

VIRGINIA INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM

MONTHLY REPORT

Including Graphs of Statewide Trends

July 2012

Prepared by:
Virginia Department of Social Services August 23, 2012

Monthly Report, July 2012

Table of Contents

Work Participation Estimates (from the VDSS data warehouse) (A work participation estimate percent should not be compared to a percent in a work activity in the main body of the report which includes only clients in VIEW and does not consider the number of hours in a work activity during a month)	i-vi
TANF, TANF-UP Case Activity.....	1
Graphs of Case Activity.....	at end
VIEW Work Activity	
Statewide	4
Graphs of Statewide Activity.....	at end
EDD1.....	8
EDD2.....	9
EDD3.....	10
EDD4.....	11
EDD5 & EDD18.....	12
EDD6.....	13
EDD7.....	14
EDD8.....	15
EDD9.....	16
EDD10.....	17
EDD11.....	18
EDD14.....	19
EDD1.....	20
EDD3.....	21
EDD11.....	22
EDD15.....	23
EDD17.....	24
VIEW Year to Date Rankings.....	25-27
VIEW Cumulative Rankings.....	28-30
VIEW Timeliness Measures.....	31-39
Child Support Enforcement.....	40
Diversion.....	41
VIEW Definition Sheet and Endnotes.....	42-44

Estimated Work Participation Rates for July

These are estimated locality July 2012 work participation rates that include clients receiving either TANF or VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). The official participation rate will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated July statewide rate is 45% with a numerator of 7,810 and a denominator of 17,253

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	20	79	25%	061	Fauquier	24	50	48%
003	Albemarle	33	69	48%	063	Floyd	9	22	41%
005	Alleghany/Covington	21	49	43%	065	Fluvanna	7	23	30%
007	Amelia	12	39	31%	067	Franklin County	46	110	42%
009	Amherst	17	33	52%	069	Frederick	25	76	33%
011	Appomattox	30	49	61%	071	Giles	9	27	33%
013	Arlington	58	105	55%	073	Gloucester	16	42	38%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	136	239	57%	075	Goochland	14	36	39%
017	Bath	0	1	0%	077	Grayson	17	30	57%
019	Bedford County/City	45	92	49%	079	Greene	2	19	11%
021	Bland	3	5	60%	081	Greensville/Emporia	20	76	26%
023	Botetourt	6	18	33%	083	Halifax	30	82	37%
025	Brunswick	37	77	48%	085	Hanover	22	72	31%
027	Buchanan	22	52	42%	087	Henrico	352	863	41%
029	Buckingham	15	33	45%	089	Henry/Martinsville	88	241	37%
031	Campbell	75	166	45%	091	Highland	0	1	0%
033	Caroline	16	107	15%	093	Isle of Wight	20	54	37%
035	Carroll	25	47	53%	095	James City	34	88	39%
036	Charles City	4	11	36%	097	King and Queen	4	8	50%
037	Charlotte	20	52	38%	099	King George	8	21	38%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	252	530	48%	101	King William	9	26	35%
043	Clarke	3	6	50%	103	Lancaster	8	23	35%
045	Craig	3	9	33%	105	Lee	61	117	52%
047	Culpeper	41	80	51%	107	Loudoun	75	163	46%
049	Cumberland	13	34	38%	109	Louisa	27	47	57%
051	Dickensen	8	16	50%	111	Lunenburg	13	30	43%
053	Dinwiddie	15	46	33%	113	Madison	7	13	54%
057	Essex	16	40	40%	115	Mathews	7	18	39%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	373	718	52%	117	Mecklenburg	28	66	42%

Estimated Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent
119	Middlesex	8	24	33%	187	Warren	32	71	45%
121	Montgomery	68	136	50%	191	Washington	36	62	58%
125	Nelson	5	17	29%	193	Westmoreland	17	39	44%
127	New Kent	0	28	0%	195	Wise	61	167	37%
131	Northampton	19	55	35%	197	Wythe	28	47	60%
133	Northumberland	5	11	45%	199	York/Poquoson	39	94	41%
135	Nottoway	46	88	52%	510	Alexandria	111	224	50%
137	Orange	18	45	40%	520	Bristol	96	151	64%
139	Page	17	26	65%	540	Charlottesville	79	187	42%
141	Patrick	22	58	38%	550	Chesapeake	305	613	50%
143	Pittsylvania	28	76	37%	590	Danville	101	202	50%
145	Powhatan	6	19	32%	620	Franklin City	29	59	49%
147	Prince Edward	31	64	48%	630	Fredericksburg	57	111	51%
149	Prince George	17	48	35%	640	Galax	17	39	44%
153	Prince William	328	647	51%	650	Hampton	397	793	50%
155	Pulaski	35	73	48%	670	Hopewell	49	182	27%
157	Rappahannock	0	4	0%	680	Lynchburg	124	292	42%
159	Richmond County	1	12	8%	683	Manassas	33	62	53%
161	Roanoke County	64	140	46%	685	Manassas Park	8	21	38%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	15	35	43%	700	Newport News	453	977	46%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	74	140	53%	710	Norfolk	683	1,254	54%
167	Russell	31	77	40%	720	Norton	7	29	24%
169	Scott	39	70	56%	730	Petersburg	139	311	45%
171	Shenandoah	5	23	22%	740	Portsmouth	233	591	39%
173	Smyth	48	100	48%	750	Radford	16	35	46%
175	Southampton	22	54	41%	760	Richmond City	602	1,497	40%
177	Spotsylvania	122	248	49%	770	Roanoke City	191	542	35%
179	Stafford	70	161	43%	800	Suffolk	71	141	50%
181	Surry	8	30	27%	810	Virginia Beach	244	476	51%
183	Sussex	21	43	49%	830	Williamsburg	4	16	25%
185	Tazewell	47	109	43%	840	Winchester	27	61	44%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF and VTP recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rate presented here is the all families rate, i. e. the overall rate, including VTP..

Estimated TANF only Work Participation Rates for July

These are estimated locality July 2012 work participation rates that include only clients receiving TANF. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated July statewide rate is 38% with a numerator of 5,746 and a denominator of 15,013. VTP only estimates are on pages v and vi.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	14	72	19%	061	Fauquier	14	40	35%
003	Albemarle	15	49	31%	063	Floyd	7	19	37%
005	Alleghany/Covington	16	44	36%	065	Fluvanna	4	20	20%
007	Amelia	10	37	27%	067	Franklin County	36	100	36%
009	Amherst	8	24	33%	069	Frederick	17	67	25%
011	Appomattox	22	41	54%	071	Giles	6	23	26%
013	Arlington	40	85	47%	073	Gloucester	13	39	33%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	84	187	45%	075	Goochland	9	29	31%
017	Bath	0	1	0%	077	Grayson	11	24	46%
019	Bedford County/City	32	78	41%	079	Greene	1	17	6%
021	Bland	0	2	0%	081	Greensville/Emporia	11	67	16%
023	Botetourt	5	16	31%	083	Halifax	19	71	27%
025	Brunswick	25	63	40%	085	Hanover	10	60	17%
027	Buchanan	16	46	35%	087	Henrico	261	760	34%
029	Buckingham	10	26	38%	089	Henry/Martinsville	59	212	28%
031	Campbell	55	145	38%	091	Highland	0	1	0%
033	Caroline	12	94	13%	093	Isle of Wight	14	47	30%
035	Carroll	16	38	42%	095	James City	26	79	33%
036	Charles City	3	10	30%	097	King and Queen	3	7	43%
037	Charlotte	15	47	32%	099	King George	5	18	28%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	185	459	40%	101	King William	6	23	26%
043	Clarke	1	4	25%	103	Lancaster	6	20	30%
045	Craig	0	6	0%	105	Lee	43	99	43%
047	Culpeper	30	67	45%	107	Loudoun	31	117	26%
049	Cumberland	13	34	38%	109	Louisa	20	40	50%
051	Dickensen	5	12	42%	111	Lunenburg	9	25	36%
053	Dinwiddie	10	41	24%	113	Madison	7	12	58%
057	Essex	14	38	37%	115	Mathews	6	17	35%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	247	587	42%	117	Mecklenburg	21	58	36%

Estimated TANF Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	6	21	29%	187	Warren	17	56	30%
121	Montgomery	45	112	40%	191	Washington	32	58	55%
125	Nelson	2	14	14%	193	Westmoreland	14	36	39%
127	New Kent	0	23	0%	195	Wise	50	154	32%
131	Northampton	16	52	31%	197	Wythe	11	30	37%
133	Northumberland	3	9	33%	199	York/Poquoson	28	83	34%
135	Nottoway	31	72	43%	510	Alexandria	78	190	41%
137	Orange	18	44	41%	520	Bristol	86	140	61%
139	Page	12	21	57%	540	Charlottesville	57	165	35%
141	Patrick	18	54	33%	550	Chesapeake	235	535	44%
143	Pittsylvania	26	74	35%	590	Danville	83	182	46%
145	Powhatan	3	16	19%	620	Franklin City	24	53	45%
147	Prince Edward	21	54	39%	630	Fredericksburg	34	88	39%
149	Prince George	12	43	28%	640	Galax	13	35	37%
153	Prince William	201	513	39%	650	Hampton	305	685	45%
155	Pulaski	30	68	44%	670	Hopewell	39	167	23%
157	Rappahannock	0	3	0%	680	Lynchburg	101	268	38%
159	Richmond County	1	12	8%	683	Manassas	15	43	35%
161	Roanoke County	28	104	27%	685	Manassas Park	2	15	13%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	12	32	38%	700	Newport News	354	869	41%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	40	103	39%	710	Norfolk	592	1,157	51%
167	Russell	27	71	38%	720	Norton	4	26	15%
169	Scott	29	60	48%	730	Petersburg	110	274	40%
171	Shenandoah	1	18	6%	740	Portsmouth	180	537	34%
173	Smyth	35	87	40%	750	Radford	11	30	37%
175	Southampton	18	49	37%	760	Richmond City	503	1,380	36%
177	Spotsylvania	95	213	45%	770	Roanoke City	125	474	26%
179	Stafford	51	142	36%	800	Suffolk	52	121	43%
181	Surry	5	27	19%	810	Virginia Beach	157	388	40%
183	Sussex	14	36	39%	830	Williamsburg	4	15	27%
185	Tazewell	31	93	33%	840	Winchester	21	55	38%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are for the TANF all family rate not including VTP.

Estimated VTP only Work Participation Rates for July

These are estimated locality July 2012 work participation rates that include only clients receiving VTP. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated July statewide rate is 92% with a numerator of 2,064 and a denominator of 2,240. TANF only estimates are on pages iii and iv.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	6	7	86%	061	Fauquier	10	10	100%
003	Albemarle	18	20	90%	063	Floyd	2	3	67%
005	Alleghany/Covington	5	5	100%	065	Fluvanna	3	3	100%
007	Amelia	2	2	100%	067	Franklin County	10	10	100%
009	Amherst	9	9	100%	069	Frederick	8	9	89%
011	Appomattox	8	8	100%	071	Giles	3	4	75%
013	Arlington	18	20	90%	073	Gloucester	3	3	100%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	52	52	100%	075	Goochland	5	7	71%
017	Bath	0	0	N/A	077	Grayson	6	6	100%
019	Bedford County/City	13	14	93%	079	Greene	1	2	50%
021	Bland	3	3	100%	081	Greensville/Emporia	9	9	100%
023	Botetourt	1	2	50%	083	Halifax	11	11	100%
025	Brunswick	12	14	86%	085	Hanover	12	12	100%
027	Buchanan	6	6	100%	087	Henrico	91	103	88%
029	Buckingham	5	7	71%	089	Henry/Martinsville	29	29	100%
031	Campbell	20	21	95%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	4	13	31%	093	Isle of Wight	6	7	86%
035	Carroll	9	9	100%	095	James City	8	9	89%
036	Charles City	1	1	100%	097	King and Queen	1	1	100%
037	Charlotte	5	5	100%	099	King George	3	3	100%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	67	71	94%	101	King William	3	3	100%
043	Clarke	2	2	100%	103	Lancaster	2	3	67%
045	Craig	3	3	100%	105	Lee	18	18	100%
047	Culpeper	11	13	85%	107	Loudoun	44	46	96%
049	Cumberland	0	0	N/A	109	Louisa	7	7	100%
051	Dickensen	3	4	75%	111	Lunenburg	4	5	80%
053	Dinwiddie	5	5	100%	113	Madison	0	1	0%
057	Essex	2	2	100%	115	Mathews	1	1	100%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	126	131	96%	117	Mecklenburg	7	8	88%

Estimated VTP Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	2	3	67%	187	Warren	15	15	100%
121	Montgomery	23	24	96%	191	Washington	4	4	100%
125	Nelson	3	3	100%	193	Westmoreland	3	3	100%
127	New Kent	0	5	0%	195	Wise	11	13	85%
131	Northampton	3	3	100%	197	Wythe	17	17	100%
133	Northumberland	2	2	100%	199	York/Poquoson	11	11	100%
135	Nottoway	15	16	94%	510	Alexandria	33	34	97%
137	Orange	0	1	0%	520	Bristol	10	11	91%
139	Page	5	5	100%	540	Charlottesville	22	22	100%
141	Patrick	4	4	100%	550	Chesapeake	70	78	90%
143	Pittsylvania	2	2	100%	590	Danville	18	20	90%
145	Powhatan	3	3	100%	620	Franklin City	5	6	83%
147	Prince Edward	10	10	100%	630	Fredericksburg	23	23	100%
149	Prince George	5	5	100%	640	Galax	4	4	100%
153	Prince William	127	134	95%	650	Hampton	92	108	85%
155	Pulaski	5	5	100%	670	Hopewell	10	15	67%
157	Rappahannock	0	1	0%	680	Lynchburg	23	24	96%
159	Richmond County	0	0	N/A	683	Manassas	18	19	95%
161	Roanoke County	36	36	100%	685	Manassas Park	6	6	100%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	3	3	100%	700	Newport News	99	108	92%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	34	37	92%	710	Norfolk	91	97	94%
167	Russell	4	6	67%	720	Norton	3	3	100%
169	Scott	10	10	100%	730	Petersburg	29	37	78%
171	Shenandoah	4	5	80%	740	Portsmouth	53	54	98%
173	Smyth	13	13	100%	750	Radford	5	5	100%
175	Southampton	4	5	80%	760	Richmond City	99	117	85%
177	Spotsylvania	27	35	77%	770	Roanoke City	66	68	97%
179	Stafford	19	19	100%	800	Suffolk	19	20	95%
181	Surry	3	3	100%	810	Virginia Beach	87	88	99%
183	Sussex	7	7	100%	830	Williamsburg	0	1	0%
185	Tazewell	16	16	100%	840	Winchester	6	6	100%

All VTP recipients are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” Thus, all VTP cases are in the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, job follow-up entries are reviewed to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. The basic requirement for VTP recipients is employment for at least 30 hours per week. The requirement is contained in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are also sometimes referred to as the rates for the maintenance of effort program caseload. .

