

# **VIRGINIA INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM**

**MONTHLY REPORT**  
Including Graphs of Statewide Trends  
**December 2011**

Prepared by:  
Virginia Department of Social Services January 26, 2012

# Monthly Report, December 2011

## Table of Contents

Work Participation Estimates (from the VDSS data warehouse) (A work participation estimate percent should not be compared to a percent in a work activity in the main body of the report which includes only clients in VIEW and does not consider the number of hours in a work activity during a month)	i-vi
TANF, TANF-UP Case Activity.....	1
Graphs of Case Activity.....	at end
VIEW Work Activity	
Statewide .....	4
Graphs of Statewide Activity.....	at end
EDD1.....	8
EDD2.....	9
EDD3.....	10
EDD4.....	11
EDD5 & EDD18.....	12
EDD6.....	13
EDD7.....	14
EDD8.....	15
EDD9.....	16
EDD10.....	17
EDD11.....	18
EDD14.....	19
EDD1.....	20
EDD3.....	21
EDD11.....	22
EDD15.....	23
EDD17.....	24
VIEW Year to Date Rankings.....	25-27
VIEW Cumulative Rankings.....	28-30
VIEW Timeliness Measures.....	31-39
Child Support Enforcement.....	40
Diversion.....	41
VIEW Definition Sheet and Endnotes.....	42-44

# *Estimated Work Participation Rates for December*

*These are estimated locality December 2011 work participation rates that include clients receiving either TANF or VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). The official participation rate will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated December statewide rate is 43% with a numerator of 8,018 and a denominator of 18,633.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	15	66	23%	061	Fauquier	25	54	46%
003	Albemarle	38	68	56%	063	Floyd	8	24	33%
005	Alleghany/Covington	20	43	47%	065	Fluvanna	10	27	37%
007	Amelia	10	45	22%	067	Franklin County	48	116	41%
009	Amherst	20	42	48%	069	Frederick	42	97	43%
011	Appomattox	27	44	61%	071	Giles	8	21	38%
013	Arlington	59	127	46%	073	Gloucester	18	46	39%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	147	274	54%	075	Goochland	13	36	36%
017	Bath	1	4	25%	077	Grayson	11	31	35%
019	Bedford County/City	41	87	47%	079	Greene	5	20	25%
021	Bland	2	8	25%	081	Greensville/Emporia	36	95	38%
023	Botetourt	3	22	14%	083	Halifax	28	86	33%
025	Brunswick	40	72	56%	085	Hanover	30	76	39%
027	Buchanan	23	44	52%	087	Henrico	307	955	32%
029	Buckingham	15	36	42%	089	Henry/Martinsville	96	266	36%
031	Campbell	69	177	39%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	12	111	11%	093	Isle of Wight	18	50	36%
035	Carroll	28	58	48%	095	James City	29	84	35%
036	Charles City	4	12	33%	097	King and Queen	4	10	40%
037	Charlotte	18	51	35%	099	King George	6	21	29%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	220	544	40%	101	King William	8	26	31%
043	Clarke	4	13	31%	103	Lancaster	7	24	29%
045	Craig	4	9	44%	105	Lee	64	136	47%
047	Culpeper	39	81	48%	107	Loudoun	64	148	43%
049	Cumberland	10	37	27%	109	Louisa	29	55	53%
051	Dickensen	17	36	47%	111	Lunenburg	9	39	23%
053	Dinwiddie	21	46	46%	113	Madison	4	9	44%
057	Essex	16	45	36%	115	Mathews	2	15	13%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	321	670	48%	117	Mecklenburg	25	70	36%

# Estimated Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent
119	Middlesex	7	18	39%	187	Warren	32	83	39%
121	Montgomery	100	180	56%	191	Washington	35	73	48%
125	Nelson	7	19	37%	193	Westmoreland	17	43	40%
127	New Kent	3	33	9%	195	Wise	79	186	42%
131	Northampton	14	67	21%	197	Wythe	31	69	45%
133	Northumberland	9	12	75%	199	York/Poquoson	36	94	38%
135	Nottoway	26	85	31%	510	Alexandria	112	250	45%
137	Orange	18	50	36%	520	Bristol	78	154	51%
139	Page	19	41	46%	540	Charlottesville	94	203	46%
141	Patrick	29	57	51%	550	Chesapeake	367	685	54%
143	Pittsylvania	32	87	37%	590	Danville	96	211	45%
145	Powhatan	7	22	32%	620	Franklin City	17	60	28%
147	Prince Edward	37	73	51%	630	Fredericksburg	53	121	44%
149	Prince George	21	50	42%	640	Galax	12	40	30%
153	Prince William	350	698	50%	650	Hampton	386	846	46%
155	Pulaski	34	75	45%	670	Hopewell	28	202	14%
157	Rappahannock	0	3	0%	680	Lynchburg	141	342	41%
159	Richmond County	2	15	13%	683	Manassas	36	99	36%
161	Roanoke County	72	151	48%	685	Manassas Park	5	22	23%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	14	45	31%	700	Newport News	481	1,046	46%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	91	170	54%	710	Norfolk	740	1,392	53%
167	Russell	33	97	34%	720	Norton	6	17	35%
169	Scott	41	84	49%	730	Petersburg	170	320	53%
171	Shenandoah	12	23	52%	740	Portsmouth	268	638	42%
173	Smyth	49	106	46%	750	Radford	17	43	40%
175	Southampton	17	65	26%	760	Richmond City	589	1,557	38%
177	Spotsylvania	91	258	35%	770	Roanoke City	200	589	34%
179	Stafford	66	169	39%	800	Suffolk	87	158	55%
181	Surry	7	19	37%	810	Virginia Beach	288	556	52%
183	Sussex	17	41	41%	830	Williamsburg	11	25	44%
185	Tazewell	60	130	46%	840	Winchester	23	57	40%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF and VTP recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rate presented here is the all families rate, i. e. the overall rate, including VTP..

# *Estimated TANF only Work Participation Rates for December*

*These are estimated locality December 2011 work participation rates that include only clients receiving TANF. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated December statewide rate is 37% with a numerator of 6,025 and a denominator of 16,442. VTP only estimates are on pages v and vi.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	<i>Accomack</i>	14	64	22%	061	<i>Fauquier</i>	18	47	38%
003	<i>Albemarle</i>	23	53	43%	063	<i>Floyd</i>	5	21	24%
005	<i>Alleghany/Covington</i>	12	35	34%	065	<i>Fluvanna</i>	8	25	32%
007	<i>Amelia</i>	9	43	21%	067	<i>Franklin County</i>	41	109	38%
009	<i>Amherst</i>	17	38	45%	069	<i>Frederick</i>	33	87	38%
011	<i>Appomattox</i>	20	36	56%	071	<i>Giles</i>	7	20	35%
013	<i>Arlington</i>	41	106	39%	073	<i>Gloucester</i>	12	40	30%
015	<i>Augusta/S'ton/W'boro</i>	94	221	43%	075	<i>Goochland</i>	9	32	28%
017	<i>Bath</i>	0	3	0%	077	<i>Grayson</i>	7	25	28%
019	<i>Bedford County/City</i>	35	81	43%	079	<i>Greene</i>	0	15	0%
021	<i>Bland</i>	1	7	14%	081	<i>Greensville/Emporia</i>	22	81	27%
023	<i>Botetourt</i>	2	21	10%	083	<i>Halifax</i>	21	78	27%
025	<i>Brunswick</i>	38	69	55%	085	<i>Hanover</i>	20	65	31%
027	<i>Buchanan</i>	18	38	47%	087	<i>Henrico</i>	240	875	27%
029	<i>Buckingham</i>	11	32	34%	089	<i>Henry/Martinsville</i>	71	239	30%
031	<i>Campbell</i>	41	148	28%	091	<i>Highland</i>	0	0	N/A
033	<i>Caroline</i>	12	102	12%	093	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	14	46	30%
035	<i>Carroll</i>	20	50	40%	095	<i>James City</i>	23	76	30%
036	<i>Charles City</i>	1	9	11%	097	<i>King and Queen</i>	3	8	38%
037	<i>Charlotte</i>	13	46	28%	099	<i>King George</i>	5	20	25%
041	<i>Chesterfield/Col Hgts</i>	163	484	34%	101	<i>King William</i>	8	25	32%
043	<i>Clarke</i>	4	11	36%	103	<i>Lancaster</i>	6	21	29%
045	<i>Craig</i>	2	7	29%	105	<i>Lee</i>	47	119	39%
047	<i>Culpeper</i>	25	65	38%	107	<i>Loudoun</i>	30	114	26%
049	<i>Cumberland</i>	8	35	23%	109	<i>Louisa</i>	22	48	46%
051	<i>Dickensen</i>	11	29	38%	111	<i>Lunenburg</i>	8	37	22%
053	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	18	43	42%	113	<i>Madison</i>	3	8	38%
057	<i>Essex</i>	12	38	32%	115	<i>Mathews</i>	1	13	8%
059	<i>Fairfax/Falls Church</i>	207	551	38%	117	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	17	62	27%

# Estimated TANF Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	6	17	35%	187	Warren	23	70	33%
121	Montgomery	64	142	45%	191	Washington	27	65	42%
125	Nelson	2	14	14%	193	Westmoreland	17	43	40%
127	New Kent	3	32	9%	195	Wise	53	158	34%
131	Northampton	10	62	16%	197	Wythe	27	65	42%
133	Northumberland	7	10	70%	199	York/Poquoson	29	85	34%
135	Nottoway	17	76	22%	510	Alexandria	80	217	37%
137	Orange	15	46	33%	520	Bristol	67	141	48%
139	Page	13	35	37%	540	Charlottesville	72	181	40%
141	Patrick	24	52	46%	550	Chesapeake	292	606	48%
143	Pittsylvania	28	82	34%	590	Danville	81	195	42%
145	Powhatan	5	20	25%	620	Franklin City	15	57	26%
147	Prince Edward	31	65	48%	630	Fredericksburg	28	92	30%
149	Prince George	14	41	34%	640	Galax	10	38	26%
153	Prince William	206	545	38%	650	Hampton	318	759	42%
155	Pulaski	24	65	37%	670	Hopewell	17	183	9%
157	Rappahannock	0	3	0%	680	Lynchburg	98	297	33%
159	Richmond County	2	15	13%	683	Manassas	22	85	26%
161	Roanoke County	37	113	33%	685	Manassas Park	2	18	11%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	12	42	29%	700	Newport News	379	932	41%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	61	139	44%	710	Norfolk	648	1,295	50%
167	Russell	29	93	31%	720	Norton	4	15	27%
169	Scott	34	75	45%	730	Petersburg	139	285	49%
171	Shenandoah	9	20	45%	740	Portsmouth	203	569	36%
173	Smyth	38	95	40%	750	Radford	12	38	32%
175	Southampton	14	62	23%	760	Richmond City	472	1,422	33%
177	Spotsylvania	73	231	32%	770	Roanoke City	136	523	26%
179	Stafford	42	145	29%	800	Suffolk	71	142	50%
181	Surry	4	16	25%	810	Virginia Beach	198	463	43%
183	Sussex	15	39	38%	830	Williamsburg	10	24	42%
185	Tazewell	43	113	38%	840	Winchester	20	53	38%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are for the TANF all family rate not including VTP.

## *Estimated VTP only Work Participation Rates for December*

*These are estimated locality December 2011 work participation rates that include only clients receiving VTP. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated December statewide rate is 91% with a numerator of 1,993 and a denominator of 2,191. TANF only estimates are on pages iii and iv.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	<i>Accomack</i>	1	2	50%	061	<i>Fauquier</i>	7	7	100%
003	<i>Albemarle</i>	15	15	100%	063	<i>Floyd</i>	3	3	100%
005	<i>Alleghany/Covington</i>	8	8	100%	065	<i>Fluvanna</i>	2	2	100%
007	<i>Amelia</i>	1	2	50%	067	<i>Franklin County</i>	7	7	100%
009	<i>Amherst</i>	3	4	75%	069	<i>Frederick</i>	9	10	90%
011	<i>Appomattox</i>	7	8	88%	071	<i>Giles</i>	1	1	100%
013	<i>Arlington</i>	18	21	86%	073	<i>Gloucester</i>	6	6	100%
015	<i>Augusta/S'ton/W'boro</i>	53	53	100%	075	<i>Goochland</i>	4	4	100%
017	<i>Bath</i>	1	1	100%	077	<i>Grayson</i>	4	6	67%
019	<i>Bedford County/City</i>	6	6	100%	079	<i>Greene</i>	5	5	100%
021	<i>Bland</i>	1	1	100%	081	<i>Greensville/Emporia</i>	14	14	100%
023	<i>Botetourt</i>	1	1	100%	083	<i>Halifax</i>	7	8	88%
025	<i>Brunswick</i>	2	3	67%	085	<i>Hanover</i>	10	11	91%
027	<i>Buchanan</i>	5	6	83%	087	<i>Henrico</i>	67	80	84%
029	<i>Buckingham</i>	4	4	100%	089	<i>Henry/Martinsville</i>	25	27	93%
031	<i>Campbell</i>	28	29	97%	091	<i>Highland</i>	0	0	N/A
033	<i>Caroline</i>	0	9	0%	093	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	4	4	100%
035	<i>Carroll</i>	8	8	100%	095	<i>James City</i>	6	8	75%
036	<i>Charles City</i>	3	3	100%	097	<i>King and Queen</i>	1	2	50%
037	<i>Charlotte</i>	5	5	100%	099	<i>King George</i>	1	1	100%
041	<i>Chesterfield/Col Hgts</i>	57	60	95%	101	<i>King William</i>	0	1	0%
043	<i>Clarke</i>	0	2	0%	103	<i>Lancaster</i>	1	3	33%
045	<i>Craig</i>	2	2	100%	105	<i>Lee</i>	17	17	100%
047	<i>Culpeper</i>	14	16	88%	107	<i>Loudoun</i>	34	34	100%
049	<i>Cumberland</i>	2	2	100%	109	<i>Louisa</i>	7	7	100%
051	<i>Dickensen</i>	6	7	86%	111	<i>Lunenburg</i>	1	2	50%
053	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	3	3	100%	113	<i>Madison</i>	1	1	100%
057	<i>Essex</i>	4	7	57%	115	<i>Mathews</i>	1	2	50%
059	<i>Fairfax/Falls Church</i>	114	119	96%	117	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	8	8	100%

# Estimated VTP Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	1	1	100%	187	Warren	9	13	69%
121	Montgomery	36	38	95%	191	Washington	8	8	100%
125	Nelson	5	5	100%	193	Westmoreland	0	0	N/A
127	New Kent	0	1	0%	195	Wise	26	28	93%
131	Northampton	4	5	80%	197	Wythe	4	4	100%
133	Northumberland	2	2	100%	199	York/Poquoson	7	9	78%
135	Nottoway	9	9	100%	510	Alexandria	32	33	97%
137	Orange	3	4	75%	520	Bristol	11	13	85%
139	Page	6	6	100%	540	Charlottesville	22	22	100%
141	Patrick	5	5	100%	550	Chesapeake	75	79	95%
143	Pittsylvania	4	5	80%	590	Danville	15	16	94%
145	Powhatan	2	2	100%	620	Franklin City	2	3	67%
147	Prince Edward	6	8	75%	630	Fredericksburg	25	29	86%
149	Prince George	7	9	78%	640	Galax	2	2	100%
153	Prince William	144	153	94%	650	Hampton	68	87	78%
155	Pulaski	10	10	100%	670	Hopewell	11	19	58%
157	Rappahannock	0	0	N/A	680	Lynchburg	43	45	96%
159	Richmond County	0	0	N/A	683	Manassas	14	14	100%
161	Roanoke County	35	38	92%	685	Manassas Park	3	4	75%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	2	3	67%	700	Newport News	102	114	89%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	30	31	97%	710	Norfolk	92	97	95%
167	Russell	4	4	100%	720	Norton	2	2	100%
169	Scott	7	9	78%	730	Petersburg	31	35	89%
171	Shenandoah	3	3	100%	740	Portsmouth	65	69	94%
173	Smyth	11	11	100%	750	Radford	5	5	100%
175	Southampton	3	3	100%	760	Richmond City	117	135	87%
177	Spotsylvania	18	27	67%	770	Roanoke City	64	66	97%
179	Stafford	24	24	100%	800	Suffolk	16	16	100%
181	Surry	3	3	100%	810	Virginia Beach	90	93	97%
183	Sussex	2	2	100%	830	Williamsburg	1	1	100%
185	Tazewell	17	17	100%	840	Winchester	3	4	75%

All VTP recipients are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” Thus, all VTP cases are in the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, job follow-up entries are reviewed to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. The basic requirement for VTP recipients is employment for at least 30 hours per week. The requirement is contained in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are also sometimes referred to as the rates for the maintenance of effort program caseload. .

