

VIRGINIA INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM

MONTHLY REPORT

Including Graphs of Statewide Trends

August 2012

Monthly Report, August 2012

Table of Contents

Work Participation Estimates (from the VDSS data warehouse) (A work participation estimate percent should not be compared to a percent in a work activity in the main body of the report which includes only clients in VIEW and does not consider the number of hours in a work activity during a month)	i-vi
TANF, TANF-UP Case Activity.....	1
Graphs of Case Activity.....	at end
VIEW Work Activity	
Statewide	4
Graphs of Statewide Activity.....	at end
EDD1.....	8
EDD2.....	9
EDD3.....	10
EDD4.....	11
EDD5 & EDD18.....	12
EDD6.....	13
EDD7.....	14
EDD8.....	15
EDD9.....	16
EDD10.....	17
EDD11.....	18
EDD14.....	19
EDD1.....	20
EDD3.....	21
EDD11.....	22
EDD15.....	23
EDD17.....	24
VIEW Year to Date Rankings.....	25-27
VIEW Cumulative Rankings.....	28-30
VIEW Timeliness Measures.....	31-39
Child Support Enforcement.....	40
Diversion.....	41
VIEW Definition Sheet and Endnotes.....	42-44

Estimated Work Participation Rates for August

These are estimated locality August 2012 work participation rates that include clients receiving either TANF or VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). The official participation rate will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated August statewide rate is 43% with a numerator of 7,466 and a denominator of 17,396

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	24	76	32%	061	Fauquier	24	53	45%
003	Albemarle	31	60	52%	063	Floyd	10	26	38%
005	Alleghany/Covington	22	52	42%	065	Fluvanna	12	25	48%
007	Amelia	12	37	32%	067	Franklin County	42	114	37%
009	Amherst	24	41	59%	069	Frederick	10	76	13%
011	Appomattox	27	47	57%	071	Giles	10	24	42%
013	Arlington	31	109	28%	073	Gloucester	11	41	27%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	141	251	56%	075	Goochland	16	38	42%
017	Bath	0	1	0%	077	Grayson	17	34	50%
019	Bedford County/City	35	90	39%	079	Greene	5	17	29%
021	Bland	4	5	80%	081	Greensville/Emporia	19	80	24%
023	Botetourt	4	19	21%	083	Halifax	32	73	44%
025	Brunswick	36	84	43%	085	Hanover	25	83	30%
027	Buchanan	20	48	42%	087	Henrico	322	874	37%
029	Buckingham	14	30	47%	089	Henry/Martinsville	82	236	35%
031	Campbell	64	165	39%	091	Highland	1	2	50%
033	Caroline	14	118	12%	093	Isle of Wight	15	47	32%
035	Carroll	30	51	59%	095	James City	26	94	28%
036	Charles City	3	10	30%	097	King and Queen	1	11	9%
037	Charlotte	21	56	38%	099	King George	11	23	48%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	230	529	43%	101	King William	11	21	52%
043	Clarke	2	5	40%	103	Lancaster	7	23	30%
045	Craig	3	9	33%	105	Lee	67	123	54%
047	Culpeper	31	77	40%	107	Loudoun	78	155	50%
049	Cumberland	15	35	43%	109	Louisa	22	47	47%
051	Dickensen	8	17	47%	111	Lunenburg	8	25	32%
053	Dinwiddie	18	48	38%	113	Madison	3	8	38%
057	Essex	20	40	50%	115	Mathews	7	17	41%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	346	733	47%	117	Mecklenburg	29	66	44%

Estimated Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent
119	Middlesex	16	31	52%	187	Warren	31	72	43%
121	Montgomery	60	125	48%	191	Washington	34	65	52%
125	Nelson	6	20	30%	193	Westmoreland	17	39	44%
127	New Kent	4	27	15%	195	Wise	65	157	41%
131	Northampton	20	53	38%	197	Wythe	28	50	56%
133	Northumberland	5	8	63%	199	York/Poquoson	31	87	36%
135	Nottoway	43	82	52%	510	Alexandria	117	238	49%
137	Orange	16	47	34%	520	Bristol	97	157	62%
139	Page	16	24	67%	540	Charlottesville	91	188	48%
141	Patrick	30	60	50%	550	Chesapeake	299	616	49%
143	Pittsylvania	29	81	36%	590	Danville	84	205	41%
145	Powhatan	7	24	29%	620	Franklin City	30	65	46%
147	Prince Edward	40	63	63%	630	Fredericksburg	42	100	42%
149	Prince George	14	49	29%	640	Galax	17	35	49%
153	Prince William	316	668	47%	650	Hampton	368	802	46%
155	Pulaski	31	66	47%	670	Hopewell	60	186	32%
157	Rappahannock	0	5	0%	680	Lynchburg	123	292	42%
159	Richmond County	0	10	0%	683	Manassas	29	62	47%
161	Roanoke County	61	137	45%	685	Manassas Park	10	22	45%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	14	32	44%	700	Newport News	443	972	46%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	67	142	47%	710	Norfolk	664	1,267	52%
167	Russell	29	85	34%	720	Norton	7	28	25%
169	Scott	37	67	55%	730	Petersburg	119	297	40%
171	Shenandoah	8	22	36%	740	Portsmouth	207	597	35%
173	Smyth	44	103	43%	750	Radford	18	41	44%
175	Southampton	16	55	29%	760	Richmond City	554	1,511	37%
177	Spotsylvania	93	249	37%	770	Roanoke City	204	542	38%
179	Stafford	68	152	45%	800	Suffolk	72	148	49%
181	Surry	10	27	37%	810	Virginia Beach	253	505	50%
183	Sussex	18	44	41%	830	Williamsburg	3	22	14%
185	Tazewell	46	112	41%	840	Winchester	32	59	54%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF and VTP recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rate presented here is the all families rate, i. e. the overall rate, including VTP..

Estimated TANF only Work Participation Rates for August

These are estimated locality August 2012 work participation rates that include only clients receiving TANF. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated August statewide rate is 36% with a numerator of 5,398 and a denominator of 15,136. VTP only estimates are on pages v and vi.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	17	68	25%	061	Fauquier	14	43	33%
003	Albemarle	13	42	31%	063	Floyd	7	23	30%
005	Alleghany/Covington	18	48	38%	065	Fluvanna	7	20	35%
007	Amelia	10	35	29%	067	Franklin County	31	103	30%
009	Amherst	14	31	45%	069	Frederick	2	67	3%
011	Appomattox	19	39	49%	071	Giles	5	19	26%
013	Arlington	14	91	15%	073	Gloucester	8	38	21%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	86	196	44%	075	Goochland	11	31	35%
017	Bath	0	1	0%	077	Grayson	11	28	39%
019	Bedford County/City	25	80	31%	079	Greene	4	14	29%
021	Bland	1	2	50%	081	Greensville/Emporia	12	72	17%
023	Botetourt	2	17	12%	083	Halifax	20	61	33%
025	Brunswick	21	67	31%	085	Hanover	14	70	20%
027	Buchanan	16	44	36%	087	Henrico	231	773	30%
029	Buckingham	9	24	38%	089	Henry/Martinsville	56	210	27%
031	Campbell	43	143	30%	091	Highland	1	2	50%
033	Caroline	13	105	12%	093	Isle of Wight	7	39	18%
035	Carroll	22	43	51%	095	James City	19	85	22%
036	Charles City	2	9	22%	097	King and Queen	0	10	0%
037	Charlotte	17	52	33%	099	King George	8	19	42%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	157	452	35%	101	King William	7	17	41%
043	Clarke	1	4	25%	103	Lancaster	4	19	21%
045	Craig	0	6	0%	105	Lee	45	101	45%
047	Culpeper	23	67	34%	107	Loudoun	31	107	29%
049	Cumberland	14	34	41%	109	Louisa	16	41	39%
051	Dickensen	5	13	38%	111	Lunenburg	5	21	24%
053	Dinwiddie	13	43	30%	113	Madison	3	8	38%
057	Essex	17	37	46%	115	Mathews	7	16	44%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	225	604	37%	117	Mecklenburg	19	56	34%

Estimated TANF Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	14	28	50%	187	Warren	17	57	30%
121	Montgomery	42	107	39%	191	Washington	29	59	49%
125	Nelson	3	17	18%	193	Westmoreland	14	36	39%
127	New Kent	4	22	18%	195	Wise	53	145	37%
131	Northampton	17	50	34%	197	Wythe	11	33	33%
133	Northumberland	2	5	40%	199	York/Poquoson	24	78	31%
135	Nottoway	29	68	43%	510	Alexandria	81	200	41%
137	Orange	14	44	32%	520	Bristol	88	146	60%
139	Page	12	19	63%	540	Charlottesville	69	163	42%
141	Patrick	25	55	45%	550	Chesapeake	224	535	42%
143	Pittsylvania	27	79	34%	590	Danville	68	189	36%
145	Powhatan	3	20	15%	620	Franklin City	26	61	43%
147	Prince Edward	28	51	55%	630	Fredericksburg	20	75	27%
149	Prince George	10	45	22%	640	Galax	12	30	40%
153	Prince William	190	533	36%	650	Hampton	281	693	41%
155	Pulaski	23	58	40%	670	Hopewell	49	168	29%
157	Rappahannock	0	4	0%	680	Lynchburg	102	268	38%
159	Richmond County	0	9	0%	683	Manassas	16	48	33%
161	Roanoke County	31	106	29%	685	Manassas Park	4	15	27%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	9	27	33%	700	Newport News	342	864	40%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	35	110	32%	710	Norfolk	569	1,170	49%
167	Russell	24	79	30%	720	Norton	4	25	16%
169	Scott	28	58	48%	730	Petersburg	86	261	33%
171	Shenandoah	5	18	28%	740	Portsmouth	152	541	28%
173	Smyth	33	91	36%	750	Radford	14	37	38%
175	Southampton	14	50	28%	760	Richmond City	452	1,391	32%
177	Spotsylvania	67	213	31%	770	Roanoke City	131	464	28%
179	Stafford	49	133	37%	800	Suffolk	60	132	45%
181	Surry	7	24	29%	810	Virginia Beach	167	418	40%
183	Sussex	10	35	29%	830	Williamsburg	3	20	15%
185	Tazewell	27	93	29%	840	Winchester	26	53	49%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are for the TANF all family rate not including VTP.

Estimated VTP only Work Participation Rates for August

These are estimated locality August 2012 work participation rates that include only clients receiving VTP. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated August statewide rate is 92% with a numerator of 2,068 and a denominator of 2,260. TANF only estimates are on pages iii and iv.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	<i>Accomack</i>	7	8	88%	061	<i>Fauquier</i>	10	10	100%
003	<i>Albemarle</i>	18	18	100%	063	<i>Floyd</i>	3	3	100%
005	<i>Alleghany/Covington</i>	4	4	100%	065	<i>Fluvanna</i>	5	5	100%
007	<i>Amelia</i>	2	2	100%	067	<i>Franklin County</i>	11	11	100%
009	<i>Amherst</i>	10	10	100%	069	<i>Frederick</i>	8	9	89%
011	<i>Appomattox</i>	8	8	100%	071	<i>Giles</i>	5	5	100%
013	<i>Arlington</i>	17	18	94%	073	<i>Gloucester</i>	3	3	100%
015	<i>Augusta/S'ton/W'boro</i>	55	55	100%	075	<i>Goochland</i>	5	7	71%
017	<i>Bath</i>	0	0	N/A	077	<i>Grayson</i>	6	6	100%
019	<i>Bedford County/City</i>	10	10	100%	079	<i>Greene</i>	1	3	33%
021	<i>Bland</i>	3	3	100%	081	<i>Greensville/Emporia</i>	7	8	88%
023	<i>Botetourt</i>	2	2	100%	083	<i>Halifax</i>	12	12	100%
025	<i>Brunswick</i>	15	17	88%	085	<i>Hanover</i>	11	13	85%
027	<i>Buchanan</i>	4	4	100%	087	<i>Henrico</i>	91	101	90%
029	<i>Buckingham</i>	5	6	83%	089	<i>Henry/Martinsville</i>	26	26	100%
031	<i>Campbell</i>	21	22	95%	091	<i>Highland</i>	0	0	N/A
033	<i>Caroline</i>	1	13	8%	093	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	8	8	100%
035	<i>Carroll</i>	8	8	100%	095	<i>James City</i>	7	9	78%
036	<i>Charles City</i>	1	1	100%	097	<i>King and Queen</i>	1	1	100%
037	<i>Charlotte</i>	4	4	100%	099	<i>King George</i>	3	4	75%
041	<i>Chesterfield/Col Hgts</i>	73	77	95%	101	<i>King William</i>	4	4	100%
043	<i>Clarke</i>	1	1	100%	103	<i>Lancaster</i>	3	4	75%
045	<i>Craig</i>	3	3	100%	105	<i>Lee</i>	22	22	100%
047	<i>Culpeper</i>	8	10	80%	107	<i>Loudoun</i>	47	48	98%
049	<i>Cumberland</i>	1	1	100%	109	<i>Louisa</i>	6	6	100%
051	<i>Dickensen</i>	3	4	75%	111	<i>Lunenburg</i>	3	4	75%
053	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	5	5	100%	113	<i>Madison</i>	0	0	N/A
057	<i>Essex</i>	3	3	100%	115	<i>Mathews</i>	0	1	0%
059	<i>Fairfax/Falls Church</i>	121	129	94%	117	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	10	10	100%

