

VIRGINIA INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM

MONTHLY REPORT

Including Graphs of Statewide Trends

May 2012

Monthly Report, May 2012

Table of Contents

Work Participation Estimates (from the VDSS data warehouse) (A work participation estimate percent should not be compared to a percent in a work activity in the main body of the report which includes only clients in VIEW and does not consider the number of hours in a work activity during a month)	i-vi
TANF, TANF-UP Case Activity.....	1
Graphs of Case Activity.....	at end
VIEW Work Activity	
Statewide	4
Graphs of Statewide Activity.....	at end
EDD1.....	8
EDD2.....	9
EDD3.....	10
EDD4.....	11
EDD5 & EDD18.....	12
EDD6.....	13
EDD7.....	14
EDD8.....	15
EDD9.....	16
EDD10.....	17
EDD11.....	18
EDD14.....	19
EDD1.....	20
EDD3.....	21
EDD11.....	22
EDD15.....	23
EDD17.....	24
VIEW Year to Date Rankings.....	25-27
VIEW Cumulative Rankings.....	28-30
VIEW Timeliness Measures.....	31-39
Child Support Enforcement.....	40
Diversion.....	41
VIEW Definition Sheet and Endnotes.....	42-44

Estimated Work Participation Rates for May

These are estimated locality May 2012 work participation rates that include clients receiving either TANF or VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). The official participation rate will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated May statewide rate is 45% with a numerator of 7,734 and a denominator of 17.148

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	28	69	41%	061	Fauquier	26	54	48%
003	Albemarle	32	60	53%	063	Floyd	13	25	52%
005	Alleghany/Covington	21	48	44%	065	Fluvanna	13	29	45%
007	Amelia	11	41	27%	067	Franklin County	41	106	39%
009	Amherst	19	35	54%	069	Frederick	27	81	33%
011	Appomattox	27	46	59%	071	Giles	8	28	29%
013	Arlington	50	95	53%	073	Gloucester	16	39	41%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	144	246	59%	075	Goochland	12	34	35%
017	Bath	0	2	0%	077	Grayson	19	27	70%
019	Bedford County/City	51	102	50%	079	Greene	4	13	31%
021	Bland	2	5	40%	081	Greensville/Emporia	37	83	45%
023	Botetourt	2	21	10%	083	Halifax	24	70	34%
025	Brunswick	43	64	67%	085	Hanover	27	70	39%
027	Buchanan	21	47	45%	087	Henrico	312	886	35%
029	Buckingham	16	30	53%	089	Henry/Martinsville	94	243	39%
031	Campbell	75	175	43%	091	Highland	0	2	0%
033	Caroline	15	112	13%	093	Isle of Wight	28	54	52%
035	Carroll	24	45	53%	095	James City	26	76	34%
036	Charles City	3	15	20%	097	King and Queen	3	10	30%
037	Charlotte	14	44	32%	099	King George	9	21	43%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	242	539	45%	101	King William	7	20	35%
043	Clarke	2	7	29%	103	Lancaster	7	26	27%
045	Craig	4	9	44%	105	Lee	58	119	49%
047	Culpeper	45	78	58%	107	Loudoun	72	145	50%
049	Cumberland	12	39	31%	109	Louisa	29	52	56%
051	Dickensen	10	18	56%	111	Lunenburg	21	35	60%
053	Dinwiddie	21	45	47%	113	Madison	4	9	44%
057	Essex	19	43	44%	115	Mathews	5	17	29%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	352	695	51%	117	Mecklenburg	25	57	44%

Estimated Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent
119	Middlesex	5	17	29%	187	Warren	35	73	48%
121	Montgomery	72	137	53%	191	Washington	38	67	57%
125	Nelson	8	18	44%	193	Westmoreland	21	43	49%
127	New Kent	5	30	17%	195	Wise	63	169	37%
131	Northampton	22	53	42%	197	Wythe	24	46	52%
133	Northumberland	8	13	62%	199	York/Poquoson	34	87	39%
135	Nottoway	45	85	53%	510	Alexandria	122	228	54%
137	Orange	20	46	43%	520	Bristol	78	145	54%
139	Page	18	38	47%	540	Charlottesville	96	204	47%
141	Patrick	31	65	48%	550	Chesapeake	282	611	46%
143	Pittsylvania	22	71	31%	590	Danville	94	195	48%
145	Powhatan	8	22	36%	620	Franklin City	29	57	51%
147	Prince Edward	37	63	59%	630	Fredericksburg	61	115	53%
149	Prince George	13	43	30%	640	Galax	11	36	31%
153	Prince William	345	630	55%	650	Hampton	372	771	48%
155	Pulaski	29	73	40%	670	Hopewell	31	176	18%
157	Rappahannock	0	4	0%	680	Lynchburg	126	290	43%
159	Richmond County	5	13	38%	683	Manassas	30	75	40%
161	Roanoke County	70	139	50%	685	Manassas Park	8	17	47%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	19	34	56%	700	Newport News	461	943	49%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	80	143	56%	710	Norfolk	673	1,241	54%
167	Russell	30	78	38%	720	Norton	8	26	31%
169	Scott	40	71	56%	730	Petersburg	143	314	46%
171	Shenandoah	9	21	43%	740	Portsmouth	249	558	45%
173	Smyth	41	112	37%	750	Radford	18	40	45%
175	Southampton	20	49	41%	760	Richmond City	570	1,506	38%
177	Spotsylvania	119	234	51%	770	Roanoke City	172	541	32%
179	Stafford	66	161	41%	800	Suffolk	80	144	56%
181	Surry	6	23	26%	810	Virginia Beach	249	503	50%
183	Sussex	15	41	37%	830	Williamsburg	5	18	28%
185	Tazewell	48	113	42%	840	Winchester	23	62	37%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF and VTP recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rate presented here is the all families rate, i. e. the overall rate, including VTP..

Estimated TANF only Work Participation Rates for May

These are estimated locality May 2012 work participation rates that include only clients receiving TANF. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated May statewide rate is 38% with a numerator of 5,743 and a denominator of 14,967. VTP only estimates are on pages v and vi.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	<i>Accomack</i>	24	65	37%	061	<i>Fauquier</i>	16	44	36%
003	<i>Albemarle</i>	17	43	40%	063	<i>Floyd</i>	12	24	50%
005	<i>Alleghany/Covington</i>	16	43	37%	065	<i>Fluvanna</i>	9	25	36%
007	<i>Amelia</i>	10	40	25%	067	<i>Franklin County</i>	36	101	36%
009	<i>Amherst</i>	12	28	43%	069	<i>Frederick</i>	18	68	26%
011	<i>Appomattox</i>	22	41	54%	071	<i>Giles</i>	6	26	23%
013	<i>Arlington</i>	31	76	41%	073	<i>Gloucester</i>	10	32	31%
015	<i>Augusta/S'ton/W'boro</i>	94	196	48%	075	<i>Goochland</i>	6	28	21%
017	<i>Bath</i>	0	2	0%	077	<i>Grayson</i>	14	22	64%
019	<i>Bedford County/City</i>	41	92	45%	079	<i>Greene</i>	3	12	25%
021	<i>Bland</i>	1	4	25%	081	<i>Greensville/Emporia</i>	27	72	38%
023	<i>Botetourt</i>	1	19	5%	083	<i>Halifax</i>	18	64	28%
025	<i>Brunswick</i>	36	56	64%	085	<i>Hanover</i>	15	56	27%
027	<i>Buchanan</i>	14	40	35%	087	<i>Henrico</i>	236	789	30%
029	<i>Buckingham</i>	10	23	43%	089	<i>Henry/Martinsville</i>	67	214	31%
031	<i>Campbell</i>	52	149	35%	091	<i>Highland</i>	0	2	0%
033	<i>Caroline</i>	14	101	14%	093	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	21	46	46%
035	<i>Carroll</i>	15	36	42%	095	<i>James City</i>	20	68	29%
036	<i>Charles City</i>	2	14	14%	097	<i>King and Queen</i>	2	9	22%
037	<i>Charlotte</i>	9	39	23%	099	<i>King George</i>	6	18	33%
041	<i>Chesterfield/Col Hgts</i>	177	469	38%	101	<i>King William</i>	6	19	32%
043	<i>Clarke</i>	1	6	17%	103	<i>Lancaster</i>	5	23	22%
045	<i>Craig</i>	2	7	29%	105	<i>Lee</i>	44	104	42%
047	<i>Culpeper</i>	32	64	50%	107	<i>Loudoun</i>	30	102	29%
049	<i>Cumberland</i>	11	38	29%	109	<i>Louisa</i>	20	43	47%
051	<i>Dickensen</i>	6	14	43%	111	<i>Lunenburg</i>	16	29	55%
053	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	14	38	37%	113	<i>Madison</i>	3	8	38%
057	<i>Essex</i>	15	39	38%	115	<i>Mathews</i>	4	16	25%
059	<i>Fairfax/Falls Church</i>	218	553	39%	117	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	17	49	35%

Estimated TANF Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	5	16	31%	187	Warren	26	61	43%
121	Montgomery	52	117	44%	191	Washington	35	64	55%
125	Nelson	4	14	29%	193	Westmoreland	18	40	45%
127	New Kent	4	27	15%	195	Wise	44	149	30%
131	Northampton	20	51	39%	197	Wythe	15	37	41%
133	Northumberland	5	10	50%	199	York/Poquoson	25	78	32%
135	Nottoway	30	70	43%	510	Alexandria	80	184	43%
137	Orange	17	43	40%	520	Bristol	70	136	51%
139	Page	11	31	35%	540	Charlottesville	77	183	42%
141	Patrick	21	55	38%	550	Chesapeake	228	548	42%
143	Pittsylvania	20	69	29%	590	Danville	77	174	44%
145	Powhatan	4	18	22%	620	Franklin City	25	53	47%
147	Prince Edward	30	56	54%	630	Fredericksburg	38	91	42%
149	Prince George	8	38	21%	640	Galax	7	31	23%
153	Prince William	221	502	44%	650	Hampton	286	668	43%
155	Pulaski	25	68	37%	670	Hopewell	26	163	16%
157	Rappahannock	0	4	0%	680	Lynchburg	90	254	35%
159	Richmond County	5	13	38%	683	Manassas	14	57	25%
161	Roanoke County	35	104	34%	685	Manassas Park	3	12	25%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	16	31	52%	700	Newport News	361	834	43%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	39	101	39%	710	Norfolk	584	1,150	51%
167	Russell	23	71	32%	720	Norton	5	23	22%
169	Scott	31	61	51%	730	Petersburg	112	274	41%
171	Shenandoah	6	17	35%	740	Portsmouth	197	505	39%
173	Smyth	32	102	31%	750	Radford	14	36	39%
175	Southampton	15	43	35%	760	Richmond City	477	1,393	34%
177	Spotsylvania	94	204	46%	770	Roanoke City	117	483	24%
179	Stafford	48	142	34%	800	Suffolk	58	121	48%
181	Surry	4	21	19%	810	Virginia Beach	160	411	39%
183	Sussex	11	37	30%	830	Williamsburg	5	16	31%
185	Tazewell	33	98	34%	840	Winchester	16	55	29%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are for the TANF all family rate not including VTP.

Estimated VTP only Work Participation Rates for May

These are estimated locality May 2012 work participation rates that include only clients receiving VTP. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated May statewide rate is 92% with a numerator of 1,991 and a denominator of 2,181. TANF only estimates are on pages iii and iv.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	4	4	100%	061	Fauquier	10	10	100%
003	Albemarle	15	17	88%	063	Floyd	1	1	100%
005	Alleghany/Covington	5	5	100%	065	Fluvanna	4	4	100%
007	Amelia	1	1	100%	067	Franklin County	5	5	100%
009	Amherst	7	7	100%	069	Frederick	9	13	69%
011	Appomattox	5	5	100%	071	Giles	2	2	100%
013	Arlington	19	19	100%	073	Gloucester	6	7	86%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	50	50	100%	075	Goochland	6	6	100%
017	Bath	0	0	N/A	077	Grayson	5	5	100%
019	Bedford County/City	10	10	100%	079	Greene	1	1	100%
021	Bland	1	1	100%	081	Greensville/Emporia	10	11	91%
023	Botetourt	1	2	50%	083	Halifax	6	6	100%
025	Brunswick	7	8	88%	085	Hanover	12	14	86%
027	Buchanan	7	7	100%	087	Henrico	76	97	78%
029	Buckingham	6	7	86%	089	Henry/Martinsville	27	29	93%
031	Campbell	23	26	88%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	1	11	9%	093	Isle of Wight	7	8	88%
035	Carroll	9	9	100%	095	James City	6	8	75%
036	Charles City	1	1	100%	097	King and Queen	1	1	100%
037	Charlotte	5	5	100%	099	King George	3	3	100%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	65	70	93%	101	King William	1	1	100%
043	Clarke	1	1	100%	103	Lancaster	2	3	67%
045	Craig	2	2	100%	105	Lee	14	15	93%
047	Culpeper	13	14	93%	107	Loudoun	42	43	98%
049	Cumberland	1	1	100%	109	Louisa	9	9	100%
051	Dickensen	4	4	100%	111	Lunenburg	5	6	83%
053	Dinwiddie	7	7	100%	113	Madison	1	1	100%
057	Essex	4	4	100%	115	Mathews	1	1	100%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	134	142	94%	117	Mecklenburg	8	8	100%

Estimated VTP Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	0	1	0%	187	Warren	9	12	75%
121	Montgomery	20	20	100%	191	Washington	3	3	100%
125	Nelson	4	4	100%	193	Westmoreland	3	3	100%
127	New Kent	1	3	33%	195	Wise	19	20	95%
131	Northampton	2	2	100%	197	Wythe	9	9	100%
133	Northumberland	3	3	100%	199	York/Poquoson	9	9	100%
135	Nottoway	15	15	100%	510	Alexandria	42	44	95%
137	Orange	3	3	100%	520	Bristol	8	9	89%
139	Page	7	7	100%	540	Charlottesville	19	21	90%
141	Patrick	10	10	100%	550	Chesapeake	54	63	86%
143	Pittsylvania	2	2	100%	590	Danville	17	21	81%
145	Powhatan	4	4	100%	620	Franklin City	4	4	100%
147	Prince Edward	7	7	100%	630	Fredericksburg	23	24	96%
149	Prince George	5	5	100%	640	Galax	4	5	80%
153	Prince William	124	128	97%	650	Hampton	86	103	83%
155	Pulaski	4	5	80%	670	Hopewell	5	13	38%
157	Rappahannock	0	0	N/A	680	Lynchburg	36	36	100%
159	Richmond County	0	0	N/A	683	Manassas	16	18	89%
161	Roanoke County	35	35	100%	685	Manassas Park	5	5	100%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	3	3	100%	700	Newport News	100	109	92%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	41	42	98%	710	Norfolk	89	91	98%
167	Russell	7	7	100%	720	Norton	3	3	100%
169	Scott	9	10	90%	730	Petersburg	31	40	78%
171	Shenandoah	3	4	75%	740	Portsmouth	52	53	98%
173	Smyth	9	10	90%	750	Radford	4	4	100%
175	Southampton	5	6	83%	760	Richmond City	93	113	82%
177	Spotsylvania	25	30	83%	770	Roanoke City	55	58	95%
179	Stafford	18	19	95%	800	Suffolk	22	23	96%
181	Surry	2	2	100%	810	Virginia Beach	89	92	97%
183	Sussex	4	4	100%	830	Williamsburg	0	2	0%
185	Tazewell	15	15	100%	840	Winchester	7	7	100%

All VTP recipients are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” Thus, all VTP cases are in the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, job follow-up entries are reviewed to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. The basic requirement for VTP recipients is employment for at least 30 hours per week. The requirement is contained in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are also sometimes referred to as the rates for the maintenance of effort program caseload. .

