

# **VIRGINIA INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM**

**MONTHLY REPORT**  
Including Graphs of Statewide Trends  
**October 2011**

Prepared by:  
Virginia Department of Social Services November 28, 2011

# Monthly Report, October 2011

## Table of Contents

Work Participation Estimates (from the VDSS data warehouse) (A work participation estimate percent should not be compared to a percent in a work activity in the main body of the report which includes only clients in VIEW and does not consider the number of hours in a work activity during a month)	i-vi
TANF, TANF-UP Case Activity.....	1
Graphs of Case Activity.....	at end
VIEW Work Activity	
Statewide .....	4
Graphs of Statewide Activity.....	at end
EDD1.....	8
EDD2.....	9
EDD3.....	10
EDD4.....	11
EDD5 & EDD18.....	12
EDD6.....	13
EDD7.....	14
EDD8.....	15
EDD9.....	16
EDD10.....	17
EDD11.....	18
EDD14.....	19
EDD1.....	20
EDD3.....	21
EDD11.....	22
EDD15.....	23
EDD17.....	24
VIEW Year to Date Rankings.....	25-27
VIEW Cumulative Rankings.....	28-30
VIEW Timeliness Measures.....	31-39
Child Support Enforcement.....	40
Diversion.....	41
VIEW Definition Sheet and Endnotes.....	42-44

# Estimated Work Participation Rates for October

*These are estimated locality October 2011 work participation rates that include clients receiving either TANF or VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). The official participation rate will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated October statewide rate is 43% with a numerator of 8,062 and a denominator of 18,737.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	12	54	22%	061	Fauquier	18	42	43%
003	Albemarle	36	67	54%	063	Floyd	7	25	28%
005	Alleghany/Covington	21	44	48%	065	Fluvanna	9	21	43%
007	Amelia	12	40	30%	067	Franklin County	41	112	37%
009	Amherst	19	35	54%	069	Frederick	36	90	40%
011	Appomattox	23	44	52%	071	Giles	14	30	47%
013	Arlington	51	123	41%	073	Gloucester	19	44	43%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	139	257	54%	075	Goochland	10	35	29%
017	Bath	1	3	33%	077	Grayson	15	29	52%
019	Bedford County/City	46	93	49%	079	Greene	12	22	55%
021	Bland	5	8	63%	081	Greensville/Emporia	31	84	37%
023	Botetourt	3	20	15%	083	Halifax	33	88	38%
025	Brunswick	37	81	46%	085	Hanover	35	84	42%
027	Buchanan	18	44	41%	087	Henrico	318	921	35%
029	Buckingham	17	37	46%	089	Henry/Martinsville	95	270	35%
031	Campbell	79	186	42%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	26	114	23%	093	Isle of Wight	24	62	39%
035	Carroll	37	64	58%	095	James City	22	80	28%
036	Charles City	5	11	45%	097	King and Queen	2	9	22%
037	Charlotte	14	47	30%	099	King George	5	14	36%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	232	549	42%	101	King William	10	30	33%
043	Clarke	4	15	27%	103	Lancaster	11	27	41%
045	Craig	3	8	38%	105	Lee	73	137	53%
047	Culpeper	37	82	45%	107	Loudoun	53	144	37%
049	Cumberland	14	35	40%	109	Louisa	32	63	51%
051	Dickensen	14	38	37%	111	Lunenburg	16	39	41%
053	Dinwiddie	18	57	32%	113	Madison	3	8	38%
057	Essex	18	48	38%	115	Mathews	5	12	42%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	339	705	48%	117	Mecklenburg	32	74	43%

# Estimated Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent
119	Middlesex	8	16	50%	187	Warren	34	84	40%
121	Montgomery	94	179	53%	191	Washington	36	86	42%
125	Nelson	8	17	47%	193	Westmoreland	18	46	39%
127	New Kent	0	34	0%	195	Wise	77	183	42%
131	Northampton	21	66	32%	197	Wythe	35	78	45%
133	Northumberland	8	13	62%	199	York/Poquoson	41	92	45%
135	Nottoway	33	85	39%	510	Alexandria	116	270	43%
137	Orange	14	49	29%	520	Bristol	86	158	54%
139	Page	19	35	54%	540	Charlottesville	97	196	49%
141	Patrick	25	53	47%	550	Chesapeake	357	685	52%
143	Pittsylvania	30	85	35%	590	Danville	79	186	42%
145	Powhatan	7	25	28%	620	Franklin City	9	56	16%
147	Prince Edward	31	69	45%	630	Fredericksburg	70	132	53%
149	Prince George	18	50	36%	640	Galax	16	42	38%
153	Prince William	372	720	52%	650	Hampton	361	807	45%
155	Pulaski	40	78	51%	670	Hopewell	20	200	10%
157	Rappahannock	0	2	0%	680	Lynchburg	156	359	43%
159	Richmond County	2	13	15%	683	Manassas	34	98	35%
161	Roanoke County	71	148	48%	685	Manassas Park	7	19	37%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	17	46	37%	700	Newport News	467	1,072	44%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	57	150	38%	710	Norfolk	714	1,346	53%
167	Russell	43	103	42%	720	Norton	10	23	43%
169	Scott	33	81	41%	730	Petersburg	152	324	47%
171	Shenandoah	9	20	45%	740	Portsmouth	268	636	42%
173	Smyth	48	100	48%	750	Radford	13	38	34%
175	Southampton	21	70	30%	760	Richmond City	654	1,622	40%
177	Spotsylvania	98	255	38%	770	Roanoke City	190	608	31%
179	Stafford	71	189	38%	800	Suffolk	78	155	50%
181	Surry	11	26	42%	810	Virginia Beach	296	576	51%
183	Sussex	9	42	21%	830	Williamsburg	10	29	34%
185	Tazewell	53	134	40%	840	Winchester	29	73	40%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF and VTP recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rate presented here is the all families rate, i. e. the overall rate, including VTP..

# Estimated TANF only Work Participation Rates for October

*These are estimated locality October 2011 work participation rates that include only clients receiving TANF. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated October statewide rate is 37% with a numerator of 6,099 and a denominator of 16,597. VTP only estimates are on pages v and vi.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	10	52	19%	061	Fauquier	12	35	34%
003	Albemarle	26	56	46%	063	Floyd	5	23	22%
005	Alleghany/Covington	12	35	34%	065	Fluvanna	6	17	35%
007	Amelia	10	38	26%	067	Franklin County	37	108	34%
009	Amherst	16	32	50%	069	Frederick	27	80	34%
011	Appomattox	17	35	49%	071	Giles	11	26	42%
013	Arlington	31	102	30%	073	Gloucester	14	39	36%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	93	211	44%	075	Goochland	7	32	22%
017	Bath	0	2	0%	077	Grayson	9	23	39%
019	Bedford County/City	39	86	45%	079	Greene	9	19	47%
021	Bland	5	8	63%	081	Greensville/Emporia	21	74	28%
023	Botetourt	3	18	17%	083	Halifax	23	78	29%
025	Brunswick	33	77	43%	085	Hanover	24	72	33%
027	Buchanan	14	38	37%	087	Henrico	239	830	29%
029	Buckingham	13	33	39%	089	Henry/Martinsville	69	243	28%
031	Campbell	55	161	34%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	24	105	23%	093	Isle of Wight	19	56	34%
035	Carroll	29	55	53%	095	James City	18	76	24%
036	Charles City	4	10	40%	097	King and Queen	1	7	14%
037	Charlotte	10	42	24%	099	King George	3	12	25%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	173	486	36%	101	King William	8	28	29%
043	Clarke	3	12	25%	103	Lancaster	9	24	38%
045	Craig	2	7	29%	105	Lee	59	122	48%
047	Culpeper	25	69	36%	107	Loudoun	25	115	22%
049	Cumberland	12	33	36%	109	Louisa	18	49	37%
051	Dickensen	7	31	23%	111	Lunenburg	15	38	39%
053	Dinwiddie	15	53	28%	113	Madison	3	8	38%
057	Essex	13	42	31%	115	Mathews	3	10	30%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	232	592	39%	117	Mecklenburg	23	65	35%

# Estimated TANF Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	6	14	43%	187	Warren	27	76	36%
121	Montgomery	65	146	45%	191	Washington	29	79	37%
125	Nelson	5	14	36%	193	Westmoreland	16	43	37%
127	New Kent	0	33	0%	195	Wise	52	158	33%
131	Northampton	15	60	25%	197	Wythe	30	73	41%
133	Northumberland	8	13	62%	199	York/Poquoson	30	80	38%
135	Nottoway	26	77	34%	510	Alexandria	81	232	35%
137	Orange	11	45	24%	520	Bristol	73	143	51%
139	Page	15	31	48%	540	Charlottesville	75	174	43%
141	Patrick	21	49	43%	550	Chesapeake	281	606	46%
143	Pittsylvania	24	79	30%	590	Danville	68	168	40%
145	Powhatan	6	23	26%	620	Franklin City	8	55	15%
147	Prince Edward	23	61	38%	630	Fredericksburg	44	106	42%
149	Prince George	8	39	21%	640	Galax	15	41	37%
153	Prince William	245	590	42%	650	Hampton	294	723	41%
155	Pulaski	31	69	45%	670	Hopewell	9	182	5%
157	Rappahannock	0	2	0%	680	Lynchburg	118	319	37%
159	Richmond County	2	13	15%	683	Manassas	18	82	22%
161	Roanoke County	39	116	34%	685	Manassas Park	4	16	25%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	13	41	32%	700	Newport News	359	952	38%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	27	119	23%	710	Norfolk	618	1,246	50%
167	Russell	35	95	37%	720	Norton	7	20	35%
169	Scott	25	71	35%	730	Petersburg	129	295	44%
171	Shenandoah	6	17	35%	740	Portsmouth	209	573	36%
173	Smyth	40	90	44%	750	Radford	11	36	31%
175	Southampton	17	66	26%	760	Richmond City	545	1,498	36%
177	Spotsylvania	81	232	35%	770	Roanoke City	121	537	23%
179	Stafford	44	161	27%	800	Suffolk	60	136	44%
181	Surry	6	21	29%	810	Virginia Beach	202	479	42%
183	Sussex	7	40	18%	830	Williamsburg	10	28	36%
185	Tazewell	40	120	33%	840	Winchester	22	64	34%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are for the TANF all family rate not including VTP.

## *Estimated VTP only Work Participation Rates for October*

*These are estimated locality October 2011 work participation rates that include only clients receiving VTP. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated October statewide rate is 92% with a numerator of 1,963 and a denominator of 2,140. TANF only estimates are on pages iii and iv.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	2	2	100%	061	Fauquier	6	7	86%
003	Albemarle	10	11	91%	063	Floyd	2	2	100%
005	Alleghany/Covington	9	9	100%	065	Fluvanna	3	4	75%
007	Amelia	2	2	100%	067	Franklin County	4	4	100%
009	Amherst	3	3	100%	069	Frederick	9	10	90%
011	Appomattox	6	9	67%	071	Giles	3	4	75%
013	Arlington	20	21	95%	073	Gloucester	5	5	100%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	46	46	100%	075	Goochland	3	3	100%
017	Bath	1	1	100%	077	Grayson	6	6	100%
019	Bedford County/City	7	7	100%	079	Greene	3	3	100%
021	Bland	0	0	N/A	081	Greensville/Emporia	10	10	100%
023	Botetourt	0	2	0%	083	Halifax	10	10	100%
025	Brunswick	4	4	100%	085	Hanover	11	12	92%
027	Buchanan	4	6	67%	087	Henrico	79	91	87%
029	Buckingham	4	4	100%	089	Henry/Martinsville	26	27	96%
031	Campbell	24	25	96%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	2	9	22%	093	Isle of Wight	5	6	83%
035	Carroll	8	9	89%	095	James City	4	4	100%
036	Charles City	1	1	100%	097	King and Queen	1	2	50%
037	Charlotte	4	5	80%	099	King George	2	2	100%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	59	63	94%	101	King William	2	2	100%
043	Clarke	1	3	33%	103	Lancaster	2	3	67%
045	Craig	1	1	100%	105	Lee	14	15	93%
047	Culpeper	12	13	92%	107	Loudoun	28	29	97%
049	Cumberland	2	2	100%	109	Louisa	14	14	100%
051	Dickensen	7	7	100%	111	Lunenburg	1	1	100%
053	Dinwiddie	3	4	75%	113	Madison	0	0	N/A
057	Essex	5	6	83%	115	Mathews	2	2	100%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	107	113	95%	117	Mecklenburg	9	9	100%

# Estimated VTP Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	2	2	100%	187	Warren	7	8	88%
121	Montgomery	29	33	88%	191	Washington	7	7	100%
125	Nelson	3	3	100%	193	Westmoreland	2	3	67%
127	New Kent	0	1	0%	195	Wise	25	25	100%
131	Northampton	6	6	100%	197	Wythe	5	5	100%
133	Northumberland	0	0	N/A	199	York/Poquoson	11	12	92%
135	Nottoway	7	8	88%	510	Alexandria	35	38	92%
137	Orange	3	4	75%	520	Bristol	13	15	87%
139	Page	4	4	100%	540	Charlottesville	22	22	100%
141	Patrick	4	4	100%	550	Chesapeake	76	79	96%
143	Pittsylvania	6	6	100%	590	Danville	11	18	61%
145	Powhatan	1	2	50%	620	Franklin City	1	1	100%
147	Prince Edward	8	8	100%	630	Fredericksburg	26	26	100%
149	Prince George	10	11	91%	640	Galax	1	1	100%
153	Prince William	127	130	98%	650	Hampton	67	84	80%
155	Pulaski	9	9	100%	670	Hopewell	11	18	61%
157	Rappahannock	0	0	N/A	680	Lynchburg	38	40	95%
159	Richmond County	0	0	N/A	683	Manassas	16	16	100%
161	Roanoke County	32	32	100%	685	Manassas Park	3	3	100%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	4	5	80%	700	Newport News	108	120	90%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	30	31	97%	710	Norfolk	96	100	96%
167	Russell	8	8	100%	720	Norton	3	3	100%
169	Scott	8	10	80%	730	Petersburg	23	29	79%
171	Shenandoah	3	3	100%	740	Portsmouth	59	63	94%
173	Smyth	8	10	80%	750	Radford	2	2	100%
175	Southampton	4	4	100%	760	Richmond City	109	124	88%
177	Spotsylvania	17	23	74%	770	Roanoke City	69	71	97%
179	Stafford	27	28	96%	800	Suffolk	18	19	95%
181	Surry	5	5	100%	810	Virginia Beach	94	97	97%
183	Sussex	2	2	100%	830	Williamsburg	0	1	0%
185	Tazewell	13	14	93%	840	Winchester	7	9	78%

All VTP recipients are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” Thus, all VTP cases are in the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, job follow-up entries are reviewed to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. The basic requirement for VTP recipients is employment for at least 30 hours per week. The requirement is contained in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are also sometimes referred to as the rates for the maintenance of effort program caseload. .

