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores is done to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

VIEW Rankings, From Inception of VIEW

This section lists the relative cumulative rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Monthly Earnings, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	51.9%	2.13	117	\$843	2.96	93	65.4%	5.87	48	3.65	107
003	Albemarle	73.0%	7.78	12	\$998	6.10	20	57.1%	3.97	103	5.95	30
005	Alleghany/Covington	71.2%	7.30	20	\$832	2.74	103	58.4%	4.26	98	4.77	79
007	Amelia	60.2%	4.36	96	\$958	5.29	33	63.4%	5.41	62	5.02	70
009	Amherst	66.8%	6.13	52	\$858	3.25	89	59.9%	4.61	85	4.66	82
011	Appomattox	70.2%	7.03	28	\$862	3.33	86	55.4%	3.59	109	4.65	83
013	Arlington	72.7%	7.69	15	\$1,061	7.39	8	70.0%	6.94	17	7.34	6
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	67.3%	6.25	48	\$950	5.13	41	66.9%	6.21	41	5.86	31
017	Bath	65.2%	5.69	69	\$698	0.00	120	62.1%	5.12	71	3.60	109
019	Bedford County/City	65.3%	5.72	67	\$850	3.10	91	61.0%	4.86	80	4.56	87
021	Bland	60.6%	4.47	93	\$836	2.80	97	56.6%	3.86	106	3.71	106
023	Botetourt	43.9%	0.00	120	\$931	4.73	50	56.3%	3.80	107	2.84	118
025	Brunswick	56.7%	3.41	109	\$819	2.46	109	67.1%	6.27	38	4.05	101
027	Buchanan	52.9%	2.41	115	\$833	2.74	102	63.2%	5.38	64	3.51	113
029	Buckingham	56.7%	3.41	108	\$940	4.93	47	62.5%	5.21	67	4.52	92
031	Campbell	68.4%	6.53	38	\$891	3.94	73	64.4%	5.64	52	5.37	54
033	Caroline	60.7%	4.50	92	\$956	5.24	35	56.8%	3.91	105	4.55	88
035	Carroll	67.0%	6.16	49	\$822	2.54	108	54.9%	3.46	110	4.05	100
036	Charles City	56.1%	3.27	111	\$928	4.68	53	51.9%	2.78	116	3.58	110
037	Charlotte	50.3%	1.71	118	\$926	4.63	54	58.4%	4.27	95	3.54	112
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	64.1%	5.39	75	\$1,016	6.46	17	69.8%	6.89	19	6.25	21
043	Clarke	61.7%	4.76	90	\$981	5.75	27	61.5%	4.99	76	5.17	65
045	Craig	54.8%	2.90	113	\$1,038	6.91	11	65.0%	5.79	50	5.20	63
047	Culpeper	65.1%	5.67	70	\$956	5.25	34	66.5%	6.12	42	5.68	38
049	Cumberland	57.1%	3.53	106	\$950	5.13	40	58.9%	4.39	89	4.35	96
051	Dickenson	60.3%	4.39	95	\$826	2.61	105	55.7%	3.65	108	3.55	111
053	Dinwiddie	66.3%	5.99	58	\$954	5.20	38	58.7%	4.35	91	5.18	64
057	Essex	68.4%	6.53	39	\$861	3.32	87	49.6%	2.25	117	4.03	102
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	67.9%	6.41	44	\$1,141	9.00	3	69.4%	6.79	25	7.40	5
061	Fauquier	68.2%	6.50	40	\$1,019	6.52	15	69.4%	6.80	23	6.61	12
063	Floyd	66.9%	6.13	51	\$924	4.60	56	56.9%	3.94	104	4.89	75
065	Fluvanna	68.2%	6.48	43	\$1,058	7.33	9	58.7%	4.33	92	6.05	27
067	Franklin County	62.9%	5.06	84	\$947	5.07	44	58.4%	4.27	96	4.80	78
069	Frederick	56.5%	3.35	110	\$998	6.10	21	62.1%	5.12	70	4.86	76
071	Giles	58.9%	4.01	100	\$954	5.20	39	54.4%	3.34	111	4.18	98
073	Gloucester	66.0%	5.89	61	\$842	2.94	96	59.9%	4.61	84	4.48	94
075	Goochland	66.3%	5.99	57	\$889	3.89	75	63.3%	5.40	63	5.09	68
077	Grayson	66.9%	6.13	50	\$842	2.94	95	61.0%	4.87	79	4.65	84