TANF

TANF Case Activity	Total Statewide				
	Jul-12	Jul-11	Change from Jul-11	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	5,806	5,096	14%	4,807	21%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	3,254	2,809	16%	1,658	96%
Applications Approved	2,078	2,099	-1%	2,820	-26%
Cases Closed *	2,469	2,643	-7%	4,476	-45%
Cases at End of Month	30,331	32,439	-6%	67,995	-55%
Cases Paid During Month ²	31,206	33,539	-7%	70,403	-56%

•The database indicates that of the 2,69 closed cases, 869 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 620 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 243 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 193 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

TANF-UP

TANF-UP Case Activity	Total Statewide				
	Jul-12	Jul-11	Change from Jul-11	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	490	512	-4%	338	45%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	301	249	21%	232	30%
Applications Approved	159	240	-34%	81	96%
Cases Closed *	242	231	5%	107	126%
Cases at End of Month	1,668	2,000	-17%	321	420%
Cases Paid During Month ²	1,752	2,115	-17%	394	345%

•The database indicates that of the 242 closed cases, 113 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 86 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 20 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 18 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

Statewide - Status through July 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/12 and Work Activity as of 7/31/12

Current Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	31,999	1,573	975	2,425	875	509	3,521	357	624	1,241	1,397	1,010	5,357	1,112	399	3,349	1,162	5,827	286
Total Enrolled in VIEW	12,748	527	418	825	315	223	1,300	152	294	567	423	436	2,227	611	163	1,389	535	2,247	96
Part. in a Work Activity	9,644	434	343	584	241	160	1,037	116	231	407	331	326	1,607	414	119	1,110	345	1,779	60
% of Enrolled	76%	82%	82%	71%	77%	72%	80%	76%	79%	72%	78%	75%	72%	68%	73%	80%	64%	79%	63%
Part. Assigned to Inactive	111	9	4	9	0	6	14	4	5	4	0	3	17	2	3	14	8	9	0
Participants Employed	6,514	291	194	473	221	113	635	87	135	277	207	209	1,030	302	85	734	253	1,219	49
% of Enrolled	51%	55%	46%	57%	70%	51%	49%	57%	46%	49%	49%	48%	46%	49%	52%	53%	47%	54%	51%
Full Time	5,212	264	171	402	197	95	504	80	100	196	172	147	748	223	69	558	198	1,049	39
Part Time	1,302	27	23	71	24	18	131	7	35	81	35	62	282	79	16	176	55	170	10
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.21	\$7.72	\$7.91	\$8.11	\$8.11	\$8.25	\$9.29	\$8.36	\$8.26	\$7.90	\$7.85	\$7.95	\$8.34	\$8.41	\$7.89	\$8.16	\$7.92	\$7.99	\$7.89
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,097	\$1,072	\$1,091	\$1,127	\$1,153	\$1,108	\$1,253	\$1,166	\$1,076	\$1,008	\$1,099	\$1,013	\$1,093	\$1,073	\$1,055	\$1,069	\$1,085	\$1,063	\$1,058

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – July 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	2,719	130	88	224	76	37	322	43	61	86	124	96	408	100	37	290	84	492	21
Total Enrolled in VIEW	13,692	548	446	936	328	247	1,431	162	308	599	494	469	2,355	634	177	1,532	556	2,372	98
Part. in a Work Activity	10,802	482	371	726	271	181	1,164	119	265	475	392	370	1,768	451	139	1,238	385	1,935	70
% of Total Enrolled	79%	88%	83%	78%	83%	73%	81%	73%	86%	79%	79%	79%	75%	71%	79%	81%	69%	82%	71%
Participants Employed	6,861	301	207	524	225	129	692	88	142	289	231	222	1,060	312	95	776	264	1,253	51
% of Total Enrolled	50%	55%	46%	56%	69%	52%	48%	54%	46%	48%	47%	47%	45%	49%	54%	51%	47%	53%	52%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.22	\$7.71	\$7.93	\$8.12	\$8.12	\$8.23	\$9.33	\$8.38	\$8.30	\$7.90	\$7.84	\$7.96	\$8.34	\$8.47	\$7.86	\$8.15	\$7.92	\$7.99	\$7.90
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,059	\$1,040	\$1,069	\$1,100	\$1,095	\$1,080	\$1,200	\$1,104	\$983	\$939	\$1,047	\$978	\$1,063	\$1,028	\$1,026	\$1,038	\$1,059	\$1,032	\$994
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	69%	62%	65%	73%	63%	75%	76%	68%	68%	65%	70%	75%	78%	67%	73%	75%	71%	75%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	275,610	17,049	10,386	20,575	8,091	5,325	34,242	3,914	5,966	10,382	12,767	7,948	36,763	8,622	3,631	26,246	9,277	51,914	2,512
Total Enrolled in VIEW ⁵	211,793	13,102	8,738	15,802	6,234	3,981	26,422	2,954	4,613	8,617	10,245	6,154	28,816	6,371	2,709	19,812	7,277	38,097	1,849
Part. in a Work Activity	202,544	12,610	8,378	15,056	6,076	3,766	25,497	2,846	4,500	8,139	9,908	5,882	27,684	6,013	2,538	18,875	6,936	36,052	1,788
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	96%	95%	97%	95%	96%	96%	98%	94%	97%	96%	96%	94%	94%	95%	95%	95%	97%
Participants Employed	143,034	8,236	5,651	10,708	4,333	2,596	18,620	1,988	3,428	5,866	6,284	3,740	19,414	4,174	1,696	13,737	4,952	26,570	1,041
% of Total Enrolled	68%	63%	65%	68%	70%	65%	70%	67%	74%	68%	61%	61%	67%	66%	63%	69%	68%	70%	56%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.18	\$6.23	\$6.35	\$7.11	\$7.18	\$7.39	\$8.69	\$7.48	\$7.42	\$6.62	\$6.42	\$6.80	\$7.37	\$7.95	\$7.02	\$7.05	\$7.01	\$6.88	\$6.75
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$947	\$821	\$860	\$955	\$954	\$987	\$1,153	\$986	\$946	\$857	\$855	\$878	\$986	\$1,021	\$895	\$921	\$945	\$898	\$849
Three Months Employment ⁹	67%	67%	62%	61%	67%	63%	71%	65%	63%	64%	63%	66%	70%	66%	57%	66%	66%	67%	72%

EDD1 - Southwest Region: Status through July 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/12 and Work Activity as of 7/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,573	149	63	290	205	155	227	439	45
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	527	35	12	126	75	61	68	135	15
Participants in a Work Activity	434	24	10	112	64	48	62	103	11
% of Enrolled	82%	69%	83%	89%	85%	79%	91%	76%	73%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	9	0	1	2	4	0	0	2	0
Participants Employed	291	11	7	69	32	35	48	80	9
% of Enrolled	55%	31%	58%	55%	43%	57%	71%	59%	60%
Full Time	264	11	7	57	29	35	44	74	7
Part Time	27	0	0	12	3	0	4	6	2
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.72	\$7.34	\$7.50	\$7.76	\$7.74	\$7.68	\$7.80	\$7.69	\$8.00
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,072	\$982	\$1,160	\$1,066	\$1,006	\$1,073	\$1,086	\$1,099	\$1,087

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – July 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	130	13	3	29	13	14	11	44	3
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	548	36	13	128	78	63	71	144	15
Participants in a Work Activity	482	28	11	122	68	51	69	122	11
% of Total Enrolled	88%	78%	85%	95%	87%	81%	97%	85%	73%
Participants Employed	301	11	7	71	32	35	51	85	9
% of Total Enrolled	55%	31%	54%	55%	41%	56%	72%	59%	60%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.71	\$7.34	\$7.50	\$7.74	\$7.74	\$7.68	\$7.77	\$7.68	\$8.00
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,040	\$967	\$1,134	\$1,032	\$975	\$1,055	\$1,053	\$1,055	\$1,071
Three Months Employment ⁸	69%	81%	63%	74%	71%	75%	62%	67%	67%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	17,049	1,653	1,183	2,406	2,342	1,676	3,256	3,987	546
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	13,102	1,256	920	1,838	1,880	1,221	2,486	3,071	430
Participants in a Work Activity	12,610	1,176	869	1,827	1,788	1,197	2,399	2,958	396
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	94%	99%	95%	98%	97%	96%	92%
Participants Employed	8,236	664	554	1,200	1,152	714	1,685	1,944	323
% of Total Enrolled	63%	53%	60%	65%	61%	58%	68%	63%	75%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.23	\$6.39	\$6.29	\$6.00	\$6.41	\$6.52	\$6.14	\$6.23	\$6.04
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$821	\$836	\$833	\$790	\$851	\$883	\$811	\$819	\$746
Three Months Employment ⁹	67%	63%	55%	72%	67%	77%	65%	67%	71%

EDD2 – Bristol-Galax Region: Status through July 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/12 and Work Activity as of 7/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	975	12	111	49	182	189	86	284	62
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	418	2	44	16	73	62	19	179	23
Participants in a Work Activity	343	0	35	16	57	45	15	156	19
% of Enrolled	82%	0%	80%	100%	78%	73%	79%	87%	83%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	1	0	0	0	1	0	2	0
Participants Employed	194	0	23	9	40	38	13	57	14
% of Enrolled	46%	0%	52%	56%	55%	61%	68%	32%	61%
Full Time	171	N/A	21	8	35	36	12	47	12
Part Time	23	N/A	2	1	5	2	1	10	2
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.91	N/A	\$8.04	\$7.91	\$8.20	\$7.82	\$7.59	\$7.75	\$8.09
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,091	N/A	\$1,080	\$1,124	\$1,197	\$1,092	\$1,038	\$1,026	\$1,100

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – July 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	88	0	9	2	17	8	6	37	9
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	446	4	44	16	85	65	20	182	30
Participants in a Work Activity	371	1	36	16	61	55	17	162	23
% of Total Enrolled	83%	25%	82%	100%	72%	85%	85%	89%	77%
Participants Employed	207	1	23	9	40	41	16	61	16
% of Total Enrolled	46%	25%	52%	56%	47%	63%	80%	34%	53%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.93	\$10.75	\$8.04	\$7.91	\$8.20	\$7.85	\$7.58	\$7.76	\$8.20
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,069	\$1,849	\$1,109	\$1,089	\$1,153	\$1,089	\$1,022	\$1,000	\$1,009
Three Months Employment ⁸	62%	40%	57%	64%	62%	67%	70%	59%	63%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	10,386	255	1,402	660	1,810	1,782	1,554	2,196	727
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	8,738	194	1,159	536	1,530	1,489	1,234	1,959	637
Participants in a Work Activity	8,378	182	1,067	499	1,472	1,452	1,211	1,889	606
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	92%	93%	96%	98%	98%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	5,651	116	769	355	892	958	827	1,332	402
% of Total Enrolled	65%	60%	66%	66%	58%	64%	67%	68%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.35	\$6.25	\$6.16	\$6.38	\$6.17	\$6.59	\$6.34	\$6.41	\$6.32
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$860	\$860	\$829	\$852	\$846	\$893	\$841	\$870	\$889
Three Months Employment ⁹	62%	56%	54%	61%	64%	58%	67%	62%	70%

