

# **VIRGINIA INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM**

## **MONTHLY REPORT**

**Including Graphs of Statewide Trends**

**January 2012**

# Monthly Report, January 2012

## Table of Contents

Work Participation Estimates (from the VDSS data warehouse) (A work participation estimate percent should not be compared to a percent in a work activity in the main body of the report which includes only clients in VIEW and does not consider the number of hours in a work activity during a month)	i-vi
TANF, TANF-UP Case Activity.....	1
Graphs of Case Activity.....	at end
VIEW Work Activity	
Statewide .....	4
Graphs of Statewide Activity.....	at end
EDD1.....	8
EDD2.....	9
EDD3.....	10
EDD4.....	11
EDD5 & EDD18.....	12
EDD6.....	13
EDD7.....	14
EDD8.....	15
EDD9.....	16
EDD10.....	17
EDD11.....	18
EDD14.....	19
EDD1.....	20
EDD3.....	21
EDD11.....	22
EDD15.....	23
EDD17.....	24
VIEW Year to Date Rankings.....	25-27
VIEW Cumulative Rankings.....	28-30
VIEW Timeliness Measures.....	31-39
Child Support Enforcement.....	40
Diversion.....	41
VIEW Definition Sheet and Endnotes.....	42-44

# *Estimated Work Participation Rates for January*

*These are estimated locality January 2012 work participation rates that include clients receiving either TANF or VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). The official participation rate will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated January statewide rate is 43% with a numerator of 7,889 and a denominator of 18,378.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	<i>Accomack</i>	20	68	29%	061	<i>Fauquier</i>	25	55	45%
003	<i>Albemarle</i>	33	60	55%	063	<i>Floyd</i>	6	24	25%
005	<i>Alleghany/Covington</i>	26	45	58%	065	<i>Fluvanna</i>	7	30	23%
007	<i>Amelia</i>	11	41	27%	067	<i>Franklin County</i>	47	122	39%
009	<i>Amherst</i>	22	40	55%	069	<i>Frederick</i>	20	97	21%
011	<i>Appomattox</i>	25	41	61%	071	<i>Giles</i>	10	23	43%
013	<i>Arlington</i>	54	118	46%	073	<i>Gloucester</i>	25	50	50%
015	<i>Augusta/S'ton/W'boro</i>	138	249	55%	075	<i>Goochland</i>	13	34	38%
017	<i>Bath</i>	1	5	20%	077	<i>Grayson</i>	12	31	39%
019	<i>Bedford County/City</i>	35	88	40%	079	<i>Greene</i>	5	21	24%
021	<i>Bland</i>	2	7	29%	081	<i>Greensville/Emporia</i>	36	84	43%
023	<i>Botetourt</i>	4	19	21%	083	<i>Halifax</i>	30	79	38%
025	<i>Brunswick</i>	37	67	55%	085	<i>Hanover</i>	30	78	38%
027	<i>Buchanan</i>	23	46	50%	087	<i>Henrico</i>	303	946	32%
029	<i>Buckingham</i>	21	39	54%	089	<i>Henry/Martinsville</i>	82	286	29%
031	<i>Campbell</i>	74	185	40%	091	<i>Highland</i>	0	1	0%
033	<i>Caroline</i>	18	113	16%	093	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	24	49	49%
035	<i>Carroll</i>	34	56	61%	095	<i>James City</i>	23	83	28%
036	<i>Charles City</i>	3	15	20%	097	<i>King and Queen</i>	4	15	27%
037	<i>Charlotte</i>	21	51	41%	099	<i>King George</i>	5	24	21%
041	<i>Chesterfield/Col Hgts</i>	214	535	40%	101	<i>King William</i>	7	27	26%
043	<i>Clarke</i>	3	11	27%	103	<i>Lancaster</i>	6	23	26%
045	<i>Craig</i>	2	9	22%	105	<i>Lee</i>	64	129	50%
047	<i>Culpeper</i>	37	83	45%	107	<i>Loudoun</i>	61	149	41%
049	<i>Cumberland</i>	9	31	29%	109	<i>Louisa</i>	30	50	60%
051	<i>Dickensen</i>	15	37	41%	111	<i>Lunenburg</i>	12	45	27%
053	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	17	46	37%	113	<i>Madison</i>	5	10	50%
057	<i>Essex</i>	16	41	39%	115	<i>Mathews</i>	3	15	20%
059	<i>Fairfax/Falls Church</i>	333	696	48%	117	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	26	66	39%

# Estimated Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent
119	Middlesex	6	16	38%	187	Warren	38	84	45%
121	Montgomery	93	180	52%	191	Washington	35	78	45%
125	Nelson	5	17	29%	193	Westmoreland	18	45	40%
127	New Kent	3	38	8%	195	Wise	75	193	39%
131	Northampton	14	58	24%	197	Wythe	36	69	52%
133	Northumberland	11	13	85%	199	York/Poquoson	34	85	40%
135	Nottoway	30	84	36%	510	Alexandria	108	226	48%
137	Orange	17	51	33%	520	Bristol	81	151	54%
139	Page	14	31	45%	540	Charlottesville	99	200	50%
141	Patrick	29	60	48%	550	Chesapeake	337	678	50%
143	Pittsylvania	29	83	35%	590	Danville	103	210	49%
145	Powhatan	6	22	27%	620	Franklin City	20	51	39%
147	Prince Edward	33	73	45%	630	Fredericksburg	57	113	50%
149	Prince George	20	45	44%	640	Galax	9	37	24%
153	Prince William	346	681	51%	650	Hampton	402	827	49%
155	Pulaski	36	68	53%	670	Hopewell	42	192	22%
157	Rappahannock	0	3	0%	680	Lynchburg	134	314	43%
159	Richmond County	1	12	8%	683	Manassas	32	92	35%
161	Roanoke County	65	143	45%	685	Manassas Park	5	18	28%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	17	45	38%	700	Newport News	456	1,040	44%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	86	166	52%	710	Norfolk	703	1,390	51%
167	Russell	21	87	24%	720	Norton	7	20	35%
169	Scott	36	89	40%	730	Petersburg	164	314	52%
171	Shenandoah	7	25	28%	740	Portsmouth	260	610	43%
173	Smyth	47	105	45%	750	Radford	18	45	40%
175	Southampton	15	63	24%	760	Richmond City	614	1,577	39%
177	Spotsylvania	102	255	40%	770	Roanoke City	186	587	32%
179	Stafford	63	169	37%	800	Suffolk	88	159	55%
181	Surry	8	24	33%	810	Virginia Beach	300	537	56%
183	Sussex	8	38	21%	830	Williamsburg	12	27	44%
185	Tazewell	55	116	47%	840	Winchester	24	61	39%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF and VTP recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rate presented here is the all families rate, i. e. the overall rate, including VTP..

# *Estimated TANF only Work Participation Rates for January*

*These are estimated locality January 2012 work participation rates that include only clients receiving TANF. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated January statewide rate is 36% with a numerator of 5,850 and a denominator of 16,148. VTP only estimates are on pages v and vi.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	<i>Accomack</i>	19	67	28%	061	<i>Fauquier</i>	18	48	38%
003	<i>Albemarle</i>	18	43	42%	063	<i>Floyd</i>	3	21	14%
005	<i>Alleghany/Covington</i>	18	36	50%	065	<i>Fluvanna</i>	5	27	19%
007	<i>Amelia</i>	11	40	28%	067	<i>Franklin County</i>	42	116	36%
009	<i>Amherst</i>	16	34	47%	069	<i>Frederick</i>	12	88	14%
011	<i>Appomattox</i>	20	36	56%	071	<i>Giles</i>	8	21	38%
013	<i>Arlington</i>	37	101	37%	073	<i>Gloucester</i>	17	42	40%
015	<i>Augusta/S'ton/W'boro</i>	90	200	45%	075	<i>Goochland</i>	7	28	25%
017	<i>Bath</i>	0	4	0%	077	<i>Grayson</i>	8	27	30%
019	<i>Bedford County/City</i>	27	80	34%	079	<i>Greene</i>	2	16	13%
021	<i>Bland</i>	1	6	17%	081	<i>Greensville/Emporia</i>	24	72	33%
023	<i>Botetourt</i>	3	18	17%	083	<i>Halifax</i>	21	70	30%
025	<i>Brunswick</i>	36	64	56%	085	<i>Hanover</i>	17	63	27%
027	<i>Buchanan</i>	16	39	41%	087	<i>Henrico</i>	230	853	27%
029	<i>Buckingham</i>	16	33	48%	089	<i>Henry/Martinsville</i>	57	257	22%
031	<i>Campbell</i>	46	157	29%	091	<i>Highland</i>	0	1	0%
033	<i>Caroline</i>	17	103	17%	093	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	18	43	42%
035	<i>Carroll</i>	22	44	50%	095	<i>James City</i>	17	74	23%
036	<i>Charles City</i>	0	12	0%	097	<i>King and Queen</i>	3	13	23%
037	<i>Charlotte</i>	15	45	33%	099	<i>King George</i>	4	23	17%
041	<i>Chesterfield/Col Hgts</i>	164	477	34%	101	<i>King William</i>	7	27	26%
043	<i>Clarke</i>	3	10	30%	103	<i>Lancaster</i>	5	20	25%
045	<i>Craig</i>	2	7	29%	105	<i>Lee</i>	45	110	41%
047	<i>Culpeper</i>	24	67	36%	107	<i>Loudoun</i>	25	113	22%
049	<i>Cumberland</i>	8	30	27%	109	<i>Louisa</i>	21	41	51%
051	<i>Dickensen</i>	8	30	27%	111	<i>Lunenburg</i>	9	42	21%
053	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	14	43	33%	113	<i>Madison</i>	4	9	44%
057	<i>Essex</i>	11	34	32%	115	<i>Mathews</i>	2	13	15%
059	<i>Fairfax/Falls Church</i>	205	562	36%	117	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	18	58	31%

# Estimated TANF Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	5	15	33%	187	Warren	29	72	40%
121	Montgomery	57	144	40%	191	Washington	28	71	39%
125	Nelson	1	13	8%	193	Westmoreland	17	44	39%
127	New Kent	3	37	8%	195	Wise	47	165	28%
131	Northampton	12	56	21%	197	Wythe	28	61	46%
133	Northumberland	7	9	78%	199	York/Poquoson	26	77	34%
135	Nottoway	19	73	26%	510	Alexandria	77	194	40%
137	Orange	16	47	34%	520	Bristol	73	141	52%
139	Page	9	26	35%	540	Charlottesville	76	177	43%
141	Patrick	20	51	39%	550	Chesapeake	269	606	44%
143	Pittsylvania	26	80	33%	590	Danville	82	189	43%
145	Powhatan	4	18	22%	620	Franklin City	16	46	35%
147	Prince Edward	24	64	38%	630	Fredericksburg	34	88	39%
149	Prince George	15	39	38%	640	Galax	6	34	18%
153	Prince William	203	534	38%	650	Hampton	327	735	44%
155	Pulaski	27	58	47%	670	Hopewell	26	175	15%
157	Rappahannock	0	3	0%	680	Lynchburg	90	265	34%
159	Richmond County	1	12	8%	683	Manassas	16	76	21%
161	Roanoke County	30	108	28%	685	Manassas Park	2	14	14%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	15	43	35%	700	Newport News	354	924	38%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	56	133	42%	710	Norfolk	611	1,289	47%
167	Russell	16	82	20%	720	Norton	4	17	24%
169	Scott	30	79	38%	730	Petersburg	129	274	47%
171	Shenandoah	6	23	26%	740	Portsmouth	195	542	36%
173	Smyth	37	95	39%	750	Radford	13	40	33%
175	Southampton	12	60	20%	760	Richmond City	500	1,443	35%
177	Spotsylvania	79	229	34%	770	Roanoke City	124	522	24%
179	Stafford	40	146	27%	800	Suffolk	71	142	50%
181	Surry	5	21	24%	810	Virginia Beach	209	446	47%
183	Sussex	8	38	21%	830	Williamsburg	11	26	42%
185	Tazewell	40	101	40%	840	Winchester	21	58	36%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are for the TANF all family rate not including VTP.

## *Estimated VTP only Work Participation Rates for January*

*These are estimated locality January 2012 work participation rates that include only clients receiving VTP. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated January statewide rate is 91% with a numerator of 2,039 and a denominator of 2,230. TANF only estimates are on pages iii and iv.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	1	1	100%	061	Fauquier	7	7	100%
003	Albemarle	15	17	88%	063	Floyd	3	3	100%
005	Alleghany/Covington	8	9	89%	065	Fluvanna	2	3	67%
007	Amelia	0	1	0%	067	Franklin County	5	6	83%
009	Amherst	6	6	100%	069	Frederick	8	9	89%
011	Appomattox	5	5	100%	071	Giles	2	2	100%
013	Arlington	17	17	100%	073	Gloucester	8	8	100%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	48	49	98%	075	Goochland	6	6	100%
017	Bath	1	1	100%	077	Grayson	4	4	100%
019	Bedford County/City	8	8	100%	079	Greene	3	5	60%
021	Bland	1	1	100%	081	Greensville/Emporia	12	12	100%
023	Botetourt	1	1	100%	083	Halifax	9	9	100%
025	Brunswick	1	3	33%	085	Hanover	13	15	87%
027	Buchanan	7	7	100%	087	Henrico	73	93	78%
029	Buckingham	5	6	83%	089	Henry/Martinsville	25	29	86%
031	Campbell	28	28	100%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	1	10	10%	093	Isle of Wight	6	6	100%
035	Carroll	12	12	100%	095	James City	6	9	67%
036	Charles City	3	3	100%	097	King and Queen	1	2	50%
037	Charlotte	6	6	100%	099	King George	1	1	100%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	50	58	86%	101	King William	0	0	N/A
043	Clarke	0	1	0%	103	Lancaster	1	3	33%
045	Craig	0	2	0%	105	Lee	19	19	100%
047	Culpeper	13	16	81%	107	Loudoun	36	36	100%
049	Cumberland	1	1	100%	109	Louisa	9	9	100%
051	Dickensen	7	7	100%	111	Lunenburg	3	3	100%
053	Dinwiddie	3	3	100%	113	Madison	1	1	100%
057	Essex	5	7	71%	115	Mathews	1	2	50%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	128	134	96%	117	Mecklenburg	8	8	100%

# Estimated VTP Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	1	1	100%	187	Warren	9	12	75%
121	Montgomery	36	36	100%	191	Washington	7	7	100%
125	Nelson	4	4	100%	193	Westmoreland	1	1	100%
127	New Kent	0	1	0%	195	Wise	28	28	100%
131	Northampton	2	2	100%	197	Wythe	8	8	100%
133	Northumberland	4	4	100%	199	York/Poquoson	8	8	100%
135	Nottoway	11	11	100%	510	Alexandria	31	32	97%
137	Orange	1	4	25%	520	Bristol	8	10	80%
139	Page	5	5	100%	540	Charlottesville	23	23	100%
141	Patrick	9	9	100%	550	Chesapeake	68	72	94%
143	Pittsylvania	3	3	100%	590	Danville	21	21	100%
145	Powhatan	2	4	50%	620	Franklin City	4	5	80%
147	Prince Edward	9	9	100%	630	Fredericksburg	23	25	92%
149	Prince George	5	6	83%	640	Galax	3	3	100%
153	Prince William	143	147	97%	650	Hampton	75	92	82%
155	Pulaski	9	10	90%	670	Hopewell	16	17	94%
157	Rappahannock	0	0	N/A	680	Lynchburg	44	49	90%
159	Richmond County	0	0	N/A	683	Manassas	16	16	100%
161	Roanoke County	35	35	100%	685	Manassas Park	3	4	75%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	2	2	100%	700	Newport News	102	116	88%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	30	33	91%	710	Norfolk	92	101	91%
167	Russell	5	5	100%	720	Norton	3	3	100%
169	Scott	6	10	60%	730	Petersburg	35	40	88%
171	Shenandoah	1	2	50%	740	Portsmouth	65	68	96%
173	Smyth	10	10	100%	750	Radford	5	5	100%
175	Southampton	3	3	100%	760	Richmond City	114	134	85%
177	Spotsylvania	23	26	88%	770	Roanoke City	62	65	95%
179	Stafford	23	23	100%	800	Suffolk	17	17	100%
181	Surry	3	3	100%	810	Virginia Beach	91	91	100%
183	Sussex	0	0	N/A	830	Williamsburg	1	1	100%
185	Tazewell	15	15	100%	840	Winchester	3	3	100%

All VTP recipients are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” Thus, all VTP cases are in the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, job follow-up entries are reviewed to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. The basic requirement for VTP recipients is employment for at least 30 hours per week. The requirement is contained in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are also sometimes referred to as the rates for the maintenance of effort program caseload. .