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	75.5%	8.43	5	\$918	4.47	59	57.1%	3.98	102	5.63	41
081	Greensville/Emporia	57.2%	3.56	105	\$812	2.33	111	64.3%	5.64	54	3.84	104
083	Halifax	56.7%	3.42	107	\$836	2.80	98	58.6%	4.31	93	3.51	114
085	Hanover	65.3%	5.71	68	\$1,048	7.11	10	67.2%	6.30	36	6.37	19
087	Henrico	66.8%	6.11	53	\$1,019	6.52	16	66.2%	6.07	43	6.23	23
089	Henry/Martinsville	63.2%	5.14	81	\$936	4.85	48	64.4%	5.64	53	5.21	62
091	Highland	75.0%	8.30	7	\$784	1.75	116	83.3%	10.00	1	6.68	10
093	Isle of Wight	69.7%	6.90	31	\$909	4.29	65	60.4%	4.73	82	5.30	60
095	James City	69.5%	6.84	34	\$903	4.16	68	61.5%	4.98	77	5.33	58
097	King and Queen	52.6%	2.33	116	\$867	3.44	81	46.9%	1.63	118	2.47	119
099	King George	62.0%	4.82	87	\$917	4.46	60	59.7%	4.56	86	4.61	85
101	King William	59.0%	4.02	99	\$961	5.35	30	60.1%	4.67	83	4.68	81
103	Lancaster	65.7%	5.81	63	\$843	2.95	94	53.3%	3.11	114	3.96	103
105	Lee	65.4%	5.75	65	\$781	1.69	117	72.4%	7.49	8	4.98	74
107	Loudoun	77.9%	9.08	3	\$1,184	9.88	2	71.6%	7.31	11	8.75	1
109	Louisa	60.6%	4.45	94	\$983	5.79	26	64.1%	5.59	57	5.28	61
111	Lunenburg	47.1%	0.85	119	\$755	1.18	118	61.6%	5.01	73	2.35	120
113	Madison	63.8%	5.31	78	\$990	5.94	24	61.9%	5.09	72	5.45	48
115	Mathews	65.4%	5.74	66	\$891	3.93	74	68.0%	6.48	32	5.38	51
117	Mecklenburg	66.1%	5.91	60	\$834	2.77	100	68.8%	6.67	29	5.12	66
119	Middlesex	66.6%	6.05	56	\$902	4.16	69	68.9%	6.69	27	5.63	40
121	Montgomery	79.3%	9.46	2	\$929	4.69	52	65.0%	5.78	51	6.65	11
125	Nelson	62.5%	4.97	85	\$1,001	6.16	18	61.6%	5.01	74	5.38	52
127	New Kent	59.4%	4.15	97	\$1,021	6.56	14	73.4%	7.72	7	6.14	25
131	Northampton	63.9%	5.34	77	\$835	2.79	99	79.6%	9.14	2	5.75	36
133	Northumberland	57.6%	3.64	103	\$851	3.12	90	53.1%	3.06	115	3.28	115
135	Nottoway	68.2%	6.48	42	\$894	3.99	71	69.5%	6.81	21	5.76	35
137	Orange	72.5%	7.64	16	\$962	5.36	29	58.9%	4.39	88	5.80	34
139	Page	70.4%	7.09	25	\$986	5.85	25	59.5%	4.53	87	5.82	32
141	Patrick	67.5%	6.30	45	\$817	2.42	110	74.8%	8.05	6	5.59	43
143	Pittsylvania	54.5%	2.83	114	\$862	3.33	85	60.7%	4.79	81	3.65	108
145	Powhatan	56.1%	3.26	112	\$943	4.99	46	63.0%	5.33	66	4.53	90
147	Prince Edward	69.7%	6.89	32	\$876	3.61	79	69.8%	6.89	18	5.80	33
149	Prince George	61.9%	4.79	88	\$993	6.01	22	58.1%	4.20	100	5.00	72
153	Prince William	72.7%	7.70	14	\$1,190	10.00	1	70.9%	7.15	13	8.28	2
155	Pulaski	64.4%	5.46	73	\$933	4.78	49	54.3%	3.32	112	4.52	91
157	Rappahannock	62.9%	5.07	82	\$954	5.21	37	44.7%	1.13	119	3.81	105
159	Richmond County	62.3%	4.91	86	\$930	4.71	51	39.8%	0.00	120	3.21	116
161	Roanoke County	73.8%	7.99	10	\$1,034	6.84	12	58.8%	4.36	90	6.40	17
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	72.2%	7.57	18	\$862	3.34	84	66.2%	6.06	44	5.66	39
165	Rockingham/H'burg	73.3%	7.86	11	\$961	5.35	31	67.6%	6.39	34	6.53	15
167	Russell	61.9%	4.79	89	\$847	3.04	92	67.2%	6.30	37	4.71	80
169	Scott	58.4%	3.86	102	\$878	3.65	78	77.0%	8.54	5	5.35	55
171	Shenandoah	61.3%	4.65	91	\$917	4.45	61	70.1%	6.95	16	5.35	56

