

VIRGINIA INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM

MONTHLY REPORT

Including Graphs of Statewide Trends

September 2012

Prepared by:

Virginia Department of Social Services October 26, 2012

Monthly Report, September 2012

Table of Contents

Work Participation Estimates (from the VDSS data warehouse) (A work participation estimate percent should not be compared to a percent in a work activity in the main body of the report which includes only clients in VIEW and does not consider the number of hours in a work activity during a month)	i-vi
TANF, TANF-UP Case Activity.....	1
Graphs of Case Activity.....	at end
VIEW Work Activity	
Statewide	4
Graphs of Statewide Activity.....	at end
EDD1.....	8
EDD2.....	9
EDD3.....	10
EDD4.....	11
EDD5 & EDD18.....	12
EDD6.....	13
EDD7.....	14
EDD8.....	15
EDD9.....	16
EDD10.....	17
EDD11.....	18
EDD14.....	19
EDD1.....	20
EDD3.....	21
EDD11.....	22
EDD15.....	23
EDD17.....	24
VIEW Year to Date Rankings.....	25-27
VIEW Cumulative Rankings.....	28-30
VIEW Timeliness Measures.....	31-39
Child Support Enforcement.....	40
Diversion.....	41
VIEW Definition Sheet and Endnotes.....	42-44

Estimated Work Participation Rates for September

These are estimated locality September 2012 work participation rates that include clients receiving either TANF or VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). The official participation rate will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated September statewide rate is 41% with a numerator of 7,433 and a denominator of 18,000. The data for this month were not extracted on schedule, so for some localities, the numerator is less than it normally would have been.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	23	77	30%	061	Fauquier	19	55	35%
003	Albemarle	38	60	63%	063	Floyd	12	28	43%
005	Alleghany/Covington	20	59	34%	065	Fluvanna	12	24	50%
007	Amelia	13	34	38%	067	Franklin County	52	115	45%
009	Amherst	20	50	40%	069	Frederick	13	78	17%
011	Appomattox	23	47	49%	071	Giles	11	26	42%
013	Arlington	55	116	47%	073	Gloucester	17	44	39%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	145	251	58%	075	Goochland	15	38	39%
017	Bath	0	1	0%	077	Grayson	14	35	40%
019	Bedford County/City	45	93	48%	079	Greene	4	18	22%
021	Bland	4	4	100%	081	Greensville/Emporia	23	95	24%
023	Botetourt	5	16	31%	083	Halifax	32	78	41%
025	Brunswick	49	85	58%	085	Hanover	21	87	24%
027	Buchanan	15	46	33%	087	Henrico	270	876	31%
029	Buckingham	16	34	47%	089	Henry/Martinsville	77	236	33%
031	Campbell	59	168	35%	091	Highland	1	2	50%
033	Caroline	13	122	11%	093	Isle of Wight	15	55	27%
035	Carroll	33	53	62%	095	James City	33	103	32%
036	Charles City	2	9	22%	097	King and Queen	3	13	23%
037	Charlotte	22	62	35%	099	King George	11	21	52%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	222	549	40%	101	King William	14	23	61%
043	Clarke	1	5	20%	103	Lancaster	7	24	29%
045	Craig	4	8	50%	105	Lee	57	120	48%
047	Culpeper	38	83	46%	107	Loudoun	76	148	51%
049	Cumberland	14	39	36%	109	Louisa	15	52	29%
051	Dickensen	7	14	50%	111	Lunenburg	16	32	50%
053	Dinwiddie	19	52	37%	113	Madison	4	10	40%
057	Essex	15	41	37%	115	Mathews	8	19	42%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	330	761	43%	117	Mecklenburg	26	62	42%

Estimated Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent
119	Middlesex	18	31	58%	187	Warren	25	73	34%
121	Montgomery	63	137	46%	191	Washington	33	66	50%
125	Nelson	8	20	40%	193	Westmoreland	17	42	40%
127	New Kent	5	26	19%	195	Wise	67	152	44%
131	Northampton	19	51	37%	197	Wythe	27	60	45%
133	Northumberland	3	10	30%	199	York/Poquoson	36	87	41%
135	Nottoway	41	97	42%	510	Alexandria	112	238	47%
137	Orange	23	45	51%	520	Bristol	90	162	56%
139	Page	15	28	54%	540	Charlottesville	100	199	50%
141	Patrick	23	60	38%	550	Chesapeake	264	644	41%
143	Pittsylvania	24	74	32%	590	Danville	94	218	43%
145	Powhatan	8	25	32%	620	Franklin City	32	72	44%
147	Prince Edward	30	68	44%	630	Fredericksburg	44	99	44%
149	Prince George	8	51	16%	640	Galax	21	35	60%
153	Prince William	319	675	47%	650	Hampton	394	803	49%
155	Pulaski	31	63	49%	670	Hopewell	37	195	19%
157	Rappahannock	0	5	0%	680	Lynchburg	120	306	39%
159	Richmond County	0	14	0%	683	Manassas	21	63	33%
161	Roanoke County	64	135	47%	685	Manassas Park	7	19	37%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	14	32	44%	700	Newport News	452	1,060	43%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	66	154	43%	710	Norfolk	681	1,322	52%
167	Russell	35	89	39%	720	Norton	6	26	23%
169	Scott	37	75	49%	730	Petersburg	146	312	47%
171	Shenandoah	7	22	32%	740	Portsmouth	224	602	37%
173	Smyth	39	107	36%	750	Radford	4	37	11%
175	Southampton	2	56	4%	760	Richmond City	570	1,564	36%
177	Spotsylvania	92	272	34%	770	Roanoke City	198	571	35%
179	Stafford	78	161	48%	800	Suffolk	54	165	33%
181	Surry	10	25	40%	810	Virginia Beach	244	500	49%
183	Sussex	20	46	43%	830	Williamsburg	7	22	32%
185	Tazewell	47	117	40%	840	Winchester	29	59	49%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF and VTP recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rate presented here is the all families rate, i. e. the overall rate, including VTP..