EDD3 – Roanoke Region: Status through July 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/12 and Work Activity as of 7/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	2,425	97	41	12	50	243	57	308	189	270	66	1,092
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	825	27	18	3	19	96	15	95	55	90	26	381
Participants in a Work Activity	584	20	6	2	14	82	13	72	48	74	20	233
% of Enrolled	71%	74%	33%	67%	74%	85%	87%	76%	87%	82%	77%	61%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	9	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	2	2
Participants Employed	473	18	5	0	14	40	9	69	40	59	17	202
% of Enrolled	57%	67%	28%	0%	74%	42%	60%	73%	73%	66%	65%	53%
Full Time	402	13	4	N/A	12	29	9	62	36	44	14	179
Part Time	71	5	1	N/A	2	11	0	7	4	15	3	23
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.11	\$7.97	\$7.68	N/A	\$8.48	\$8.15	\$8.17	\$7.86	\$7.96	\$8.44	\$8.03	\$8.12
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,127	\$1,039	\$1,110	N/A	\$1,109	\$1,111	\$1,245	\$1,078	\$1,130	\$1,096	\$1,033	\$1,168

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – July 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	224	8	1	1	4	16	6	23	11	24	9	121
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	936	35	20	3	24	103	21	105	55	95	29	446
Participants in a Work Activity	726	30	9	2	17	93	18	85	52	83	21	316
% of Total Enrolled	78%	86%	45%	67%	71%	90%	86%	81%	95%	87%	72%	71%
Participants Employed	524	23	6	0	16	45	10	79	41	65	18	221
% of Total Enrolled	56%	66%	30%	0%	67%	44%	48%	75%	75%	68%	62%	50%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.12	\$8.06	\$7.86	N/A	\$8.49	\$8.08	\$8.08	\$7.90	\$7.93	\$8.59	\$8.00	\$8.10
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,100	\$996	\$1,066	N/A	\$1,142	\$1,055	\$1,127	\$1,096	\$1,082	\$1,099	\$1,002	\$1,130
Three Months Employment ⁸	65%	57%	67%	63%	60%	63%	61%	67%	64%	62%	77%	65%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	20,575	1,147	347	95	531	1,807	522	2,916	1,798	2,250	806	8,356
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	15,802	868	219	55	387	1,384	380	2,382	1,425	1,805	625	6,272
Participants in a Work Activity	15,056	836	191	54	372	1,242	372	2,344	1,372	1,704	598	5,971
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	87%	98%	96%	90%	98%	98%	96%	94%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	10,708	615	94	27	257	851	222	1,872	911	1,340	440	4,079
% of Total Enrolled	68%	71%	43%	49%	66%	61%	58%	79%	64%	74%	70%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.11	\$6.87	\$7.31	\$7.21	\$6.99	\$7.02	\$7.07	\$6.94	\$6.80	\$7.62	\$7.01	\$7.19
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$955	\$837	\$937	\$1,041	\$931	\$949	\$956	\$934	\$941	\$1,041	\$907	\$971
Three Months Employment ⁹	61%	58%	58%	62%	56%	58%	54%	64%	54%	59%	61%	62%

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: Status through July 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/12 and Work Activity as of 7/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	875	522	6	2	72	273
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	315	182	2	1	23	107
Participants in a Work Activity	241	135	2	1	18	85
% of Enrolled	77%	74%	100%	100%	78%	79%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	221	128	1	1	17	74
% of Enrolled	70%	70%	50%	100%	74%	69%
Full Time	197	114	1	1	16	65
Part Time	24	14	0	0	1	9
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.11	\$8.00	\$7.25	\$8.00	\$7.86	\$8.36
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,153	\$1,123	\$1,099	\$1,386	\$1,085	\$1,217

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – July 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	76	44	0	1	3	28
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	328	188	2	1	30	107
Participants in a Work Activity	271	160	2	1	21	87
% of Total Enrolled	83%	85%	100%	100%	70%	81%
Participants Employed	225	129	1	1	20	74
% of Total Enrolled	69%	69%	50%	100%	67%	69%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.12	\$8.02	\$7.25	\$8.00	\$7.94	\$8.36
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,095	\$1,059	\$1,091	\$1,376	\$970	\$1,189
Three Months Employment ⁸	73%	73%	100%	N/A	69%	70%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	8,091	4,343	79	20	716	2,933
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	6,234	3,404	50	8	530	2,242
Participants in a Work Activity	6,076	3,345	50	8	490	2,183
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	100%	100%	92%	97%
Participants Employed	4,333	2,276	32	6	377	1,642
% of Total Enrolled	70%	67%	64%	75%	71%	73%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.18	\$7.17	\$6.56	\$7.79	\$6.75	\$7.29
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$954	\$960	\$717	\$784	\$866	\$972
Three Months Employment ⁹	67%	67%	63%	83%	67%	68%

EDDS 5 & 18 – Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: Status through July 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/12 and Work Activity as of 7/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	509	19	170	61	38	107	114	286	204	82
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	223	4	71	29	14	53	52	96	52	44
Participants in a Work Activity	160	2	43	27	13	34	41	60	34	26
% of Enrolled	72%	50%	61%	93%	93%	64%	79%	63%	65%	59%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	6	0	2	2	0	1	1	0	0	0
Participants Employed	113	2	28	22	8	24	29	49	24	25
% of Enrolled	51%	50%	39%	76%	57%	45%	56%	51%	46%	57%
Full Time	95	2	23	20	7	20	23	39	22	17
Part Time	18	0	5	2	1	4	6	10	2	8
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.25	\$8.38	\$9.06	\$7.53	\$8.09	\$8.24	\$8.06	\$7.89	\$7.80	\$7.96
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,108	\$1,277	\$1,202	\$1,035	\$1,151	\$1,088	\$1,064	\$1,058	\$1,141	\$979

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – July 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	37	2	12	3	2	11	7	21	18	3
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	247	4	75	35	19	56	58	98	53	45
Participants in a Work Activity	181	2	47	29	14	39	50	70	38	32
% of Total Enrolled	73%	50%	63%	83%	74%	70%	86%	71%	72%	71%
Participants Employed	129	2	32	26	8	27	34	51	25	26
% of Total Enrolled	52%	50%	43%	74%	42%	48%	59%	52%	47%	58%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.23	\$8.38	\$8.92	\$7.53	\$8.09	\$8.43	\$8.00	\$7.90	\$7.84	\$7.97
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,080	\$1,269	\$1,176	\$974	\$1,143	\$1,096	\$1,033	\$994	\$1,058	\$933
Three Months Employment ⁸	63%	75%	63%	68%	73%	59%	62%	75%	76%	73%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	5,325	207	1,150	814	652	1,277	1,225	2,512	1,595	917
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	3,981	125	863	663	399	962	969	1,849	1,143	706
Participants in a Work Activity	3,766	117	804	648	387	891	919	1,788	1,117	671
% of Total Enrolled	95%	94%	93%	98%	97%	93%	95%	97%	98%	95%
Participants Employed	2,596	74	494	462	240	658	668	1,041	586	455
% of Total Enrolled	65%	59%	57%	70%	60%	68%	69%	56%	51%	64%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.39	\$7.46	\$7.76	\$7.33	\$6.75	\$7.47	\$7.30	\$6.75	\$6.78	\$6.71
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$987	\$989	\$1,010	\$991	\$927	\$1,012	\$964	\$849	\$852	\$846
Three Months Employment ⁹	63%	63%	62%	60%	70%	69%	59%	72%	65%	80%

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: Status through July 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/12 and Work Activity as of 7/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	3,521	226	1,424	224	1,072	401	137	37
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,300	64	456	142	454	136	39	9
Participants in a Work Activity	1,037	53	394	92	355	108	30	5
% of Enrolled	80%	83%	86%	65%	78%	79%	77%	56%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	14	0	8	2	1	2	1	0
Participants Employed	635	19	223	63	246	65	17	2
% of Enrolled	49%	30%	49%	44%	54%	48%	44%	22%
Full Time	504	15	183	43	199	49	13	2
Part Time	131	4	40	20	47	16	4	0
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.29	\$9.62	\$9.15	\$10.02	\$9.24	\$9.29	\$8.95	\$7.46
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,253	\$1,413	\$1,249	\$1,307	\$1,227	\$1,266	\$1,264	\$1,033

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – July 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	322	17	109	30	111	41	12	2
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,431	72	538	146	487	138	41	9
Participants in a Work Activity	1,164	59	472	101	386	110	31	5
% of Total Enrolled	81%	82%	88%	69%	79%	80%	76%	56%
Participants Employed	692	23	257	65	262	65	18	2
% of Total Enrolled	48%	32%	48%	45%	54%	47%	44%	22%
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.33	\$10.30	\$9.22	\$9.99	\$9.22	\$9.29	\$9.26	\$7.46
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,200	\$1,388	\$1,203	\$1,253	\$1,162	\$1,207	\$1,283	\$1,025
Three Months Employment ⁸	75%	59%	74%	81%	74%	83%	67%	83%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	34,242	2,882	12,110	2,442	10,979	3,925	1,473	431
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	26,422	2,253	9,151	1,941	8,532	3,096	1,137	312
Participants in a Work Activity	25,497	2,229	8,825	1,857	8,211	2,962	1,103	310
% of Total Enrolled	96%	99%	96%	96%	96%	96%	97%	99%
Participants Employed	18,620	1,620	6,187	1,499	6,178	2,177	732	227
% of Total Enrolled	70%	72%	68%	77%	72%	70%	64%	73%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.69	\$8.30	\$8.72	\$9.27	\$8.78	\$8.39	\$8.23	\$8.13
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,153	\$1,070	\$1,151	\$1,199	\$1,191	\$1,076	\$1,108	\$1,084
Three Months Employment ⁹	71%	69%	69%	72%	71%	77%	71%	77%

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: Status through July 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/12 and Work Activity as of 7/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	357	140	94	28	90	5
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	152	63	37	6	42	4
Participants in a Work Activity	116	47	27	5	36	1
% of Enrolled	76%	75%	73%	83%	86%	25%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	0	3	0	1	0
Participants Employed	87	40	21	4	22	0
% of Enrolled	57%	63%	57%	67%	52%	0%
Full Time	80	35	20	4	21	N/A
Part Time	7	5	1	0	1	N/A
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.36	\$8.35	\$8.47	\$8.13	\$8.32	N/A
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,166	\$1,128	\$1,176	\$1,199	\$1,221	N/A

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – July 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	43	24	9	4	5	1
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	162	64	39	10	45	4
Participants in a Work Activity	119	49	27	6	36	1
% of Total Enrolled	73%	77%	69%	60%	80%	25%
Participants Employed	88	41	21	4	22	0
% of Total Enrolled	54%	64%	54%	40%	49%	0%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.38	\$8.39	\$8.47	\$8.13	\$8.32	N/A
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,104	\$1,111	\$1,118	\$1,234	\$1,054	N/A
Three Months Employment ⁸	76%	79%	68%	57%	91%	N/A

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	3,914	1,506	1,160	363	787	98
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	2,954	1,093	890	267	640	64
Participants in a Work Activity	2,846	1,048	880	260	597	61
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	99%	97%	93%	95%
Participants Employed	1,988	709	614	165	460	40
% of Total Enrolled	67%	65%	69%	62%	72%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.48	\$7.44	\$7.84	\$7.16	\$7.21	\$7.27
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$986	\$968	\$1,023	\$998	\$963	\$958
Three Months Employment ⁹	65%	67%	69%	61%	59%	44%

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: Status through July 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/12 and Work Activity as of 7/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	624	116	56	39	91	38	284
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	294	34	25	15	35	12	173
Participants in a Work Activity	231	30	18	7	31	8	137
% of Enrolled	79%	88%	72%	47%	89%	67%	79%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	1	0	1	0	0	3
Participants Employed	135	21	7	6	22	3	76
% of Enrolled	46%	62%	28%	40%	63%	25%	44%
Full Time	100	13	6	1	19	2	59
Part Time	35	8	1	5	3	1	17
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.26	\$8.51	\$8.11	\$7.61	\$8.39	\$9.50	\$8.17
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,076	\$1,036	\$1,002	\$875	\$1,208	\$1,191	\$1,068

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – July 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	61	10	6	4	10	5	26
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	308	35	26	15	41	12	179
Participants in a Work Activity	265	33	18	9	37	8	160
% of Total Enrolled	86%	94%	69%	60%	90%	67%	89%
Participants Employed	142	22	7	6	24	3	80
% of Total Enrolled	46%	63%	27%	40%	59%	25%	45%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.30	\$8.46	\$8.11	\$7.61	\$8.50	\$9.50	\$8.22
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$983	\$1,005	\$781	\$905	\$1,024	\$1,240	\$979
Three Months Employment ⁸	68%	69%	71%	45%	66%	82%	70%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	5,966	1382	264	462	939	304	2,615
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	4,613	1000	185	331	691	214	2,192
Participants in a Work Activity	4,500	976	177	311	665	211	2,160
% of Total Enrolled	98%	98%	96%	94%	96%	99%	99%
Participants Employed	3,428	728	115	250	431	133	1,771
% of Total Enrolled	74%	73%	62%	76%	62%	62%	81%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.42	\$7.81	\$7.72	\$7.34	\$7.63	\$7.76	\$7.26
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$946	\$1,006	\$1,047	\$914	\$985	\$1,018	\$920
Three Months Employment ⁹	63%	57%	60%	56%	63%	63%	66%