Estimated VTP Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	2	3	67%	187	Warren	14	15	93%
121	Montgomery	18	18	100%	191	Washington	5	6	83%
125	Nelson	3	3	100%	193	Westmoreland	3	3	100%
127	New Kent	0	5	0%	195	Wise	12	12	100%
131	Northampton	3	3	100%	197	Wythe	17	17	100%
133	Northumberland	3	3	100%	199	York/Poquoson	7	9	78%
135	Nottoway	14	14	100%	510	Alexandria	36	38	95%
137	Orange	2	3	67%	520	Bristol	9	11	82%
139	Page	4	5	80%	540	Charlottesville	22	25	88%
141	Patrick	5	5	100%	550	Chesapeake	75	81	93%
143	Pittsylvania	2	2	100%	590	Danville	16	16	100%
145	Powhatan	4	4	100%	620	Franklin City	4	4	100%
147	Prince Edward	12	12	100%	630	Fredericksburg	22	25	88%
149	Prince George	4	4	100%	640	Galax	5	5	100%
153	Prince William	126	135	93%	650	Hampton	87	109	80%
155	Pulaski	8	8	100%	670	Hopewell	11	18	61%
157	Rappahannock	0	1	0%	680	Lynchburg	21	24	88%
159	Richmond County	0	1	0%	683	Manassas	13	14	93%
161	Roanoke County	30	31	97%	685	Manassas Park	6	7	86%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	5	5	100%	700	Newport News	101	108	94%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	32	32	100%	710	Norfolk	95	97	98%
167	Russell	5	6	83%	720	Norton	3	3	100%
169	Scott	9	9	100%	730	Petersburg	33	36	92%
171	Shenandoah	3	4	75%	740	Portsmouth	55	56	98%
173	Smyth	11	12	92%	750	Radford	4	4	100%
175	Southampton	2	5	40%	760	Richmond City	102	120	85%
177	Spotsylvania	26	36	72%	770	Roanoke City	73	78	94%
179	Stafford	19	19	100%	800	Suffolk	12	16	75%
181	Surry	3	3	100%	810	Virginia Beach	86	87	99%
183	Sussex	8	9	89%	830	Williamsburg	0	2	0%
185	Tazewell	19	19	100%	840	Winchester	6	6	100%

All VTP recipients are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” Thus, all VTP cases are in the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, job follow-up entries are reviewed to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. The basic requirement for VTP recipients is employment for at least 30 hours per week. The requirement is contained in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are also sometimes referred to as the rates for the maintenance of effort program caseload. .

TANF

	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
TANF Case Activity	Aug-12	Aug-11	Change from Aug-11	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	6,894	5,934	16%	4,807	43%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	3,930	3,219	22%	1,658	137%
Applications Approved	2,437	2,386	2%	2,820	-14%
Cases Closed *	2,555	2,716	-6%	4,476	-43%
Cases at End of Month	30,397	32,360	-6%	67,995	-55%
Cases Paid During Month ²	31,642	33,576	-6%	70,403	-55%

•The database indicates that of the 2,555 closed cases, 891 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 581 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 217 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 149 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

TANF-UP

	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
TANF-UP Case Activity	Aug-12	Aug-11	Change from Aug-11	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	603	565	7%	338	78%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	365	287	27%	232	57%
Applications Approved	263	266	-1%	81	225%
Cases Closed *	261	299	-13%	107	144%
Cases at End of Month	1,650	1,945	-15%	321	414%
Cases Paid During Month ²	1,789	2,086	-14%	394	354%

•The database indicates that of the 261 closed cases, 133 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 98 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 32 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 26 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

Statewide - Status through August 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/12 and Work Activity as of 8/31/12

Current Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	32,047	1,553	970	2,445	880	518	3,532	362	626	1,244	1,398	994	5,397	1,103	409	3,370	1,144	5,825	277
Total Enrolled in VIEW	12,785	505	435	842	322	222	1,358	138	297	552	436	432	2,205	620	142	1,405	500	2,274	100
Part. in a Work Activity	9,533	403	348	577	253	149	1,089	103	253	385	327	320	1,577	408	110	1,117	330	1,728	56
% of Enrolled	75%	80%	80%	69%	79%	67%	80%	75%	85%	70%	75%	74%	72%	66%	77%	80%	66%	76%	56%
Part. Assigned to Inactive	140	5	4	11	0	6	17	3	1	5	0	2	28	3	5	16	15	19	0
Participants Employed	6,465	281	206	487	224	109	660	73	147	277	194	208	1,016	296	79	744	243	1,169	52
% of Enrolled	51%	56%	47%	58%	70%	49%	49%	53%	49%	50%	44%	48%	46%	48%	56%	53%	49%	51%	52%
Full Time	5,248	260	185	428	200	93	535	67	109	201	160	144	755	226	66	574	194	1,009	42
Part Time	1,217	21	21	59	24	16	125	6	38	76	34	64	261	70	13	170	49	160	10
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.22	\$7.75	\$7.97	\$8.03	\$8.15	\$8.19	\$9.30	\$8.40	\$8.34	\$7.93	\$7.89	\$7.81	\$8.34	\$8.50	\$7.93	\$8.20	\$8.06	\$7.95	\$7.92
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,105	\$1,083	\$1,110	\$1,129	\$1,173	\$1,136	\$1,269	\$1,177	\$1,088	\$1,015	\$1,098	\$980	\$1,095	\$1,115	\$1,069	\$1,086	\$1,109	\$1,055	\$1,079

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – August 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	5,857	274	191	508	169	87	699	82	127	190	269	185	884	202	66	639	183	1,062	40
Total Enrolled in VIEW	15,836	651	518	1,116	386	282	1,713	180	365	687	594	540	2,643	705	191	1,794	583	2,768	120
Part. in a Work Activity	12,967	591	441	880	326	213	1,447	142	330	565	485	436	2,100	536	167	1,482	442	2,294	90
% of Total Enrolled	82%	91%	85%	79%	84%	76%	84%	79%	90%	82%	82%	81%	79%	76%	87%	83%	76%	83%	75%
Participants Employed	7,932	352	239	630	258	146	848	90	179	348	262	258	1,205	357	107	896	287	1,410	60
% of Total Enrolled	50%	54%	46%	56%	67%	52%	50%	50%	49%	51%	44%	48%	46%	51%	56%	50%	49%	51%	50%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.29	\$7.77	\$7.96	\$8.12	\$8.19	\$8.25	\$9.48	\$8.48	\$8.43	\$7.92	\$7.92	\$7.88	\$8.42	\$8.57	\$7.91	\$8.24	\$8.00	\$7.99	\$7.95
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,076	\$1,051	\$1,084	\$1,104	\$1,110	\$1,096	\$1,244	\$1,134	\$1,018	\$956	\$1,062	\$971	\$1,076	\$1,071	\$1,014	\$1,061	\$1,078	\$1,032	\$1,011
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	68%	63%	63%	73%	64%	76%	75%	67%	67%	64%	71%	75%	78%	64%	72%	73%	71%	72%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	277,170	17,116	10,443	20,678	8,142	5,350	34,460	3,941	5,996	10,436	12,833	7,987	36,984	8,688	3,651	26,415	9,326	52,207	2,517
Total Enrolled in VIEW ⁵	213,021	13,162	8,777	15,894	6,265	4,004	26,602	2,976	4,638	8,665	10,294	6,190	28,978	6,419	2,722	19,948	7,308	38,318	1,861
Part. in a Work Activity	203,595	12,670	8,413	15,136	6,099	3,784	25,642	2,867	4,521	8,180	9,953	5,917	27,819	6,064	2,554	18,989	6,965	36,224	1,798
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	96%	95%	97%	95%	96%	96%	97%	94%	97%	96%	96%	94%	94%	95%	95%	95%	97%
Participants Employed	143,750	8,267	5,677	10,779	4,353	2,606	18,717	1,995	3,453	5,902	6,305	3,760	19,516	4,210	1,708	13,815	4,973	26,666	1,048
% of Total Enrolled	67%	63%	65%	68%	69%	65%	70%	67%	74%	68%	61%	61%	67%	66%	63%	69%	68%	70%	56%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.19	\$6.24	\$6.36	\$7.12	\$7.19	\$7.39	\$8.70	\$7.49	\$7.43	\$6.63	\$6.42	\$6.80	\$7.38	\$7.96	\$7.02	\$7.06	\$7.02	\$6.88	\$6.76
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$948	\$822	\$861	\$956	\$955	\$988	\$1,154	\$987	\$946	\$858	\$856	\$878	\$986	\$1,022	\$895	\$922	\$946	\$899	\$850
Three Months Employment ⁹	67%	67%	62%	61%	67%	63%	71%	65%	63%	64%	63%	66%	70%	66%	57%	66%	66%	67%	72%

EDD1 - Southwest Region: Status through August 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/12 and Work Activity as of 8/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,553	148	63	285	207	160	226	420	44
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	505	36	11	115	74	63	64	129	13
Participants in a Work Activity	403	27	6	100	69	41	58	94	8
% of Enrolled	80%	75%	55%	87%	93%	65%	91%	73%	62%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	0	0	1	1	0	0	3	0
Participants Employed	281	13	4	68	32	31	40	86	7
% of Enrolled	56%	36%	36%	59%	43%	49%	63%	67%	54%
Full Time	260	12	4	60	29	30	39	80	6
Part Time	21	1	0	8	3	1	1	6	1
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.75	\$7.48	\$7.25	\$7.53	\$7.97	\$7.62	\$8.21	\$7.73	\$7.96
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,083	\$1,032	\$1,114	\$1,031	\$1,076	\$1,064	\$1,164	\$1,102	\$1,086

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – August 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	274	23	9	51	44	28	41	71	7
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	651	42	17	144	95	75	89	172	17
Participants in a Work Activity	591	41	12	138	86	63	87	151	13
% of Total Enrolled	91%	98%	71%	96%	91%	84%	98%	88%	76%
Participants Employed	352	14	7	84	39	38	56	104	10
% of Total Enrolled	54%	33%	41%	58%	41%	51%	63%	60%	59%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.77	\$7.49	\$7.50	\$7.69	\$7.88	\$7.64	\$8.12	\$7.69	\$8.20
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,051	\$989	\$1,125	\$1,023	\$1,031	\$1,046	\$1,099	\$1,055	\$1,093
Three Months Employment ⁸	68%	83%	68%	72%	73%	73%	58%	65%	60%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	17,116	1,659	1,184	2,418	2,358	1,682	3,270	3,997	548
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	13,162	1,261	921	1,850	1,890	1,227	2,496	3,087	430
Participants in a Work Activity	12,670	1,182	869	1,836	1,799	1,203	2,409	2,975	397
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	94%	99%	95%	98%	97%	96%	92%
Participants Employed	8,267	668	554	1,210	1,156	716	1,687	1,952	324
% of Total Enrolled	63%	53%	60%	65%	61%	58%	68%	63%	75%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.24	\$6.39	\$6.29	\$6.01	\$6.42	\$6.53	\$6.15	\$6.24	\$6.05
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$822	\$836	\$833	\$792	\$852	\$883	\$812	\$820	\$747
Three Months Employment ⁹	67%	63%	55%	72%	67%	77%	65%	67%	71%

EDD2 – Bristol-Galax Region: Status through August 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/12 and Work Activity as of 8/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	970	13	113	49	184	180	95	275	61
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	435	1	47	17	75	57	24	184	30
Participants in a Work Activity	348	1	44	12	57	46	20	149	19
% of Enrolled	80%	100%	94%	71%	76%	81%	83%	81%	63%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	0	0	2	0	1	0	1	0
Participants Employed	206	1	28	7	42	41	18	53	16
% of Enrolled	47%	100%	60%	41%	56%	72%	75%	29%	53%
Full Time	185	0	26	7	40	40	17	45	10
Part Time	21	1	2	0	2	1	1	8	6
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.97	\$8.00	\$7.87	\$8.12	\$8.27	\$7.97	\$7.44	\$7.92	\$8.04
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,110	\$866	\$1,044	\$1,151	\$1,246	\$1,144	\$1,010	\$1,079	\$991

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – August 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	191	3	20	10	36	21	18	67	16
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	518	4	53	20	95	72	26	209	39
Participants in a Work Activity	441	2	48	19	74	64	23	181	30
% of Total Enrolled	85%	50%	91%	95%	78%	89%	88%	87%	77%
Participants Employed	239	2	29	10	46	45	21	66	20
% of Total Enrolled	46%	50%	55%	50%	48%	63%	81%	32%	51%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.96	\$9.38	\$7.91	\$8.02	\$8.23	\$7.96	\$7.56	\$7.85	\$8.12
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,084	\$1,355	\$1,090	\$1,135	\$1,198	\$1,114	\$1,032	\$1,025	\$950
Three Months Employment ⁸	63%	40%	61%	64%	61%	71%	62%	58%	70%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	10,443	257	1,409	666	1,818	1,789	1,558	2,216	730
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	8,777	194	1,163	538	1,536	1,493	1,238	1,974	641
Participants in a Work Activity	8,413	182	1,074	501	1,480	1,457	1,216	1,896	607
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	92%	93%	96%	98%	98%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	5,677	117	775	355	898	961	832	1,335	404
% of Total Enrolled	65%	60%	67%	66%	58%	64%	67%	68%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.36	\$6.26	\$6.17	\$6.39	\$6.18	\$6.60	\$6.35	\$6.41	\$6.33
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$861	\$860	\$829	\$853	\$849	\$894	\$841	\$871	\$888
Three Months Employment ⁹	62%	56%	54%	61%	64%	59%	67%	62%	70%

EDD3 – Roanoke Region: Status through August 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/12 and Work Activity as of 8/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	2,445	99	39	12	56	239	58	306	183	250	72	1,131
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	842	33	18	2	18	99	16	90	49	95	32	390
Participants in a Work Activity	577	23	7	1	13	63	13	75	44	70	21	247
% of Enrolled	69%	70%	39%	50%	72%	64%	81%	83%	90%	74%	66%	63%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	11	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	5	2	2
Participants Employed	487	21	7	1	11	46	7	72	34	53	19	216
% of Enrolled	58%	64%	39%	50%	61%	46%	44%	80%	69%	56%	59%	55%
Full Time	428	13	7	1	10	38	7	66	27	43	19	197
Part Time	59	8	0	0	1	8	0	6	7	10	0	19
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.03	\$7.97	\$7.61	\$8.00	\$8.14	\$8.17	\$7.50	\$7.89	\$7.76	\$8.46	\$7.90	\$8.03
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,129	\$992	\$1,112	\$1,039	\$1,105	\$1,126	\$1,036	\$1,098	\$1,036	\$1,165	\$1,068	\$1,170