## TANF

TANF Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	Jan-12	Jan-11	Change from Jan-11	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received <sup>1</sup>	4,550	4,714	-3%	4,807	-5.3%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	2,356	2,290	3%	1,658	42%
Applications Approved	1,800	1,792	0.4%	2,820	-36%
Cases Closed *	2,459	2,594	-5%	4,476	-45%
Cases at End of Month	32,420	33,984	-5%	67,995	-52%
Cases Paid During Month <sup>2</sup>	33,357	34,992	-5%	70,403	-53%

•The database indicates that of the 2,459 closed cases,943 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 541 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 221 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 151 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

## TANF-UP

TANF-UP Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	Jan-12	Jan-11	Change from Jan-11	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received <sup>1</sup>	484	588	-18%	338	43%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	249	317	-21%	232	7%
Applications Approved	200	255	-22%	81	147%
Cases Closed *	235	278	-15%	107	120%
Cases at End of Month	1,867	2,241	-17%	321	482%
Cases Paid During Month <sup>2</sup>	1,972	2,368	-17%	394	401%

•The database indicates that of the 235 closed cases, 123 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 82 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 25 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 20 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

## Statewide - Status through January 31, 2012

### TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/12 and Work Activity as of 1/31/12

Current Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	34,287	1,704	1,091	2,630	965	567	3,822	359	648	1,309	1,510	1,066	5,673	1,161	401	3,552	1,199	6,324	306
Total Enrolled in VIEW	13,968	573	491	952	354	275	1,478	156	299	611	463	523	2,311	639	138	1,493	571	2,527	114
Part. in a Work Activity	10,430	466	381	675	273	187	1,181	113	253	430	352	378	1,682	415	105	1,147	364	1,968	60
% of Enrolled	75%	81%	78%	71%	77%	68%	80%	72%	85%	70%	76%	72%	73%	65%	76%	77%	64%	78%	53%
Part. Assigned to Inactive	142	0	2	8	1	10	11	8	0	1	2	14	29	8	2	16	7	23	0
Participants Employed	6,736	333	210	494	241	120	717	81	161	277	208	226	995	286	70	739	263	1,271	44
% of Enrolled	48%	58%	43%	52%	68%	44%	49%	52%	54%	45%	45%	43%	43%	45%	51%	49%	46%	50%	39%
Full Time	5,261	299	188	421	208	89	524	74	104	185	172	162	715	191	56	567	214	1,067	25
Part Time	1,475	34	22	73	33	31	193	7	57	92	36	64	280	95	14	172	49	204	19
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.20	\$7.69	\$7.77	\$7.95	\$7.97	\$8.03	\$9.39	\$8.28	\$8.52	\$7.95	\$7.77	\$7.76	\$8.33	\$8.63	\$8.29	\$8.16	\$7.84	\$7.91	\$8.41
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,081	\$1,062	\$1,098	\$1,086	\$1,100	\$1,030	\$1,228	\$1,136	\$1,032	\$1,012	\$1,069	\$973	\$1,080	\$1,111	\$1,070	\$1,069	\$1,076	\$1,041	\$1,029

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – January 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	19,047	876	724	1,722	623	411	2,016	221	412	756	818	622	2,585	740	233	2,090	547	3,470	181
Total Enrolled in VIEW	27,609	1,226	986	2,188	759	545	3,104	304	602	1,202	1,043	932	4,271	1,131	325	3,026	955	4,797	213
Part. in a Work Activity	24,381	1,124	898	1,845	695	471	2,816	264	566	1,060	947	836	3,725	925	297	2,667	784	4,269	192
% of Total Enrolled	88%	92%	91%	84%	92%	86%	91%	87%	94%	88%	91%	90%	87%	82%	91%	88%	82%	89%	90%
Participants Employed	13,827	678	481	1,095	475	267	1,679	159	344	615	442	432	1,939	518	165	1,503	454	2,495	86
% of Total Enrolled	50%	55%	49%	50%	63%	49%	54%	52%	57%	51%	42%	46%	45%	46%	51%	50%	48%	52%	40%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.38	\$7.74	\$7.84	\$8.13	\$8.17	\$8.30	\$9.89	\$8.57	\$8.70	\$7.98	\$7.85	\$7.92	\$8.41	\$8.78	\$8.10	\$8.21	\$8.07	\$8.05	\$8.43
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,082	\$1,044	\$1,070	\$1,104	\$1,097	\$1,084	\$1,270	\$1,140	\$1,028	\$1,003	\$1,027	\$983	\$1,077	\$1,104	\$1,027	\$1,045	\$1,080	\$1,034	\$1,000
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	72%	69%	61%	66%	74%	66%	73%	73%	70%	69%	63%	71%	77%	78%	65%	74%	73%	74%	70%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	268,066	16,711	10,142	19,993	7,832	5,161	33,323	3,793	5,793	10,102	12,464	7,724	35,763	8,256	3,507	25,456	9,020	50,590	2,436
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>5</sup>	205,353	12,833	8,523	15,294	5,977	3,827	25,688	2,862	4,465	8,370	9,975	5,955	27,934	6,055	2,594	19,104	7,062	37,045	1,790
Part. in a Work Activity	196,425	12,338	8,156	14,561	5,831	3,617	24,819	2,755	4,359	7,917	9,658	5,689	26,844	5,716	2,434	18,200	6,736	35,065	1,730
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	96%	95%	98%	95%	97%	96%	98%	95%	97%	96%	96%	94%	94%	95%	95%	95%	97%
Participants Employed	138,989	8,077	5,500	10,385	4,176	2,496	18,134	1,926	3,337	5,714	6,145	3,604	18,856	3,984	1,631	13,296	4,814	25,909	1,005
% of Total Enrolled	68%	63%	65%	68%	70%	65%	71%	67%	75%	68%	62%	61%	68%	66%	63%	70%	68%	70%	56%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.14	\$6.20	\$6.31	\$7.07	\$7.13	\$7.33	\$8.65	\$7.44	\$7.38	\$6.58	\$6.37	\$6.74	\$7.34	\$7.90	\$6.98	\$7.00	\$6.98	\$6.84	\$6.70
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$943	\$818	\$855	\$951	\$948	\$981	\$1,148	\$981	\$944	\$854	\$850	\$874	\$983	\$1,019	\$891	\$916	\$941	\$895	\$842
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	67%	68%	62%	61%	67%	63%	71%	65%	63%	65%	63%	66%	70%	66%	57%	66%	66%	68%	72%

## EDDI - Southwest Region: Status through January 31, 2012

### TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/12 and Work Activity as of 1/31/12

Current Data	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,704	147	89	297	225	187	258	453	48
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	573	22	25	128	87	74	85	139	13
Participants in a Work Activity	466	20	21	111	80	56	76	93	9
% of Enrolled	81%	91%	84%	87%	92%	76%	89%	67%	69%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	333	9	19	79	39	44	58	76	9
% of Enrolled	58%	41%	76%	62%	45%	59%	68%	55%	69%
Full Time	299	7	19	70	33	41	52	68	9
Part Time	34	2	0	9	6	3	6	8	0
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.69	\$7.73	\$7.86	\$7.59	\$7.95	\$7.45	\$7.87	\$7.61	\$7.63
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,062	\$987	\$1,149	\$1,024	\$1,030	\$1,058	\$1,104	\$1,070	\$1,121

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – January 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	876	35	42	143	121	99	176	248	12
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,226	39	76	238	168	167	207	304	27
Participants in a Work Activity	1,124	36	68	236	155	148	205	255	21
% of Total Enrolled	92%	92%	89%	99%	92%	89%	99%	84%	78%
Participants Employed	678	19	44	146	79	87	128	158	17
% of Total Enrolled	55%	49%	58%	61%	47%	52%	62%	52%	63%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.74	\$7.78	\$8.05	\$7.60	\$7.75	\$7.54	\$7.91	\$7.81	\$7.16
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,044	\$1,050	\$1,143	\$988	\$948	\$1,007	\$1,095	\$1,105	\$958
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	69%	67%	59%	73%	73%	80%	63%	67%	50%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	16,711	1,630	1,175	2,347	2,298	1,640	3,197	3,894	530
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	12,833	1,240	911	1,789	1,844	1,192	2,443	2,998	416
Participants in a Work Activity	12,338	1,161	859	1,777	1,753	1,168	2,357	2,879	384
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	94%	99%	95%	98%	96%	96%	92%
Participants Employed	8,077	657	549	1,172	1,135	695	1,656	1,900	313
% of Total Enrolled	63%	53%	60%	66%	62%	58%	68%	63%	75%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.20	\$6.38	\$6.27	\$5.95	\$6.40	\$6.49	\$6.12	\$6.20	\$6.00
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$818	\$834	\$829	\$785	\$850	\$880	\$807	\$813	\$740
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	68%	63%	55%	72%	67%	77%	66%	67%	72%

## EDD2 – Bristol-Galax Region: Status through January 31, 2012

### TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/12 and Work Activity as of 1/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,091	19	120	53	197	207	132	294	69
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	491	3	58	20	91	62	50	173	34
Participants in a Work Activity	381	3	51	16	77	40	33	143	18
% of Enrolled	78%	100%	88%	80%	85%	65%	66%	83%	53%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
Participants Employed	210	1	28	10	39	32	31	56	13
% of Enrolled	43%	33%	48%	50%	43%	52%	62%	32%	38%
Full Time	188	1	23	7	35	31	29	54	8
Part Time	22	0	5	3	4	1	2	2	5
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.77	\$9.25	\$8.08	\$7.91	\$7.75	\$7.79	\$7.93	\$7.56	\$7.48
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,098	\$1,602	\$1,102	\$1,095	\$1,077	\$1,089	\$1,147	\$1,112	\$965

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – January 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	724	12	77	25	142	115	83	220	50
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	986	10	115	37	197	138	107	306	76
Participants in a Work Activity	898	9	107	34	186	125	98	277	62
% of Total Enrolled	91%	90%	93%	92%	94%	91%	92%	91%	82%
Participants Employed	481	5	65	24	83	74	65	127	38
% of Total Enrolled	49%	50%	57%	65%	42%	54%	61%	42%	50%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.84	\$9.05	\$7.80	\$8.11	\$7.85	\$7.91	\$7.94	\$7.64	\$7.91
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,070	\$1,488	\$1,025	\$1,117	\$1,111	\$1,068	\$1,059	\$1,041	\$1,101
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	61%	40%	53%	58%	68%	60%	63%	61%	67%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	10,142	253	1,367	646	1,757	1,745	1,533	2,129	712
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	8,523	192	1,130	524	1,478	1,455	1,221	1,899	624
Participants in a Work Activity	8,156	181	1,039	486	1,424	1,415	1,196	1,824	591
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	92%	93%	96%	97%	98%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	5,500	116	753	350	857	934	814	1,283	393
% of Total Enrolled	65%	60%	67%	67%	58%	64%	67%	68%	63%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.31	\$6.20	\$6.12	\$6.36	\$6.10	\$6.56	\$6.32	\$6.36	\$6.29
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$855	\$849	\$823	\$849	\$836	\$889	\$837	\$867	\$887
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	62%	57%	54%	61%	64%	58%	67%	62%	70%

## EDD3 – Roanoke Region: Status through January 31, 2012

### TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/12 and Work Activity as of 1/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	2,630	85	46	10	61	260	60	340	201	288	71	1,208
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	952	23	12	4	16	121	19	132	60	109	31	425
Participants in a Work Activity	675	23	6	3	11	96	14	105	54	88	27	248
% of Enrolled	71%	100%	50%	75%	69%	79%	74%	80%	90%	81%	87%	58%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	8	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	0	2	0	1
Participants Employed	494	14	6	2	8	38	8	93	39	64	22	200
% of Enrolled	52%	61%	50%	50%	50%	31%	42%	70%	65%	59%	71%	47%
Full Time	421	8	5	2	6	32	6	83	34	52	18	175
Part Time	73	6	1	0	2	6	2	10	5	12	4	25
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.95	\$8.13	\$8.03	\$7.25	\$8.03	\$7.74	\$7.51	\$7.91	\$7.72	\$8.14	\$7.64	\$8.03
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,086	\$966	\$1,144	\$1,099	\$949	\$1,086	\$1,017	\$1,086	\$1,094	\$1,017	\$1,010	\$1,130