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	59.0%	4.02	98	\$832	2.74	104	64.2%	5.61	56	4.12	99
175	<i>Southampton</i>	57.3%	3.59	104	\$825	2.59	107	54.2%	3.31	113	3.16	117
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	68.2%	6.49	41	\$1,028	6.70	13	67.8%	6.42	33	6.54	13
179	<i>Stafford</i>	58.9%	4.00	101	\$1,114	8.46	4	68.2%	6.52	30	6.33	20
181	<i>Surry</i>	71.1%	7.27	21	\$874	3.57	80	57.8%	4.14	101	5.00	73
183	<i>Sussex</i>	66.1%	5.92	59	\$946	5.05	45	64.3%	5.64	55	5.54	44
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	67.5%	6.30	47	\$803	2.15	113	65.8%	5.98	46	4.81	77
187	<i>Warren</i>	68.9%	6.68	35	\$1,000	6.15	19	69.3%	6.77	26	6.53	14
191	<i>Washington</i>	64.6%	5.53	72	\$887	3.84	76	58.3%	4.24	99	4.53	89
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	64.1%	5.39	76	\$915	4.42	62	63.9%	5.53	59	5.11	67
195	<i>Wise</i>	63.8%	5.30	79	\$809	2.27	112	67.0%	6.25	39	4.61	86
197	<i>Wythe</i>	66.8%	6.10	54	\$833	2.75	101	66.9%	6.22	40	5.02	71
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	62.9%	5.07	83	\$894	3.99	72	58.4%	4.27	97	4.44	95
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	70.3%	7.06	26	\$1,069	7.54	7	77.0%	8.55	4	7.71	4
520	<i>Bristol</i>	68.5%	6.57	37	\$864	3.38	83	62.4%	5.18	69	5.05	69
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	81.4%	10.00	1	\$921	4.54	58	65.5%	5.89	47	6.81	8
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	73.0%	7.77	13	\$924	4.60	55	65.1%	5.81	49	6.06	26
590	<i>Danville</i>	64.8%	5.58	71	\$799	2.05	115	61.6%	5.01	75	4.21	97
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	65.7%	5.83	62	\$803	2.14	114	63.7%	5.48	60	4.48	93
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	75.5%	8.44	4	\$991	5.95	23	66.0%	6.01	45	6.80	9
640	<i>Galax</i>	63.2%	5.16	80	\$885	3.81	77	70.2%	6.98	14	5.32	59
650	<i>Hampton</i>	69.7%	6.88	33	\$909	4.29	64	63.4%	5.42	61	5.53	46
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	67.5%	6.30	46	\$912	4.36	63	63.2%	5.37	65	5.34	57
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	69.9%	6.94	30	\$826	2.61	106	68.9%	6.68	28	5.41	50
683	<i>Manassas</i>	64.2%	5.43	74	\$1,095	8.06	5	71.2%	7.20	12	6.90	7
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	73.9%	8.01	9	\$1,075	7.66	6	77.7%	8.71	3	8.13	3
700	<i>Newport News</i>	71.4%	7.33	19	\$924	4.59	57	69.4%	6.80	24	6.24	22
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	70.7%	7.15	23	\$859	3.27	88	67.4%	6.35	35	5.59	42
720	<i>Norton</i>	75.4%	8.40	6	\$739	0.84	119	71.8%	7.35	9	5.53	45
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	70.5%	7.10	24	\$947	5.07	43	70.2%	6.97	15	6.38	18
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	72.3%	7.60	17	\$899	4.09	70	69.6%	6.85	20	6.18	24
750	<i>Radford</i>	70.3%	7.04	27	\$904	4.19	67	61.1%	4.90	78	5.38	53
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	70.0%	6.97	29	\$955	5.22	36	71.8%	7.34	10	6.51	16
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	65.6%	5.79	64	\$963	5.38	28	62.4%	5.19	68	5.45	47
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	74.2%	8.08	8	\$867	3.44	82	68.1%	6.50	31	6.01	28
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	66.6%	6.07	55	\$948	5.09	42	69.5%	6.81	22	5.99	29
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	71.1%	7.25	22	\$909	4.29	66	64.0%	5.55	58	5.70	37
840	<i>Winchester</i>	68.7%	6.61	36	\$961	5.34	32	58.6%	4.31	94	5.42	49

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores was begun in May 1999 to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