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – August 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	508	21	2	1	12	39	16	53	24	57	19	264
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,116	44	20	2	28	122	25	125	61	121	38	530
Participants in a Work Activity	880	34	10	2	21	107	21	105	57	99	30	394
% of Total Enrolled	79%	77%	50%	100%	75%	88%	84%	84%	93%	82%	79%	74%
Participants Employed	630	27	8	1	16	59	11	94	44	78	21	271
% of Total Enrolled	56%	61%	40%	50%	57%	48%	44%	75%	72%	64%	55%	51%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.12	\$8.07	\$7.76	\$8.00	\$8.49	\$8.09	\$8.00	\$7.97	\$7.90	\$8.63	\$7.92	\$8.08
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,104	\$990	\$1,040	\$1,032	\$1,204	\$1,048	\$1,109	\$1,112	\$1,085	\$1,132	\$1,003	\$1,125
Three Months Employment ⁸	63%	54%	83%	67%	48%	66%	59%	64%	59%	60%	81%	64%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	20,678	1,151	347	95	534	1,813	530	2,928	1,806	2,264	811	8,399
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	15,894	873	219	55	389	1,393	383	2,394	1,430	1,816	633	6,309
Participants in a Work Activity	15,136	836	191	54	375	1,251	374	2,355	1,376	1,712	606	6,006
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	87%	98%	96%	90%	98%	98%	96%	94%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	10,779	617	96	28	258	862	223	1,882	913	1,349	442	4,109
% of Total Enrolled	68%	71%	44%	51%	66%	62%	58%	79%	64%	74%	70%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.12	\$6.88	\$7.32	\$7.24	\$7.00	\$7.03	\$7.07	\$6.95	\$6.80	\$7.63	\$7.01	\$7.19
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$956	\$838	\$937	\$1,039	\$932	\$949	\$956	\$935	\$941	\$1,042	\$907	\$972
Three Months Employment ⁹	61%	58%	59%	62%	55%	58%	54%	64%	54%	59%	61%	62%

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: Status through August 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/12 and Work Activity as of 8/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	880	509	4	1	82	284
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	322	190	1	1	20	110
Participants in a Work Activity	253	140	0	1	19	93
% of Enrolled	79%	74%	0%	100%	95%	85%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	224	128	0	1	17	78
% of Enrolled	70%	67%	0%	100%	85%	71%
Full Time	200	114	N/A	1	16	69
Part Time	24	14	N/A	0	1	9
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.15	\$7.97	N/A	\$8.00	\$7.86	\$8.51
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,173	\$1,137	N/A	\$1,386	\$1,089	\$1,249

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – August 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	169	97	0	1	8	63
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	386	233	2	1	29	121
Participants in a Work Activity	326	193	2	1	22	108
% of Total Enrolled	84%	83%	100%	100%	76%	89%
Participants Employed	258	147	1	1	20	89
% of Total Enrolled	67%	63%	50%	100%	69%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.19	\$8.03	\$7.25	\$8.00	\$7.97	\$8.51
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,110	\$1,063	\$1,091	\$1,376	\$988	\$1,214
Three Months Employment ⁸	73%	72%	100%	N/A	68%	70%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	8,142	4,371	79	20	717	2,955
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	6,265	3,425	50	8	530	2,252
Participants in a Work Activity	6,099	3,358	50	8	491	2,192
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	100%	100%	93%	97%
Participants Employed	4,353	2,286	32	6	378	1,651
% of Total Enrolled	69%	67%	64%	75%	71%	73%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.19	\$7.18	\$6.56	\$7.79	\$6.75	\$7.30
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$955	\$960	\$717	\$784	\$866	\$974
Three Months Employment ⁹	67%	67%	63%	83%	67%	68%

EDDS 5 & 18 – Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: Status through August 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/12 and Work Activity as of 8/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	518	18	173	61	41	116	109	277	191	86
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	222	4	68	29	17	57	47	100	55	45
Participants in a Work Activity	149	2	43	26	10	30	38	56	27	29
% of Enrolled	67%	50%	63%	90%	59%	53%	81%	56%	49%	64%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	6	1	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	109	2	29	20	8	23	27	52	26	26
% of Enrolled	49%	50%	43%	69%	47%	40%	57%	52%	47%	58%
Full Time	93	2	21	20	7	19	24	42	23	19
Part Time	16	0	8	0	1	4	3	10	3	7
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.19	\$8.38	\$8.71	\$7.63	\$8.09	\$8.30	\$7.96	\$7.92	\$7.81	\$8.03
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,136	\$1,277	\$1,190	\$1,133	\$1,151	\$1,106	\$1,090	\$1,079	\$1,110	\$1,047

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – August 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	87	3	26	8	5	22	23	40	31	9
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	282	5	79	38	22	66	72	120	71	49
Participants in a Work Activity	213	2	58	32	16	46	59	90	51	39
% of Total Enrolled	76%	40%	73%	84%	73%	70%	82%	75%	72%	80%
Participants Employed	146	2	39	27	8	29	41	60	32	28
% of Total Enrolled	52%	40%	49%	71%	36%	44%	57%	50%	45%	57%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.25	\$8.38	\$8.83	\$7.56	\$8.09	\$8.37	\$8.10	\$7.95	\$7.86	\$8.05
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,096	\$1,269	\$1,156	\$1,010	\$1,143	\$1,100	\$1,074	\$1,011	\$1,033	\$986
Three Months Employment ⁸	64%	75%	57%	72%	77%	63%	62%	72%	71%	74%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	5,350	208	1,159	815	654	1,283	1231	2,517	1,598	919
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	4,004	127	870	663	400	968	976	1,861	1,154	707
Participants in a Work Activity	3,784	117	812	648	387	896	924	1,798	1,125	673
% of Total Enrolled	95%	92%	93%	98%	97%	93%	95%	97%	97%	95%
Participants Employed	2,606	74	500	462	240	659	671	1,048	593	455
% of Total Enrolled	65%	58%	57%	70%	60%	68%	69%	56%	51%	64%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.39	\$7.46	\$7.77	\$7.33	\$6.75	\$7.47	\$7.31	\$6.76	\$6.79	\$6.72
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$988	\$989	\$1,011	\$992	\$927	\$1,012	\$966	\$850	\$852	\$848
Three Months Employment ⁹	63%	63%	62%	60%	70%	69%	59%	72%	65%	80%

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: Status through August 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/12 and Work Activity as of 8/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	3,532	242	1,418	212	1,078	400	141	41
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,358	73	489	140	464	143	42	7
Participants in a Work Activity	1,089	55	427	99	348	125	30	5
% of Enrolled	80%	75%	87%	71%	75%	87%	71%	71%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	17	1	11	0	2	2	1	0
Participants Employed	660	22	232	66	249	72	18	1
% of Enrolled	49%	30%	47%	47%	54%	50%	43%	14%
Full Time	535	15	190	43	210	59	17	1
Part Time	125	7	42	23	39	13	1	0
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.30	\$9.24	\$9.31	\$10.26	\$9.20	\$9.05	\$8.30	\$7.50
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,269	\$1,213	\$1,269	\$1,367	\$1,272	\$1,204	\$1,201	\$974

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – August 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	699	37	270	45	233	83	24	7
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,713	92	652	166	576	165	52	10
Participants in a Work Activity	1,447	75	582	124	473	146	39	8
% of Total Enrolled	84%	82%	89%	75%	82%	88%	75%	80%
Participants Employed	848	32	310	81	317	83	23	2
% of Total Enrolled	50%	35%	48%	49%	55%	50%	44%	20%
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.48	\$10.11	\$9.53	\$10.30	\$9.24	\$9.29	\$9.02	\$11.50
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,244	\$1,331	\$1,267	\$1,315	\$1,204	\$1,202	\$1,191	\$1,817
Three Months Employment ⁸	76%	60%	75%	83%	76%	83%	65%	86%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	34,460	2,893	12,207	2,451	11,056	3,943	1,477	433
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	26,602	2,267	9,221	1,956	8,590	3,113	1,142	313
Participants in a Work Activity	25,642	2,240	8,882	1,870	8,256	2,978	1,106	310
% of Total Enrolled	96%	99%	96%	96%	96%	96%	97%	99%
Participants Employed	18,717	1,628	6,215	1,511	6,212	2,188	736	227
% of Total Enrolled	70%	72%	67%	77%	72%	70%	64%	73%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.70	\$8.31	\$8.73	\$9.29	\$8.78	\$8.40	\$8.23	\$8.16
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,154	\$1,071	\$1,153	\$1,201	\$1,192	\$1,076	\$1,106	\$1,089
Three Months Employment ⁹	71%	70%	69%	72%	71%	77%	71%	78%

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: Status through August 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/12 and Work Activity as of 8/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	362	153	90	27	86	6
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	138	57	35	6	36	4
Participants in a Work Activity	103	42	27	5	29	0
% of Enrolled	75%	74%	77%	83%	81%	0%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	2	0	1	0
Participants Employed	73	31	19	4	19	0
% of Enrolled	53%	54%	54%	67%	53%	0%
Full Time	67	28	18	4	17	N/A
Part Time	6	3	1	0	2	N/A
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.40	\$8.52	\$8.55	\$8.13	\$8.10	N/A
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,177	\$1,186	\$1,191	\$1,177	\$1,148	N/A

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – August 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	82	47	18	5	9	3
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	180	73	44	14	45	4
Participants in a Work Activity	142	60	37	9	35	1
% of Total Enrolled	79%	82%	84%	64%	78%	25%
Participants Employed	90	40	24	4	22	0
% of Total Enrolled	50%	55%	55%	29%	49%	0%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.48	\$8.57	\$8.69	\$8.13	\$8.13	N/A
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,134	\$1,143	\$1,190	\$1,234	\$1,037	N/A
Three Months Employment ⁸	75%	78%	67%	63%	90%	N/A

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	3,941	1,520	1,166	365	790	100
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	2,976	1,105	895	271	641	64
Participants in a Work Activity	2,867	1,059	886	263	598	61
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	99%	97%	93%	95%
Participants Employed	1,995	711	617	165	462	40
% of Total Enrolled	67%	64%	69%	61%	72%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.49	\$7.45	\$7.85	\$7.16	\$7.21	\$7.27
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$987	\$969	\$1,024	\$998	\$962	\$958
Three Months Employment ⁹	65%	67%	69%	61%	59%	44%

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: Status through August 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/12 and Work Activity as of 8/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	Cville
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	626	118	53	42	90	40	283
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	297	34	23	15	37	14	174
Participants in a Work Activity	253	31	18	11	25	9	159
% of Enrolled	85%	91%	78%	73%	68%	64%	91%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Participants Employed	147	20	7	8	19	5	88
% of Enrolled	49%	59%	30%	53%	51%	36%	51%
Full Time	109	14	6	4	15	3	67
Part Time	38	6	1	4	4	2	21
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.34	\$8.90	\$8.14	\$8.05	\$8.05	\$8.85	\$8.28
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,088	\$1,201	\$984	\$1,051	\$1,139	\$864	\$1,076

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – August 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	Cville
Individuals Referred	127	21	9	13	19	11	54
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	365	45	29	18	51	17	205
Participants in a Work Activity	330	41	23	15	40	11	200
% of Total Enrolled	90%	91%	79%	83%	78%	65%	98%
Participants Employed	179	26	9	9	25	5	105
% of Total Enrolled	49%	58%	31%	50%	49%	29%	51%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.43	\$8.82	\$8.06	\$7.99	\$8.46	\$8.85	\$8.38
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,018	\$1,109	\$833	\$1,042	\$993	\$1,013	\$1,015
Three Months Employment ⁸	67%	70%	60%	54%	63%	83%	68%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	Cville
Individuals Referred	5,996	1,385	265	468	945	308	2,625
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	4,638	1,005	187	333	698	217	2,198
Participants in a Work Activity	4,521	979	181	314	667	212	2,168
% of Total Enrolled	97%	97%	97%	94%	96%	98%	99%
Participants Employed	3,453	732	117	251	432	134	1,787
% of Total Enrolled	74%	73%	63%	75%	62%	62%	81%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.43	\$7.82	\$7.72	\$7.35	\$7.63	\$7.76	\$7.28
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$946	\$1,007	\$1,046	\$917	\$984	\$1,015	\$921
Three Months Employment ⁹	63%	58%	60%	57%	63%	63%	65%

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: Status through August 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/12 and Work Activity as of 8/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,244	94	70	188	301	591
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	552	32	44	78	172	226
Participants in a Work Activity	385	24	38	56	123	144
% of Enrolled	70%	75%	86%	72%	72%	64%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	4	0	0	0	1
Participants Employed	277	18	25	38	77	119
% of Enrolled	50%	56%	57%	49%	45%	53%
Full Time	201	13	25	27	50	86
Part Time	76	5	0	11	27	33
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.93	\$7.63	\$7.95	\$8.02	\$8.07	\$7.85
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,015	\$998	\$1,116	\$987	\$998	\$1,017