TANF

TANF Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	May-12	May-11	Change from May-11	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	5,102	4,940	3%	4,807	6%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	2,787	2,483	12%	1,658	68%
Applications Approved	2,085	2,078	0%	2,820	-26%
Cases Closed *	2,408	2,470	-3%	4,476	-46%
Cases at End of Month	30,604	32,825	-7%	67,995	-55%
Cases Paid During Month ²	31,661	33,819	-6%	70,403	-55%

•The database indicates that of the 2,408 closed cases, 1,020 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 765 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 211 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 148 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

TANF-UP

TANF-UP Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	May-12	May-11	Change from May-11	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	471	481	-2%	338	39%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	285	253	13%	232	23%
Applications Approved	216	211	2%	81	167%
Cases Closed *	234	301	-22%	107	119%
Cases at End of Month	1,744	2,067	-16%	321	443%
Cases Paid During Month ²	1,857	2,187	-15%	394	371%

•The database indicates that of the 234 closed cases, 142 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 109 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 35 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 28 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

Statewide - Status through May 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/12 and Work Activity as of 5/31/12

Current Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	32,348	1,582	1,019	2,479	892	549	3,539	344	639	1,248	1,423	994	5,434	1,080	402	3,410	1,141	5,877	296
Total Enrolled in VIEW	13,124	526	431	884	334	241	1,355	167	315	569	480	467	2,253	628	161	1,383	534	2,303	93
Part. in a Work Activity	9,776	410	356	627	256	167	1,102	124	269	400	350	367	1,604	409	123	1,040	341	1,779	52
% of Enrolled	74%	78%	83%	71%	77%	69%	81%	74%	85%	70%	73%	79%	71%	65%	76%	75%	64%	77%	56%
Part. Assigned to Inactive	115	4	1	11	0	8	6	7	3	4	1	4	16	5	3	16	4	22	0
Participants Employed	6,602	295	211	503	223	114	692	93	163	274	217	246	1,000	294	86	700	242	1,201	48
% of Enrolled	50%	56%	49%	57%	67%	47%	51%	56%	52%	48%	45%	53%	44%	47%	53%	51%	45%	52%	52%
Full Time	5,209	266	186	419	204	98	520	77	114	197	180	161	738	206	68	532	192	1,018	33
Part Time	1,393	29	25	84	19	16	172	16	49	77	37	85	262	88	18	168	50	183	15
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.22	\$7.66	\$7.83	\$8.09	\$8.07	\$8.42	\$9.32	\$8.73	\$8.35	\$7.93	\$7.83	\$7.72	\$8.33	\$8.59	\$7.93	\$8.20	\$7.89	\$7.98	\$8.10
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,098	\$1,059	\$1,081	\$1,112	\$1,166	\$1,161	\$1,229	\$1,192	\$1,029	\$1,036	\$1,099	\$956	\$1,103	\$1,126	\$1,029	\$1,086	\$1,072	\$1,063	\$1,055

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – May 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	27,172	1,261	1,024	2,378	901	575	2,915	326	569	1,064	1,182	884	3,783	1,053	356	2,925	809	4,918	249
Total Enrolled in VIEW	33,874	1,494	1,206	2,686	1,003	691	3,786	386	729	1,449	1,351	1,149	5,145	1,372	426	3,687	1,156	5,893	265
Part. in a Work Activity	30,346	1,389	1,135	2,323	933	602	3,462	345	689	1,276	1,228	1,049	4,571	1,163	383	3,293	977	5,289	239
% of Total Enrolled	90%	93%	94%	86%	93%	87%	91%	89%	95%	88%	91%	91%	89%	85%	90%	89%	85%	90%	90%
Participants Employed	17,362	820	613	1,372	624	351	2,101	216	423	753	575	563	2,423	667	214	1,856	569	3,106	116
% of Total Enrolled	51%	55%	51%	51%	62%	51%	55%	56%	58%	52%	43%	49%	47%	49%	50%	50%	49%	53%	44%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.41	\$7.73	\$7.84	\$8.16	\$8.24	\$8.43	\$9.96	\$8.68	\$8.64	\$8.00	\$7.85	\$7.91	\$8.42	\$8.78	\$8.07	\$8.25	\$8.12	\$8.09	\$8.37
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,091	\$1,045	\$1,072	\$1,108	\$1,116	\$1,123	\$1,297	\$1,147	\$1,018	\$1,009	\$1,036	\$977	\$1,081	\$1,095	\$1,025	\$1,058	\$1,091	\$1,039	\$1,033
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	68%	64%	64%	73%	64%	74%	76%	71%	71%	67%	71%	75%	76%	63%	71%	75%	71%	78%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	273,043	16,945	10,313	20,385	8,019	5,275	33,916	3,871	5,913	10,286	12,665	7,872	36,392	8,506	3,592	25,960	9,188	51,468	2,477
Total Enrolled in VIEW ⁵	209,687	13,015	8,666	15,643	6,160	3,936	26,199	2,925	4,559	8,538	10,161	6,095	28,504	6,261	2,674	19,568	7,197	37,764	1,822
Part. in a Work Activity	200,534	12,519	8,316	14,898	5,998	3,717	25,298	2,818	4,450	8,059	9,829	5,826	27,393	5,918	2,504	18,630	6,866	35,734	1,761
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	96%	95%	97%	94%	97%	96%	98%	94%	97%	96%	96%	95%	94%	95%	95%	95%	97%
Participants Employed	141,700	8,190	5,607	10,596	4,296	2,564	18,476	1,971	3,401	5,824	6,241	3,703	19,219	4,101	1,670	13,561	4,905	26,346	1,029
% of Total Enrolled	68%	63%	65%	68%	70%	65%	71%	67%	75%	68%	61%	61%	67%	66%	62%	69%	68%	70%	56%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.17	\$6.22	\$6.33	\$7.10	\$7.17	\$7.37	\$8.68	\$7.47	\$7.40	\$6.61	\$6.39	\$6.78	\$7.36	\$7.93	\$7.00	\$7.03	\$7.00	\$6.87	\$6.73
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$946	\$820	\$859	\$953	\$952	\$986	\$1,151	\$984	\$944	\$856	\$853	\$875	\$985	\$1,019	\$893	\$919	\$944	\$897	\$847
Three Months Employment ⁹	67%	67%	62%	61%	67%	63%	71%	65%	63%	64%	63%	66%	70%	65%	57%	66%	66%	67%	72%

EDD1 - Southwest Region: Status through May 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/12 and Work Activity as of 5/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,582	139	64	294	191	165	230	453	46
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	526	32	16	117	72	60	76	137	16
Participants in a Work Activity	410	20	14	99	65	46	66	89	11
% of Enrolled	78%	63%	88%	85%	90%	77%	87%	65%	69%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	0	0	0	3	0	0	1	0
Participants Employed	295	13	10	69	30	36	51	75	11
% of Enrolled	56%	41%	63%	59%	42%	60%	67%	55%	69%
Full Time	266	12	10	63	25	34	47	65	10
Part Time	29	1	0	6	5	2	4	10	1
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.66	\$7.46	\$8.09	\$7.56	\$7.72	\$7.58	\$7.76	\$7.65	\$7.90
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,059	\$999	\$1,245	\$1,041	\$968	\$1,027	\$1,084	\$1,079	\$1,166

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – May 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	1,261	59	51	235	161	139	247	341	28
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,494	56	84	288	199	195	252	381	39
Participants in a Work Activity	1,389	46	77	283	187	181	248	333	34
% of Total Enrolled	93%	82%	92%	98%	94%	93%	98%	87%	87%
Participants Employed	820	27	47	173	91	107	156	194	25
% of Total Enrolled	55%	48%	56%	60%	46%	55%	62%	51%	64%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.73	\$7.70	\$8.07	\$7.59	\$7.74	\$7.60	\$7.86	\$7.79	\$7.43
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,045	\$1,043	\$1,157	\$982	\$962	\$1,013	\$1,093	\$1,099	\$1,020
Three Months Employment ⁸	68%	78%	52%	72%	69%	78%	66%	64%	57%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	16,945	1,644	1,180	2,389	2,324	1,665	3,242	3,959	542
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	13,015	1,250	917	1,818	1,867	1,214	2,473	3,049	427
Participants in a Work Activity	12,519	1,168	866	1,805	1,776	1,192	2,386	2,932	394
% of Total Enrolled	96%	93%	94%	99%	95%	98%	96%	96%	92%
Participants Employed	8,190	664	552	1,190	1,145	712	1,678	1,929	320
% of Total Enrolled	63%	53%	60%	65%	61%	59%	68%	63%	75%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.22	\$6.39	\$6.28	\$5.98	\$6.41	\$6.52	\$6.14	\$6.22	\$6.03
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$820	\$836	\$832	\$788	\$851	\$882	\$810	\$817	\$746
Three Months Employment ⁹	67%	63%	56%	72%	67%	77%	66%	67%	72%

EDD2 – Bristol-Galax Region: Status through May 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/12 and Work Activity as of 5/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,019	14	119	45	197	193	99	290	62
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	431	4	54	15	82	64	24	164	24
Participants in a Work Activity	356	3	44	13	73	55	18	136	14
% of Enrolled	83%	75%	81%	87%	89%	86%	75%	83%	58%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Participants Employed	211	1	28	7	39	47	18	60	11
% of Enrolled	49%	25%	52%	47%	48%	73%	75%	37%	46%
Full Time	186	1	24	6	35	45	15	51	9
Part Time	25	0	4	1	4	2	3	9	2
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.83	\$10.00	\$7.87	\$8.02	\$8.08	\$7.82	\$7.56	\$7.65	\$8.09
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,081	\$1,732	\$1,069	\$1,139	\$1,164	\$1,102	\$1,033	\$1,030	\$1,000

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – May 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	1,024	18	113	36	215	156	118	302	66
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,206	13	140	47	249	174	124	371	88
Participants in a Work Activity	1,135	11	129	46	240	166	117	344	82
% of Total Enrolled	94%	85%	92%	98%	96%	95%	94%	93%	93%
Participants Employed	613	6	80	28	106	98	80	171	44
% of Total Enrolled	51%	46%	57%	60%	43%	56%	65%	46%	50%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.84	\$8.96	\$7.75	\$8.05	\$7.94	\$7.89	\$7.89	\$7.71	\$7.88
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,072	\$1,456	\$1,021	\$1,120	\$1,129	\$1,072	\$1,064	\$1,040	\$1,094
Three Months Employment ⁸	64%	25%	61%	60%	65%	63%	63%	63%	77%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	10,313	255	1,390	655	1,798	1,771	1,551	2,171	722
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	8,666	193	1,148	533	1,515	1,478	1,231	1,934	634
Participants in a Work Activity	8,316	181	1,057	497	1,461	1,445	1,207	1,864	604
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	92%	93%	96%	98%	98%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	5,607	116	764	353	875	957	823	1,321	398
% of Total Enrolled	65%	60%	67%	66%	58%	65%	67%	68%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.33	\$6.23	\$6.15	\$6.37	\$6.14	\$6.59	\$6.33	\$6.39	\$6.31
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$859	\$855	\$826	\$851	\$842	\$893	\$840	\$870	\$889
Three Months Employment ⁹	62%	56%	54%	61%	64%	58%	67%	62%	70%

EDD3 – Roanoke Region: Status through May 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/12 and Work Activity as of 5/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	2,479	91	42	12	63	233	60	303	187	279	62	1,147
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	884	28	17	9	20	106	25	108	61	100	23	387
Participants in a Work Activity	627	23	7	3	19	76	20	89	52	85	19	234
% of Enrolled	71%	82%	41%	33%	95%	72%	80%	82%	85%	85%	83%	60%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	11	3	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	3	0	2
Participants Employed	503	12	6	2	15	41	12	85	38	63	16	213
% of Enrolled	57%	43%	35%	22%	75%	39%	48%	79%	62%	63%	70%	55%
Full Time	419	9	5	2	13	32	12	73	33	49	12	179
Part Time	84	3	1	0	2	9	0	12	5	14	4	34
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.09	\$7.90	\$7.86	\$7.25	\$8.02	\$8.11	\$8.06	\$7.79	\$7.78	\$8.57	\$7.90	\$8.16
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,112	\$1,100	\$1,115	\$1,099	\$1,050	\$1,095	\$1,206	\$1,049	\$1,099	\$1,122	\$1,034	\$1,147