## TANF

TANF Case Activity	Total Statewide				
	Dec-11	Dec-10	Change from Dec-10	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received <sup>1</sup>	4,039	3,985	1%	4,807	-16.0%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	2,657	2,673	-1%	1,658	60%
Applications Approved	2,051	2,210	-7%	2,820	-27%
Cases Closed *	2,483	2,449	1%	4,476	-45%
Cases at End of Month	32,611	34,394	-5%	67,995	-52%
Cases Paid During Month <sup>2</sup>	33,593	35,402	-5%	70,403	-52%

•The database indicates that of the 2,483 closed cases, 1,117 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 589 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 250 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 143 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

## TANF-UP

TANF-UP Case Activity	Total Statewide				
	Dec-11	Dec-10	Change from Dec-10	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received <sup>1</sup>	434	546	-21%	338	28%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	292	352	-17%	232	26%
Applications Approved	213	300	-29%	81	163%
Cases Closed *	242	230	5%	107	126%
Cases at End of Month	1,871	2,210	-15%	321	483%
Cases Paid During Month <sup>2</sup>	1,980	2,284	-13%	394	403%

•The database indicates that of the 242 closed cases, 137 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 82 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 19 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 16 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

## Statewide - Status through December 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/11 and Work Activity as of 12/30/11

Current Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	34,482	1,726	1,092	2,667	953	572	3,846	358	661	1,280	1,521	1,071	5,667	1,148	412	3,607	1,204	6,387	310
Total Enrolled in VIEW	14,249	628	465	981	368	258	1,530	150	310	637	474	523	2,386	633	154	1,557	572	2,514	109
Part. in a Work Activity	10,732	493	361	688	290	195	1,238	105	253	476	371	395	1,735	411	115	1,176	366	2,008	56
% of Enrolled	75%	79%	78%	70%	79%	76%	81%	70%	82%	75%	78%	76%	73%	65%	75%	76%	64%	80%	51%
Part. Assigned to Inactive	138	3	1	9	0	3	17	6	1	3	3	9	44	2	2	11	13	11	0
Participants Employed	7,038	352	224	530	243	132	760	76	168	311	238	241	1,040	276	75	776	262	1,295	39
% of Enrolled	49%	56%	48%	54%	66%	51%	50%	51%	54%	49%	50%	46%	44%	44%	49%	50%	46%	52%	36%
Full Time	5,476	315	200	460	218	97	547	69	108	204	195	168	732	189	59	598	215	1,080	22
Part Time	1,562	37	24	70	25	35	213	7	60	107	43	73	308	87	16	178	47	215	17
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.18	\$7.65	\$7.80	\$7.95	\$7.99	\$8.00	\$9.42	\$8.28	\$8.45	\$7.97	\$7.77	\$7.81	\$8.30	\$8.43	\$8.02	\$8.13	\$7.86	\$7.90	\$8.28
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,078	\$1,061	\$1,097	\$1,093	\$1,124	\$1,047	\$1,205	\$1,123	\$1,034	\$1,008	\$1,058	\$973	\$1,074	\$1,059	\$1,064	\$1,082	\$1,086	\$1,041	\$997

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – December 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	17,152	794	660	1,552	555	350	1,832	203	373	676	715	548	2,384	653	214	1,878	494	3,108	163
Total Enrolled in VIEW	25,889	1,161	910	2,055	705	507	2,940	274	566	1,139	968	879	4,027	1,071	309	2,847	900	4,441	190
Part. in a Work Activity	22,657	1,056	831	1,699	640	441	2,639	233	525	995	866	786	3,481	857	280	2,484	736	3,942	166
% of Total Enrolled	88%	91%	91%	83%	91%	87%	90%	85%	93%	87%	89%	89%	86%	80%	91%	87%	82%	89%	87%
Participants Employed	12,986	634	462	1,038	439	253	1,590	145	313	577	418	406	1,825	482	156	1,414	423	2,334	77
% of Total Enrolled	50%	55%	51%	51%	62%	50%	54%	53%	55%	51%	43%	46%	45%	45%	50%	50%	47%	53%	41%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.36	\$7.73	\$7.84	\$8.12	\$8.17	\$8.30	\$9.89	\$8.56	\$8.69	\$7.97	\$7.85	\$7.91	\$8.41	\$8.67	\$7.98	\$8.19	\$8.08	\$8.04	\$8.27
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,081	\$1,041	\$1,070	\$1,106	\$1,101	\$1,093	\$1,264	\$1,130	\$1,029	\$1,001	\$1,020	\$979	\$1,079	\$1,080	\$1,030	\$1,048	\$1,083	\$1,031	\$1,008
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	72%	71%	62%	66%	72%	65%	72%	71%	70%	69%	65%	73%	76%	77%	65%	74%	73%	75%	75%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	266,762	16,667	10,087	19,894	7,787	5,110	33,168	3,776	5,765	10,051	12,403	7,680	35,595	8,181	3,486	25,320	8,991	50,377	2,424
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>5</sup>	204,208	12,792	8,466	15,215	5,945	3,796	25,568	2,840	4,444	8,329	9,930	5,921	27,779	6,009	2,581	18,989	7,031	36,796	1,777
Part. in a Work Activity	195,280	12,292	8,105	14,478	5,792	3,591	24,695	2,732	4,336	7,878	9,604	5,660	26,681	5,672	2,421	18,083	6,712	34,831	1,717
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	96%	95%	97%	95%	97%	96%	98%	95%	97%	96%	96%	94%	94%	95%	95%	95%	97%
Participants Employed	138,400	8,049	5,486	10,344	4,150	2,487	18,066	1,914	3,315	5,689	6,128	3,587	18,775	3,953	1,625	13,238	4,796	25,797	1,001
% of Total Enrolled	68%	63%	65%	68%	70%	66%	71%	67%	75%	68%	62%	61%	68%	66%	63%	70%	68%	70%	56%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.13	\$6.20	\$6.30	\$7.07	\$7.13	\$7.33	\$8.65	\$7.43	\$7.38	\$6.57	\$6.37	\$6.73	\$7.33	\$7.88	\$6.96	\$7.00	\$6.97	\$6.84	\$6.68
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$943	\$817	\$855	\$950	\$947	\$981	\$1,147	\$980	\$944	\$853	\$850	\$873	\$983	\$1,017	\$891	\$916	\$941	\$895	\$842
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	67%	68%	62%	61%	67%	63%	71%	65%	63%	65%	63%	66%	70%	65%	57%	66%	66%	68%	72%

## EDD1 - Southwest Region: Status through December 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/11 and Work Activity as of 12/30/11

Current Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,726	145	93	304	231	192	266	448	47
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	628	25	34	135	94	83	98	146	13
Participants in a Work Activity	493	25	25	114	75	64	85	96	9
% of Enrolled	79%	100%	74%	84%	80%	77%	87%	66%	69%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	352	7	20	76	43	51	62	84	9
% of Enrolled	56%	28%	59%	56%	46%	61%	63%	58%	69%
Full Time	315	6	20	68	36	47	54	75	9
Part Time	37	1	0	8	7	4	8	9	0
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.65	\$7.46	\$7.88	\$7.53	\$7.77	\$7.58	\$7.70	\$7.66	\$7.63
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,061	\$947	\$1,164	\$1,022	\$999	\$1,072	\$1,077	\$1,081	\$1,164

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – December 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	794	32	37	136	109	93	159	219	9
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,161	39	71	228	160	156	196	286	25
Participants in a Work Activity	1,056	36	64	221	143	135	194	242	21
% of Total Enrolled	91%	92%	90%	97%	89%	87%	99%	85%	84%
Participants Employed	634	15	42	132	75	82	120	151	17
% of Total Enrolled	55%	38%	59%	58%	47%	53%	61%	53%	68%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.73	\$7.56	\$8.03	\$7.57	\$7.68	\$7.62	\$7.88	\$7.82	\$7.16
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,041	\$1,013	\$1,136	\$977	\$933	\$1,022	\$1,093	\$1,103	\$979
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	71%	67%	59%	73%	77%	82%	69%	68%	58%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	16,667	1,628	1,171	2,339	2,293	1,636	3,190	3,882	528
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	12,792	1,240	908	1,781	1,840	1,184	2,437	2,987	415
Participants in a Work Activity	12,292	1,161	857	1,765	1,743	1,161	2,351	2,870	384
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	94%	99%	95%	98%	96%	96%	93%
Participants Employed	8,049	654	548	1,165	1,132	691	1,650	1,896	313
% of Total Enrolled	63%	53%	60%	65%	62%	58%	68%	63%	75%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.20	\$6.37	\$6.27	\$5.94	\$6.39	\$6.49	\$6.11	\$6.19	\$6.00
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$817	\$833	\$829	\$783	\$849	\$880	\$807	\$813	\$741
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	68%	63%	55%	72%	67%	77%	66%	67%	72%

## EDD2 – Bristol-Galax Region: Status through December 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/11 and Work Activity as of 12/30/11

Current Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,092	17	125	55	196	202	132	297	68
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	465	4	64	15	86	51	49	164	32
Participants in a Work Activity	361	3	51	13	63	38	36	134	23
% of Enrolled	78%	75%	80%	87%	73%	75%	73%	82%	72%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Participants Employed	224	2	32	10	34	31	35	64	16
% of Enrolled	48%	50%	50%	67%	40%	61%	71%	39%	50%
Full Time	200	2	25	8	30	28	33	61	13
Part Time	24	0	7	2	4	3	2	3	3
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.80	\$9.00	\$8.03	\$7.89	\$7.70	\$7.94	\$7.98	\$7.59	\$7.52
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,097	\$1,559	\$1,078	\$1,127	\$1,062	\$1,049	\$1,178	\$1,100	\$1,036

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – December 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	660	10	71	25	133	101	79	193	48
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	910	10	110	31	184	125	102	280	68
Participants in a Work Activity	831	9	96	29	169	118	91	258	61
% of Total Enrolled	91%	90%	87%	94%	92%	94%	89%	92%	90%
Participants Employed	462	4	62	23	77	72	64	123	37
% of Total Enrolled	51%	40%	56%	74%	42%	58%	63%	44%	54%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.84	\$9.00	\$7.76	\$8.14	\$7.83	\$7.96	\$7.97	\$7.65	\$7.92
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,070	\$1,462	\$1,021	\$1,134	\$1,107	\$1,069	\$1,068	\$1,039	\$1,107
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	62%	33%	61%	56%	67%	59%	64%	61%	62%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	10,087	251	1,361	643	1,749	1,735	1,525	2,112	711
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	8,466	192	1,126	519	1,467	1,443	1,218	1,881	620
Participants in a Work Activity	8,105	181	1,031	482	1,410	1,408	1,193	1,810	590
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	92%	93%	96%	98%	98%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	5,486	115	751	349	853	932	814	1,280	392
% of Total Enrolled	65%	60%	67%	67%	58%	65%	67%	68%	63%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.30	\$6.18	\$6.12	\$6.36	\$6.08	\$6.56	\$6.31	\$6.35	\$6.28
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$855	\$845	\$823	\$850	\$835	\$889	\$837	\$867	\$887
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	62%	57%	55%	61%	64%	58%	67%	62%	70%

## EDD3 – Roanoke Region: Status through December 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/11 and Work Activity as of 12/30/11

Current Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	2,667	88	47	10	57	261	63	348	197	286	71	1,239
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	981	31	12	4	15	120	15	150	60	115	26	433
Participants in a Work Activity	688	25	6	4	10	81	11	124	50	95	20	262
% of Enrolled	70%	81%	50%	100%	67%	68%	73%	83%	83%	83%	77%	61%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	0	2	0	1
Participants Employed	530	15	6	2	8	44	9	113	37	67	15	214
% of Enrolled	54%	48%	50%	50%	53%	37%	60%	75%	62%	58%	58%	49%
Full Time	460	10	6	2	7	35	7	99	32	58	11	193
Part Time	70	5	0	0	1	9	2	14	5	9	4	21
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.95	\$8.13	\$7.82	\$7.25	\$7.94	\$7.97	\$7.67	\$7.83	\$7.79	\$8.17	\$7.60	\$8.00
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,093	\$1,054	\$1,096	\$1,099	\$1,004	\$1,087	\$983	\$1,080	\$1,079	\$1,066	\$942	\$1,134

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – December 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	1,552	42	16	9	30	142	32	183	117	129	49	803
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,055	74	28	12	33	208	33	303	137	237	64	926
Participants in a Work Activity	1,699	61	14	10	27	169	30	270	122	204	51	741
% of Total Enrolled	83%	82%	50%	83%	82%	81%	91%	89%	89%	86%	80%	80%
Participants Employed	1,038	38	10	5	13	79	16	205	68	155	35	414
% of Total Enrolled	51%	51%	36%	42%	39%	38%	48%	68%	50%	65%	55%	45%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.12	\$8.18	\$8.15	\$7.50	\$8.34	\$8.52	\$7.63	\$7.92	\$7.72	\$8.39	\$8.20	\$8.10
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,106	\$1,133	\$1,132	\$1,134	\$1,155	\$1,135	\$995	\$1,060	\$1,057	\$1,141	\$1,109	\$1,117
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	66%	56%	63%	100%	58%	53%	69%	67%	59%	69%	70%	69%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	19,894	1,125	334	85	501	1,746	504	2,840	1,735	2,165	776	8,083
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	15,215	851	209	44	360	1,331	360	2,319	1,370	1,736	603	6,032
Participants in a Work Activity	14,478	818	183	43	347	1,183	353	2,280	1,318	1,640	580	5,733
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	88%	98%	96%	89%	98%	98%	96%	94%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	10,344	606	92	23	239	825	213	1,824	875	1,287	423	3,937
% of Total Enrolled	68%	71%	44%	52%	66%	62%	59%	79%	64%	74%	70%	65%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.07	\$6.85	\$7.30	\$7.13	\$6.92	\$6.97	\$7.03	\$6.91	\$6.76	\$7.57	\$6.98	\$7.14
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$950	\$835	\$936	\$1,038	\$929	\$947	\$952	\$931	\$935	\$1,036	\$905	\$965
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	61%	58%	56%	64%	57%	58%	54%	65%	54%	59%	61%	62%

## EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: Status through December 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/11 and Work Activity as of 12/30/11

Current Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	953	559	7	2	78	307
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	368	210	2	1	29	126
Participants in a Work Activity	290	161	1	1	22	105
% of Enrolled	79%	77%	50%	100%	76%	83%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	243	135	1	1	17	89
% of Enrolled	66%	64%	50%	100%	59%	71%
Full Time	218	118	1	1	13	85
Part Time	25	17	0	0	4	4
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.99	\$7.91	\$8.00	\$8.00	\$7.60	\$8.20
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,124	\$1,094	\$1,212	\$1,386	\$916	\$1,205

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – December 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	555	330	2	0	38	185
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	705	439	3	1	53	209
Participants in a Work Activity	640	405	2	1	38	194
% of Total Enrolled	91%	92%	67%	100%	72%	93%
Participants Employed	439	263	2	1	27	146
% of Total Enrolled	62%	60%	67%	100%	51%	70%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.17	\$8.13	\$7.63	\$8.00	\$7.74	\$8.31
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,101	\$1,084	\$758	\$1,376	\$882	\$1,172
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	72%	74%	100%	100%	69%	67%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	7,787	4,184	76	18	692	2,817
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	5,945	3,261	46	8	511	2,119
Participants in a Work Activity	5,792	3,196	46	8	477	2,065
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	100%	100%	93%	97%
Participants Employed	4,150	2,187	30	6	370	1,557
% of Total Enrolled	70%	67%	65%	75%	72%	73%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.13	\$7.12	\$6.48	\$7.79	\$6.71	\$7.24
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$947	\$953	\$698	\$784	\$861	\$964
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	67%	67%	62%	83%	67%	68%

## EDDS 5 & 18 – Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: Status through December 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/11 and Work Activity as of 12/30/11

Current Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	572	24	182	65	42	130	129	310	194	116
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	258	8	90	39	18	61	42	109	46	63
Participants in a Work Activity	195	8	57	34	17	42	37	56	34	22
% of Enrolled	76%	100%	63%	87%	94%	69%	88%	51%	74%	35%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	132	3	47	22	9	27	24	39	19	20
% of Enrolled	51%	38%	52%	56%	50%	44%	57%	36%	41%	32%
Full Time	97	2	33	16	8	20	18	22	13	9
Part Time	35	1	14	6	1	7	6	17	6	11
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.00	\$7.75	\$8.21	\$7.75	\$8.49	\$8.07	\$7.62	\$8.28	\$7.93	\$8.60
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,047	\$912	\$1,062	\$1,056	\$1,248	\$1,051	\$945	\$997	\$1,022	\$974

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – December 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	350	15	102	49	35	82	67	163	101	62
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	507	15	144	75	37	122	114	190	94	96
Participants in a Work Activity	441	13	114	73	36	101	104	166	81	85
% of Total Enrolled	87%	87%	79%	97%	97%	83%	91%	87%	86%	89%
Participants Employed	253	6	71	44	15	57	60	77	29	48
% of Total Enrolled	50%	40%	49%	59%	41%	47%	53%	41%	31%	50%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.30	\$8.79	\$8.33	\$7.93	\$8.25	\$8.70	\$8.12	\$8.27	\$7.95	\$8.46
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,093	\$1,106	\$1,056	\$1,058	\$1,141	\$1,199	\$1,052	\$1,008	\$1,005	\$1,009
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	65%	50%	77%	59%	57%	64%	65%	75%	74%	75%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	5,110	202	1082	784	625	1231	1186	2,424	1533	891
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	3,796	121	799	640	380	924	932	1,777	1092	685
Participants in a Work Activity	3,591	115	743	624	369	854	886	1717	1069	648
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	93%	98%	97%	92%	95%	97%	98%	95%
Participants Employed	2,487	72	464	447	233	631	640	1,001	562	439
% of Total Enrolled	66%	60%	58%	70%	61%	68%	69%	56%	51%	64%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.33	\$7.42	\$7.67	\$7.30	\$6.70	\$7.41	\$7.24	\$6.68	\$6.71	\$6.65
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$981	\$978	\$999	\$987	\$920	\$1,006	\$960	\$842	\$844	\$839
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	63%	62%	63%	59%	70%	69%	59%	72%	66%	80%

## EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: Status through December 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/11 and Work Activity as of 12/30/11

Current Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	3,846	270	1,469	219	1,202	455	192	39
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,530	90	489	149	528	184	81	9
Participants in a Work Activity	1,238	73	425	95	420	159	58	8
% of Enrolled	81%	81%	87%	64%	80%	86%	72%	89%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	17	0	11	1	2	2	0	1
Participants Employed	760	41	242	73	285	89	25	5
% of Enrolled	50%	46%	49%	49%	54%	48%	31%	56%
Full Time	547	19	170	42	227	69	15	5
Part Time	213	22	72	31	58	20	10	0
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$9.42	\$10.25	\$9.33	\$10.75	\$8.84	\$10.00	\$9.53	\$9.41
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,205	\$1,144	\$1,176	\$1,348	\$1,167	\$1,317	\$1,162	\$1,391

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – December 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	1,832	110	624	138	629	230	82	19
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,940	187	1,001	243	1,048	319	127	15
Participants in a Work Activity	2,639	172	918	205	925	288	116	15
% of Total Enrolled	90%	92%	92%	84%	88%	90%	91%	100%
Participants Employed	1,590	94	556	143	588	150	48	11
% of Total Enrolled	54%	50%	56%	59%	56%	47%	38%	73%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$9.89	\$11.04	\$9.96	\$10.92	\$9.31	\$10.48	\$9.55	\$9.19
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,264	\$1,219	\$1,300	\$1,393	\$1,193	\$1,342	\$1,228	\$1,277
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	72%	66%	70%	72%	72%	86%	64%	83%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	33,168	2,830	11,667	2,334	10,663	3,818	1,436	420
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	25,568	2,209	8,792	1,852	8,276	3,028	1,106	305
Participants in a Work Activity	24,695	2,187	8,486	1,775	7,975	2,898	1,071	303
% of Total Enrolled	97%	99%	97%	96%	96%	96%	97%	99%
Participants Employed	18,066	1,599	5,971	1,442	6,005	2,121	705	223
% of Total Enrolled	71%	72%	68%	78%	73%	70%	64%	73%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.65	\$8.25	\$8.66	\$9.21	\$8.75	\$8.36	\$8.14	\$8.14
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,147	\$1,063	\$1,143	\$1,191	\$1,190	\$1,071	\$1,095	\$1,082
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	71%	70%	69%	71%	71%	77%	71%	77%

## EDD7 - Culpeper Region: Status through December 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/11 and Work Activity as of 12/30/11

Current Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	358	141	97	26	88	6
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	150	69	37	6	35	3
Participants in a Work Activity	105	48	25	5	27	0
% of Enrolled	70%	70%	68%	83%	77%	0%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	6	2	4	0	0	0
Participants Employed	76	30	18	5	23	0
% of Enrolled	51%	43%	49%	83%	66%	0%
Full Time	69	27	17	5	20	N/A
Part Time	7	3	1	0	3	N/A
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.28	\$8.37	\$8.33	\$7.95	\$8.19	N/A
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,123	\$1,125	\$1,082	\$1,245	\$1,125	N/A

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – December 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	203	82	75	12	32	2
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	274	126	76	15	54	3
Participants in a Work Activity	233	98	73	15	46	1
% of Total Enrolled	85%	78%	96%	100%	85%	33%
Participants Employed	145	58	40	9	38	0
% of Total Enrolled	53%	46%	53%	60%	70%	0%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.56	\$8.41	\$9.09	\$7.75	\$8.41	N/A
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,130	\$1,081	\$1,236	\$1,172	\$1,083	N/A
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	71%	75%	64%	60%	77%	N/A

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	3,776	1,450	1122	347	763	94
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	2,840	1046	854	256	622	62
Participants in a Work Activity	2,732	1001	844	249	579	59
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	99%	97%	93%	95%
Participants Employed	1,914	677	582	163	453	39
% of Total Enrolled	67%	65%	68%	64%	73%	63%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.43	\$7.38	\$7.78	\$7.13	\$7.19	\$7.26
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$980	\$958	\$1,018	\$994	\$962	\$954
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	65%	67%	70%	61%	59%	45%

## EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: Status through December 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/11 and Work Activity as of 12/30/11

Current Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	661	131	57	40	97	36	300
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	310	43	25	14	41	10	177
Participants in a Work Activity	253	42	15	8	37	7	144
% of Enrolled	82%	98%	60%	57%	90%	70%	81%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Participants Employed	168	30	6	8	27	5	92
% of Enrolled	54%	70%	24%	57%	66%	50%	52%
Full Time	108	25	4	4	20	3	52
Part Time	60	5	2	4	7	2	40
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.45	\$8.56	\$9.08	\$9.23	\$8.58	\$8.67	\$8.26
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,034	\$1,173	\$1,051	\$881	\$1,203	\$1,089	\$949

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – December 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	373	74	29	23	62	24	161
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	566	97	38	41	84	28	278
Participants in a Work Activity	525	95	33	33	76	20	268
% of Total Enrolled	93%	98%	87%	80%	90%	71%	96%
Participants Employed	313	60	13	20	47	13	160
% of Total Enrolled	55%	62%	34%	49%	56%	46%	58%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.69	\$8.63	\$9.08	\$8.62	\$9.03	\$8.79	\$8.56
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,029	\$1,108	\$1,017	\$987	\$1,174	\$1,175	\$944
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	70%	69%	56%	71%	83%	83%	66%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	5,765	1339	238	447	903	289	2,549
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	4,444	967	165	321	663	203	2,125
Participants in a Work Activity	4,336	945	159	303	638	198	2,093
% of Total Enrolled	98%	98%	96%	94%	96%	98%	98%
Participants Employed	3,315	705	108	243	411	127	1,721
% of Total Enrolled	75%	73%	65%	76%	62%	63%	81%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.38	\$7.76	\$7.58	\$7.33	\$7.57	\$7.68	\$7.23
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$944	\$1,003	\$1,050	\$915	\$983	\$1,008	\$920
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	63%	57%	60%	57%	64%	62%	65%

## EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: Status through December 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/11 and Work Activity as of 12/30/11

Current Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,280	90	86	197	301	606
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	637	38	47	97	195	260
Participants in a Work Activity	476	31	44	77	140	184
% of Enrolled	75%	82%	94%	79%	72%	71%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	0	0	1	2
Participants Employed	311	24	28	39	79	141
% of Enrolled	49%	63%	60%	40%	41%	54%
Full Time	204	18	28	31	44	83
Part Time	107	6	0	8	35	58
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.97	\$8.18	\$7.82	\$8.17	\$7.96	\$7.91
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,008	\$1,055	\$1,134	\$1,073	\$989	\$968

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – December 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	676	51	47	111	132	335
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,139	65	79	182	317	496
Participants in a Work Activity	995	59	75	150	282	429
% of Total Enrolled	87%	91%	95%	82%	89%	86%
Participants Employed	577	39	43	89	156	250
% of Total Enrolled	51%	60%	54%	49%	49%	50%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.97	\$8.08	\$7.79	\$8.19	\$8.01	\$7.88
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,001	\$1,022	\$1,131	\$1,030	\$1,011	\$958
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	69%	59%	85%	59%	69%	72%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	10,051	938	788	2,026	2,338	3,961
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	8,329	751	690	1,623	2,002	3,263
Participants in a Work Activity	7,878	718	680	1,509	1,888	3,083
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	99%	93%	94%	94%
Participants Employed	5,689	506	481	1,054	1,366	2,282
% of Total Enrolled	68%	67%	70%	65%	68%	70%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.57	\$6.76	\$6.25	\$6.65	\$6.77	\$6.47
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$853	\$862	\$864	\$854	\$892	\$827
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	65%	60%	55%	61%	64%	69%

## EDD10 - Danville Region: Status through December 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/11 and Work Activity as of 12/30/11

<b>Current Data</b>	<b>Total EDD10</b>	<b>Halifax</b>	<b>Henry/ Martinsville</b>	<b>Patrick</b>	<b>Pittsylvania</b>	<b>Danville</b>
<b>TANF Cases End of Month<sup>10</sup></b>	1,521	219	490	110	237	465
<b>Total Enrolled in VIEW<sup>3</sup></b>	474	57	165	80	56	116
<b>Participants in a Work Activity</b>	371	33	131	71	38	98
<b>% of Enrolled</b>	78%	58%	79%	89%	68%	84%
<b>Participants Assigned to Inactive</b>	3	0	0	2	1	0
<b>Participants Employed</b>	238	29	85	44	29	51
<b>% of Enrolled</b>	50%	51%	52%	55%	52%	44%
Full Time	195	24	60	39	28	44
Part Time	43	5	25	5	1	7
<b>Average Wage<sup>6</sup></b>	\$7.77	\$7.82	\$7.81	\$7.60	\$7.64	\$7.91
<b>Average Monthly Earnings<sup>7</sup></b>	\$1,058	\$1,117	\$1,001	\$1,094	\$1,084	\$1,073

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – December 31, 2011, Year to Date

<b>State Fiscal Year to Date</b>	<b>Total EDD10</b>	<b>Halifax</b>	<b>Henry/ Martinsville</b>	<b>Patrick</b>	<b>Pittsylvania</b>	<b>Danville</b>
<b>Individuals Referred</b>	715	98	202	72	105	238
<b>Total Enrolled in VIEW<sup>3</sup></b>	968	133	312	122	130	271
<b>Participants in a Work Activity</b>	866	111	281	111	109	254
<b>% of Total Enrolled</b>	89%	83%	90%	91%	84%	94%
<b>Participants Employed</b>	418	53	147	68	53	97
<b>% of Total Enrolled</b>	43%	40%	47%	56%	41%	36%
<b>Average Wage<sup>6</sup></b>	\$7.85	\$7.96	\$7.84	\$7.57	\$8.04	\$7.90
<b>Average Monthly Earnings<sup>7</sup></b>	\$1,020	\$1,045	\$1,012	\$991	\$1,064	\$1,017
<b>Three Months Employment<sup>8</sup></b>	65%	69%	63%	79%	63%	56%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/96