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – August 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	190	26	16	30	35	83
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	687	39	50	88	214	296
Participants in a Work Activity	565	33	45	67	187	233
% of Total Enrolled	82%	85%	90%	76%	87%	79%
Participants Employed	348	22	28	44	103	151
% of Total Enrolled	51%	56%	56%	50%	48%	51%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.92	\$7.84	\$7.91	\$7.97	\$8.08	\$7.82
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$956	\$964	\$1,113	\$875	\$928	\$968
Three Months Employment ⁸	67%	54%	88%	66%	68%	66%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	10,436	976	813	2,100	2,433	4,114
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	8,665	786	711	1,687	2,086	3,395
Participants in a Work Activity	8,180	749	699	1,565	1,965	3,202
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	98%	93%	94%	94%
Participants Employed	5,902	523	502	1,092	1,429	2,356
% of Total Enrolled	68%	67%	71%	65%	69%	69%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.63	\$6.82	\$6.30	\$6.70	\$6.84	\$6.52
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$858	\$871	\$871	\$856	\$897	\$831
Three Months Employment ⁹	64%	60%	56%	61%	64%	68%

EDD10 - Danville Region: Status through August 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/12 and Work Activity as of 8/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
TANF Cases End of Month¹⁰	1,398	190	453	101	212	442
Total Enrolled in VIEW³	436	42	155	77	43	119
Participants in a Work Activity	327	23	122	63	25	94
% of Enrolled	75%	55%	79%	82%	58%	79%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	194	21	61	41	16	55
% of Enrolled	44%	50%	39%	53%	37%	46%
Full Time	160	18	47	31	15	49
Part Time	34	3	14	10	1	6
Average Wage⁶	\$7.89	\$7.52	\$7.89	\$7.67	\$8.48	\$8.03
Average Monthly Earnings⁷	\$1,098	\$1,005	\$1,107	\$1,050	\$1,252	\$1,114

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – August 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	269	22	85	21	49	92
Total Enrolled in VIEW³	594	57	210	88	72	167
Participants in a Work Activity	485	40	168	73	59	145
% of Total Enrolled	82%	70%	80%	83%	82%	87%
Participants Employed	262	25	89	46	29	73
% of Total Enrolled	44%	44%	42%	52%	40%	44%
Average Wage⁶	\$7.92	\$7.94	\$7.84	\$7.68	\$8.12	\$8.09
Average Monthly Earnings⁷	\$1,062	\$1,032	\$1,033	\$1,030	\$1,148	\$1,091
Three Months Employment⁸	64%	52%	63%	70%	46%	75%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	12,833	1,977	3,462	1,267	2,103	4,024
Total Enrolled in VIEW^{3,5}	10,294	1,643	2,647	1,101	1,604	3,299
Participants in a Work Activity	9,953	1,587	2,535	1,037	1,576	3,218
% of Total Enrolled	97%	97%	96%	94%	98%	98%
Participants Employed	6,305	930	1,667	736	865	2,107
% of Total Enrolled	61%	57%	63%	67%	54%	64%
Average Wage⁶	\$6.42	\$6.38	\$6.82	\$6.35	\$6.42	\$6.17
Average Monthly Earnings⁷	\$856	\$840	\$940	\$827	\$869	\$806
Three Months Employment⁹	63%	58%	64%	75%	60%	62%

EDD11 - Southside Region: Status through August 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/12 and Work Activity as of 8/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	994	67	113	65	88	64	140	59	147	126	125
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	432	31	58	22	43	31	50	26	45	67	59
Participants in a Work Activity	320	26	34	20	41	27	26	14	39	48	45
% of Enrolled	74%	84%	59%	91%	95%	87%	52%	54%	87%	72%	76%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Participants Employed	208	17	26	15	13	17	18	9	26	39	28
% of Enrolled	48%	55%	45%	68%	30%	55%	36%	35%	58%	58%	47%
Full Time	144	12	20	14	11	9	6	6	15	30	21
Part Time	64	5	6	1	2	8	12	3	11	9	7
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.81	\$8.57	\$7.25	\$7.98	\$7.81	\$8.53	\$7.58	\$7.53	\$7.63	\$7.69	\$7.89
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$980	\$1,125	\$924	\$1,149	\$989	\$955	\$741	\$1,031	\$881	\$988	\$1,079

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – August 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	185	11	32	15	14	9	19	14	23	20	28
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	540	38	75	37	53	37	56	37	55	79	73
Participants in a Work Activity	436	32	48	32	48	33	49	23	48	62	61
% of Total Enrolled	81%	84%	64%	86%	91%	89%	88%	62%	87%	78%	84%
Participants Employed	258	19	35	21	17	20	21	13	31	45	36
% of Total Enrolled	48%	50%	47%	57%	32%	54%	38%	35%	56%	57%	49%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.88	\$8.47	\$7.61	\$8.17	\$7.73	\$8.78	\$7.56	\$7.46	\$7.64	\$7.72	\$7.91
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$971	\$947	\$941	\$1,211	\$926	\$1,059	\$783	\$920	\$914	\$954	\$1,035
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	83%	85%	68%	50%	75%	69%	52%	55%	93%	71%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	7,987	517	1000	775	698	535	941	366	1160	950	1045
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	6,190	375	799	587	514	392	741	287	912	735	848
Participants in a Work Activity	5,917	356	787	553	475	374	707	278	875	683	829
% of Total Enrolled	96%	95%	98%	94%	92%	95%	95%	97%	96%	93%	98%
Participants Employed	3,760	224	448	334	260	229	424	139	607	497	598
% of Total Enrolled	61%	60%	56%	57%	51%	58%	57%	48%	67%	68%	71%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.80	\$7.46	\$6.26	\$7.05	\$7.25	\$7.33	\$6.73	\$6.41	\$6.60	\$6.81	\$6.78
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$878	\$958	\$825	\$946	\$927	\$951	\$819	\$792	\$839	\$899	\$883
Three Months Employment ⁹	66%	65%	67%	63%	56%	58%	64%	61%	68%	70%	68%

EDD12 - Richmond Region: Status through August 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/12 and Work Activity as of 8/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	5,397	1,030	43	145	1,463	52	2,664
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,205	343	26	59	780	18	979
Participants in a Work Activity	1,577	274	18	26	507	14	738
% of Enrolled	72%	80%	69%	44%	65%	78%	75%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	28	4	0	3	8	2	11
Participants Employed	1,016	189	12	23	371	5	416
% of Enrolled	46%	55%	46%	39%	48%	28%	42%
Full Time	755	152	5	17	260	3	318
Part Time	261	37	7	6	111	2	98
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.34	\$8.50	\$7.61	\$8.51	\$8.50	\$6.81	\$8.16
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,095	\$1,164	\$897	\$1,079	\$1,105	\$823	\$1,065

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – August 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	884	170	4	43	208	15	444
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,643	438	26	66	892	22	1,199
Participants in a Work Activity	2,100	359	24	45	676	18	978
% of Total Enrolled	79%	82%	92%	68%	76%	82%	82%
Participants Employed	1,205	233	12	29	434	8	489
% of Total Enrolled	46%	53%	46%	44%	49%	36%	41%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.42	\$8.64	\$7.60	\$8.66	\$8.47	\$7.48	\$8.29
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,076	\$1,131	\$878	\$1,078	\$1,066	\$1,025	\$1,065
Three Months Employment ⁸	75%	75%	75%	80%	79%	80%	72%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	36,984	7,641	278	1292	9,197	363	18,213
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	28,978	5,802	209	914	7,482	261	14,310
Participants in a Work Activity	27,819	5,606	198	842	7,063	243	13,867
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	95%	92%	94%	93%	97%
Participants Employed	19,516	3,682	144	581	4,991	141	9,977
% of Total Enrolled	67%	63%	69%	64%	67%	54%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.38	\$7.65	\$7.10	\$8.21	\$7.68	\$7.59	\$7.12
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$986	\$1,024	\$894	\$1,052	\$1,020	\$950	\$958
Three Months Employment ⁹	70%	69%	65%	66%	66%	64%	72%

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: Status through August 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/12 and Work Activity as of 8/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,103	191	51	394	312	155
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	620	118	15	230	162	95
Participants in a Work Activity	408	48	10	170	119	61
% of Enrolled	66%	41%	67%	74%	73%	64%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	0	2	0	1
Participants Employed	296	43	6	123	79	45
% of Enrolled	48%	36%	40%	53%	49%	47%
Full Time	226	35	6	93	60	32
Part Time	70	8	0	30	19	13
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.50	\$8.10	\$8.18	\$8.25	\$9.08	\$8.57
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,115	\$1,086	\$1,136	\$1,071	\$1,159	\$1,181

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – August 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	202	20	14	76	56	36
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	705	129	20	251	184	121
Participants in a Work Activity	536	59	19	213	149	96
% of Total Enrolled	76%	46%	95%	85%	81%	79%
Participants Employed	357	50	9	145	93	60
% of Total Enrolled	51%	39%	45%	58%	51%	50%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.57	\$8.08	\$8.27	\$8.32	\$9.11	\$8.73
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,071	\$1,036	\$1,035	\$1,038	\$1,145	\$1,063
Three Months Employment ⁸	78%	85%	57%	88%	72%	68%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	8,688	1,321	520	2,646	2,514	1,687
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	6,419	926	352	2,080	1,637	1,424
Participants in a Work Activity	6,064	884	334	1,913	1,566	1,367
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	95%	92%	96%	96%
Participants Employed	4,210	557	214	1,409	965	1,065
% of Total Enrolled	66%	60%	61%	68%	59%	75%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.96	\$7.58	\$7.40	\$8.00	\$8.54	\$7.78
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,022	\$957	\$920	\$1,028	\$1,127	\$991
Three Months Employment ⁹	66%	57%	58%	68%	68%	66%

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: Status through August 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/12 and Work Activity as of 8/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	409	77	25	42	45	23	53	33	33	78
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	142	33	6	17	7	10	30	5	10	24
Participants in a Work Activity	110	22	4	17	6	10	24	3	6	18
% of Enrolled	77%	67%	67%	100%	86%	100%	80%	60%	60%	75%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1
Participants Employed	79	15	4	7	5	10	17	2	3	16
% of Enrolled	56%	45%	67%	41%	71%	100%	57%	40%	30%	67%
Full Time	66	8	4	6	4	7	16	2	3	16
Part Time	13	7	0	1	1	3	1	0	0	0
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.93	\$8.46	\$7.50	\$7.39	\$8.74	\$7.94	\$7.70	\$7.24	\$7.83	\$7.89
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,069	\$1,048	\$1,045	\$974	\$951	\$1,064	\$1,158	\$1,003	\$1,175	\$1,068

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – August 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	66	14	2	5	2	4	23	2	7	7
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	191	44	6	26	12	13	39	9	14	28
Participants in a Work Activity	167	40	5	24	12	11	36	7	9	23
% of Total Enrolled	87%	91%	83%	92%	100%	85%	92%	78%	64%	82%
Participants Employed	107	21	4	9	7	11	22	6	7	20
% of Total Enrolled	56%	48%	67%	35%	58%	85%	56%	67%	50%	71%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.91	\$8.28	\$7.50	\$7.67	\$8.35	\$7.88	\$7.72	\$7.62	\$7.59	\$7.99
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,014	\$959	\$967	\$989	\$967	\$1,085	\$1,088	\$951	\$921	\$1,032
Three Months Employment ⁸	64%	62%	40%	91%	38%	100%	55%	56%	57%	74%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	3,651	563	272	330	404	207	432	314	330	799
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	2,722	447	184	270	316	141	317	258	200	589
Participants in a Work Activity	2,554	428	174	258	297	135	293	250	186	533
% of Total Enrolled	94%	96%	95%	96%	94%	96%	92%	97%	93%	90%
Participants Employed	1,708	299	94	154	209	94	209	149	121	379
% of Total Enrolled	63%	67%	51%	57%	66%	67%	66%	58%	61%	64%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.02	\$7.06	\$7.01	\$7.38	\$6.81	\$7.04	\$7.07	\$6.68	\$6.95	\$7.07
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$895	\$866	\$866	\$971	\$853	\$900	\$911	\$858	\$920	\$920
Three Months Employment ⁹	57%	50%	47%	61%	51%	69%	69%	53%	40%	65%

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: Status through August 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/12 and Work Activity as of 8/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	NewKent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	3,370	20	88	155	45	121	1,206	1,704	31
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,405	5	30	57	18	73	499	704	19
Participants in a Work Activity	1,117	3	22	43	11	60	416	550	12
% of Enrolled	80%	60%	73%	75%	61%	82%	83%	78%	63%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	16	0	0	1	0	3	7	4	1
Participants Employed	744	3	16	31	9	33	256	386	10
% of Enrolled	53%	60%	53%	54%	50%	45%	51%	55%	53%
Full Time	574	2	14	26	7	12	185	322	6
Part Time	170	1	2	5	2	21	71	64	4
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.20	\$6.35	\$7.75	\$8.53	\$9.67	\$8.36	\$8.12	\$8.22	\$8.41
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,086	\$912	\$1,010	\$1,170	\$1,425	\$901	\$1,027	\$1,131	\$1,024

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – August 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	639	1	18	31	5	15	221	334	14
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,794	7	36	79	24	87	607	932	22
Participants in a Work Activity	1,482	5	26	59	15	71	535	757	14
% of Total Enrolled	83%	71%	72%	75%	63%	82%	88%	81%	64%
Participants Employed	896	5	18	44	10	41	308	460	10
% of Total Enrolled	50%	71%	50%	56%	42%	47%	51%	49%	45%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.24	\$7.32	\$7.78	\$8.88	\$10.15	\$8.24	\$8.09	\$8.26	\$8.41
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,061	\$1,147	\$982	\$1,149	\$1,471	\$864	\$1,001	\$1,104	\$991
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	75%	37%	62%	78%	73%	77%	71%	83%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	26,415	165	1,065	1,127	341	1,090	9,183	13,060	384
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	19,948	119	754	892	244	831	6,797	10,028	283
Participants in a Work Activity	18,989	113	701	826	232	796	6,626	9,432	263
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	93%	93%	95%	96%	97%	94%	93%
Participants Employed	13,815	68	495	618	137	520	4,734	7,047	196
% of Total Enrolled	69%	57%	66%	69%	56%	63%	70%	70%	69%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.06	\$7.12	\$6.87	\$7.48	\$7.58	\$7.29	\$7.06	\$7.01	\$7.25
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$922	\$943	\$851	\$916	\$1,034	\$894	\$917	\$930	\$908
Three Months Employment ⁹	66%	55%	59%	61%	72%	60%	64%	69%	64%