State Summary July 1, 2011 – September 30, 2011

	State	ED 1	ED 2	ED 3	ED 4	ED 5	ED 6	ED 7	ED 8	ED 9	ED 10	ED 11	ED 12	ED 13	ED 14	ED 15	ED 16	ED 17	ED 18
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>																			
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	4,540	218	157	436	153	87	490	59	114	198	205	157	589	175	71	544	133	720	34
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	92%	99%	99%	98%	96%	87%	90%	86%	100%	97%	98%	94%	93%	75%	87%	95%	76%	88%	94%
% Timely in September 2011	92%	100%	99%	98%	97%	95%	87%	84%	100%	96%	99%	93%	94%	74%	81%	94%	60%	87%	93%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>																			
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>																			
# Over 30 Days	111	0	0	1	5	4	17	3	0	1	1	3	23	16	2	19	7	9	0
Average # of Days Over 30	32	0	0	30	38	118	28	17	0	2	1	10	36	23	20	29	39	24	0

EDD1 – Southwest Region: July 1, 2011 - September 30, 2011

	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	218	4	13	45	25	23	43	62	3
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	98%	97%	100%
% Timely in September 2011	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>									
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD2 - Bristol-Galax Region: July 1, 2011 - September 30, 2011

	<i>Total EDD2</i>	<i>Bland</i>	<i>Carroll</i>	<i>Grayson</i>	<i>Smyth</i>	<i>Wash.</i>	<i>Wythe</i>	<i>Bristol</i>	<i>Galax</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	157	1	18	5	26	27	16	52	12
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	99%	100%	94%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	92%
% Timely in September 2011	99%	100%	92%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD3 - Roanoke Region: July 1, 2011 - September 30, 2011

	<i>Total EDD3</i>	<i>Alleghny/ Covington</i>	<i>Bote- tourt</i>	<i>Craig</i>	<i>Floyd</i>	<i>Franklin County</i>	<i>Giles</i>	<i>Mont- gomery</i>	<i>Pulaski</i>	<i>Roanoke County</i>	<i>Radford</i>	<i>Roanoke City</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹												
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	436	23	7	4	14	34	5	55	35	42	12	205
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	96%	100%	100%	83%	97%
% Timely in September 2011	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	97%	100%	100%	86%	98%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²												
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴												
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: July 1, 2011 - September 30, 2011

	<i>Total EDD4</i>	<i>Aug/S'ton /W'boro</i>	<i>Bath</i>	<i>Highland</i>	<i>Rockbridge/ BV/Lex</i>	<i>Rockingham/ HBurg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	153	107	0	0	10	36
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	96%	100%	N/A	N/A	40%	100%
% Timely in September 2011	97%	100%	N/A	N/A	0%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	5	0	1	0	4	0
Average # of Days Over 30	38	0	98	0	23	0

EDD5 & EDD18 - Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: July 1, 2011 - September 30, 2011

	<i>Total EDD5</i>	<i>Clarke</i>	<i>Frederick</i>	<i>Page</i>	<i>Shen.</i>	<i>Warren</i>	<i>Winchester</i>	<i>Total EDD18</i>	<i>Accomack</i>	<i>Nhampton</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹										
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	87	1	22	12	9	22	21	34	21	13
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	87%	100%	68%	100%	100%	100%	81%	94%	100%	85%
% Timely in September 2011	95%	100%	67%	100%	100%	100%	91%	93%	100%	88%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴										
# Over 30 Days	4	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	118	0	118	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: July 1, 2011 - September 30, 2011

	<i>Total EDD6</i>	<i>Arlington</i>	<i>Fairfax</i>	<i>Loudoun</i>	<i>Prince William</i>	<i>Alexandria</i>	<i>Manassas</i>	<i>Manassas Park</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹								
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	490	33	164	28	180	59	22	4
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	90%	100%	96%	100%	77%	100%	100%	25%
% Timely in September 2011	87%	100%	98%	100%	72%	100%	100%	25%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²								
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴								
# Over 30 Days	17	0	0	0	14	0	0	3
Average # of Days Over 30	28	0	0	0	30	0	0	18

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: July 1, 2011 - September 30, 2011

	<i>Total EDD7</i>	<i>Culpeper</i>	<i>Fauquier</i>	<i>Madison</i>	<i>Orange</i>	<i>Rapp.</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	59	26	15	3	13	2
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	86%	85%	100%	67%	85%	50%
% Timely in September 2011	84%	83%	100%	50%	83%	50%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	3	0	0	1	1	1
Average # of Days Over 30	17	0	0	27	14	9

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: July 1, 2011 - September 30, 2011

	<i>Total EDD8</i>	<i>Albemarle</i>	<i>Fluvanna</i>	<i>Greene</i>	<i>Louisa</i>	<i>Nelson</i>	<i>Cville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	114	22	9	9	24	8	42
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
% Timely in September 2011	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: July 1, 2011 - September 30, 2011