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – May 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	2,378	67	29	20	58	223	52	272	187	209	73	1,188
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,686	95	39	23	56	267	53	372	195	294	84	1208
Participants in a Work Activity	2,323	87	24	17	49	230	51	340	177	260	70	1018
% of Total Enrolled	86%	92%	62%	74%	88%	86%	96%	91%	91%	88%	83%	84%
Participants Employed	1,372	47	12	9	27	106	24	249	101	197	50	550
% of Total Enrolled	51%	49%	31%	39%	48%	40%	45%	67%	52%	67%	60%	46%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.16	\$8.06	\$8.13	\$7.61	\$8.01	\$8.33	\$7.81	\$7.93	\$7.82	\$8.55	\$8.08	\$8.20
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,108	\$1,085	\$1,122	\$1,118	\$1,070	\$1,076	\$1,044	\$1,064	\$1,092	\$1,161	\$1,075	\$1,129
Three Months Employment ⁸	64%	62%	60%	83%	55%	54%	55%	69%	58%	65%	77%	65%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	20,385	1,136	343	95	524	1,786	519	2,896	1,781	2,229	794	8,282
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	15,643	860	216	54	381	1366	377	2,362	1414	1787	618	6,208
Participants in a Work Activity	14,898	827	190	49	368	1223	369	2,327	1362	1686	594	5,903
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	88%	91%	97%	90%	98%	99%	96%	94%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	10,596	609	94	27	252	845	221	1,854	901	1,323	435	4,035
% of Total Enrolled	68%	71%	44%	50%	66%	62%	59%	78%	64%	74%	70%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.10	\$6.85	\$7.31	\$7.21	\$6.96	\$7.00	\$7.07	\$6.93	\$6.78	\$7.60	\$7.00	\$7.17
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$953	\$836	\$937	\$1,041	\$928	\$946	\$956	\$933	\$939	\$1,040	\$906	\$969
Three Months Employment ⁹	61%	59%	58%	65%	56%	58%	53%	64%	54%	59%	61%	62%

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: Status through May 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/12 and Work Activity as of 5/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	892	523	6	1	79	283
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	334	183	3	1	34	113
Participants in a Work Activity	256	135	2	1	26	92
% of Enrolled	77%	74%	67%	100%	76%	81%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	223	127	0	1	19	76
% of Enrolled	67%	69%	0%	100%	56%	67%
Full Time	204	113	N/A	1	17	73
Part Time	19	14	N/A	0	2	3
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.07	\$8.00	N/A	\$8.00	\$8.13	\$8.18
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,166	\$1,136	N/A	\$1,386	\$1,104	\$1,230

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – May 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	901	530	4	1	55	311
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,003	603	7	1	74	318
Participants in a Work Activity	933	568	6	1	54	304
% of Total Enrolled	93%	94%	86%	100%	73%	96%
Participants Employed	624	352	3	1	38	230
% of Total Enrolled	62%	58%	43%	100%	51%	72%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.24	\$8.21	\$8.17	\$8.00	\$7.89	\$8.32
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,116	\$1,098	\$930	\$1,376	\$944	\$1,169
Three Months Employment ⁸	73%	74%	100%	N/A	78%	69%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	8,019	4,301	79	19	711	2,909
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	6,160	3,361	50	8	528	2,213
Participants in a Work Activity	5,998	3,298	50	8	487	2,155
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	100%	100%	92%	97%
Participants Employed	4,296	2,254	31	6	377	1,628
% of Total Enrolled	70%	67%	62%	75%	71%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.17	\$7.16	\$6.54	\$7.79	\$6.74	\$7.28
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$952	\$957	\$709	\$784	\$864	\$970
Three Months Employment ⁹	67%	67%	63%	83%	67%	68%

VIEW Work Activity

EDDS 5 & 18 – Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: Status through May 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/12 and Work Activity as of 5/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	549	22	178	65	40	114	130	296	208	88
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	241	4	78	31	14	57	57	93	46	47
Participants in a Work Activity	167	3	46	29	9	39	41	52	26	26
% of Enrolled	69%	75%	59%	94%	64%	68%	72%	56%	57%	55%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	8	0	0	2	0	1	5	0	0	0
Participants Employed	114	3	32	21	5	25	28	48	22	26
% of Enrolled	47%	75%	41%	68%	36%	44%	49%	52%	48%	55%
Full Time	98	3	26	20	5	22	22	33	16	17
Part Time	16	0	6	1	0	3	6	15	6	9
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.42	\$8.92	\$8.95	\$8.04	\$8.00	\$8.46	\$8.08	\$8.10	\$8.21	\$8.01
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,161	\$1,429	\$1,213	\$1,201	\$1,096	\$1,162	\$1,052	\$1,055	\$1,106	\$1,013

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – May 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	575	17	166	77	52	137	126	249	155	94
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	691	18	205	97	49	165	157	265	146	119
Participants in a Work Activity	602	14	170	96	46	138	138	239	123	116
% of Total Enrolled	87%	78%	83%	99%	94%	84%	88%	90%	84%	97%
Participants Employed	351	8	95	56	19	80	93	116	49	67
% of Total Enrolled	51%	44%	46%	58%	39%	48%	59%	44%	34%	56%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.43	\$8.83	\$8.62	\$8.13	\$8.46	\$8.71	\$8.17	\$8.37	\$8.37	\$8.36
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,123	\$1,217	\$1,122	\$1,106	\$1,170	\$1,180	\$1,073	\$1,033	\$1,043	\$1,026
Three Months Employment ⁸	64%	60%	71%	65%	69%	58%	59%	78%	77%	79%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	5,275	205	1139	806	645	1263	1217	2,477	1566	911
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	3,936	124	851	656	392	953	960	1,822	1122	700
Participants in a Work Activity	3,717	116	790	641	379	881	910	1761	1094	667
% of Total Enrolled	94%	94%	93%	98%	97%	92%	95%	97%	98%	95%
Participants Employed	2,564	74	486	456	236	652	660	1,029	577	452
% of Total Enrolled	65%	60%	57%	70%	60%	68%	69%	56%	51%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.37	\$7.46	\$7.75	\$7.32	\$6.74	\$7.45	\$7.28	\$6.73	\$6.77	\$6.69
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$986	\$989	\$1,010	\$991	\$925	\$1,009	\$964	\$847	\$850	\$844
Three Months Employment ⁹	63%	63%	62%	60%	70%	69%	59%	72%	65%	80%

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: Status through May 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/12 and Work Activity as of 5/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	3,539	234	1,414	217	1,097	390	150	37
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,355	56	482	142	480	141	48	6
Participants in a Work Activity	1,102	43	429	95	363	124	42	6
% of Enrolled	81%	77%	89%	67%	76%	88%	88%	100%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	6	0	4	0	1	1	0	0
Participants Employed	692	23	249	59	271	67	19	4
% of Enrolled	51%	41%	52%	42%	56%	48%	40%	67%
Full Time	520	18	181	34	222	47	14	4
Part Time	172	5	68	25	49	20	5	0
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.32	\$10.24	\$9.24	\$9.71	\$9.22	\$9.45	\$9.36	\$8.12
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,229	\$1,448	\$1,193	\$1,167	\$1,259	\$1,214	\$1,267	\$1,190

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – May 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	2,915	165	1,062	234	959	349	115	31
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	3,786	228	1,348	328	1,307	400	155	20
Participants in a Work Activity	3,462	217	1,257	284	1,167	366	151	20
% of Total Enrolled	91%	95%	93%	87%	89%	92%	97%	100%
Participants Employed	2,101	118	762	194	736	204	73	14
% of Total Enrolled	55%	52%	57%	59%	56%	51%	47%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.96	\$11.20	\$10.05	\$10.71	\$9.43	\$10.32	\$9.91	\$8.84
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,297	\$1,324	\$1,329	\$1,399	\$1,224	\$1,339	\$1,328	\$1,275
Three Months Employment ⁸	74%	65%	73%	80%	74%	81%	67%	100%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	33,916	2,862	11,994	2,406	10,880	3,888	1,458	428
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	26,199	2,241	9,062	1,919	8,460	3,081	1,126	310
Participants in a Work Activity	25,298	2,220	8,747	1,834	8,143	2,950	1,096	308
% of Total Enrolled	97%	99%	97%	96%	96%	96%	97%	99%
Participants Employed	18,476	1,617	6,138	1,480	6,126	2,164	725	226
% of Total Enrolled	71%	72%	68%	77%	72%	70%	64%	73%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.68	\$8.30	\$8.71	\$9.24	\$8.77	\$8.38	\$8.21	\$8.13
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,151	\$1,069	\$1,149	\$1,195	\$1,191	\$1,075	\$1,105	\$1,084
Three Months Employment ⁹	71%	70%	69%	72%	71%	77%	71%	78%

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: Status through May 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/12 and Work Activity as of 5/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	344	141	85	26	88	4
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	167	77	34	9	43	4
Participants in a Work Activity	124	53	29	6	35	1
% of Enrolled	74%	69%	85%	67%	81%	25%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	7	3	2	0	2	0
Participants Employed	93	45	21	3	24	0
% of Enrolled	56%	58%	62%	33%	56%	0%
Full Time	77	38	14	3	22	N/A
Part Time	16	7	7	0	2	N/A
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.73	\$8.54	\$9.56	\$8.17	\$8.45	N/A
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,192	\$1,156	\$1,283	\$1,194	\$1,179	N/A

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – May 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	326	136	107	27	50	6
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	386	173	114	25	69	5
Participants in a Work Activity	345	148	109	24	61	3
% of Total Enrolled	89%	86%	96%	96%	88%	60%
Participants Employed	216	91	68	11	45	1
% of Total Enrolled	56%	53%	60%	44%	65%	20%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.68	\$8.57	\$9.04	\$8.16	\$8.46	\$8.00
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,147	\$1,135	\$1,188	\$1,221	\$1,085	\$1,204
Three Months Employment ⁸	76%	80%	74%	50%	80%	N/A

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	3,871	1,487	1,147	360	779	98
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	2,925	1,081	881	264	635	64
Participants in a Work Activity	2,818	1,038	871	256	592	61
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	99%	97%	93%	95%
Participants Employed	1,971	702	606	164	459	40
% of Total Enrolled	67%	65%	69%	62%	72%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.47	\$7.43	\$7.82	\$7.15	\$7.21	\$7.27
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$984	\$966	\$1,020	\$997	\$963	\$958
Three Months Employment ⁹	65%	67%	69%	61%	59%	45%

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: Status through May 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/12 and Work Activity as of 5/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	639	125	55	36	98	32	293
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	315	38	22	12	47	9	187
Participants in a Work Activity	269	36	18	5	37	7	166
% of Enrolled	85%	95%	82%	42%	79%	78%	89%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	0	1	1	1	0
Participants Employed	163	26	8	5	28	7	89
% of Enrolled	52%	68%	36%	42%	60%	78%	48%
Full Time	114	19	6	4	22	6	57
Part Time	49	7	2	1	6	1	32
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.35	\$8.81	\$7.91	\$7.58	\$8.63	\$8.47	\$8.21
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,029	\$1,114	\$967	\$1,025	\$1,183	\$1,075	\$958

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – May 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	569	109	44	40	101	36	239
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	729	123	50	49	112	37	358
Participants in a Work Activity	689	118	48	42	103	29	349
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	96%	86%	92%	78%	97%
Participants Employed	423	76	19	25	66	18	219
% of Total Enrolled	58%	62%	38%	51%	59%	49%	61%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.64	\$8.81	\$9.27	\$8.32	\$8.91	\$8.89	\$8.45
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,018	\$1,142	\$1,043	\$968	\$1,134	\$1,191	\$924
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	70%	71%	40%	78%	91%	70%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	5,913	1371	257	459	929	300	2,597
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	4,559	990	177	326	682	211	2,173
Participants in a Work Activity	4,450	966	172	308	656	207	2,141
% of Total Enrolled	98%	98%	97%	94%	96%	98%	99%
Participants Employed	3,401	720	113	247	428	132	1,761
% of Total Enrolled	75%	73%	64%	76%	63%	63%	81%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.40	\$7.79	\$7.70	\$7.33	\$7.62	\$7.75	\$7.25
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$944	\$1,006	\$1,049	\$914	\$984	\$1,016	\$918
Three Months Employment ⁹	63%	57%	61%	56%	64%	62%	66%

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: Status through May 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/12 and Work Activity as of 5/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,248	87	84	190	300	587
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	569	26	45	90	187	221
Participants in a Work Activity	400	17	42	71	132	138
% of Enrolled	70%	65%	93%	79%	71%	62%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	3	0	1	0	0
Participants Employed	274	15	31	44	79	105
% of Enrolled	48%	58%	69%	49%	42%	48%
Full Time	197	11	31	26	52	77
Part Time	77	4	0	18	27	28
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.93	\$8.17	\$7.82	\$8.10	\$8.04	\$7.79
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,036	\$1,066	\$1,122	\$961	\$1,057	\$1,022

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – May 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	1,064	76	77	181	223	507
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,449	88	102	237	396	626
Participants in a Work Activity	1,276	77	99	205	354	541
% of Total Enrolled	88%	88%	97%	86%	89%	86%
Participants Employed	753	48	62	120	205	318
% of Total Enrolled	52%	55%	61%	51%	52%	51%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.00	\$8.00	\$7.76	\$8.20	\$8.17	\$7.86
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,009	\$1,046	\$1,128	\$1,004	\$1,042	\$963
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	55%	88%	73%	78%	66%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	10,286	957	801	2,069	2,397	4,062
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	8,538	769	701	1,664	2,055	3,349
Participants in a Work Activity	8,059	732	691	1,549	1,932	3,155
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	99%	93%	94%	94%
Participants Employed	5,824	513	498	1,082	1,401	2,330
% of Total Enrolled	68%	67%	71%	65%	68%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.61	\$6.79	\$6.29	\$6.68	\$6.82	\$6.50
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$856	\$867	\$869	\$856	\$897	\$830
Three Months Employment ⁹	64%	60%	56%	61%	64%	69%