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: Status through August 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/12 and Work Activity as of 8/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,144	126	85	50	60	300	523
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	500	20	39	27	34	171	209
Participants in a Work Activity	330	19	24	13	22	106	146
% of Enrolled	66%	95%	62%	48%	65%	62%	70%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	15	1	0	1	1	11	1
Participants Employed	243	15	15	11	21	70	111
% of Enrolled	49%	75%	38%	41%	62%	41%	53%
Full Time	194	14	9	5	19	48	99
Part Time	49	1	6	6	2	22	12
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.06	\$8.11	\$8.86	\$8.10	\$8.37	\$7.71	\$8.11
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,109	\$1,261	\$1,133	\$889	\$1,202	\$986	\$1,168

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – August 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	183	24	17	8	12	45	77
Total Enrolled in VIEW	583	28	47	34	40	188	246
Participants in a Work Activity	442	27	33	25	33	139	185
% of Total Enrolled	76%	96%	70%	74%	83%	74%	75%
Participants Employed	287	21	19	15	23	82	127
% of Total Enrolled	49%	75%	40%	44%	58%	44%	52%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.00	\$7.94	\$8.67	\$8.27	\$8.40	\$7.55	\$8.10
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,078	\$1,221	\$1,072	\$896	\$1,182	\$973	\$1,124
Three Months Employment ⁸	73%	77%	71%	73%	57%	70%	77%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	9,326	1,191	841	370	742	2,330	3,852
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	7,308	799	636	301	599	1,879	3,094
Participants in a Work Activity	6,965	796	547	287	577	1,793	2,965
% of Total Enrolled	95%	100%	86%	95%	96%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	4,973	531	381	214	393	1,274	2,180
% of Total Enrolled	68%	66%	60%	71%	66%	68%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.02	\$6.92	\$7.51	\$7.26	\$7.02	\$6.89	\$7.03
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$946	\$965	\$994	\$875	\$956	\$922	\$955
Three Months Employment ⁹	66%	59%	59%	58%	63%	64%	70%

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: Status through August 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/12 and Work Activity as of 8/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	5,825	125	120	968	131	2,105	1,112	344	920
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,274	29	37	386	45	992	381	96	308
Participants in a Work Activity	1,728	20	21	298	37	751	294	71	236
% of Enrolled	76%	69%	57%	77%	82%	76%	77%	74%	77%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	19	0	0	0	2	4	8	0	5
Participants Employed	1,169	12	18	215	15	505	183	61	160
% of Enrolled	51%	41%	49%	56%	33%	51%	48%	64%	52%
Full Time	1,009	10	11	188	12	457	147	50	134
Part Time	160	2	7	27	3	48	36	11	26
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.95	\$8.04	\$7.43	\$8.06	\$7.71	\$7.95	\$7.87	\$7.77	\$8.07
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,055	\$1,119	\$981	\$1,115	\$1,116	\$1,031	\$1,069	\$988	\$1,060

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – August 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	1,062	17	6	159	37	389	190	65	199
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,768	42	42	492	60	1,125	490	122	395
Participants in a Work Activity	2,294	31	38	426	55	922	399	97	326
% of Total Enrolled	83%	74%	90%	87%	92%	82%	81%	80%	83%
Participants Employed	1,410	19	19	276	22	579	221	73	201
% of Total Enrolled	51%	45%	45%	56%	37%	51%	45%	60%	51%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.99	\$8.08	\$7.46	\$8.08	\$8.69	\$7.96	\$7.86	\$7.90	\$8.11
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,032	\$958	\$865	\$1,058	\$1,265	\$1,022	\$1,035	\$995	\$1,032
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	58%	65%	75%	60%	75%	67%	66%	68%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	52,207	1,187	985	8,399	833	16,775	8,456	3,289	12,283
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	38,318	854	795	6,163	665	12,192	6,700	2,563	8,386
Participants in a Work Activity	36,224	820	761	5,873	654	11,513	6,297	2,531	7,775
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	96%	95%	98%	94%	94%	99%	93%
Participants Employed	26,666	586	458	4,459	426	8,559	4,773	1,890	5,515
% of Total Enrolled	70%	69%	58%	72%	64%	70%	71%	74%	66%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.88	\$6.90	\$6.69	\$7.06	\$6.54	\$6.77	\$6.76	\$6.71	\$7.20
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$899	\$910	\$827	\$931	\$824	\$865	\$903	\$873	\$954
Three Months Employment ⁹	67%	60%	54%	65%	64%	67%	69%	68%	69%

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date

This section lists the relative state fiscal year to date rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Wage, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	45.1%	4.51	86	\$7.86	1.44	87	70.6%	5.36	54	3.77	82
003	Albemarle	57.8%	5.78	24	\$8.82	3.69	14	70.3%	5.31	55	4.93	21
005	Alleghany/Covington	61.4%	6.14	18	\$8.07	1.93	62	54.2%	2.76	108	3.61	91
007	Amelia	50.0%	5.00	57	\$8.47	2.87	26	83.3%	7.37	12	5.08	15
009	Amherst	56.4%	5.64	32	\$7.84	1.39	90	54.3%	2.78	107	3.27	100
011	Appomattox	56.0%	5.60	36	\$7.91	1.55	80	87.5%	8.03	8	5.06	16
013	Arlington	34.8%	3.48	111	\$10.11	6.73	4	60.3%	3.73	91	4.65	29
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	63.1%	6.31	15	\$8.03	1.84	65	72.5%	5.65	45	4.60	30
017	Bath	50.0%	5.00	58	\$7.25	0.00	119	100.0%	10.00	1	5.00	19
019	Bedford County/City	50.0%	5.00	59	\$7.97	1.69	72	66.2%	4.65	71	3.78	80
021	Bland	50.0%	5.00	60	\$9.38	5.01	6	40.0%	0.53	115	3.51	94
023	Botetourt	40.0%	4.00	103	\$7.76	1.20	94	83.3%	7.37	13	4.19	55
025	Brunswick	46.7%	4.67	80	\$7.61	0.85	105	85.3%	7.68	11	4.40	44
027	Buchanan	33.3%	3.33	113	\$7.49	0.56	114	83.3%	7.37	14	3.76	83
029	Buckingham	56.8%	5.68	31	\$8.17	2.16	44	68.2%	4.98	62	4.27	51
031	Campbell	48.1%	4.81	76	\$8.08	1.95	57	68.4%	5.01	61	3.92	70
033	Caroline	38.8%	3.88	105	\$8.08	1.95	58	85.4%	7.69	10	4.51	34
035	Carroll	54.7%	5.47	41	\$7.91	1.55	81	61.4%	3.90	88	3.64	90
036	Charles City	71.4%	7.14	8	\$7.32	0.16	118	75.0%	6.05	30	4.45	43
037	Charlotte	32.1%	3.21	114	\$7.73	1.13	95	50.0%	2.10	112	2.15	118
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	53.2%	5.32	44	\$8.64	3.27	21	74.6%	5.98	38	4.86	24
043	Clarke	40.0%	4.00	104	\$8.38	2.66	31	75.0%	6.05	31	4.24	54
045	Craig	50.0%	5.00	61	\$8.00	1.76	67	66.7%	4.74	69	3.83	75
047	Culpeper	54.8%	5.48	40	\$8.57	3.11	23	78.3%	6.57	23	5.05	17
049	Cumberland	54.1%	5.41	43	\$8.78	3.60	15	75.0%	6.05	32	5.02	18
051	Dickensen	41.2%	4.12	98	\$7.50	0.59	112	68.2%	4.98	63	3.23	102
053	Dinwiddie	75.0%	7.50	5	\$7.94	1.62	77	77.3%	6.41	26	5.18	13
057	Essex	47.7%	4.77	77	\$8.28	2.42	37	62.1%	4.01	86	3.74	84
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	47.5%	4.75	78	\$9.53	5.36	5	74.6%	5.99	37	5.37	10
061	Fauquier	54.5%	5.45	42	\$8.69	3.39	17	67.4%	4.86	67	4.57	31
063	Floyd	57.1%	5.71	27	\$8.49	2.92	25	47.6%	1.73	113	3.45	96
065	Fluvanna	31.0%	3.10	116	\$8.06	1.91	63	60.0%	3.68	92	2.90	112
067	Franklin County	48.4%	4.84	75	\$8.09	1.98	53	66.2%	4.66	70	3.83	77
069	Frederick	49.4%	4.94	67	\$8.83	3.72	13	56.9%	3.19	103	3.95	67
071	Giles	44.0%	4.40	90	\$8.00	1.76	68	58.8%	3.50	96	3.22	103
073	Gloucester	50.0%	5.00	62	\$7.78	1.25	93	36.7%	0.00	118	2.08	119
075	Goochland	46.2%	4.62	81	\$7.60	0.82	106	75.0%	6.05	33	3.83	76
077	Grayson	50.0%	5.00	63	\$8.02	1.81	66	64.3%	4.36	77	3.72	85

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	50.0%	5.00	64	\$7.99	1.74	70	53.9%	2.71	109	3.15	107
081	Greensville/Emporia	37.5%	3.75	106	\$7.56	0.73	108	69.4%	5.17	60	3.22	104
083	Halifax	43.9%	4.39	93	\$7.94	1.62	78	51.5%	2.34	111	2.78	113
085	Hanover	43.9%	4.39	91	\$8.66	3.32	20	80.0%	6.84	20	4.85	25
087	Henrico	48.7%	4.87	73	\$8.47	2.87	27	79.2%	6.72	22	4.82	26
089	Henry/Martinsville	42.4%	4.24	96	\$7.84	1.39	91	62.9%	4.14	81	3.25	101
091	Highland	100.0%	10.00	1	\$8.00	1.76	69	N/A	N/A	N/A	5.88	5
093	Isle of Wight	45.2%	4.52	83	\$8.08	1.95	59	58.3%	3.42	99	3.30	99
095	James City	55.7%	5.57	37	\$8.88	3.84	11	62.1%	4.01	87	4.47	39
097	King and Queen	66.7%	6.67	12	\$7.50	0.59	113	40.0%	0.53	116	2.59	115
099	King George	45.0%	4.50	87	\$8.27	2.40	38	57.1%	3.23	100	3.38	98
101	King William	34.6%	3.46	112	\$7.67	0.99	101	90.9%	8.56	5	4.34	46
103	Lancaster	58.3%	5.83	22	\$8.35	2.59	34	38.5%	0.28	117	2.90	111
105	Lee	58.3%	5.83	23	\$7.69	1.04	98	71.6%	5.51	48	4.13	58
107	Loudoun	48.8%	4.88	72	\$10.30	7.18	2	82.9%	7.30	17	6.45	3
109	Louisa	49.0%	4.90	70	\$8.46	2.85	28	63.4%	4.22	80	3.99	64
111	Lunenburg	35.1%	3.51	110	\$7.46	0.49	116	52.4%	2.48	110	2.16	117
113	Madison	28.6%	2.86	118	\$8.13	2.07	45	62.5%	4.08	82	3.00	110
115	Mathews	84.6%	8.46	2	\$7.88	1.48	85	100.0%	10.00	2	6.65	1
117	Mecklenburg	56.4%	5.64	34	\$7.64	0.92	102	55.1%	2.91	105	3.15	106
119	Middlesex	56.4%	5.64	33	\$7.72	1.11	96	55.0%	2.89	106	3.21	105
121	Montgomery	75.2%	7.52	4	\$7.97	1.69	73	63.6%	4.25	79	4.49	37
125	Nelson	29.4%	2.94	117	\$8.85	3.76	12	83.3%	7.37	15	4.69	27
127	New Kent	41.7%	4.17	97	\$10.15	6.82	3	77.8%	6.49	24	5.83	6
131	Northampton	57.1%	5.71	28	\$8.05	1.88	64	74.3%	5.94	39	4.51	33
133	Northumberland	66.7%	6.67	13	\$7.62	0.87	104	55.6%	2.98	104	3.51	95
135	Nottoway	57.0%	5.70	29	\$7.72	1.11	97	93.2%	8.92	4	5.24	11
137	Orange	48.9%	4.89	71	\$8.13	2.07	46	90.5%	8.50	6	5.15	14
139	Page	71.1%	7.11	10	\$7.56	0.73	109	71.9%	5.56	47	4.46	40
141	Patrick	52.3%	5.23	45	\$7.68	1.01	100	69.6%	5.20	58	3.81	78
143	Pittsylvania	40.3%	4.03	102	\$8.12	2.05	47	46.2%	1.50	114	2.52	116
145	Powhatan	36.4%	3.64	108	\$7.48	0.54	115	80.0%	6.84	21	3.67	88
147	Prince Edward	49.3%	4.93	69	\$7.91	1.55	82	71.2%	5.44	52	3.98	66
149	Prince George	40.4%	4.04	101	\$8.67	3.34	19	71.4%	5.49	50	4.29	47
153	Prince William	55.0%	5.50	39	\$9.24	4.68	8	75.9%	6.19	29	5.46	9
155	Pulaski	72.1%	7.21	7	\$7.90	1.53	83	59.3%	3.58	95	4.11	60
157	Rappahannock	0.0%	0.00	120	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.00	120
159	Richmond County	50.0%	5.00	65	\$7.59	0.80	107	57.1%	3.23	101	3.01	109
161	Roanoke County	64.5%	6.45	14	\$8.63	3.25	22	60.4%	3.75	90	4.48	38
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	69.0%	6.90	11	\$7.97	1.69	74	94.7%	9.17	3	5.92	4
165	Rockingham/H'burg	73.6%	7.36	6	\$8.51	2.96	24	70.3%	5.30	56	5.21	12
167	Russell	41.1%	4.11	99	\$7.88	1.48	86	72.9%	5.72	42	3.77	81
169	Scott	50.7%	5.07	54	\$7.64	0.92	103	72.9%	5.72	43	3.90	72
171	Shenandoah	36.4%	3.64	109	\$8.09	1.98	54	76.9%	6.36	27	3.99	65