<b>Cumulative Data</b>	<b>Total EDD10</b>	<b>Halifax</b>	<b>Henry/ Martinsville</b>	<b>Patrick</b>	<b>Pittsylvania</b>	<b>Danville</b>
<b>Individuals Referred</b>	12,403	1,934	3,327	1,214	2,019	3,909
<b>Total Enrolled in VIEW<sup>3,5</sup></b>	9,930	1,604	2,525	1,057	1,545	3,199
<b>Participants in a Work Activity</b>	9,604	1,544	2,423	996	1,516	3,125
<b>% of Total Enrolled</b>	97%	96%	96%	94%	98%	98%
<b>Participants Employed</b>	6,128	910	1,597	712	841	2,068
<b>% of Total Enrolled</b>	62%	57%	63%	67%	54%	65%
<b>Average Wage<sup>6</sup></b>	\$6.37	\$6.35	\$6.77	\$6.25	\$6.37	\$6.13
<b>Average Monthly Earnings<sup>7</sup></b>	\$850	\$837	\$935	\$814	\$864	\$800
<b>Three Months Employment<sup>9</sup></b>	63%	59%	64%	75%	61%	62%

## EDD11 - Southside Region: Status through December 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/11 and Work Activity as of 12/30/11

Current Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,071	79	120	72	89	60	148	66	168	131	138
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	523	39	64	32	37	30	71	40	57	82	71
Participants in a Work Activity	395	25	55	28	33	22	54	25	51	48	54
% of Enrolled	76%	64%	86%	88%	89%	73%	76%	63%	89%	59%	76%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	9	7	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Participants Employed	241	17	37	18	18	11	21	18	28	43	30
% of Enrolled	46%	44%	58%	56%	49%	37%	30%	45%	49%	52%	42%
Full Time	168	15	19	17	12	8	10	14	13	35	25
Part Time	73	2	18	1	6	3	11	4	15	8	5
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.81	\$8.17	\$7.27	\$7.58	\$8.81	\$8.23	\$7.43	\$7.65	\$7.58	\$8.01	\$7.96
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$973	\$1,044	\$819	\$1,045	\$1,006	\$997	\$814	\$1,010	\$840	\$1,063	\$1,135

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – December 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	548	45	60	42	54	21	76	34	70	72	74
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	879	52	102	65	74	49	125	61	111	128	112
Participants in a Work Activity	786	41	94	61	65	39	115	56	107	104	104
% of Total Enrolled	89%	79%	92%	94%	88%	80%	92%	92%	96%	81%	93%
Participants Employed	406	23	39	32	30	23	44	30	61	72	52
% of Total Enrolled	46%	44%	38%	49%	41%	47%	35%	49%	55%	56%	46%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.91	\$7.97	\$7.40	\$7.94	\$8.29	\$8.24	\$7.85	\$7.66	\$7.88	\$8.12	\$8.00
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$979	\$966	\$874	\$1,100	\$962	\$999	\$942	\$969	\$882	\$1,056	\$1,072
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	73%	63%	69%	78%	58%	60%	67%	76%	76%	88%	69%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	7,680	496	965	755	660	516	912	339	1112	920	1005
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	5,921	353	769	567	479	376	720	260	877	705	815
Participants in a Work Activity	5,660	333	765	531	442	359	687	256	840	651	796
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	99%	94%	92%	95%	95%	98%	96%	92%	98%
Participants Employed	3,587	210	431	321	247	217	412	127	580	473	569
% of Total Enrolled	61%	59%	56%	57%	52%	58%	57%	49%	66%	67%	70%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.73	\$7.39	\$6.19	\$7.00	\$7.22	\$7.23	\$6.68	\$6.19	\$6.54	\$6.74	\$6.72
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$873	\$959	\$819	\$939	\$927	\$947	\$819	\$765	\$834	\$896	\$878
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	66%	64%	67%	62%	58%	58%	64%	63%	69%	70%	69%

## EDD12 - Richmond Region: Status through December 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/11 and Work Activity as of 12/30/11

Current Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	5,667	997	46	147	1,625	51	2,801
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,386	392	27	60	817	13	1,077
Participants in a Work Activity	1,735	307	21	44	574	8	781
% of Enrolled	73%	78%	78%	73%	70%	62%	73%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	44	2	1	1	15	1	24
Participants Employed	1,040	192	17	26	385	7	413
% of Enrolled	44%	49%	63%	43%	47%	54%	38%
Full Time	732	150	7	20	259	5	291
Part Time	308	42	10	6	126	2	122
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.30	\$8.47	\$8.44	\$8.82	\$8.55	\$7.23	\$7.95
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,074	\$1,134	\$959	\$1,227	\$1,096	\$902	\$1,025

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – December 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	2,384	484	19	93	646	20	1,122
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	4,027	741	43	112	1,293	33	1,805
Participants in a Work Activity	3,481	636	39	99	1,117	28	1,562
% of Total Enrolled	86%	86%	91%	88%	86%	85%	87%
Participants Employed	1,825	342	27	54	641	16	745
% of Total Enrolled	45%	46%	63%	48%	50%	48%	41%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.41	\$8.61	\$8.45	\$8.64	\$8.53	\$9.29	\$8.17
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,079	\$1,144	\$989	\$1,121	\$1,078	\$1,063	\$1,052
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	76%	81%	69%	69%	82%	69%	70%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	35,595	7,298	268	1218	8,800	338	17,673
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	27,779	5,536	202	867	7,078	241	13,855
Participants in a Work Activity	26,681	5,338	192	798	6,713	223	13,417
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	95%	92%	95%	93%	97%
Participants Employed	18,775	3,528	137	559	4,733	136	9,682
% of Total Enrolled	68%	64%	68%	64%	67%	56%	70%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.33	\$7.59	\$7.05	\$8.18	\$7.63	\$7.58	\$7.08
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$983	\$1,019	\$897	\$1,049	\$1,019	\$947	\$955
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	70%	70%	66%	67%	66%	63%	72%

## EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: Status through December 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/11 and Work Activity as of 12/30/11

Current Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,148	200	47	424	302	175
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	633	132	13	209	164	115
Participants in a Work Activity	411	72	10	133	116	80
% of Enrolled	65%	55%	77%	64%	71%	70%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	2	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	276	42	6	100	66	62
% of Enrolled	44%	32%	46%	48%	40%	54%
Full Time	189	27	5	74	44	39
Part Time	87	15	1	26	22	23
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.43	\$8.25	\$8.29	\$8.32	\$8.71	\$8.41
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,059	\$1,003	\$1,139	\$1,076	\$1,088	\$1,031

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – December 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	653	86	34	224	180	129
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,071	174	32	376	275	214
Participants in a Work Activity	857	135	29	281	230	182
% of Total Enrolled	80%	78%	91%	75%	84%	85%
Participants Employed	482	56	14	177	117	118
% of Total Enrolled	45%	32%	44%	47%	43%	55%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.67	\$8.24	\$8.59	\$8.31	\$8.94	\$9.13
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,080	\$994	\$1,145	\$1,071	\$1,053	\$1,155
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	77%	85%	38%	81%	83%	68%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	8,181	1,261	497	2,469	2,354	1,600
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	6,009	887	333	1,926	1,511	1,352
Participants in a Work Activity	5,672	846	317	1,758	1,450	1,301
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	95%	91%	96%	96%
Participants Employed	3,953	534	205	1,307	886	1,021
% of Total Enrolled	66%	60%	62%	68%	59%	76%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.88	\$7.56	\$7.34	\$7.96	\$8.38	\$7.73
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,017	\$956	\$919	\$1,028	\$1,107	\$991
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	65%	57%	59%	68%	68%	66%

## EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: Status through December 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/11 and Work Activity as of 12/30/11

Current Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	412	82	26	45	52	21	33	34	30	89
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	154	32	6	25	14	10	27	9	4	27
Participants in a Work Activity	115	23	3	23	8	6	19	8	4	21
% of Enrolled	75%	72%	50%	92%	57%	60%	70%	89%	100%	78%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
Participants Employed	75	15	2	10	6	6	10	7	4	15
% of Enrolled	49%	47%	33%	40%	43%	60%	37%	78%	100%	56%
Full Time	59	13	1	6	4	6	7	5	4	13
Part Time	16	2	1	4	2	0	3	2	0	2
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.02	\$8.34	\$7.70	\$7.39	\$8.42	\$7.39	\$7.75	\$8.52	\$7.25	\$8.40
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,064	\$1,146	\$998	\$881	\$1,070	\$1,121	\$1,009	\$1,192	\$1,020	\$1,073

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – December 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	214	53	16	25	16	10	30	11	11	42
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	309	76	12	39	27	16	44	18	10	67
Participants in a Work Activity	280	71	11	36	25	15	42	17	9	54
% of Total Enrolled	91%	93%	92%	92%	93%	94%	95%	94%	90%	81%
Participants Employed	156	43	4	19	15	9	20	9	8	29
% of Total Enrolled	50%	57%	33%	49%	56%	56%	45%	50%	80%	43%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.98	\$8.06	\$7.68	\$7.57	\$7.80	\$8.07	\$8.30	\$8.14	\$7.32	\$8.12
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,030	\$1,004	\$897	\$961	\$1,080	\$1,031	\$1,133	\$1,110	\$1,038	\$1,015
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	65%	75%	40%	50%	75%	71%	73%	70%	63%	50%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	3,486	533	265	313	389	195	399	305	315	772
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	2,581	420	175	254	305	132	295	249	183	568
Participants in a Work Activity	2,421	402	165	242	285	126	271	242	177	511
% of Total Enrolled	94%	96%	94%	95%	93%	95%	92%	97%	97%	90%
Participants Employed	1,625	288	91	149	199	86	193	144	115	360
% of Total Enrolled	63%	69%	52%	59%	65%	65%	65%	58%	63%	63%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.96	\$7.01	\$6.99	\$7.32	\$6.70	\$6.99	\$6.99	\$6.62	\$6.93	\$7.02
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$891	\$862	\$869	\$960	\$844	\$892	\$907	\$856	\$929	\$915
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	57%	50%	47%	60%	53%	69%	68%	53%	41%	64%

## EDD15 - Peninsula Region: Status through December 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/11 and Work Activity as of 12/30/11

Current Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	NewKent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	3,607	23	96	149	54	131	1,340	1,774	40
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,557	6	31	66	22	82	584	739	27
Participants in a Work Activity	1,176	1	21	54	14	61	452	560	13
% of Enrolled	76%	17%	68%	82%	64%	74%	77%	76%	48%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	11	0	0	0	0	3	6	1	1
Participants Employed	776	0	18	44	7	43	282	369	13
% of Enrolled	50%	0%	58%	67%	32%	52%	48%	50%	48%
Full Time	598	N/A	17	25	6	23	211	307	9
Part Time	178	N/A	1	19	1	20	71	62	4
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.13	N/A	\$8.21	\$8.11	\$7.28	\$8.22	\$8.05	\$8.20	\$8.08
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,082	N/A	\$1,153	\$992	\$1,092	\$992	\$1,051	\$1,126	\$1,013

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – December 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	1,878	6	68	80	22	75	664	941	22
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,847	17	74	110	32	143	1,001	1,429	41
Participants in a Work Activity	2,484	14	63	91	25	121	899	1,233	38
% of Total Enrolled	87%	82%	85%	83%	78%	85%	90%	86%	93%
Participants Employed	1,414	10	38	67	14	70	500	693	22
% of Total Enrolled	50%	59%	51%	61%	44%	49%	50%	48%	54%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.19	\$8.11	\$8.01	\$8.35	\$8.13	\$8.37	\$8.12	\$8.22	\$8.10
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,048	\$1,082	\$1,010	\$1,072	\$1,186	\$924	\$1,031	\$1,072	\$960
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	74%	18%	52%	78%	71%	76%	75%	76%	82%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	25,320	158	1039	1056	320	1037	8,834	12,509	367
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	18,989	115	735	826	223	783	6,500	9,536	271
Participants in a Work Activity	18,083	111	681	769	213	751	6,333	8,973	252
% of Total Enrolled	95%	97%	93%	93%	96%	96%	97%	94%	93%
Participants Employed	13,238	64	482	575	131	489	4,535	6,771	191
% of Total Enrolled	70%	56%	66%	70%	59%	62%	70%	71%	70%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.00	\$7.08	\$6.83	\$7.39	\$7.45	\$7.23	\$6.99	\$6.95	\$7.21
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$916	\$930	\$846	\$903	\$1,018	\$896	\$910	\$925	\$904
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	66%	51%	60%	61%	73%	59%	63%	69%	64%

## EDD16 - Petersburg Region: Status through December 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/11 and Work Activity as of 12/30/11

Current Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,204	130	75	51	85	310	553
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	572	30	34	31	31	200	246
Participants in a Work Activity	366	27	26	14	17	104	178
% of Enrolled	64%	90%	76%	45%	55%	52%	72%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	13	0	1	0	3	8	1
Participants Employed	262	24	17	12	13	65	131
% of Enrolled	46%	80%	50%	39%	42%	33%	53%
Full Time	215	22	10	6	9	51	117
Part Time	47	2	7	6	4	14	14
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.86	\$8.01	\$7.99	\$8.59	\$8.23	\$7.67	\$7.80
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,086	\$1,208	\$1,013	\$982	\$1,089	\$1,043	\$1,103

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – December 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	494	58	48	17	43	122	206
Total Enrolled in VIEW	900	57	66	49	66	260	402
Participants in a Work Activity	736	56	55	43	55	171	356
% of Total Enrolled	82%	98%	83%	88%	83%	66%	89%
Participants Employed	423	34	30	26	28	95	210
% of Total Enrolled	47%	60%	45%	53%	42%	37%	52%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.08	\$7.92	\$8.49	\$8.62	\$7.97	\$7.88	\$8.08
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,083	\$1,166	\$1,098	\$937	\$1,104	\$1,020	\$1,111
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	73%	74%	71%	74%	61%	68%	77%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	8,991	1151	794	352	715	2,236	3,743
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	7,031	784	597	289	573	1,788	3,000
Participants in a Work Activity	6,712	781	523	275	553	1,707	2,873
% of Total Enrolled	95%	100%	88%	95%	97%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	4,796	519	368	202	376	1,216	2,115
% of Total Enrolled	68%	66%	62%	70%	66%	68%	71%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.97	\$6.89	\$7.45	\$7.21	\$6.95	\$6.83	\$6.99
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$941	\$957	\$991	\$873	\$948	\$916	\$950
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	66%	59%	59%	58%	64%	63%	70%

## EDD17 - Tidewater Region: Status through December 31, 2011

### TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/11 and Work Activity as of 12/30/11

Current Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	6,387	131	135	1,072	112	2,301	1,213	392	1,031
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,514	28	48	450	48	991	450	115	384
Participants in a Work Activity	2,008	20	31	390	36	803	360	82	286
% of Enrolled	80%	71%	65%	87%	75%	81%	80%	71%	74%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	11	0	1	1	0	2	4	0	3
Participants Employed	1,295	17	21	288	15	521	187	67	179
% of Enrolled	52%	61%	44%	64%	31%	53%	42%	58%	47%
Full Time	1,080	14	10	237	12	458	151	59	139
Part Time	215	3	11	51	3	63	36	8	40
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.90	\$8.36	\$7.54	\$7.97	\$7.46	\$7.80	\$7.97	\$7.72	\$8.11
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,041	\$1,177	\$912	\$1,065	\$971	\$999	\$1,079	\$1,064	\$1,081