EDD10 - Danville Region: Status through May 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/12 and Work Activity as of 5/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,423	205	454	95	221	448
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	480	48	185	74	55	118
Participants in a Work Activity	350	25	130	59	32	104
% of Enrolled	73%	52%	70%	80%	58%	88%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	0	1	0
Participants Employed	217	21	81	34	25	56
% of Enrolled	45%	44%	44%	46%	45%	47%
Full Time	180	18	63	27	22	50
Part Time	37	3	18	7	3	6
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.83	\$8.02	\$7.89	\$7.50	\$7.65	\$7.96
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,099	\$1,166	\$1,082	\$1,082	\$1,071	\$1,121

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – May 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	1,182	152	373	122	172	363
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,351	180	454	161	181	375
Participants in a Work Activity	1,228	158	407	147	158	358
% of Total Enrolled	91%	88%	90%	91%	87%	95%
Participants Employed	575	68	212	83	76	136
% of Total Enrolled	43%	38%	47%	52%	42%	36%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.85	\$7.91	\$7.86	\$7.56	\$7.93	\$7.95
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,036	\$1,035	\$1,030	\$1,003	\$1,055	\$1,057
Three Months Employment ⁸	67%	63%	67%	78%	48%	74%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	12,665	1,964	3,411	1,252	2,063	3,975
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	10,161	1,634	2,600	1,084	1,581	3,262
Participants in a Work Activity	9,829	1,577	2,491	1,022	1,553	3,186
% of Total Enrolled	97%	97%	96%	94%	98%	98%
Participants Employed	6,241	920	1,644	722	862	2,093
% of Total Enrolled	61%	56%	63%	67%	55%	64%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.39	\$6.36	\$6.80	\$6.27	\$6.40	\$6.15
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$853	\$839	\$937	\$818	\$866	\$803
Three Months Employment ⁹	63%	58%	64%	75%	60%	62%

EDD11 - Southside Region: Status through May 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/12 and Work Activity as of 5/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	994	72	109	63	82	67	139	55	156	119	132
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	467	36	58	28	38	35	58	30	49	69	66
Participants in a Work Activity	367	30	46	26	34	28	40	23	38	44	58
% of Enrolled	79%	83%	79%	93%	89%	80%	69%	77%	78%	64%	88%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	246	18	44	16	14	14	23	18	25	38	36
% of Enrolled	53%	50%	76%	57%	37%	40%	40%	60%	51%	55%	55%
Full Time	161	14	22	15	8	10	9	13	14	29	27
Part Time	85	4	22	1	6	4	14	5	11	9	9
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.72	\$8.69	\$7.36	\$7.96	\$7.84	\$8.16	\$7.59	\$7.53	\$7.40	\$7.64	\$7.85
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$956	\$1,104	\$838	\$1,141	\$895	\$950	\$839	\$1,008	\$888	\$950	\$1,072

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – May 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	884	69	94	71	89	44	108	64	115	113	117
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,149	68	132	90	101	65	158	84	142	159	150
Participants in a Work Activity	1,049	62	125	87	87	54	145	80	131	136	142
% of Total Enrolled	91%	91%	95%	97%	86%	83%	92%	95%	92%	86%	95%
Participants Employed	563	33	59	42	37	32	60	43	79	95	83
% of Total Enrolled	49%	49%	45%	47%	37%	49%	38%	51%	56%	60%	55%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.91	\$8.26	\$7.42	\$7.99	\$8.06	\$8.31	\$7.85	\$7.96	\$7.87	\$7.98	\$7.91
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$977	\$959	\$873	\$1,102	\$924	\$987	\$917	\$1,011	\$934	\$1,029	\$1,043
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	89%	82%	72%	54%	50%	71%	76%	58%	86%	72%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	7,872	511	983	769	685	529	927	358	1140	942	1028
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	6,095	366	787	579	500	389	736	278	897	726	837
Participants in a Work Activity	5,826	346	783	545	461	370	703	274	854	673	817
% of Total Enrolled	96%	95%	99%	94%	92%	95%	96%	99%	95%	93%	98%
Participants Employed	3,703	219	443	328	254	223	422	138	594	489	593
% of Total Enrolled	61%	60%	56%	57%	51%	57%	57%	50%	66%	67%	71%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.78	\$7.44	\$6.23	\$7.03	\$7.23	\$7.27	\$6.72	\$6.39	\$6.58	\$6.78	\$6.76
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$875	\$957	\$820	\$942	\$923	\$946	\$820	\$789	\$839	\$897	\$881
Three Months Employment ⁹	66%	65%	67%	62%	57%	57%	64%	64%	68%	69%	69%

EDD12 - Richmond Region: Status through May 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/12 and Work Activity as of 5/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	5,434	1,021	42	127	1,535	50	2,659
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,253	371	26	51	793	18	994
Participants in a Work Activity	1,604	277	23	29	533	16	726
% of Enrolled	71%	75%	88%	57%	67%	89%	73%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	16	0	1	0	7	0	8
Participants Employed	1,000	187	10	22	382	6	393
% of Enrolled	44%	50%	38%	43%	48%	33%	40%
Full Time	738	150	3	19	269	6	291
Part Time	262	37	7	3	113	0	102
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.33	\$8.66	\$8.05	\$8.32	\$8.44	\$7.53	\$8.08
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,103	\$1,169	\$824	\$1,189	\$1,113	\$1,208	\$1,061

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – May 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	3,783	781	30	133	1,013	38	1,788
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	5,145	968	52	140	1,623	47	2,315
Participants in a Work Activity	4,571	863	48	129	1,419	43	2,069
% of Total Enrolled	89%	89%	92%	92%	87%	91%	89%
Participants Employed	2,423	457	31	68	819	19	1,029
% of Total Enrolled	47%	47%	60%	49%	50%	40%	44%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.42	\$8.71	\$8.38	\$8.70	\$8.54	\$8.89	\$8.18
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,081	\$1,142	\$957	\$1,138	\$1,082	\$1,074	\$1,056
Three Months Employment ⁸	75%	75%	69%	72%	81%	67%	70%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	36,392	7,500	275	1250	9,044	352	17,971
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	28,504	5,701	206	889	7,326	254	14,128
Participants in a Work Activity	27,393	5,511	195	825	6,932	237	13,693
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	95%	93%	95%	93%	97%
Participants Employed	19,219	3,622	140	570	4,880	138	9,869
% of Total Enrolled	67%	64%	68%	64%	67%	54%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.36	\$7.62	\$7.09	\$8.19	\$7.66	\$7.59	\$7.10
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$985	\$1,021	\$894	\$1,051	\$1,019	\$950	\$957
Three Months Employment ⁹	70%	69%	65%	66%	67%	63%	72%

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: Status through May 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/12 and Work Activity as of 5/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,080	190	43	383	303	161
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	628	126	15	235	146	106
Participants in a Work Activity	409	47	10	167	107	78
% of Enrolled	65%	37%	67%	71%	73%	74%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	0	0	2	0	3
Participants Employed	294	44	7	118	65	60
% of Enrolled	47%	35%	47%	50%	45%	57%
Full Time	206	32	7	84	42	41
Part Time	88	12	0	34	23	19
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.59	\$8.22	\$7.80	\$8.30	\$9.37	\$8.67
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,126	\$1,052	\$1,104	\$1,063	\$1,324	\$1,089

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – May 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	1,053	147	52	369	291	194
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,372	208	46	492	355	271
Participants in a Work Activity	1,163	175	40	397	311	240
% of Total Enrolled	85%	84%	87%	81%	88%	89%
Participants Employed	667	75	22	249	165	156
% of Total Enrolled	49%	36%	48%	51%	46%	58%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.78	\$8.33	\$8.68	\$8.37	\$9.29	\$9.01
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,095	\$1,019	\$1,081	\$1,068	\$1,166	\$1,092
Three Months Employment ⁸	76%	82%	50%	87%	69%	70%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	8,506	1,303	511	2,586	2,453	1,653
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	6,261	920	343	2,025	1,577	1,396
Participants in a Work Activity	5,918	878	324	1,858	1,515	1,343
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	94%	92%	96%	96%
Participants Employed	4,101	548	211	1,364	928	1,050
% of Total Enrolled	66%	60%	62%	67%	59%	75%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.93	\$7.59	\$7.38	\$7.98	\$8.47	\$7.76
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,019	\$958	\$919	\$1,028	\$1,119	\$989
Three Months Employment ⁹	65%	57%	59%	68%	67%	66%

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: Status through May 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/12 and Work Activity as of 5/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	402	80	25	38	49	26	40	34	32	78
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	161	31	5	21	8	15	24	11	14	32
Participants in a Work Activity	123	22	2	20	7	11	21	8	6	26
% of Enrolled	76%	71%	40%	95%	88%	73%	88%	73%	43%	81%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
Participants Employed	86	16	2	12	7	9	11	6	5	18
% of Enrolled	53%	52%	40%	57%	88%	60%	46%	55%	36%	56%
Full Time	68	13	2	7	6	7	7	4	4	18
Part Time	18	3	0	5	1	2	4	2	1	0
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.93	\$8.33	\$7.53	\$7.81	\$8.18	\$7.40	\$7.65	\$7.87	\$7.73	\$8.13
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,029	\$1,170	\$1,127	\$945	\$1,036	\$1,032	\$888	\$881	\$1,111	\$1,055

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – May 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	356	78	24	36	31	19	51	22	22	73
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	426	94	22	49	35	25	59	28	24	90
Participants in a Work Activity	383	88	20	46	33	22	56	25	17	76
% of Total Enrolled	90%	94%	91%	94%	94%	88%	95%	89%	71%	84%
Participants Employed	214	52	6	23	24	14	28	14	12	41
% of Total Enrolled	50%	55%	27%	47%	69%	56%	47%	50%	50%	46%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.07	\$8.12	\$7.69	\$7.79	\$8.53	\$7.83	\$8.21	\$8.09	\$7.63	\$8.05
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,025	\$1,025	\$826	\$1,014	\$1,128	\$1,065	\$1,011	\$1,045	\$938	\$1,016
Three Months Employment ⁸	63%	54%	40%	60%	44%	100%	53%	67%	75%	76%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	3,592	550	271	324	402	204	415	312	324	790
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	2,674	433	183	264	311	141	307	256	195	584
Participants in a Work Activity	2,504	413	173	252	291	133	283	247	184	528
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	95%	95%	94%	94%	92%	96%	94%	90%
Participants Employed	1,670	294	93	152	207	91	198	147	119	369
% of Total Enrolled	62%	68%	51%	58%	67%	65%	64%	57%	61%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.00	\$7.05	\$7.00	\$7.35	\$6.80	\$7.02	\$7.03	\$6.67	\$6.97	\$7.04
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$893	\$867	\$865	\$965	\$854	\$900	\$902	\$858	\$923	\$917
Three Months Employment ⁹	57%	49%	47%	61%	52%	70%	69%	54%	40%	65%

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: Status through May 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/12 and Work Activity as of 5/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	NewKent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	3,410	25	85	140	48	132	1,242	1,701	37
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,383	9	24	60	25	72	464	713	16
Participants in a Work Activity	1,040	2	18	44	13	51	366	540	6
% of Enrolled	75%	22%	75%	73%	52%	71%	79%	76%	38%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	16	0	1	0	1	3	7	3	1
Participants Employed	700	2	16	36	7	36	234	363	6
% of Enrolled	51%	22%	67%	60%	28%	50%	50%	51%	38%
Full Time	532	1	15	28	4	14	176	290	4
Part Time	168	1	1	8	3	22	58	73	2
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.20	\$5.52	\$7.44	\$8.87	\$8.52	\$8.31	\$8.18	\$8.18	\$8.27
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,086	\$848	\$1,014	\$1,240	\$1,080	\$916	\$1,068	\$1,106	\$963

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – May 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	2,925	16	89	128	37	115	1,008	1,497	35
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	3,687	22	90	156	49	176	1,273	1,872	49
Participants in a Work Activity	3,293	16	83	130	44	157	1,166	1,653	44
% of Total Enrolled	89%	73%	92%	83%	90%	89%	92%	88%	90%
Participants Employed	1,856	12	47	91	20	93	655	914	24
% of Total Enrolled	50%	55%	52%	58%	41%	53%	51%	49%	49%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.25	\$7.72	\$8.06	\$8.51	\$8.33	\$8.33	\$8.27	\$8.22	\$8.21
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,058	\$1,071	\$1,034	\$1,089	\$1,145	\$920	\$1,062	\$1,068	\$987
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	33%	37%	70%	67%	77%	74%	70%	83%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	25,960	163	1,052	1,101	335	1,070	9,037	12,823	379
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	19,568	118	745	867	239	812	6,675	9,833	279
Participants in a Work Activity	18,630	111	694	802	228	779	6,503	9,255	258
% of Total Enrolled	95%	94%	93%	93%	95%	96%	97%	94%	92%
Participants Employed	13,561	66	490	597	134	508	4,645	6,928	193
% of Total Enrolled	69%	56%	66%	69%	56%	63%	70%	70%	69%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.03	\$7.06	\$6.86	\$7.44	\$7.51	\$7.27	\$7.03	\$6.99	\$7.23
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$919	\$932	\$850	\$909	\$1,019	\$895	\$915	\$927	\$906
Three Months Employment ⁹	66%	52%	59%	61%	72%	59%	63%	69%	64%

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: Status through May 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/12 and Work Activity as of 5/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,141	125	80	50	65	301	520
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	534	22	25	27	33	200	227
Participants in a Work Activity	341	22	21	9	22	106	161
% of Enrolled	64%	100%	84%	33%	67%	53%	71%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	0	2	0	1	1	0
Participants Employed	242	20	13	8	19	68	114
% of Enrolled	45%	91%	52%	30%	58%	34%	50%
Full Time	192	17	9	4	15	44	103
Part Time	50	3	4	4	4	24	11
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.89	\$7.67	\$7.89	\$8.16	\$8.32	\$7.48	\$8.09
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,072	\$1,141	\$1,015	\$971	\$1,079	\$966	\$1,136