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	48.4%	4.84	74	\$8.23	2.31	42	61.0%	3.84	89	3.66	89
175	<i>Southampton</i>	45.2%	4.52	84	\$7.46	0.49	117	64.7%	4.43	76	3.15	108
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	57.8%	5.78	25	\$8.32	2.52	35	88.5%	8.18	7	5.49	8
179	<i>Stafford</i>	50.5%	5.05	55	\$9.11	4.38	9	71.6%	5.51	49	4.98	20
181	<i>Surry</i>	44.1%	4.41	89	\$8.27	2.40	39	72.7%	5.69	44	4.17	56
183	<i>Sussex</i>	57.5%	5.75	26	\$8.40	2.71	30	57.1%	3.23	102	3.90	73
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	62.9%	6.29	16	\$8.12	2.05	48	58.4%	3.44	97	3.93	69
187	<i>Warren</i>	43.9%	4.39	92	\$8.37	2.64	33	62.5%	4.08	83	3.70	86
191	<i>Washington</i>	62.5%	6.25	17	\$7.96	1.67	75	71.2%	5.45	51	4.46	42
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	71.4%	7.14	9	\$7.99	1.74	71	73.9%	5.88	40	4.92	22
195	<i>Wise</i>	60.5%	6.05	19	\$7.69	1.04	99	64.8%	4.44	75	3.84	74
197	<i>Wythe</i>	80.8%	8.08	3	\$7.56	0.73	110	62.2%	4.03	84	4.28	50
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	47.1%	4.71	79	\$8.24	2.33	41	73.5%	5.81	41	4.28	48
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	50.3%	5.03	56	\$9.29	4.80	7	82.8%	7.28	18	5.70	7
520	<i>Bristol</i>	31.6%	3.16	115	\$7.85	1.41	89	58.4%	3.43	98	2.67	114
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	51.2%	5.12	49	\$8.38	2.66	32	68.1%	4.96	64	4.25	52
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	56.1%	5.61	35	\$8.08	1.95	60	74.8%	6.03	35	4.53	32
590	<i>Danville</i>	43.7%	4.37	94	\$8.09	1.98	55	75.0%	6.05	34	4.13	57
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	36.7%	3.67	107	\$8.69	3.39	18	60.0%	3.68	93	3.58	93
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	49.6%	4.96	66	\$8.73	3.48	16	68.0%	4.94	66	4.46	41
640	<i>Galax</i>	51.3%	5.13	48	\$8.12	2.05	49	69.6%	5.20	59	4.12	59
650	<i>Hampton</i>	50.7%	5.07	53	\$8.09	1.98	56	77.5%	6.45	25	4.50	35
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	43.6%	4.36	95	\$7.55	0.71	111	70.0%	5.26	57	3.44	97
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	51.0%	5.10	51	\$7.82	1.34	92	66.0%	4.63	72	3.69	87
683	<i>Manassas</i>	44.2%	4.42	88	\$9.02	4.16	10	65.2%	4.51	74	4.37	45
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	20.0%	2.00	119	\$11.50	10.00	1	85.7%	7.74	9	6.58	2
700	<i>Newport News</i>	49.4%	4.94	68	\$8.26	2.38	40	71.1%	5.43	53	4.25	53
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	51.5%	5.15	47	\$7.96	1.67	76	74.8%	6.03	36	4.28	49
720	<i>Norton</i>	58.8%	5.88	21	\$8.20	2.24	43	60.0%	3.68	94	3.93	68
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	51.6%	5.16	46	\$8.10	2.00	51	76.7%	6.32	28	4.49	36
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	45.1%	4.51	85	\$7.86	1.44	88	67.3%	4.83	68	3.59	92
750	<i>Radford</i>	55.3%	5.53	38	\$7.92	1.58	79	80.8%	6.96	19	4.69	28
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	40.8%	4.08	100	\$8.29	2.45	36	72.0%	5.57	46	4.03	62
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	51.1%	5.11	50	\$8.08	1.95	61	63.8%	4.29	78	3.78	79
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	59.8%	5.98	20	\$7.90	1.53	84	65.9%	4.62	73	4.04	61
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	50.9%	5.09	52	\$8.11	2.02	50	68.1%	4.96	65	4.02	63
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	45.5%	4.55	82	\$8.41	2.73	29	83.3%	7.37	16	4.88	23
840	<i>Winchester</i>	56.9%	5.69	30	\$8.10	2.00	52	62.1%	4.02	85	3.90	71

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores is done to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

VIEW Rankings, From Inception of VIEW

This section lists the relative cumulative rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Monthly Earnings, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	51.4%	2.02	115	\$852	2.80	95	65.1%	5.83	49	3.55	109
003	Albemarle	72.8%	7.74	11	\$1,007	5.99	21	57.6%	4.10	101	5.95	25
005	Alleghany/Covington	70.7%	7.16	20	\$838	2.49	103	58.3%	4.26	96	4.64	78
007	Amelia	59.7%	4.24	95	\$958	4.99	36	64.9%	5.78	50	5.00	67
009	Amherst	66.5%	6.06	54	\$871	3.19	82	59.7%	4.59	86	4.61	80
011	Appomattox	70.6%	7.15	21	\$871	3.17	84	56.2%	3.80	107	4.71	76
013	Arlington	71.8%	7.47	16	\$1,071	7.30	8	69.5%	6.84	18	7.20	6
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	66.7%	6.11	49	\$960	5.02	35	67.3%	6.32	37	5.82	29
017	Bath	64.0%	5.38	72	\$717	0.00	120	63.3%	5.42	63	3.60	108
019	Bedford County/City	64.7%	5.58	65	\$856	2.87	92	60.8%	4.84	78	4.43	88
021	Bland	60.3%	4.40	90	\$860	2.95	90	56.5%	3.86	105	3.74	106
023	Botetourt	43.8%	0.00	120	\$937	4.55	51	58.7%	4.36	93	2.97	117
025	Brunswick	56.1%	3.27	111	\$825	2.22	110	67.3%	6.33	36	3.94	100
027	Buchanan	53.0%	2.44	114	\$836	2.46	104	63.3%	5.42	64	3.44	112
029	Buckingham	56.9%	3.49	108	\$946	4.73	47	62.5%	5.23	69	4.48	87
031	Campbell	68.5%	6.58	38	\$897	3.72	73	63.7%	5.51	59	5.27	54
033	Caroline	60.2%	4.35	92	\$957	4.96	39	56.9%	3.96	103	4.43	89
035	Carroll	66.6%	6.09	52	\$829	2.32	107	53.8%	3.25	113	3.89	101
036	Charles City	57.1%	3.55	106	\$943	4.67	48	54.6%	3.42	110	3.88	102
037	Charlotte	50.6%	1.80	118	\$927	4.33	57	56.5%	3.85	106	3.33	114
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	63.5%	5.24	76	\$1,024	6.34	16	69.1%	6.75	22	6.11	21
043	Clarke	58.3%	3.85	100	\$989	5.62	26	62.7%	5.28	67	4.92	71
045	Craig	50.9%	1.89	117	\$1,039	6.65	12	61.5%	5.01	74	4.52	83
047	Culpeper	64.3%	5.47	70	\$969	5.21	31	66.5%	6.15	42	5.61	36
049	Cumberland	58.4%	3.89	98	\$951	4.83	44	57.7%	4.14	100	4.29	96
051	Dickenson	60.2%	4.36	91	\$833	2.39	105	55.3%	3.59	109	3.45	111
053	Dinwiddie	66.5%	6.04	55	\$965	5.13	33	58.7%	4.37	91	5.18	60
057	Essex	66.9%	6.15	47	\$866	3.08	87	49.6%	2.29	117	3.84	103
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	67.4%	6.29	45	\$1,153	9.02	3	69.2%	6.77	21	7.36	5
061	Fauquier	68.9%	6.70	34	\$1,024	6.35	15	69.0%	6.71	25	6.59	10
063	Floyd	66.3%	6.00	56	\$932	4.45	53	55.4%	3.60	108	4.68	77
065	Fluvanna	62.6%	5.00	81	\$1,046	6.80	10	60.0%	4.66	82	5.49	41
067	Franklin County	61.9%	4.82	84	\$949	4.80	46	58.2%	4.26	97	4.62	79
069	Frederick	57.5%	3.64	104	\$1,011	6.07	20	61.7%	5.06	73	4.92	70
071	Giles	58.2%	3.84	101	\$956	4.93	40	53.6%	3.19	114	3.99	99
073	Gloucester	65.6%	5.82	61	\$851	2.76	97	58.6%	4.33	95	4.31	95
075	Goochland	68.9%	6.69	35	\$894	3.65	76	65.4%	5.89	48	5.41	48
077	Grayson	66.0%	5.91	58	\$853	2.82	93	61.0%	4.90	76	4.54	82

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	75.4%	8.42	4	\$917	4.13	64	56.6%	3.88	104	5.47	43
081	Greensville/Emporia	57.2%	3.57	105	\$819	2.11	113	64.0%	5.57	56	3.75	105
083	Halifax	56.6%	3.41	109	\$840	2.54	101	58.2%	4.26	98	3.40	113
085	Hanover	63.6%	5.27	75	\$1,052	6.92	9	66.4%	6.13	44	6.11	22
087	Henrico	66.7%	6.10	50	\$1,020	6.26	17	66.5%	6.14	43	6.17	20
089	Henry/Martinsville	63.0%	5.11	79	\$940	4.60	50	64.1%	5.60	54	5.10	63
091	Highland	75.0%	8.32	6	\$784	1.38	118	83.3%	10.00	1	6.56	11
093	Isle of Wight	68.6%	6.61	37	\$910	3.99	67	60.0%	4.65	83	5.09	64
095	James City	69.3%	6.79	32	\$916	4.12	65	60.8%	4.84	79	5.25	57
097	King and Queen	51.1%	1.94	116	\$866	3.09	86	47.0%	1.69	118	2.24	120
099	King George	60.8%	4.53	88	\$920	4.20	62	58.2%	4.25	99	4.32	94
101	King William	57.0%	3.52	107	\$971	5.24	30	60.5%	4.79	81	4.52	84
103	Lancaster	66.1%	5.95	57	\$853	2.81	94	51.3%	2.68	116	3.81	104
105	Lee	65.4%	5.76	63	\$792	1.54	116	72.4%	7.49	7	4.93	69
107	Loudoun	77.2%	8.92	3	\$1,201	10.00	1	71.9%	7.39	9	8.77	1
109	Louisa	61.9%	4.82	83	\$984	5.52	27	62.7%	5.27	68	5.20	59
111	Lunenburg	48.4%	1.23	119	\$792	1.54	117	61.0%	4.90	77	2.55	119
113	Madison	60.9%	4.55	87	\$998	5.81	22	60.8%	4.84	80	5.06	65
115	Mathews	66.7%	6.09	51	\$900	3.78	71	68.6%	6.63	27	5.50	39
117	Mecklenburg	66.6%	6.06	53	\$839	2.53	102	67.8%	6.46	32	5.02	66
119	Middlesex	65.9%	5.90	59	\$911	4.01	66	69.0%	6.72	24	5.54	38
121	Montgomery	78.6%	9.28	2	\$935	4.51	52	64.0%	5.58	55	6.46	14
125	Nelson	61.8%	4.78	85	\$1,015	6.15	18	63.1%	5.37	66	5.44	45
127	New Kent	56.1%	3.29	110	\$1,034	6.56	13	72.3%	7.48	8	5.77	31
131	Northampton	64.4%	5.48	68	\$848	2.70	99	79.7%	9.18	2	5.78	30
133	Northumberland	57.8%	3.71	102	\$858	2.91	91	53.0%	3.06	115	3.23	115
135	Nottoway	67.6%	6.35	43	\$899	3.77	72	69.6%	6.87	16	5.66	35
137	Orange	72.1%	7.54	15	\$962	5.06	34	59.4%	4.53	88	5.71	34
139	Page	69.7%	6.90	29	\$992	5.69	24	59.7%	4.60	85	5.73	32
141	Patrick	66.8%	6.14	48	\$827	2.28	108	74.6%	8.00	6	5.47	44
143	Pittsylvania	53.9%	2.69	113	\$869	3.15	85	59.8%	4.62	84	3.49	110
145	Powhatan	54.0%	2.72	112	\$950	4.81	45	63.7%	5.51	58	4.35	93
147	Prince Edward	70.5%	7.12	22	\$883	3.43	78	68.4%	6.58	30	5.71	33
149	Prince George	59.9%	4.29	94	\$994	5.73	23	59.0%	4.42	89	4.81	74
153	Prince William	72.3%	7.60	14	\$1,192	9.81	2	70.6%	7.09	13	8.17	2
155	Pulaski	63.8%	5.34	74	\$941	4.64	49	54.0%	3.29	112	4.42	91
157	Rappahannock	62.5%	4.98	82	\$958	4.98	37	43.6%	0.91	119	3.62	107
159	Richmond County	60.5%	4.45	89	\$920	4.20	61	39.6%	0.00	120	2.88	118
161	Roanoke County	74.3%	8.13	8	\$1,042	6.72	11	58.7%	4.37	92	6.40	17
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	71.3%	7.34	17	\$866	3.08	88	67.2%	6.31	38	5.57	37
165	Rockingham/H'burg	73.3%	7.87	10	\$974	5.30	28	67.8%	6.44	33	6.54	12
167	Russell	61.2%	4.63	86	\$852	2.79	96	67.2%	6.31	39	4.58	81
169	Scott	58.4%	3.88	99	\$883	3.43	79	76.9%	8.52	5	5.28	53
171	Shenandoah	60.0%	4.31	93	\$927	4.34	56	70.4%	7.03	14	5.23	58