## TANF

TANF Case Activity	Total Statewide				
	Oct-11	Oct-10	Change from Oct-10	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received <sup>1</sup>	5,250	5,221	1%	4,807	9%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	2,931	2,916	1%	1,658	77%
Applications Approved	2,256	2,397	-6%	2,820	-20%
Cases Closed *	2,497	2,641	-5%	4,476	-44%
Cases at End of Month	32,529	34,370	-5%	67,995	-52%
Cases Paid During Month <sup>2</sup>	33,650	35,527	-5%	70,403	-52%

•The database indicates that of the 2,497 closed cases, 1,134 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 605 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 242 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 171 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

## TANF-UP

TANF-UP Case Activity	Total Statewide				
	Oct-11	Oct-10	Change from Oct-10	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received <sup>1</sup>	429	610	-30%	338	27%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	262	352	-26%	232	13%
Applications Approved	232	278	-17%	81	186%
Cases Closed *	264	279	-5%	107	147%
Cases at End of Month	1,892	2,184	-13%	321	489%
Cases Paid During Month <sup>2</sup>	2,004	2,319	-14%	394	409%

•The database indicates that of the 264 closed cases, 149 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 87 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 25 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 17 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

## Statewide - Status through October 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/11 and Work Activity as of 10/31/11

Current Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	34,421	1,709	1,083	2,680	950	570	3,883	350	678	1,331	1,510	1,071	5,690	1,127	400	3,562	1,208	6,323	296
Total Enrolled in VIEW	14,609	636	501	1,038	354	295	1,612	142	320	681	461	522	2,438	664	156	1,552	601	2,545	91
Part. in a Work Activity	10,886	506	409	735	266	215	1,302	98	251	509	345	381	1,756	400	128	1,163	371	1,991	60
% of Enrolled	75%	80%	82%	71%	75%	73%	81%	69%	78%	75%	75%	73%	72%	60%	82%	75%	62%	78%	66%
Part. Assigned to Inactive	125	2	3	13	2	5	21	3	1	4	3	3	17	7	4	17	7	13	0
Participants Employed	7,197	350	265	570	231	148	801	69	156	324	229	237	1,086	280	86	759	254	1,311	41
% of Enrolled	49%	55%	53%	55%	65%	50%	50%	49%	49%	48%	50%	45%	45%	42%	55%	49%	42%	52%	45%
Full Time	5,657	310	236	488	198	118	597	57	99	220	181	175	807	203	70	583	201	1,088	26
Part Time	1,540	40	29	82	33	30	204	12	57	104	48	62	279	77	16	176	53	223	15
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.23	\$7.61	\$7.71	\$7.96	\$8.22	\$8.22	\$9.62	\$8.43	\$8.40	\$8.03	\$7.82	\$7.82	\$8.28	\$8.55	\$7.98	\$8.17	\$7.90	\$7.96	\$8.17
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,093	\$1,035	\$1,073	\$1,097	\$1,134	\$1,103	\$1,272	\$1,144	\$1,002	\$1,030	\$1,059	\$1,006	\$1,097	\$1,110	\$1,052	\$1,083	\$1,082	\$1,043	\$1,044

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – October 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	11,666	572	439	1,084	388	242	1,223	134	266	503	473	390	1,560	417	142	1,300	340	2,099	94
Total Enrolled in VIEW	22,261	1,005	757	1,758	583	435	2,513	236	494	990	798	762	3,531	930	265	2,449	809	3,799	147
Part. in a Work Activity	19,092	906	694	1,433	518	372	2,229	195	456	848	707	654	2,997	712	240	2,088	616	3,304	123
% of Total Enrolled	86%	90%	92%	82%	89%	86%	89%	83%	92%	86%	89%	86%	85%	77%	91%	85%	76%	87%	84%
Participants Employed	11,032	557	393	901	360	220	1,325	122	267	490	348	345	1,577	402	141	1,186	353	1,981	64
% of Total Enrolled	50%	55%	52%	51%	62%	51%	53%	52%	54%	49%	44%	45%	45%	43%	53%	48%	44%	52%	44%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.34	\$7.70	\$7.79	\$8.08	\$8.18	\$8.33	\$9.84	\$8.64	\$8.64	\$7.98	\$7.87	\$7.86	\$8.38	\$8.70	\$8.00	\$8.19	\$8.08	\$8.03	\$8.08
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,079	\$1,029	\$1,057	\$1,100	\$1,100	\$1,108	\$1,264	\$1,138	\$1,027	\$1,005	\$1,003	\$983	\$1,077	\$1,090	\$1,037	\$1,050	\$1,076	\$1,028	\$998
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	70%	69%	62%	64%	69%	63%	72%	64%	67%	66%	64%	74%	75%	77%	65%	73%	70%	72%	75%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	264,075	16,565	9,964	19,677	7,697	5,050	32,828	3,732	5,709	9,965	12,301	7,613	35,230	8,050	3,443	25,050	8,919	49,892	2,390
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>5</sup>	201,909	12,696	8,370	15,031	5,862	3,745	25,272	2,805	4,400	8,231	9,844	5,852	27,454	5,910	2,552	18,744	6,973	36,414	1,754
Part. in a Work Activity	193,126	12,207	8,022	14,319	5,714	3,546	24,404	2,707	4,293	7,782	9,532	5,592	26,381	5,575	2,396	17,847	6,658	34,456	1,695
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	96%	95%	97%	95%	97%	97%	98%	95%	97%	96%	96%	94%	94%	95%	95%	95%	97%
Participants Employed	137,073	7,997	5,439	10,257	4,095	2,464	17,888	1,894	3,287	5,623	6,084	3,548	18,589	3,898	1,617	13,082	4,753	25,566	992
% of Total Enrolled	68%	63%	65%	68%	70%	66%	71%	68%	75%	68%	62%	61%	68%	66%	63%	70%	68%	70%	57%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.12	\$6.18	\$6.29	\$7.05	\$7.11	\$7.32	\$8.63	\$7.42	\$7.37	\$6.56	\$6.37	\$6.72	\$7.32	\$7.88	\$6.96	\$6.99	\$6.96	\$6.83	\$6.66
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$942	\$815	\$853	\$949	\$946	\$981	\$1,146	\$980	\$944	\$852	\$850	\$872	\$983	\$1,018	\$890	\$915	\$940	\$894	\$840
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	67%	68%	62%	61%	67%	63%	71%	65%	63%	65%	63%	66%	70%	66%	57%	66%	65%	68%	72%

## EDDI - Southwest Region: Status through October 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/11 and Work Activity as of 10/31/11

Current Data	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,709	134	90	305	241	189	262	440	48
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	636	25	33	133	102	78	106	146	13
Participants in a Work Activity	506	17	25	115	82	58	93	107	9
% of Enrolled	80%	68%	76%	86%	80%	74%	88%	73%	69%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Participants Employed	350	9	19	83	44	44	61	81	9
% of Enrolled	55%	36%	58%	62%	43%	56%	58%	55%	69%
Full Time	310	7	19	77	38	37	50	73	9
Part Time	40	2	0	6	6	7	11	8	0
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.61	\$7.58	\$7.81	\$7.55	\$7.52	\$7.55	\$7.77	\$7.61	\$7.44
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,035	\$974	\$1,154	\$1,026	\$957	\$1,015	\$1,030	\$1,073	\$1,096

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – October 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	572	20	21	99	73	61	119	171	8
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,005	34	62	204	137	133	166	245	24
Participants in a Work Activity	906	25	55	199	123	120	164	200	20
% of Total Enrolled	90%	74%	89%	98%	90%	90%	99%	82%	83%
Participants Employed	557	14	38	126	65	68	100	130	16
% of Total Enrolled	55%	41%	61%	62%	47%	51%	60%	53%	67%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.70	\$7.59	\$8.08	\$7.59	\$7.57	\$7.58	\$7.88	\$7.78	\$7.05
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,029	\$1,017	\$1,142	\$986	\$905	\$992	\$1,083	\$1,090	\$946
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	69%	63%	61%	69%	71%	83%	73%	63%	53%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	16,565	1,619	1,164	2,327	2,273	1,623	3,174	3,857	528
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	12,696	1,236	902	1,769	1,822	1,169	2,423	2,961	414
Participants in a Work Activity	12,207	1,155	853	1,754	1,731	1,151	2,337	2,843	383
% of Total Enrolled	96%	93%	95%	99%	95%	98%	96%	96%	93%
Participants Employed	7,997	653	545	1,159	1,125	682	1,637	1,884	312
% of Total Enrolled	63%	53%	60%	66%	62%	58%	68%	64%	75%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.18	\$6.36	\$6.25	\$5.93	\$6.38	\$6.47	\$6.10	\$6.18	\$6.00
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$815	\$833	\$827	\$782	\$847	\$877	\$804	\$810	\$739
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	68%	63%	55%	72%	67%	77%	66%	67%	72%

## EDD2 – Bristol-Galax Region: Status through October 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/11 and Work Activity as of 10/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,083	16	116	53	197	217	137	274	73
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	501	6	56	20	94	66	57	165	37
Participants in a Work Activity	409	5	51	16	77	46	43	142	29
% of Enrolled	82%	83%	91%	80%	82%	70%	75%	86%	78%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0
Participants Employed	265	2	39	12	45	36	39	71	21
% of Enrolled	53%	33%	70%	60%	48%	55%	68%	43%	57%
Full Time	236	2	32	11	43	33	36	63	16
Part Time	29	0	7	1	2	3	3	8	5
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.71	\$9.00	\$7.89	\$7.54	\$7.71	\$7.53	\$7.86	\$7.59	\$7.75
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,073	\$1,559	\$1,048	\$1,081	\$1,108	\$998	\$1,144	\$1,066	\$1,011

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – October 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	439	5	43	13	91	68	51	137	31
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	757	9	86	28	150	101	85	241	57
Participants in a Work Activity	694	8	81	28	136	94	77	221	49
% of Total Enrolled	92%	89%	94%	100%	91%	93%	91%	92%	86%
Participants Employed	393	3	51	19	69	58	53	108	32
% of Total Enrolled	52%	33%	59%	68%	46%	57%	62%	45%	56%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.79	\$8.67	\$7.78	\$7.67	\$7.79	\$7.86	\$7.90	\$7.65	\$8.01
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,057	\$1,376	\$1,039	\$1,033	\$1,102	\$1,071	\$1,028	\$1,034	\$1,077
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	62%	67%	63%	67%	68%	57%	65%	57%	65%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	9,964	248	1,337	637	1,725	1,716	1,510	2,085	706
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	8,370	191	1,106	517	1,447	1,429	1,208	1,858	614
Participants in a Work Activity	8,022	180	1,020	482	1,391	1,395	1,182	1,788	584
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	92%	93%	96%	98%	98%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	5,439	114	743	346	847	924	804	1,272	389
% of Total Enrolled	65%	60%	67%	67%	59%	65%	67%	68%	63%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.29	\$6.16	\$6.10	\$6.33	\$6.07	\$6.54	\$6.29	\$6.35	\$6.27
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$853	\$841	\$822	\$844	\$833	\$887	\$834	\$865	\$883
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	62%	57%	55%	61%	64%	58%	67%	62%	70%

## EDD3 – Roanoke Region: Status through October 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/11 and Work Activity as of 10/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	2,680	89	48	10	51	253	57	351	201	301	69	1,250
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1038	35	12	5	15	98	18	160	75	124	32	464
Participants in a Work Activity	735	30	5	3	12	73	15	127	63	95	20	292
% of Enrolled	71%	86%	42%	60%	80%	74%	83%	79%	84%	77%	63%	63%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	13	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	6	2	2
Participants Employed	570	21	5	3	8	43	11	121	38	71	16	233
% of Enrolled	55%	60%	42%	60%	53%	44%	61%	76%	51%	57%	50%	50%
Full Time	488	17	5	3	7	28	8	105	35	59	14	207
Part Time	82	4	0	0	1	15	3	16	3	12	2	26
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.96	\$8.05	\$7.90	\$7.67	\$8.16	\$7.96	\$7.60	\$7.93	\$7.70	\$8.11	\$8.47	\$7.93
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,097	\$1,121	\$1,084	\$1,223	\$1,087	\$987	\$1,016	\$1,099	\$1,065	\$1,056	\$1,200	\$1,127

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – October 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	1,084	34	11	7	25	86	26	118	80	95	36	566
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,758	66	25	10	29	169	28	255	114	211	52	799
Participants in a Work Activity	1,433	55	13	8	23	143	25	224	102	179	36	625
% of Total Enrolled	82%	83%	52%	80%	79%	85%	89%	88%	89%	85%	69%	78%
Participants Employed	901	36	9	5	10	72	14	180	57	133	27	358
% of Total Enrolled	51%	55%	36%	50%	34%	43%	50%	71%	50%	63%	52%	45%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.08	\$7.97	\$8.15	\$7.50	\$8.28	\$8.67	\$7.71	\$7.88	\$7.68	\$8.35	\$8.19	\$8.03
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,100	\$1,088	\$1,129	\$1,134	\$1,140	\$1,170	\$989	\$1,050	\$1,051	\$1,127	\$1,141	\$1,109
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	64%	52%	75%	100%	36%	56%	56%	65%	61%	65%	67%	67%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	19,677	1,123	331	83	496	1,720	499	2,809	1,715	2,144	770	7,987
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	15,031	849	206	42	356	1305	358	2,287	1355	1718	596	5,959
Participants in a Work Activity	14,319	816	182	41	343	1165	349	2,251	1305	1618	571	5,678
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	88%	98%	96%	89%	97%	98%	96%	94%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	10,257	606	91	23	236	821	211	1,810	869	1,269	417	3,904
% of Total Enrolled	68%	71%	44%	55%	66%	63%	59%	79%	64%	74%	70%	66%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.05	\$6.84	\$7.29	\$7.13	\$6.90	\$6.96	\$7.03	\$6.90	\$6.74	\$7.55	\$6.97	\$7.13
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$949	\$833	\$933	\$1,038	\$926	\$947	\$951	\$930	\$934	\$1,034	\$904	\$964
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	61%	59%	56%	65%	57%	58%	54%	65%	54%	59%	61%	62%

## EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: Status through October 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/11 and Work Activity as of 10/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	950	550	6	2	82	310
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	354	213	2	1	31	107
Participants in a Work Activity	266	161	1	1	23	80
% of Enrolled	75%	76%	50%	100%	74%	75%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	0	0	0	2
Participants Employed	231	139	1	1	19	71
% of Enrolled	65%	65%	50%	100%	61%	66%
Full Time	198	114	1	1	15	67
Part Time	33	25	0	0	4	4
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.22	\$8.18	\$8.00	\$8.00	\$7.79	\$8.41
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,134	\$1,096	\$1,212	\$1,386	\$962	\$1,248

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – October 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	388	236	0	0	28	124
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	583	367	3	1	47	165
Participants in a Work Activity	518	330	2	1	33	152
% of Total Enrolled	89%	90%	67%	100%	70%	92%
Participants Employed	360	225	2	1	23	109
% of Total Enrolled	62%	61%	67%	100%	49%	66%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.18	\$8.13	\$7.63	\$8.00	\$7.79	\$8.37
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,100	\$1,079	\$758	\$1,376	\$894	\$1,189
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	69%	69%	100%	100%	69%	65%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	7,697	4,140	74	18	686	2,779
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	5,862	3,213	46	8	508	2,087
Participants in a Work Activity	5,714	3,150	46	8	475	2,035
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	100%	100%	94%	98%
Participants Employed	4,095	2,161	30	6	368	1,530
% of Total Enrolled	70%	67%	65%	75%	72%	73%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.11	\$7.11	\$6.48	\$7.79	\$6.70	\$7.22
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$946	\$952	\$698	\$784	\$861	\$962
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	67%	67%	62%	83%	67%	68%

## EDDS 5 & 18 – Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: Status through October 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/11 and Work Activity as of 10/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	570	24	181	70	37	121	137	296	193	103
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	295	7	89	46	20	67	66	91	35	56
Participants in a Work Activity	215	5	56	42	16	49	47	60	28	32
% of Enrolled	73%	71%	63%	91%	80%	73%	71%	66%	80%	57%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	1	0	1	0	1	2	0	0	0
Participants Employed	148	3	50	26	11	29	29	41	18	23
% of Enrolled	50%	43%	56%	57%	55%	43%	44%	45%	51%	41%
Full Time	118	3	37	22	9	26	21	26	13	13
Part Time	30	0	13	4	2	3	8	15	5	10
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.22	\$8.42	\$8.50	\$8.07	\$7.95	\$8.58	\$7.61	\$8.17	\$7.96	\$8.32
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,103	\$1,186	\$1,115	\$1,111	\$1,069	\$1,222	\$961	\$1,044	\$1,063	\$1,028

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – October 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	242	11	66	35	26	55	49	94	54	40
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	435	12	123	64	31	101	104	147	69	78
Participants in a Work Activity	372	9	96	64	29	85	89	123	53	70
% of Total Enrolled	86%	75%	78%	100%	94%	84%	86%	84%	77%	90%
Participants Employed	220	6	63	38	14	48	51	64	23	41
% of Total Enrolled	51%	50%	51%	59%	45%	48%	49%	44%	33%	53%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.33	\$8.92	\$8.47	\$8.00	\$8.15	\$8.58	\$8.13	\$8.08	\$7.86	\$8.20
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,108	\$1,176	\$1,090	\$1,060	\$1,104	\$1,198	\$1,073	\$998	\$1,015	\$989
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	63%	50%	77%	60%	64%	64%	55%	75%	63%	84%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	5,050	200	1057	777	622	1215	1179	2,390	1507	883
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	3,745	118	781	633	378	908	927	1,754	1078	676
Participants in a Work Activity	3,546	111	728	618	366	843	880	1695	1054	641
% of Total Enrolled	95%	94%	93%	98%	97%	93%	95%	97%	98%	95%
Participants Employed	2,464	72	456	443	233	625	635	992	560	432
% of Total Enrolled	66%	61%	58%	70%	62%	69%	69%	57%	52%	64%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.32	\$7.42	\$7.67	\$7.30	\$6.69	\$7.39	\$7.23	\$6.66	\$6.70	\$6.61
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$981	\$981	\$1,002	\$987	\$918	\$1,005	\$960	\$840	\$844	\$836
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	63%	62%	63%	59%	70%	69%	59%	72%	65%	80%

## EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: Status through October 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/11 and Work Activity as of 10/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	3,883	277	1,472	217	1,231	456	194	36
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,612	97	512	146	591	190	70	6
Participants in a Work Activity	1,302	68	460	92	475	154	47	6
% of Enrolled	81%	70%	90%	63%	80%	81%	67%	100%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	21	1	14	0	5	0	1	0
Participants Employed	801	42	274	71	313	81	15	5
% of Enrolled	50%	43%	54%	49%	53%	43%	21%	83%
Full Time	597	21	217	43	240	62	9	5
Part Time	204	21	57	28	73	19	6	0
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$9.62	\$9.86	\$9.79	\$10.28	\$9.24	\$9.94	\$9.15	\$8.26
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,272	\$1,130	\$1,348	\$1,287	\$1,231	\$1,285	\$1,025	\$1,160

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – October 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	1,223	74	394	85	431	174	57	8
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,513	161	842	205	917	275	103	10
Participants in a Work Activity	2,229	137	776	168	802	242	94	10
% of Total Enrolled	89%	85%	92%	82%	87%	88%	91%	100%
Participants Employed	1,325	75	468	113	503	123	34	9
% of Total Enrolled	53%	47%	56%	55%	55%	45%	33%	90%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$9.84	\$11.07	\$9.98	\$10.54	\$9.29	\$10.54	\$9.52	\$8.37
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,264	\$1,228	\$1,323	\$1,332	\$1,188	\$1,348	\$1,257	\$1,065
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	72%	71%	70%	67%	72%	83%	64%	71%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	32,828	2,810	11,542	2,301	10,556	3,785	1,418	416
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	25,272	2,189	8,683	1,826	8,190	2,997	1,086	301
Participants in a Work Activity	24,404	2,159	8,383	1,751	7,896	2,864	1,052	299
% of Total Enrolled	97%	99%	97%	96%	96%	96%	97%	99%
Participants Employed	17,888	1,587	5,909	1,418	5,955	2,103	694	222
% of Total Enrolled	71%	72%	68%	78%	73%	70%	64%	74%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.63	\$8.23	\$8.64	\$9.17	\$8.75	\$8.34	\$8.12	\$8.10
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,146	\$1,062	\$1,143	\$1,185	\$1,190	\$1,070	\$1,095	\$1,074
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	71%	70%	69%	71%	71%	77%	71%	78%

## EDD7 - Culpeper Region: Status through October 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/11 and Work Activity as of 10/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	350	146	92	23	84	5
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	142	60	31	7	41	3
Participants in a Work Activity	98	43	21	5	28	1
% of Enrolled	69%	72%	68%	71%	68%	33%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	3	0	0	0
Participants Employed	69	29	15	3	22	0
% of Enrolled	49%	48%	48%	43%	54%	0%
Full Time	57	24	14	3	16	N/A
Part Time	12	5	1	0	6	N/A
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.43	\$8.47	\$8.30	\$7.58	\$8.57	N/A
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,144	\$1,120	\$1,114	\$1,059	\$1,209	N/A

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – October 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	134	57	43	8	24	2
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	236	107	62	13	51	3
Participants in a Work Activity	195	87	56	12	39	1
% of Total Enrolled	83%	81%	90%	92%	76%	33%
Participants Employed	122	51	35	5	31	0
% of Total Enrolled	52%	48%	56%	38%	61%	0%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.64	\$8.40	\$9.20	\$7.60	\$8.57	N/A
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,138	\$1,057	\$1,281	\$1,080	\$1,117	N/A
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	64%	66%	61%	60%	67%	N/A

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	3,732	1,430	1106	345	758	93
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	2,805	1029	846	254	614	62
Participants in a Work Activity	2,707	992	837	247	572	59
% of Total Enrolled	97%	96%	99%	97%	93%	95%
Participants Employed	1,894	670	577	162	446	39
% of Total Enrolled	68%	65%	68%	64%	73%	63%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.42	\$7.37	\$7.77	\$7.12	\$7.18	\$7.26
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$980	\$957	\$1,018	\$991	\$962	\$954
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	65%	66%	69%	61%	59%	45%

## EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: Status through October 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/11 and Work Activity as of 10/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	678	134	55	47	108	40	294
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	320	41	14	21	46	17	181
Participants in a Work Activity	251	37	10	8	36	10	150
% of Enrolled	78%	90%	71%	38%	78%	59%	83%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Participants Employed	156	25	7	7	18	9	90
% of Enrolled	49%	61%	50%	33%	39%	53%	50%
Full Time	99	18	5	4	13	7	52
Part Time	57	7	2	3	5	2	38
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.40	\$8.44	\$8.82	\$8.86	\$8.29	\$9.06	\$8.28
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,002	\$1,085	\$1,065	\$1,059	\$1,114	\$1,106	\$936

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – October 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	266	55	20	19	47	14	111
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	494	78	26	39	76	24	251
Participants in a Work Activity	456	73	24	31	68	17	243
% of Total Enrolled	92%	94%	92%	79%	89%	71%	97%
Participants Employed	267	50	12	17	35	12	141
% of Total Enrolled	54%	64%	46%	44%	46%	50%	56%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.64	\$8.53	\$8.73	\$8.51	\$9.12	\$8.74	\$8.56
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,027	\$1,064	\$1,079	\$1,058	\$1,249	\$1,118	\$939
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	67%	73%	46%	67%	73%	75%	66%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	5,709	1327	235	444	896	280	2,527
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	4,400	954	157	321	660	200	2,108
Participants in a Work Activity	4,293	931	152	303	634	196	2,077
% of Total Enrolled	98%	98%	97%	94%	96%	98%	99%
Participants Employed	3,287	696	108	241	401	127	1,714
% of Total Enrolled	75%	73%	69%	75%	61%	64%	81%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.37	\$7.75	\$7.52	\$7.32	\$7.54	\$7.67	\$7.23
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$944	\$1,000	\$1,056	\$917	\$983	\$1,003	\$921
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	63%	57%	58%	57%	64%	62%	66%

## EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: Status through October 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/11 and Work Activity as of 10/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,331	95	85	213	309	629
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	681	32	49	95	208	297
Participants in a Work Activity	509	24	43	74	155	213
% of Enrolled	75%	75%	88%	78%	75%	72%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	2	0	1	0	1
Participants Employed	324	19	25	44	89	147
% of Enrolled	48%	59%	51%	46%	43%	49%
Full Time	220	15	25	34	51	95
Part Time	104	4	0	10	38	52
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.03	\$7.71	\$7.86	\$8.49	\$7.95	\$8.02
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,030	\$910	\$1,158	\$1,107	\$998	\$1,019

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – October 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	503	33	35	81	99	255
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	990	52	71	152	284	431
Participants in a Work Activity	848	45	65	125	249	364
% of Total Enrolled	86%	87%	92%	82%	88%	84%
Participants Employed	490	29	37	75	141	208
% of Total Enrolled	49%	56%	52%	49%	50%	48%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.98	\$7.81	\$7.81	\$8.26	\$8.01	\$7.90
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,005	\$934	\$1,141	\$1,032	\$1,022	\$971
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	66%	56%	79%	62%	69%	65%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	9,965	924	782	2,009	2,320	3,930
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	8,231	739	683	1,597	1,981	3,231
Participants in a Work Activity	7,782	707	671	1,488	1,867	3,049
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	98%	93%	94%	94%
Participants Employed	5,623	496	477	1,041	1,354	2,255
% of Total Enrolled	68%	67%	70%	65%	68%	70%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.56	\$6.73	\$6.24	\$6.63	\$6.76	\$6.45
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$852	\$857	\$863	\$853	\$892	\$827
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	65%	60%	56%	61%	64%	69%

## EDD10 - Danville Region: Status through October 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/11 and Work Activity as of 10/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,510	223	494	108	244	441
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	461	66	168	73	46	108
Participants in a Work Activity	345	34	129	59	32	91
% of Enrolled	75%	52%	77%	81%	70%	84%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	0	2	1	0
Participants Employed	229	28	81	44	25	51
% of Enrolled	50%	42%	48%	60%	54%	47%
Full Time	181	24	55	34	24	44
Part Time	48	4	26	10	1	7
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.82	\$8.01	\$7.80	\$7.60	\$7.93	\$7.88
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,059	\$1,136	\$1,024	\$1,031	\$1,145	\$1,055

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – October 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	473	70	139	47	69	148
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	798	113	254	104	105	222
Participants in a Work Activity	707	93	223	92	95	204
% of Total Enrolled	89%	82%	88%	88%	90%	92%
Participants Employed	348	45	120	57	45	81
% of Total Enrolled	44%	40%	47%	55%	43%	36%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.87	\$8.01	\$7.90	\$7.61	\$8.13	\$7.81
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,003	\$1,040	\$1,007	\$958	\$1,058	\$977
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	64%	59%	61%	82%	69%	55%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	12,301	1,921	3,302	1,203	1,997	3,878
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	9,844	1,589	2,501	1,045	1,529	3,180
Participants in a Work Activity	9,532	1,533	2,401	986	1,508	3,104
% of Total Enrolled	97%	96%	96%	94%	99%	98%
Participants Employed	6,084	903	1,584	704	834	2,059
% of Total Enrolled	62%	57%	63%	67%	55%	65%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.37	\$6.34	\$6.77	\$6.28	\$6.36	\$6.13
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$850	\$836	\$936	\$818	\$862	\$799
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	63%	59%	64%	75%	61%	62%

## EDD11 - Southside Region: Status through October 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/11 and Work Activity as of 10/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,071	76	122	70	84	58	154	70	165	135	137
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	522	33	65	36	37	33	59	44	64	83	68
Participants in a Work Activity	381	28	48	29	30	22	45	30	57	51	41
% of Enrolled	73%	85%	74%	81%	81%	67%	76%	68%	89%	61%	60%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Participants Employed	237	16	37	18	12	12	20	17	31	48	26
% of Enrolled	45%	48%	57%	50%	32%	36%	34%	39%	48%	58%	38%
Full Time	175	14	25	16	11	7	11	14	17	40	20
Part Time	62	2	12	2	1	5	9	3	14	8	6
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.82	\$8.00	\$7.44	\$7.73	\$7.73	\$8.51	\$7.98	\$7.75	\$7.95	\$7.79	\$7.85
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,006	\$1,060	\$913	\$1,044	\$1,060	\$967	\$986	\$1,079	\$929	\$1,032	\$1,086