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: Status through July 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/12 and Work Activity as of 7/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,241	92	75	183	299	592
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	567	30	41	73	182	241
Participants in a Work Activity	407	18	36	57	139	157
% of Enrolled	72%	60%	88%	78%	76%	65%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	3	0	0	0	1
Participants Employed	277	14	24	41	79	119
% of Enrolled	49%	47%	59%	56%	43%	49%
Full Time	196	12	24	23	51	86
Part Time	81	2	0	18	28	33
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.90	\$7.66	\$7.81	\$8.05	\$8.03	\$7.81
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,008	\$1,092	\$1,091	\$914	\$1,014	\$1,009

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – July 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	86	15	7	15	15	34
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	599	32	41	73	195	258
Participants in a Work Activity	475	21	38	57	168	191
% of Total Enrolled	79%	66%	93%	78%	86%	74%
Participants Employed	289	15	25	40	83	126
% of Total Enrolled	48%	47%	61%	55%	43%	49%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.90	\$7.82	\$7.81	\$8.05	\$8.04	\$7.79
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$939	\$975	\$1,091	\$859	\$901	\$956
Three Months Employment ⁸	68%	55%	88%	65%	69%	67%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	10,382	969	807	2,092	2,420	4,094
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	8,617	783	706	1,677	2,073	3,378
Participants in a Work Activity	8,139	742	693	1,560	1,957	3,187
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	98%	93%	94%	94%
Participants Employed	5,866	519	499	1,090	1,414	2,344
% of Total Enrolled	68%	66%	71%	65%	68%	69%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.62	\$6.81	\$6.29	\$6.70	\$6.83	\$6.51
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$857	\$871	\$869	\$856	\$896	\$831
Three Months Employment ⁹	64%	60%	56%	61%	64%	68%

EDD10 - Danville Region: Status through July 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/12 and Work Activity as of 7/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
TANF Cases End of Month¹⁰	1,397	202	452	105	205	433
Total Enrolled in VIEW³	423	47	149	76	44	107
Participants in a Work Activity	331	25	123	61	23	99
% of Enrolled	78%	53%	83%	80%	52%	93%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	207	22	72	39	18	56
% of Enrolled	49%	47%	48%	51%	41%	52%
Full Time	172	17	59	28	18	50
Part Time	35	5	13	11	0	6
Average Wage⁶	\$7.85	\$8.07	\$7.78	\$7.67	\$8.00	\$7.93
Average Monthly Earnings⁷	\$1,099	\$1,086	\$1,090	\$1,032	\$1,209	\$1,127

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – July 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	124	10	42	10	25	37
Total Enrolled in VIEW³	494	47	176	79	60	132
Participants in a Work Activity	392	34	134	61	43	120
% of Total Enrolled	79%	72%	76%	77%	72%	91%
Participants Employed	231	22	80	39	27	63
% of Total Enrolled	47%	47%	45%	49%	45%	48%
Average Wage⁶	\$7.84	\$8.07	\$7.78	\$7.67	\$7.86	\$7.92
Average Monthly Earnings⁷	\$1,047	\$1,033	\$1,025	\$1,019	\$1,096	\$1,077
Three Months Employment⁸	65%	56%	68%	71%	43%	74%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	12,767	1,973	3,443	1,261	2,086	4,004
Total Enrolled in VIEW^{3,5}	10,245	1,641	2,632	1,094	1,597	3,281
Participants in a Work Activity	9,908	1,586	2,519	1,030	1,567	3,206
% of Total Enrolled	97%	97%	96%	94%	98%	98%
Participants Employed	6,284	927	1,662	730	865	2,100
% of Total Enrolled	61%	56%	63%	67%	54%	64%
Average Wage⁶	\$6.42	\$6.37	\$6.82	\$6.34	\$6.41	\$6.17
Average Monthly Earnings⁷	\$855	\$840	\$940	\$826	\$868	\$805
Three Months Employment⁹	63%	58%	64%	75%	60%	62%

EDD11 - Southside Region: Status through July 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/12 and Work Activity as of 7/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,010	72	108	65	90	67	138	61	158	124	127
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	436	33	50	26	44	33	48	25	48	64	65
Participants in a Work Activity	326	27	38	24	36	25	33	13	38	46	46
% of Enrolled	75%	82%	76%	92%	82%	76%	69%	52%	79%	72%	71%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
Participants Employed	209	16	33	17	15	15	18	8	23	33	31
% of Enrolled	48%	48%	66%	65%	34%	45%	38%	32%	48%	52%	48%
Full Time	147	11	21	17	10	8	6	6	15	29	24
Part Time	62	5	12	0	5	7	12	2	8	4	7
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.95	\$8.53	\$7.66	\$8.25	\$7.70	\$8.98	\$7.58	\$7.38	\$7.57	\$8.10	\$7.91
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,013	\$1,081	\$951	\$1,229	\$917	\$1,029	\$741	\$1,055	\$949	\$1,051	\$1,117

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – July 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	96	6	10	10	7	4	9	7	16	8	19
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	469	36	55	32	47	36	54	28	49	65	67
Participants in a Work Activity	370	27	41	29	39	30	49	18	39	49	49
% of Total Enrolled	79%	75%	75%	91%	83%	83%	91%	64%	80%	75%	73%
Participants Employed	222	16	32	20	16	16	21	10	24	35	32
% of Total Enrolled	47%	44%	58%	63%	34%	44%	39%	36%	49%	54%	48%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.96	\$8.53	\$7.65	\$8.18	\$7.67	\$9.19	\$7.56	\$7.48	\$7.56	\$8.05	\$7.93
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$978	\$923	\$933	\$1,211	\$902	\$1,127	\$783	\$913	\$924	\$990	\$1,033
Three Months Employment ⁸	70%	80%	86%	67%	52%	59%	71%	55%	60%	88%	69%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	7,948	514	991	773	694	533	933	362	1156	949	1043
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	6,154	373	789	585	509	392	741	282	910	730	843
Participants in a Work Activity	5,882	353	785	552	468	372	707	277	868	679	821
% of Total Enrolled	96%	95%	99%	94%	92%	95%	95%	98%	95%	93%	97%
Participants Employed	3,740	223	447	333	259	226	424	138	603	492	595
% of Total Enrolled	61%	60%	57%	57%	51%	58%	57%	49%	66%	67%	71%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.80	\$7.46	\$6.26	\$7.04	\$7.24	\$7.33	\$6.73	\$6.39	\$6.59	\$6.81	\$6.77
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$878	\$958	\$824	\$946	\$926	\$953	\$819	\$790	\$839	\$901	\$883
Three Months Employment ⁹	66%	65%	67%	62%	57%	57%	64%	61%	68%	70%	68%

EDD12 - Richmond Region: Status through July 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/12 and Work Activity as of 7/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	5,357	997	42	134	1,488	50	2,646
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,227	359	25	54	776	17	996
Participants in a Work Activity	1,607	294	20	32	516	13	732
% of Enrolled	72%	82%	80%	59%	66%	76%	73%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	17	1	0	0	6	0	10
Participants Employed	1,030	202	9	26	372	7	414
% of Enrolled	46%	56%	36%	48%	48%	41%	42%
Full Time	748	154	4	19	251	4	316
Part Time	282	48	5	7	121	3	98
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.34	\$8.47	\$7.52	\$8.74	\$8.52	\$7.48	\$8.12
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,093	\$1,130	\$953	\$1,138	\$1,102	\$939	\$1,068

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – July 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	408	78	1	12	102	6	209
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,355	378	25	55	812	18	1,067
Participants in a Work Activity	1,768	309	21	36	568	13	821
% of Total Enrolled	75%	82%	84%	65%	70%	72%	77%
Participants Employed	1,060	211	9	26	392	7	415
% of Total Enrolled	45%	56%	36%	47%	48%	39%	39%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.34	\$8.49	\$7.52	\$8.74	\$8.51	\$7.48	\$8.12
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,063	\$1,086	\$885	\$1,088	\$1,068	\$1,019	\$1,050
Three Months Employment ⁸	75%	76%	75%	76%	80%	80%	71%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	36,763	7,597	276	1266	9,143	359	18,122
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	28,816	5,766	208	903	7,426	258	14,255
Participants in a Work Activity	27,684	5,571	197	836	7,027	240	13,813
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	95%	93%	95%	93%	97%
Participants Employed	19,414	3,662	141	578	4,958	140	9,935
% of Total Enrolled	67%	64%	68%	64%	67%	54%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.37	\$7.64	\$7.09	\$8.21	\$7.68	\$7.59	\$7.11
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$986	\$1,022	\$895	\$1,052	\$1,020	\$950	\$957
Three Months Employment ⁹	70%	69%	65%	66%	67%	63%	72%

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: Status through July 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/12 and Work Activity as of 7/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,112	192	49	387	325	159
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	611	123	13	230	158	87
Participants in a Work Activity	414	48	10	168	118	70
% of Enrolled	68%	39%	77%	73%	75%	80%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	0	1	0	1
Participants Employed	302	46	8	121	77	50
% of Enrolled	49%	37%	62%	53%	49%	57%
Full Time	223	37	8	89	54	35
Part Time	79	9	0	32	23	15
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.41	\$8.14	\$8.14	\$8.26	\$8.79	\$8.49
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,073	\$1,089	\$1,191	\$1,055	\$1,080	\$1,071

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – July 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	100	4	8	40	33	15
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	634	127	13	236	161	97
Participants in a Work Activity	451	51	11	181	124	84
% of Total Enrolled	71%	40%	85%	77%	77%	87%
Participants Employed	312	47	8	125	77	55
% of Total Enrolled	49%	37%	62%	53%	48%	57%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.47	\$8.15	\$8.14	\$8.31	\$8.80	\$8.67
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,028	\$1,028	\$1,015	\$1,019	\$1,066	\$995
Three Months Employment ⁸	78%	89%	60%	88%	71%	70%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	8,622	1,311	516	2,626	2,494	1,675
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	6,371	925	347	2,070	1,620	1,409
Participants in a Work Activity	6,013	882	328	1,894	1,553	1,356
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	95%	91%	96%	96%
Participants Employed	4,174	553	213	1,396	952	1,060
% of Total Enrolled	66%	60%	61%	67%	59%	75%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.95	\$7.58	\$7.40	\$7.99	\$8.51	\$7.77
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,021	\$957	\$919	\$1,028	\$1,123	\$990
Three Months Employment ⁹	66%	57%	58%	68%	67%	66%

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: Status through July 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/12 and Work Activity as of 7/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	399	76	24	42	45	25	45	36	31	75
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	163	38	5	23	11	14	28	8	11	25
Participants in a Work Activity	119	28	2	18	6	9	23	6	5	22
% of Enrolled	73%	74%	40%	78%	55%	64%	82%	75%	45%	88%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Participants Employed	85	17	2	9	6	9	15	5	5	17
% of Enrolled	52%	45%	40%	39%	55%	64%	54%	63%	45%	68%
Full Time	69	12	1	7	6	8	12	4	3	16
Part Time	16	5	1	2	0	1	3	1	2	1
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.89	\$8.45	\$7.38	\$7.67	\$8.17	\$7.79	\$7.84	\$7.70	\$6.58	\$7.96
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,055	\$1,169	\$878	\$1,037	\$1,104	\$1,113	\$1,098	\$980	\$720	\$1,005

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – July 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	37	10	0	3	2	2	13	2	4	1
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	177	41	5	25	11	14	34	8	14	25
Participants in a Work Activity	139	32	3	18	10	9	30	7	8	22
% of Total Enrolled	79%	78%	60%	72%	91%	64%	88%	88%	57%	88%
Participants Employed	95	18	2	9	6	9	20	6	8	17
% of Total Enrolled	54%	44%	40%	36%	55%	64%	59%	75%	57%	68%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.86	\$8.42	\$7.38	\$7.67	\$8.17	\$7.79	\$7.79	\$7.62	\$6.91	\$7.96
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,026	\$1,049	\$872	\$989	\$1,088	\$1,154	\$1,084	\$951	\$829	\$998
Three Months Employment ⁸	67%	58%	40%	86%	46%	100%	53%	70%	67%	86%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	3,631	561	272	328	404	205	427	314	328	792
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	2,709	445	184	269	315	141	315	257	196	587
Participants in a Work Activity	2,538	422	173	255	295	135	291	250	185	532
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	94%	95%	94%	96%	92%	97%	94%	91%
Participants Employed	1,696	297	93	154	208	92	207	149	121	375
% of Total Enrolled	63%	67%	51%	57%	66%	65%	66%	58%	62%	64%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.02	\$7.06	\$7.00	\$7.38	\$6.80	\$7.02	\$7.06	\$6.68	\$6.95	\$7.07
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$895	\$868	\$864	\$971	\$855	\$902	\$909	\$858	\$920	\$919
Three Months Employment ⁹	57%	49%	47%	60%	52%	69%	69%	54%	40%	65%

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: Status through July 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/12 and Work Activity as of 7/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	NewKent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	3,349	20	87	146	48	120	1,210	1,681	37
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,389	7	28	54	22	78	491	696	13
Participants in a Work Activity	1,110	5	20	48	13	55	411	551	7
% of Enrolled	80%	71%	71%	89%	59%	71%	84%	79%	54%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	14	0	3	0	1	2	5	3	0
Participants Employed	734	5	14	34	10	36	256	372	7
% of Enrolled	53%	71%	50%	63%	45%	46%	52%	53%	54%
Full Time	558	4	14	29	8	11	189	299	4
Part Time	176	1	0	5	2	25	67	73	3
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.16	\$7.32	\$7.75	\$8.44	\$10.15	\$8.33	\$7.98	\$8.20	\$8.51
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,069	\$1,155	\$1,059	\$1,169	\$1,534	\$864	\$1,001	\$1,115	\$961