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – January 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	1,722	43	17	9	36	161	35	198	122	142	57	902
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,188	74	30	12	38	220	37	317	147	248	72	993
Participants in a Work Activity	1,845	67	16	10	29	187	34	290	131	217	59	805
% of Total Enrolled	84%	91%	53%	83%	76%	85%	92%	91%	89%	88%	82%	81%
Participants Employed	1,095	40	11	5	13	82	16	213	74	164	40	437
% of Total Enrolled	50%	54%	37%	42%	34%	37%	43%	67%	50%	66%	56%	44%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.13	\$8.15	\$8.18	\$7.50	\$8.30	\$8.46	\$7.64	\$7.95	\$7.70	\$8.43	\$8.12	\$8.12
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,104	\$1,119	\$1,124	\$1,134	\$1,069	\$1,122	\$972	\$1,065	\$1,056	\$1,136	\$1,099	\$1,120
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	66%	59%	63%	100%	58%	56%	50%	67%	60%	69%	75%	68%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	19,993	1,125	334	85	506	1,756	506	2,851	1,740	2,173	782	8,135
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	15,294	851	210	44	364	1,336	364	2,325	1,375	1,746	610	6,069
Participants in a Work Activity	14,561	819	184	43	349	1,196	355	2,292	1,323	1,648	587	5,765
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	88%	98%	96%	90%	98%	99%	96%	94%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	10,385	606	93	23	239	827	213	1,830	879	1,295	427	3,953
% of Total Enrolled	68%	71%	44%	52%	66%	62%	59%	79%	64%	74%	70%	65%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.07	\$6.85	\$7.31	\$7.13	\$6.93	\$6.97	\$7.03	\$6.92	\$6.76	\$7.57	\$6.99	\$7.15
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$951	\$835	\$936	\$1,038	\$923	\$947	\$950	\$931	\$935	\$1,036	\$905	\$966
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	61%	59%	56%	64%	57%	58%	54%	65%	54%	59%	61%	62%

## EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: Status through January 31, 2012

### TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/12 and Work Activity as of 1/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	965	554	10	2	80	319
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	354	201	3	1	26	123
Participants in a Work Activity	273	152	2	1	17	101
% of Enrolled	77%	76%	67%	100%	65%	82%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	0	0	1
Participants Employed	241	136	2	1	15	87
% of Enrolled	68%	68%	67%	100%	58%	71%
Full Time	208	112	2	1	13	80
Part Time	33	24	0	0	2	7
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.97	\$8.00	\$8.63	\$8.00	\$7.65	\$7.96
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,100	\$1,086	\$1,247	\$1,386	\$961	\$1,140

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – January 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	623	371	4	0	41	207
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	759	470	4	1	54	230
Participants in a Work Activity	695	435	3	1	39	217
% of Total Enrolled	92%	93%	75%	100%	72%	94%
Participants Employed	475	281	3	1	28	162
% of Total Enrolled	63%	60%	75%	100%	52%	70%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.17	\$8.16	\$8.17	\$8.00	\$7.72	\$8.27
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,097	\$1,086	\$930	\$1,376	\$884	\$1,150
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	74%	76%	100%	100%	69%	69%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	7,832	4,207	79	18	696	2,832
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	5,977	3,274	47	8	512	2,136
Participants in a Work Activity	5,831	3,217	47	8	478	2,081
% of Total Enrolled	98%	98%	100%	100%	93%	97%
Participants Employed	4,176	2,200	31	6	370	1,569
% of Total Enrolled	70%	67%	66%	75%	72%	73%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.13	\$7.13	\$6.54	\$7.79	\$6.71	\$7.24
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$948	\$954	\$709	\$784	\$861	\$964
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	67%	67%	62%	83%	67%	68%

## EDDS 5 & 18 – Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: Status through January 31, 2012

### TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/12 and Work Activity as of 1/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	567	22	168	71	41	136	129	306	197	109
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	275	5	86	39	18	75	52	114	56	58
Participants in a Work Activity	187	3	56	27	12	53	36	60	38	22
% of Enrolled	68%	60%	65%	69%	67%	71%	69%	53%	68%	38%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	10	1	2	3	1	0	3	0	0	0
Participants Employed	120	3	38	18	8	30	23	44	25	19
% of Enrolled	44%	60%	44%	46%	44%	40%	44%	39%	45%	33%
Full Time	89	2	26	14	7	22	18	25	15	10
Part Time	31	1	12	4	1	8	5	19	10	9
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.03	\$7.75	\$7.99	\$7.61	\$7.88	\$8.56	\$7.84	\$8.41	\$8.72	\$8.00
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,030	\$912	\$1,011	\$1,000	\$1,069	\$1,083	\$1,014	\$1,029	\$1,070	\$974

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – January 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	411	14	123	57	39	105	73	181	112	69
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	545	15	154	81	39	136	120	213	114	99
Participants in a Work Activity	471	13	127	77	38	109	107	192	98	94
% of Total Enrolled	86%	87%	82%	95%	97%	80%	89%	90%	86%	95%
Participants Employed	267	6	74	47	16	62	62	86	37	49
% of Total Enrolled	49%	40%	48%	58%	41%	46%	52%	40%	32%	49%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.30	\$8.79	\$8.27	\$7.88	\$8.25	\$8.84	\$8.09	\$8.43	\$8.42	\$8.43
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,084	\$1,106	\$1,034	\$1,044	\$1,137	\$1,192	\$1,054	\$1,000	\$990	\$1,008
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	66%	50%	76%	59%	63%	63%	67%	70%	69%	71%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	5,161	202	1100	794	630	1243	1192	2,436	1539	897
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	3,827	121	809	645	382	934	936	1,790	1102	688
Participants in a Work Activity	3,617	115	755	627	371	861	888	1730	1077	653
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	93%	97%	97%	92%	95%	97%	98%	95%
Participants Employed	2,496	72	466	449	233	635	641	1,005	566	439
% of Total Enrolled	65%	60%	58%	70%	61%	68%	68%	56%	51%	64%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.33	\$7.42	\$7.67	\$7.30	\$6.71	\$7.43	\$7.24	\$6.70	\$6.75	\$6.65
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$981	\$978	\$997	\$986	\$921	\$1,006	\$961	\$842	\$845	\$839
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	63%	62%	62%	60%	70%	69%	59%	72%	65%	79%

## EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: Status through January 31, 2012

### TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/12 and Work Activity as of 1/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	3,822	267	1,477	214	1,187	449	190	38
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,478	82	481	141	530	175	64	5
Participants in a Work Activity	1,181	69	427	85	396	146	54	4
% of Enrolled	80%	84%	89%	60%	75%	83%	84%	80%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	11	0	8	1	1	1	0	0
Participants Employed	717	38	236	63	270	84	22	4
% of Enrolled	49%	46%	49%	45%	51%	48%	34%	80%
Full Time	524	18	167	40	215	64	16	4
Part Time	193	20	69	23	55	20	6	0
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$9.39	\$10.75	\$9.27	\$10.79	\$8.83	\$9.84	\$9.62	\$8.02
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,228	\$1,211	\$1,176	\$1,590	\$1,173	\$1,269	\$1,337	\$1,089

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – January 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	2,016	120	694	151	686	251	93	21
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	3,104	197	1,062	257	1,109	328	136	15
Participants in a Work Activity	2,816	186	987	221	981	300	126	15
% of Total Enrolled	91%	94%	93%	86%	88%	91%	93%	100%
Participants Employed	1,679	98	596	154	607	159	54	11
% of Total Enrolled	54%	50%	56%	60%	55%	48%	40%	73%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$9.89	\$11.07	\$9.94	\$10.93	\$9.31	\$10.48	\$9.69	\$9.19
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,270	\$1,240	\$1,294	\$1,423	\$1,195	\$1,352	\$1,266	\$1,277
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	73%	71%	72%	72%	72%	84%	63%	86%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	33,323	2,836	11,735	2,352	10,705	3,828	1,443	424
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	25,688	2,217	8,840	1,863	8,319	3,032	1,112	305
Participants in a Work Activity	24,819	2,195	8,539	1,787	8,016	2,904	1,075	303
% of Total Enrolled	97%	99%	97%	96%	96%	96%	97%	99%
Participants Employed	18,134	1,602	6,003	1,448	6,021	2,127	710	223
% of Total Enrolled	71%	72%	68%	78%	72%	70%	64%	73%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.65	\$8.26	\$8.66	\$9.22	\$8.75	\$8.36	\$8.16	\$8.14
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,148	\$1,064	\$1,144	\$1,193	\$1,190	\$1,072	\$1,097	\$1,082
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	71%	70%	69%	71%	71%	77%	71%	78%

## EDD7 - Culpeper Region: Status through January 31, 2012

### TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/12 and Work Activity as of 1/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	359	148	96	25	83	7
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	156	62	44	9	38	3
Participants in a Work Activity	113	44	33	7	29	0
% of Enrolled	72%	71%	75%	78%	76%	0%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	8	2	5	0	1	0
Participants Employed	81	31	21	5	24	0
% of Enrolled	52%	50%	48%	56%	63%	0%
Full Time	74	27	20	5	22	N/A
Part Time	7	4	1	0	2	N/A
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.28	\$8.27	\$8.51	\$7.95	\$8.16	N/A
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,136	\$1,098	\$1,165	\$1,245	\$1,137	N/A

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – January 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	221	88	80	13	37	3
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	304	135	90	18	58	3
Participants in a Work Activity	264	110	86	18	49	1
% of Total Enrolled	87%	81%	96%	100%	84%	33%
Participants Employed	159	65	45	9	40	0
% of Total Enrolled	52%	48%	50%	50%	69%	0%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.57	\$8.43	\$9.11	\$7.75	\$8.38	N/A
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,140	\$1,093	\$1,253	\$1,172	\$1,079	N/A
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	73%	74%	71%	63%	80%	N/A

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	3,793	1,455	1,128	348	768	94
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	2,862	1,053	864	258	625	62
Participants in a Work Activity	2,755	1,010	854	251	581	59
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	99%	97%	93%	95%
Participants Employed	1,926	683	587	163	454	39
% of Total Enrolled	67%	65%	68%	63%	73%	63%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.44	\$7.39	\$7.79	\$7.13	\$7.19	\$7.26
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$981	\$960	\$1,020	\$994	\$962	\$954
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	65%	67%	70%	61%	59%	45%

## EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: Status through January 31, 2012

### TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/12 and Work Activity as of 1/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	Cville
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	648	126	55	44	92	39	292
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	299	36	26	15	38	11	173
Participants in a Work Activity	253	35	11	6	33	10	158
% of Enrolled	85%	97%	42%	40%	87%	91%	91%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	161	28	5	5	23	6	94
% of Enrolled	54%	78%	19%	33%	61%	55%	54%
Full Time	104	22	4	2	18	4	54
Part Time	57	6	1	3	5	2	40
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.52	\$8.37	\$8.70	\$8.63	\$9.01	\$9.89	\$8.34
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,032	\$1,136	\$1,015	\$883	\$1,295	\$1,231	\$934

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – January 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	Cville
Individuals Referred	412	83	33	26	68	26	176
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	602	101	41	42	89	30	299
Participants in a Work Activity	566	99	38	35	80	23	291
% of Total Enrolled	94%	98%	93%	83%	90%	77%	97%
Participants Employed	344	65	13	22	50	14	180
% of Total Enrolled	57%	64%	32%	52%	56%	47%	60%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.70	\$8.61	\$9.08	\$8.50	\$9.09	\$9.21	\$8.56
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,028	\$1,125	\$1,030	\$965	\$1,184	\$1,268	\$929
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	70%	73%	60%	64%	81%	85%	66%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	Cville
Individuals Referred	5,793	1347	242	449	907	291	2,557
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	4,465	970	168	322	666	205	2,134
Participants in a Work Activity	4,359	948	163	304	641	201	2,102
% of Total Enrolled	98%	98%	97%	94%	96%	98%	99%
Participants Employed	3,337	709	108	245	413	128	1,734
% of Total Enrolled	75%	73%	64%	76%	62%	62%	81%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.38	\$7.77	\$7.58	\$7.33	\$7.59	\$7.73	\$7.24
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$944	\$1,004	\$1,051	\$914	\$984	\$1,017	\$919
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	63%	57%	60%	57%	63%	62%	65%

## EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: Status through January 31, 2012

### TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/12 and Work Activity as of 1/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,309	99	90	203	305	612
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	611	32	45	87	202	245
Participants in a Work Activity	430	23	43	66	138	160
% of Enrolled	70%	72%	96%	76%	68%	65%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	1	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	277	21	30	33	79	114
% of Enrolled	45%	66%	67%	38%	39%	47%
Full Time	185	15	30	25	46	69
Part Time	92	6	0	8	33	45
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.95	\$7.93	\$7.82	\$8.06	\$8.22	\$7.77
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,012	\$1,024	\$1,134	\$1,013	\$1,052	\$950

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – January 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	756	53	52	125	153	373
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,202	70	83	190	334	525
Participants in a Work Activity	1,060	63	79	162	300	456
% of Total Enrolled	88%	90%	95%	85%	90%	87%
Participants Employed	615	41	49	91	167	267
% of Total Enrolled	51%	59%	59%	48%	50%	51%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.98	\$8.04	\$7.74	\$8.16	\$8.13	\$7.86
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,003	\$1,012	\$1,124	\$1,017	\$1,033	\$955
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	69%	61%	81%	64%	69%	69%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	10,102	939	789	2,038	2,350	3,986
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	8,370	755	691	1,628	2,015	3,281
Participants in a Work Activity	7,917	721	680	1,518	1,900	3,098
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	98%	93%	94%	94%
Participants Employed	5,714	507	486	1,056	1,374	2,291
% of Total Enrolled	68%	67%	70%	65%	68%	70%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.58	\$6.77	\$6.26	\$6.65	\$6.79	\$6.47
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$854	\$862	\$866	\$854	\$894	\$827
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	65%	60%	56%	61%	64%	69%

## EDD10 - Danville Region: Status through January 31, 2012

### TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/12 and Work Activity as of 1/31/12

<b>Current Data</b>	<b>Total EDD10</b>	<b>Halifax</b>	<b>Henry/ Martinsville</b>	<b>Patrick</b>	<b>Pittsylvania</b>	<b>Danville</b>
<b>TANF Cases End of Month<sup>10</sup></b>	1,510	207	495	111	224	473
<b>Total Enrolled in VIEW<sup>3</sup></b>	463	50	169	74	47	123
<b>Participants in a Work Activity</b>	352	26	135	53	31	107
<b>% of Enrolled</b>	76%	52%	80%	72%	66%	87%
<b>Participants Assigned to Inactive</b>	2	0	0	1	1	0
<b>Participants Employed</b>	208	24	71	40	25	48
<b>% of Enrolled</b>	45%	48%	42%	54%	53%	39%
Full Time	172	21	50	35	24	42
Part Time	36	3	21	5	1	6
<b>Average Wage<sup>6</sup></b>	\$7.77	\$7.69	\$7.78	\$7.66	\$7.88	\$7.82
<b>Average Monthly Earnings<sup>7</sup></b>	\$1,069	\$1,089	\$1,021	\$1,108	\$1,114	\$1,075

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – January 31, 2012, Year to Date

<b>State Fiscal Year to Date</b>	<b>Total EDD10</b>	<b>Halifax</b>	<b>Henry/ Martinsville</b>	<b>Patrick</b>	<b>Pittsylvania</b>	<b>Danville</b>
<b>Individuals Referred</b>	818	107	249	83	117	262
<b>Total Enrolled in VIEW<sup>3</sup></b>	1,043	139	337	129	140	298
<b>Participants in a Work Activity</b>	947	122	308	115	123	279
<b>% of Total Enrolled</b>	91%	88%	91%	89%	88%	94%
<b>Participants Employed</b>	442	54	155	70	58	105
<b>% of Total Enrolled</b>	42%	39%	46%	54%	41%	35%
<b>Average Wage<sup>6</sup></b>	\$7.85	\$7.93	\$7.84	\$7.55	\$8.08	\$7.89
<b>Average Monthly Earnings<sup>7</sup></b>	\$1,027	\$1,044	\$1,016	\$998	\$1,077	\$1,028
<b>Three Months Employment<sup>8</sup></b>	63%	60%	59%	82%	60%	59%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/96