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – May 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	809	91	73	32	69	211	333
Total Enrolled in VIEW	1,156	70	88	60	91	342	505
Participants in a Work Activity	977	69	68	54	77	257	452
% of Total Enrolled	85%	99%	77%	90%	85%	75%	90%
Participants Employed	569	44	37	32	43	134	279
% of Total Enrolled	49%	63%	42%	53%	47%	39%	55%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.12	\$7.95	\$8.51	\$8.48	\$8.18	\$7.90	\$8.13
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,091	\$1,214	\$1,101	\$932	\$1,090	\$1,033	\$1,117
Three Months Employment ⁸	75%	72%	81%	75%	60%	70%	79%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	9,188	1,176	820	362	731	2,290	3,809
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	7,197	790	618	295	587	1,846	3,061
Participants in a Work Activity	6,866	787	536	281	567	1,762	2,933
% of Total Enrolled	95%	100%	87%	95%	97%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	4,905	526	375	207	386	1,249	2,162
% of Total Enrolled	68%	67%	61%	70%	66%	68%	71%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.00	\$6.91	\$7.48	\$7.23	\$6.99	\$6.86	\$7.01
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$944	\$963	\$993	\$873	\$950	\$919	\$953
Three Months Employment ⁹	66%	59%	59%	58%	64%	63%	70%

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: Status through May 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/12 and Work Activity as of 5/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South -ampton	Chesa-peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	5,877	127	116	1,006	125	2,094	1,104	366	939
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,303	39	38	422	48	949	386	99	322
Participants in a Work Activity	1,779	29	20	339	42	735	290	74	250
% of Enrolled	77%	74%	53%	80%	88%	77%	75%	75%	78%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	22	0	0	0	0	8	6	1	7
Participants Employed	1,201	18	18	248	20	486	182	52	177
% of Enrolled	52%	46%	47%	59%	42%	51%	47%	53%	55%
Full Time	1,018	14	12	207	16	438	153	42	136
Part Time	183	4	6	41	4	48	29	10	41
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.98	\$7.53	\$7.69	\$8.09	\$7.61	\$7.94	\$7.87	\$7.86	\$8.19
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,063	\$1,005	\$1,039	\$1,120	\$1,163	\$1,034	\$1,071	\$1,009	\$1,067

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – May 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South -ampton	Chesa-peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	4,918	109	88	787	109	1,664	884	258	1,019
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	5,893	103	122	1,050	112	2,069	1,103	304	1,030
Participants in a Work Activity	5,289	97	109	974	108	1,810	984	287	920
% of Total Enrolled	90%	94%	89%	93%	96%	87%	89%	94%	89%
Participants Employed	3,106	57	55	606	40	1,097	542	176	533
% of Total Enrolled	53%	55%	45%	58%	36%	53%	49%	58%	52%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.09	\$7.94	\$7.89	\$8.17	\$7.64	\$7.96	\$8.02	\$8.04	\$8.47
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,039	\$1,041	\$919	\$1,069	\$1,001	\$1,006	\$1,036	\$1,050	\$1,092
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	70%	69%	75%	65%	75%	64%	63%	68%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South -ampton	Chesa-peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	51,468	1,173	983	8,272	816	16,532	8,334	3,245	12,113
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	37,764	847	791	6,072	651	12,013	6,603	2,532	8,255
Participants in a Work Activity	35,734	815	756	5,790	640	11,357	6,215	2,506	7,655
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	96%	95%	98%	95%	94%	99%	93%
Participants Employed	26,346	581	455	4,407	417	8,438	4,721	1,867	5,460
% of Total Enrolled	70%	69%	58%	73%	64%	70%	71%	74%	66%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.87	\$6.88	\$6.63	\$7.04	\$6.48	\$6.76	\$6.75	\$6.70	\$7.19
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$897	\$910	\$825	\$928	\$812	\$863	\$902	\$871	\$953
Three Months Employment ⁹	67%	60%	54%	65%	64%	67%	69%	68%	69%

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date

This section lists the relative state fiscal year to date rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Wage, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	33.6%	1.70	117	\$8.37	2.51	35	77.4%	6.99	#REF!	3.73	71
003	Albemarle	61.8%	5.22	12	\$8.81	3.68	17	70.5%	6.06	#REF!	4.99	15
005	Alleghany/Covington	49.5%	3.68	68	\$8.06	1.69	67	62.1%	4.94	89	3.44	85
007	Amelia	48.5%	3.57	75	\$8.26	2.22	46	89.5%	8.60	6	4.79	23
009	Amherst	54.5%	4.32	43	\$8.00	1.53	75	54.8%	3.98	101	3.28	95
011	Appomattox	60.8%	5.10	14	\$7.76	0.90	106	88.5%	8.46	7	4.82	21
013	Arlington	51.8%	3.97	52	\$11.20	10.00	1	64.9%	5.31	80	6.43	3
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	58.4%	4.80	24	\$8.21	2.09	48	73.6%	6.48	41	4.46	36
017	Bath	42.9%	2.86	100	\$8.17	1.98	55	100.0%	10.00	1	4.95	17
019	Bedford County/City	50.6%	3.83	62	\$8.20	2.06	51	73.4%	6.46	43	4.12	48
021	Bland	46.2%	3.27	89	\$8.96	4.07	11	25.0%	0.00	118	2.45	115
023	Botetourt	30.8%	1.35	118	\$8.13	1.88	60	60.0%	4.67	91	2.63	113
025	Brunswick	44.7%	3.09	96	\$7.42	0.00	120	82.1%	7.62	12	3.57	78
027	Buchanan	48.2%	3.53	77	\$7.70	0.74	111	77.8%	7.04	23	3.77	69
029	Buckingham	46.7%	3.33	86	\$7.99	1.51	78	72.0%	6.27	46	3.70	73
031	Campbell	51.8%	3.97	51	\$8.17	1.98	56	77.7%	7.02	24	4.33	44
033	Caroline	36.1%	2.01	115	\$8.33	2.41	38	81.6%	7.54	13	3.99	52
035	Carroll	57.1%	4.64	30	\$7.75	0.87	107	61.2%	4.83	90	3.45	84
036	Charles City	54.5%	4.32	44	\$7.72	0.79	109	33.3%	1.11	117	2.07	118
037	Charlotte	36.6%	2.08	113	\$8.06	1.69	68	54.2%	3.89	104	2.55	114
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	47.2%	3.40	82	\$8.71	3.41	18	74.8%	6.64	37	4.48	34
043	Clarke	44.4%	3.06	98	\$8.83	3.73	16	60.0%	4.67	92	3.82	64
045	Craig	39.1%	2.39	108	\$7.61	0.50	115	83.3%	7.78	10	3.56	79
047	Culpeper	52.6%	4.08	48	\$8.57	3.04	23	80.0%	7.33	18	4.82	22
049	Cumberland	49.2%	3.65	69	\$8.31	2.35	44	50.0%	3.33	109	3.11	102
051	Dickensen	56.0%	4.49	36	\$8.07	1.72	66	51.6%	3.55	108	3.25	97
053	Dinwiddie	62.9%	5.36	10	\$7.95	1.40	82	72.0%	6.27	47	4.34	43
057	Essex	55.3%	4.41	40	\$8.12	1.85	63	53.6%	3.81	106	3.36	92
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	56.5%	4.57	31	\$10.05	6.96	4	73.0%	6.40	44	5.97	5
061	Fauquier	59.6%	4.96	17	\$9.04	4.29	9	73.5%	6.47	42	5.24	11
063	Floyd	48.2%	3.53	78	\$8.01	1.56	74	54.6%	3.94	102	3.01	105
065	Fluvanna	38.0%	2.25	110	\$9.27	4.89	8	71.4%	6.19	50	4.44	38
067	Franklin County	39.7%	2.46	106	\$8.33	2.41	39	53.7%	3.83	105	2.90	107
069	Frederick	46.3%	3.29	88	\$8.62	3.17	22	71.2%	6.15	51	4.21	46
071	Giles	45.3%	3.16	94	\$7.81	1.03	103	54.6%	3.94	103	2.71	111
073	Gloucester	52.2%	4.03	49	\$8.06	1.69	69	36.7%	1.56	116	2.43	116
075	Goochland	59.6%	4.95	18	\$8.38	2.54	34	68.8%	5.83	64	4.44	39
077	Grayson	59.6%	4.95	19	\$8.05	1.67	70	60.0%	4.67	93	3.76	70

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	51.0%	3.88	58	\$8.32	2.38	42	40.0%	2.00	114	2.75	110
081	Greensville/Emporia	38.0%	2.25	111	\$7.85	1.14	100	71.1%	6.14	52	3.17	99
083	Halifax	37.8%	2.22	112	\$7.91	1.30	88	62.8%	5.04	86	2.85	108
085	Hanover	48.6%	3.57	74	\$8.70	3.39	20	71.9%	6.25	48	4.40	40
087	Henrico	50.5%	3.81	64	\$8.54	2.96	25	80.6%	7.41	16	4.73	26
089	Henry/Martinsville	46.7%	3.34	85	\$7.86	1.16	97	67.3%	5.63	70	3.38	88
091	Highland	100.0%	10.00	1	\$8.00	1.53	76	N/A	N/A	N/A	5.77	6
093	Isle of Wight	55.3%	4.42	38	\$7.94	1.38	84	70.0%	6.00	57	3.93	59
095	James City	58.3%	4.79	25	\$8.51	2.88	27	69.8%	5.97	60	4.55	29
097	King and Queen	27.3%	0.91	119	\$7.69	0.71	112	40.0%	2.00	115	1.21	119
099	King George	47.8%	3.48	79	\$8.68	3.33	21	50.0%	3.33	110	3.38	87
101	King William	46.9%	3.37	84	\$7.79	0.98	104	60.0%	4.67	94	3.00	106
103	Lancaster	68.6%	6.07	4	\$8.53	2.94	26	44.4%	2.59	113	3.87	63
105	Lee	60.1%	5.01	15	\$7.59	0.45	117	72.3%	6.31	45	3.92	61
107	Loudoun	59.1%	4.89	22	\$10.71	8.70	2	80.3%	7.37	17	6.99	1
109	Louisa	58.9%	4.87	23	\$8.91	3.94	12	77.5%	7.00	26	5.27	9
111	Lunenburg	51.2%	3.90	57	\$7.96	1.43	80	76.5%	6.86	31	4.06	50
113	Madison	44.0%	3.00	99	\$8.16	1.96	59	50.0%	3.33	111	2.76	109
115	Mathews	56.0%	4.50	35	\$7.83	1.08	101	100.0%	10.00	2	5.19	13
117	Mecklenburg	55.6%	4.45	37	\$7.87	1.19	96	58.5%	4.47	97	3.37	89
119	Middlesex	47.5%	3.43	80	\$8.21	2.09	49	52.9%	3.73	107	3.08	103
121	Montgomery	66.9%	5.87	6	\$7.93	1.35	86	68.6%	5.81	66	4.34	42
125	Nelson	48.6%	3.58	73	\$8.89	3.89	13	90.9%	8.79	5	5.42	8
127	New Kent	40.8%	2.60	104	\$8.33	2.41	40	66.7%	5.56	71	3.52	81
131	Northampton	56.3%	4.54	34	\$8.36	2.49	37	79.3%	7.24	20	4.76	24
133	Northumberland	50.0%	3.75	65	\$8.09	1.77	64	66.7%	5.56	72	3.69	74
135	Nottoway	59.7%	4.97	16	\$7.98	1.48	79	85.7%	8.09	9	4.85	20
137	Orange	65.2%	5.65	7	\$8.46	2.75	31	80.0%	7.33	19	5.25	10
139	Page	57.7%	4.72	27	\$8.13	1.88	61	64.9%	5.31	81	3.97	55
141	Patrick	51.6%	3.94	54	\$7.56	0.37	118	78.0%	7.07	22	3.79	68
143	Pittsylvania	42.0%	2.75	103	\$7.93	1.35	87	47.7%	3.03	112	2.38	117
145	Powhatan	40.4%	2.55	105	\$8.89	3.89	14	66.7%	5.56	73	4.00	51
147	Prince Edward	55.3%	4.42	39	\$7.91	1.30	89	71.7%	6.23	49	3.98	53
149	Prince George	42.0%	2.76	102	\$8.51	2.88	28	81.5%	7.53	14	4.39	41
153	Prince William	56.3%	4.54	33	\$9.43	5.32	6	73.8%	6.51	39	5.45	7
155	Pulaski	51.8%	3.97	50	\$7.82	1.06	102	58.3%	4.44	98	3.16	101
157	Rappahannock	20.0%	0.00	120	\$8.00	1.53	77	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.77	120
159	Richmond County	50.0%	3.75	66	\$7.63	0.56	114	75.0%	6.67	33	3.66	76
161	Roanoke County	67.0%	5.88	5	\$8.55	2.99	24	65.1%	5.35	78	4.74	25
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	51.4%	3.92	56	\$7.89	1.24	91	100.0%	10.00	3	5.05	14
165	Rockingham/H'burg	72.3%	6.54	2	\$8.32	2.38	43	69.3%	5.90	62	4.94	18
167	Russell	45.7%	3.22	91	\$7.74	0.85	108	68.5%	5.80	67	3.29	94
169	Scott	54.9%	4.36	42	\$7.60	0.48	116	77.6%	7.01	25	3.95	57
171	Shenandoah	38.8%	2.35	109	\$8.46	2.75	32	69.2%	5.90	63	3.67	75