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – December 31, 2011, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	3,108	58	60	497	74	1,062	559	170	628
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	4,441	66	102	817	82	1,553	835	231	755
Participants in a Work Activity	3,942	61	90	754	75	1,359	740	210	653
% of Total Enrolled	89%	92%	88%	92%	91%	88%	89%	91%	86%
Participants Employed	2,334	40	42	480	22	830	404	134	382
% of Total Enrolled	53%	61%	41%	59%	27%	53%	48%	58%	51%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.04	\$8.06	\$7.97	\$8.16	\$7.72	\$7.90	\$8.03	\$7.88	\$8.28
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,031	\$1,052	\$929	\$1,078	\$1,058	\$995	\$1,040	\$1,013	\$1,060
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	75%	75%	63%	81%	65%	76%	70%	65%	73%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	50,377	1136	968	8,094	800	16,227	8,136	3,186	11,830
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	36,796	818	774	5,912	632	11,713	6,433	2,483	8,031
Participants in a Work Activity	34,831	787	741	5,637	620	11,085	6,062	2,451	7,448
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	96%	95%	98%	95%	94%	99%	93%
Participants Employed	25,797	568	444	4,311	405	8,258	4,632	1,836	5,343
% of Total Enrolled	70%	69%	57%	73%	64%	71%	72%	74%	67%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.84	\$6.87	\$6.60	\$7.02	\$6.44	\$6.73	\$6.73	\$6.67	\$7.15
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$895	\$908	\$825	\$927	\$809	\$860	\$900	\$868	\$950
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	68%	60%	55%	65%	64%	67%	70%	68%	69%

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date

*This section lists the relative state fiscal year to date rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Wage, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	30.9%	3.09	118	\$7.95	2.04	81	74.1%	6.83	37	3.98	93
003	Albemarle	61.9%	6.19	13	\$8.63	3.79	19	68.9%	6.20	62	5.39	19
005	Alleghany/Covington	51.4%	5.14	52	\$8.18	2.63	48	55.8%	4.60	109	4.12	88
007	Amelia	44.2%	4.42	89	\$7.97	2.09	75	63.2%	5.50	87	4.00	92
009	Amherst	60.0%	6.00	17	\$8.08	2.37	63	58.6%	4.94	101	4.44	72
011	Appomattox	54.4%	5.44	42	\$7.79	1.62	99	84.6%	8.12	7	5.06	32
013	Arlington	50.3%	5.03	57	\$11.04	10.00	1	66.2%	5.87	76	6.97	4
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	59.9%	5.99	19	\$8.13	2.50	54	74.0%	6.82	38	5.10	29
017	Bath	66.7%	6.67	9	\$7.63	1.21	109	100.0%	10.00	1	5.96	8
019	Bedford County/City	48.9%	4.89	68	\$8.19	2.65	47	58.9%	4.98	100	4.17	86
021	Bland	40.0%	4.00	103	\$9.00	4.74	13	33.3%	1.85	118	3.53	113
023	Botetourt	35.7%	3.57	113	\$8.15	2.55	51	62.5%	5.42	89	3.85	104
025	Brunswick	38.2%	3.82	108	\$7.40	0.62	117	69.2%	6.24	57	3.56	112
027	Buchanan	38.5%	3.85	107	\$7.56	1.03	115	66.7%	5.93	72	3.60	110
029	Buckingham	49.2%	4.92	64	\$7.94	2.01	82	77.8%	7.28	21	4.74	56
031	Campbell	49.2%	4.92	65	\$8.01	2.19	71	69.2%	6.24	58	4.45	71
033	Caroline	32.2%	3.22	117	\$8.24	2.78	43	85.3%	8.20	6	4.73	57
035	Carroll	56.4%	5.64	31	\$7.76	1.55	100	61.1%	5.25	92	4.14	87
036	Charles City	58.8%	5.88	23	\$8.11	2.45	60	18.2%	0.00	119	2.78	118
037	Charlotte	40.5%	4.05	101	\$8.29	2.91	40	58.3%	4.91	103	3.96	96
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	46.2%	4.62	84	\$8.61	3.74	22	80.8%	7.65	16	5.34	20
043	Clarke	40.0%	4.00	104	\$8.79	4.20	15	50.0%	3.89	113	4.03	91
045	Craig	41.7%	4.17	97	\$7.50	0.88	116	100.0%	10.00	2	5.01	37
047	Culpeper	46.0%	4.60	85	\$8.41	3.22	30	75.0%	6.94	32	4.92	40
049	Cumberland	46.9%	4.69	79	\$8.24	2.78	44	60.0%	5.11	95	4.20	85
051	Dickensen	59.2%	5.92	21	\$8.03	2.24	69	59.5%	5.05	97	4.40	74
053	Dinwiddie	59.6%	5.96	20	\$7.92	1.96	84	73.9%	6.81	39	4.91	41
057	Essex	56.6%	5.66	30	\$8.06	2.32	66	75.0%	6.94	33	4.97	38
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	55.5%	5.55	38	\$9.96	7.22	4	69.6%	6.29	54	6.35	6
061	Fauquier	52.6%	5.26	48	\$9.09	4.97	10	63.9%	5.59	84	5.27	24
063	Floyd	39.4%	3.94	106	\$8.34	3.04	35	58.3%	4.91	104	3.96	95
065	Fluvanna	34.2%	3.42	115	\$9.08	4.95	11	56.3%	4.65	107	4.34	80
067	Franklin County	38.0%	3.80	109	\$8.52	3.51	26	53.2%	4.28	111	3.86	103
069	Frederick	49.3%	4.93	63	\$8.33	3.02	36	76.6%	7.14	24	5.03	35
071	Giles	48.5%	4.85	72	\$7.63	1.21	110	68.8%	6.18	64	4.08	89
073	Gloucester	51.4%	5.14	53	\$8.01	2.19	72	51.6%	4.09	112	3.80	106
075	Goochland	62.8%	6.28	11	\$8.45	3.32	29	68.8%	6.18	65	5.26	25
077	Grayson	74.2%	7.42	3	\$8.14	2.53	52	55.6%	4.57	110	4.84	46

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	48.8%	4.88	69	\$8.62	3.76	20	71.4%	6.51	47	5.05	34
081	Greensville/Emporia	35.2%	3.52	114	\$7.85	1.78	94	66.7%	5.93	73	3.74	108
083	Halifax	39.8%	3.98	105	\$7.96	2.06	79	68.8%	6.18	66	4.08	90
085	Hanover	48.2%	4.82	75	\$8.64	3.81	18	69.4%	6.26	56	4.96	39
087	Henrico	49.6%	4.96	62	\$8.53	3.53	25	81.8%	7.78	14	5.42	17
089	Henry/Martinsville	47.1%	4.71	76	\$7.84	1.75	95	62.9%	5.47	88	3.98	94
091	Highland	100.0%	10.00	1	\$8.00	2.16	73	100.0%	10.00	3	7.39	2
093	Isle of Wight	60.6%	6.06	16	\$8.06	2.32	67	75.0%	6.94	34	5.11	28
095	James City	60.9%	6.09	15	\$8.35	3.07	34	78.4%	7.36	20	5.51	16
097	King and Queen	33.3%	3.33	116	\$7.68	1.34	105	40.0%	2.67	116	2.45	119
099	King George	43.8%	4.38	91	\$8.59	3.69	23	38.5%	2.48	117	3.51	114
101	King William	48.7%	4.87	70	\$7.57	1.06	112	50.0%	3.89	114	3.27	117
103	Lancaster	55.6%	5.56	37	\$7.80	1.65	98	75.0%	6.94	35	4.72	59
105	Lee	57.9%	5.79	27	\$7.57	1.06	113	73.0%	6.70	43	4.52	65
107	Loudoun	58.8%	5.88	22	\$10.92	9.69	2	72.2%	6.60	44	7.39	1
109	Louisa	56.0%	5.60	35	\$9.03	4.82	12	82.6%	7.87	11	6.10	7
111	Lunenburg	49.2%	4.92	66	\$7.66	1.29	107	76.0%	7.07	27	4.42	73
113	Madison	60.0%	6.00	18	\$7.75	1.52	101	60.0%	5.11	96	4.21	84
115	Mathews	56.3%	5.63	32	\$8.07	2.35	65	71.4%	6.51	48	4.83	48
117	Mecklenburg	55.0%	5.50	40	\$7.88	1.86	89	75.9%	7.05	29	4.80	51
119	Middlesex	45.5%	4.55	86	\$8.30	2.94	39	73.3%	6.74	41	4.74	55
121	Montgomery	67.7%	6.77	8	\$7.92	1.96	85	67.1%	5.98	71	4.90	43
125	Nelson	46.4%	4.64	82	\$8.79	4.20	16	83.3%	7.96	8	5.60	11
127	New Kent	43.8%	4.38	92	\$8.13	2.50	55	71.4%	6.51	49	4.46	70
131	Northampton	50.0%	5.00	58	\$8.46	3.35	28	75.0%	6.94	36	5.10	31
133	Northumberland	50.0%	5.00	59	\$8.14	2.53	53	70.0%	6.33	52	4.62	63
135	Nottoway	56.3%	5.63	33	\$8.12	2.47	56	88.2%	8.56	4	5.55	14
137	Orange	70.4%	7.04	5	\$8.41	3.22	31	77.3%	7.22	22	5.83	10
139	Page	58.7%	5.87	25	\$7.93	1.98	83	59.0%	4.99	99	4.28	81
141	Patrick	55.7%	5.57	36	\$7.57	1.06	114	79.0%	7.43	18	4.69	60
143	Pittsylvania	40.8%	4.08	100	\$8.04	2.27	68	63.4%	5.53	85	3.96	97
145	Powhatan	48.5%	4.85	73	\$9.29	5.49	7	69.2%	6.24	59	5.53	15
147	Prince Edward	46.4%	4.64	83	\$8.00	2.16	74	69.1%	6.22	61	4.34	79
149	Prince George	45.5%	4.55	87	\$8.49	3.43	27	71.4%	6.51	50	4.83	47
153	Prince William	56.1%	5.61	34	\$9.31	5.54	6	72.1%	6.59	45	5.91	9
155	Pulaski	49.6%	4.96	61	\$7.72	1.44	103	58.6%	4.94	102	3.78	107
157	Rappahannock	0.0%	0.00	120	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.00	120
159	Richmond County	80.0%	8.00	2	\$7.32	0.41	118	62.5%	5.42	90	4.61	64
161	Roanoke County	65.4%	6.54	10	\$8.39	3.17	32	69.1%	6.22	60	5.31	21
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	50.9%	5.09	54	\$7.74	1.49	102	78.6%	7.38	19	4.66	62
165	Rockingham/H'burg	69.9%	6.99	6	\$8.31	2.96	37	66.7%	5.93	74	5.29	23
167	Russell	46.9%	4.69	80	\$7.68	1.34	106	76.8%	7.16	23	4.40	75
169	Scott	52.6%	5.26	50	\$7.62	1.19	111	82.4%	7.84	12	4.76	53
171	Shenandoah	40.5%	4.05	102	\$8.25	2.81	42	57.1%	4.76	106	3.88	101

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	41.8%	4.18	<b>96</b>	\$7.83	1.73	<b>96</b>	66.7%	5.93	<b>75</b>	3.95	<b>98</b>
175	<i>Southampton</i>	41.2%	4.12	<b>99</b>	\$7.97	2.09	<b>76</b>	63.3%	5.52	<b>86</b>	3.91	<b>99</b>
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	47.1%	4.71	<b>77</b>	\$8.31	2.96	<b>38</b>	80.6%	7.63	<b>17</b>	5.10	<b>30</b>
179	<i>Stafford</i>	42.5%	4.25	<b>94</b>	\$8.94	4.59	<b>14</b>	82.8%	7.89	<b>10</b>	5.58	<b>12</b>
181	<i>Surry</i>	53.1%	5.31	<b>46</b>	\$8.62	3.76	<b>21</b>	73.9%	6.81	<b>40</b>	5.29	<b>22</b>
183	<i>Sussex</i>	42.4%	4.24	<b>95</b>	\$7.97	2.09	<b>77</b>	60.7%	5.20	<b>94</b>	3.84	<b>105</b>
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	61.2%	6.12	<b>14</b>	\$7.88	1.86	<b>90</b>	68.8%	6.19	<b>63</b>	4.72	<b>58</b>
187	<i>Warren</i>	46.7%	4.67	<b>81</b>	\$8.70	3.97	<b>17</b>	64.2%	5.62	<b>82</b>	4.75	<b>54</b>
191	<i>Washington</i>	57.6%	5.76	<b>28</b>	\$7.96	2.06	<b>80</b>	59.2%	5.01	<b>98</b>	4.28	<b>82</b>
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	43.3%	4.33	<b>93</b>	\$8.12	2.47	<b>57</b>	50.0%	3.89	<b>115</b>	3.56	<b>111</b>
195	<i>Wise</i>	52.8%	5.28	<b>47</b>	\$7.82	1.70	<b>97</b>	68.3%	6.12	<b>68</b>	4.37	<b>76</b>
197	<i>Wythe</i>	62.7%	6.27	<b>12</b>	\$7.97	2.09	<b>78</b>	64.2%	5.62	<b>83</b>	4.66	<b>61</b>
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	49.0%	4.90	<b>67</b>	\$8.37	3.12	<b>33</b>	76.0%	7.07	<b>28</b>	5.03	<b>36</b>
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	47.0%	4.70	<b>78</b>	\$10.48	8.56	<b>3</b>	85.8%	8.27	<b>5</b>	7.18	<b>3</b>
520	<i>Bristol</i>	43.9%	4.39	<b>90</b>	\$7.65	1.26	<b>108</b>	61.0%	5.24	<b>93</b>	3.63	<b>109</b>
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	57.6%	5.76	<b>29</b>	\$8.56	3.61	<b>24</b>	65.7%	5.80	<b>77</b>	5.06	<b>33</b>
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	58.8%	5.88	<b>24</b>	\$8.16	2.58	<b>50</b>	81.4%	7.72	<b>15</b>	5.39	<b>18</b>
590	<i>Danville</i>	35.8%	3.58	<b>112</b>	\$7.90	1.91	<b>87</b>	56.0%	4.62	<b>108</b>	3.37	<b>115</b>
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	26.8%	2.68	<b>119</b>	\$7.72	1.44	<b>104</b>	65.2%	5.75	<b>80</b>	3.29	<b>116</b>
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	55.1%	5.51	<b>39</b>	\$9.13	5.08	<b>9</b>	68.0%	6.09	<b>69</b>	5.56	<b>13</b>
640	<i>Galax</i>	54.4%	5.44	<b>43</b>	\$7.92	1.96	<b>86</b>	61.5%	5.30	<b>91</b>	4.23	<b>83</b>
650	<i>Hampton</i>	50.0%	5.00	<b>60</b>	\$8.12	2.47	<b>58</b>	75.1%	6.96	<b>31</b>	4.81	<b>49</b>
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	36.5%	3.65	<b>111</b>	\$7.88	1.86	<b>91</b>	67.9%	6.07	<b>70</b>	3.86	<b>102</b>
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	50.4%	5.04	<b>56</b>	\$7.88	1.86	<b>92</b>	71.9%	6.56	<b>46</b>	4.49	<b>67</b>
683	<i>Manassas</i>	37.8%	3.78	<b>110</b>	\$9.55	6.16	<b>5</b>	64.3%	5.64	<b>81</b>	5.19	<b>27</b>
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	73.3%	7.33	<b>4</b>	\$9.19	5.23	<b>8</b>	83.3%	7.96	<b>9</b>	6.84	<b>5</b>
700	<i>Newport News</i>	48.5%	4.85	<b>71</b>	\$8.22	2.73	<b>45</b>	75.6%	7.01	<b>30</b>	4.86	<b>45</b>
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	53.4%	5.34	<b>45</b>	\$7.90	1.91	<b>88</b>	76.4%	7.12	<b>26</b>	4.79	<b>52</b>
720	<i>Norton</i>	68.0%	6.80	<b>7</b>	\$7.16	0.00	<b>119</b>	58.3%	4.91	<b>105</b>	3.90	<b>100</b>
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	52.2%	5.22	<b>51</b>	\$8.08	2.37	<b>64</b>	76.6%	7.14	<b>25</b>	4.91	<b>42</b>
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	48.4%	4.84	<b>74</b>	\$8.03	2.24	<b>70</b>	70.2%	6.36	<b>51</b>	4.48	<b>68</b>
750	<i>Radford</i>	54.7%	5.47	<b>41</b>	\$8.20	2.68	<b>46</b>	69.6%	6.28	<b>55</b>	4.81	<b>50</b>
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	41.3%	4.13	<b>98</b>	\$8.17	2.60	<b>49</b>	69.8%	6.31	<b>53</b>	4.35	<b>78</b>
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	44.7%	4.47	<b>88</b>	\$8.10	2.42	<b>61</b>	68.6%	6.16	<b>67</b>	4.35	<b>77</b>
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	58.0%	5.80	<b>26</b>	\$7.88	1.86	<b>93</b>	65.3%	5.76	<b>79</b>	4.47	<b>69</b>
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	50.6%	5.06	<b>55</b>	\$8.28	2.89	<b>41</b>	73.1%	6.71	<b>42</b>	4.89	<b>44</b>
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	53.7%	5.37	<b>44</b>	\$8.10	2.42	<b>62</b>	82.4%	7.84	<b>13</b>	5.21	<b>26</b>
840	<i>Winchester</i>	52.6%	5.26	<b>49</b>	\$8.12	2.47	<b>59</b>	65.5%	5.78	<b>78</b>	4.50	<b>66</b>