<b>Cumulative Data</b>	<b>Total EDD10</b>	<b>Halifax</b>	<b>Henry/ Martinsville</b>	<b>Patrick</b>	<b>Pittsylvania</b>	<b>Danville</b>
<b>Individuals Referred</b>	12,464	1,940	3,348	1,222	2,027	3,927
<b>Total Enrolled in VIEW<sup>3,5</sup></b>	9,975	1,607	2,541	1,059	1,551	3,217
<b>Participants in a Work Activity</b>	9,658	1,552	2,442	997	1,526	3,141
<b>% of Total Enrolled</b>	97%	97%	96%	94%	98%	98%
<b>Participants Employed</b>	6,145	911	1,604	713	845	2,072
<b>% of Total Enrolled</b>	62%	57%	63%	67%	54%	64%
<b>Average Wage<sup>6</sup></b>	\$6.37	\$6.35	\$6.77	\$6.25	\$6.38	\$6.14
<b>Average Monthly Earnings<sup>7</sup></b>	\$850	\$837	\$935	\$815	\$865	\$800
<b>Three Months Employment<sup>9</sup></b>	63%	58%	64%	75%	61%	62%

## EDD11 - Southside Region: Status through January 31, 2012

### TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/12 and Work Activity as of 1/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,066	80	118	65	88	59	144	73	165	135	139
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	523	42	61	37	36	28	73	38	54	79	75
Participants in a Work Activity	378	27	43	32	33	18	53	24	44	49	55
% of Enrolled	72%	64%	70%	86%	92%	64%	73%	63%	81%	62%	73%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	14	9	0	0	0	4	0	1	0	0	0
Participants Employed	226	17	35	20	14	10	21	15	23	42	29
% of Enrolled	43%	40%	57%	54%	39%	36%	29%	39%	43%	53%	39%
Full Time	162	14	20	18	10	5	12	11	14	33	25
Part Time	64	3	15	2	4	5	9	4	9	9	4
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.76	\$8.08	\$7.27	\$7.56	\$8.59	\$8.00	\$7.50	\$7.71	\$7.94	\$7.80	\$7.83
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$973	\$1,014	\$843	\$959	\$1,114	\$937	\$801	\$1,006	\$999	\$1,014	\$1,085

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – January 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	622	53	72	48	60	21	79	44	79	75	91
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	932	57	104	70	79	48	132	65	119	134	124
Participants in a Work Activity	836	46	98	69	69	39	122	58	113	110	112
% of Total Enrolled	90%	81%	94%	99%	87%	81%	92%	89%	95%	82%	90%
Participants Employed	432	27	41	35	31	23	48	30	64	75	58
% of Total Enrolled	46%	47%	39%	50%	39%	48%	36%	46%	54%	56%	47%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.92	\$7.94	\$7.40	\$7.96	\$8.28	\$8.24	\$7.84	\$7.66	\$7.97	\$8.11	\$7.98
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$983	\$967	\$876	\$1,075	\$970	\$1,005	\$917	\$969	\$931	\$1,057	\$1,063
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	71%	67%	69%	73%	59%	56%	67%	77%	71%	88%	70%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	7,724	498	972	757	665	518	913	345	1116	923	1017
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	5,955	357	771	569	483	376	723	262	882	710	822
Participants in a Work Activity	5,689	334	767	536	445	359	690	258	843	657	800
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	99%	94%	92%	95%	95%	98%	96%	93%	97%
Participants Employed	3,604	214	432	322	248	217	414	127	583	474	573
% of Total Enrolled	61%	60%	56%	57%	51%	58%	57%	48%	66%	67%	70%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.74	\$7.39	\$6.19	\$7.01	\$7.22	\$7.23	\$6.69	\$6.19	\$6.56	\$6.75	\$6.72
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$874	\$959	\$820	\$938	\$927	\$947	\$818	\$765	\$837	\$897	\$879
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	66%	64%	67%	62%	58%	58%	64%	63%	68%	70%	69%

## EDD12 - Richmond Region: Status through January 31, 2012

### TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/12 and Work Activity as of 1/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	5,673	1007	46	145	1,637	54	2,784
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,311	380	23	58	800	14	1,036
Participants in a Work Activity	1,682	284	20	38	543	10	787
% of Enrolled	73%	75%	87%	66%	68%	71%	76%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	29	2	1	1	6	0	19
Participants Employed	995	186	11	19	373	6	400
% of Enrolled	43%	49%	48%	33%	47%	43%	39%
Full Time	715	146	6	14	259	4	286
Part Time	280	40	5	5	114	2	114
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.33	\$8.70	\$8.84	\$8.56	\$8.50	\$7.11	\$7.99
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,080	\$1,163	\$1,078	\$1,166	\$1,089	\$901	\$1,032

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – January 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	2,585	546	19	103	699	23	1,195
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	4,271	800	44	121	1,365	35	1,906
Participants in a Work Activity	3,725	689	41	107	1,173	29	1,686
% of Total Enrolled	87%	86%	93%	88%	86%	83%	88%
Participants Employed	1,939	370	27	55	663	16	808
% of Total Enrolled	45%	46%	61%	45%	49%	46%	42%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.41	\$8.67	\$8.41	\$8.62	\$8.50	\$9.29	\$8.18
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,077	\$1,140	\$992	\$1,118	\$1,072	\$1,050	\$1,054
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	77%	80%	69%	73%	82%	69%	71%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	35,763	7,344	268	1229	8,853	340	17,729
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	27,934	5,576	202	873	7,135	242	13,906
Participants in a Work Activity	26,844	5,378	192	806	6,755	224	13,489
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	95%	92%	95%	93%	97%
Participants Employed	18,856	3,549	137	560	4,751	136	9,723
% of Total Enrolled	68%	64%	68%	64%	67%	56%	70%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.34	\$7.60	\$7.05	\$8.18	\$7.63	\$7.58	\$7.09
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$983	\$1,019	\$898	\$1,049	\$1,018	\$946	\$956
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	70%	70%	66%	67%	67%	63%	72%

## EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: Status through January 31, 2012

### TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/12 and Work Activity as of 1/31/12

<b>Current Data</b>	<b>Total EDD13</b>	<b>Caroline</b>	<b>King George</b>	<b>Spotsylvania</b>	<b>Stafford</b>	<b>Fredericksburg</b>
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,161	206	45	426	302	182
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	639	140	17	210	157	115
Participants in a Work Activity	415	61	12	142	113	87
% of Enrolled	65%	44%	71%	68%	72%	76%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	8	3	1	1	0	3
Participants Employed	286	47	7	104	64	64
% of Enrolled	45%	34%	41%	50%	41%	56%
Full Time	191	31	5	77	37	41
Part Time	95	16	2	27	27	23
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.63	\$8.31	\$8.18	\$8.30	\$9.67	\$8.40
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,111	\$1,029	\$1,095	\$1,075	\$1,331	\$1,013

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – January 31, 2012, Year to Date

<b>State Fiscal Year to Date</b>	<b>Total EDD13</b>	<b>Caroline</b>	<b>King George</b>	<b>Spotsylvania</b>	<b>Stafford</b>	<b>Fredericksburg</b>
Individuals Referred	740	102	37	260	196	145
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,131	184	38	391	292	226
Participants in a Work Activity	925	145	33	303	246	198
% of Total Enrolled	82%	79%	87%	77%	84%	88%
Participants Employed	518	60	15	190	127	126
% of Total Enrolled	46%	33%	39%	49%	43%	56%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.78	\$8.28	\$8.45	\$8.31	\$9.34	\$9.13
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,104	\$1,014	\$1,071	\$1,067	\$1,168	\$1,135
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	78%	88%	35%	83%	83%	70%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

<b>Cumulative Data</b>	<b>Total EDD13</b>	<b>Caroline</b>	<b>King George</b>	<b>Spotsylvania</b>	<b>Stafford</b>	<b>Fredericksburg</b>
Individuals Referred	8,256	1,275	502	2,498	2,368	1,613
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	6,055	894	338	1,938	1,523	1,362
Participants in a Work Activity	5,716	852	320	1,775	1,460	1,309
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	95%	92%	96%	96%
Participants Employed	3,984	537	206	1,319	895	1,027
% of Total Enrolled	66%	60%	61%	68%	59%	75%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.90	\$7.57	\$7.34	\$7.96	\$8.43	\$7.74
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,019	\$958	\$916	\$1,028	\$1,119	\$990
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	66%	57%	59%	68%	68%	66%

## EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: Status through January 31, 2012

### TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/12 and Work Activity as of 1/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	401	81	25	45	50	24	25	30	31	90
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	138	23	9	21	12	10	18	8	8	29
Participants in a Work Activity	105	13	7	21	8	6	15	7	6	22
% of Enrolled	76%	57%	78%	100%	67%	60%	83%	88%	75%	76%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Participants Employed	70	11	1	10	8	5	11	5	4	15
% of Enrolled	51%	48%	11%	48%	67%	50%	61%	63%	50%	52%
Full Time	56	11	1	6	5	5	7	4	3	14
Part Time	14	0	0	4	3	0	4	1	1	1
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.29	\$7.75	\$7.65	\$7.49	\$10.53	\$8.61	\$8.00	\$8.08	\$7.94	\$8.35
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,070	\$1,139	\$1,292	\$894	\$1,186	\$1,307	\$1,000	\$1,128	\$811	\$1,080

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – January 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	233	55	18	27	19	9	31	11	15	48
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	325	78	15	40	28	16	44	18	15	71
Participants in a Work Activity	297	73	15	38	25	15	44	17	12	58
% of Total Enrolled	91%	94%	100%	95%	89%	94%	100%	94%	80%	82%
Participants Employed	165	44	4	20	18	9	22	9	9	30
% of Total Enrolled	51%	56%	27%	50%	64%	56%	50%	50%	60%	42%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.10	\$8.03	\$7.68	\$7.64	\$8.74	\$8.07	\$8.39	\$8.14	\$7.62	\$8.09
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,027	\$1,007	\$897	\$960	\$1,147	\$1,031	\$1,072	\$1,110	\$951	\$1,012
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	65%	73%	50%	56%	75%	71%	65%	75%	43%	56%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	3,507	535	269	315	390	195	402	305	319	777
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	2,594	421	177	255	306	132	295	249	187	572
Participants in a Work Activity	2,434	402	168	244	285	126	273	242	179	515
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	95%	96%	93%	95%	93%	97%	96%	90%
Participants Employed	1,631	288	91	149	202	86	194	144	116	361
% of Total Enrolled	63%	68%	51%	58%	66%	65%	66%	58%	62%	63%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.98	\$7.01	\$6.99	\$7.32	\$6.77	\$6.99	\$7.01	\$6.62	\$6.95	\$7.02
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$891	\$863	\$869	\$959	\$850	\$892	\$905	\$856	\$925	\$915
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	57%	49%	47%	60%	53%	69%	68%	53%	40%	64%

## EDD15 - Peninsula Region: Status through January 31, 2012

### TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/12 and Work Activity as of 1/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	NewKent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	3,552	28	86	138	59	138	1,326	1,741	36
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,493	4	22	59	22	80	547	736	23
Participants in a Work Activity	1,147	1	18	48	9	61	457	540	13
% of Enrolled	77%	25%	82%	81%	41%	76%	84%	73%	57%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	16	1	0	0	0	1	11	2	1
Participants Employed	739	0	13	37	8	36	276	356	13
% of Enrolled	49%	0%	59%	63%	36%	45%	50%	48%	57%
Full Time	567	N/A	12	18	7	16	209	296	9
Part Time	172	N/A	1	19	1	20	67	60	4
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.16	M/A	\$7.97	\$8.70	\$7.37	\$8.30	\$8.05	\$8.20	\$8.10
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,069	M/A	\$1,083	\$910	\$1,128	\$926	\$1,040	\$1,121	\$1,033

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – January 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	2,090	10	71	90	27	83	729	1,054	26
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	3,026	17	77	121	33	150	1,060	1,526	42
Participants in a Work Activity	2,667	14	72	104	27	131	969	1,311	39
% of Total Enrolled	88%	82%	94%	86%	82%	87%	91%	86%	93%
Participants Employed	1,503	10	39	71	15	72	533	741	22
% of Total Enrolled	50%	59%	51%	59%	45%	48%	50%	49%	52%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.21	\$8.11	\$8.01	\$8.56	\$8.12	\$8.37	\$8.14	\$8.22	\$8.13
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,045	\$1,082	\$1,006	\$1,062	\$1,198	\$915	\$1,034	\$1,064	\$978
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	74%	18%	41%	83%	71%	74%	76%	75%	88%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	25,456	162	1041	1061	327	1041	8,875	12,579	370
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	19,104	115	737	835	224	789	6,535	9,597	272
Participants in a Work Activity	18,200	111	687	779	215	759	6,378	9,018	253
% of Total Enrolled	95%	97%	93%	93%	96%	96%	98%	94%	93%
Participants Employed	13,296	64	483	578	132	490	4,554	6,804	191
% of Total Enrolled	70%	56%	66%	69%	59%	62%	70%	71%	70%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.00	\$7.08	\$6.83	\$7.41	\$7.45	\$7.24	\$7.00	\$6.96	\$7.22
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$916	\$930	\$846	\$903	\$1,020	\$895	\$911	\$925	\$906
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	66%	51%	59%	61%	73%	59%	63%	69%	64%

## EDD16 - Petersburg Region: Status through January 31, 2012

### TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/12 and Work Activity as of 1/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,199	135	76	47	86	304	551
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	571	31	32	30	33	208	237
Participants in a Work Activity	364	29	24	17	18	102	174
% of Enrolled	64%	94%	75%	57%	55%	49%	73%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	7	0	0	0	2	5	0
Participants Employed	263	26	17	12	14	68	126
% of Enrolled	46%	84%	53%	40%	42%	33%	53%
Full Time	214	23	12	5	10	52	112
Part Time	49	3	5	7	4	16	14
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.84	\$8.06	\$7.86	\$8.59	\$8.03	\$7.55	\$7.86
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,076	\$1,198	\$1,012	\$956	\$1,115	\$1,008	\$1,104