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – July 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	290	0	11	7	2	5	115	147	3
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,532	7	29	60	24	80	525	791	16
Participants in a Work Activity	1,238	5	22	48	14	59	446	636	8
% of Total Enrolled	81%	71%	76%	80%	58%	74%	85%	80%	50%
Participants Employed	776	5	14	34	10	38	270	398	7
% of Total Enrolled	51%	71%	48%	57%	42%	48%	51%	50%	44%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.15	\$7.32	\$7.77	\$8.91	\$10.15	\$8.30	\$7.96	\$8.17	\$8.51
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,038	\$1,147	\$1,023	\$1,138	\$1,471	\$850	\$982	\$1,076	\$917
Three Months Employment ⁸	73%	75%	38%	63%	78%	78%	78%	71%	78%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	26,246	164	1,062	1,112	339	1,085	9,141	12,962	381
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	19,812	119	750	881	243	827	6,755	9,956	281
Participants in a Work Activity	18,875	113	699	820	231	794	6,579	9,378	261
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	93%	93%	95%	96%	97%	94%	93%
Participants Employed	13,737	68	492	609	137	517	4,708	7,012	194
% of Total Enrolled	69%	57%	66%	69%	56%	63%	70%	70%	69%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.05	\$7.12	\$6.86	\$7.46	\$7.58	\$7.29	\$7.05	\$7.00	\$7.24
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$921	\$943	\$851	\$914	\$1,034	\$895	\$916	\$929	\$906
Three Months Employment ⁹	66%	55%	59%	61%	72%	60%	64%	69%	64%

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: Status through July 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/12 and Work Activity as of 7/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,162	128	87	52	62	302	531
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	535	18	43	29	34	201	210
Participants in a Work Activity	345	18	22	22	23	114	146
% of Enrolled	64%	100%	51%	76%	68%	57%	70%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	8	0	1	1	1	4	1
Participants Employed	253	13	16	12	17	80	115
% of Enrolled	47%	72%	37%	41%	50%	40%	55%
Full Time	198	12	12	5	16	52	101
Part Time	55	1	4	7	1	28	14
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.92	\$7.87	\$8.69	\$8.36	\$8.61	\$7.45	\$8.00
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,085	\$1,170	\$1,234	\$940	\$1,297	\$946	\$1,135

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – July 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	84	13	9	4	8	25	25
Total Enrolled in VIEW	556	23	45	32	36	203	217
Participants in a Work Activity	385	23	26	23	30	116	167
% of Total Enrolled	69%	100%	58%	72%	83%	57%	77%
Participants Employed	264	17	17	13	18	80	119
% of Total Enrolled	47%	74%	38%	41%	50%	39%	55%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.92	\$7.77	\$8.61	\$8.37	\$8.53	\$7.46	\$8.01
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,059	\$1,183	\$1,108	\$906	\$1,210	\$949	\$1,104
Three Months Employment ⁸	75%	78%	73%	73%	59%	75%	78%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	9,277	1,189	832	365	740	2,317	3,834
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	7,277	797	633	300	598	1,868	3,081
Participants in a Work Activity	6,936	794	543	286	576	1,781	2,956
% of Total Enrolled	95%	100%	86%	95%	96%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	4,952	528	378	212	391	1,267	2,176
% of Total Enrolled	68%	66%	60%	71%	65%	68%	71%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.01	\$6.91	\$7.50	\$7.25	\$7.01	\$6.88	\$7.02
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$945	\$963	\$996	\$875	\$955	\$920	\$954
Three Months Employment ⁹	66%	59%	59%	58%	63%	64%	70%

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: Status through July 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/12 and Work Activity as of 7/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South -ampton	Chesa-peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	5,827	125	120	962	131	2,115	1,115	344	915
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,247	33	35	408	42	970	376	86	297
Participants in a Work Activity	1,779	22	23	328	37	764	300	70	235
% of Enrolled	79%	67%	66%	80%	88%	79%	80%	81%	79%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	9	1	0	0	0	1	5	0	2
Participants Employed	1,219	14	16	237	15	521	187	57	172
% of Enrolled	54%	42%	46%	58%	36%	54%	50%	66%	58%
Full Time	1,049	10	10	207	11	471	151	45	144
Part Time	170	4	6	30	4	50	36	12	28
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.99	\$7.50	\$7.83	\$8.04	\$9.16	\$8.00	\$7.81	\$7.83	\$8.10
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,063	\$1,008	\$1,049	\$1,114	\$1,327	\$1,040	\$1,050	\$1,007	\$1,080

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – July 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South -ampton	Chesa-peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	492	9	4	73	21	183	86	23	93
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,372	36	40	436	50	980	409	95	326
Participants in a Work Activity	1,935	25	34	371	45	785	334	81	260
% of Total Enrolled	82%	69%	85%	85%	90%	80%	82%	85%	80%
Participants Employed	1,253	17	16	250	19	517	195	63	176
% of Total Enrolled	53%	47%	40%	57%	38%	53%	48%	66%	54%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.99	\$7.82	\$7.83	\$8.03	\$8.88	\$7.99	\$7.80	\$7.91	\$8.12
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,032	\$940	\$917	\$1,047	\$1,290	\$1,028	\$1,013	\$1,004	\$1,049
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	62%	66%	76%	67%	76%	66%	62%	68%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South -ampton	Chesa-peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	51,914	1,181	985	8,346	826	16,687	8,410	3,266	12,213
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	38,097	850	794	6,134	662	12,122	6,655	2,547	8,333
Participants in a Work Activity	36,052	819	760	5,850	651	11,455	6,270	2,523	7,724
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	96%	95%	98%	94%	94%	99%	93%
Participants Employed	26,570	585	456	4,446	423	8,523	4,757	1,883	5,497
% of Total Enrolled	70%	69%	57%	72%	64%	70%	71%	74%	66%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.88	\$6.89	\$6.70	\$7.05	\$6.53	\$6.77	\$6.76	\$6.71	\$7.19
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$898	\$910	\$828	\$930	\$822	\$865	\$902	\$872	\$954
Three Months Employment ⁹	67%	60%	54%	65%	64%	67%	69%	68%	69%

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date

This section lists the relative state fiscal year to date rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Wage, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	47.2%	4.72	75	\$7.84	2.42	80	76.5%	6.21	28	4.45	63
003	Albemarle	62.9%	6.29	21	\$8.46	4.04	27	69.2%	5.04	59	5.12	28
005	Alleghany/Covington	65.7%	6.57	17	\$8.06	2.99	54	56.5%	3.00	107	4.19	77
007	Amelia	44.4%	4.44	84	\$8.53	4.22	19	80.0%	6.78	17	5.15	25
009	Amherst	46.9%	4.69	78	\$7.82	2.37	82	54.6%	2.68	109	3.24	111
011	Appomattox	61.0%	6.10	25	\$7.81	2.34	84	87.9%	8.05	6	5.50	13
013	Arlington	31.9%	3.19	112	\$10.30	8.83	2	59.4%	3.46	99	5.16	23
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	68.6%	6.86	11	\$8.02	2.89	60	73.3%	5.70	42	5.15	24
017	Bath	50.0%	5.00	55	\$7.25	0.89	117	100.0%	10.00	1	5.30	17
019	Bedford County/City	54.8%	5.48	42	\$8.05	2.97	55	64.7%	4.31	80	4.25	72
021	Bland	25.0%	2.50	116	\$10.75	10.00	1	40.0%	0.33	116	4.28	71
023	Botetourt	30.0%	3.00	114	\$7.86	2.47	77	66.7%	4.63	70	3.37	109
025	Brunswick	58.2%	5.82	31	\$7.65	1.93	101	86.1%	7.76	9	5.17	22
027	Buchanan	30.6%	3.06	113	\$7.34	1.12	115	81.0%	6.93	16	3.70	98
029	Buckingham	62.5%	6.25	22	\$8.18	3.31	40	66.7%	4.63	71	4.73	47
031	Campbell	42.6%	4.26	91	\$8.04	2.94	57	68.6%	4.94	61	4.05	85
033	Caroline	37.0%	3.70	106	\$8.15	3.23	43	89.5%	8.30	5	5.08	30
035	Carroll	52.3%	5.23	52	\$8.04	2.94	58	57.5%	3.14	105	3.77	95
036	Charles City	71.4%	7.14	9	\$7.32	1.07	116	75.0%	5.97	33	4.73	48
037	Charlotte	34.0%	3.40	110	\$7.67	1.98	98	52.4%	2.33	112	2.57	115
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	55.8%	5.58	38	\$8.49	4.11	24	75.7%	6.08	32	5.26	19
043	Clarke	50.0%	5.00	56	\$8.38	3.83	31	75.0%	5.97	34	4.93	38
045	Craig	0.0%	0.00	119	N/A	N/A	N/A	62.5%	3.96	86	1.98	118
047	Culpeper	64.1%	6.41	19	\$8.39	3.85	30	78.7%	6.57	20	5.61	11
049	Cumberland	44.4%	4.44	85	\$9.19	5.94	10	58.8%	3.37	103	4.58	53
051	Dickensen	53.8%	5.38	45	\$7.50	1.54	109	62.5%	3.96	87	3.63	101
053	Dinwiddie	73.9%	7.39	7	\$7.77	2.24	90	78.3%	6.50	21	5.38	16
057	Essex	43.9%	4.39	86	\$8.42	3.93	29	57.7%	3.18	104	3.84	92
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	47.8%	4.78	67	\$9.22	6.02	8	74.2%	5.84	40	5.54	12
061	Fauquier	53.8%	5.38	46	\$8.47	4.06	26	67.6%	4.78	65	4.74	46
063	Floyd	66.7%	6.67	14	\$8.49	4.11	25	60.0%	3.56	96	4.78	44
065	Fluvanna	26.9%	2.69	115	\$8.11	3.13	48	71.4%	5.40	47	3.74	96
067	Franklin County	43.7%	4.37	89	\$8.08	3.05	51	62.9%	4.02	85	3.81	93
069	Frederick	42.7%	4.27	90	\$8.92	5.23	11	63.0%	4.03	84	4.51	57
071	Giles	47.6%	4.76	71	\$8.08	3.05	52	61.1%	3.73	95	3.85	91
073	Gloucester	48.3%	4.83	63	\$7.77	2.24	91	37.9%	0.00	118	2.36	116
075	Goochland	36.0%	3.60	107	\$7.52	1.59	108	75.0%	5.97	35	3.72	97
077	Grayson	56.3%	5.63	37	\$7.91	2.60	74	64.3%	4.25	81	4.16	78