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores is done to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception of VIEW

*This section lists the relative cumulative rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Monthly Earnings, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	51.5%	2.01	118	\$844	2.98	96	65.6%	5.85	47	3.61	108
003	Albemarle	72.9%	7.81	13	\$1,003	6.19	20	57.0%	3.83	104	5.94	29
005	Alleghany/Covington	71.2%	7.36	19	\$835	2.79	101	58.5%	4.18	96	4.77	78
007	Amelia	59.5%	4.18	96	\$959	5.30	33	64.0%	5.48	58	4.99	70
009	Amherst	67.4%	6.32	46	\$862	3.34	86	60.0%	4.53	85	4.73	80
011	Appomattox	69.7%	6.95	32	\$864	3.38	84	55.5%	3.48	108	4.60	84
013	Arlington	72.4%	7.67	17	\$1,063	7.41	8	69.6%	6.80	18	7.29	6
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	67.1%	6.23	50	\$953	5.19	39	67.1%	6.20	37	5.88	30
017	Bath	65.2%	5.73	65	\$698	0.00	120	62.1%	5.03	73	3.59	109
019	Bedford County/City	64.9%	5.66	67	\$854	3.18	91	61.0%	4.78	79	4.54	88
021	Bland	59.9%	4.29	94	\$845	3.00	95	56.6%	3.75	106	3.68	106
023	Botetourt	44.0%	0.00	120	\$936	4.83	48	56.3%	3.69	107	2.84	118
025	Brunswick	56.0%	3.25	111	\$819	2.47	109	66.8%	6.12	42	3.95	102
027	Buchanan	52.7%	2.36	114	\$833	2.74	104	63.3%	5.31	64	3.47	113
029	Buckingham	56.6%	3.41	109	\$939	4.89	47	62.4%	5.11	70	4.47	91
031	Campbell	68.2%	6.55	38	\$892	3.94	75	64.2%	5.52	56	5.34	59
033	Caroline	60.2%	4.38	93	\$956	5.25	36	57.2%	3.88	102	4.50	90
035	Carroll	66.7%	6.13	53	\$823	2.54	108	54.7%	3.31	110	3.99	101
036	Charles City	55.7%	3.15	112	\$930	4.71	52	50.9%	2.42	116	3.43	114
037	Charlotte	51.6%	2.04	117	\$927	4.65	56	57.9%	4.04	100	3.58	110
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	63.7%	5.33	76	\$1,019	6.52	14	69.8%	6.82	16	6.22	22
043	Clarke	59.5%	4.19	95	\$978	5.69	27	61.5%	4.90	75	4.93	73
045	Craig	52.3%	2.23	115	\$1,038	6.92	11	63.6%	5.39	62	4.85	76
047	Culpeper	64.7%	5.60	68	\$958	5.29	34	66.7%	6.11	43	5.67	38
049	Cumberland	57.7%	3.70	105	\$947	5.06	45	57.8%	4.04	101	4.27	96
051	Dickenson	60.4%	4.42	92	\$829	2.66	105	55.3%	3.44	109	3.51	111
053	Dinwiddie	66.2%	6.00	56	\$957	5.27	35	59.2%	4.35	89	5.21	63
057	Essex	68.6%	6.64	36	\$862	3.34	87	50.0%	2.20	117	4.06	99
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	67.9%	6.46	42	\$1,143	9.04	3	69.3%	6.72	23	7.41	5
061	Fauquier	68.1%	6.53	39	\$1,018	6.49	17	69.6%	6.78	21	6.60	11
063	Floyd	66.4%	6.05	55	\$929	4.69	54	57.1%	3.87	103	4.87	75
065	Fluvanna	65.5%	5.80	60	\$1,050	7.14	9	60.0%	4.54	84	5.83	31
067	Franklin County	62.0%	4.86	87	\$947	5.06	44	57.9%	4.05	99	4.66	82
069	Frederick	58.1%	3.80	103	\$999	6.11	21	62.5%	5.13	67	5.01	68
071	Giles	59.2%	4.10	97	\$952	5.15	40	54.1%	3.15	113	4.13	98
073	Gloucester	65.6%	5.83	59	\$846	3.01	94	59.5%	4.43	86	4.42	94
075	Goochland	67.8%	6.44	44	\$897	4.05	71	65.6%	5.84	48	5.44	48
077	Grayson	67.2%	6.28	48	\$850	3.08	92	61.3%	4.85	76	4.74	79

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	75.7%	8.57	4	\$915	4.41	63	56.8%	3.80	105	5.59	40
081	Greensville/Emporia	57.2%	3.57	107	\$819	2.47	110	64.5%	5.58	52	3.88	103
083	Halifax	56.7%	3.44	108	\$837	2.83	99	58.6%	4.22	94	3.50	112
085	Hanover	64.5%	5.53	71	\$1,049	7.12	10	66.9%	6.15	39	6.27	19
087	Henrico	66.9%	6.18	51	\$1,019	6.51	15	66.4%	6.04	44	6.24	20
089	Henry/Martinsville	63.2%	5.20	80	\$935	4.82	49	64.3%	5.55	53	5.19	64
091	Highland	75.0%	8.38	7	\$784	1.74	116	83.3%	10.00	1	6.71	10
093	Isle of Wight	69.4%	6.88	34	\$908	4.28	65	60.3%	4.61	82	5.25	62
095	James City	69.6%	6.92	33	\$903	4.17	69	61.0%	4.78	78	5.29	60
097	King and Queen	52.0%	2.16	116	\$869	3.47	81	46.9%	1.48	118	2.37	120
099	King George	61.6%	4.75	89	\$919	4.49	60	59.3%	4.39	88	4.54	87
101	King William	58.7%	3.96	99	\$960	5.32	32	60.1%	4.57	83	4.62	83
103	Lancaster	65.2%	5.74	64	\$844	2.97	97	53.0%	2.91	115	3.87	104
105	Lee	65.4%	5.79	62	\$783	1.73	117	72.4%	7.45	8	4.99	71
107	Loudoun	77.9%	9.15	3	\$1,191	10.00	1	71.4%	7.22	11	8.79	1
109	Louisa	62.0%	4.86	86	\$983	5.78	26	64.1%	5.50	57	5.38	55
111	Lunenburg	48.8%	1.31	119	\$765	1.37	118	62.5%	5.13	68	2.60	119
113	Madison	63.7%	5.32	77	\$994	6.02	22	61.3%	4.84	77	5.39	54
115	Mathews	65.2%	5.72	66	\$892	3.95	74	68.8%	6.59	28	5.42	52
117	Mecklenburg	66.1%	5.98	57	\$834	2.77	103	68.6%	6.54	29	5.10	65
119	Middlesex	65.4%	5.79	61	\$907	4.25	66	68.3%	6.49	30	5.51	45
121	Montgomery	78.7%	9.37	2	\$931	4.73	51	64.9%	5.69	50	6.60	12
125	Nelson	62.6%	5.02	84	\$1,008	6.31	18	62.4%	5.10	71	5.47	47
127	New Kent	58.7%	3.98	98	\$1,018	6.50	16	73.4%	7.67	7	6.05	25
131	Northampton	64.1%	5.43	72	\$839	2.86	98	79.5%	9.11	2	5.80	33
133	Northumberland	57.8%	3.74	104	\$856	3.22	90	53.5%	3.02	114	3.32	115
135	Nottoway	67.1%	6.24	49	\$896	4.03	72	69.7%	6.81	17	5.69	37
137	Orange	72.8%	7.79	14	\$962	5.36	30	59.0%	4.31	90	5.82	32
139	Page	69.8%	6.99	29	\$987	5.87	25	59.4%	4.41	87	5.75	35
141	Patrick	67.4%	6.31	47	\$814	2.35	111	75.0%	8.04	6	5.57	42
143	Pittsylvania	54.4%	2.82	113	\$864	3.37	85	60.7%	4.71	81	3.63	107
145	Powhatan	56.4%	3.36	110	\$947	5.06	46	63.1%	5.26	66	4.56	86
147	Prince Edward	69.8%	6.98	30	\$878	3.66	79	69.3%	6.71	25	5.78	34
149	Prince George	61.6%	4.77	88	\$991	5.94	24	58.6%	4.21	95	4.97	72
153	Prince William	72.6%	7.72	15	\$1,190	9.98	2	70.8%	7.06	13	8.25	2
155	Pulaski	63.9%	5.37	74	\$935	4.81	50	54.2%	3.18	112	4.45	92
157	Rappahannock	62.9%	5.11	82	\$954	5.21	38	44.7%	0.97	119	3.76	105
159	Richmond County	62.8%	5.09	83	\$929	4.70	53	40.6%	0.00	120	3.26	116
161	Roanoke County	74.1%	8.15	8	\$1,036	6.87	12	58.9%	4.28	91	6.43	17
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	72.4%	7.68	16	\$861	3.32	88	66.9%	6.14	40	5.71	36
165	Rockingham/H'burg	73.5%	7.97	10	\$964	5.41	29	67.8%	6.36	33	6.58	13
167	Russell	61.5%	4.73	90	\$849	3.07	93	67.3%	6.26	36	4.69	81
169	Scott	58.4%	3.88	101	\$880	3.71	78	77.1%	8.55	4	5.38	56
171	Shenandoah	61.3%	4.68	91	\$920	4.52	58	70.0%	6.87	15	5.36	57

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	58.1%	3.82	<b>102</b>	\$835	2.78	<b>102</b>	64.0%	5.47	<b>59</b>	4.02	<b>100</b>
175	<i>Southampton</i>	57.4%	3.61	<b>106</b>	\$825	2.58	<b>107</b>	54.5%	3.26	<b>111</b>	3.15	<b>117</b>
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	67.9%	6.45	<b>43</b>	\$1,028	6.71	<b>13</b>	67.6%	6.33	<b>34</b>	6.50	<b>15</b>
179	<i>Stafford</i>	58.6%	3.95	<b>100</b>	\$1,107	8.30	<b>4</b>	68.1%	6.44	<b>31</b>	6.23	<b>21</b>
181	<i>Surry</i>	69.9%	7.00	<b>27</b>	\$873	3.55	<b>80</b>	58.0%	4.07	<b>97</b>	4.87	<b>74</b>
183	<i>Sussex</i>	65.6%	5.84	<b>58</b>	\$948	5.07	<b>43</b>	64.3%	5.54	<b>55</b>	5.49	<b>46</b>
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	67.7%	6.41	<b>45</b>	\$807	2.21	<b>114</b>	65.8%	5.91	<b>46</b>	4.84	<b>77</b>
187	<i>Warren</i>	68.3%	6.57	<b>37</b>	\$1,006	6.25	<b>19</b>	68.8%	6.59	<b>27</b>	6.47	<b>16</b>
191	<i>Washington</i>	64.6%	5.56	<b>70</b>	\$889	3.88	<b>76</b>	58.0%	4.07	<b>98</b>	4.50	<b>89</b>
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	63.4%	5.24	<b>79</b>	\$915	4.42	<b>62</b>	64.3%	5.55	<b>54</b>	5.07	<b>66</b>
195	<i>Wise</i>	63.5%	5.26	<b>78</b>	\$813	2.33	<b>112</b>	67.0%	6.18	<b>38</b>	4.59	<b>85</b>
197	<i>Wythe</i>	66.8%	6.17	<b>52</b>	\$837	2.83	<b>100</b>	66.8%	6.13	<b>41</b>	5.04	<b>67</b>
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	62.5%	4.99	<b>85</b>	\$896	4.02	<b>73</b>	58.9%	4.27	<b>93</b>	4.43	<b>93</b>
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	70.0%	7.04	<b>25</b>	\$1,071	7.58	<b>7</b>	77.1%	8.54	<b>5</b>	7.72	<b>4</b>
520	<i>Bristol</i>	68.0%	6.50	<b>40</b>	\$867	3.43	<b>83</b>	62.2%	5.04	<b>72</b>	4.99	<b>69</b>
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	81.0%	10.00	<b>1</b>	\$920	4.51	<b>59</b>	65.4%	5.80	<b>49</b>	6.77	<b>9</b>
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	72.9%	7.82	<b>12</b>	\$927	4.65	<b>55</b>	64.8%	5.67	<b>51</b>	6.05	<b>26</b>
590	<i>Danville</i>	64.6%	5.58	<b>69</b>	\$800	2.07	<b>115</b>	61.7%	4.93	<b>74</b>	4.19	<b>97</b>
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	64.1%	5.43	<b>73</b>	\$809	2.26	<b>113</b>	63.9%	5.45	<b>60</b>	4.38	<b>95</b>
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	75.5%	8.52	<b>5</b>	\$991	5.96	<b>23</b>	66.0%	5.94	<b>45</b>	6.81	<b>8</b>
640	<i>Galax</i>	63.2%	5.20	<b>81</b>	\$887	3.84	<b>77</b>	69.6%	6.79	<b>19</b>	5.27	<b>61</b>
650	<i>Hampton</i>	69.8%	6.97	<b>31</b>	\$910	4.32	<b>64</b>	63.3%	5.31	<b>63</b>	5.53	<b>44</b>
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	68.0%	6.49	<b>41</b>	\$916	4.44	<b>61</b>	63.3%	5.31	<b>65</b>	5.41	<b>53</b>
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	69.9%	7.01	<b>26</b>	\$827	2.63	<b>106</b>	69.0%	6.64	<b>26</b>	5.43	<b>50</b>
683	<i>Manassas</i>	63.7%	5.34	<b>75</b>	\$1,095	8.06	<b>5</b>	71.1%	7.13	<b>12</b>	6.84	<b>7</b>
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	73.1%	7.87	<b>11</b>	\$1,082	7.80	<b>6</b>	77.5%	8.63	<b>3</b>	8.10	<b>3</b>
700	<i>Newport News</i>	71.0%	7.30	<b>20</b>	\$925	4.61	<b>57</b>	69.3%	6.72	<b>24</b>	6.21	<b>23</b>
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	70.5%	7.16	<b>21</b>	\$860	3.30	<b>89</b>	67.5%	6.29	<b>35</b>	5.58	<b>41</b>
720	<i>Norton</i>	75.4%	8.49	<b>6</b>	\$741	0.88	<b>119</b>	71.8%	7.30	<b>10</b>	5.56	<b>43</b>
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	70.5%	7.16	<b>22</b>	\$950	5.11	<b>42</b>	70.3%	6.95	<b>14</b>	6.41	<b>18</b>
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	72.0%	7.57	<b>18</b>	\$900	4.12	<b>70</b>	69.6%	6.78	<b>20</b>	6.16	<b>24</b>
750	<i>Radford</i>	70.1%	7.07	<b>24</b>	\$905	4.20	<b>67</b>	60.9%	4.76	<b>80</b>	5.34	<b>58</b>
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	69.9%	7.00	<b>28</b>	\$955	5.23	<b>37</b>	71.8%	7.31	<b>9</b>	6.51	<b>14</b>
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	65.3%	5.75	<b>63</b>	\$965	5.43	<b>28</b>	62.5%	5.12	<b>69</b>	5.43	<b>49</b>
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	73.9%	8.09	<b>9</b>	\$868	3.46	<b>82</b>	68.1%	6.43	<b>32</b>	5.99	<b>27</b>
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	66.5%	6.09	<b>54</b>	\$950	5.12	<b>41</b>	69.4%	6.75	<b>22</b>	5.98	<b>28</b>
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	70.5%	7.16	<b>23</b>	\$904	4.19	<b>68</b>	63.9%	5.45	<b>61</b>	5.60	<b>39</b>
840	<i>Winchester</i>	68.7%	6.67	<b>35</b>	\$960	5.32	<b>31</b>	58.9%	4.27	<b>92</b>	5.42	<b>51</b>