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – January 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	547	63	53	21	46	131	233
Total Enrolled in VIEW	955	60	70	52	73	278	422
Participants in a Work Activity	784	59	56	45	60	181	383
% of Total Enrolled	82%	98%	80%	87%	82%	65%	91%
Participants Employed	454	36	31	27	29	101	230
% of Total Enrolled	48%	60%	44%	52%	40%	36%	55%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.07	\$7.96	\$8.38	\$8.57	\$7.95	\$7.86	\$8.08
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,080	\$1,174	\$1,066	\$927	\$1,117	\$1,019	\$1,108
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	73%	79%	70%	73%	58%	67%	77%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	9,020	1,158	799	354	716	2,240	3,753
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	7,062	787	601	290	576	1,799	3,009
Participants in a Work Activity	6,736	784	524	275	556	1,710	2,887
% of Total Enrolled	95%	100%	87%	95%	97%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	4,814	521	369	203	377	1,219	2,125
% of Total Enrolled	68%	66%	61%	70%	65%	68%	71%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.98	\$6.89	\$7.45	\$7.21	\$6.95	\$6.83	\$6.99
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$941	\$958	\$989	\$872	\$949	\$917	\$950
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	66%	59%	59%	58%	64%	63%	70%

## EDD17 - Tidewater Region: Status through January 31, 2012

### TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/12 and Work Activity as of 1/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	6,324	126	135	1,062	123	2,298	1,171	393	1,016
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,527	32	50	459	45	1,014	435	117	375
Participants in a Work Activity	1,968	23	30	381	39	757	343	94	301
% of Enrolled	78%	72%	60%	83%	87%	75%	79%	80%	80%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	23	0	1	2	0	3	9	0	8
Participants Employed	1,271	17	20	277	17	497	186	69	188
% of Enrolled	50%	53%	40%	60%	38%	49%	43%	59%	50%
Full Time	1,067	14	7	232	12	439	150	61	152
Part Time	204	3	13	45	5	58	36	8	36
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.91	\$7.74	\$7.50	\$8.02	\$7.25	\$7.83	\$7.91	\$7.75	\$8.16
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,041	\$1,036	\$837	\$1,078	\$919	\$1,006	\$1,052	\$1,057	\$1,099

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – January 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	3,470	65	70	539	83	1,202	628	189	694
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	4,797	77	109	869	89	1,685	895	249	824
Participants in a Work Activity	4,269	72	96	801	83	1,454	805	236	722
% of Total Enrolled	89%	94%	88%	92%	93%	86%	90%	95%	88%
Participants Employed	2,495	44	47	508	26	868	434	146	422
% of Total Enrolled	52%	57%	43%	58%	29%	52%	48%	59%	51%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.05	\$8.01	\$7.93	\$8.15	\$7.69	\$7.93	\$8.03	\$7.94	\$8.31
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,034	\$1,056	\$914	\$1,073	\$1,038	\$1,000	\$1,038	\$1,017	\$1,070
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	74%	76%	63%	80%	68%	76%	69%	66%	70%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	50,590	1146	974	8,123	804	16,296	8,173	3,195	11,879
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	37,045	827	780	5,947	637	11,799	6,471	2,496	8,088
Participants in a Work Activity	35,065	796	746	5,674	624	11,156	6,104	2,468	7,497
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	96%	95%	98%	95%	94%	99%	93%
Participants Employed	25,909	572	448	4,331	407	8,286	4,648	1,844	5,373
% of Total Enrolled	70%	69%	57%	73%	64%	70%	72%	74%	66%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.84	\$6.87	\$6.61	\$7.02	\$6.45	\$6.73	\$6.73	\$6.68	\$7.16
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$895	\$909	\$824	\$927	\$810	\$861	\$901	\$869	\$951
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	68%	60%	54%	65%	64%	67%	69%	68%	69%

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date

*This section lists the relative state fiscal year to date rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Wage, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	32.5%	3.25	116	\$8.42	3.22	32	69.2%	6.24	61	4.24	83
003	Albemarle	64.4%	6.44	9	\$8.61	3.71	21	72.9%	6.69	39	5.61	16
005	Alleghany/Covington	54.1%	5.41	41	\$8.15	2.53	53	58.5%	4.93	102	4.29	80
007	Amelia	47.4%	4.74	79	\$7.94	1.99	83	66.7%	5.93	71	4.22	84
009	Amherst	58.6%	5.86	25	\$8.04	2.25	71	61.3%	5.27	90	4.46	69
011	Appomattox	59.0%	5.90	21	\$7.74	1.48	104	81.5%	7.74	15	5.04	33
013	Arlington	49.7%	4.97	66	\$11.07	10.00	1	70.9%	6.45	51	7.14	3
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	59.8%	5.98	20	\$8.16	2.56	51	75.6%	7.02	28	5.19	28
017	Bath	75.0%	7.50	2	\$8.17	2.58	50	100.0%	10.00	1	6.69	6
019	Bedford County/City	47.9%	4.79	78	\$8.16	2.56	52	64.4%	5.65	80	4.33	77
021	Bland	50.0%	5.00	57	\$9.05	4.83	15	40.0%	2.67	117	4.17	86
023	Botetourt	36.7%	3.67	110	\$8.18	2.61	48	62.5%	5.42	86	3.90	97
025	Brunswick	39.4%	3.94	106	\$7.40	0.61	118	69.0%	6.21	64	3.59	109
027	Buchanan	48.7%	4.87	68	\$7.78	1.59	101	66.7%	5.93	72	4.13	88
029	Buckingham	50.0%	5.00	58	\$7.96	2.05	79	72.7%	6.67	40	4.57	62
031	Campbell	50.0%	5.00	59	\$8.13	2.48	57	69.4%	6.26	57	4.58	60
033	Caroline	32.6%	3.26	115	\$8.28	2.86	41	88.2%	8.56	4	4.90	42
035	Carroll	56.5%	5.65	30	\$7.80	1.64	100	52.7%	4.22	110	3.84	99
036	Charles City	58.8%	5.88	22	\$8.11	2.43	62	18.2%	0.00	119	2.77	118
037	Charlotte	39.2%	3.92	107	\$8.28	2.86	42	59.3%	5.02	99	3.94	94
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	46.3%	4.63	83	\$8.67	3.86	19	80.0%	7.56	18	5.35	24
043	Clarke	40.0%	4.00	102	\$8.79	4.17	17	50.0%	3.89	111	4.02	92
045	Craig	41.7%	4.17	98	\$7.50	0.87	117	100.0%	10.00	2	5.01	34
047	Culpeper	48.1%	4.81	74	\$8.43	3.25	29	73.9%	6.81	34	4.96	39
049	Cumberland	47.9%	4.79	77	\$8.24	2.76	46	56.3%	4.65	107	4.07	90
051	Dickensen	57.9%	5.79	28	\$8.05	2.28	70	59.0%	4.99	101	4.35	76
053	Dinwiddie	60.0%	6.00	17	\$7.96	2.05	80	79.2%	7.45	21	5.17	30
057	Essex	56.4%	5.64	31	\$8.03	2.23	72	72.7%	6.67	41	4.84	46
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	56.1%	5.61	34	\$9.94	7.11	4	71.5%	6.52	45	6.41	7
061	Fauquier	50.0%	5.00	60	\$9.11	4.99	12	70.6%	6.41	52	5.46	18
063	Floyd	34.2%	3.42	114	\$8.30	2.92	40	58.3%	4.91	103	3.75	104
065	Fluvanna	31.7%	3.17	117	\$9.08	4.91	14	60.0%	5.11	94	4.40	72
067	Franklin County	37.3%	3.73	109	\$8.46	3.32	27	56.4%	4.67	106	3.91	96
069	Frederick	48.1%	4.81	75	\$8.27	2.84	43	76.0%	7.07	26	4.90	40
071	Giles	43.2%	4.32	93	\$7.64	1.23	110	50.0%	3.89	112	3.15	116
073	Gloucester	50.6%	5.06	54	\$8.01	2.17	74	41.2%	2.81	116	3.35	115
075	Goochland	61.4%	6.14	13	\$8.41	3.20	33	68.8%	6.18	65	5.17	29
077	Grayson	64.9%	6.49	8	\$8.11	2.43	63	57.9%	4.85	105	4.59	59

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	52.4%	5.24	44	\$8.50	3.43	25	64.3%	5.64	81	4.77	52
081	Greensville/Emporia	36.4%	3.64	111	\$7.84	1.74	97	66.7%	5.93	73	3.77	103
083	Halifax	38.8%	3.88	108	\$7.93	1.97	86	60.4%	5.16	92	3.67	106
085	Hanover	45.5%	4.55	88	\$8.62	3.73	20	73.3%	6.74	36	5.01	35
087	Henrico	48.6%	4.86	70	\$8.50	3.43	26	82.1%	7.82	13	5.37	23
089	Henry/Martinsville	46.0%	4.60	85	\$7.84	1.74	98	59.4%	5.04	98	3.79	102
091	Highland	100.0%	10.00	1	\$8.00	2.15	76	100.0%	10.00	3	7.38	2
093	Isle of Wight	57.1%	5.71	29	\$8.01	2.17	75	76.5%	7.12	24	5.00	36
095	James City	58.7%	5.87	23	\$8.56	3.58	23	82.5%	7.86	12	5.77	14
097	King and Queen	26.7%	2.67	119	\$7.68	1.33	108	50.0%	3.89	113	2.63	119
099	King George	39.5%	3.95	105	\$8.45	3.30	28	35.3%	2.09	118	3.11	117
101	King William	50.0%	5.00	61	\$7.64	1.23	111	56.3%	4.65	108	3.63	107
103	Lancaster	64.3%	6.43	10	\$8.74	4.04	18	75.0%	6.94	29	5.80	13
105	Lee	61.3%	6.13	14	\$7.60	1.13	114	73.3%	6.73	38	4.66	55
107	Loudoun	59.9%	5.99	19	\$10.93	9.64	2	72.1%	6.59	43	7.41	1
109	Louisa	56.2%	5.62	33	\$9.09	4.94	13	81.3%	7.71	16	6.09	8
111	Lunenburg	46.2%	4.62	84	\$7.66	1.28	109	76.9%	7.18	22	4.36	75
113	Madison	50.0%	5.00	62	\$7.75	1.51	102	62.5%	5.42	87	3.98	93
115	Mathews	56.3%	5.63	32	\$8.07	2.33	69	71.4%	6.51	46	4.82	48
117	Mecklenburg	53.8%	5.38	42	\$7.97	2.07	78	71.2%	6.48	50	4.64	56
119	Middlesex	50.0%	5.00	63	\$8.39	3.15	34	64.7%	5.69	79	4.61	58
121	Montgomery	67.2%	6.72	6	\$7.95	2.02	81	66.7%	5.93	74	4.89	43
125	Nelson	46.7%	4.67	82	\$9.21	5.24	9	84.6%	8.12	8	6.01	9
127	New Kent	45.5%	4.55	89	\$8.12	2.46	59	71.4%	6.51	47	4.50	68
131	Northampton	49.5%	4.95	67	\$8.43	3.25	30	71.4%	6.51	48	4.90	41
133	Northumberland	50.0%	5.00	64	\$8.14	2.51	55	75.0%	6.94	30	4.82	49
135	Nottoway	56.0%	5.60	35	\$8.11	2.43	64	87.8%	8.50	5	5.51	17
137	Orange	69.0%	6.90	5	\$8.38	3.12	35	80.0%	7.56	19	5.86	11
139	Page	58.0%	5.80	27	\$7.88	1.84	93	59.1%	5.00	100	4.21	85
141	Patrick	54.3%	5.43	40	\$7.55	1.00	115	82.1%	7.82	14	4.75	53
143	Pittsylvania	41.4%	4.14	100	\$8.08	2.35	67	59.5%	5.05	96	3.85	98
145	Powhatan	45.7%	4.57	86	\$9.29	5.45	8	69.2%	6.24	62	5.42	19
147	Prince Edward	46.8%	4.68	81	\$7.98	2.10	77	69.8%	6.31	55	4.36	74
149	Prince George	44.3%	4.43	90	\$8.38	3.12	36	70.4%	6.38	53	4.64	57
153	Prince William	54.7%	5.47	38	\$9.31	5.50	7	71.9%	6.56	44	5.84	12
155	Pulaski	50.3%	5.03	55	\$7.70	1.38	106	59.7%	5.07	95	3.83	100
157	Rappahannock	0.0%	0.00	120	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.00	120
159	Richmond County	60.0%	6.00	18	\$7.62	1.18	113	42.9%	3.02	115	3.40	113
161	Roanoke County	66.1%	6.61	7	\$8.43	3.25	31	69.4%	6.26	58	5.37	21
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	51.9%	5.19	49	\$7.72	1.43	105	75.0%	6.94	31	4.52	66
165	Rockingham/H'burg	70.4%	7.04	4	\$8.27	2.84	44	69.2%	6.23	63	5.37	22
167	Russell	47.0%	4.70	80	\$7.75	1.51	103	73.3%	6.74	37	4.32	78
169	Scott	52.1%	5.21	46	\$7.54	0.97	116	80.0%	7.56	20	4.58	61
171	Shenandoah	41.0%	4.10	101	\$8.25	2.79	45	62.5%	5.42	88	4.10	89