	<i>Total EDD9</i>	<i>Amherst</i>	<i>Appom.</i>	<i>Bedford</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Lynchburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	198	11	11	32	55	89
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	97%	100%	100%	97%	98%	97%
% Timely in September 2011	96%	100%	100%	94%	96%	94%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	2	0	0	0	0	2

EDD10 - Danville Region: July 1, 2011 - September 30, 2011

	<i>Total EDD10</i>	<i>Halifax</i>	<i>Henry/ Martinsville</i>	<i>Patrick</i>	<i>Pittsylvania</i>	<i>Danville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	205	40	54	18	28	65
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	98%	95%	96%	100%	100%	100%
% Timely in September 2011	99%	94%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	1	1	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	1	1	0	0	0	0

EDD11 – Southside Region: July 1, 2011 - September 30, 2011

	<i>Total EDD11</i>	<i>Amelia</i>	<i>Brunswick</i>	<i>Buckingham</i>	<i>Charlotte</i>	<i>Cumberland</i>	<i>Gr'nsville Emporia</i>	<i>Lunenburg</i>	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	<i>Nottoway</i>	<i>Pr. Edward</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹											
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	157	3	21	13	20	7	18	8	21	22	24
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	94%	100%	100%	100%	100%	71%	83%	88%	95%	100%	92%
% Timely in September 2011	93%	100%	100%	100%	100%	60%	83%	75%	100%	100%	90%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²											
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴											
# Over 30 Days	3	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	10	0	0	0	0	13	5	0	0	0	0

EDD12 - Richmond Region: July 1, 2011 - September 30, 2011

	<i>Total EDD12</i>	<i>Chesterfield/ Col Hgts</i>	<i>Goochland</i>	<i>Hanover</i>	<i>Henrico</i>	<i>Powhatan</i>	<i>Richmond City</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	589	115	7	15	182	6	264
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	93%	95%	100%	87%	90%	100%	95%
% Timely in September 2011	94%	96%	100%	86%	91%	100%	95%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	23	4	0	0	16	0	3
Average # of Days Over 30	36	42	0	0	41	0	3

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: July 1, 2011 - September 30, 2011

	<i>Total EDD13</i>	<i>Caroline</i>	<i>King George</i>	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	<i>Stafford</i>	<i>Fredericksburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	175	23	9	60	39	44
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	75%	78%	100%	42%	95%	98%
% Timely in September 2011	74%	67%	100%	42%	91%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	16	3	0	12	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	23	19	0	26	6	0

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: July 1, 2011 - September 30, 2011

	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North- umberland	Richmond County	West- moreland
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹										
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	71	21	4	13	2	4	8	3	3	13
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	87%	100%	50%	77%	100%	50%	100%	100%	33%	100%
% Timely in September 2011	81%	100%	50%	25%	100%	50%	100%	100%	0%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴										
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	20	0	0	0	0	20	0	0	0	0

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: July 1, 2011 - September 30, 2011

	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	544	1	13	13	8	22	198	275	14
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	95%	100%	100%	100%	0%	100%	99%	95%	79%
% Timely in September 2011	94%	100%	100%	100%	0%	100%	99%	92%	75%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	19	0	0	0	11	0	1	4	3
Average # of Days Over 30	29	0	0	0	40	0	7	8	25

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: July 1, 2011 - September 30, 2011

	<i>Total EDD16</i>	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	<i>Prince George</i>	<i>Surry</i>	<i>Sussex</i>	<i>Hopewell</i>	<i>Petersburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	133	10	11	3	14	24	71
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	76%	100%	91%	100%	100%	29%	80%
% Timely in September 2011	60%	100%	83%	100%	100%	17%	60%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	7	0	0	0	0	4	3
Average # of Days Over 30	39	0	0	0	0	61	10

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: July 1, 2011 - September 30, 2011

	<i>Total EDD17</i>	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	<i>South- hampton</i>	<i>Chesapeake</i>	<i>Franklin City</i>	<i>Norfolk</i>	<i>Portsmouth</i>	<i>Suffolk</i>	<i>VA Beach</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	720	16	21	142	10	206	157	39	129
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	88%	100%	100%	94%	50%	72%	96%	95%	91%
% Timely in September 2011	87%	100%	100%	93%	50%	63%	96%	83%	94%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2011	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	9	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	5
Average # of Days Over 30	24	0	0	1	21	0	0	0	30

Child Support Enforcement - Statewide Totals

Collections

	<i>Sep-10</i>	<i>Sep-11</i>	<i>% Change</i>
TANF Cases Collected	14,574	14,667	0.6%
NTANF Cases Collected	127,722	127,958	0.2%
Total Cases Collected	142,296	142,625	0.2%
TANF Collections	\$2,646,103	\$2,826,849	6.8%
NTANF Collections	\$47,428,061	\$47,379,390	-0.1%
Total Collections	\$50,074,164	\$50,206,239	0.3%
SFY YTD Collections	\$151,249,943	\$152,455,848	0.8%
SFY YTD Average Collection	\$355.32	\$354.56	-0.2%