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores was begun in May 1999 to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

## State Summary July 1, 2011 – December 31, 2011

	State	ED 1	ED 2	ED 3	ED 4	ED 5	ED 6	ED 7	ED 8	ED 9	ED 10	ED 11	ED 12	ED 13	ED 14	ED 15	ED 16	ED 17	ED 18
<b><u>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></u></b>																			
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	11,462	551	432	1,009	378	230	1,195	130	273	505	479	399	1,526	425	163	1,354	331	1,980	102
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	93%	96%	96%	98%	94%	90%	92%	90%	100%	99%	96%	95%	93%	78%	91%	97%	85%	89%	96%
% Timely in December 2011	93%	95%	92%	100%	96%	90%	92%	96%	100%	100%	97%	91%	93%	63%	84%	97%	93%	93%	97%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></u></b>																			
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	6,068	308	230	532	201	117	640	63	162	274	275	211	799	219	80	675	180	1,058	44
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	96%	99%	98%	97%	97%	91%	97%	95%	99%	98%	97%	99%	94%	93%	96%	95%	96%	92%	100%
Percent in December 2011	96%	100%	98%	97%	100%	86%	97%	100%	98%	97%	96%	99%	93%	96%	95%	96%	98%	93%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></u></b>																			
# Over 30 Days	126	3	6	0	10	5	15	4	0	0	3	2	34	21	6	12	1	3	1
Average # of Days Over 30	42	29	16	0	67	88	31	60	0	0	8	27	48	20	21	70	90	36	2

## EDD1 – Southwest Region: July 1, 2011 - December 31, 2011

	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
<b><u>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></u></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2011		551	20	27	96	79	64	105	7
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011		96%	100%	100%	100%	100%	92%	94%	71%
% Timely in December 2011		95%	100%	100%	100%	100%	82%	95%	0%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></u></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011		308	12	13	65	44	33	56	3
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011		99%	100%	92%	100%	100%	100%	100%	67%
Percent in December 2011		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></u></b>									
# Over 30 Days		3	0	0	0	0	1	0	2
Average # of Days Over 30		29	0	0	0	0	40	0	24

## EDD2 - Bristol-Galax Region: July 1, 2011 - December 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD2</i>	<i>Bland</i>	<i>Carroll</i>	<i>Grayson</i>	<i>Smyth</i>	<i>Wash.</i>	<i>Wythe</i>	<i>Bristol</i>	<i>Galax</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	432	7	42	16	87	63	47	136	34
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	96%	86%	95%	88%	98%	100%	98%	98%	85%
% Timely in December 2011	92%	50%	91%	50%	94%	100%	92%	100%	80%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	230	3	24	11	45	34	23	69	21
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	98%	100%	100%	100%	96%	97%	100%	100%	90%
Percent in December 2011	98%	100%	100%	100%	95%	100%	100%	100%	89%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>									
# Over 30 Days	6	1	0	2	1	0	1	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	16	8	0	14	28	0	13	0	20

## EDD3 - Roanoke Region: July 1, 2011 - December 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD3</i>	<i>Alleghny/ Covington</i>	<i>Bote- tourt</i>	<i>Craig</i>	<i>Floyd</i>	<i>Franklin County</i>	<i>Giles</i>	<i>Mont- gomery</i>	<i>Pulaski</i>	<i>Roanoke County</i>	<i>Radford</i>	<i>Roanoke City</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>												
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	1009	37	11	8	23	92	19	133	83	92	33	478
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	98%	100%	100%	88%	98%
% Timely in December 2011	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>												
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	532	26	3	6	14	43	11	65	41	50	15	258
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	97%	100%	100%	100%	93%	100%	100%	100%	100%	98%	100%	95%
Percent in December 2011	97%	100%	N/A	100%	67%	100%	100%	100%	100%	94%	100%	96%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>												
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: July 1, 2011 - December 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD4</i>	<i>Aug/S'ton /W'boro</i>	<i>Bath</i>	<i>Highland</i>	<i>Rockbridge/ BV/Lex</i>	<i>Rockingham/ HBurg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	378	251	0	0	23	104
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	94%	100%	N/A	N/A	39%	92%
% Timely in December 2011	96%	100%	N/A	N/A	50%	95%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	201	135	0	0	10	56
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	97%	99%	N/A	N/A	60%	98%
Percent in December 2011	100%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	10	0	1	0	8	1
Average # of Days Over 30	67	0	189	0	58	20

## EDD5 & EDD18 - Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: July 1, 2011 - December 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD5</i>	<i>Clarke</i>	<i>Frederick</i>	<i>Page</i>	<i>Shen.</i>	<i>Warren</i>	<i>Winchester</i>	<i>Total EDD18</i>	<i>Accomack</i>	<i>Nhampton</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	230	7	61	36	22	57	47	102	57	45
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	90%	86%	79%	100%	91%	100%	87%	96%	98%	93%
% Timely in December 2011	90%	100%	79%	100%	80%	100%	100%	97%	95%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	117	2	30	16	13	25	31	44	26	18
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	91%	100%	93%	100%	100%	76%	90%	100%	100%	100%
Percent in December 2011	86%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	64%	83%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>										
# Over 30 Days	5	0	4	0	1	0	0	1	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	88	0	110	0	2	0	0	2	2	0

## EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: July 1, 2011 - December 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD6</i>	<i>Arlington</i>	<i>Fairfax</i>	<i>Loudoun</i>	<i>Prince William</i>	<i>Alexandria</i>	<i>Manassas</i>	<i>Manassas Park</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>								
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	1,195	87	387	83	425	144	58	11
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	92%	100%	98%	96%	82%	100%	98%	64%
% Timely in December 2011	92%	100%	99%	94%	85%	100%	91%	60%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>								
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	640	44	215	36	231	78	33	3
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	97%	100%	99%	100%	94%	94%	94%	100%
Percent in December 2011	97%	100%	100%	100%	95%	88%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>								
# Over 30 Days	15	0	0	1	12	0	0	2
Average # of Days Over 30	31	0	0	43	34	0	0	8

## EDD7 - Culpeper Region: July 1, 2011 - December 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD7</i>	<i>Culpeper</i>	<i>Fauquier</i>	<i>Madison</i>	<i>Orange</i>	<i>Rapp.</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	130	51	40	8	30	1
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	90%	92%	98%	88%	77%	100%
% Timely in December 2011	96%	100%	100%	100%	75%	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	63	30	19	3	10	1
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	95%	97%	100%	100%	80%	100%
Percent in December 2011	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	4	0	0	1	3	0
Average # of Days Over 30	60	0	0	118	41	0

## EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: July 1, 2011 - December 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD8</i>	<i>Albemarle</i>	<i>Fluvanna</i>	<i>Greene</i>	<i>Louisa</i>	<i>Nelson</i>	<i>Cville</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	273	58	23	18	48	14	112
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	100%	100%	96%	100%	100%	100%	100%
% Timely in December 2011	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	162	29	12	15	32	10	64
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	98%
Percent in December 2011	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	96%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: July 1, 2011 - December 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD9</i>	<i>Amherst</i>	<i>Appom.</i>	<i>Bedford</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Lynchburg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	505	39	38	86	110	232
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	99%	100%	100%	99%	99%	98%
% Timely in December 2011	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	274	17	22	34	68	133
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	98%	100%	100%	97%	99%	98%
Percent in December 2011	97%	100%	100%	88%	95%	98%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD10 - Danville Region: July 1, 2011 - December 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD10</i>	<i>Halifax</i>	<i>Henry/ Martinsville</i>	<i>Patrick</i>	<i>Pittsylvania</i>	<i>Danville</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	479	66	144	59	68	142
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	96%	97%	92%	98%	99%	98%
% Timely in December 2011	97%	100%	93%	100%	100%	96%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	275	46	83	29	35	82
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	97%	100%	94%	97%	100%	99%
Percent in December 2011	96%	100%	93%	92%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	3	0	2	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	8	0	8	0	0	7

## EDD11 – Southside Region: July 1, 2011 - December 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD11</i>	<i>Amelia</i>	<i>Brunswick</i>	<i>Buckingham</i>	<i>Charlotte</i>	<i>Cumberland</i>	<i>Gr'nsville Emporia</i>	<i>Lunenburg</i>	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	<i>Nottoway</i>	<i>Pr. Edward</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>											
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	399	21	51	32	45	17	55	19	52	51	56
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	95%	90%	100%	97%	100%	100%	80%	95%	98%	98%	96%
% Timely in December 2011	91%	100%	100%	75%	100%	100%	62%	N/A	100%	88%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>											
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	211	8	25	20	25	9	21	14	33	27	29
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	95%	100%	100%	96%	97%
Percent in December 2011	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	67%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>											
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	27	0	0	0	0	0	27	0	0	0	0

## EDD12 - Richmond Region: July 1, 2011 - December 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD12</i>	<i>Chesterfield/ Col Hgts</i>	<i>Goochland</i>	<i>Hanover</i>	<i>Henrico</i>	<i>Powhatan</i>	<i>Richmond City</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	1,526	301	14	41	456	12	702
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	93%	90%	100%	93%	91%	100%	96%
% Timely in December 2011	93%	94%	100%	100%	87%	100%	95%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	799	151	10	23	241	8	366
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	94%	97%	100%	91%	95%	88%	93%
Percent in December 2011	93%	94%	100%	88%	93%	100%	92%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	34	9	0	0	20	0	5
Average # of Days Over 30	48	73	0	0	46	0	14

## EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: July 1, 2011 - December 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD13</i>	<i>Caroline</i>	<i>King George</i>	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	<i>Stafford</i>	<i>Fredericksburg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	425	55	24	140	111	95
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	78%	80%	100%	48%	94%	99%
% Timely in December 2011	63%	70%	100%	11%	95%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	219	26	11	73	52	57
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	93%	100%	100%	85%	92%	100%
Percent in December 2011	96%	100%	100%	93%	95%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	21	5	0	14	2	0
Average # of Days Over 30	20	26	0	15	34	0

## EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: July 1, 2011 - December 31, 2011

	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North- umberland	Richmond County	West- moreland
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	163	43	9	22	12	7	25	8	6	31
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	91%	98%	56%	86%	100%	86%	100%	100%	33%	97%
% Timely in December 2011	84%	91%	33%	100%	100%	50%	100%	100%	0%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	80	24	3	15	7	3	9	3	4	12
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	96%	92%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	75%	100%
Percent in December 2011	95%	83%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>										
# Over 30 Days	6	1	2	0	0	1	0	0	2	0
Average # of Days Over 30	21	27	26	0	0	8	0	0	20	0

## EDD15 - Peninsula Region: July 1, 2011 - December 31, 2011

	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	1,354	4	51	60	15	59	471	676	18
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	97%	100%	98%	100%	7%	97%	100%	97%	100%
% Timely in December 2011	97%	100%	100%	100%	0%	100%	97%	98%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	675	1	21	20	3	30	246	342	12
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	95%	100%	100%	95%	100%	100%	98%	93%	100%
Percent in December 2011	96%	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	99%	94%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>									
# Over 30 Days	12	0	0	0	11	0	1	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	70	0	0	0	76	0	7	0	0

## EDD16 - Petersburg Region: July 1, 2011 - December 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD16</i>	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	<i>Prince George</i>	<i>Surry</i>	<i>Sussex</i>	<i>Hopewell</i>	<i>Petersburg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	331	34	34	11	32	62	158
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	85%	100%	97%	100%	100%	52%	88%
% Timely in December 2011	93%	100%	100%	100%	100%	78%	94%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	180	19	16	7	17	35	86
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	96%	100%	100%	100%	100%	91%	94%
Percent in December 2011	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	94%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	90	0	0	0	0	90	0