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	40.0%	4.00	96	\$7.61	1.82	103	45.5%	1.21	114	2.34	117
081	Greensville/Emporia	38.9%	3.89	102	\$7.56	1.69	105	70.6%	5.26	53	3.61	103
083	Halifax	46.8%	4.68	79	\$8.07	3.02	53	55.6%	2.84	108	3.51	105
085	Hanover	47.3%	4.73	73	\$8.74	4.77	15	76.5%	6.21	29	5.23	21
087	Henrico	48.3%	4.83	64	\$8.51	4.17	21	79.6%	6.71	19	5.23	20
089	Henry/Martinsville	45.5%	4.55	80	\$7.78	2.27	89	68.4%	4.91	62	3.91	88
091	Highland	100.0%	10.00	1	\$8.00	2.84	62	N/A	N/A	N/A	6.42	3
093	Isle of Wight	47.2%	4.72	74	\$7.82	2.37	83	61.5%	3.80	94	3.63	100
095	James City	56.7%	5.67	36	\$8.91	5.21	12	63.3%	4.09	83	4.99	33
097	King and Queen	40.0%	4.00	97	\$7.38	1.22	114	40.0%	0.33	117	1.85	119
099	King George	61.5%	6.15	24	\$8.14	3.20	44	60.0%	3.56	97	4.30	69
101	King William	36.0%	3.60	108	\$7.67	1.98	99	85.7%	7.70	10	4.43	65
103	Lancaster	54.5%	5.45	43	\$8.17	3.28	41	46.2%	1.32	113	3.35	110
105	Lee	55.5%	5.55	40	\$7.74	2.16	94	74.4%	5.88	39	4.53	55
107	Loudoun	44.5%	4.45	83	\$9.99	8.02	4	81.4%	7.00	15	6.49	1
109	Louisa	58.5%	5.85	30	\$8.50	4.14	23	65.9%	4.51	77	4.83	42
111	Lunenburg	35.7%	3.57	109	\$7.48	1.48	110	54.6%	2.68	110	2.58	114
113	Madison	40.0%	4.00	98	\$8.13	3.18	45	57.1%	3.09	106	3.42	107
115	Mathews	64.3%	6.43	18	\$7.79	2.29	86	100.0%	10.00	2	6.24	5
117	Mecklenburg	49.0%	4.90	60	\$7.56	1.69	106	60.0%	3.56	98	3.38	108
119	Middlesex	58.8%	5.88	28	\$7.79	2.29	87	52.9%	2.42	111	3.53	104
121	Montgomery	75.2%	7.52	3	\$7.90	2.58	76	67.4%	4.75	67	4.95	36
125	Nelson	25.0%	2.50	117	\$9.50	6.74	5	81.8%	7.07	14	5.44	15
127	New Kent	41.7%	4.17	93	\$10.15	8.44	3	77.8%	6.42	24	6.34	4
131	Northampton	57.8%	5.78	32	\$7.97	2.76	67	72.7%	5.61	43	4.71	50
133	Northumberland	75.0%	7.50	4	\$7.62	1.85	102	70.0%	5.17	54	4.84	41
135	Nottoway	53.8%	5.38	47	\$8.05	2.97	56	87.8%	8.03	8	5.46	14
137	Orange	48.9%	4.89	61	\$8.32	3.67	34	90.9%	8.54	4	5.70	9
139	Page	74.3%	7.43	6	\$7.53	1.61	107	67.7%	4.79	64	4.61	51
141	Patrick	49.4%	4.94	59	\$7.67	1.98	100	70.8%	5.30	50	4.07	82
143	Pittsylvania	45.0%	4.50	81	\$7.86	2.47	78	42.9%	0.79	115	2.59	113
145	Powhatan	38.9%	3.89	103	\$7.48	1.48	111	80.0%	6.78	18	4.05	84
147	Prince Edward	47.8%	4.78	68	\$7.93	2.66	71	68.6%	4.95	60	4.13	80
149	Prince George	37.8%	3.78	105	\$8.61	4.43	17	72.7%	5.61	44	4.60	52
153	Prince William	53.8%	5.38	48	\$9.22	6.02	9	74.5%	5.89	38	5.76	7
155	Pulaski	74.5%	7.45	5	\$7.93	2.66	72	63.6%	4.14	82	4.75	45
157	Rappahannock	0.0%	0.00	120	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.00	120
159	Richmond County	57.1%	5.71	34	\$6.91	0.00	118	66.7%	4.63	72	3.45	106
161	Roanoke County	68.4%	6.84	12	\$8.59	4.38	18	61.9%	3.86	93	5.02	31
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	66.7%	6.67	15	\$7.94	2.68	70	100.0%	10.00	3	6.45	2
165	Rockingham/H'burg	69.2%	6.92	10	\$8.36	3.78	33	69.8%	5.14	57	5.28	18
167	Russell	41.0%	4.10	94	\$7.74	2.16	95	70.8%	5.30	51	3.85	90
169	Scott	55.6%	5.56	39	\$7.68	2.01	96	74.5%	5.89	37	4.48	60
171	Shenandoah	42.1%	4.21	92	\$8.09	3.07	50	72.7%	5.61	45	4.30	70

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	47.1%	4.71	77	\$8.20	3.36	38	62.3%	3.93	90	4.00	86
175	<i>Southampton</i>	40.0%	4.00	99	\$7.83	2.40	81	65.7%	4.48	78	3.62	102
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	53.0%	5.30	50	\$8.31	3.65	35	87.9%	8.05	7	5.66	10
179	<i>Stafford</i>	47.8%	4.78	66	\$8.80	4.92	14	70.8%	5.29	52	5.00	32
181	<i>Surry</i>	40.6%	4.06	95	\$8.37	3.80	32	72.7%	5.61	46	4.49	58
183	<i>Sussex</i>	50.0%	5.00	57	\$8.53	4.22	20	59.3%	3.44	100	4.22	73
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	71.8%	7.18	8	\$7.77	2.24	92	62.2%	3.92	92	4.45	64
187	<i>Warren</i>	48.2%	4.82	65	\$8.43	3.96	28	59.3%	3.44	101	4.07	83
191	<i>Washington</i>	63.1%	6.31	20	\$7.85	2.45	79	66.7%	4.63	73	4.46	62
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	68.0%	6.80	13	\$7.96	2.73	68	85.7%	7.70	11	5.74	8
195	<i>Wise</i>	59.0%	5.90	27	\$7.68	2.01	97	67.3%	4.73	68	4.21	75
197	<i>Wythe</i>	80.0%	8.00	2	\$7.58	1.74	104	70.0%	5.17	55	4.97	35
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	47.5%	4.75	72	\$8.30	3.62	36	78.0%	6.46	23	4.94	37
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	47.1%	4.71	76	\$9.29	6.20	6	82.5%	7.18	13	6.03	6
520	<i>Bristol</i>	33.5%	3.35	111	\$7.76	2.21	93	59.1%	3.40	102	2.99	112
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	44.7%	4.47	82	\$8.22	3.41	37	69.7%	5.11	58	4.33	68
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	57.3%	5.73	33	\$8.03	2.92	59	75.9%	6.11	30	4.92	40
590	<i>Danville</i>	47.7%	4.77	69	\$7.92	2.63	73	74.0%	5.81	41	4.40	66
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	38.0%	3.80	104	\$8.88	5.13	13	66.7%	4.63	74	4.52	56
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	56.7%	5.67	35	\$8.67	4.58	16	70.0%	5.17	56	5.14	26
640	<i>Galax</i>	53.3%	5.33	49	\$8.20	3.36	39	62.5%	3.96	88	4.22	74
650	<i>Hampton</i>	51.4%	5.14	53	\$7.96	2.73	69	78.1%	6.46	22	4.78	43
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	39.4%	3.94	100	\$7.46	1.43	112	75.0%	5.97	36	3.78	94
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	48.8%	4.88	62	\$7.79	2.29	88	67.0%	4.69	69	3.95	87
683	<i>Manassas</i>	43.9%	4.39	87	\$9.26	6.12	7	67.4%	4.75	66	5.09	29
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	22.2%	2.22	118	\$7.46	1.43	113	83.3%	7.31	12	3.66	99
700	<i>Newport News</i>	50.3%	5.03	54	\$8.17	3.28	42	71.1%	5.35	48	4.55	54
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	52.8%	5.28	51	\$7.99	2.81	66	75.7%	6.09	31	4.72	49
720	<i>Norton</i>	60.0%	6.00	26	\$8.00	2.84	63	66.7%	4.63	75	4.49	59
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	54.8%	5.48	41	\$8.01	2.86	61	77.8%	6.42	25	4.92	39
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	47.7%	4.77	70	\$7.80	2.32	85	66.3%	4.57	76	3.88	89
750	<i>Radford</i>	62.1%	6.21	23	\$8.00	2.84	64	77.4%	6.36	27	5.14	27
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	38.9%	3.89	101	\$8.12	3.15	46	71.0%	5.33	49	4.12	81
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	49.6%	4.96	58	\$8.10	3.10	49	64.8%	4.33	79	4.13	79
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	66.3%	6.63	16	\$7.91	2.60	75	62.4%	3.93	89	4.39	67
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	54.0%	5.40	44	\$8.12	3.15	47	68.3%	4.90	63	4.48	61
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	43.8%	4.38	88	\$8.51	4.17	22	77.8%	6.42	26	4.99	34
840	<i>Winchester</i>	58.6%	5.86	29	\$8.00	2.84	65	62.3%	3.93	91	4.21	76

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores is done to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

VIEW Rankings, From Inception of VIEW

This section lists the relative cumulative rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Monthly Earnings, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	51.3%	2.20	115	\$852	2.80	95	65.3%	5.81	48	3.60	109
003	Albemarle	72.8%	7.89	11	\$1,006	5.99	21	57.4%	3.96	101	5.95	26
005	Alleghany/Covington	70.9%	7.37	19	\$837	2.49	103	58.5%	4.21	94	4.69	78
007	Amelia	59.8%	4.45	93	\$958	4.99	37	64.7%	5.66	51	5.04	68
009	Amherst	66.3%	6.17	53	\$871	3.20	82	59.7%	4.50	86	4.62	79
011	Appomattox	70.7%	7.33	20	\$869	3.16	84	56.1%	3.67	108	4.72	77
013	Arlington	71.9%	7.65	15	\$1,070	7.33	8	69.5%	6.78	18	7.25	6
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	66.9%	6.32	47	\$960	5.03	35	67.4%	6.28	36	5.88	29
017	Bath	64.0%	5.57	72	\$717	0.00	120	63.3%	5.34	64	3.64	108
019	Bedford County/City	65.0%	5.83	65	\$856	2.88	92	60.7%	4.73	78	4.48	87
021	Bland	59.8%	4.45	92	\$860	2.97	90	56.5%	3.75	105	3.72	106
023	Botetourt	42.9%	0.00	120	\$937	4.57	51	58.1%	4.13	98	2.90	118
025	Brunswick	56.7%	3.63	109	\$824	2.22	110	67.3%	6.27	37	4.04	100
027	Buchanan	52.9%	2.63	114	\$836	2.46	104	63.5%	5.38	62	3.49	111
029	Buckingham	56.9%	3.70	108	\$946	4.74	47	62.2%	5.09	70	4.51	85
031	Campbell	68.2%	6.68	38	\$896	3.72	73	63.9%	5.49	56	5.29	57
033	Caroline	59.8%	4.45	94	\$957	4.98	39	56.9%	3.85	103	4.43	91
035	Carroll	66.4%	6.19	52	\$829	2.31	107	53.8%	3.12	113	3.87	103
036	Charles City	57.1%	3.75	107	\$943	4.69	48	54.6%	3.30	110	3.92	101
037	Charlotte	50.9%	2.10	116	\$926	4.34	57	56.8%	3.83	104	3.42	114
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	63.5%	5.44	76	\$1,022	6.33	16	69.3%	6.73	21	6.17	22
043	Clarke	59.2%	4.30	96	\$989	5.65	26	62.7%	5.19	68	5.05	66
045	Craig	49.1%	1.63	118	\$1,041	6.71	12	61.5%	4.93	74	4.42	92
047	Culpeper	64.9%	5.79	66	\$968	5.21	31	66.5%	6.08	42	5.70	36
049	Cumberland	57.7%	3.89	102	\$953	4.89	44	57.3%	3.94	102	4.24	96
051	Dickenson	60.2%	4.57	90	\$833	2.40	105	55.3%	3.48	109	3.48	112
053	Dinwiddie	66.2%	6.16	55	\$963	5.11	33	58.6%	4.24	93	5.17	62
057	Essex	66.7%	6.29	49	\$868	3.14	85	49.2%	2.06	117	3.83	104
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	67.6%	6.52	43	\$1,151	9.01	3	69.2%	6.71	22	7.41	5
061	Fauquier	69.0%	6.88	34	\$1,023	6.34	15	68.9%	6.65	25	6.62	10
063	Floyd	66.4%	6.20	51	\$931	4.45	53	56.3%	3.72	106	4.79	75
065	Fluvanna	62.2%	5.08	83	\$1,047	6.84	10	60.0%	4.57	82	5.50	43
067	Franklin County	61.5%	4.90	87	\$949	4.81	46	58.0%	4.10	99	4.61	81
069	Frederick	57.2%	3.78	105	\$1,010	6.08	20	61.8%	4.99	72	4.95	71
071	Giles	58.4%	4.09	99	\$956	4.95	40	53.6%	3.07	115	4.04	99
073	Gloucester	65.6%	5.99	60	\$851	2.78	96	58.7%	4.26	92	4.34	95
075	Goochland	67.8%	6.57	41	\$895	3.69	74	64.8%	5.69	50	5.31	55
077	Grayson	66.2%	6.15	56	\$852	2.81	94	61.1%	4.82	77	4.59	82