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	Smyth	58.5%	3.90	97	\$849	2.73	98	63.6%	5.48	60	4.04	98
175	Southampton	57.6%	3.68	103	\$827	2.28	109	54.3%	3.35	111	3.10	116
177	Spotsylvania	67.7%	6.38	41	\$1,028	6.43	14	68.5%	6.60	29	6.47	13
179	Stafford	58.9%	4.03	96	\$1,127	8.46	4	67.5%	6.38	34	6.29	19
181	Surry	71.1%	7.28	19	\$875	3.27	80	57.5%	4.09	102	4.88	73
183	Sussex	65.6%	5.81	62	\$956	4.93	41	63.2%	5.39	65	5.38	51
185	Tazewell	67.6%	6.34	44	\$812	1.97	114	65.4%	5.91	47	4.74	75
187	Warren	68.1%	6.47	39	\$1,012	6.09	19	68.8%	6.68	26	6.41	15
191	Washington	64.4%	5.48	67	\$894	3.67	75	58.6%	4.35	94	4.50	85
193	Westmoreland	64.3%	5.47	69	\$920	4.20	60	64.8%	5.75	51	5.14	62
195	Wise	63.2%	5.18	77	\$820	2.12	112	66.6%	6.17	41	4.49	86
197	Wythe	67.2%	6.24	46	\$841	2.57	100	66.7%	6.20	40	5.00	68
199	York/Poquoson	62.6%	5.00	80	\$894	3.67	74	59.6%	4.57	87	4.41	92
510	Alexandria	70.3%	7.06	24	\$1,076	7.42	7	77.1%	8.57	4	7.68	4
520	Bristol	67.6%	6.35	42	\$871	3.18	83	62.2%	5.16	71	4.90	72
540	Charlottesville	81.3%	10.00	1	\$921	4.21	59	65.5%	5.91	46	6.71	8
550	Chesapeake	72.4%	7.61	13	\$931	4.41	54	64.6%	5.72	52	5.92	26
590	Danville	63.9%	5.35	73	\$806	1.83	115	61.8%	5.07	72	4.08	97
620	Franklin City	64.1%	5.40	71	\$824	2.21	111	64.4%	5.67	53	4.42	90
630	Fredericksburg	74.8%	8.26	7	\$991	5.67	25	66.3%	6.11	45	6.68	9
640	Galax	63.0%	5.12	78	\$888	3.53	77	69.5%	6.84	17	5.16	61
650	Hampton	69.6%	6.89	30	\$917	4.13	63	63.6%	5.47	62	5.50	40
670	Hopewell	67.8%	6.40	40	\$922	4.23	58	63.6%	5.48	61	5.37	52
680	Lynchburg	69.4%	6.82	31	\$831	2.37	106	68.5%	6.60	28	5.26	56
683	Manassas	64.4%	5.50	66	\$1,106	8.05	5	70.8%	7.12	12	6.89	7
685	Manassas Park	72.5%	7.66	12	\$1,089	7.68	6	77.5%	8.67	3	8.01	3
700	Newport News	70.3%	7.06	25	\$930	4.41	55	69.1%	6.74	23	6.07	23
710	Norfolk	70.2%	7.04	26	\$865	3.05	89	67.4%	6.36	35	5.49	42
720	Norton	75.3%	8.41	5	\$747	0.61	119	71.3%	7.24	11	5.42	46
730	Petersburg	70.5%	7.11	23	\$955	4.91	42	70.3%	7.01	15	6.34	18
740	Portsmouth	71.2%	7.31	18	\$903	3.84	70	69.5%	6.83	19	5.99	24
750	Radford	69.8%	6.94	27	\$907	3.93	69	61.2%	4.94	75	5.27	55
760	Richmond City	69.7%	6.91	28	\$958	4.98	38	71.7%	7.35	10	6.41	16
770	Roanoke City	65.1%	5.68	64	\$972	5.26	29	62.3%	5.19	70	5.38	50
800	Suffolk	73.7%	7.98	9	\$873	3.21	81	68.0%	6.49	31	5.90	27
810	Virginia Beach	65.8%	5.85	60	\$954	4.90	43	69.3%	6.80	20	5.85	28
830	Williamsburg	69.3%	6.79	33	\$908	3.95	68	63.7%	5.52	57	5.42	47
840	Winchester	68.8%	6.65	36	\$966	5.14	32	58.8%	4.39	90	5.39	49

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores was begun in May 1999 to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

State Summary July 1, 2012 – August 31, 2012

	State	ED 1	ED 2	ED 3	ED 4	ED 5	ED 6	ED 7	ED 8	ED 9	ED 10	ED 11	ED 12	ED 13	ED 14	ED 15	ED 16	ED 17	ED 18
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹																			
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	2,175	106	82	165	69	31	242	29	51	83	98	91	306	87	34	230	68	385	18
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	94%	99%	94%	95%	87%	97%	90%	93%	98%	96%	99%	87%	94%	77%	94%	99%	93%	97%	83%
% Timely in August 2012	94%	99%	94%	95%	87%	97%	90%	93%	98%	96%	99%	87%	94%	77%	94%	99%	93%	97%	83%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²																			
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴																			
# Over 30 Days	110	0	0	1	9	1	13	5	1	1	1	9	19	41	2	2	2	3	0
Average # of Days Over 30	53	0	0	1	20	679	33	70	8	5	5	16	75	61	17	12	1	36	0

EDD1 – Southwest Region: July 1, 2012 - August 31, 2012

	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	106	7	3	24	16	9	10	34	3
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	90%	100%	100%
% Timely in August 2012	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	90%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD2 - Bristol-Galax Region: July 1, 2012 - August 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD2</i>	<i>Bland</i>	<i>Carroll</i>	<i>Grayson</i>	<i>Smyth</i>	<i>Wash.</i>	<i>Wythe</i>	<i>Bristol</i>	<i>Galax</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	82	0	7	2	14	11	4	32	12
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	94%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	75%	94%	83%
% Timely in August 2012	94%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	75%	94%	83%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD3 - Roanoke Region: July 1, 2012 - August 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD3</i>	<i>Alleghny/ Covington</i>	<i>Bote- tourt</i>	<i>Craig</i>	<i>Floyd</i>	<i>Franklin County</i>	<i>Giles</i>	<i>Mont- gomery</i>	<i>Pulaski</i>	<i>Roanoke County</i>	<i>Radford</i>	<i>Roanoke City</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹												
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	165	9	0	1	4	15	2	14	11	18	8	83
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	95%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	75%	93%
% Timely in August 2012	95%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	75%	93%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²												
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴												
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: July 1, 2012 - August 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD4</i>	<i>Aug/S'ton /W'boro</i>	<i>Bath</i>	<i>Highland</i>	<i>Rockbridge/ BV/Lex</i>	<i>Rockingham/ HBurg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	69	40	0	3	3	23
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	87%	93%	N/A	0%	0%	100%
% Timely in August 2012	87%	93%	N/A	0%	0%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	9	0	0	3	6	0
Average # of Days Over 30	20	0	0	12	24	0

EDD5 & EDD18 - Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: July 1, 2012 - August 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD5</i>	<i>Clarke</i>	<i>Frederick</i>	<i>Page</i>	<i>Shen.</i>	<i>Warren</i>	<i>Winchester</i>	<i>Total EDD18</i>	<i>Accomack</i>	<i>Nhampton</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹										
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	31	0	9	4	1	8	9	18	17	1
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	97%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	88%	100%	83%	82%	100%
% Timely in August 2012	97%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	88%	100%	83%	82%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴										
# Over 30 Days	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	679	0	679	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: July 1, 2012 - August 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD6</i>	<i>Arlington</i>	<i>Fairfax</i>	<i>Loudoun</i>	<i>Prince William</i>	<i>Alexandria</i>	<i>Manassas</i>	<i>Manassas Park</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹								
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	242	16	80	25	83	28	8	2
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	90%	100%	95%	92%	78%	96%	100%	100%
% Timely in August 2012	90%	100%	95%	92%	78%	96%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²								
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴								
# Over 30 Days	13	0	1	1	10	1	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	33	0	5	1	42	1	0	0

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: July 1, 2012 - August 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD7</i>	<i>Culpeper</i>	<i>Fauquier</i>	<i>Madison</i>	<i>Orange</i>	<i>Rapp.</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	29	15	9	1	3	1
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	93%	100%	89%	100%	100%	0%
% Timely in August 2012	93%	100%	89%	100%	100%	0%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	5	0	0	1	3	1
Average # of Days Over 30	70	0	0	64	86	29

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: July 1, 2012 - August 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD8</i>	<i>Albemarle</i>	<i>Fluvanna</i>	<i>Greene</i>	<i>Louisa</i>	<i>Nelson</i>	<i>Cville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	51	6	5	5	6	4	25
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	98%	100%	100%	100%	83%	100%	100%
% Timely in August 2012	98%	100%	100%	100%	83%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	8	0	0	0	8	0	0

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: July 1, 2012 - August 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD9</i>	<i>Amherst</i>	<i>Appom.</i>	<i>Bedford</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Lynchburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	83	16	7	13	18	29
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	96%	100%	100%	92%	100%	93%
% Timely in August 2012	96%	100%	100%	92%	100%	93%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	5	0	0	0	0	5

EDD10 - Danville Region: July 1, 2012 - August 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD10</i>	<i>Halifax</i>	<i>Henry/ Martinsville</i>	<i>Patrick</i>	<i>Pittsylvania</i>	<i>Danville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	98	11	34	11	15	27
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	99%	100%	97%	100%	100%	100%
% Timely in August 2012	99%	100%	97%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	1	0	1	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	5	0	5	0	0	0

EDD11 – Southside Region: July 1, 2012 - August 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD11</i>	<i>Amelia</i>	<i>Brunswick</i>	<i>Buckingham</i>	<i>Charlotte</i>	<i>Cumberland</i>	<i>Gr'nsville Emporia</i>	<i>Lunenburg</i>	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	<i>Nottoway</i>	<i>Pr. Edward</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹											
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	91	3	14	9	6	3	9	6	11	14	16
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	87%	100%	93%	100%	100%	67%	0%	83%	100%	100%	100%
% Timely in August 2012	87%	100%	93%	100%	100%	67%	0%	83%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²											
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴											
# Over 30 Days	9	0	0	0	0	1	8	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	16	0	0	0	0	2	18	0	0	0	0

EDD12 - Richmond Region: July 1, 2012 - August 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD12</i>	<i>Chesterfield/ Col Hgts</i>	<i>Goochland</i>	<i>Hanover</i>	<i>Henrico</i>	<i>Powhatan</i>	<i>Richmond City</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	306	61	1	11	82	4	147
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	94%	95%	100%	91%	93%	100%	95%
% Timely in August 2012	94%	95%	100%	91%	93%	100%	95%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	19	3	0	0	11	0	5
Average # of Days Over 30	75	65	0	0	92	0	41

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: July 1, 2012 - August 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD13</i>	<i>Caroline</i>	<i>King George</i>	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	<i>Stafford</i>	<i>Fredericksburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	87	4	6	33	28	16
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	77%	25%	100%	61%	93%	88%
% Timely in August 2012	77%	25%	100%	61%	93%	88%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	41	24	0	15	2	0
Average # of Days Over 30	61	77	0	27	116	0

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: July 1, 2012 - August 31, 2012

	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North- umberland	Richmond County	West- moreland
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹										
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	34	11	0	3	2	2	9	2	4	1
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	94%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	0%	100%	100%	100%	100%
% Timely in August 2012	94%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	0%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴										
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	17	0	0	0	0	17	0	0	0	0

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: July 1, 2012 - August 31, 2012

	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	230	0	8	5	2	5	72	134	4
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	99%	N/A	100%	80%	0%	100%	100%	100%	100%
% Timely in August 2012	99%	N/A	100%	80%	0%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	12	0	0	0	12	0	0	0	0

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: July 1, 2012 - August 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD16</i>	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	<i>Prince George</i>	<i>Surry</i>	<i>Sussex</i>	<i>Hopewell</i>	<i>Petersburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	68	8	10	3	5	18	24
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	93%	100%	100%	100%	100%	83%	92%
% Timely in August 2012	93%	100%	100%	100%	100%	83%	92%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	0	0	2	0
Average # of Days Over 30	1	0	0	0	0	1	0

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: July 1, 2012 - August 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD17</i>	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	<i>South- hampton</i>	<i>Chesapeake</i>	<i>Franklin City</i>	<i>Norfolk</i>	<i>Portsmouth</i>	<i>Suffolk</i>	<i>VA Beach</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	385	5	3	49	23	132	77	25	71
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	97%	100%	100%	96%	100%	96%	96%	96%	97%
% Timely in August 2012	97%	100%	100%	96%	100%	96%	96%	96%	97%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	3	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	36	0	0	15	0	0	22	0	72

Child Support Enforcement - Statewide Totals

Collections

	<i>Aug-11</i>	<i>Aug-12</i>	<i>% Change</i>
TANF Cases Collected	14,729	13,729	-6.8%
NTANF Cases Collected	129,350	129,240	-0.1%
Total Cases Collected	144,079	142,969	-0.8%
TANF Collections	\$2,970,735	\$2,661,648	-10.4%
NTANF Collections	\$48,898,021	\$49,209,936	0.6%
Total Collections	\$51,868,756	\$51,871,584	0.0%
SFY YTD Collections	\$102,249,609	\$105,343,450	3.0%
SFY YTD Average Collection	\$355.83	\$365.08	2.6%