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – October 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	390	32	48	30	41	15	43	26	49	54	52
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	762	40	88	57	65	45	97	59	98	117	96
Participants in a Work Activity	654	33	77	54	54	35	88	52	92	87	82
% of Total Enrolled	86%	83%	88%	95%	83%	78%	91%	88%	94%	74%	85%
Participants Employed	345	20	37	26	19	18	35	25	56	66	43
% of Total Enrolled	45%	50%	42%	46%	29%	40%	36%	42%	57%	56%	45%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.86	\$7.89	\$7.43	\$7.99	\$7.71	\$8.26	\$7.74	\$7.64	\$7.93	\$8.04	\$7.95
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$983	\$968	\$904	\$1,141	\$956	\$1,033	\$908	\$949	\$918	\$1,045	\$1,050
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	74%	68%	68%	83%	57%	71%	59%	76%	80%	86%	76%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	7,613	490	960	749	653	514	901	334	1103	908	1001
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	5,852	345	761	561	474	374	705	259	870	696	807
Participants in a Work Activity	5,592	326	755	527	437	357	674	253	833	642	788
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	99%	94%	92%	95%	96%	98%	96%	92%	98%
Participants Employed	3,548	208	431	316	238	215	405	123	577	470	565
% of Total Enrolled	61%	60%	57%	56%	50%	57%	57%	47%	66%	68%	70%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.72	\$7.38	\$6.19	\$6.99	\$7.16	\$7.22	\$6.66	\$6.14	\$6.53	\$6.72	\$6.70
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$872	\$959	\$819	\$939	\$927	\$949	\$816	\$757	\$836	\$894	\$876
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	66%	63%	67%	63%	58%	58%	64%	62%	69%	69%	70%

## EDD12 - Richmond Region: Status through October 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/11 and Work Activity as of 10/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	5,690	1020	51	144	1,627	60	2,788
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,438	378	36	56	869	15	1,084
Participants in a Work Activity	1,756	292	27	42	569	12	814
% of Enrolled	72%	77%	75%	75%	65%	80%	75%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	17	6	2	0	6	1	2
Participants Employed	1,086	197	21	30	391	7	440
% of Enrolled	45%	52%	58%	54%	45%	47%	41%
Full Time	807	157	9	27	278	5	331
Part Time	279	40	12	3	113	2	109
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.28	\$8.42	\$8.15	\$8.36	\$8.47	\$9.33	\$8.03
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,097	\$1,139	\$933	\$1,200	\$1,114	\$1,227	\$1,063

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – October 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	1,560	306	16	58	450	16	714
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	3,531	628	42	90	1,175	29	1,567
Participants in a Work Activity	2,997	524	35	82	974	25	1,357
% of Total Enrolled	85%	83%	83%	91%	83%	86%	87%
Participants Employed	1,577	297	24	49	555	13	639
% of Total Enrolled	45%	47%	57%	54%	47%	45%	41%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.38	\$8.54	\$8.35	\$8.52	\$8.51	\$9.50	\$8.18
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,077	\$1,126	\$973	\$1,105	\$1,080	\$1,035	\$1,055
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	75%	79%	83%	63%	82%	67%	68%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	35,230	7,214	268	1198	8,693	336	17,521
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	27,454	5,448	201	851	6,992	237	13,725
Participants in a Work Activity	26,381	5,254	188	787	6,620	220	13,312
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	94%	92%	95%	93%	97%
Participants Employed	18,589	3,490	134	554	4,671	133	9,607
% of Total Enrolled	68%	64%	67%	65%	67%	56%	70%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.32	\$7.57	\$7.01	\$8.17	\$7.62	\$7.57	\$7.08
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$983	\$1,017	\$894	\$1,048	\$1,019	\$944	\$955
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	70%	70%	65%	67%	66%	63%	72%

## EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: Status through October 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/11 and Work Activity as of 10/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,127	199	40	411	295	182
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	664	124	6	247	160	127
Participants in a Work Activity	400	48	5	139	119	89
% of Enrolled	60%	39%	83%	56%	74%	70%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	7	2	0	1	0	4
Participants Employed	280	40	3	98	67	72
% of Enrolled	42%	32%	50%	40%	42%	57%
Full Time	203	29	3	76	48	47
Part Time	77	11	0	22	19	25
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.55	\$8.21	\$8.83	\$8.30	\$8.66	\$8.96
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,110	\$1,035	\$1,234	\$1,110	\$1,093	\$1,164

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – October 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	417	51	18	140	113	95
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	930	154	24	341	221	190
Participants in a Work Activity	712	113	21	228	186	164
% of Total Enrolled	77%	73%	88%	67%	84%	86%
Participants Employed	402	50	10	142	94	106
% of Total Enrolled	43%	32%	42%	42%	43%	56%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.70	\$8.27	\$8.93	\$8.23	\$8.98	\$9.23
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,090	\$1,018	\$1,258	\$1,079	\$1,047	\$1,164
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	77%	83%	36%	77%	87%	68%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	8,050	1,250	490	2,413	2,313	1,584
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	5,910	872	327	1,898	1,474	1,339
Participants in a Work Activity	5,575	829	312	1,723	1,421	1,290
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	95%	91%	96%	96%
Participants Employed	3,898	528	203	1,283	870	1,014
% of Total Enrolled	66%	61%	62%	68%	59%	76%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.88	\$7.55	\$7.33	\$7.95	\$8.40	\$7.72
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,018	\$956	\$919	\$1,029	\$1,113	\$991
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	66%	57%	59%	68%	68%	66%

## EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: Status through October 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/11 and Work Activity as of 10/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	400	82	18	51	52	18	30	30	31	88
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	156	36	3	27	16	8	17	8	5	36
Participants in a Work Activity	128	31	1	21	14	7	15	8	4	27
% of Enrolled	82%	86%	33%	78%	88%	88%	88%	100%	80%	75%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1
Participants Employed	86	21	0	9	9	6	12	6	4	19
% of Enrolled	55%	58%	0%	33%	56%	75%	71%	75%	80%	53%
Full Time	70	16	N/A	5	8	6	8	6	4	17
Part Time	16	5	N/A	4	1	0	4	0	0	2
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.98	\$8.10	N/A	\$7.41	\$8.12	\$7.39	\$8.04	\$7.91	\$7.25	\$8.40
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,052	\$1,035	N/A	\$874	\$1,153	\$1,121	\$1,033	\$1,160	\$1,020	\$1,071

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – October 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	142	34	8	21	14	6	17	7	6	29
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	265	69	9	36	25	13	33	13	10	57
Participants in a Work Activity	240	64	8	32	23	12	33	12	9	47
% of Total Enrolled	91%	93%	89%	89%	92%	92%	100%	92%	90%	82%
Participants Employed	141	39	3	18	14	9	17	6	8	27
% of Total Enrolled	53%	57%	33%	50%	56%	69%	52%	46%	80%	47%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.00	\$8.21	\$7.67	\$7.57	\$7.83	\$8.07	\$8.34	\$7.81	\$7.32	\$8.11
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,037	\$1,028	\$833	\$941	\$1,109	\$1,031	\$1,158	\$1,063	\$1,038	\$1,022
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	65%	75%	60%	42%	82%	60%	85%	73%	50%	48%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	3,443	523	259	311	388	193	392	303	312	762
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	2,552	416	172	252	304	131	287	246	183	561
Participants in a Work Activity	2,396	399	162	240	284	124	265	239	177	506
% of Total Enrolled	94%	96%	94%	95%	93%	95%	92%	97%	97%	90%
Participants Employed	1,617	286	90	149	199	86	192	142	115	358
% of Total Enrolled	63%	69%	52%	59%	65%	66%	67%	58%	63%	64%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.96	\$7.01	\$6.97	\$7.31	\$6.70	\$6.99	\$6.98	\$6.59	\$6.93	\$7.01
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$890	\$863	\$867	\$958	\$845	\$892	\$905	\$852	\$929	\$915
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	57%	50%	47%	60%	54%	68%	69%	53%	39%	64%

## EDD15 - Peninsula Region: Status through October 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/11 and Work Activity as of 10/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	NewKent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	3,562	26	98	147	52	122	1,319	1,754	44
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,552	7	23	49	20	73	598	748	34
Participants in a Work Activity	1,163	6	18	34	11	58	452	568	16
% of Enrolled	75%	86%	78%	69%	55%	79%	76%	76%	47%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	17	0	0	0	0	1	13	3	0
Participants Employed	759	4	15	27	9	35	271	382	16
% of Enrolled	49%	57%	65%	55%	45%	48%	45%	51%	47%
Full Time	583	4	13	17	7	21	204	307	10
Part Time	176	0	2	10	2	14	67	75	6
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.17	\$7.97	\$7.81	\$7.94	\$8.67	\$8.50	\$8.08	\$8.23	\$8.13
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,083	\$1,061	\$1,055	\$984	\$1,309	\$1,047	\$1,060	\$1,106	\$1,070

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – October 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	1,300	4	43	53	16	45	462	660	17
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,449	15	56	79	25	117	879	1,239	39
Participants in a Work Activity	2,088	12	49	65	18	103	759	1,050	32
% of Total Enrolled	85%	80%	88%	82%	72%	88%	86%	85%	82%
Participants Employed	1,186	10	29	47	12	56	407	605	20
% of Total Enrolled	48%	67%	52%	59%	48%	48%	46%	49%	51%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.19	\$8.11	\$7.97	\$8.43	\$8.58	\$8.27	\$8.10	\$8.23	\$8.11
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,050	\$1,082	\$985	\$1,149	\$1,249	\$900	\$1,026	\$1,072	\$1,016
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	73%	46%	50%	74%	78%	71%	75%	73%	81%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	25,050	158	1026	1038	314	1016	8,748	12,386	364
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	18,744	115	722	806	217	762	6,427	9,426	269
Participants in a Work Activity	17,847	110	671	749	208	736	6,250	8,873	250
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	93%	93%	96%	97%	97%	94%	93%
Participants Employed	13,082	64	476	558	129	480	4,466	6,719	190
% of Total Enrolled	70%	56%	66%	69%	59%	63%	69%	71%	71%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.99	\$7.08	\$6.81	\$7.37	\$7.47	\$7.20	\$6.98	\$6.95	\$7.21
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$915	\$930	\$843	\$904	\$1,021	\$894	\$910	\$924	\$907
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	66%	53%	60%	61%	73%	59%	63%	69%	64%

## EDD16 - Petersburg Region: Status through October 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/11 and Work Activity as of 10/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,208	128	73	50	81	328	548
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	601	34	37	29	31	213	257
Participants in a Work Activity	371	30	26	18	23	84	190
% of Enrolled	62%	88%	70%	62%	74%	39%	74%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	7	0	1	0	2	3	1
Participants Employed	254	18	14	13	15	59	135
% of Enrolled	42%	53%	38%	45%	48%	28%	53%
Full Time	201	16	8	6	10	44	117
Part Time	53	2	6	7	5	15	18
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.90	\$7.81	\$8.09	\$8.48	\$8.17	\$7.91	\$7.81
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,082	\$1,172	\$1,020	\$896	\$1,090	\$1,034	\$1,115

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – October 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	340	38	37	12	29	79	145
Total Enrolled in VIEW	809	52	55	46	57	243	356
Participants in a Work Activity	616	51	47	40	47	128	303
% of Total Enrolled	76%	98%	85%	87%	82%	53%	85%
Participants Employed	353	26	22	26	25	77	177
% of Total Enrolled	44%	50%	40%	57%	44%	32%	50%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.08	\$7.78	\$8.69	\$8.62	\$8.02	\$7.91	\$8.04
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,076	\$1,133	\$1,183	\$937	\$1,106	\$1,013	\$1,099
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	70%	70%	70%	68%	63%	67%	72%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	8,919	1144	785	349	709	2,214	3,718
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	6,973	780	587	286	567	1,778	2,975
Participants in a Work Activity	6,658	777	515	272	549	1,698	2,847
% of Total Enrolled	95%	100%	88%	95%	97%	96%	96%
Participants Employed	4,753	512	361	202	375	1,206	2,097
% of Total Enrolled	68%	66%	61%	71%	66%	68%	70%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.96	\$6.87	\$7.44	\$7.21	\$6.95	\$6.82	\$6.97
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$940	\$955	\$993	\$873	\$947	\$916	\$948
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	65%	59%	58%	58%	64%	63%	70%

## EDD17 - Tidewater Region: Status through October 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/11 and Work Activity as of 10/31/11

Current Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South -ampton	Chesa-peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	6,323	132	137	1,066	118	2,227	1,201	393	1,049
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,545	33	63	485	47	956	477	111	373
Participants in a Work Activity	1,991	27	36	398	29	769	364	82	286
% of Enrolled	78%	82%	57%	82%	62%	80%	76%	74%	77%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	13	1	1	0	0	0	10	0	1
Participants Employed	1,311	25	21	285	10	509	214	68	179
% of Enrolled	52%	76%	33%	59%	21%	53%	45%	61%	48%
Full Time	1,088	18	9	245	10	440	161	59	146
Part Time	223	7	12	40	0	69	53	9	33
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.96	\$7.88	\$7.61	\$8.08	\$7.11	\$7.84	\$8.00	\$7.76	\$8.23
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,043	\$1,010	\$873	\$1,093	\$1,024	\$1,001	\$1,052	\$1,037	\$1,097

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – October 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South -ampton	Chesa-peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	2,099	38	48	324	48	725	380	113	423
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	3,799	55	90	716	53	1,347	718	197	623
Participants in a Work Activity	3,304	51	80	652	43	1,152	618	177	531
% of Total Enrolled	87%	93%	89%	91%	81%	86%	86%	90%	85%
Participants Employed	1,981	36	33	412	13	717	340	116	314
% of Total Enrolled	52%	65%	37%	58%	25%	53%	47%	59%	50%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.03	\$8.05	\$8.07	\$8.17	\$7.74	\$7.90	\$8.01	\$7.82	\$8.28
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,028	\$1,068	\$917	\$1,074	\$1,098	\$995	\$1,031	\$1,007	\$1,053
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	72%	73%	58%	80%	42%	75%	70%	64%	69%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South -ampton	Chesa-peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	49,892	1128	962	8,015	795	16,075	8,054	3,162	11,701
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	36,414	808	767	5,847	618	11,595	6,370	2,466	7,943
Participants in a Work Activity	34,456	778	734	5,572	606	10,969	5,998	2,439	7,360
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	96%	95%	98%	95%	94%	99%	93%
Participants Employed	25,566	564	440	4,265	402	8,182	4,597	1,829	5,287
% of Total Enrolled	70%	70%	57%	73%	65%	71%	72%	74%	67%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.83	\$6.86	\$6.65	\$7.00	\$6.43	\$6.72	\$6.71	\$6.66	\$7.14
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$894	\$909	\$824	\$925	\$807	\$859	\$899	\$867	\$949
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	68%	60%	54%	65%	64%	67%	70%	68%	69%