Paternities Established

	<i>Sep-11</i>
TANF Paternities	260
NTANF Paternities	446
Combined Paternities	706

Diversionsary Assistance

September Diversionsary Assistance Cases

Locality	Number of Cases		Locality	Number of Cases
Albemarle	4		Prince Edward	1
Alleghany / Covington	3		Prince William	3
Amherst	1		Pulaski	2
Appomattox	2		Roanoke County	4
Augusta / Staunt'n / W'boro	6		Rockbridge / BV / Lexington	1
Buckingham	1		Rockingham / H'burg	4
Caroline	2		Shenandoah	9
Chesterfield/Colonial Hgts	7		Spotsylvania	5
Culpeper	3		Stafford	10
Cumberland	2		Warren	5
Dinwiddie	2		York / Poquoson	4
Fairfax / Falls Church	5		Bristol	2
Fauquier	5		Charlottesville	3
Floyd	1		Chesapeake	6
Fluvanna	1		Danville	2
Franklin County	3		Hampton	11
Frederick	4		Hopewell	6
Greene	2		Manassas Park	1
Halifax	3		Newport News	24
Henrico	5		Norfolk	18
Henry / Martinsville	3		Petersburg	4
Isle of Wight	2		Portsmouth	2
James City	1		Richmond City	7
Louisa	1		Roanoke City	7
Montgomery	2		Suffolk	10
Orange	7		Virginia Beach	5
Patrick	3		Winchester	1
Pittsylvania	4		Statewide	242

Definitions of Selected Terms Contained in Report

Average Monthly Earnings. This is the average monthly earnings of the unsubsidized jobs that participants obtained. The value is calculated by multiplying the hourly wage by the weekly hours worked by 4.3 average weeks per month. For the cumulative figures the initial wage and initial hours are used. For the current figures, the initial wage and most current hours are used, except for the November 2001 report which used initial hours. Earlier than June 1999, wage changes that were entered overwrote the initial wage, so prior to that date, the cumulative figures used the initial hours and the last wage entered. With the advent of the VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) program in October 2006 the end of month average monthly earnings might be expected to decline somewhat because prior to VTP, cases with high earnings might remain open for job follow-up, but after the implementation of VTP the VIEW case would be closed when the TANF case was closed and a VTP case opened. VTP cases are not included in this report except for estimating the participation rate.

Average Wage. The average of the wages reported for each occurrence of unsubsidized employment. After June 1999 the wage is the wage at hiring, that is, the initial wage. Prior to June 1999, that wage may have been updated if changed during employment. After the implementation of the VIEW Transitional Payment program the end of month point in time average wage might be expected to decline some for the same reason mentioned in the explanation of possible decline in Average Monthly earnings, above. See note 6 on page 44.

Cases Closed with Employment. These are TANF or TANF-UP cases that are closed with an indication in the data base of employment at the date of closure. It does not mean that the case necessarily closed because of employment. For example, a TANF case may have an indication of closing at the client's request, along with indication of existing employment in VIEW. That case is counted as closed with employment.

EDD. This stands for Economic Development District. EDDs were developed during the administration of Governor Allen. They generally are no longer in use. This report continues to refer to them because VIEW was phased in by EDD and their use provides consistency over time.

Enrolled. Unduplicated number by locality of TANF recipients who were interviewed, received an individual assessment, signed the Agreement of Personal Responsibility, and enrolled in the VIEW program. Because the count is by locality, a recipient may be counted once in more than one locality. The fiscal year to date numbers include those who were enrolled at the beginning of the fiscal year as well as those enrolled during the year. There are clients enrolled in VIEW who have had their TANF case closed because VIEW policy requires that the enrollment remain open for job follow-up after employment unless the employment meets federal work participation guidelines, in which case the VIEW case is closed and a VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) case is opened.

Full Time Employment. Employment at 30 hours a week or greater.

Participants Assigned to Inactive. Inactive is a status assigned to participants who cannot participate in the VIEW program for reasons other than being exempt. Examples are unavailability of child care, unavailability of transportation, and mental or medical problems that make participation impractical. Inactive participants are due to be reviewed each month. While in inactive status, the 24 month time limit for TANF benefits is suspended.

Participants Employed. Total number of participants by locality who ever obtained an unsubsidized job, either full time or part time. If employed more than one time, they are still only counted once, but may be counted in more than one locality. Also, these participants may have left their job and not gotten another one. For the year to date numbers, those employed at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first became employed in the fiscal year.