Paternities Established

	<i>Aug-12</i>
TANF Paternities	219
NTANF Paternities	411
Combined Paternities	630

Diversionsary Assistance

August Diversionsary Assistance Cases

Locality	Number of Cases		Locality	Number of Cases
Albemarle	6		Patrick	2
Alleghany / Covington	3		Pittsylvania	3
Appomattox	2		Powhatan	1
Augusta / Staunt'n / W'boro	3		Prince George	1
Bedford City	2		Roanoke County	2
Buckingham	1		Rockingham / H'burg	2
Campbell	1		Shenandoah	11
Charlotte	1		Spotsylvania	4
Chesterfield/Colonial Hgts	6		Stafford	4
Clarke	1		Tazewell	1
Culpeper	6		Warren	5
Cumberland	5		Wythe	1
Dinwiddie	2		York / Poquoson	3
Fairfax / Falls Church	1		Bristol	5
Fauquier	1		Charlottesville	5
Franklin County	1		Chesapeake	6
Frederick	2		Danville	1
Gloucester	1		Franklin City	2
Goochland	1		Fredericksburg	1
Grayson	2		Galax	1
Greene	2		Hampton	7
Greensville / Emporia	1		Hopewell	8
Halifax	3		Lynchburg	1
Hanover	1		Newport News	23
Henrico	9		Norfolk	11
Henry / Martinsville	1		Petersburg	2
Loudoun	2		Portsmouth	5
Louisa	2		Richmond City	6
Mecklenburg	1		Roanoke City	7
Montgomery	1		Suffolk	18
Nelson	1		Virginia Beach	4
Orange	5		Winchester	1
			Statewide	231

Definitions of Selected Terms Contained in Report

Average Monthly Earnings. This is the average monthly earnings of the unsubsidized jobs that participants obtained. The value is calculated by multiplying the hourly wage by the weekly hours worked by 4.3 average weeks per month. For the cumulative figures the initial wage and initial hours are used. For the current figures, the initial wage and most current hours are used, except for the November 2001 report which used initial hours. Earlier than June 1999, wage changes that were entered overwrote the initial wage, so prior to that date, the cumulative figures used the initial hours and the last wage entered. With the advent of the VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) program in October 2006 the end of month average monthly earnings might be expected to decline somewhat because prior to VTP, cases with high earnings might remain open for job follow-up, but after the implementation of VTP the VIEW case would be closed when the TANF case was closed and a VTP case opened. VTP cases are not included in this report except for estimating the participation rate.

Average Wage. The average of the wages reported for each occurrence of unsubsidized employment. After June 1999 the wage is the wage at hiring, that is, the initial wage. Prior to June 1999, that wage may have been updated if changed during employment. After the implementation of the VIEW Transitional Payment program the end of month point in time average wage might be expected to decline some for the same reason mentioned in the explanation of possible decline in Average Monthly earnings, above. See note 6 on page 44.

Cases Closed with Employment. These are TANF or TANF-UP cases that are closed with an indication in the data base of employment at the date of closure. It does not mean that the case necessarily closed because of employment. For example, a TANF case may have an indication of closing at the client's request, along with indication of existing employment in VIEW. That case is counted as closed with employment.

EDD. This stands for Economic Development District. EDDs were developed during the administration of Governor Allen. They generally are no longer in use. This report continues to refer to them because VIEW was phased in by EDD and their use provides consistency over time.

Enrolled. Unduplicated number by locality of TANF recipients who were interviewed, received an individual assessment, signed the Agreement of Personal Responsibility, and enrolled in the VIEW program. Because the count is by locality, a recipient may be counted once in more than one locality. The fiscal year to date numbers include those who were enrolled at the beginning of the fiscal year as well as those enrolled during the year. There are clients enrolled in VIEW who have had their TANF case closed because VIEW policy requires that the enrollment remain open for job follow-up after employment unless the employment meets federal work participation guidelines, in which case the VIEW case is closed and a VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) case is opened.

Full Time Employment. Employment at 30 hours a week or greater.

Participants Assigned to Inactive. Inactive is a status assigned to participants who cannot participate in the VIEW program for reasons other than being exempt. Examples are unavailability of child care, unavailability of transportation, and mental or medical problems that make participation impractical. Inactive participants are due to be reviewed each month. While in inactive status, the 24 month time limit for TANF benefits is suspended.

Participants Employed. Total number of participants by locality who ever obtained an unsubsidized job, either full time or part time for the counts from inception. Other counts include subsidized employment. If employed more than one time, they are still only counted once, but may be counted in more than one locality. Also, these participants may have left their job and not gotten another one. For the year to date numbers, those employed at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first became employed in the fiscal year.

Participants in a Work Activity. Unduplicated count by locality of persons who have been in any of the following components: unsubsidized employment, full employment program (FEP), on-the-job training (OJT), community work experience program (CWEP), public service program (PSP), vocational education and training, and job search/job readiness. For example, a person who was in community work experience and then employed would be counted only once.

For the year to date numbers, those in a work activity at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first entered a work activity in the fiscal year. Note that this is a revised definition of work activity from reports through October 2006. The revised definition captures the activities that count toward the first 20 hours of federal participation requirements – the core work activities. Clients who are counted as being in a core work activity must meet additional participation rate requirements in order to be included in the work participation rate, and only VIEW clients are counted here, not all work eligible TANF clients **Thus, these percentages in a work activity are quite different from the percentages in the TANF work participation rate.**

Part Time Employment. Employment at fewer than 30 weekly hours.

Referred. Unduplicated number of TANF recipients by locality who were initially evaluated as eligible for VIEW and had their names referred to the automated VIEW list for participation.

Relationship Between TANF and VIEW Participation. On the chart at page 5a, the column heading “Total Adults in the Case” includes adults who are not considered to be part of the assistance unit. For example, a mother receiving SSI may be in the case, but because she receives SSI is not considered eligible for TANF and thus is not part of the assistance unit. Persons such as she who are not in the assistance unit are not eligible to participate in VIEW. Also included are adults who are part of the assistance unit but exempt from VIEW

Five Months Employment. In earlier reports, this represented the percent of all participants that were employed 150 days after their first employment. Participants who were employed in any job 150 days after their first employment were counted in this measure.. This measure became unavailable after July 1, 2011. See note 9 on page 44.

Three Months Employment. This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 90 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 90 days after their first employment are counted in this measure. For year to date percents, the calculations are based on the first employment that began one year before the end of the report month. That employment may not have been the first ever for the participant. The year to date percents were calculated differently in reports before September 2004. Also for year to date percents, transferred cases were not counted for months after the January 2002 report.

TANF / TANF-UP Payment Cases. Up to November 2003 these counts were taken from a monthly report with no adjustment. After that, the monthly counts included some cases that were being paid because child support payments had been received and were being passed on. These counts were adjusted to mostly eliminate those additional cases. Further adjustment may be appropriate. It should also be noted that the counts include both strictly TANF cases and MOE cases for some years. With the end of waiver provisions in July 2003, a substantial part of the caseload was placed in a state program and funded with MOE funds. This change in July 2003 was a change in funding, not a change in eligibility requirements or case payment amounts. It ended in September 2006 when VIEW transitional payments (VTP) were introduced. Adjustments have been made to take out VTP cases. Also, TANF-UP cases are currently funded with only state monies.

VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). Beginning in October 2006, VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) were introduced. These are payments of \$50 per client per month for one year to VIEW clients that leave “regular” TANF with employment sufficient to meet Federal work participation requirements. The employment must be maintained to be eligible for VTP. Previously, VTP clients normally would have remained VIEW clients for five or six months of job-follow-up. Thus, the VTP program has had a diminishing effect on some of the statistics in this report such as end of month percent employed and average wage. See graph on page 5a.

Time That Data Represent. The data in the top sections of page 4 and pages 8 – 24 are point in time data as of the date indicated. The year to date section represents state fiscal year to date data, with the exception of three month retention (see above). The bottom section is cumulative from when the locality began VIEW.

General Statement About Accuracy. The report is based on an extract from the Employment Services automated system. The extract is normally made the night of the first work day after the end of the report month. Data that staff of local social services agencies enter into the automated system after the time of the extract are not included in the report, even though the activity reflected actually occurred in the report month. However, that data, and the activity reflected, are included in the reports for subsequent months. Further, because the data are entered by staff in the 120 localities, the accuracy of the data in the data base is, of course, subject to inadvertent error.


- ¹ Applications are not necessarily acted upon in the month that they are received. Also, applications may be transferred from regular TANF to TANF-UP and vice versa as they are processed.
- ² These TANF cases paid counts are prior to possible adjustment. See definition of payment cases. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes.
- ³ VIEW enrolled counts do not include VTP clients
- ⁴ A case may be open in more than one locality during the time period.
- ⁵ Prior to June 1999, when individuals were transferred from one locality to another, their history was transferred as well. This may have an effect on a locality's cumulative VIEW statistics.
- ⁶ The minimum wage rose from \$6.55 to \$7.25 an hour on 7/24/09. The report reflects initial wages so some effect on wages in the report was delayed. The average wage includes full and part time, unless otherwise indicated. See the definition of average wage on page 42.
- ⁷ Includes full and part time earnings.
- ⁸ This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed three months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed three months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous. See definition on page 43 for the revised method of calculating the year to date percentages.
- ⁹ Until the July 2011 report, this was employed after five months. However, on July 1, 2011 the number of required job follow-ups was reduced to three. Thus, data for five month job retention became unavailable.
- ¹⁰ Number includes TANF-UP and represents cases carried over to the next month. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes, but with the advent of VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) such occurrences were reduced from earlier months.
- ¹¹ Enrollment is timely if done within 30 days of referral in previous month of the state fiscal year. The monthly percent includes evaluation of clients waiting in the queue. The year to date does not.
- ¹² Placement in a work activity on the 95th day after referral was a measure of timeliness before Oct 1, 2006. The revised definition of work activity has increased the percentage from reports before November 2006. Clients whose cases have closed before the 95th day are excluded, so 100% is an attainable mark.
- ¹⁴ As of the morning of September 1, 2012. Some referrals to the waiting list are not appropriate; there may be considerable lag time in correcting them..

This report is available on the Department of Social Services web site at:
http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial_assistance/tanf.cgi

The scores in the VIEW Rankings are determined by placing a locality on a scale of zero to ten in the same relative position as its place in the difference between the high and low of the measure in question. This converts all measures to a similar scale and provides a more equitable way to combine the ratings in each measure to determine a combined rank. (Questions, please call 804 726-7618.)

Comments on the format or content of the report and requests for Excel files for portions of current and past reports may be sent by e-mail to: william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov.


TANF and TANF-UP Cases Paid at Six Month Intervals, except last month June 1995 through August 2012



This graph illustrates the dramatic decline of the TANF caseload as VIEW was implemented. There was some sign of slowing in the rate of decline after June 1998. Nevertheless, wide community involvement, a strong economy, and the effect of the 24 month rule allowed the caseload to continue to fall until it was near the 30,000 level. There was little net change in the caseload from June 2000 to June 2002, but some upward movement was seen after June 2002, until 2005. The more recent trends are presumed to be a result of economic conditions. Of note is that the caseload leveled off after recession spurred growth and seems now in decline. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

Applications Received with Approval Percentage

Six Month Asymmetrical Moving Averages by Quarter, July 1995 through August 2012




This graph of application activity is presented as six month moving averages to produce smoother lines from which trends may be seen and in recognition that applications are not always acted on in the month received. The trends are not dramatic, but there seems to have been a general decline in the number of applications received until the July 2001 data. The decline in applications received after December 2004 and the more recent changes appear to be reflected in the case load trends.

No reason(s) for the apparent decline in percent approved has yet been identified.

Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

TANF Cases, VIEW Enrolled, and Employed VIEW Participants


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. August is the last month



This graph shows that the number enrolled in VIEW increased during early implementation and then generally tracked the number of cases. The effects of recent economic conditions are apparent. A VIEW case may remain open for some months after the TANF case closes, if job follow-up is being done and the case has not been converted to the VIEW Transitional Payment program. It is unlikely that the number employed will ever equal the number enrolled because there are always new enrollees without employment, and there are some enrollees in work activities, other than employment, that meet VIEW requirements. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months. It includes TANF-UP

Chart Displaying the Relationships Between TANF and VIEW Participation


Aug 31, 2012



This chart illustrates that there are a large number of adults who are exempt from VIEW and that many of the VIEW enrollees have had their TANF cases closed. They are now in a job follow-up phase of VIEW. They have not had their VIEW case closed and become enrolled in the VIEW Transitional Payment program. The source of this information is a monthly TANF extract from ADAPT and the monthly extract from ESPAS, the VIEW automated data system. The extracts were made at the beginning of September and represent end of August data. TANF-UP is included.

VIEW Percent Total Employed and Average Wage at End of Month


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. August is the last month.



This graph shows that the average wage has seen a general increase as the percent of VIEW employed initially increased and then leveled. Besides employment, VIEW participants may be in various other activities or initial assessment and job search. It is unlikely that the percent employed will rise more, and the recent economic conditions may have caused a decline. The wages shown are generally the initial wages. When the average wage was calculated at about the end of August it was \$8.32 rather than the \$8.21 initial wages used to calculate wages shown on this graph. See page 42 of the report for an explanation of why the VIEW average wage might decline after October 2006 and note 6 on page 44 for the effect of the increase in minimum wage. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.

Percent of Jobs Found During a Month That Were Full Time and Percent Part Time

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. August is the last month



This graph illustrates an initial trend of VIEW participants obtaining a greater percent of full time jobs than part time. After the initial months, the trend seems to be fairly level with an increase in the percent full time after TANF reauthorization which probably reflects increased emphasis on meeting the required TANF work participation rate. The more recent changes are presumed to be a result of economic developments. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.