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date

*This section lists the relative state fiscal year to date rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Wage, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	33.3%	3.33	112	\$7.86	2.01	86	63.3%	4.30	82	3.21	105
003	Albemarle	64.1%	6.41	12	\$8.53	3.68	25	72.5%	5.72	38	5.27	15
005	Alleghany/Covington	54.5%	5.45	41	\$7.97	2.29	74	52.4%	2.59	109	3.45	99
007	Amelia	50.0%	5.00	54	\$7.89	2.09	83	68.2%	5.05	57	4.05	76
009	Amherst	55.8%	5.58	36	\$7.81	1.89	90	55.6%	3.09	104	3.52	96
011	Appomattox	52.1%	5.21	46	\$7.81	1.89	91	79.2%	6.76	20	4.62	42
013	Arlington	46.6%	4.66	79	\$11.07	10.00	1	70.6%	5.43	45	6.69	3
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	61.3%	6.13	16	\$8.13	2.69	52	69.4%	5.24	51	4.68	38
017	Bath	66.7%	6.67	7	\$7.63	1.44	108	100.0%	10.00	1	6.04	6
019	Bedford County/City	49.3%	4.93	64	\$8.26	3.01	41	61.8%	4.06	88	4.00	78
021	Bland	33.3%	3.33	113	\$8.67	4.03	17	66.7%	4.82	64	4.06	75
023	Botetourt	36.0%	3.60	110	\$8.15	2.74	50	75.0%	6.11	27	4.15	70
025	Brunswick	42.0%	4.20	98	\$7.43	0.95	117	68.2%	5.05	58	3.40	101
027	Buchanan	41.2%	4.12	101	\$7.59	1.34	111	62.5%	4.17	87	3.21	106
029	Buckingham	45.6%	4.56	85	\$7.99	2.34	73	83.3%	7.41	8	4.77	35
031	Campbell	49.6%	4.96	63	\$8.01	2.39	67	69.2%	5.21	52	4.19	67
033	Caroline	32.5%	3.25	116	\$8.27	3.03	39	82.6%	7.30	12	4.53	48
035	Carroll	59.3%	5.93	22	\$7.78	1.82	96	63.0%	4.24	83	3.99	80
036	Charles City	66.7%	6.67	8	\$8.11	2.64	55	46.2%	1.62	114	3.64	92
037	Charlotte	29.2%	2.92	118	\$7.71	1.64	101	57.1%	3.33	101	2.63	116
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	47.3%	4.73	76	\$8.54	3.71	24	78.7%	6.68	21	5.04	24
043	Clarke	50.0%	5.00	55	\$8.92	4.65	13	50.0%	2.22	110	3.96	82
045	Craig	50.0%	5.00	56	\$7.50	1.12	116	100.0%	10.00	2	5.37	11
047	Culpeper	47.7%	4.77	71	\$8.40	3.36	31	65.9%	4.69	70	4.27	62
049	Cumberland	40.0%	4.00	103	\$8.26	3.01	42	71.4%	5.56	41	4.19	68
051	Dickensen	61.3%	6.13	17	\$8.08	2.56	59	61.3%	3.98	89	4.22	65
053	Dinwiddie	50.0%	5.00	57	\$7.78	1.82	97	70.4%	5.39	46	4.07	74
057	Essex	56.5%	5.65	28	\$8.21	2.89	45	75.0%	6.11	28	4.88	32
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	55.6%	5.56	37	\$9.98	7.29	4	69.9%	5.31	49	6.05	5
061	Fauquier	56.5%	5.65	30	\$9.20	5.35	9	60.5%	3.86	92	4.95	27
063	Floyd	34.5%	3.45	111	\$8.28	3.06	37	36.4%	0.10	118	2.20	118
065	Fluvanna	46.2%	4.62	81	\$8.73	4.18	15	46.2%	1.62	115	3.47	98
067	Franklin County	42.6%	4.26	95	\$8.67	4.03	18	55.8%	3.13	103	3.81	88
069	Frederick	51.2%	5.12	51	\$8.47	3.53	29	76.6%	6.36	24	5.00	25
071	Giles	50.0%	5.00	58	\$7.71	1.64	102	55.6%	3.09	105	3.24	103
073	Gloucester	51.8%	5.18	48	\$7.97	2.29	75	50.0%	2.22	111	3.23	104
075	Goochland	57.1%	5.71	26	\$8.35	3.23	34	83.3%	7.41	9	5.45	10
077	Grayson	67.9%	6.79	6	\$7.67	1.54	104	66.7%	4.82	65	4.38	56

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	43.6%	4.36	93	\$8.51	3.63	27	66.7%	4.82	66	4.27	63
081	Greensville/Emporia	36.1%	3.61	109	\$7.74	1.72	99	58.6%	3.56	98	2.96	112
083	Halifax	39.8%	3.98	105	\$8.01	2.39	68	59.2%	3.65	97	3.34	102
085	Hanover	54.4%	5.44	42	\$8.52	3.66	26	62.8%	4.21	85	4.44	53
087	Henrico	47.2%	4.72	78	\$8.51	3.63	28	81.7%	7.15	15	5.17	18
089	Henry/Martinsville	47.2%	4.72	77	\$7.90	2.11	79	61.2%	3.97	90	3.60	94
091	Highland	100.0%	10.00	1	\$8.00	2.36	71	100.0%	10.00	3	7.45	1
093	Isle of Wight	65.5%	6.55	11	\$8.05	2.49	62	73.3%	5.85	35	4.96	26
095	James City	59.5%	5.95	20	\$8.43	3.43	30	74.4%	6.02	32	5.13	19
097	King and Queen	33.3%	3.33	114	\$7.67	1.54	105	60.0%	3.78	93	2.88	114
099	King George	41.7%	4.17	99	\$8.93	4.68	12	35.7%	0.00	119	2.95	113
101	King William	50.0%	5.00	59	\$7.57	1.29	114	41.7%	0.93	117	2.41	117
103	Lancaster	56.0%	5.60	34	\$7.83	1.94	88	81.8%	7.17	14	4.90	30
105	Lee	61.8%	6.18	15	\$7.59	1.34	112	69.2%	5.20	53	4.24	64
107	Loudoun	55.1%	5.51	38	\$10.54	8.68	2	67.3%	4.92	61	6.37	4
109	Louisa	46.1%	4.61	83	\$9.12	5.15	10	73.3%	5.85	36	5.20	17
111	Lunenburg	42.4%	4.24	97	\$7.64	1.47	107	76.2%	6.30	25	4.00	79
113	Madison	38.5%	3.85	106	\$7.60	1.37	110	60.0%	3.78	94	3.00	111
115	Mathews	69.2%	6.92	5	\$8.07	2.54	60	60.0%	3.78	95	4.41	55
117	Mecklenburg	57.1%	5.71	27	\$7.93	2.19	77	79.6%	6.83	19	4.91	29
119	Middlesex	51.5%	5.15	49	\$8.34	3.21	36	84.6%	7.61	6	5.32	14
121	Montgomery	70.6%	7.06	4	\$7.88	2.06	84	64.9%	4.54	75	4.56	46
125	Nelson	50.0%	5.00	60	\$8.74	4.20	14	75.0%	6.11	29	5.11	22
127	New Kent	48.0%	4.80	69	\$8.58	3.81	20	77.8%	6.54	22	5.05	23
131	Northampton	52.6%	5.26	45	\$8.20	2.86	46	84.2%	7.54	7	5.22	16
133	Northumberland	46.2%	4.62	82	\$7.81	1.89	92	72.7%	5.76	37	4.09	71
135	Nottoway	56.4%	5.64	31	\$8.04	2.46	63	86.4%	7.88	5	5.33	13
137	Orange	60.8%	6.08	18	\$8.57	3.78	22	66.7%	4.82	67	4.89	31
139	Page	59.4%	5.94	21	\$8.00	2.36	72	60.0%	3.78	96	4.03	77
141	Patrick	54.8%	5.48	40	\$7.61	1.39	109	82.4%	7.25	13	4.71	37
143	Pittsylvania	42.9%	4.29	94	\$8.13	2.69	53	69.4%	5.25	50	4.07	72
145	Powhatan	44.8%	4.48	87	\$9.50	6.09	6	66.7%	4.82	68	5.13	20
147	Prince Edward	44.8%	4.48	90	\$7.95	2.24	76	75.7%	6.22	26	4.31	59
149	Prince George	40.0%	4.00	104	\$8.69	4.08	16	70.4%	5.39	47	4.49	50
153	Prince William	54.9%	5.49	39	\$9.29	5.57	7	72.5%	5.72	39	5.59	9
155	Pulaski	50.0%	5.00	61	\$7.68	1.57	103	60.7%	3.88	91	3.48	97
157	Rappahannock	0.0%	0.00	120	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.00	120
159	Richmond County	80.0%	8.00	3	\$7.32	0.67	118	50.0%	2.22	112	3.63	93
161	Roanoke County	63.0%	6.30	13	\$8.35	3.23	35	65.5%	4.63	72	4.72	36
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	48.9%	4.89	66	\$7.79	1.84	94	80.7%	6.99	17	4.57	44
165	Rockingham/H'burg	66.1%	6.61	10	\$8.37	3.28	32	64.7%	4.50	76	4.80	34
167	Russell	47.4%	4.74	73	\$7.57	1.29	115	71.4%	5.56	42	3.86	85
169	Scott	51.1%	5.11	52	\$7.58	1.32	113	83.3%	7.41	10	4.61	43
171	Shenandoah	45.2%	4.52	86	\$8.15	2.74	51	64.3%	4.45	79	3.90	84

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	46.0%	4.60	<b>84</b>	\$7.79	1.84	<b>95</b>	68.1%	5.04	<b>60</b>	3.83	<b>87</b>
175	<i>Southampton</i>	36.7%	3.67	<b>107</b>	\$8.07	2.54	<b>61</b>	57.7%	3.42	<b>99</b>	3.21	<b>107</b>
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	41.6%	4.16	<b>100</b>	\$8.23	2.94	<b>43</b>	77.4%	6.48	<b>23</b>	4.53	<b>47</b>
179	<i>Stafford</i>	42.5%	4.25	<b>96</b>	\$8.98	4.80	<b>11</b>	87.0%	7.97	<b>4</b>	5.68	<b>8</b>
181	<i>Surry</i>	56.5%	5.65	<b>29</b>	\$8.62	3.91	<b>19</b>	68.4%	5.09	<b>55</b>	4.88	<b>33</b>
183	<i>Sussex</i>	43.9%	4.39	<b>92</b>	\$8.02	2.41	<b>66</b>	63.0%	4.24	<b>84</b>	3.68	<b>90</b>
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	60.2%	6.02	<b>19</b>	\$7.88	2.06	<b>85</b>	73.5%	5.88	<b>33</b>	4.66	<b>40</b>
187	<i>Warren</i>	47.5%	4.75	<b>72</b>	\$8.58	3.81	<b>21</b>	64.2%	4.42	<b>80</b>	4.33	<b>58</b>
191	<i>Washington</i>	57.4%	5.74	<b>25</b>	\$7.86	2.01	<b>87</b>	56.6%	3.25	<b>102</b>	3.67	<b>91</b>
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	47.4%	4.74	<b>74</b>	\$8.11	2.64	<b>56</b>	48.2%	1.93	<b>113</b>	3.10	<b>110</b>
195	<i>Wise</i>	53.1%	5.31	<b>44</b>	\$7.78	1.82	<b>98</b>	62.6%	4.19	<b>86</b>	3.77	<b>89</b>
197	<i>Wythe</i>	62.4%	6.24	<b>14</b>	\$7.90	2.11	<b>80</b>	64.6%	4.49	<b>77</b>	4.28	<b>61</b>
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	47.9%	4.79	<b>70</b>	\$8.27	3.03	<b>40</b>	71.2%	5.51	<b>44</b>	4.44	<b>52</b>
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	44.7%	4.47	<b>91</b>	\$10.54	8.68	<b>3</b>	83.3%	7.41	<b>11</b>	6.85	<b>2</b>
520	<i>Bristol</i>	44.8%	4.48	<b>88</b>	\$7.65	1.49	<b>106</b>	57.4%	3.37	<b>100</b>	3.12	<b>109</b>
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	56.2%	5.62	<b>32</b>	\$8.56	3.76	<b>23</b>	65.7%	4.66	<b>71</b>	4.68	<b>39</b>
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	57.5%	5.75	<b>24</b>	\$8.17	2.79	<b>49</b>	79.7%	6.85	<b>18</b>	5.13	<b>21</b>
590	<i>Danville</i>	36.5%	3.65	<b>108</b>	\$7.81	1.89	<b>93</b>	55.4%	3.07	<b>106</b>	2.87	<b>115</b>
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	24.5%	2.45	<b>119</b>	\$7.74	1.72	<b>100</b>	42.3%	1.03	<b>116</b>	1.73	<b>119</b>
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	55.8%	5.58	<b>35</b>	\$9.23	5.42	<b>8</b>	68.1%	5.04	<b>59</b>	5.35	<b>12</b>
640	<i>Galax</i>	56.1%	5.61	<b>33</b>	\$8.01	2.39	<b>69</b>	65.2%	4.59	<b>74</b>	4.20	<b>66</b>
650	<i>Hampton</i>	46.3%	4.63	<b>80</b>	\$8.10	2.61	<b>58</b>	75.0%	6.11	<b>30</b>	4.45	<b>51</b>
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	31.7%	3.17	<b>117</b>	\$7.91	2.14	<b>78</b>	67.3%	4.91	<b>62</b>	3.41	<b>100</b>
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	48.3%	4.83	<b>68</b>	\$7.90	2.11	<b>81</b>	65.4%	4.62	<b>73</b>	3.85	<b>86</b>
683	<i>Manassas</i>	33.0%	3.30	<b>115</b>	\$9.52	6.14	<b>5</b>	64.4%	4.47	<b>78</b>	4.64	<b>41</b>
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	90.0%	9.00	<b>2</b>	\$8.37	3.28	<b>33</b>	71.4%	5.56	<b>43</b>	5.95	<b>7</b>
700	<i>Newport News</i>	48.8%	4.88	<b>67</b>	\$8.23	2.94	<b>44</b>	73.4%	5.86	<b>34</b>	4.56	<b>45</b>
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	53.2%	5.32	<b>43</b>	\$7.90	2.11	<b>82</b>	74.5%	6.04	<b>31</b>	4.49	<b>49</b>
720	<i>Norton</i>	66.7%	6.67	<b>9</b>	\$7.05	0.00	<b>119</b>	53.3%	2.74	<b>108</b>	3.14	<b>108</b>
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	49.7%	4.97	<b>62</b>	\$8.04	2.46	<b>64</b>	72.2%	5.67	<b>40</b>	4.37	<b>57</b>
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	47.4%	4.74	<b>75</b>	\$8.01	2.39	<b>70</b>	70.1%	5.36	<b>48</b>	4.16	<b>69</b>
750	<i>Radford</i>	51.9%	5.19	<b>47</b>	\$8.19	2.84	<b>47</b>	66.7%	4.82	<b>69</b>	4.28	<b>60</b>
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	40.8%	4.08	<b>102</b>	\$8.18	2.81	<b>48</b>	68.4%	5.08	<b>56</b>	3.99	<b>81</b>
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	44.8%	4.48	<b>89</b>	\$8.03	2.44	<b>65</b>	66.9%	4.85	<b>63</b>	3.92	<b>83</b>
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	58.9%	5.89	<b>23</b>	\$7.82	1.92	<b>89</b>	64.1%	4.41	<b>81</b>	4.07	<b>73</b>
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	50.4%	5.04	<b>53</b>	\$8.28	3.06	<b>38</b>	68.8%	5.14	<b>54</b>	4.41	<b>54</b>
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	51.3%	5.13	<b>50</b>	\$8.11	2.64	<b>57</b>	81.3%	7.08	<b>16</b>	4.95	<b>28</b>
840	<i>Winchester</i>	49.0%	4.90	<b>65</b>	\$8.13	2.69	<b>54</b>	55.0%	3.00	<b>107</b>	3.53	<b>95</b>