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	42.6%	2.82	101	\$7.94	1.38	85	64.9%	5.32	79	3.17	100
175	<i>Southampton</i>	45.1%	3.14	95	\$7.89	1.24	92	69.4%	5.93	61	3.43	86
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	50.6%	3.83	63	\$8.37	2.51	36	86.7%	8.22	8	4.85	19
179	<i>Stafford</i>	46.5%	3.31	87	\$9.29	4.95	7	68.7%	5.83	65	4.69	27
181	<i>Surry</i>	53.3%	4.17	45	\$8.48	2.80	29	75.0%	6.67	34	4.55	30
183	<i>Sussex</i>	47.3%	3.41	81	\$8.18	2.01	53	60.0%	4.67	95	3.36	91
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	61.9%	5.24	11	\$7.86	1.16	98	65.6%	5.42	75	3.94	58
187	<i>Warren</i>	48.5%	3.56	76	\$8.71	3.41	19	58.3%	4.44	99	3.81	65
191	<i>Washington</i>	56.3%	4.54	32	\$7.89	1.24	93	62.8%	5.03	87	3.61	77
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	45.6%	3.19	92	\$8.05	1.67	71	76.2%	6.83	32	3.90	62
195	<i>Wise</i>	50.9%	3.86	60	\$7.79	0.98	105	64.4%	5.25	82	3.36	90
197	<i>Wythe</i>	64.5%	5.56	8	\$7.89	1.24	94	63.5%	5.13	84	3.98	54
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	52.8%	4.11	47	\$8.33	2.41	41	77.1%	6.94	28	4.49	33
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	51.0%	3.88	59	\$10.32	7.67	3	81.2%	7.49	15	6.35	4
520	<i>Bristol</i>	46.1%	3.26	90	\$7.71	0.77	110	63.4%	5.13	85	3.05	104
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	61.2%	5.15	13	\$8.45	2.72	33	69.9%	5.99	58	4.62	28
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	57.7%	4.71	28	\$8.17	1.98	57	74.8%	6.65	36	4.45	37
590	<i>Danville</i>	36.3%	2.03	114	\$7.95	1.40	83	73.7%	6.49	40	3.31	93
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	35.7%	1.96	116	\$7.64	0.58	113	65.2%	5.36	76	2.64	112
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	57.6%	4.70	29	\$9.01	4.21	10	69.9%	5.98	59	4.96	16
640	<i>Galax</i>	50.0%	3.75	67	\$7.88	1.22	95	76.9%	6.92	29	3.96	56
650	<i>Hampton</i>	51.5%	3.93	55	\$8.27	2.25	45	74.0%	6.53	38	4.24	45
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	39.2%	2.40	107	\$7.90	1.27	90	70.3%	6.04	56	3.23	98
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	50.8%	3.85	61	\$7.86	1.16	99	66.0%	5.46	74	3.49	82
683	<i>Manassas</i>	47.1%	3.39	83	\$9.91	6.59	5	67.4%	5.65	69	5.21	12
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	70.0%	6.25	3	\$8.84	3.76	15	100.0%	10.00	4	6.67	2
700	<i>Newport News</i>	48.8%	3.60	72	\$8.22	2.12	47	70.5%	6.07	53	3.93	60
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	53.0%	4.13	46	\$7.96	1.43	81	74.9%	6.65	35	4.07	49
720	<i>Norton</i>	64.1%	5.51	9	\$7.43	0.03	119	57.1%	4.29	100	3.27	96
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	55.2%	4.41	41	\$8.13	1.88	62	78.8%	7.17	21	4.48	35
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	49.1%	3.64	70	\$8.02	1.59	73	63.7%	5.16	83	3.46	83
750	<i>Radford</i>	59.5%	4.94	20	\$8.08	1.75	65	76.7%	6.89	30	4.53	31
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	44.4%	3.06	97	\$8.18	2.01	54	70.5%	6.06	54	3.71	72
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	45.5%	3.19	93	\$8.20	2.06	52	65.2%	5.36	77	3.54	80
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	57.9%	4.74	26	\$8.04	1.64	72	62.6%	5.02	88	3.80	67
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	51.7%	3.97	53	\$8.47	2.78	30	68.3%	5.77	68	4.17	47
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	49.0%	3.62	71	\$8.21	2.09	50	83.3%	7.78	11	4.50	32
840	<i>Winchester</i>	59.2%	4.90	21	\$8.17	1.98	58	58.8%	4.51	96	3.80	66

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores is done to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

VIEW Rankings, From Inception of VIEW

This section lists the relative cumulative rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Monthly Earnings, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	51.4%	2.11	115	\$850	2.88	97	65.2%	5.78	49	3.59	107
003	Albemarle	72.7%	7.78	12	\$1,006	6.11	21	57.4%	3.95	102	5.95	26
005	Alleghany/Covington	70.8%	7.27	21	\$836	2.60	104	58.6%	4.24	94	4.70	79
007	Amelia	59.8%	4.35	94	\$957	5.09	38	65.0%	5.74	50	5.06	67
009	Amherst	66.7%	6.18	49	\$867	3.25	84	59.9%	4.55	85	4.66	80
011	Appomattox	71.0%	7.34	19	\$869	3.29	83	55.7%	3.56	108	4.73	78
013	Arlington	72.2%	7.63	16	\$1,069	7.40	8	69.6%	6.80	18	7.28	6
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	67.1%	6.28	47	\$957	5.10	37	67.3%	6.26	35	5.88	29
017	Bath	62.0%	4.93	85	\$709	0.00	120	63.3%	5.34	68	3.42	112
019	Bedford County/City	65.0%	5.73	62	\$856	3.01	91	61.0%	4.81	78	4.52	87
021	Bland	60.1%	4.42	93	\$855	2.99	92	56.5%	3.75	105	3.72	106
023	Botetourt	43.5%	0.00	120	\$937	4.69	50	57.5%	3.99	100	2.89	119
025	Brunswick	56.3%	3.40	109	\$820	2.27	110	67.0%	6.20	37	3.96	100
027	Buchanan	53.1%	2.56	114	\$836	2.60	103	63.5%	5.37	64	3.51	110
029	Buckingham	56.6%	3.50	107	\$942	4.79	47	62.2%	5.08	73	4.46	88
031	Campbell	68.2%	6.57	39	\$897	3.85	73	64.2%	5.55	57	5.32	56
033	Caroline	59.6%	4.28	96	\$958	5.12	35	57.2%	3.91	103	4.44	92
035	Carroll	66.6%	6.14	54	\$826	2.39	107	54.3%	3.23	111	3.92	103
036	Charles City	55.9%	3.31	111	\$932	4.58	52	51.9%	2.67	116	3.52	109
037	Charlotte	50.8%	1.94	117	\$923	4.39	58	56.9%	3.84	104	3.39	114
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	63.5%	5.33	75	\$1,021	6.41	14	69.3%	6.74	21	6.16	22
043	Clarke	59.7%	4.31	95	\$989	5.76	25	62.7%	5.19	69	5.09	65
045	Craig	50.0%	1.73	118	\$1,041	6.81	11	65.2%	5.78	48	4.77	76
047	Culpeper	64.9%	5.71	64	\$966	5.27	30	66.7%	6.12	42	5.70	35
049	Cumberland	57.3%	3.68	105	\$946	4.87	46	57.5%	3.97	101	4.18	96
051	Dickenson	60.2%	4.44	92	\$832	2.52	105	55.5%	3.52	109	3.50	111
053	Dinwiddie	66.6%	6.15	52	\$963	5.22	34	58.6%	4.25	93	5.21	61
057	Essex	67.9%	6.50	41	\$867	3.24	85	49.2%	2.06	117	3.93	102
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	67.7%	6.45	43	\$1,149	9.05	3	69.3%	6.73	23	7.41	5
061	Fauquier	68.8%	6.73	34	\$1,020	6.40	15	69.2%	6.70	24	6.61	11
063	Floyd	66.1%	6.03	57	\$928	4.49	54	56.3%	3.69	106	4.74	77
065	Fluvanna	63.8%	5.42	73	\$1,049	6.99	10	61.0%	4.80	79	5.73	34
067	Franklin County	61.9%	4.89	86	\$946	4.88	45	57.9%	4.08	98	4.61	82
069	Frederick	57.1%	3.62	106	\$1,010	6.18	19	62.3%	5.09	71	4.96	71
071	Giles	58.6%	4.02	99	\$956	5.07	40	53.2%	2.98	114	4.02	98
073	Gloucester	65.8%	5.93	59	\$850	2.90	96	58.7%	4.27	92	4.37	95
075	Goochland	68.0%	6.51	40	\$894	3.80	75	64.8%	5.69	51	5.33	55
077	Grayson	66.2%	6.05	55	\$851	2.92	94	60.8%	4.76	80	4.58	83

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	75.8%	8.59	4	\$914	4.21	64	56.0%	3.64	107	5.48	42
081	Greensville/Emporia	57.3%	3.68	104	\$820	2.27	109	64.1%	5.52	58	3.82	104
083	Halifax	56.3%	3.41	108	\$839	2.66	102	58.2%	4.15	96	3.41	113
085	Hanover	64.1%	5.49	71	\$1,051	7.02	9	66.1%	5.98	45	6.17	21
087	Henrico	66.6%	6.15	50	\$1,019	6.38	16	66.6%	6.10	43	6.21	20
089	Henry/Martinsville	63.2%	5.25	77	\$937	4.69	49	64.2%	5.56	56	5.17	63
091	Highland	75.0%	8.39	6	\$784	1.52	118	83.3%	10.00	1	6.64	10
093	Isle of Wight	68.6%	6.68	36	\$910	4.12	65	60.3%	4.64	83	5.15	64
095	James City	68.9%	6.75	33	\$909	4.11	66	61.1%	4.83	77	5.23	60
097	King and Queen	50.8%	1.95	116	\$865	3.20	87	47.0%	1.54	118	2.23	120
099	King George	61.5%	4.80	87	\$919	4.32	59	58.5%	4.22	95	4.44	90
101	King William	57.6%	3.75	101	\$965	5.25	31	60.7%	4.73	81	4.58	84
103	Lancaster	66.6%	6.14	53	\$854	2.98	93	51.9%	2.68	115	3.94	101
105	Lee	65.5%	5.85	61	\$788	1.61	117	72.1%	7.39	7	4.95	72
107	Loudoun	77.1%	8.96	3	\$1,195	10.00	1	71.6%	7.26	11	8.74	1
109	Louisa	62.8%	5.13	80	\$984	5.65	27	63.6%	5.40	62	5.39	49
111	Lunenburg	49.6%	1.63	119	\$789	1.64	116	63.9%	5.47	59	2.92	118
113	Madison	62.1%	4.96	84	\$997	5.92	22	60.5%	4.69	82	5.19	62
115	Mathews	64.5%	5.60	67	\$900	3.93	71	69.9%	6.87	17	5.47	45
117	Mecklenburg	66.2%	6.05	56	\$839	2.67	101	68.0%	6.43	31	5.05	68
119	Middlesex	64.5%	5.59	68	\$902	3.96	70	68.7%	6.58	27	5.38	52
121	Montgomery	78.5%	9.32	2	\$933	4.60	51	64.4%	5.59	54	6.50	13
125	Nelson	62.6%	5.07	82	\$1,016	6.31	18	62.2%	5.08	74	5.49	41
127	New Kent	56.1%	3.34	110	\$1,019	6.37	17	71.9%	7.33	8	5.68	36
131	Northampton	64.6%	5.61	66	\$844	2.77	98	79.6%	9.14	2	5.84	30
133	Northumberland	57.4%	3.71	103	\$858	3.06	90	53.7%	3.11	113	3.29	115
135	Nottoway	67.4%	6.35	46	\$897	3.86	72	69.5%	6.77	19	5.66	37
137	Orange	72.3%	7.67	15	\$963	5.22	33	59.4%	4.42	87	5.77	32
139	Page	69.5%	6.93	31	\$991	5.79	24	59.7%	4.50	86	5.74	33
141	Patrick	66.6%	6.15	51	\$818	2.23	111	74.9%	8.03	6	5.47	44
143	Pittsylvania	54.5%	2.93	112	\$866	3.23	86	60.0%	4.58	84	3.58	108
145	Powhatan	54.3%	2.88	113	\$950	4.96	43	63.4%	5.37	65	4.40	93
147	Prince Edward	70.8%	7.28	20	\$881	3.54	79	68.9%	6.63	26	5.82	31
149	Prince George	60.7%	4.57	90	\$993	5.83	23	58.8%	4.28	91	4.89	73
153	Prince William	72.4%	7.70	14	\$1,191	9.91	2	70.7%	7.05	13	8.22	2
155	Pulaski	63.7%	5.38	74	\$939	4.72	48	54.4%	3.26	110	4.45	89
157	Rappahannock	62.5%	5.06	83	\$958	5.12	36	44.7%	1.02	119	3.73	105
159	Richmond County	61.0%	4.67	89	\$923	4.40	57	40.4%	0.00	120	3.02	117
161	Roanoke County	74.0%	8.13	8	\$1,040	6.80	12	58.8%	4.28	90	6.40	17
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	71.4%	7.43	18	\$864	3.19	88	67.1%	6.23	36	5.61	38
165	Rockingham/H'burg	73.6%	8.01	10	\$970	5.36	28	67.6%	6.34	33	6.57	12
167	Russell	61.3%	4.75	88	\$851	2.91	95	67.0%	6.19	38	4.62	81
169	Scott	58.6%	4.03	98	\$882	3.55	78	77.2%	8.58	4	5.39	51
171	Shenandoah	60.2%	4.45	91	\$925	4.43	56	70.0%	6.89	16	5.25	58