## EDD17 - Tidewater Region: July 1, 2011 - December 31, 2011

	<i>Total EDD17</i>	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	<i>South- hampton</i>	<i>Chesapeake</i>	<i>Franklin City</i>	<i>Norfolk</i>	<i>Portsmouth</i>	<i>Suffolk</i>	<i>VA Beach</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	1,980	33	48	347	58	618	402	110	364
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	89%	88%	100%	97%	83%	75%	98%	97%	95%
% Timely in December 2011	93%	67%	100%	98%	100%	80%	100%	96%	96%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	1058	23	28	200	29	337	207	62	172
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	92%	96%	100%	97%	97%	81%	98%	97%	98%
Percent in December 2011	93%	100%	100%	96%	100%	81%	100%	100%	99%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>									
# Over 30 Days	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Average # of Days Over 30	36	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	44

## **Child Support Enforcement - Statewide Totals**

### ***Collections***

	<b><i>Dec-10</i></b>	<b><i>Dec-11</i></b>	<b><i>% Change</i></b>
TANF Cases Collected	14,427	14,572	1.0%
NTANF Cases Collected	127,355	128,051	0.5%
Total Cases Collected	141,782	142,623	0.6%
TANF Collections	\$2,599,176	\$2,501,765	-3.7%
NTANF Collections	\$49,037,810	\$48,176,892	-1.8%
Total Collections	\$51,636,986	\$50,678,657	-1.9%
SFY YTD Collections	\$303,146,230	\$306,722,473	1.2%
SFY YTD Average Collection	\$355.75	\$356.54	0.2%

### ***Paternities Established***

	<b><i>Dec-11</i></b>
TANF Paternities	186
NTANF Paternities	324
Combined Paternities	510

## *December Diversionsary Assistance Cases*

<b>Locality</b>	<b>Number of Cases</b>		<b>Locality</b>	<b>Number of Cases</b>
Alleghany / Covington	4		Prince Edward	1
Amelia	3		Prince William	3
Amherst	2		Roanoke County	4
Augusta / Staunt'n / W'boro	6		Rockbridge / BV / Lexington	1
Bedford City	5		Rockingham / H'burg	4
Buckingham	3		Shenandoah	13
Campbell	1		Smyth	1
Caroline	1		Spotsylvania	3
Chesterfield/Colonial Hgts	8		Stafford	5
Clarke	1		Warren	6
Culpeper	8		York / Poquoson	3
Dickenson	1		Bristol	5
Dinwiddie	4		Charlottesville	7
Fairfax / Falls Church	3		Chesapeake	6
Fauquier	2		Franklin City	7
Franklin County	4		Fredericksburg	2
Frederick	2		Hampton	6
Goochland	1		Hopewell	7
Greene	4		Manassas	1
Hanover	1		Newport News	22
Henrico	7		Norfolk	10
Henry / Martinsville	5		Petersburg	1
Loudoun	4		Portsmouth	2
Mecklenburg	1		Richmond City	6
Orange	3		Roanoke City	9
Page	2		Suffolk	11
Patrick	3		Virginia Beach	9
Powhatan	1		Winchester	3
			<b>Statewide</b>	<b>248</b>

## *Definitions of Selected Terms Contained in Report*

**Average Monthly Earnings.** This is the average monthly earnings of the unsubsidized jobs that participants obtained. The value is calculated by multiplying the hourly wage by the weekly hours worked by 4.3 average weeks per month. For the cumulative figures the initial wage and initial hours are used. For the current figures, the initial wage and most current hours are used, except for the November 2001 report which used initial hours. Earlier than June 1999, wage changes that were entered overwrote the initial wage, so prior to that date, the cumulative figures used the initial hours and the last wage entered. With the advent of the VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) program in October 2006 the end of month average monthly earnings might be expected to decline somewhat because prior to VTP, cases with high earnings might remain open for job follow-up, but after the implementation of VTP the VIEW case would be closed when the TANF case was closed and a VTP case opened. VTP cases are not included in this report except for estimating the participation rate.

**Average Wage.** The average of the wages reported for each occurrence of unsubsidized employment. After June 1999 the wage is the wage at hiring, that is, the initial wage. Prior to June 1999, that wage may have been updated if changed during employment. After the implementation of the VIEW Transitional Payment program the end of month point in time average wage might be expected to decline some for the same reason mentioned in the explanation of possible decline in Average Monthly earnings, above. See note 6 on page 44.

**Cases Closed with Employment.** These are TANF or TANF-UP cases that are closed with an indication in the data base of employment at the date of closure. It does not mean that the case necessarily closed because of employment. For example, a TANF case may have an indication of closing at the client's request, along with indication of existing employment in VIEW. That case is counted as closed with employment.

**EDD.** This stands for Economic Development District. EDDs were developed during the administration of Governor Allen. They generally are no longer in use. This report continues to refer to them because VIEW was phased in by EDD and their use provides consistency over time.

**Enrolled.** Unduplicated number by locality of TANF recipients who were interviewed, received an individual assessment, signed the Agreement of Personal Responsibility, and enrolled in the VIEW program. Because the count is by locality, a recipient may be counted once in more than one locality. The fiscal year to date numbers include those who were enrolled at the beginning of the fiscal year as well as those enrolled during the year. There are clients enrolled in VIEW who have had their TANF case closed because VIEW policy requires that the enrollment remain open for job follow-up after employment unless the employment meets federal work participation guidelines, in which case the VIEW case is closed and a VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) case is opened.

**Full Time Employment.** Employment at 30 hours a week or greater.

**Participants Assigned to Inactive.** Inactive is a status assigned to participants who cannot participate in the VIEW program for reasons other than being exempt. Examples are unavailability of child care, unavailability of transportation, and mental or medical problems that make participation impractical. Inactive participants are due to be reviewed each month. While in inactive status, the 24 month time limit for TANF benefits is suspended.

**Participants Employed.** Total number of participants by locality who ever obtained an unsubsidized job, either full time or part time for the counts from inception. Other counts include subsidized employment. If employed more than one time, they are still only counted once, but may be counted in more than one locality. Also, these participants may have left their job and not gotten another one. For the year to date numbers, those employed at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first became employed in the fiscal year.

**Participants in a Work Activity.** Unduplicated count by locality of persons who have been in any of the following components: unsubsidized employment, full employment program (FEP), on-the-job training (OJT), community work experience program (CWEP), public service program (PSP), vocational education and training, and job search/job readiness. For example, a person who was in community work experience and then employed would be counted only once.

For the year to date numbers, those in a work activity at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first entered a work activity in the fiscal year. Note that this is a revised definition of work activity from reports through October 2006. The revised definition captures the activities that count toward the first 20 hours of federal participation requirements – the core work activities. Clients who are counted as being in a core work activity must meet additional participation rate requirements in order to be included in the work participation rate, and only VIEW clients are counted here, not all work eligible TANF clients **Thus, these percentages in a work activity are quite different from the percentages in the TANF work participation rate.**

**Part Time Employment.** Employment at fewer than 30 weekly hours.

**Referred.** Unduplicated number of TANF recipients by locality who were initially evaluated as eligible for VIEW and had their names referred to the automated VIEW list for participation.

**Relationship Between TANF and VIEW Participation.** On the chart at page 5a, the column heading “Total Adults in the Case” includes adults who are not considered to be part of the assistance unit. For example, a mother receiving SSI may be in the case, but because she receives SSI is not considered eligible for TANF and thus is not part of the assistance unit. Persons such as she who are not in the assistance unit are not eligible to participate in VIEW. Also included are adults who are part of the assistance unit but exempt from VIEW

**Five Months Employment.** In earlier reports, this represented the percent of all participants that were employed 150 days after their first employment. Participants who were employed in any job 150 days after their first employment were counted in this measure.. This measure became unavailable after July 1, 2011. See note 9 on page 44.

**Three Months Employment.** This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 90 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 90 days after their first employment are counted in this measure. For year to date percents, the calculations are based on the first employment that began one year before the end of the report month. That employment may not have been the first ever for the participant. The year to date percents were calculated differently in reports before September 2004. Also for year to date percents, transferred cases were not counted for months after the January 2002 report.

**TANF / TANF-UP Payment Cases.** Up to November 2003 these counts were taken from a monthly report with no adjustment. After that, the monthly counts included some cases that were being paid because child support payments had been received and were being passed on. These counts were adjusted to mostly eliminate those additional cases. Further adjustment may be appropriate. It should also be noted that the counts include both strictly TANF cases and MOE cases for some years. With the end of waiver provisions in July 2003, a substantial part of the caseload was placed in a state program and funded with MOE funds. This change in July 2003 was a change in funding, not a change in eligibility requirements or case payment amounts. It ended in September 2006 when VIEW transitional payments (VTP) were introduced. Adjustments have been made to take out VTP cases. Also, TANF-UP cases are currently funded with only state monies.

**VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP).** Beginning in October 2006, VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) were introduced. These are payments of \$50 per client per month for one year to VIEW clients that leave “regular” TANF with employment sufficient to meet Federal work participation requirements. The employment must be maintained to be eligible for VTP. Previously, VTP clients normally would have remained VIEW clients for five or six months of job-follow-up. Thus, the VTP program has had a diminishing effect on some of the statistics in this report such as end of month percent employed and average wage. See graph on page 5a.

**Time That Data Represent.** The data in the top sections of page 4 and pages 8 – 24 are point in time data as of the date indicated. The year to date section represents state fiscal year to date data, with the exception of three month retention (see above). The bottom section is cumulative from when the locality began VIEW.

**General Statement About Accuracy.** The report is based on an extract from the Employment Services automated system. The extract is normally made the night of the first work day after the end of the report month. Data that staff of local social services agencies enter into the automated system after the time of the extract are not included in the report, even though the activity reflected actually occurred in the report month. However, that data, and the activity reflected, are included in the reports for subsequent months. Further, because the data are entered by staff in the 120 localities, the accuracy of the data in the data base is, of course, subject to inadvertent error.


- <sup>1</sup> Applications are not necessarily acted upon in the month that they are received. Also, applications may be transferred from regular TANF to TANF-UP and vice versa as they are processed.
- <sup>2</sup> These TANF cases paid counts are prior to possible adjustment. See definition of payment cases. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes.
- <sup>3</sup> VIEW enrolled counts do not include VTP clients
- <sup>4</sup> A case may be open in more than one locality during the time period.
- <sup>5</sup> Prior to June 1999, when individuals were transferred from one locality to another, their history was transferred as well. This may have an effect on a locality's cumulative VIEW statistics.
- <sup>6</sup> The minimum wage rose from \$6.55 to \$7.25 an hour on 7/24/09. The report reflects initial wages so some effect on wages in the report was delayed. The average wage includes full and part time, unless otherwise indicated. See the definition of average wage on page 42.
- <sup>7</sup> Includes full and part time earnings.
- <sup>8</sup> This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed three months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed three months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous. See definition on page 43 for the revised method of calculating the year to date percentages.
- <sup>9</sup> Until the July 2011 report, this was employed after five months. However, on July 1, 2011 the number of required job follow-ups was reduced to three. Thus, data for five month job retention became unavailable.
- <sup>10</sup> Number includes TANF-UP and represents cases carried over to the next month. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes, but with the advent of VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) such occurrences were reduced from earlier months.
- <sup>11</sup> Enrollment is timely if done within 30 days of referral in previous month of the state fiscal year. The monthly percent includes evaluation of clients waiting in the queue. The year to date does not.
- <sup>12</sup> Placement in a work activity on the 95th day after referral was a measure of timeliness before Oct 1, 2006. The revised definition of work activity has increased the percentage from reports before November 2006. Clients whose cases have closed before the 95<sup>th</sup> day are excluded, so 100% is an attainable mark.
- <sup>14</sup> As of the afternoon of January 2, 2012. Some referrals to the waiting list are not appropriate, and there may be considerable lag time in correcting them..

This report is available on the Department of Social Services web site at:  
[http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial\\_assistance/tanf.cgi](http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial_assistance/tanf.cgi)

The scores in the VIEW Rankings are determined by placing a locality on a scale of zero to ten in the same relative position as its place in the difference between the high and low of the measure in question. This converts all measures to a similar scale and provides a more equitable way to combine the ratings in each measure to determine a combined rank. (Questions, please call 804 726-7618.)

**Comments on the format or content of the report and requests for Excel files for portions of current and past reports may be sent by e-mail to: [william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov](mailto:william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov).**


## TANF and TANF-UP Cases Paid at Six Month Intervals June 1995 through December 2011



This graph illustrates the dramatic decline of the TANF caseload as VIEW was implemented. There was some sign of slowing in the rate of decline after June 1998. Nevertheless, wide community involvement, a strong economy, and the effect of the 24 month rule allowed the caseload to continue to fall until it was near the 30,000 level. There was little net change in the caseload from June 2000 to June 2002, but some upward movement was seen after June 2002, until 2005. The more recent trends are presumed to be a result of economic conditions. Of note is that the caseload appeared to level off after recent growth and seems now in slight decline.

# Applications Received with Approval Percentage

Six Month Asymmetrical Moving Averages by Quarter, July 1995 through December 2011



This graph of application activity is presented as six month moving averages to produce smoother lines from which trends may be seen and in recognition that applications are not always acted on in the month received. The trends are not dramatic, but there seems to have been a general decline in the number of applications received until the July 2001 data. The decline in applications received after December 2004 and the recent changes appear to be reflected in the case load trends.

Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

## TANF Cases, VIEW Enrolled, and Employed VIEW Participants


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide  
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. December is the last month



This graph shows that the number enrolled in VIEW increased during early implementation and then generally tracked the number of cases. The effects of the recent economic conditions are apparent. A VIEW case may remain open for some months after the TANF case closes, if job follow-up is being done and the case has not been converted to the VIEW Transitional Payment program. It is unlikely that the number employed will ever equal the number enrolled because there are always new enrollees without employment, and there are some enrollees in work activities, other than employment, that meet VIEW requirements. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months. It includes TANF-UP

## Chart Displaying the Relationships Between TANF and VIEW Participation


Dec 31, 2011



This chart illustrates that there are a large number of adults who are exempt from VIEW and that many of the VIEW enrollees have had their TANF cases closed. They are now in a job follow-up phase of VIEW. They have not had their VIEW case closed and become enrolled in the VIEW Transitional Payment program. The source of this information is a monthly TANF extract from ADAPT and the monthly extract from ESPAS, the VIEW automated data system. The extracts were made at the beginning of January and represent end of December data. TANF-UP is included.

## VIEW Percent Total Employed and Average Wage at End of Month


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide  
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. December is the last month.



This graph shows that the average wage has seen a general increase as the percent of VIEW employed initially increased and then leveled. Besides employment, VIEW participants may be in various other activities or initial assessment and job search. It is unlikely that the percent employed will rise more, and the recent economic conditions may have caused a decline. The wages shown are generally the initial wages. When the average wage was calculated at about the end of December it was \$8.25 rather than the \$8.18 initial wages used to calculate wages shown on this graph. See page 42 of the report for an explanation of why the VIEW average wage might decline after October 2006 and note 6 on page 44 for the effect of the increase in minimum wage. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.

## Percent of Jobs Found During a Month That Were Full Time and Percent Part Time

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide  
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. December is the last month



This graph illustrates an initial trend of VIEW participants obtaining a greater percent of full time jobs than part time. After the initial months, the trend seems to be fairly level with an increase in the percent full time after TANF reauthorization which probably reflects increased emphasis on meeting the required TANF work participation rate. The more recent changes are presumed to be a result of economic developments. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.