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores is done to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception of VIEW

*This section lists the relative cumulative rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Monthly Earnings, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	51.9%	2.09	117	\$844	2.97	95	65.5%	5.94	48	3.67	108
003	Albemarle	73.0%	7.75	12	\$1,000	6.14	21	57.1%	4.04	102	5.98	30
005	Alleghany/Covington	71.4%	7.33	19	\$833	2.75	102	58.5%	4.35	94	4.81	78
007	Amelia	60.3%	4.34	95	\$959	5.32	32	63.4%	5.46	62	5.04	71
009	Amherst	67.1%	6.18	50	\$857	3.24	89	59.7%	4.61	85	4.68	82
011	Appomattox	69.8%	6.91	30	\$863	3.35	85	55.6%	3.70	108	4.65	83
013	Arlington	72.5%	7.63	16	\$1,062	7.40	8	69.9%	6.95	17	7.33	6
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	67.3%	6.22	48	\$952	5.17	39	66.9%	6.25	39	5.88	31
017	Bath	65.2%	5.67	66	\$698	0.00	120	62.1%	5.16	72	3.61	110
019	Bedford County/City	65.2%	5.66	67	\$853	3.15	90	60.9%	4.90	80	4.57	87
021	Bland	59.7%	4.18	96	\$841	2.90	97	56.6%	3.92	106	3.67	107
023	Botetourt	44.2%	0.00	120	\$933	4.78	50	56.3%	3.86	107	2.88	118
025	Brunswick	56.6%	3.36	109	\$819	2.47	109	66.8%	6.24	41	4.02	102
027	Buchanan	52.8%	2.33	115	\$833	2.74	104	63.3%	5.43	64	3.50	114
029	Buckingham	56.3%	3.27	110	\$939	4.91	47	62.6%	5.29	68	4.49	93
031	Campbell	68.3%	6.51	40	\$892	3.94	75	64.4%	5.68	53	5.38	55
033	Caroline	60.6%	4.41	93	\$956	5.26	35	56.8%	3.96	105	4.54	88
035	Carroll	67.2%	6.19	49	\$822	2.53	108	55.2%	3.59	110	4.10	99
036	Charles City	55.7%	3.09	112	\$930	4.72	51	52.8%	3.06	116	3.62	109
037	Charlotte	50.2%	1.63	118	\$927	4.65	54	58.1%	4.26	99	3.51	113
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	64.1%	5.35	74	\$1,017	6.49	17	69.8%	6.92	18	6.26	21
043	Clarke	61.0%	4.54	91	\$981	5.75	27	61.5%	5.04	75	5.11	68
045	Craig	54.8%	2.85	113	\$1,038	6.93	11	65.0%	5.83	50	5.20	64
047	Culpeper	65.1%	5.64	68	\$957	5.26	34	66.4%	6.14	43	5.68	39
049	Cumberland	57.5%	3.58	105	\$949	5.10	42	58.2%	4.29	96	4.32	96
051	Dickenson	60.4%	4.38	94	\$827	2.62	105	55.4%	3.63	109	3.54	111
053	Dinwiddie	65.6%	5.78	62	\$955	5.23	37	58.9%	4.44	88	5.15	65
057	Essex	68.8%	6.62	37	\$863	3.36	84	49.6%	2.32	117	4.10	100
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	68.1%	6.43	42	\$1,143	9.06	3	69.4%	6.83	22	7.44	5
061	Fauquier	68.2%	6.47	41	\$1,018	6.52	16	69.5%	6.84	21	6.61	12
063	Floyd	66.3%	5.96	58	\$926	4.65	55	57.1%	4.04	103	4.88	76
065	Fluvanna	68.8%	6.63	36	\$1,056	7.28	9	58.4%	4.34	95	6.08	26
067	Franklin County	62.9%	5.05	84	\$947	5.07	44	58.1%	4.26	98	4.79	79
069	Frederick	58.4%	3.83	102	\$1,002	6.18	20	62.7%	5.30	67	5.10	69
071	Giles	58.9%	3.98	100	\$951	5.16	40	53.8%	3.28	113	4.14	98
073	Gloucester	65.9%	5.86	60	\$843	2.96	96	60.0%	4.68	83	4.50	92
075	Goochland	66.7%	6.06	54	\$894	3.99	71	64.9%	5.81	51	5.29	61
077	Grayson	66.9%	6.13	51	\$844	2.98	94	61.4%	5.01	77	4.70	81

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	75.1%	8.32	6	\$917	4.46	61	57.1%	4.03	104	5.60	41
081	Greensville/Emporia	57.4%	3.57	106	\$816	2.41	111	64.2%	5.63	56	3.87	104
083	Halifax	56.8%	3.41	108	\$836	2.81	100	58.6%	4.37	91	3.53	112
085	Hanover	65.1%	5.63	69	\$1,048	7.11	10	67.2%	6.33	37	6.36	19
087	Henrico	66.8%	6.09	53	\$1,019	6.53	15	66.4%	6.14	44	6.25	22
089	Henry/Martinsville	63.3%	5.16	82	\$936	4.85	48	64.3%	5.67	54	5.23	63
091	Highland	75.0%	8.30	7	\$784	1.75	116	83.3%	10.00	1	6.68	10
093	Isle of Wight	69.8%	6.90	31	\$909	4.29	65	60.3%	4.77	82	5.32	59
095	James City	69.2%	6.75	34	\$904	4.20	68	61.4%	5.01	76	5.32	58
097	King and Queen	52.3%	2.19	116	\$867	3.45	81	46.9%	1.71	118	2.45	119
099	King George	62.1%	4.82	87	\$919	4.50	59	59.3%	4.54	87	4.62	85
101	King William	59.1%	4.03	98	\$958	5.30	33	59.7%	4.62	84	4.65	84
103	Lancaster	65.5%	5.73	65	\$845	2.99	93	53.6%	3.23	114	3.98	103
105	Lee	65.5%	5.75	63	\$782	1.72	117	72.2%	7.47	8	4.98	74
107	Loudoun	77.7%	9.02	3	\$1,185	9.90	2	71.5%	7.31	11	8.74	1
109	Louisa	60.8%	4.47	92	\$983	5.80	26	63.9%	5.59	59	5.28	62
111	Lunenburg	47.5%	0.89	119	\$757	1.22	118	61.8%	5.09	73	2.40	120
113	Madison	63.8%	5.28	78	\$991	5.95	24	61.3%	4.98	78	5.40	52
115	Mathews	65.6%	5.78	61	\$892	3.96	74	68.0%	6.50	32	5.41	50
117	Mecklenburg	66.3%	5.96	57	\$836	2.81	99	68.6%	6.65	29	5.14	66
119	Middlesex	66.9%	6.12	52	\$905	4.22	67	68.9%	6.71	27	5.69	38
121	Montgomery	79.1%	9.42	2	\$930	4.71	52	64.8%	5.78	52	6.64	11
125	Nelson	63.5%	5.20	80	\$1,003	6.21	19	62.3%	5.21	70	5.54	45
127	New Kent	59.4%	4.11	97	\$1,021	6.57	14	73.4%	7.74	7	6.14	25
131	Northampton	63.9%	5.31	75	\$836	2.82	98	79.6%	9.16	2	5.76	35
133	Northumberland	57.7%	3.65	104	\$852	3.13	91	53.1%	3.13	115	3.30	115
135	Nottoway	67.5%	6.29	46	\$894	3.99	72	69.3%	6.81	25	5.70	37
137	Orange	72.6%	7.67	15	\$962	5.36	30	58.9%	4.43	90	5.82	33
139	Page	70.0%	6.95	28	\$987	5.88	25	59.5%	4.57	86	5.80	34
141	Patrick	67.4%	6.25	47	\$818	2.44	110	75.0%	8.10	6	5.59	42
143	Pittsylvania	54.5%	2.79	114	\$862	3.35	86	60.8%	4.86	81	3.67	106
145	Powhatan	56.1%	3.22	111	\$944	5.00	46	63.0%	5.37	66	4.53	90
147	Prince Edward	70.0%	6.96	26	\$876	3.62	79	69.7%	6.91	19	5.83	32
149	Prince George	61.5%	4.67	90	\$993	6.00	22	58.2%	4.29	97	4.98	73
153	Prince William	72.7%	7.68	14	\$1,190	10.00	1	70.9%	7.17	13	8.28	2
155	Pulaski	64.1%	5.37	73	\$934	4.79	49	54.2%	3.37	111	4.51	91
157	Rappahannock	62.9%	5.04	85	\$954	5.22	38	44.7%	1.22	119	3.83	105
159	Richmond County	62.8%	5.03	86	\$929	4.71	53	39.4%	0.00	120	3.25	116
161	Roanoke County	73.9%	8.00	9	\$1,034	6.84	12	58.9%	4.44	89	6.43	17
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	72.4%	7.61	17	\$861	3.33	87	66.7%	6.21	42	5.72	36
165	Rockingham/H'burg	73.3%	7.85	11	\$962	5.38	29	67.7%	6.45	34	6.56	13
167	Russell	61.7%	4.73	88	\$847	3.05	92	67.2%	6.34	36	4.71	80
169	Scott	58.3%	3.81	103	\$877	3.65	78	77.0%	8.55	5	5.34	57
171	Shenandoah	61.6%	4.70	89	\$918	4.48	60	70.0%	6.97	16	5.38	54

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	58.5%	3.87	<b>101</b>	\$833	2.75	<b>103</b>	64.1%	5.62	<b>58</b>	4.08	<b>101</b>
175	<i>Southampton</i>	57.4%	3.55	<b>107</b>	\$824	2.57	<b>107</b>	54.2%	3.36	<b>112</b>	3.16	<b>117</b>
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	67.6%	6.31	<b>44</b>	\$1,029	6.73	<b>13</b>	67.8%	6.47	<b>33</b>	6.50	<b>16</b>
179	<i>Stafford</i>	59.0%	4.00	<b>99</b>	\$1,113	8.44	<b>4</b>	68.3%	6.58	<b>30</b>	6.34	<b>20</b>
181	<i>Surry</i>	70.6%	7.12	<b>22</b>	\$873	3.56	<b>80</b>	57.5%	4.13	<b>101</b>	4.94	<b>75</b>
183	<i>Sussex</i>	66.1%	5.91	<b>59</b>	\$947	5.07	<b>45</b>	64.1%	5.63	<b>57</b>	5.54	<b>46</b>
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	67.6%	6.30	<b>45</b>	\$804	2.17	<b>114</b>	65.9%	6.03	<b>46</b>	4.83	<b>77</b>
187	<i>Warren</i>	68.8%	6.64	<b>35</b>	\$1,005	6.24	<b>18</b>	69.2%	6.78	<b>26</b>	6.55	<b>14</b>
191	<i>Washington</i>	64.7%	5.52	<b>72</b>	\$887	3.86	<b>76</b>	57.9%	4.21	<b>100</b>	4.53	<b>89</b>
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	63.8%	5.29	<b>77</b>	\$915	4.43	<b>63</b>	64.3%	5.66	<b>55</b>	5.13	<b>67</b>
195	<i>Wise</i>	63.6%	5.24	<b>79</b>	\$810	2.29	<b>112</b>	66.9%	6.27	<b>38</b>	4.60	<b>86</b>
197	<i>Wythe</i>	66.6%	6.03	<b>56</b>	\$834	2.77	<b>101</b>	66.8%	6.25	<b>40</b>	5.01	<b>72</b>
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	63.0%	5.07	<b>83</b>	\$894	3.99	<b>73</b>	58.6%	4.37	<b>92</b>	4.47	<b>94</b>
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	70.2%	7.00	<b>25</b>	\$1,070	7.56	<b>7</b>	77.1%	8.58	<b>4</b>	7.71	<b>4</b>
520	<i>Bristol</i>	68.5%	6.54	<b>39</b>	\$865	3.41	<b>83</b>	62.2%	5.20	<b>71</b>	5.05	<b>70</b>
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	81.3%	10.00	<b>1</b>	\$921	4.53	<b>58</b>	65.5%	5.95	<b>47</b>	6.83	<b>9</b>
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	72.9%	7.75	<b>13</b>	\$925	4.63	<b>56</b>	65.0%	5.83	<b>49</b>	6.07	<b>27</b>
590	<i>Danville</i>	64.7%	5.54	<b>71</b>	\$799	2.06	<b>115</b>	61.7%	5.08	<b>74</b>	4.23	<b>97</b>
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	65.0%	5.62	<b>70</b>	\$807	2.22	<b>113</b>	63.8%	5.55	<b>61</b>	4.46	<b>95</b>
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	75.7%	8.50	<b>4</b>	\$991	5.95	<b>23</b>	66.2%	6.09	<b>45</b>	6.85	<b>8</b>
640	<i>Galax</i>	63.4%	5.17	<b>81</b>	\$883	3.78	<b>77</b>	70.1%	6.98	<b>15</b>	5.31	<b>60</b>
650	<i>Hampton</i>	69.5%	6.82	<b>33</b>	\$910	4.32	<b>64</b>	63.3%	5.45	<b>63</b>	5.53	<b>47</b>
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	67.8%	6.37	<b>43</b>	\$916	4.43	<b>62</b>	63.2%	5.42	<b>65</b>	5.41	<b>51</b>
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	69.8%	6.90	<b>32</b>	\$827	2.62	<b>106</b>	68.8%	6.69	<b>28</b>	5.40	<b>53</b>
683	<i>Manassas</i>	63.9%	5.31	<b>76</b>	\$1,095	8.06	<b>5</b>	71.0%	7.19	<b>12</b>	6.86	<b>7</b>
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	73.8%	7.97	<b>10</b>	\$1,074	7.65	<b>6</b>	77.7%	8.73	<b>3</b>	8.11	<b>3</b>
700	<i>Newport News</i>	71.3%	7.30	<b>20</b>	\$924	4.60	<b>57</b>	69.3%	6.81	<b>24</b>	6.24	<b>23</b>
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	70.6%	7.11	<b>23</b>	\$859	3.29	<b>88</b>	67.5%	6.39	<b>35</b>	5.59	<b>43</b>
720	<i>Norton</i>	75.4%	8.40	<b>5</b>	\$739	0.85	<b>119</b>	71.8%	7.37	<b>9</b>	5.54	<b>44</b>
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	70.5%	7.09	<b>24</b>	\$948	5.09	<b>43</b>	70.2%	7.01	<b>14</b>	6.39	<b>18</b>
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	72.2%	7.54	<b>18</b>	\$899	4.10	<b>70</b>	69.6%	6.87	<b>20</b>	6.17	<b>24</b>
750	<i>Radford</i>	70.0%	6.95	<b>29</b>	\$904	4.19	<b>69</b>	61.2%	4.97	<b>79</b>	5.37	<b>56</b>
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	70.0%	6.95	<b>27</b>	\$955	5.23	<b>36</b>	71.8%	7.37	<b>10</b>	6.52	<b>15</b>
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	65.5%	5.75	<b>64</b>	\$964	5.40	<b>28</b>	62.4%	5.25	<b>69</b>	5.47	<b>48</b>
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	74.2%	8.08	<b>8</b>	\$867	3.45	<b>82</b>	68.1%	6.53	<b>31</b>	6.02	<b>28</b>
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	66.6%	6.03	<b>55</b>	\$949	5.10	<b>41</b>	69.4%	6.83	<b>23</b>	5.99	<b>29</b>
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	70.6%	7.12	<b>21</b>	\$907	4.26	<b>66</b>	63.8%	5.56	<b>60</b>	5.65	<b>40</b>
840	<i>Winchester</i>	68.5%	6.55	<b>38</b>	\$960	5.34	<b>31</b>	58.5%	4.35	<b>93</b>	5.41	<b>49</b>