Participants in a Work Activity. Unduplicated count by locality of persons who have been in any of the following components: unsubsidized employment, full employment program (FEP), on-the-job training (OJT), community work experience program (CWEP), public service program (PSP), vocational education and training, and job search/job readiness. For example, a person who was in community work experience and then employed would be counted only once.

For the year to date numbers, those in a work activity at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first entered a work activity in the fiscal year. Note that this is a revised definition of work activity from reports through October 2006. The revised definition captures the activities that count toward the first 20 hours of federal participation requirements – the core work activities. Clients who are counted as being in a core work activity must meet additional participation rate requirements in order to be included in the work participation rate, and only VIEW clients are counted here, not all work eligible TANF clients **Thus, these percentages in a work activity are quite different from the percentages in the TANF work participation rate.**

Part Time Employment. Employment at fewer than 30 weekly hours.

Referred. Unduplicated number of TANF recipients by locality who were initially evaluated as eligible for VIEW and had their names referred to the automated VIEW list for participation.

Relationship Between TANF and VIEW Participation. On the chart at page 5a, the column heading “Total Adults in the Case” includes adults who are not considered to be part of the assistance unit. For example, a mother receiving SSI may be in the case, but because she receives SSI is not considered eligible for TANF and thus is not part of the assistance unit. Persons such as she who are not in the assistance unit are not eligible to participate in VIEW. Also included are adults who are part of the assistance unit but exempt from VIEW

Five Months Employment. In earlier reports, this represented the percent of all participants that were employed 150 days after their first employment. Participants who were employed in any job 150 days after their first employment were counted in this measure.. This measure became unavailable after July 1, 2011. See note 9 on page 44.

Three Months Employment. This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 90 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 90 days after their first employment are counted in this measure. For year to date percents, the calculations are based on the first employment that began one year before the end of the report month. That employment may not have been the first ever for the participant. The year to date percents were calculated differently in reports before September 2004. Also for year to date percents, transferred cases were not counted for months after the January 2002 report.

TANF / TANF-UP Payment Cases. Up to November 2003 these counts were taken from a monthly report with no adjustment. After that, the monthly counts included some cases that were being paid because child support payments had been received and were being passed on. These counts were adjusted to mostly eliminate those additional cases. Further adjustment may be appropriate. It should also be noted that the counts include both strictly TANF cases and MOE cases for some years. With the end of waiver provisions in July 2003, a substantial part of the caseload was placed in a state program and funded with MOE funds. This change in July 2003 was a change in funding, not a change in eligibility requirements or case payment amounts. It ended in September 2006 when VIEW transitional payments (VTP) were introduced. Adjustments have been made to take out VTP cases. Also, TANF-UP cases are currently funded with only state monies.

VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). Beginning in October 2006, VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) were introduced. These are payments of \$50 per client per month for one year to VIEW clients that leave “regular” TANF with employment sufficient to meet Federal work participation requirements. The employment must be maintained to be eligible for VTP. Previously, VTP clients normally would have remained VIEW clients for five or six months of job-follow-up. Thus, the VTP program has had a diminishing effect on some of the statistics in this report such as end of month percent employed and average wage. See graph on page 5a.

Time That Data Represent. The data in the top sections of page 4 and pages 8 – 24 are point in time data as of the date indicated. The year to date section represents state fiscal year to date data, with the exception of three month retention (see above). The bottom section is cumulative from when the locality began VIEW.

General Statement About Accuracy. The report is based on an extract from the Employment Services automated system. The extract is normally made the night of the first work day after the end of the report month. Data that staff of local social services agencies enter into the automated system after the time of the extract are not included in the report, even though the activity reflected actually occurred in the report month. However, that data, and the activity reflected, are included in the reports for subsequent months. Further, because the data are entered by staff in the 120 localities, the accuracy of the data in the data base is, of course, subject to inadvertent error.