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	57.8%	3.79	100	\$842	2.73	99	63.6%	5.41	61	3.98	99
175	<i>Southampton</i>	57.5%	3.73	102	\$825	2.38	108	54.2%	3.21	112	3.11	116
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	67.4%	6.35	45	\$1,028	6.56	13	68.0%	6.43	30	6.45	16
179	<i>Stafford</i>	58.8%	4.08	97	\$1,119	8.43	4	66.9%	6.18	39	6.23	19
181	<i>Surry</i>	70.2%	7.10	27	\$873	3.36	80	57.7%	4.03	99	4.83	74
183	<i>Sussex</i>	65.8%	5.93	60	\$950	4.94	44	63.6%	5.42	60	5.43	46
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	67.9%	6.49	42	\$810	2.07	114	65.7%	5.89	46	4.82	75
187	<i>Warren</i>	68.4%	6.64	37	\$1,009	6.16	20	68.7%	6.58	28	6.46	15
191	<i>Washington</i>	64.7%	5.66	65	\$893	3.77	76	58.2%	4.14	97	4.52	86
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	63.2%	5.24	78	\$917	4.27	62	64.8%	5.69	52	5.06	66
195	<i>Wise</i>	63.3%	5.26	76	\$817	2.20	112	66.7%	6.13	41	4.53	85
197	<i>Wythe</i>	66.9%	6.22	48	\$840	2.68	100	66.8%	6.16	40	5.02	69
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	62.6%	5.08	81	\$895	3.82	74	59.4%	4.42	88	4.44	91
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	70.2%	7.12	26	\$1,075	7.52	7	76.9%	8.50	5	7.71	4
520	<i>Bristol</i>	68.3%	6.61	38	\$870	3.30	82	62.2%	5.09	72	5.00	70
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	81.0%	10.00	1	\$918	4.30	61	65.6%	5.86	47	6.72	9
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	72.6%	7.75	13	\$928	4.51	53	64.8%	5.67	53	5.98	25
590	<i>Danville</i>	64.2%	5.50	70	\$803	1.92	115	61.8%	4.98	75	4.13	97
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	64.1%	5.47	72	\$812	2.12	113	64.3%	5.56	55	4.38	94
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	75.2%	8.45	5	\$989	5.74	26	66.2%	6.01	44	6.73	8
640	<i>Galax</i>	62.8%	5.13	79	\$889	3.69	77	70.1%	6.91	15	5.24	59
650	<i>Hampton</i>	69.6%	6.95	29	\$915	4.23	63	63.3%	5.35	67	5.51	40
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	67.7%	6.43	44	\$919	4.32	60	63.4%	5.35	66	5.37	53
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	69.6%	6.94	30	\$830	2.47	106	68.5%	6.55	29	5.32	57
683	<i>Manassas</i>	64.4%	5.56	69	\$1,105	8.13	5	71.0%	7.13	12	6.94	7
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	72.9%	7.83	11	\$1,084	7.72	6	77.8%	8.71	3	8.08	3
700	<i>Newport News</i>	70.5%	7.18	23	\$927	4.48	55	69.1%	6.68	25	6.11	23
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	70.2%	7.12	25	\$863	3.16	89	67.4%	6.30	34	5.53	39
720	<i>Norton</i>	74.9%	8.37	7	\$746	0.74	119	71.8%	7.30	9	5.47	43
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	70.6%	7.23	22	\$953	5.00	42	70.3%	6.96	14	6.40	18
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	71.5%	7.46	17	\$902	3.96	69	69.4%	6.77	20	6.06	24
750	<i>Radford</i>	70.4%	7.16	24	\$906	4.05	68	61.2%	4.85	76	5.35	54
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	69.9%	7.02	28	\$957	5.08	39	71.8%	7.30	10	6.47	14
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	65.0%	5.72	63	\$969	5.34	29	62.3%	5.10	70	5.39	50
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	73.7%	8.05	9	\$871	3.33	81	68.0%	6.42	32	5.94	27
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	66.1%	6.03	58	\$953	5.02	41	69.3%	6.74	22	5.93	28
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	69.2%	6.84	32	\$906	4.05	67	63.5%	5.39	63	5.43	47
840	<i>Winchester</i>	68.8%	6.72	35	\$964	5.25	32	58.9%	4.31	89	5.43	48

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores was begun in May 1999 to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

State Summary July 1, 2011 – May 31, 2012

	State	ED 1	ED 2	ED 3	ED 4	ED 5	ED 6	ED 7	ED 8	ED 9	ED 10	ED 11	ED 12	ED 13	ED 14	ED 15	ED 16	ED 17	ED 18
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>																			
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	21,510	988	782	1,902	733	445	2,236	241	490	906	946	743	2,911	787	292	2,486	638	3,783	201
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	94%	96%	96%	98%	95%	91%	93%	93%	98%	98%	96%	95%	95%	78%	95%	98%	88%	90%	94%
% Timely in May 2012	97%	99%	97%	97%	100%	98%	96%	94%	100%	97%	98%	100%	94%	85%	92%	98%	100%	96%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>																			
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	15,756	737	601	1,338	550	308	1,670	178	384	656	688	571	2,171	592	202	1,756	441	2,752	161
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	95%	98%	98%	97%	97%	92%	96%	93%	99%	95%	97%	98%	94%	91%	96%	95%	95%	92%	99%
Percent in May 2012	95%	99%	96%	97%	97%	93%	95%	97%	100%	89%	96%	100%	91%	94%	96%	95%	91%	95%	95%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>																			
# Over 30 Days	49	1	0	1	0	1	3	3	0	0	0	0	22	15	0	2	0	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	68	33	0	64	0	587	33	40	0	0	0	0	59	55	0	139	0	1	0

EDD1 – Southwest Region: July 1, 2011 - May 31, 2012

	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	988	40	50	180	136	110	170	280	22
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	96%	95%	100%	99%	100%	91%	95%	94%	82%
% Timely in May 2012	99%	83%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	737	29	36	130	104	90	133	204	11
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	98%	100%	97%	100%	96%	99%	100%	98%	82%
Percent in May 2012	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	89%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>									
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	33	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	33

EDD2 - Bristol-Galax Region: July 1, 2011 - May 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD2</i>	<i>Bland</i>	<i>Carroll</i>	<i>Grayson</i>	<i>Smyth</i>	<i>Wash.</i>	<i>Wythe</i>	<i>Bristol</i>	<i>Galax</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	782	10	73	33	161	121	77	243	64
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	96%	100%	97%	100%	99%	98%	100%	94%	86%
% Timely in May 2012	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	89%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	601	7	56	21	128	93	59	189	48
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	98%	100%	100%	95%	98%	98%	98%	97%	92%
Percent in May 2012	96%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	88%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD3 - Roanoke Region: July 1, 2011 - May 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD3</i>	<i>Alleghny/ Covington</i>	<i>Bote- tourt</i>	<i>Craig</i>	<i>Floyd</i>	<i>Franklin County</i>	<i>Giles</i>	<i>Mont- gomery</i>	<i>Pulaski</i>	<i>Roanoke County</i>	<i>Radford</i>	<i>Roanoke City</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹												
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	1902	66	22	16	48	182	45	231	158	167	58	909
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	98%	100%	91%	100%	100%	100%	100%	97%	100%	100%	91%	96%
% Timely in May 2012	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	94%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²												
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	1338	50	11	9	30	134	30	162	106	119	48	639
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	97%	100%	82%	100%	97%	99%	100%	100%	100%	99%	94%	95%
Percent in May 2012	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	93%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴												
# Over 30 Days	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	64	0	64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: July 1, 2011 - May 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD4</i>	<i>Aug/S'ton /W'boro</i>	<i>Bath</i>	<i>Highland</i>	<i>Rockbridge/ BV/Lex</i>	<i>Rockingham/ HBurg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	733	462	4	0	33	234
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	95%	100%	100%	N/A	48%	91%
% Timely in May 2012	100%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	550	346	3	0	25	176
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	97%	99%	100%	N/A	56%	99%
Percent in May 2012	97%	98%	100%	N/A	67%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD5 & EDD18 - Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: July 1, 2011 - May 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD5</i>	<i>Clarke</i>	<i>Frederick</i>	<i>Page</i>	<i>Shen.</i>	<i>Warren</i>	<i>Winchester</i>	<i>Total EDD18</i>	<i>Accomack</i>	<i>Nhampton</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹										
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	445	11	125	67	34	115	93	201	121	80
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	91%	91%	78%	99%	91%	100%	94%	94%	95%	93%
% Timely in May 2012	98%	N/A	100%	100%	80%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	308	9	88	53	24	73	61	161	94	67
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	92%	100%	93%	96%	100%	85%	92%	99%	100%	97%
Percent in May 2012	93%	100%	100%	100%	100%	80%	100%	95%	100%	90%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴										
# Over 30 Days	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	587	0	587	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: July 1, 2011 - May 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD6</i>	<i>Arlington</i>	<i>Fairfax</i>	<i>Loudoun</i>	<i>Prince William</i>	<i>Alexandria</i>	<i>Manassas</i>	<i>Manassas Park</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹								
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	2,236	147	799	175	732	264	102	17
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	93%	100%	96%	95%	86%	100%	97%	76%
% Timely in May 2012	96%	100%	97%	100%	92%	100%	100%	50%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²								
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	1670	120	582	127	556	190	82	13
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	96%	100%	97%	97%	94%	92%	98%	100%
Percent in May 2012	95%	100%	97%	95%	95%	85%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴								
# Over 30 Days	3	0	0	0	2	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	33	0	0	0	48	0	0	4

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: July 1, 2011 - May 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD7</i>	<i>Culpeper</i>	<i>Fauquier</i>	<i>Madison</i>	<i>Orange</i>	<i>Rapp.</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	241	102	77	17	42	3
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	93%	95%	94%	94%	83%	100%
% Timely in May 2012	94%	100%	100%	100%	80%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	178	72	63	12	30	1
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	93%	94%	98%	100%	77%	100%
Percent in May 2012	97%	92%	100%	100%	100%	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	3	0	0	1	2	0
Average # of Days Over 30	40	0	0	47	37	0

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: July 1, 2011 - May 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD8</i>	<i>Albemarle</i>	<i>Fluvanna</i>	<i>Greene</i>	<i>Louisa</i>	<i>Nelson</i>	<i>Cville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	490	85	41	28	84	29	223
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	98%	100%	93%	96%	98%	100%	99%
% Timely in May 2012	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	384	71	36	25	59	19	174
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	99%	97%	97%	100%	100%	100%	99%
Percent in May 2012	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: July 1, 2011 - May 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD9</i>	<i>Amherst</i>	<i>Appom.</i>	<i>Bedford</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Lynchburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	906	63	67	154	206	416
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	98%	100%	99%	97%	100%	98%
% Timely in May 2012	97%	100%	80%	93%	100%	98%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	656	44	55	105	155	297
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	95%	98%	98%	91%	95%	95%
Percent in May 2012	89%	100%	100%	92%	100%	78%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD10 - Danville Region: July 1, 2011 - May 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD10</i>	<i>Halifax</i>	<i>Henry/ Martinsville</i>	<i>Patrick</i>	<i>Pittsylvania</i>	<i>Danville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	946	125	304	110	137	270
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	96%	98%	90%	99%	99%	98%
% Timely in May 2012	98%	100%	97%	100%	100%	97%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	688	94	227	74	87	206
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	97%	100%	93%	96%	99%	99%
Percent in May 2012	96%	100%	93%	100%	86%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD11 – Southside Region: July 1, 2011 - May 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD11</i>	<i>Amelia</i>	<i>Brunswick</i>	<i>Buckingham</i>	<i>Charlotte</i>	<i>Cumberland</i>	<i>Gr'nsville Emporia</i>	<i>Lunenburg</i>	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	<i>Nottoway</i>	<i>Pr. Edward</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹											
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	743	44	93	69	77	35	88	52	93	86	106
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	95%	91%	97%	96%	100%	100%	84%	90%	99%	97%	96%
% Timely in May 2012	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²											
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	571	30	79	55	54	20	67	39	77	66	84
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	98%	93%	99%	100%	100%	100%	94%	100%	100%	95%	99%
Percent in May 2012	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴											
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD12 - Richmond Region: July 1, 2011 - May 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD12</i>	<i>Chesterfield/ Col Hgts</i>	<i>Goochland</i>	<i>Hanover</i>	<i>Henrico</i>	<i>Powhatan</i>	<i>Richmond City</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	2,911	584	25	76	820	25	1,381
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	95%	93%	96%	96%	92%	100%	97%
% Timely in May 2012	94%	92%	100%	100%	87%	100%	99%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	2171	443	15	62	626	18	1,007
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	94%	98%	100%	95%	92%	94%	94%
Percent in May 2012	91%	98%	N/A	100%	88%	100%	90%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	22	3	0	0	18	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	59	35	0	0	66	0	8

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: July 1, 2011 - May 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD13</i>	<i>Caroline</i>	<i>King George</i>	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	<i>Stafford</i>	<i>Fredericksburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	787	103	43	262	210	169
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	78%	68%	100%	52%	95%	98%
% Timely in May 2012	85%	30%	100%	91%	95%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	592	76	33	197	154	132
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	91%	95%	100%	83%	91%	98%
Percent in May 2012	94%	100%	100%	87%	95%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	15	10	0	3	2	0
Average # of Days Over 30	55	46	0	97	38	0

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: July 1, 2011 - May 31, 2012

	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North- umberland	Richmond County	West- moreland
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹										
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	292	69	20	37	21	12	40	21	18	54
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	95%	100%	80%	89%	100%	100%	98%	100%	78%	98%
% Timely in May 2012	92%	100%	0%	50%	100%	100%	86%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	202	47	13	29	15	9	32	14	10	33
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	96%	96%	92%	97%	100%	89%	100%	100%	80%	97%
Percent in May 2012	96%	100%	N/A	67%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴										
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: July 1, 2011 - May 31, 2012

	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	2,486	12	85	111	23	105	827	1295	28
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	98%	100%	99%	100%	43%	96%	100%	97%	100%
% Timely in May 2012	98%	100%	100%	100%	33%	93%	100%	99%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	1756	4	62	74	16	75	607	898	20
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	95%	75%	97%	96%	75%	97%	98%	92%	95%
Percent in May 2012	95%	N/A	86%	100%	100%	100%	98%	94%	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	139	0	0	0	139	0	0	0	0

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: July 1, 2011 - May 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD16</i>	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	<i>Prince George</i>	<i>Surry</i>	<i>Sussex</i>	<i>Hopewell</i>	<i>Petersburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	638	54	63	23	56	155	287
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	88%	100%	98%	96%	100%	66%	93%
% Timely in May 2012	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	441	42	40	18	36	101	204
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	95%	100%	100%	100%	97%	95%	93%
Percent in May 2012	91%	100%	100%	100%	67%	100%	83%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: July 1, 2011 - May 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD17</i>	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	<i>South- hampton</i>	<i>Chesapeake</i>	<i>Franklin City</i>	<i>Norfolk</i>	<i>Portsmouth</i>	<i>Suffolk</i>	<i>VA Beach</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	3,783	73	81	641	115	1233	763	202	675
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	90%	93%	99%	97%	91%	76%	98%	98%	95%
% Timely in May 2012	96%	100%	100%	93%	100%	94%	99%	95%	98%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	2752	49	58	477	81	905	531	162	489
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	92%	96%	100%	97%	99%	81%	98%	99%	97%
Percent in May 2012	95%	100%	100%	98%	100%	89%	96%	100%	98%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0