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores was begun in May 1999 to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

## State Summary July 1, 2011 – October 31, 2011

	State	ED 1	ED 2	ED 3	ED 4	ED 5	ED 6	ED 7	ED 8	ED 9	ED 10	ED 11	ED 12	ED 13	ED 14	ED 15	ED 16	ED 17	ED 18
<b><u>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></u></b>																			
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	7,088	367	251	636	229	144	737	79	178	311	299	259	928	261	101	850	214	1,195	49
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	93%	97%	98%	98%	93%	87%	90%	89%	100%	98%	98%	95%	93%	79%	90%	96%	80%	89%	96%
% Timely in October 2011	94%	95%	98%	99%	88%	90%	91%	90%	100%	99%	98%	93%	90%	77%	94%	96%	87%	94%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></u></b>																			
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	1,949	80	68	163	65	41	227	22	59	85	99	68	265	64	25	225	59	320	14
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	96%	99%	100%	97%	97%	93%	97%	86%	100%	98%	98%	100%	97%	92%	92%	95%	93%	92%	100%
Percent in October 2011	96%	99%	100%	97%	97%	93%	97%	86%	100%	98%	98%	100%	97%	92%	92%	95%	93%	92%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></u></b>																			
# Over 30 Days	133	2	1	0	14	4	18	3	0	1	2	6	33	8	3	22	10	6	0
Average # of Days Over 30	35	17	1	0	34	95	37	38	0	16	17	6	36	15	25	43	43	15	0

## EDD1 – Southwest Region: July 1, 2011 - October 31, 2011

	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
<b><u>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></u></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2011		367	13	15	70	53	43	64	5
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011		97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	98%	95%	80%
% Timely in October 2011		95%	100%	100%	100%	100%	95%	90%	50%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></u></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011		80	3	3	18	12	10	15	0
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011		99%	100%	67%	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A
Percent in October 2011		99%	100%	67%	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></u></b>									
# Over 30 Days		2	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30		17	0	0	0	0	29	0	5

## EDD2 - Bristol-Galax Region: July 1, 2011 - October 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD2</i>	<i>Bland</i>	<i>Carroll</i>	<i>Grayson</i>	<i>Smyth</i>	<i>Wash.</i>	<i>Wythe</i>	<i>Bristol</i>	<i>Galax</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	251	4	24	11	47	41	25	78	21
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	98%	100%	96%	100%	98%	100%	100%	100%	90%
% Timely in October 2011	98%	100%	100%	100%	95%	100%	100%	100%	89%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	68	0	5	2	12	11	8	24	6
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Percent in October 2011	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>									
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1

## EDD3 - Roanoke Region: July 1, 2011 - October 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD3</i>	<i>Alleghny/ Covington</i>	<i>Bote- tourt</i>	<i>Craig</i>	<i>Floyd</i>	<i>Franklin County</i>	<i>Giles</i>	<i>Mont- gomery</i>	<i>Pulaski</i>	<i>Roanoke County</i>	<i>Radford</i>	<i>Roanoke City</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>												
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	636	27	7	7	19	48	13	79	49	62	17	308
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	96%	100%	100%	82%	98%
% Timely in October 2011	99%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	96%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>												
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	163	7	1	1	5	12	0	15	14	20	4	84
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	94%
Percent in October 2011	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	94%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>												
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: July 1, 2011 - October 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD4</i>	<i>Aug/S'ton /W'boro</i>	<i>Bath</i>	<i>Highland</i>	<i>Rockbridge/ BV/Lex</i>	<i>Rockingham/ HBurg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	229	154	0	0	22	53
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	93%	100%	N/A	N/A	32%	98%
% Timely in October 2011	88%	100%	N/A	N/A	20%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	65	41	0	0	6	18
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	97%	100%	N/A	N/A	67%	100%
Percent in October 2011	97%	100%	N/A	N/A	67%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	14	0	1	0	13	0
Average # of Days Over 30	34	0	129	0	27	0

## EDD5 & EDD18 - Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: July 1, 2011 - October 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD5</i>	<i>Clarke</i>	<i>Frederick</i>	<i>Page</i>	<i>Shen.</i>	<i>Warren</i>	<i>Winchester</i>	<i>Total EDD18</i>	<i>Accomack</i>	<i>Nhampton</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	144	3	39	18	14	36	34	49	30	19
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	87%	67%	69%	100%	100%	100%	82%	96%	100%	89%
% Timely in October 2011	90%	100%	73%	100%	100%	100%	85%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	41	0	17	1	5	8	10	14	9	5
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	93%	N/A	88%	100%	100%	88%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Percent in October 2011	93%	N/A	88%	100%	100%	88%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>										
# Over 30 Days	4	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	95	0	95	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: July 1, 2011 - October 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD6</i>	<i>Arlington</i>	<i>Fairfax</i>	<i>Loudoun</i>	<i>Prince William</i>	<i>Alexandria</i>	<i>Manassas</i>	<i>Manassas Park</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>								
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	737	47	241	41	266	104	35	3
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	90%	100%	98%	100%	77%	98%	100%	67%
% Timely in October 2011	91%	100%	100%	100%	76%	95%	100%	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>								
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	227	19	68	19	78	31	12	0
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	97%	100%	100%	100%	96%	94%	92%	N/A
Percent in October 2011	97%	100%	100%	100%	96%	94%	92%	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>								
# Over 30 Days	18	0	0	0	16	2	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	37	0	0	0	42	3	0	0

## EDD7 - Culpeper Region: July 1, 2011 - October 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD7</i>	<i>Culpeper</i>	<i>Fauquier</i>	<i>Madison</i>	<i>Orange</i>	<i>Rapp.</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	79	33	24	4	16	2
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	89%	88%	100%	75%	81%	50%
% Timely in October 2011	90%	100%	100%	100%	50%	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	22	12	5	0	5	0
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	86%	92%	100%	N/A	60%	N/A
Percent in October 2011	86%	92%	100%	N/A	60%	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	3	0	0	1	1	1
Average # of Days Over 30	38	0	0	58	15	40

## EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: July 1, 2011 - October 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD8</i>	<i>Albemarle</i>	<i>Fluvanna</i>	<i>Greene</i>	<i>Louisa</i>	<i>Nelson</i>	<i>Cville</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	178	32	12	15	34	13	72
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
% Timely in October 2011	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	59	15	4	6	12	3	19
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Percent in October 2011	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: July 1, 2011 - October 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD9</i>	<i>Amherst</i>	<i>Appom.</i>	<i>Bedford</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Lynchburg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	311	23	23	43	73	149
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	98%	100%	100%	98%	97%	98%
% Timely in October 2011	99%	100%	100%	100%	94%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	85	4	4	9	25	43
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	95%
Percent in October 2011	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	95%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	16	0	0	0	16	0

## EDD10 - Danville Region: July 1, 2011 - October 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD10</i>	<i>Halifax</i>	<i>Henry/ Martinsville</i>	<i>Patrick</i>	<i>Pittsylvania</i>	<i>Danville</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	299	52	82	35	45	85
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	98%	96%	95%	100%	100%	100%
% Timely in October 2011	98%	100%	93%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	99	19	29	5	15	31
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	98%	100%	93%	100%	100%	100%
Percent in October 2011	98%	100%	93%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	2	0	2	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	17	0	17	0	0	0

## EDD11 – Southside Region: July 1, 2011 - October 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD11</i>	<i>Amelia</i>	<i>Brunswick</i>	<i>Buckingham</i>	<i>Charlotte</i>	<i>Cumberland</i>	<i>Gr'nsville Emporia</i>	<i>Lunenburg</i>	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	<i>Nottoway</i>	<i>Pr. Edward</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>											
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	259	13	35	22	33	9	25	16	38	33	35
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	95%	77%	100%	100%	100%	100%	72%	94%	97%	100%	94%
% Timely in October 2011	93%	70%	100%	100%	100%	100%	20%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>											
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	68	2	7	5	8	2	11	3	13	6	11
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Percent in October 2011	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>											
# Over 30 Days	6	3	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	6	8	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0

## EDD12 - Richmond Region: July 1, 2011 - October 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD12</i>	<i>Chesterfield/ Col Hgts</i>	<i>Goochland</i>	<i>Hanover</i>	<i>Henrico</i>	<i>Powhatan</i>	<i>Richmond City</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	928	170	11	26	297	10	414
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	93%	91%	100%	85%	90%	100%	95%
% Timely in October 2011	90%	81%	100%	82%	89%	100%	95%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	265	52	3	8	80	1	121
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	97%	100%	100%	100%	99%	100%	93%
Percent in October 2011	97%	100%	100%	100%	99%	100%	93%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	33	7	0	1	22	0	3
Average # of Days Over 30	36	41	0	10	38	0	19

## EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: July 1, 2011 - October 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD13</i>	<i>Caroline</i>	<i>King George</i>	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	<i>Stafford</i>	<i>Fredericksburg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	261	33	12	86	63	67
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	79%	79%	100%	49%	97%	99%
% Timely in October 2011	77%	62%	100%	50%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	64	13	4	17	14	16
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	92%	100%	100%	71%	100%	100%
Percent in October 2011	92%	100%	100%	71%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	8	4	0	3	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	15	7	0	18	37	0

## EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: July 1, 2011 - October 31, 2011

	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North- umberland	Richmond County	West- moreland
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	101	28	6	17	9	4	11	4	5	17
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	90%	100%	50%	82%	100%	75%	100%	100%	40%	100%
% Timely in October 2011	94%	100%	50%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	50%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	25	8	0	5	1	1	3	2	2	3
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	92%	88%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	50%	100%
Percent in October 2011	92%	88%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	50%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>										
# Over 30 Days	3	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	25	0	3	0	0	64	0	0	8	0

## EDD15 - Peninsula Region: July 1, 2011 - October 31, 2011

	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	850	2	30	27	11	35	301	427	17
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	96%	100%	97%	89%	0%	94%	100%	97%	88%
% Timely in October 2011	96%	100%	100%	79%	0%	85%	99%	98%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	225	0	6	3	0	16	83	112	5
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	95%	N/A	100%	100%	N/A	100%	95%	93%	100%
Percent in October 2011	95%	N/A	100%	100%	N/A	100%	95%	93%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>									
# Over 30 Days	22	0	0	3	14	2	1	0	2
Average # of Days Over 30	43	0	0	3	59	3	1	0	52

## EDD16 - Petersburg Region: July 1, 2011 - October 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD16</i>	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	<i>Prince George</i>	<i>Surry</i>	<i>Sussex</i>	<i>Hopewell</i>	<i>Petersburg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	214	22	24	8	22	44	94
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	80%	100%	96%	100%	100%	39%	84%
% Timely in October 2011	87%	100%	100%	100%	100%	50%	95%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	59	7	3	2	7	10	30
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	93%	100%	100%	100%	100%	90%	90%
Percent in October 2011	93%	100%	100%	100%	100%	90%	90%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	10	0	0	0	0	10	0
Average # of Days Over 30	43	0	0	0	0	43	0

## EDD17 - Tidewater Region: July 1, 2011 - October 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD17</i>	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	<i>South- hampton</i>	<i>Chesapeake</i>	<i>Franklin City</i>	<i>Norfolk</i>	<i>Portsmouth</i>	<i>Suffolk</i>	<i>VA Beach</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	1,195	23	34	224	24	363	246	67	214
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	89%	96%	100%	96%	63%	76%	97%	97%	94%
% Timely in October 2011	94%	86%	100%	98%	78%	90%	98%	100%	95%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	320	10	13	55	5	114	59	22	42
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	92%	100%	100%	100%	80%	82%	97%	95%	95%
Percent in October 2011	92%	100%	100%	100%	80%	82%	97%	95%	95%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>									
# Over 30 Days	6	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	4
Average # of Days Over 30	15	12	0	0	0	0	3	0	19