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	75.5%	8.61	4	\$914	4.09	64	56.2%	3.68	107	5.46	44
081	Greensville/Emporia	57.2%	3.78	106	\$819	2.11	112	63.8%	5.44	57	3.78	105
083	Halifax	56.5%	3.58	110	\$840	2.54	101	58.3%	4.16	96	3.43	113
085	Hanover	64.0%	5.57	70	\$1,052	6.96	9	66.1%	6.00	45	6.17	21
087	Henrico	66.8%	6.30	48	\$1,020	6.29	17	66.5%	6.08	43	6.22	20
089	Henry/Martinsville	63.1%	5.34	78	\$940	4.62	50	64.4%	5.60	54	5.18	61
091	Highland	75.0%	8.47	7	\$784	1.38	118	83.3%	10.00	1	6.62	11
093	Isle of Wight	68.8%	6.84	36	\$910	4.00	66	60.0%	4.56	83	5.13	65
095	James City	69.1%	6.92	32	\$914	4.09	65	60.5%	4.69	79	5.23	60
097	King and Queen	50.5%	2.01	117	\$864	3.05	89	47.0%	1.54	118	2.20	120
099	King George	61.4%	4.87	88	\$919	4.20	61	58.2%	4.15	97	4.41	93
101	King William	57.2%	3.78	104	\$971	5.26	30	60.3%	4.63	81	4.56	83
103	Lancaster	66.0%	6.10	57	\$855	2.86	93	51.9%	2.68	116	3.88	102
105	Lee	65.3%	5.91	62	\$790	1.52	116	72.4%	7.44	7	4.96	70
107	Loudoun	77.2%	9.06	3	\$1,199	10.00	1	71.8%	7.30	9	8.79	1
109	Louisa	62.4%	5.14	82	\$985	5.56	27	63.0%	5.26	66	5.32	54
111	Lunenburg	48.9%	1.59	119	\$790	1.51	117	61.2%	4.85	75	2.65	119
113	Madison	61.8%	4.98	85	\$998	5.83	22	60.5%	4.69	80	5.17	63
115	Mathews	65.2%	5.90	63	\$902	3.84	71	68.7%	6.59	27	5.44	46
117	Mecklenburg	66.3%	6.16	54	\$839	2.54	102	68.0%	6.44	31	5.05	67
119	Middlesex	65.7%	6.02	59	\$909	3.99	67	69.0%	6.66	24	5.56	38
121	Montgomery	78.6%	9.42	2	\$934	4.51	52	64.2%	5.54	55	6.49	13
125	Nelson	62.1%	5.08	84	\$1,018	6.25	18	62.8%	5.22	67	5.52	41
127	New Kent	56.4%	3.55	111	\$1,034	6.58	13	72.3%	7.43	8	5.86	30
131	Northampton	64.4%	5.68	67	\$846	2.67	99	79.6%	9.14	2	5.83	31
133	Northumberland	58.0%	3.98	101	\$858	2.92	91	53.7%	3.11	114	3.34	115
135	Nottoway	67.4%	6.46	45	\$901	3.81	72	69.7%	6.83	16	5.70	35
137	Orange	71.9%	7.64	16	\$963	5.10	34	59.4%	4.44	88	5.73	34
139	Page	69.7%	7.07	30	\$991	5.69	24	59.8%	4.51	84	5.76	33
141	Patrick	66.7%	6.29	50	\$826	2.27	109	74.7%	7.98	6	5.51	42
143	Pittsylvania	54.2%	2.97	113	\$868	3.13	86	59.7%	4.51	85	3.54	110
145	Powhatan	54.3%	2.99	112	\$950	4.82	45	63.4%	5.37	63	4.40	94
147	Prince Edward	70.6%	7.30	23	\$883	3.44	79	68.5%	6.54	29	5.76	32
149	Prince George	59.7%	4.43	95	\$996	5.79	23	58.8%	4.29	90	4.84	74
153	Prince William	72.4%	7.79	14	\$1,191	9.84	2	70.6%	7.04	13	8.22	2
155	Pulaski	63.9%	5.55	73	\$941	4.65	49	54.2%	3.21	111	4.47	88
157	Rappahannock	62.5%	5.17	81	\$958	5.00	36	43.6%	0.75	119	3.64	107
159	Richmond County	61.7%	4.97	86	\$920	4.20	60	40.4%	0.00	120	3.06	117
161	Roanoke County	74.2%	8.27	8	\$1,041	6.72	11	58.8%	4.28	91	6.42	17
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	71.1%	7.45	18	\$866	3.08	87	67.2%	6.24	38	5.59	37
165	Rockingham/H'burg	73.2%	8.00	10	\$972	5.29	28	67.6%	6.34	33	6.54	12
167	Russell	61.3%	4.85	89	\$851	2.77	97	67.0%	6.21	39	4.61	80
169	Scott	58.5%	4.11	98	\$883	3.44	78	76.9%	8.50	5	5.35	52
171	Shenandoah	60.2%	4.55	91	\$927	4.35	56	70.2%	6.95	15	5.28	58

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	58.3%	4.06	100	\$846	2.68	98	63.5%	5.39	60	4.04	98
175	<i>Southampton</i>	57.4%	3.83	103	\$828	2.31	108	54.1%	3.20	112	3.12	116
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	67.4%	6.47	44	\$1,028	6.44	14	68.3%	6.51	30	6.48	15
179	<i>Stafford</i>	58.8%	4.18	97	\$1,123	8.42	4	67.4%	6.28	35	6.30	19
181	<i>Surry</i>	70.7%	7.33	21	\$875	3.29	80	57.6%	4.01	100	4.87	73
183	<i>Sussex</i>	65.4%	5.93	61	\$955	4.93	41	63.3%	5.34	65	5.40	50
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	67.8%	6.56	42	\$811	1.95	114	65.5%	5.84	47	4.78	76
187	<i>Warren</i>	68.4%	6.73	37	\$1,012	6.11	19	68.7%	6.60	26	6.48	14
191	<i>Washington</i>	64.3%	5.65	69	\$893	3.66	76	58.3%	4.18	95	4.50	86
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	63.9%	5.53	75	\$919	4.19	62	65.0%	5.73	49	5.15	64
195	<i>Wise</i>	63.3%	5.38	77	\$819	2.11	113	66.7%	6.12	41	4.53	84
197	<i>Wythe</i>	67.0%	6.36	46	\$841	2.56	100	66.8%	6.15	40	5.03	69
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	62.5%	5.17	80	\$895	3.68	75	59.6%	4.46	87	4.44	90
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	70.3%	7.23	26	\$1,076	7.44	7	77.1%	8.54	4	7.74	4
520	<i>Bristol</i>	68.0%	6.62	39	\$870	3.18	83	62.1%	5.05	71	4.95	72
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	80.8%	10.00	1	\$920	4.21	59	65.5%	5.85	46	6.69	9
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	72.5%	7.81	13	\$930	4.42	54	64.7%	5.66	52	5.96	25
590	<i>Danville</i>	64.0%	5.57	71	\$805	1.82	115	61.7%	4.97	73	4.12	97
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	63.9%	5.54	74	\$822	2.18	111	64.5%	5.61	53	4.44	89
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	75.2%	8.53	5	\$990	5.66	25	66.3%	6.03	44	6.74	8
640	<i>Galax</i>	63.1%	5.33	79	\$889	3.57	77	69.7%	6.83	17	5.24	59
650	<i>Hampton</i>	69.7%	7.07	28	\$916	4.13	63	63.5%	5.39	61	5.53	40
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	67.8%	6.58	40	\$920	4.22	58	63.6%	5.40	59	5.40	51
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	69.4%	6.99	31	\$831	2.36	106	68.5%	6.54	28	5.30	56
683	<i>Manassas</i>	64.4%	5.67	68	\$1,108	8.10	5	70.8%	7.09	12	6.95	7
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	72.8%	7.88	12	\$1,084	7.61	6	77.4%	8.63	3	8.04	3
700	<i>Newport News</i>	70.4%	7.26	24	\$929	4.39	55	69.1%	6.68	23	6.11	23
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	70.3%	7.23	27	\$865	3.06	88	67.5%	6.31	34	5.53	39
720	<i>Norton</i>	75.1%	8.50	6	\$746	0.60	119	71.5%	7.24	11	5.45	45
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	70.6%	7.32	22	\$954	4.91	43	70.2%	6.95	14	6.39	18
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	71.5%	7.54	17	\$902	3.84	70	69.4%	6.76	19	6.05	24
750	<i>Radford</i>	70.4%	7.26	25	\$907	3.94	68	61.1%	4.83	76	5.34	53
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	69.7%	7.07	29	\$957	4.98	38	71.7%	7.30	10	6.45	16
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	65.0%	5.84	64	\$971	5.27	29	62.3%	5.10	69	5.40	49
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	73.9%	8.19	9	\$872	3.22	81	67.8%	6.39	32	5.93	27
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	66.0%	6.08	58	\$954	4.92	42	69.3%	6.73	20	5.91	28
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	69.0%	6.90	33	\$906	3.93	69	63.7%	5.44	58	5.42	48
840	<i>Winchester</i>	68.9%	6.87	35	\$964	5.13	32	58.9%	4.31	89	5.44	47

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores was begun in May 1999 to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

State Summary July 1, 2012 – July 31, 2012

	State	ED 1	ED 2	ED 3	ED 4	ED 5	ED 6	ED 7	ED 8	ED 9	ED 10	ED 11	ED 12	ED 13	ED 14	ED 15	ED 16	ED 17	ED 18
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>																			
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>																			
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>																			
# Over 30 Days	105	3	0	1	3	1	15	5	2	1	1	1	33	34	0	2	0	3	0
Average # of Days Over 30	47	22	0	12	5	648	23	57	9	3	9	2	54	47	0	12	0	32	0

EDD1 – Southwest Region: July 1, 2012 - July 31, 2012

	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>									
# Over 30 Days	3	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	22	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	52

EDD2 - Bristol-Galax Region: July 1, 2012 - July 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD2</i>	<i>Bland</i>	<i>Carroll</i>	<i>Grayson</i>	<i>Smyth</i>	<i>Wash.</i>	<i>Wythe</i>	<i>Bristol</i>	<i>Galax</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD3 - Roanoke Region: July 1, 2012 - July 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD3</i>	<i>Alleghny/ Covington</i>	<i>Bote- tourt</i>	<i>Craig</i>	<i>Floyd</i>	<i>Franklin County</i>	<i>Giles</i>	<i>Mont- gomery</i>	<i>Pulaski</i>	<i>Roanoke County</i>	<i>Radford</i>	<i>Roanoke City</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹												
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²												
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴												
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: July 1, 2012 - July 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD4</i>	<i>Aug/S'ton /W'boro</i>	<i>Bath</i>	<i>Highland</i>	<i>Rockbridge/ BV/Lex</i>	<i>Rockingham/ HBurg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	3	0	0	0	3	0
Average # of Days Over 30	5	0	0	0	5	0

EDD5 & EDD18 - Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: July 1, 2012 - July 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD5</i>	<i>Clarke</i>	<i>Frederick</i>	<i>Page</i>	<i>Shen.</i>	<i>Warren</i>	<i>Winchester</i>	<i>Total EDD18</i>	<i>Accomack</i>	<i>Nhampton</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹										
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴										
# Over 30 Days	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	648	0	648	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: July 1, 2012 - July 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD6</i>	<i>Arlington</i>	<i>Fairfax</i>	<i>Loudoun</i>	<i>Prince William</i>	<i>Alexandria</i>	<i>Manassas</i>	<i>Manassas Park</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹								
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²								
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴								
# Over 30 Days	15	0	1	2	11	1	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	23	0	12	25	26	4	0	0

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: July 1, 2012 - July 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD7</i>	<i>Culpeper</i>	<i>Fauquier</i>	<i>Madison</i>	<i>Orange</i>	<i>Rapp.</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	5	0	0	1	4	0
Average # of Days Over 30	57	0	0	33	63	0

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: July 1, 2012 - July 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD8</i>	<i>Albemarle</i>	<i>Fluvanna</i>	<i>Greene</i>	<i>Louisa</i>	<i>Nelson</i>	<i>Cville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	2	0	2	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	9	0	9	0	0	0	0

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: July 1, 2012 - July 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD9</i>	<i>Amherst</i>	<i>Appom.</i>	<i>Bedford</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Lynchburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	3	0	0	0	0	3

EDD10 - Danville Region: July 1, 2012 - July 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD10</i>	<i>Halifax</i>	<i>Henry/ Martinsville</i>	<i>Patrick</i>	<i>Pittsylvania</i>	<i>Danville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	1	0	1	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	9	0	9	0	0	0

EDD11 – Southside Region: July 1, 2012 - July 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD11</i>	<i>Amelia</i>	<i>Brunswick</i>	<i>Buckingham</i>	<i>Charlotte</i>	<i>Cumberland</i>	<i>Gr'nsville Emporia</i>	<i>Lunenburg</i>	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	<i>Nottoway</i>	<i>Pr. Edward</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹											
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²											
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴											
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0

EDD12 - Richmond Region: July 1, 2012 - July 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD12</i>	<i>Chesterfield/ Col Hgts</i>	<i>Goochland</i>	<i>Hanover</i>	<i>Henrico</i>	<i>Powhatan</i>	<i>Richmond City</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	33	3	1	0	19	0	10
Average # of Days Over 30	54	42	20	0	71	0	28

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: July 1, 2012 - July 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD13</i>	<i>Caroline</i>	<i>King George</i>	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	<i>Stafford</i>	<i>Fredericksburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	34	25	0	7	2	0
Average # of Days Over 30	47	51	0	23	85	0

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: July 1, 2012 - July 31, 2012

	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹										
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴										
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: July 1, 2012 - July 31, 2012

	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	12	0	0	6	18	0	0	0	0

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: July 1, 2012 - July 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD16</i>	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	<i>Prince George</i>	<i>Surry</i>	<i>Sussex</i>	<i>Hopewell</i>	<i>Petersburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: July 1, 2012 - July 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD17</i>	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	<i>South- hampton</i>	<i>Chesapeake</i>	<i>Franklin City</i>	<i>Norfolk</i>	<i>Portsmouth</i>	<i>Suffolk</i>	<i>VA Beach</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
Average # of Days Over 30	32	0	46	0	0	0	0	0	26

Child Support Enforcement - Statewide Totals

Collections

	<i>Jul-11</i>	<i>Jul-12</i>	<i>% Change</i>
TANF Cases Collected	14,701	14,611	-0.6%
NTANF Cases Collected	128,575	130,968	1.9%
Total Cases Collected	143,276	145,579	1.6%
TANF Collections	\$2,836,526	\$2,625,574	-7.4%
NTANF Collections	\$47,544,327	\$50,846,292	6.9%
Total Collections	\$50,380,853	\$53,471,866	6.1%
SFY YTD Collections	\$50,380,853	\$53,471,866	6.1%
SFY YTD Average Collection	\$351.63	\$367.30	4.5%

Paternities Established

	<i>Jul-12</i>
TANF Paternities	209
NTANF Paternities	417
Combined Paternities	626