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	42.1%	4.21	<b>97</b>	\$7.85	1.76	<b>96</b>	68.1%	6.10	<b>66</b>	4.02	<b>91</b>
175	<i>Southampton</i>	43.1%	4.31	<b>94</b>	\$7.93	1.97	<b>87</b>	62.9%	5.46	<b>85</b>	3.91	<b>95</b>
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	48.6%	4.86	<b>69</b>	\$8.31	2.94	<b>38</b>	83.2%	7.95	<b>11</b>	5.25	<b>27</b>
179	<i>Stafford</i>	43.5%	4.35	<b>92</b>	\$9.34	5.58	<b>6</b>	83.3%	7.96	<b>10</b>	5.96	<b>10</b>
181	<i>Surry</i>	51.9%	5.19	<b>48</b>	\$8.57	3.61	<b>22</b>	72.7%	6.67	<b>42</b>	5.16	<b>31</b>
183	<i>Sussex</i>	39.7%	3.97	<b>103</b>	\$7.95	2.02	<b>82</b>	58.1%	4.87	<b>104</b>	3.62	<b>108</b>
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	61.8%	6.18	<b>12</b>	\$7.91	1.92	<b>89</b>	63.3%	5.51	<b>83</b>	4.54	<b>64</b>
187	<i>Warren</i>	45.6%	4.56	<b>87</b>	\$8.84	4.30	<b>16</b>	63.0%	5.47	<b>84</b>	4.78	<b>51</b>
191	<i>Washington</i>	53.6%	5.36	<b>43</b>	\$7.91	1.92	<b>90</b>	60.4%	5.16	<b>93</b>	4.15	<b>87</b>
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	42.3%	4.23	<b>96</b>	\$8.09	2.38	<b>65</b>	56.0%	4.62	<b>109</b>	3.74	<b>105</b>
195	<i>Wise</i>	52.0%	5.20	<b>47</b>	\$7.81	1.66	<b>99</b>	66.7%	5.93	<b>75</b>	4.26	<b>82</b>
197	<i>Wythe</i>	60.7%	6.07	<b>15</b>	\$7.94	1.99	<b>84</b>	63.5%	5.53	<b>82</b>	4.53	<b>65</b>
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	48.0%	4.80	<b>76</b>	\$8.37	3.09	<b>37</b>	73.6%	6.77	<b>35</b>	4.89	<b>44</b>
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	48.5%	4.85	<b>73</b>	\$10.48	8.49	<b>3</b>	83.9%	8.03	<b>9</b>	7.12	<b>4</b>
520	<i>Bristol</i>	41.5%	4.15	<b>99</b>	\$7.64	1.23	<b>112</b>	61.3%	5.27	<b>91</b>	3.55	<b>110</b>
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	60.2%	6.02	<b>16</b>	\$8.56	3.58	<b>24</b>	65.9%	5.83	<b>77</b>	5.14	<b>32</b>
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	58.5%	5.85	<b>26</b>	\$8.15	2.53	<b>54</b>	80.4%	7.60	<b>17</b>	5.33	<b>25</b>
590	<i>Danville</i>	35.2%	3.52	<b>113</b>	\$7.89	1.87	<b>92</b>	59.5%	5.05	<b>97</b>	3.48	<b>111</b>
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	29.2%	2.92	<b>118</b>	\$7.69	1.36	<b>107</b>	68.0%	6.09	<b>67</b>	3.46	<b>112</b>
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	55.8%	5.58	<b>36</b>	\$9.13	5.04	<b>11</b>	69.9%	6.32	<b>54</b>	5.64	<b>15</b>
640	<i>Galax</i>	50.0%	5.00	<b>65</b>	\$7.91	1.92	<b>91</b>	66.7%	5.93	<b>76</b>	4.28	<b>81</b>
650	<i>Hampton</i>	50.3%	5.03	<b>56</b>	\$8.14	2.51	<b>56</b>	75.7%	7.03	<b>27</b>	4.85	<b>45</b>
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	36.3%	3.63	<b>112</b>	\$7.86	1.79	<b>94</b>	67.2%	6.00	<b>70</b>	3.81	<b>101</b>
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	50.9%	5.09	<b>53</b>	\$7.86	1.79	<b>95</b>	69.3%	6.25	<b>60</b>	4.37	<b>73</b>
683	<i>Manassas</i>	39.7%	3.97	<b>104</b>	\$9.69	6.47	<b>5</b>	62.5%	5.42	<b>89</b>	5.29	<b>26</b>
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	73.3%	7.33	<b>3</b>	\$9.19	5.19	<b>10</b>	85.7%	8.25	<b>7</b>	6.93	<b>5</b>
700	<i>Newport News</i>	48.6%	4.86	<b>71</b>	\$8.22	2.71	<b>47</b>	74.9%	6.93	<b>33</b>	4.83	<b>47</b>
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	51.5%	5.15	<b>51</b>	\$7.93	1.97	<b>88</b>	76.4%	7.11	<b>25</b>	4.75	<b>54</b>
720	<i>Norton</i>	63.0%	6.30	<b>11</b>	\$7.16	0.00	<b>119</b>	50.0%	3.89	<b>114</b>	3.40	<b>114</b>
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	54.5%	5.45	<b>39</b>	\$8.08	2.35	<b>68</b>	76.7%	7.15	<b>23</b>	4.98	<b>38</b>
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	48.5%	4.85	<b>72</b>	\$8.03	2.23	<b>73</b>	69.4%	6.26	<b>59</b>	4.45	<b>71</b>
750	<i>Radford</i>	55.6%	5.56	<b>37</b>	\$8.12	2.46	<b>60</b>	75.0%	6.94	<b>32</b>	4.99	<b>37</b>
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	42.4%	4.24	<b>95</b>	\$8.18	2.61	<b>49</b>	71.4%	6.50	<b>49</b>	4.45	<b>70</b>
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	44.0%	4.40	<b>91</b>	\$8.12	2.46	<b>61</b>	67.8%	6.07	<b>68</b>	4.31	<b>79</b>
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	58.6%	5.86	<b>24</b>	\$7.94	1.99	<b>85</b>	65.8%	5.82	<b>78</b>	4.56	<b>63</b>
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	51.2%	5.12	<b>52</b>	\$8.31	2.94	<b>39</b>	69.7%	6.30	<b>56</b>	4.79	<b>50</b>
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	52.4%	5.24	<b>45</b>	\$8.13	2.48	<b>58</b>	87.5%	8.47	<b>6</b>	5.40	<b>20</b>
840	<i>Winchester</i>	51.7%	5.17	<b>50</b>	\$8.09	2.38	<b>66</b>	67.3%	6.00	<b>69</b>	4.51	<b>67</b>

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores is done to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception of VIEW

*This section lists the relative cumulative rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Monthly Earnings, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	51.4%	1.91	117	\$845	2.80	97	65.4%	5.89	48	3.53	110
003	Albemarle	73.1%	7.79	12	\$1,004	6.08	20	57.2%	4.03	103	5.97	27
005	Alleghany/Covington	71.2%	7.28	19	\$835	2.59	103	58.6%	4.34	95	4.74	77
007	Amelia	59.9%	4.24	95	\$959	5.15	34	64.2%	5.63	55	5.00	67
009	Amherst	67.2%	6.19	48	\$862	3.16	87	60.0%	4.65	85	4.67	79
011	Appomattox	70.3%	7.05	22	\$866	3.23	84	55.5%	3.64	108	4.64	80
013	Arlington	72.3%	7.57	17	\$1,064	7.32	8	69.7%	6.88	18	7.25	6
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	67.2%	6.20	47	\$954	5.06	39	67.2%	6.30	37	5.85	30
017	Bath	66.0%	5.86	58	\$709	0.00	120	62.1%	5.14	74	3.67	107
019	Bedford County/City	64.9%	5.57	66	\$854	2.99	91	61.1%	4.91	79	4.49	88
021	Bland	60.4%	4.36	92	\$849	2.89	94	57.0%	3.98	105	3.74	106
023	Botetourt	44.3%	0.00	120	\$936	4.69	48	56.3%	3.83	107	2.84	118
025	Brunswick	56.0%	3.18	111	\$820	2.28	109	66.9%	6.25	40	3.90	103
027	Buchanan	53.0%	2.35	114	\$834	2.58	104	63.3%	5.43	64	3.45	112
029	Buckingham	56.6%	3.33	109	\$938	4.72	47	62.3%	5.20	71	4.41	91
031	Campbell	68.2%	6.47	37	\$894	3.81	74	64.3%	5.64	54	5.30	58
033	Caroline	60.1%	4.27	94	\$958	5.13	36	57.4%	4.08	102	4.49	87
035	Carroll	66.6%	6.05	52	\$823	2.35	108	54.2%	3.35	112	3.92	102
036	Charles City	55.7%	3.07	112	\$930	4.55	52	50.9%	2.59	116	3.41	114
037	Charlotte	51.3%	1.91	118	\$927	4.50	53	58.2%	4.25	97	3.55	109
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	63.6%	5.24	76	\$1,019	6.40	16	69.7%	6.89	17	6.17	22
043	Clarke	59.5%	4.12	96	\$978	5.55	27	62.1%	5.15	73	4.94	69
045	Craig	52.3%	2.16	115	\$1,038	6.80	11	63.6%	5.50	61	4.82	75
047	Culpeper	64.9%	5.57	67	\$960	5.17	32	67.1%	6.28	38	5.67	36
049	Cumberland	57.7%	3.63	104	\$947	4.92	44	57.8%	4.15	101	4.23	96
051	Dickenson	60.3%	4.32	93	\$829	2.48	105	55.4%	3.60	109	3.47	111
053	Dinwiddie	66.2%	5.93	55	\$958	5.14	35	58.9%	4.42	90	5.16	63
057	Essex	68.4%	6.52	36	\$863	3.17	86	49.2%	2.20	117	3.96	99
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	67.9%	6.39	41	\$1,144	8.97	3	69.3%	6.80	24	7.39	5
061	Fauquier	67.9%	6.40	40	\$1,020	6.41	14	69.6%	6.85	20	6.55	11
063	Floyd	65.7%	5.78	60	\$923	4.42	57	57.1%	4.01	104	4.74	78
065	Fluvanna	64.3%	5.41	69	\$1,051	7.05	9	60.0%	4.66	84	5.71	34
067	Franklin County	61.9%	4.76	87	\$947	4.91	45	58.1%	4.22	98	4.63	82
069	Frederick	57.6%	3.60	105	\$997	5.93	21	62.4%	5.21	69	4.92	72
071	Giles	58.5%	3.85	99	\$950	4.98	41	53.7%	3.23	113	4.02	98
073	Gloucester	65.5%	5.75	61	\$846	2.82	96	59.1%	4.46	88	4.34	94
075	Goochland	67.8%	6.37	42	\$898	3.89	71	65.8%	6.00	46	5.42	47
077	Grayson	66.8%	6.09	49	\$849	2.89	95	60.9%	4.87	80	4.62	83

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	76.1%	8.60	4	\$914	4.22	63	56.8%	3.94	106	5.59	39
081	Greensville/Emporia	57.3%	3.51	107	\$818	2.25	110	64.4%	5.67	53	3.81	104
083	Halifax	56.7%	3.36	108	\$837	2.64	100	58.5%	4.32	96	3.44	113
085	Hanover	64.1%	5.37	71	\$1,049	7.01	10	66.8%	6.22	42	6.20	20
087	Henrico	66.6%	6.03	53	\$1,018	6.38	17	66.5%	6.16	44	6.19	21
089	Henry/Martinsville	63.1%	5.10	79	\$935	4.67	49	64.2%	5.62	56	5.13	64
091	Highland	75.0%	8.31	7	\$784	1.53	117	83.3%	10.00	1	6.61	10
093	Isle of Wight	69.2%	6.73	34	\$909	4.13	65	60.2%	4.72	83	5.19	62
095	James City	69.2%	6.74	33	\$903	4.00	69	61.2%	4.93	78	5.23	60
097	King and Queen	51.4%	1.93	116	\$869	3.29	82	46.9%	1.67	118	2.30	120
099	King George	60.9%	4.51	91	\$916	4.27	61	59.0%	4.44	89	4.41	92
101	King William	58.4%	3.83	100	\$959	5.17	33	60.4%	4.76	82	4.58	84
103	Lancaster	66.0%	5.88	57	\$850	2.90	92	53.0%	3.07	115	3.95	101
105	Lee	65.5%	5.74	62	\$785	1.55	116	72.4%	7.51	8	4.93	70
107	Loudoun	77.7%	9.04	3	\$1,193	10.00	1	71.4%	7.27	11	8.77	1
109	Louisa	62.0%	4.79	86	\$984	5.68	26	63.4%	5.44	62	5.31	56
111	Lunenburg	48.5%	1.13	119	\$765	1.15	118	62.9%	5.32	67	2.53	119
113	Madison	63.2%	5.11	78	\$994	5.88	22	61.3%	4.96	76	5.32	54
115	Mathews	65.2%	5.64	64	\$892	3.78	75	68.8%	6.67	28	5.36	51
117	Mecklenburg	66.1%	5.90	56	\$837	2.64	99	68.3%	6.56	30	5.04	65
119	Middlesex	65.8%	5.81	59	\$905	4.03	68	68.3%	6.57	29	5.47	45
121	Montgomery	78.7%	9.31	2	\$931	4.59	51	64.8%	5.75	51	6.55	12
125	Nelson	62.4%	4.91	83	\$1,017	6.35	18	62.4%	5.21	70	5.49	43
127	New Kent	58.9%	3.96	97	\$1,020	6.41	15	73.4%	7.73	7	6.03	25
131	Northampton	63.8%	5.28	75	\$839	2.67	98	79.4%	9.10	2	5.68	35
133	Northumberland	57.8%	3.66	103	\$856	3.03	90	53.5%	3.18	114	3.29	115
135	Nottoway	66.8%	6.08	50	\$897	3.87	72	69.6%	6.86	19	5.60	38
137	Orange	72.6%	7.67	14	\$962	5.21	30	59.4%	4.53	87	5.81	31
139	Page	69.6%	6.85	32	\$986	5.72	25	59.7%	4.60	86	5.72	33
141	Patrick	67.3%	6.23	46	\$815	2.17	111	75.0%	8.09	6	5.50	42
143	Pittsylvania	54.5%	2.76	113	\$865	3.21	85	60.6%	4.80	81	3.59	108
145	Powhatan	56.2%	3.22	110	\$946	4.88	46	63.4%	5.43	63	4.51	86
147	Prince Edward	69.7%	6.88	30	\$879	3.50	79	69.4%	6.81	23	5.73	32
149	Prince George	61.4%	4.63	89	\$989	5.78	24	58.7%	4.37	94	4.92	71
153	Prince William	72.4%	7.60	15	\$1,190	9.92	2	70.8%	7.12	13	8.21	2
155	Pulaski	63.9%	5.31	72	\$935	4.66	50	54.3%	3.37	111	4.45	89
157	Rappahannock	62.9%	5.04	82	\$954	5.06	38	44.7%	1.18	119	3.76	105
159	Richmond County	62.0%	4.80	85	\$925	4.46	55	39.6%	0.00	120	3.09	117
161	Roanoke County	74.2%	8.08	8	\$1,036	6.75	12	58.9%	4.40	93	6.41	17
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	72.3%	7.57	16	\$861	3.14	88	66.9%	6.23	41	5.65	37
165	Rockingham/H'burg	73.5%	7.89	10	\$964	5.26	29	67.7%	6.41	33	6.52	13
167	Russell	61.6%	4.67	88	\$850	2.89	93	67.3%	6.33	36	4.63	81
169	Scott	58.3%	3.79	101	\$880	3.52	78	77.2%	8.61	4	5.30	57
171	Shenandoah	61.0%	4.52	90	\$921	4.36	58	70.0%	6.94	15	5.27	59