- ¹ Applications are not necessarily acted upon in the month that they are received. Also, applications may be transferred from regular TANF to TANF-UP and vice versa as they are processed.
- ² These TANF cases paid counts are prior to possible adjustment. See definition of payment cases. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes.
- ³ VIEW enrolled counts do not include VTP clients
- ⁴ A case may be open in more than one locality during the time period.
- ⁵ Prior to June 1999, when individuals were transferred from one locality to another, their history was transferred as well. This may have an effect on a locality's cumulative VIEW statistics.
- ⁶ The minimum wage rose from \$6.55 to \$7.25 an hour on 7/24/09. The report reflects initial wages so some effect on wages in the report was delayed. The average wage includes full and part time, unless otherwise indicated. See the definition of average wage on page 42.
- ⁷ Includes full and part time earnings.
- ⁸ This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed three months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed three months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous. See definition on page 43 for the revised method of calculating the year to date percentages.
- ⁹ Until the July 2011 report, this was employed after five months. However, on July 1, 2011 the number of required job follow-ups was reduced to three. Thus, data for five month job retention became unavailable.
- ¹⁰ Number includes TANF-UP and represents cases carried over to the next month. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes, but with the advent of VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) such occurrences were reduced from earlier months.
- ¹¹ Enrollment is timely if done within 30 days of referral in previous month of the state fiscal year. The monthly percent includes evaluation of clients waiting in the queue. The year to date does not.
- ¹² Placement in a work activity on the 95th day after referral was a measure of timeliness before Oct 1, 2006. The revised definition of work activity has increased the percentage from reports before November 2006. Clients whose cases have closed before the 95th day are excluded, so 100% is an attainable mark.
- ¹⁴ As of the morning of October 3, 2011. Some referrals to the waiting list are not appropriate, and there may be considerable lag time in correcting them..

This report is available on the Department of Social Services web site at:
http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial_assistance/tanf.cgi

The scores in the VIEW Rankings are determined by placing a locality on a scale of zero to ten in the same relative position as its place in the difference between the high and low of the measure in question. This converts all measures to a similar scale and provides a more equitable way to combine the ratings in each measure to determine a combined rank. (Questions, please call 804 726-7618.)

Comments on the format or content of the report and requests for Excel files for portions of current and past reports may be sent by e-mail to: william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov.


TANF and TANF-UP Cases Paid at Six Month Intervals, except last month June 1995 through September 2011



This graph illustrates the dramatic decline of the TANF caseload as VIEW was implemented. There was some sign of slowing in the rate of decline after June 1998. Nevertheless, wide community involvement, a strong economy, and the effect of the 24 month rule allowed the caseload to continue to fall until it was near the 30,000 level. There was little net change in the caseload from June 2000 to June 2002, but some upward movement was seen after June 2002, until 2005. The more recent trends are presumed to be a result of economic conditions. Of note is that the caseload appeared to level off after recent growth and seems now in slight decline.

Applications Received with Approval Percentage

Six Month Asymmetrical Moving Averages by Quarter, July 1995 through September 2011




This graph of application activity is presented as six month moving averages to produce smoother lines from which trends may be seen and in recognition that applications are not always acted on in the month received. The trends are not dramatic, but there seems to have been a general decline in the number of applications received until the July 2001 data. The decline in applications received after December 2004 and the recent changes appear to be reflected in the case load trends.

Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

TANF Cases, VIEW Enrolled, and Employed VIEW Participants


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. September is the last month



This graph shows that the number enrolled in VIEW increased during early implementation and then generally tracked the number of cases. The effects of the recent economic conditions are apparent. A VIEW case may remain open for three months or more after the TANF case closes, if job follow-up is being done and the case has not been converted to the VIEW Transitional Payment program. It is unlikely that the number employed will ever equal the number enrolled because there are always new enrollees without employment, and there are some enrollees in work activities, other than employment, that meet VIEW requirements. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months. It includes TANF-UP

Chart Displaying the Relationships Between TANF and VIEW Participation


Sep 30, 2011



This chart illustrates that there are a large number of adults who are exempt from VIEW and that many of the VIEW enrollees have had their TANF cases closed. They are now in a job follow-up phase of VIEW. They have not had their VIEW case closed and become enrolled in the VIEW Transitional Payment program. The source of this information is a monthly TANF extract from ADAPT and the monthly extract from ESPAS, the VIEW automated data system. The extracts were made at the beginning of October and represent end of September data. TANF-UP is included.

VIEW Percent Total Employed and Average Wage at End of Month


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. September is the last month



This graph shows that the average wage has seen a general increase as the percent of VIEW employed initially increased and then leveled. Besides employment, VIEW participants may be in various other activities or initial assessment and job search. It is unlikely that the percent employed will rise more, and the recent economic conditions may have caused a decline. The wages shown are generally the initial wages. When the average wage was calculated at about the end of September it was \$8.31 rather than the \$8.24 initial wages used to calculate wages shown on this graph. See page 42 of the report for an explanation of why the VIEW average wage might decline after October 2006 and note 6 on page 44 for the effect of the increase in minimum wage. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.

Percent of Jobs Found During a Month That Were Full Time and Percent Part Time

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. September is the last month



This graph illustrates an initial trend of VIEW participants obtaining a greater percent of full time jobs than part time. After the initial months, the trend seems to be fairly level with an increase in the percent full time after TANF reauthorization which probably reflects increased emphasis on meeting the required TANF work participation rate. The more recent changes are presumed to be a result of economic developments. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.