Diversionsary Assistance

July Diversionsary Assistance Cases

Locality	Number of Cases		Locality	Number of Cases
Albemarle	4		Pittsylvania	3
Alleghany / Covington	2		Prince Edward	1
Amelia	1		Roanoke County	1
Amherst	1		Rockingham / H'burg	1
Appomattox	2		Shenandoah	13
Augusta / Staunt'n / W'boro	8		Spotsylvania	2
Bedford City	1		Stafford	3
Campbell	5		Warren	6
Chesterfield/Colonial Hgts	14		York / Poquoson	2
Culpeper	4		Bristol	6
Cumberland	1		Charlottesville	5
Fauquier	5		Chesapeake	8
Franklin County	1		Danville	1
Frederick	3		Franklin City	3
Greene	3		Fredericksburg	1
Hanover	2		Galax	1
Henrico	5		Hampton	1
Henry / Martinsville	3		Hopewell	3
Isle of Wight	2		Lynchburg	1
King and Queen	1		Manassas	2
Louisa	2		Newport News	22
Mecklenburg	2		Norfolk	8
Montgomery	2		Petersburg	1
Nelson	1		Roanoke City	8
Northampton	1		Suffolk	8
Orange	2		Virginia Beach	5
			Statewide	194

Definitions of Selected Terms Contained in Report

Average Monthly Earnings. This is the average monthly earnings of the unsubsidized jobs that participants obtained. The value is calculated by multiplying the hourly wage by the weekly hours worked by 4.3 average weeks per month. For the cumulative figures the initial wage and initial hours are used. For the current figures, the initial wage and most current hours are used, except for the November 2001 report which used initial hours. Earlier than June 1999, wage changes that were entered overwrote the initial wage, so prior to that date, the cumulative figures used the initial hours and the last wage entered. With the advent of the VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) program in October 2006 the end of month average monthly earnings might be expected to decline somewhat because prior to VTP, cases with high earnings might remain open for job follow-up, but after the implementation of VTP the VIEW case would be closed when the TANF case was closed and a VTP case opened. VTP cases are not included in this report except for estimating the participation rate.

Average Wage. The average of the wages reported for each occurrence of unsubsidized employment. After June 1999 the wage is the wage at hiring, that is, the initial wage. Prior to June 1999, that wage may have been updated if changed during employment. After the implementation of the VIEW Transitional Payment program the end of month point in time average wage might be expected to decline some for the same reason mentioned in the explanation of possible decline in Average Monthly earnings, above. See note 6 on page 44.

Cases Closed with Employment. These are TANF or TANF-UP cases that are closed with an indication in the data base of employment at the date of closure. It does not mean that the case necessarily closed because of employment. For example, a TANF case may have an indication of closing at the client's request, along with indication of existing employment in VIEW. That case is counted as closed with employment.

EDD. This stands for Economic Development District. EDDs were developed during the administration of Governor Allen. They generally are no longer in use. This report continues to refer to them because VIEW was phased in by EDD and their use provides consistency over time.

Enrolled. Unduplicated number by locality of TANF recipients who were interviewed, received an individual assessment, signed the Agreement of Personal Responsibility, and enrolled in the VIEW program. Because the count is by locality, a recipient may be counted once in more than one locality. The fiscal year to date numbers include those who were enrolled at the beginning of the fiscal year as well as those enrolled during the year. There are clients enrolled in VIEW who have had their TANF case closed because VIEW policy requires that the enrollment remain open for job follow-up after employment unless the employment meets federal work participation guidelines, in which case the VIEW case is closed and a VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) case is opened.

Full Time Employment. Employment at 30 hours a week or greater.

Participants Assigned to Inactive. Inactive is a status assigned to participants who cannot participate in the VIEW program for reasons other than being exempt. Examples are unavailability of child care, unavailability of transportation, and mental or medical problems that make participation impractical. Inactive participants are due to be reviewed each month. While in inactive status, the 24 month time limit for TANF benefits is suspended.

Participants Employed. Total number of participants by locality who ever obtained an unsubsidized job, either full time or part time for the counts from inception. Other counts include subsidized employment. If employed more than one time, they are still only counted once, but may be counted in more than one locality. Also, these participants may have left their job and not gotten another one. For the year to date numbers, those employed at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first became employed in the fiscal year.

Participants in a Work Activity. Unduplicated count by locality of persons who have been in any of the following components: unsubsidized employment, full employment program (FEP), on-the-job training (OJT), community work experience program (CWEP), public service program (PSP), vocational education and training, and job search/job readiness. For example, a person who was in community work experience and then employed would be counted only once.

For the year to date numbers, those in a work activity at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first entered a work activity in the fiscal year. Note that this is a revised definition of work activity from reports through October 2006. The revised definition captures the activities that count toward the first 20 hours of federal participation requirements – the core work activities. Clients who are counted as being in a core work activity must meet additional participation rate requirements in order to be included in the work participation rate, and only VIEW clients are counted here, not all work eligible TANF clients **Thus, these percentages in a work activity are quite different from the percentages in the TANF work participation rate.**

Part Time Employment. Employment at fewer than 30 weekly hours.

Referred. Unduplicated number of TANF recipients by locality who were initially evaluated as eligible for VIEW and had their names referred to the automated VIEW list for participation.

Relationship Between TANF and VIEW Participation. On the chart at page 5a, the column heading “Total Adults in the Case” includes adults who are not considered to be part of the assistance unit. For example, a mother receiving SSI may be in the case, but because she receives SSI is not considered eligible for TANF and thus is not part of the assistance unit. Persons such as she who are not in the assistance unit are not eligible to participate in VIEW. Also included are adults who are part of the assistance unit but exempt from VIEW

Five Months Employment. In earlier reports, this represented the percent of all participants that were employed 150 days after their first employment. Participants who were employed in any job 150 days after their first employment were counted in this measure.. This measure became unavailable after July 1, 2011. See note 9 on page 44.

Three Months Employment. This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 90 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 90 days after their first employment are counted in this measure. For year to date percents, the calculations are based on the first employment that began one year before the end of the report month. That employment may not have been the first ever for the participant. The year to date percents were calculated differently in reports before September 2004. Also for year to date percents, transferred cases were not counted for months after the January 2002 report.

TANF / TANF-UP Payment Cases. Up to November 2003 these counts were taken from a monthly report with no adjustment. After that, the monthly counts included some cases that were being paid because child support payments had been received and were being passed on. These counts were adjusted to mostly eliminate those additional cases. Further adjustment may be appropriate. It should also be noted that the counts include both strictly TANF cases and MOE cases for some years. With the end of waiver provisions in July 2003, a substantial part of the caseload was placed in a state program and funded with MOE funds. This change in July 2003 was a change in funding, not a change in eligibility requirements or case payment amounts. It ended in September 2006 when VIEW transitional payments (VTP) were introduced. Adjustments have been made to take out VTP cases. Also, TANF-UP cases are currently funded with only state monies.

VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). Beginning in October 2006, VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) were introduced. These are payments of \$50 per client per month for one year to VIEW clients that leave “regular” TANF with employment sufficient to meet Federal work participation requirements. The employment must be maintained to be eligible for VTP. Previously, VTP clients normally would have remained VIEW clients for five or six months of job-follow-up. Thus, the VTP program has had a diminishing effect on some of the statistics in this report such as end of month percent employed and average wage. See graph on page 5a.

Time That Data Represent. The data in the top sections of page 4 and pages 8 – 24 are point in time data as of the date indicated. The year to date section represents state fiscal year to date data, with the exception of three month retention (see above). The bottom section is cumulative from when the locality began VIEW.

General Statement About Accuracy. The report is based on an extract from the Employment Services automated system. The extract is normally made the night of the first work day after the end of the report month. Data that staff of local social services agencies enter into the automated system after the time of the extract are not included in the report, even though the activity reflected actually occurred in the report month. However, that data, and the activity reflected, are included in the reports for subsequent months. Further, because the data are entered by staff in the 120 localities, the accuracy of the data in the data base is, of course, subject to inadvertent error.


- ¹ Applications are not necessarily acted upon in the month that they are received. Also, applications may be transferred from regular TANF to TANF-UP and vice versa as they are processed.
- ² These TANF cases paid counts are prior to possible adjustment. See definition of payment cases. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes.
- ³ VIEW enrolled counts do not include VTP clients
- ⁴ A case may be open in more than one locality during the time period.
- ⁵ Prior to June 1999, when individuals were transferred from one locality to another, their history was transferred as well. This may have an effect on a locality's cumulative VIEW statistics.
- ⁶ The minimum wage rose from \$6.55 to \$7.25 an hour on 7/24/09. The report reflects initial wages so some effect on wages in the report was delayed. The average wage includes full and part time, unless otherwise indicated. See the definition of average wage on page 42.
- ⁷ Includes full and part time earnings.
- ⁸ This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed three months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed three months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous. See definition on page 43 for the revised method of calculating the year to date percentages.
- ⁹ Until the July 2011 report, this was employed after five months. However, on July 1, 2011 the number of required job follow-ups was reduced to three. Thus, data for five month job retention became unavailable.
- ¹⁰ Number includes TANF-UP and represents cases carried over to the next month. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes, but with the advent of VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) such occurrences were reduced from earlier months.
- ¹¹ Enrollment is timely if done within 30 days of referral in previous month of the state fiscal year. The monthly percent includes evaluation of clients waiting in the queue. The year to date does not.
- ¹² Placement in a work activity on the 95th day after referral was a measure of timeliness before Oct 1, 2006. The revised definition of work activity has increased the percentage from reports before November 2006. Clients whose cases have closed before the 95th day are excluded, so 100% is an attainable mark.
- ¹⁴ As of the morning of August 1, 2012. Some referrals to the waiting list are not appropriate; there may be considerable lag time in correcting them..

This report is available on the Department of Social Services web site at:
http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial_assistance/tanf.cgi

The scores in the VIEW Rankings are determined by placing a locality on a scale of zero to ten in the same relative position as its place in the difference between the high and low of the measure in question. This converts all measures to a similar scale and provides a more equitable way to combine the ratings in each measure to determine a combined rank. (Questions, please call 804 726-7618.)

Comments on the format or content of the report and requests for Excel files for portions of current and past reports may be sent by e-mail to: william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov.


TANF and TANF-UP Cases Paid at Six Month Intervals, except last month June 1995 through July 2012



This graph illustrates the dramatic decline of the TANF caseload as VIEW was implemented. There was some sign of slowing in the rate of decline after June 1998. Nevertheless, wide community involvement, a strong economy, and the effect of the 24 month rule allowed the caseload to continue to fall until it was near the 30,000 level. There was little net change in the caseload from June 2000 to June 2002, but some upward movement was seen after June 2002, until 2005. The more recent trends are presumed to be a result of economic conditions. Of note is that the caseload leveled off after recession spurred growth and seems now in decline. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

Applications Received with Approval Percentage


Six Month Asymmetrical Moving Averages by Quarter, July 1995 through July 2012



This graph of application activity is presented as six month moving averages to produce smoother lines from which trends may be seen and in recognition that applications are not always acted on in the month received. The trends are not dramatic, but there seems to have been a general decline in the number of applications received until the July 2001 data. The decline in applications received after December 2004 and the more recent changes appear to be reflected in the case load trends. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

TANF Cases, VIEW Enrolled, and Employed VIEW Participants


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. July is the last month



This graph shows that the number enrolled in VIEW increased during early implementation and then generally tracked the number of cases. The effects of recent economic conditions are apparent. A VIEW case may remain open for some months after the TANF case closes, if job follow-up is being done and the case has not been converted to the VIEW Transitional Payment program. It is unlikely that the number employed will ever equal the number enrolled because there are always new enrollees without employment, and there are some enrollees in work activities, other than employment, that meet VIEW requirements. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months. It includes TANF-UP

Chart Displaying the Relationships Between TANF and VIEW Participation


Jul 31, 2012



This chart illustrates that there are a large number of adults who are exempt from VIEW and that many of the VIEW enrollees have had their TANF cases closed. They are now in a job follow-up phase of VIEW. They have not had their VIEW case closed and become enrolled in the VIEW Transitional Payment program. The source of this information is a monthly TANF extract from ADAPT and the monthly extract from ESPAS, the VIEW automated data system. The extracts were made at the beginning of August and represent end of July data. TANF-UP is included.

VIEW Percent Total Employed and Average Wage at End of Month


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. July is the last month.



This graph shows that the average wage has seen a general increase as the percent of VIEW employed initially increased and then leveled. Besides employment, VIEW participants may be in various other activities or initial assessment and job search. It is unlikely that the percent employed will rise more, and the recent economic conditions may have caused a decline. The wages shown are generally the initial wages. When the average wage was calculated at about the end of June it was \$8.30 rather than the \$8.21 initial wages used to calculate wages shown on this graph. See page 42 of the report for an explanation of why the VIEW average wage might decline after October 2006 and note 6 on page 44 for the effect of the increase in minimum wage. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.

Percent of Jobs Found During a Month That Were Full Time and Percent Part Time

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. July is the last month



This graph illustrates an initial trend of VIEW participants obtaining a greater percent of full time jobs than part time. After the initial months, the trend seems to be fairly level with an increase in the percent full time after TANF reauthorization which probably reflects increased emphasis on meeting the required TANF work participation rate. The more recent changes are presumed to be a result of economic developments. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.