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	Smyth	58.0%	3.71	102	\$836	2.62	102	63.9%	5.55	59	3.96	100
175	Southampton	57.4%	3.56	106	\$824	2.37	107	54.4%	3.38	110	3.10	116
177	Spotsylvania	68.1%	6.43	38	\$1,028	6.58	13	67.9%	6.47	32	6.49	14
179	Stafford	58.8%	3.92	98	\$1,119	8.47	4	67.7%	6.41	34	6.27	19
181	Surry	70.0%	6.96	26	\$872	3.36	80	58.0%	4.20	99	4.84	74
183	Sussex	65.5%	5.73	63	\$949	4.94	43	63.7%	5.51	60	5.39	48
185	Tazewell	67.8%	6.36	43	\$807	2.02	114	65.6%	5.94	47	4.77	76
187	Warren	68.0%	6.41	39	\$1,006	6.14	19	69.0%	6.73	26	6.43	16
191	Washington	64.2%	5.38	70	\$889	3.72	76	57.9%	4.19	100	4.43	90
193	Westmoreland	63.1%	5.09	80	\$915	4.25	62	64.5%	5.69	52	5.01	66
195	Wise	63.4%	5.16	77	\$813	2.14	112	66.9%	6.25	39	4.52	85
197	Wythe	66.7%	6.05	51	\$837	2.63	101	66.8%	6.22	43	4.97	68
199	York/Poquoson	62.1%	4.82	84	\$895	3.83	73	58.9%	4.42	91	4.36	93
510	Alexandria	70.2%	7.00	25	\$1,072	7.50	7	77.1%	8.57	5	7.69	4
520	Bristol	67.6%	6.30	45	\$867	3.26	83	62.3%	5.18	72	4.91	73
540	Charlottesville	81.3%	10.00	1	\$919	4.33	59	65.3%	5.87	49	6.74	9
550	Chesapeake	72.8%	7.72	13	\$927	4.49	54	64.8%	5.77	50	5.99	26
590	Danville	64.4%	5.44	68	\$800	1.88	115	61.6%	5.04	75	4.12	97
620	Franklin City	63.9%	5.30	73	\$810	2.07	113	64.1%	5.59	57	4.32	95
630	Fredericksburg	75.4%	8.42	5	\$990	5.81	23	66.4%	6.13	45	6.78	8
640	Galax	63.0%	5.06	81	\$887	3.67	77	69.9%	6.93	16	5.22	61
650	Hampton	69.7%	6.87	31	\$911	4.16	64	63.3%	5.41	66	5.48	44
670	Hopewell	67.8%	6.35	44	\$917	4.28	60	63.3%	5.42	65	5.35	53
680	Lynchburg	69.8%	6.91	29	\$827	2.44	106	69.0%	6.71	27	5.35	52
683	Manassas	63.8%	5.29	74	\$1,097	8.02	5	70.9%	7.17	12	6.83	7
685	Manassas Park	73.1%	7.80	11	\$1,082	7.70	6	77.6%	8.69	3	8.06	3
700	Newport News	70.9%	7.20	20	\$925	4.45	56	69.3%	6.78	25	6.14	23
710	Norfolk	70.2%	7.02	23	\$861	3.12	89	67.5%	6.37	35	5.50	41
720	Norton	75.2%	8.37	6	\$740	0.63	119	71.8%	7.36	10	5.46	46
730	Petersburg	70.6%	7.12	21	\$950	4.97	42	70.3%	7.02	14	6.37	18
740	Portsmouth	71.8%	7.45	18	\$901	3.95	70	69.5%	6.84	21	6.08	24
750	Radford	70.0%	6.96	27	\$905	4.05	67	61.2%	4.94	77	5.31	55
760	Richmond City	69.9%	6.93	28	\$956	5.09	37	71.8%	7.37	9	6.46	15
770	Roanoke City	65.1%	5.64	65	\$966	5.30	28	62.4%	5.22	68	5.39	49
800	Suffolk	73.9%	8.00	9	\$869	3.29	81	68.1%	6.51	31	5.94	28
810	Virginia Beach	66.4%	5.99	54	\$951	4.98	40	69.4%	6.82	22	5.93	29
830	Williamsburg	70.2%	7.02	24	\$906	4.05	66	63.9%	5.55	58	5.54	40
840	Winchester	68.5%	6.55	35	\$961	5.19	31	58.9%	4.41	92	5.38	50

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores was begun in May 1999 to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

## State Summary July 1, 2011 – January 31, 2012

	State	ED 1	ED 2	ED 3	ED 4	ED 5	ED 6	ED 7	ED 8	ED 9	ED 10	ED 11	ED 12	ED 13	ED 14	ED 15	ED 16	ED 17	ED 18
<b><u>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></u></b>																			
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	13,582	646	511	1,183	439	266	1,406	156	315	576	567	468	1,810	527	189	1,615	389	2,386	133
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	93%	95%	97%	98%	94%	93%	92%	88%	99%	99%	96%	94%	94%	76%	92%	97%	84%	89%	92%
% Timely in January 2012	91%	95%	90%	99%	95%	100%	89%	75%	95%	98%	97%	85%	92%	63%	80%	97%	79%	94%	78%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></u></b>																			
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	8,492	428	323	731	282	168	895	87	216	376	366	297	1,141	306	111	982	249	1,466	68
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	96%	99%	98%	97%	98%	90%	96%	94%	99%	95%	98%	99%	95%	92%	96%	95%	97%	93%	100%
Percent in January 2012	96%	97%	97%	98%	100%	94%	95%	92%	98%	88%	100%	99%	97%	91%	100%	96%	98%	94%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></u></b>																			
# Over 30 Days	128	2	0	0	8	1	16	5	1	0	3	3	27	40	5	13	1	3	0
Average # of Days Over 30	45	31	0	0	69	466	22	63	4	0	33	9	66	23	13	81	11	12	0

## EDD1 – Southwest Region: July 1, 2011 - January 31, 2012

	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
<b><u>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></u></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2011		646	22	35	107	93	78	119	8
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011		95%	100%	100%	100%	100%	90%	94%	75%
% Timely in January 2012		95%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	83%	92%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></u></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011		428	18	19	79	60	52	79	6
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011		99%	100%	95%	100%	98%	100%	100%	67%
Percent in January 2012		97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	94%	67%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></u></b>									
# Over 30 Days		2	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30		31	0	0	0	0	18	0	44

## EDD2 - Bristol-Galax Region: July 1, 2011 - January 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD2</i>	<i>Bland</i>	<i>Carroll</i>	<i>Grayson</i>	<i>Smyth</i>	<i>Wash.</i>	<i>Wythe</i>	<i>Bristol</i>	<i>Galax</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	511	6	51	19	106	76	55	157	41
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	97%	100%	96%	100%	99%	97%	100%	97%	80%
% Timely in January 2012	90%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	82%	100%	94%	43%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	323	5	31	12	70	46	32	99	28
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	98%	100%	97%	92%	97%	98%	100%	99%	93%
Percent in January 2012	97%	100%	86%	0%	100%	100%	100%	97%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>									
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD3 - Roanoke Region: July 1, 2011 - January 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD3</i>	<i>Alleghny/ Covington</i>	<i>Bote- tourt</i>	<i>Craig</i>	<i>Floyd</i>	<i>Franklin County</i>	<i>Giles</i>	<i>Mont- gomery</i>	<i>Pulaski</i>	<i>Roanoke County</i>	<i>Radford</i>	<i>Roanoke City</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>												
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	1183	42	12	9	26	119	25	156	95	111	37	551
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	97%	100%	100%	89%	97%
% Timely in January 2012	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	95%	100%	100%	100%	98%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>												
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	731	32	5	6	18	62	17	95	58	68	22	348
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	97%	100%	60%	100%	94%	100%	100%	100%	100%	99%	100%	95%
Percent in January 2012	98%	100%	0%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	98%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>												
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: July 1, 2011 - January 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD4</i>	<i>Aug/S'ton /W'boro</i>	<i>Bath</i>	<i>Highland</i>	<i>Rockbridge/ BV/Lex</i>	<i>Rockingham/ HBurg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	439	285	0	0	24	130
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	94%	100%	N/A	N/A	38%	91%
% Timely in January 2012	95%	100%	N/A	N/A	0%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	282	186	0	0	12	84
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	98%	99%	N/A	N/A	58%	100%
Percent in January 2012	100%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	8	0	0	0	8	0
Average # of Days Over 30	69	0	0	0	69	0

## EDD5 & EDD18 - Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: July 1, 2011 - January 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD5</i>	<i>Clarke</i>	<i>Frederick</i>	<i>Page</i>	<i>Shen.</i>	<i>Warren</i>	<i>Winchester</i>	<i>Total EDD18</i>	<i>Accomack</i>	<i>Nhampton</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	266	8	71	43	23	71	50	133	79	54
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	93%	88%	86%	100%	91%	100%	88%	92%	92%	91%
% Timely in January 2012	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	78%	74%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	168	4	42	33	16	35	38	68	36	32
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	90%	100%	93%	94%	100%	80%	89%	100%	100%	100%
Percent in January 2012	94%	100%	92%	100%	100%	89%	86%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>										
# Over 30 Days	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	466	0	466	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: July 1, 2011 - January 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD6</i>	<i>Arlington</i>	<i>Fairfax</i>	<i>Loudoun</i>	<i>Prince William</i>	<i>Alexandria</i>	<i>Manassas</i>	<i>Manassas Park</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>								
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	1,406	104	468	104	484	166	70	10
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	92%	100%	97%	95%	82%	100%	96%	70%
% Timely in January 2012	89%	100%	96%	85%	78%	100%	90%	0%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>								
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	895	69	288	62	309	116	45	6
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	96%	100%	99%	97%	94%	93%	96%	100%
Percent in January 2012	95%	100%	97%	92%	93%	91%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>								
# Over 30 Days	16	0	0	3	12	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	22	0	0	11	25	0	0	17

## EDD7 - Culpeper Region: July 1, 2011 - January 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD7</i>	<i>Culpeper</i>	<i>Fauquier</i>	<i>Madison</i>	<i>Orange</i>	<i>Rapp.</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	156	61	52	11	31	1
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	88%	93%	88%	91%	77%	100%
% Timely in January 2012	75%	100%	58%	100%	50%	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	87	38	25	5	18	1
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	94%	95%	100%	100%	83%	100%
Percent in January 2012	92%	88%	100%	100%	88%	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	5	0	1	1	3	0
Average # of Days Over 30	63	0	19	150	48	0

## EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: July 1, 2011 - January 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD8</i>	<i>Albemarle</i>	<i>Fluvanna</i>	<i>Greene</i>	<i>Louisa</i>	<i>Nelson</i>	<i>Cville</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	315	61	26	20	53	18	137
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	99%	100%	96%	100%	100%	100%	99%
% Timely in January 2012	95%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	91%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	216	43	16	17	40	10	90
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	99%	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	99%
Percent in January 2012	98%	93%	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	4	0	0	0	0	0	4

## EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: July 1, 2011 - January 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD9</i>	<i>Amherst</i>	<i>Appom.</i>	<i>Bedford</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Lynchburg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	576	44	43	94	128	267
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	99%	100%	100%	98%	99%	98%
% Timely in January 2012	98%	100%	100%	86%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	376	24	33	58	88	173
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	95%	100%	97%	90%	95%	96%
Percent in January 2012	88%	100%	91%	79%	85%	92%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD10 - Danville Region: July 1, 2011 - January 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD10</i>	<i>Halifax</i>	<i>Henry/ Martinsville</i>	<i>Patrick</i>	<i>Pittsylvania</i>	<i>Danville</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	567	81	164	67	82	173
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	96%	98%	93%	97%	99%	98%
% Timely in January 2012	97%	100%	94%	88%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	366	55	113	37	48	113
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	98%	100%	96%	97%	100%	99%
Percent in January 2012	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	3	0	2	1	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	33	0	40	20	0	0

## EDD11 – Southside Region: July 1, 2011 - January 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD11</i>	<i>Amelia</i>	<i>Brunswick</i>	<i>Buckingham</i>	<i>Charlotte</i>	<i>Cumberland</i>	<i>Gr'nsville Emporia</i>	<i>Lunenburg</i>	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	<i>Nottoway</i>	<i>Pr. Edward</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>											
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	468	27	57	39	54	18	62	26	62	57	66
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	94%	85%	98%	95%	100%	100%	82%	81%	98%	98%	95%
% Timely in January 2012	85%	0%	75%	86%	100%	100%	78%	50%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>											
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	297	16	37	28	28	12	40	16	41	38	41
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	99%	94%	100%	100%	100%	100%	98%	100%	100%	97%	100%
Percent in January 2012	99%	88%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>											
# Over 30 Days	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	9	0	0	0	0	0	5	11	0	0	0

## EDD12 - Richmond Region: July 1, 2011 - January 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD12</i>	<i>Chesterfield/ Col Hgts</i>	<i>Goochland</i>	<i>Hanover</i>	<i>Henrico</i>	<i>Powhatan</i>	<i>Richmond City</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	1,810	367	15	50	524	15	839
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	94%	92%	100%	94%	92%	100%	96%
% Timely in January 2012	92%	94%	100%	100%	82%	100%	95%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	1141	224	12	31	353	10	511
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	95%	97%	100%	94%	95%	90%	95%
Percent in January 2012	97%	99%	100%	100%	95%	N/A	99%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	27	8	0	0	16	0	3
Average # of Days Over 30	66	84	0	0	67	0	13

## EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: July 1, 2011 - January 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD13</i>	<i>Caroline</i>	<i>King George</i>	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	<i>Stafford</i>	<i>Fredericksburg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	527	67	28	178	136	118
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	76%	78%	100%	42%	96%	99%
% Timely in January 2012	63%	63%	100%	17%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	306	36	15	102	75	78
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	92%	100%	100%	85%	89%	100%
Percent in January 2012	91%	100%	100%	89%	81%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	40	6	0	34	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	23	39	0	20	0	0

## EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: July 1, 2011 - January 31, 2012

	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North- umberland	Richmond County	West- moreland
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	189	46	15	25	13	8	29	10	9	34
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	92%	100%	67%	88%	100%	75%	100%	100%	56%	97%
% Timely in January 2012	80%	100%	60%	100%	100%	0%	100%	100%	67%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	111	30	6	19	8	5	15	6	4	18
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	96%	93%	83%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	50%	100%
Percent in January 2012	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>										
# Over 30 Days	5	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	13	0	9	0	0	12	0	0	23	0

## EDD15 - Peninsula Region: July 1, 2011 - January 31, 2012

	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	1,615	5	57	74	17	73	564	805	20
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	97%	100%	98%	100%	12%	97%	99%	97%	100%
% Timely in January 2012	97%	100%	100%	100%	0%	100%	99%	97%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	982	2	34	37	5	38	370	481	15
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	95%	100%	97%	95%	100%	100%	98%	93%	93%
Percent in January 2012	96%	100%	92%	94%	100%	100%	99%	94%	67%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>									
# Over 30 Days	13	0	0	0	12	0	1	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	81	0	0	0	88	0	2	0	0

## EDD16 - Petersburg Region: July 1, 2011 - January 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD16</i>	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	<i>Prince George</i>	<i>Surry</i>	<i>Sussex</i>	<i>Hopewell</i>	<i>Petersburg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	389	37	40	13	36	80	183
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	84%	100%	98%	100%	100%	46%	89%
% Timely in January 2012	79%	100%	100%	100%	100%	23%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	249	31	26	9	21	45	117
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	93%	97%
Percent in January 2012	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	97%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	11	0	0	0	0	11	0

## EDD17 - Tidewater Region: July 1, 2011 - January 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD17</i>	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	<i>South- hampton</i>	<i>Chesapeake</i>	<i>Franklin City</i>	<i>Norfolk</i>	<i>Portsmouth</i>	<i>Suffolk</i>	<i>VA Beach</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	2,386	44	54	407	65	750	485	134	447
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	89%	91%	100%	97%	85%	74%	98%	98%	96%
% Timely in January 2012	94%	100%	100%	96%	100%	84%	97%	100%	97%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	1466	29	37	270	47	466	291	82	244
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	93%	93%	100%	96%	98%	82%	98%	98%	98%
Percent in January 2012	94%	100%	100%	94%	100%	86%	99%	100%	99%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>									
# Over 30 Days	3	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	12	0	0	16	0	0	4	0	0