Child Support Enforcement - Statewide Totals

Collections

	<i>May-11</i>	<i>May-12</i>	<i>% Change</i>
TANF Cases Collected	15,771	15,788	0.1%
NTANF Cases Collected	132,623	134,573	1.5%
Total Cases Collected	148,394	150,361	1.3%
TANF Collections	\$4,697,615	\$4,345,442	-7.5%
NTANF Collections	\$54,967,921	\$56,009,387	1.9%
Total Collections	\$59,665,536	\$60,354,829	1.2%
SFY YTD Collections	\$590,772,489	\$602,865,717	2.0%
SFY YTD Average Collection	\$373.40	\$376.89	0.9%

Paternities Established

	<i>May-12</i>
TANF Paternities	237
NTANF Paternities	436
Combined Paternities	673

Diversionsary Assistance

May Diversionsary Assistance Cases

Locality	Number of Cases		Locality	Number of Cases
Albemarle	2		Page	1
Alleghany / Covington	2		Patrick	7
Amelia	1		Pittsylvania	1
Amherst	1		Prince William	3
Appomattox	2		Pulaski	2
Augusta / Staunt'n / W'boro	4		Roanoke County	3
Bedford City	1		Rockingham / H'burg	5
Buckingham	2		Shenandoah	16
Chesterfield/Colonial Hgts	7		Spotsylvania	3
Culpeper	4		Stafford	6
Dickenson	1		Warren	4
Dinwiddie	3		York / Poquoson	2
Fairfax / Falls Church	2		Alexandria	1
Fauquier	1		Bristol	1
Frederick	2		Charlottesville	3
Gloucester	1		Chesapeake	2
Goochland	1		Fredericksburg	2
Grayson	1		Hampton	6
Greene	4		Hopewell	5
Halifax	2		Manassas	1
Henrico	4		Newport News	22
Henry / Martinsville	3		Norfolk	13
Isle of Wight	1		Petersburg	3
King George	1		Portsmouth	2
Louisa	1		Richmond City	5
Lunenburg	3		Roanoke City	3
Montgomery	2		Suffolk	11
Orange	7		Virginia Beach	7
			Statewide	206

Definitions of Selected Terms Contained in Report

Average Monthly Earnings. This is the average monthly earnings of the unsubsidized jobs that participants obtained. The value is calculated by multiplying the hourly wage by the weekly hours worked by 4.3 average weeks per month. For the cumulative figures the initial wage and initial hours are used. For the current figures, the initial wage and most current hours are used, except for the November 2001 report which used initial hours. Earlier than June 1999, wage changes that were entered overwrote the initial wage, so prior to that date, the cumulative figures used the initial hours and the last wage entered. With the advent of the VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) program in October 2006 the end of month average monthly earnings might be expected to decline somewhat because prior to VTP, cases with high earnings might remain open for job follow-up, but after the implementation of VTP the VIEW case would be closed when the TANF case was closed and a VTP case opened. VTP cases are not included in this report except for estimating the participation rate.

Average Wage. The average of the wages reported for each occurrence of unsubsidized employment. After June 1999 the wage is the wage at hiring, that is, the initial wage. Prior to June 1999, that wage may have been updated if changed during employment. After the implementation of the VIEW Transitional Payment program the end of month point in time average wage might be expected to decline some for the same reason mentioned in the explanation of possible decline in Average Monthly earnings, above. See note 6 on page 44.

Cases Closed with Employment. These are TANF or TANF-UP cases that are closed with an indication in the data base of employment at the date of closure. It does not mean that the case necessarily closed because of employment. For example, a TANF case may have an indication of closing at the client's request, along with indication of existing employment in VIEW. That case is counted as closed with employment.

EDD. This stands for Economic Development District. EDDs were developed during the administration of Governor Allen. They generally are no longer in use. This report continues to refer to them because VIEW was phased in by EDD and their use provides consistency over time.

Enrolled. Unduplicated number by locality of TANF recipients who were interviewed, received an individual assessment, signed the Agreement of Personal Responsibility, and enrolled in the VIEW program. Because the count is by locality, a recipient may be counted once in more than one locality. The fiscal year to date numbers include those who were enrolled at the beginning of the fiscal year as well as those enrolled during the year. There are clients enrolled in VIEW who have had their TANF case closed because VIEW policy requires that the enrollment remain open for job follow-up after employment unless the employment meets federal work participation guidelines, in which case the VIEW case is closed and a VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) case is opened.

Full Time Employment. Employment at 30 hours a week or greater.

Participants Assigned to Inactive. Inactive is a status assigned to participants who cannot participate in the VIEW program for reasons other than being exempt. Examples are unavailability of child care, unavailability of transportation, and mental or medical problems that make participation impractical. Inactive participants are due to be reviewed each month. While in inactive status, the 24 month time limit for TANF benefits is suspended.

Participants Employed. Total number of participants by locality who ever obtained an unsubsidized job, either full time or part time for the counts from inception. Other counts include subsidized employment. If employed more than one time, they are still only counted once, but may be counted in more than one locality. Also, these participants may have left their job and not gotten another one. For the year to date numbers, those employed at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first became employed in the fiscal year.

Participants in a Work Activity. Unduplicated count by locality of persons who have been in any of the following components: unsubsidized employment, full employment program (FEP), on-the-job training (OJT), community work experience program (CWEP), public service program (PSP), vocational education and training, and job search/job readiness. For example, a person who was in community work experience and then employed would be counted only once.

For the year to date numbers, those in a work activity at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first entered a work activity in the fiscal year. Note that this is a revised definition of work activity from reports through October 2006. The revised definition captures the activities that count toward the first 20 hours of federal participation requirements – the core work activities. Clients who are counted as being in a core work activity must meet additional participation rate requirements in order to be included in the work participation rate, and only VIEW clients are counted here, not all work eligible TANF clients **Thus, these percentages in a work activity are quite different from the percentages in the TANF work participation rate.**

Part Time Employment. Employment at fewer than 30 weekly hours.

Referred. Unduplicated number of TANF recipients by locality who were initially evaluated as eligible for VIEW and had their names referred to the automated VIEW list for participation.

Relationship Between TANF and VIEW Participation. On the chart at page 5a, the column heading “Total Adults in the Case” includes adults who are not considered to be part of the assistance unit. For example, a mother receiving SSI may be in the case, but because she receives SSI is not considered eligible for TANF and thus is not part of the assistance unit. Persons such as she who are not in the assistance unit are not eligible to participate in VIEW. Also included are adults who are part of the assistance unit but exempt from VIEW

Five Months Employment. In earlier reports, this represented the percent of all participants that were employed 150 days after their first employment. Participants who were employed in any job 150 days after their first employment were counted in this measure.. This measure became unavailable after July 1, 2011. See note 9 on page 44.

Three Months Employment. This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 90 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 90 days after their first employment are counted in this measure. For year to date percents, the calculations are based on the first employment that began one year before the end of the report month. That employment may not have been the first ever for the participant. The year to date percents were calculated differently in reports before September 2004. Also for year to date percents, transferred cases were not counted for months after the January 2002 report.

TANF / TANF-UP Payment Cases. Up to November 2003 these counts were taken from a monthly report with no adjustment. After that, the monthly counts included some cases that were being paid because child support payments had been received and were being passed on. These counts were adjusted to mostly eliminate those additional cases. Further adjustment may be appropriate. It should also be noted that the counts include both strictly TANF cases and MOE cases for some years. With the end of waiver provisions in July 2003, a substantial part of the caseload was placed in a state program and funded with MOE funds. This change in July 2003 was a change in funding, not a change in eligibility requirements or case payment amounts. It ended in September 2006 when VIEW transitional payments (VTP) were introduced. Adjustments have been made to take out VTP cases. Also, TANF-UP cases are currently funded with only state monies.

VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). Beginning in October 2006, VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) were introduced. These are payments of \$50 per client per month for one year to VIEW clients that leave “regular” TANF with employment sufficient to meet Federal work participation requirements. The employment must be maintained to be eligible for VTP. Previously, VTP clients normally would have remained VIEW clients for five or six months of job-follow-up. Thus, the VTP program has had a diminishing effect on some of the statistics in this report such as end of month percent employed and average wage. See graph on page 5a.

Time That Data Represent. The data in the top sections of page 4 and pages 8 – 24 are point in time data as of the date indicated. The year to date section represents state fiscal year to date data, with the exception of three month retention (see above). The bottom section is cumulative from when the locality began VIEW.

General Statement About Accuracy. The report is based on an extract from the Employment Services automated system. The extract is normally made the night of the first work day after the end of the report month. Data that staff of local social services agencies enter into the automated system after the time of the extract are not included in the report, even though the activity reflected actually occurred in the report month. However, that data, and the activity reflected, are included in the reports for subsequent months. Further, because the data are entered by staff in the 120 localities, the accuracy of the data in the data base is, of course, subject to inadvertent error.


- ¹ Applications are not necessarily acted upon in the month that they are received. Also, applications may be transferred from regular TANF to TANF-UP and vice versa as they are processed.
- ² These TANF cases paid counts are prior to possible adjustment. See definition of payment cases. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes.
- ³ VIEW enrolled counts do not include VTP clients
- ⁴ A case may be open in more than one locality during the time period.
- ⁵ Prior to June 1999, when individuals were transferred from one locality to another, their history was transferred as well. This may have an effect on a locality's cumulative VIEW statistics.
- ⁶ The minimum wage rose from \$6.55 to \$7.25 an hour on 7/24/09. The report reflects initial wages so some effect on wages in the report was delayed. The average wage includes full and part time, unless otherwise indicated. See the definition of average wage on page 42.
- ⁷ Includes full and part time earnings.
- ⁸ This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed three months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed three months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous. See definition on page 43 for the revised method of calculating the year to date percentages.
- ⁹ Until the July 2011 report, this was employed after five months. However, on July 1, 2011 the number of required job follow-ups was reduced to three. Thus, data for five month job retention became unavailable.
- ¹⁰ Number includes TANF-UP and represents cases carried over to the next month. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes, but with the advent of VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) such occurrences were reduced from earlier months.
- ¹¹ Enrollment is timely if done within 30 days of referral in previous month of the state fiscal year. The monthly percent includes evaluation of clients waiting in the queue. The year to date does not.
- ¹² Placement in a work activity on the 95th day after referral was a measure of timeliness before Oct 1, 2006. The revised definition of work activity has increased the percentage from reports before November 2006. Clients whose cases have closed before the 95th day are excluded, so 100% is an attainable mark.
- ¹⁴ As of the morning of June 23, 2012. Thus, this month's numbers are less than normal. Some referrals to the waiting list are not appropriate; there may be considerable lag time in correcting them..

This report is available on the Department of Social Services web site at:
http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial_assistance/tanf.cgi

The scores in the VIEW Rankings are determined by placing a locality on a scale of zero to ten in the same relative position as its place in the difference between the high and low of the measure in question. This converts all measures to a similar scale and provides a more equitable way to combine the ratings in each measure to determine a combined rank. (Questions, please call 804 726-7618.)

Comments on the format or content of the report and requests for Excel files for portions of current and past reports may be sent by e-mail to: william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov.


TANF and TANF-UP Cases Paid at Six Month Intervals, except last month June 1995 through May 2012



This graph illustrates the dramatic decline of the TANF caseload as VIEW was implemented. There was some sign of slowing in the rate of decline after June 1998. Nevertheless, wide community involvement, a strong economy, and the effect of the 24 month rule allowed the caseload to continue to fall until it was near the 30,000 level. There was little net change in the caseload from June 2000 to June 2002, but some upward movement was seen after June 2002, until 2005. The more recent trends are presumed to be a result of economic conditions. Of note is that the caseload leveled off after recession spurred growth and seems now in decline. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

Applications Received with Approval Percentage


Six Month Asymmetrical Moving Averages by Quarter, July 1995 through May 2012



This graph of application activity is presented as six month moving averages to produce smoother lines from which trends may be seen and in recognition that applications are not always acted on in the month received. The trends are not dramatic, but there seems to have been a general decline in the number of applications received until the July 2001 data. The decline in applications received after December 2004 and the more recent changes appear to be reflected in the case load trends. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

TANF Cases, VIEW Enrolled, and Employed VIEW Participants


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. May is the last month



This graph shows that the number enrolled in VIEW increased during early implementation and then generally tracked the number of cases. The effects of recent economic conditions are apparent. A VIEW case may remain open for some months after the TANF case closes, if job follow-up is being done and the case has not been converted to the VIEW Transitional Payment program. It is unlikely that the number employed will ever equal the number enrolled because there are always new enrollees without employment, and there are some enrollees in work activities, other than employment, that meet VIEW requirements. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months. It includes TANF-UP

Chart Displaying the Relationships Between TANF and VIEW Participation


May31, 2012



This chart illustrates that there are a large number of adults who are exempt from VIEW and that many of the VIEW enrollees have had their TANF cases closed. They are now in a job follow-up phase of VIEW. They have not had their VIEW case closed and become enrolled in the VIEW Transitional Payment program. The source of this information is a monthly TANF extract from ADAPT and the monthly extract from ESPAS, the VIEW automated data system. The extracts were made at the beginning of June and represent end of May data. TANF-UP is included.

VIEW Percent Total Employed and Average Wage at End of Month


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. May is the last month.



This graph shows that the average wage has seen a general increase as the percent of VIEW employed initially increased and then leveled. Besides employment, VIEW participants may be in various other activities or initial assessment and job search. It is unlikely that the percent employed will rise more, and the recent economic conditions may have caused a decline. The wages shown are generally the initial wages. When the average wage was calculated at about the end of May it was \$8.31 rather than the \$8.22 initial wages used to calculate wages shown on this graph. See page 42 of the report for an explanation of why the VIEW average wage might decline after October 2006 and note 6 on page 44 for the effect of the increase in minimum wage. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.

Percent of Jobs Found During a Month That Were Full Time and Percent Part Time

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. May is the last month



This graph illustrates an initial trend of VIEW participants obtaining a greater percent of full time jobs than part time. After the initial months, the trend seems to be fairly level with an increase in the percent full time after TANF reauthorization which probably reflects increased emphasis on meeting the required TANF work participation rate. The more recent changes are presumed to be a result of economic developments. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.