## *Child Support Enforcement - Statewide Totals*

### *Collections*

	<i>Oct-10</i>	<i>Oct-11</i>	<i>% Change</i>
TANF Cases Collected	14,615	14,920	2.1%
NTANF Cases Collected	127,388	128,778	1.1%
Total Cases Collected	142,003	143,698	1.2%
TANF Collections	\$2,639,999	\$2,952,283	11.8%
NTANF Collections	\$46,691,032	\$48,387,547	3.6%
Total Collections	\$49,331,031	\$51,339,830	4.1%
SFY YTD Collections	\$200,580,974	\$203,795,678	1.6%
SFY YTD Average Collection	\$353.34	\$355.24	0.5%

### *Paternities Established*

	<i>Oct-11</i>
TANF Paternities	172
NTANF Paternities	355
Combined Paternities	527

# *Diversionsary Assistance*

## *October Diversionsary Assistance Cases*

<b>Locality</b>	<b>Number of Cases</b>		<b>Locality</b>	<b>Number of Cases</b>
Albemarle	4		Shenandoah	12
Amelia	1		Southampton	1
Appomattox	2		Spotsylvania	2
Augusta / Staunt'n / W'boro	8		Stafford	5
Bedford City	2		Surry	1
Buckingham	6		Sussex	1
Charlotte	1		Warren	1
Chesterfield/Colonial Hgts	3		York / Poquoson	3
Cumberland	2		Bristol	1
Dinwiddie	3		Charlottesville	5
Fairfax / Falls Church	1		Chesapeake	1
Fauquier	2		Danville	2
Fluvanna	3		Franklin City	5
Franklin County	2		Fredericksburg	2
Grayson	1		Hampton	5
Greene	1		Hopewell	3
Henrico	7		Newport News	18
Henry / Martinsville	7		Norfolk	20
Isle of Wight	2		Petersburg	11
James City	1		Portsmouth	6
King and Queen	1		Richmond City	6
Orange	4		Roanoke City	9
Patrick	3		Suffolk	8
Prince William	2		Virginia Beach	5
Roanoke County	2		Winchester	3
Rockingham / H'burg	3		Statewide	210

## *Definitions of Selected Terms Contained in Report*

**Average Monthly Earnings.** This is the average monthly earnings of the unsubsidized jobs that participants obtained. The value is calculated by multiplying the hourly wage by the weekly hours worked by 4.3 average weeks per month. For the cumulative figures the initial wage and initial hours are used. For the current figures, the initial wage and most current hours are used, except for the November 2001 report which used initial hours. Earlier than June 1999, wage changes that were entered overwrote the initial wage, so prior to that date, the cumulative figures used the initial hours and the last wage entered. With the advent of the VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) program in October 2006 the end of month average monthly earnings might be expected to decline somewhat because prior to VTP, cases with high earnings might remain open for job follow-up, but after the implementation of VTP the VIEW case would be closed when the TANF case was closed and a VTP case opened. VTP cases are not included in this report except for estimating the participation rate.

**Average Wage.** The average of the wages reported for each occurrence of unsubsidized employment. After June 1999 the wage is the wage at hiring, that is, the initial wage. Prior to June 1999, that wage may have been updated if changed during employment. After the implementation of the VIEW Transitional Payment program the end of month point in time average wage might be expected to decline some for the same reason mentioned in the explanation of possible decline in Average Monthly earnings, above. See note 6 on page 44.

**Cases Closed with Employment.** These are TANF or TANF-UP cases that are closed with an indication in the data base of employment at the date of closure. It does not mean that the case necessarily closed because of employment. For example, a TANF case may have an indication of closing at the client's request, along with indication of existing employment in VIEW. That case is counted as closed with employment.

**EDD.** This stands for Economic Development District. EDDs were developed during the administration of Governor Allen. They generally are no longer in use. This report continues to refer to them because VIEW was phased in by EDD and their use provides consistency over time.

**Enrolled.** Unduplicated number by locality of TANF recipients who were interviewed, received an individual assessment, signed the Agreement of Personal Responsibility, and enrolled in the VIEW program. Because the count is by locality, a recipient may be counted once in more than one locality. The fiscal year to date numbers include those who were enrolled at the beginning of the fiscal year as well as those enrolled during the year. There are clients enrolled in VIEW who have had their TANF case closed because VIEW policy requires that the enrollment remain open for job follow-up after employment unless the employment meets federal work participation guidelines, in which case the VIEW case is closed and a VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) case is opened.

**Full Time Employment.** Employment at 30 hours a week or greater.

**Participants Assigned to Inactive.** Inactive is a status assigned to participants who cannot participate in the VIEW program for reasons other than being exempt. Examples are unavailability of child care, unavailability of transportation, and mental or medical problems that make participation impractical. Inactive participants are due to be reviewed each month. While in inactive status, the 24 month time limit for TANF benefits is suspended.

**Participants Employed.** Total number of participants by locality who ever obtained an unsubsidized job, either full time or part time. If employed more than one time, they are still only counted once, but may be counted in more than one locality. Also, these participants may have left their job and not gotten another one. For the year to date numbers, those employed at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first became employed in the fiscal year.

**Participants in a Work Activity.** Unduplicated count by locality of persons who have been in any of the following components: unsubsidized employment, full employment program (FEP), on-the-job training (OJT), community work experience program (CWEP), public service program (PSP), vocational education and training, and job search/job readiness. For example, a person who was in community work experience and then employed would be counted only once.

For the year to date numbers, those in a work activity at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first entered a work activity in the fiscal year. Note that this is a revised definition of work activity from reports through October 2006. The revised definition captures the activities that count toward the first 20 hours of federal participation requirements – the core work activities. Clients who are counted as being in a core work activity must meet additional participation rate requirements in order to be included in the work participation rate, and only VIEW clients are counted here, not all work eligible TANF clients **Thus, these percentages in a work activity are quite different from the percentages in the TANF work participation rate.**

**Part Time Employment.** Employment at fewer than 30 weekly hours.

**Referred.** Unduplicated number of TANF recipients by locality who were initially evaluated as eligible for VIEW and had their names referred to the automated VIEW list for participation.

**Relationship Between TANF and VIEW Participation.** On the chart at page 5a, the column heading “Total Adults in the Case” includes adults who are not considered to be part of the assistance unit. For example, a mother receiving SSI may be in the case, but because she receives SSI is not considered eligible for TANF and thus is not part of the assistance unit. Persons such as she who are not in the assistance unit are not eligible to participate in VIEW. Also included are adults who are part of the assistance unit but exempt from VIEW

**Five Months Employment.** In earlier reports, this represented the percent of all participants that were employed 150 days after their first employment. Participants who were employed in any job 150 days after their first employment were counted in this measure.. This measure became unavailable after July 1, 2011. See note 9 on page 44.

**Three Months Employment.** This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 90 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 90 days after their first employment are counted in this measure. For year to date percents, the calculations are based on the first employment that began one year before the end of the report month. That employment may not have been the first ever for the participant. The year to date percents were calculated differently in reports before September 2004. Also for year to date percents, transferred cases were not counted for months after the January 2002 report.

**TANF / TANF-UP Payment Cases.** Up to November 2003 these counts were taken from a monthly report with no adjustment. After that, the monthly counts included some cases that were being paid because child support payments had been received and were being passed on. These counts were adjusted to mostly eliminate those additional cases. Further adjustment may be appropriate. It should also be noted that the counts include both strictly TANF cases and MOE cases for some years. With the end of waiver provisions in July 2003, a substantial part of the caseload was placed in a state program and funded with MOE funds. This change in July 2003 was a change in funding, not a change in eligibility requirements or case payment amounts. It ended in September 2006 when VIEW transitional payments (VTP) were introduced. Adjustments have been made to take out VTP cases. Also, TANF-UP cases are currently funded with only state monies.

**VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP).** Beginning in October 2006, VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) were introduced. These are payments of \$50 per client per month for one year to VIEW clients that leave “regular” TANF with employment sufficient to meet Federal work participation requirements. The employment must be maintained to be eligible for VTP. Previously, VTP clients normally would have remained VIEW clients for five or six months of job-follow-up. Thus, the VTP program has had a diminishing effect on some of the statistics in this report such as end of month percent employed and average wage. See graph on page 5a.

**Time That Data Represent.** The data in the top sections of page 4 and pages 8 – 24 are point in time data as of the date indicated. The year to date section represents state fiscal year to date data, with the exception of three month retention (see above). The bottom section is cumulative from when the locality began VIEW.

**General Statement About Accuracy.** The report is based on an extract from the Employment Services automated system. The extract is normally made the night of the first work day after the end of the report month. Data that staff of local social services agencies enter into the automated system after the time of the extract are not included in the report, even though the activity reflected actually occurred in the report month. However, that data, and the activity reflected, are included in the reports for subsequent months. Further, because the data are entered by staff in the 120 localities, the accuracy of the data in the data base is, of course, subject to inadvertent error.


- <sup>1</sup> Applications are not necessarily acted upon in the month that they are received. Also, applications may be transferred from regular TANF to TANF-UP and vice versa as they are processed.
- <sup>2</sup> These TANF cases paid counts are prior to possible adjustment. See definition of payment cases. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes.
- <sup>3</sup> VIEW enrolled counts do not include VTP clients
- <sup>4</sup> A case may be open in more than one locality during the time period.
- <sup>5</sup> Prior to June 1999, when individuals were transferred from one locality to another, their history was transferred as well. This may have an effect on a locality's cumulative VIEW statistics.
- <sup>6</sup> The minimum wage rose from \$6.55 to \$7.25 an hour on 7/24/09. The report reflects initial wages so some effect on wages in the report was delayed. The average wage includes full and part time, unless otherwise indicated. See the definition of average wage on page 42.
- <sup>7</sup> Includes full and part time earnings.
- <sup>8</sup> This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed three months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed three months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous. See definition on page 43 for the revised method of calculating the year to date percentages.
- <sup>9</sup> Until the July 2011 report, this was employed after five months. However, on July 1, 2011 the number of required job follow-ups was reduced to three. Thus, data for five month job retention became unavailable.
- <sup>10</sup> Number includes TANF-UP and represents cases carried over to the next month. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes, but with the advent of VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) such occurrences were reduced from earlier months.
- <sup>11</sup> Enrollment is timely if done within 30 days of referral in previous month of the state fiscal year. The monthly percent includes evaluation of clients waiting in the queue. The year to date does not.
- <sup>12</sup> Placement in a work activity on the 95th day after referral was a measure of timeliness before Oct 1, 2006. The revised definition of work activity has increased the percentage from reports before November 2006. Clients whose cases have closed before the 95<sup>th</sup> day are excluded, so 100% is an attainable mark.
- <sup>14</sup> As of the morning of November 1, 2011. Some referrals to the waiting list are not appropriate, and there may be considerable lag time in correcting them..

This report is available on the Department of Social Services web site at:  
[http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial\\_assistance/tanf.cgi](http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial_assistance/tanf.cgi)

The scores in the VIEW Rankings are determined by placing a locality on a scale of zero to ten in the same relative position as its place in the difference between the high and low of the measure in question. This converts all measures to a similar scale and provides a more equitable way to combine the ratings in each measure to determine a combined rank. (Questions, please call 804 726-7618.)

**Comments on the format or content of the report and requests for Excel files for portions of current and past reports may be sent by e-mail to: [william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov](mailto:william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov).**


## TANF and TANF-UP Cases Paid at Six Month Intervals, except last month June 1995 through October 2011



This graph illustrates the dramatic decline of the TANF caseload as VIEW was implemented. There was some sign of slowing in the rate of decline after June 1998. Nevertheless, wide community involvement, a strong economy, and the effect of the 24 month rule allowed the caseload to continue to fall until it was near the 30,000 level. There was little net change in the caseload from June 2000 to June 2002, but some upward movement was seen after June 2002, until 2005. The more recent trends are presumed to be a result of economic conditions. Of note is that the caseload appeared to level off after recent growth and seems now in slight decline.

# Applications Received with Approval Percentage

Six Month Asymmetrical Moving Averages by Quarter, July 1995 through October 2011




This graph of application activity is presented as six month moving averages to produce smoother lines from which trends may be seen and in recognition that applications are not always acted on in the month received. The trends are not dramatic, but there seems to have been a general decline in the number of applications received until the July 2001 data. The decline in applications received after December 2004 and the recent changes appear to be reflected in the case load trends.

Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

## TANF Cases, VIEW Enrolled, and Employed VIEW Participants


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide  
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. October is the last month



This graph shows that the number enrolled in VIEW increased during early implementation and then generally tracked the number of cases. The effects of the recent economic conditions are apparent. A VIEW case may remain open for five to six months or more after the TANF case closes, if job follow-up is being done and the case has not been converted to the VIEW Transitional Payment program. It is unlikely that the number employed will ever equal the number enrolled because there are always new enrollees without employment, and there are some enrollees in work activities, other than employment, that meet VIEW requirements. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months. It includes TANF-UP

## Chart Displaying the Relationships Between TANF and VIEW Participation


Oct 31, 2011



This chart illustrates that there are a large number of adults who are exempt from VIEW and that many of the VIEW enrollees have had their TANF cases closed. They are now in a job follow-up phase of VIEW. They have not had their VIEW case closed and become enrolled in the VIEW Transitional Payment program. The source of this information is a monthly TANF extract from ADAPT and the monthly extract from ESPAS, the VIEW automated data system. The extracts were made at the beginning of November and represent end of October data. TANF-UP is included.

## VIEW Percent Total Employed and Average Wage at End of Month


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide  
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. October is the last month.



This graph shows that the average wage has seen a general increase as the percent of VIEW employed initially increased and then leveled. Besides employment, VIEW participants may be in various other activities or initial assessment and job search. It is unlikely that the percent employed will rise more, and the recent economic conditions may have caused a decline. The wages shown are generally the initial wages. When the average wage was calculated at about the end of October it was \$8.30 rather than the \$8.23 initial wages used to calculate wages shown on this graph. See page 42 of the report for an explanation of why the VIEW average wage might decline after October 2006 and note 6 on page 44 for the effect of the increase in minimum wage. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.

## Percent of Jobs Found During a Month That Were Full Time and Percent Part Time

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide  
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. October is the last month



This graph illustrates an initial trend of VIEW participants obtaining a greater percent of full time jobs than part time. After the initial months, the trend seems to be fairly level with an increase in the percent full time after TANF reauthorization which probably reflects increased emphasis on meeting the required TANF work participation rate. The more recent changes are presumed to be a result of economic developments. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.