## ***Child Support Enforcement - Statewide Totals***

### ***Collections***

	<i><b>Jan-11</b></i>	<i><b>Jan-12</b></i>	<i><b>% Change</b></i>
TANF Cases Collected	14,454	14,658	1.4%
NTANF Cases Collected	124,414	128,737	3.5%
Total Cases Collected	138,868	143,395	3.3%
TANF Collections	\$2,446,313	\$2,560,783	4.7%
NTANF Collections	\$45,354,204	\$49,022,816	8.1%
Total Collections	\$47,800,517	\$51,583,599	7.9%
SFY YTD Collections	\$350,946,747	\$358,306,072	2.1%
SFY YTD Average Collection	\$354.14	\$356.99	0.8%

### ***Paternities Established***

	<i><b>Jan-12</b></i>
TANF Paternities	227
NTANF Paternities	366
Combined Paternities	593

# *Diversionsary Assistance*

## *January Diversionsary Assistance Cases*

<b>Locality</b>	<b>Number of Cases</b>		<b>Locality</b>	<b>Number of Cases</b>
Albemarle	4		Patrick	3
Alleghany / Covington	3		Pittsylvania	2
Amelia	1		Prince George	1
Augusta / Staunt'n / W'boro	4		Prince William	1
Bedford City	2		Roanoke County	3
Buckingham	3		Rockbridge / BV / Lexington	1
Charlotte	1		Rockingham / H'burg	2
Chesterfield/Colonial Hgts	4		Shenandoah	16
Clarke	1		Spotsylvania	5
Culpeper	3		Stafford	5
Cumberland	1		Warren	3
Dinwiddie	4		York / Poquoson	1
Fairfax / Falls Church	1		Bristol	1
Fauquier	2		Charlottesville	5
Floyd	1		Chesapeake	1
Franklin County	1		Danville	2
Frederick	6		Franklin City	1
Gloucester	2		Hampton	3
Greene	1		Hopewell	6
Hanover	1		Newport News	25
Henrico	3		Norfolk	10
Henry / Martinsville	2		Petersburg	3
James City	2		Portsmouth	6
King and Queen	2		Richmond City	2
Loudoun	1		Roanoke City	9
Louisa	2		Suffolk	4
Mecklenburg	1		Virginia Beach	3
Middlesex	1		Winchester	4
Orange	5		Statewide	193

## *Definitions of Selected Terms Contained in Report*

**Average Monthly Earnings.** This is the average monthly earnings of the unsubsidized jobs that participants obtained. The value is calculated by multiplying the hourly wage by the weekly hours worked by 4.3 average weeks per month. For the cumulative figures the initial wage and initial hours are used. For the current figures, the initial wage and most current hours are used, except for the November 2001 report which used initial hours. Earlier than June 1999, wage changes that were entered overwrote the initial wage, so prior to that date, the cumulative figures used the initial hours and the last wage entered. With the advent of the VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) program in October 2006 the end of month average monthly earnings might be expected to decline somewhat because prior to VTP, cases with high earnings might remain open for job follow-up, but after the implementation of VTP the VIEW case would be closed when the TANF case was closed and a VTP case opened. VTP cases are not included in this report except for estimating the participation rate.

**Average Wage.** The average of the wages reported for each occurrence of unsubsidized employment. After June 1999 the wage is the wage at hiring, that is, the initial wage. Prior to June 1999, that wage may have been updated if changed during employment. After the implementation of the VIEW Transitional Payment program the end of month point in time average wage might be expected to decline some for the same reason mentioned in the explanation of possible decline in Average Monthly earnings, above. See note 6 on page 44.

**Cases Closed with Employment.** These are TANF or TANF-UP cases that are closed with an indication in the data base of employment at the date of closure. It does not mean that the case necessarily closed because of employment. For example, a TANF case may have an indication of closing at the client's request, along with indication of existing employment in VIEW. That case is counted as closed with employment.

**EDD.** This stands for Economic Development District. EDDs were developed during the administration of Governor Allen. They generally are no longer in use. This report continues to refer to them because VIEW was phased in by EDD and their use provides consistency over time.

**Enrolled.** Unduplicated number by locality of TANF recipients who were interviewed, received an individual assessment, signed the Agreement of Personal Responsibility, and enrolled in the VIEW program. Because the count is by locality, a recipient may be counted once in more than one locality. The fiscal year to date numbers include those who were enrolled at the beginning of the fiscal year as well as those enrolled during the year. There are clients enrolled in VIEW who have had their TANF case closed because VIEW policy requires that the enrollment remain open for job follow-up after employment unless the employment meets federal work participation guidelines, in which case the VIEW case is closed and a VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) case is opened.

**Full Time Employment.** Employment at 30 hours a week or greater.

**Participants Assigned to Inactive.** Inactive is a status assigned to participants who cannot participate in the VIEW program for reasons other than being exempt. Examples are unavailability of child care, unavailability of transportation, and mental or medical problems that make participation impractical. Inactive participants are due to be reviewed each month. While in inactive status, the 24 month time limit for TANF benefits is suspended.

**Participants Employed.** Total number of participants by locality who ever obtained an unsubsidized job, either full time or part time for the counts from inception. Other counts include subsidized employment. If employed more than one time, they are still only counted once, but may be counted in more than one locality. Also, these participants may have left their job and not gotten another one. For the year to date numbers, those employed at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first became employed in the fiscal year.

**Participants in a Work Activity.** Unduplicated count by locality of persons who have been in any of the following components: unsubsidized employment, full employment program (FEP), on-the-job training (OJT), community work experience program (CWEP), public service program (PSP), vocational education and training, and job search/job readiness. For example, a person who was in community work experience and then employed would be counted only once.

For the year to date numbers, those in a work activity at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first entered a work activity in the fiscal year. Note that this is a revised definition of work activity from reports through October 2006. The revised definition captures the activities that count toward the first 20 hours of federal participation requirements – the core work activities. Clients who are counted as being in a core work activity must meet additional participation rate requirements in order to be included in the work participation rate, and only VIEW clients are counted here, not all work eligible TANF clients **Thus, these percentages in a work activity are quite different from the percentages in the TANF work participation rate.**

**Part Time Employment.** Employment at fewer than 30 weekly hours.

**Referred.** Unduplicated number of TANF recipients by locality who were initially evaluated as eligible for VIEW and had their names referred to the automated VIEW list for participation.

**Relationship Between TANF and VIEW Participation.** On the chart at page 5a, the column heading “Total Adults in the Case” includes adults who are not considered to be part of the assistance unit. For example, a mother receiving SSI may be in the case, but because she receives SSI is not considered eligible for TANF and thus is not part of the assistance unit. Persons such as she who are not in the assistance unit are not eligible to participate in VIEW. Also included are adults who are part of the assistance unit but exempt from VIEW

**Five Months Employment.** In earlier reports, this represented the percent of all participants that were employed 150 days after their first employment. Participants who were employed in any job 150 days after their first employment were counted in this measure.. This measure became unavailable after July 1, 2011. See note 9 on page 44.

**Three Months Employment.** This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 90 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 90 days after their first employment are counted in this measure. For year to date percents, the calculations are based on the first employment that began one year before the end of the report month. That employment may not have been the first ever for the participant. The year to date percents were calculated differently in reports before September 2004. Also for year to date percents, transferred cases were not counted for months after the January 2002 report.

**TANF / TANF-UP Payment Cases.** Up to November 2003 these counts were taken from a monthly report with no adjustment. After that, the monthly counts included some cases that were being paid because child support payments had been received and were being passed on. These counts were adjusted to mostly eliminate those additional cases. Further adjustment may be appropriate. It should also be noted that the counts include both strictly TANF cases and MOE cases for some years. With the end of waiver provisions in July 2003, a substantial part of the caseload was placed in a state program and funded with MOE funds. This change in July 2003 was a change in funding, not a change in eligibility requirements or case payment amounts. It ended in September 2006 when VIEW transitional payments (VTP) were introduced. Adjustments have been made to take out VTP cases. Also, TANF-UP cases are currently funded with only state monies.

**VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP).** Beginning in October 2006, VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) were introduced. These are payments of \$50 per client per month for one year to VIEW clients that leave “regular” TANF with employment sufficient to meet Federal work participation requirements. The employment must be maintained to be eligible for VTP. Previously, VTP clients normally would have remained VIEW clients for five or six months of job-follow-up. Thus, the VTP program has had a diminishing effect on some of the statistics in this report such as end of month percent employed and average wage. See graph on page 5a.

**Time That Data Represent.** The data in the top sections of page 4 and pages 8 – 24 are point in time data as of the date indicated. The year to date section represents state fiscal year to date data, with the exception of three month retention (see above). The bottom section is cumulative from when the locality began VIEW.

**General Statement About Accuracy.** The report is based on an extract from the Employment Services automated system. The extract is normally made the night of the first work day after the end of the report month. Data that staff of local social services agencies enter into the automated system after the time of the extract are not included in the report, even though the activity reflected actually occurred in the report month. However, that data, and the activity reflected, are included in the reports for subsequent months. Further, because the data are entered by staff in the 120 localities, the accuracy of the data in the data base is, of course, subject to inadvertent error.


- <sup>1</sup> Applications are not necessarily acted upon in the month that they are received. Also, applications may be transferred from regular TANF to TANF-UP and vice versa as they are processed.
- <sup>2</sup> These TANF cases paid counts are prior to possible adjustment. See definition of payment cases. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes.
- <sup>3</sup> VIEW enrolled counts do not include VTP clients
- <sup>4</sup> A case may be open in more than one locality during the time period.
- <sup>5</sup> Prior to June 1999, when individuals were transferred from one locality to another, their history was transferred as well. This may have an effect on a locality's cumulative VIEW statistics.
- <sup>6</sup> The minimum wage rose from \$6.55 to \$7.25 an hour on 7/24/09. The report reflects initial wages so some effect on wages in the report was delayed. The average wage includes full and part time, unless otherwise indicated. See the definition of average wage on page 42.
- <sup>7</sup> Includes full and part time earnings.
- <sup>8</sup> This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed three months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed three months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous. See definition on page 43 for the revised method of calculating the year to date percentages.
- <sup>9</sup> Until the July 2011 report, this was employed after five months. However, on July 1, 2011 the number of required job follow-ups was reduced to three. Thus, data for five month job retention became unavailable.
- <sup>10</sup> Number includes TANF-UP and represents cases carried over to the next month. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes, but with the advent of VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) such occurrences were reduced from earlier months.
- <sup>11</sup> Enrollment is timely if done within 30 days of referral in previous month of the state fiscal year. The monthly percent includes evaluation of clients waiting in the queue. The year to date does not.
- <sup>12</sup> Placement in a work activity on the 95th day after referral was a measure of timeliness before Oct 1, 2006. The revised definition of work activity has increased the percentage from reports before November 2006. Clients whose cases have closed before the 95<sup>th</sup> day are excluded, so 100% is an attainable mark.
- <sup>14</sup> As of the morning of February 1, 2012. Some referrals to the waiting list are not appropriate, and there may be considerable lag time in correcting them..

This report is available on the Department of Social Services web site at:  
[http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial\\_assistance/tanf.cgi](http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial_assistance/tanf.cgi)

The scores in the VIEW Rankings are determined by placing a locality on a scale of zero to ten in the same relative position as its place in the difference between the high and low of the measure in question. This converts all measures to a similar scale and provides a more equitable way to combine the ratings in each measure to determine a combined rank. (Questions, please call 804 726-7618.)

**Comments on the format or content of the report and requests for Excel files for portions of current and past reports may be sent by e-mail to: [william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov](mailto:william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov).**


## TANF and TANF-UP Cases Paid at Six Month Intervals, except last month June 1995 through January 2012



This graph illustrates the dramatic decline of the TANF caseload as VIEW was implemented. There was some sign of slowing in the rate of decline after June 1998. Nevertheless, wide community involvement, a strong economy, and the effect of the 24 month rule allowed the caseload to continue to fall until it was near the 30,000 level. There was little net change in the caseload from June 2000 to June 2002, but some upward movement was seen after June 2002, until 2005. The more recent trends are presumed to be a result of economic conditions. Of note is that the caseload appeared to level off after recent growth and seems now in slight decline.

# Applications Received with Approval Percentage

Six Month Asymmetrical Moving Averages by Quarter, July 1995 through January 2012




This graph of application activity is presented as six month moving averages to produce smoother lines from which trends may be seen and in recognition that applications are not always acted on in the month received. The trends are not dramatic, but there seems to have been a general decline in the number of applications received until the July 2001 data. The decline in applications received after December 2004 and the recent changes appear to be reflected in the case load trends.

Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

## TANF Cases, VIEW Enrolled, and Employed VIEW Participants


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide  
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. January is the last month



This graph shows that the number enrolled in VIEW increased during early implementation and then generally tracked the number of cases. The effects of the recent economic conditions are apparent. A VIEW case may remain open for some months after the TANF case closes, if job follow-up is being done and the case has not been converted to the VIEW Transitional Payment program. It is unlikely that the number employed will ever equal the number enrolled because there are always new enrollees without employment, and there are some enrollees in work activities, other than employment, that meet VIEW requirements. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months. It includes TANF-UP

# Chart Displaying the Relationships Between TANF and VIEW Participation


Jan 31, 2012



This chart illustrates that there are a large number of adults who are exempt from VIEW and that many of the VIEW enrollees have had their TANF cases closed. They are now in a job follow-up phase of VIEW. They have not had their VIEW case closed and become enrolled in the VIEW Transitional Payment program. The source of this information is a monthly TANF extract from ADAPT and the monthly extract from ESPAS, the VIEW automated data system. The extracts were made at the beginning of February and represent end of January data. TANF-UP is included.

## VIEW Percent Total Employed and Average Wage at End of Month


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide  
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. January is the last month.



This graph shows that the average wage has seen a general increase as the percent of VIEW employed initially increased and then leveled. Besides employment, VIEW participants may be in various other activities or initial assessment and job search. It is unlikely that the percent employed will rise more, and the recent economic conditions may have caused a decline. The wages shown are generally the initial wages. When the average wage was calculated at about the end of January it was \$8.27 rather than the \$8.20 initial wages used to calculate wages shown on this graph. See page 42 of the report for an explanation of why the VIEW average wage might decline after October 2006 and note 6 on page 44 for the effect of the increase in minimum wage. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.

## Percent of Jobs Found During a Month That Were Full Time and Percent Part Time

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide  
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. January is the last month



This graph illustrates an initial trend of VIEW participants obtaining a greater percent of full time jobs than part time. After the initial months, the trend seems to be fairly level with an increase in the percent full time after TANF reauthorization which probably reflects increased emphasis on meeting the required TANF work participation rate. The more recent changes are presumed to be a result of economic developments. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.