Estimated TANF only Work Participation Rates for September

These are estimated locality September 2012 work participation rates that include only clients receiving TANF. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated September statewide rate is 34% with a numerator of 5,374 and a denominator of 15,736. VTP only estimates are on pages v and vi.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	<i>Accomack</i>	18	69	26%	061	<i>Fauquier</i>	12	46	26%
003	<i>Albemarle</i>	18	39	46%	063	<i>Floyd</i>	9	25	36%
005	<i>Alleghany/Covington</i>	17	56	30%	065	<i>Fluvanna</i>	7	19	37%
007	<i>Amelia</i>	10	31	32%	067	<i>Franklin County</i>	36	98	37%
009	<i>Amherst</i>	12	40	30%	069	<i>Frederick</i>	6	71	8%
011	<i>Appomattox</i>	17	41	41%	071	<i>Giles</i>	6	21	29%
013	<i>Arlington</i>	37	98	38%	073	<i>Gloucester</i>	13	40	33%
015	<i>Augusta/S'ton/W'boro</i>	95	200	48%	075	<i>Goochland</i>	11	32	34%
017	<i>Bath</i>	0	1	0%	077	<i>Grayson</i>	10	30	33%
019	<i>Bedford County/City</i>	33	81	41%	079	<i>Greene</i>	3	15	20%
021	<i>Bland</i>	1	1	100%	081	<i>Greensville/Emporia</i>	16	87	18%
023	<i>Botetourt</i>	4	15	27%	083	<i>Halifax</i>	22	68	32%
025	<i>Brunswick</i>	33	67	49%	085	<i>Hanover</i>	11	75	15%
027	<i>Buchanan</i>	14	43	33%	087	<i>Henrico</i>	183	779	23%
029	<i>Buckingham</i>	11	28	39%	089	<i>Henry/Martinsville</i>	52	208	25%
031	<i>Campbell</i>	39	147	27%	091	<i>Highland</i>	1	2	50%
033	<i>Caroline</i>	11	109	10%	093	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	8	47	17%
035	<i>Carroll</i>	25	45	56%	095	<i>James City</i>	25	93	27%
036	<i>Charles City</i>	2	9	22%	097	<i>King and Queen</i>	2	12	17%
037	<i>Charlotte</i>	17	57	30%	099	<i>King George</i>	8	18	44%
041	<i>Chesterfield/Col Hgts</i>	153	475	32%	101	<i>King William</i>	10	19	53%
043	<i>Clarke</i>	1	4	25%	103	<i>Lancaster</i>	4	19	21%
045	<i>Craig</i>	1	5	20%	105	<i>Lee</i>	40	101	40%
047	<i>Culpeper</i>	29	73	40%	107	<i>Loudoun</i>	28	100	28%
049	<i>Cumberland</i>	13	38	34%	109	<i>Louisa</i>	11	48	23%
051	<i>Dickensen</i>	5	11	45%	111	<i>Lunenburg</i>	13	28	46%
053	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	12	44	27%	113	<i>Madison</i>	4	10	40%
057	<i>Essex</i>	12	37	32%	115	<i>Mathews</i>	7	18	39%
059	<i>Fairfax/Falls Church</i>	221	645	34%	117	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	17	53	32%

Estimated TANF Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	16	29	55%	187	Warren	12	58	21%
121	Montgomery	43	117	37%	191	Washington	29	62	47%
125	Nelson	5	17	29%	193	Westmoreland	14	39	36%
127	New Kent	5	21	24%	195	Wise	54	139	39%
131	Northampton	17	49	35%	197	Wythe	13	44	30%
133	Northumberland	0	7	0%	199	York/Poquoson	28	79	35%
135	Nottoway	26	82	32%	510	Alexandria	75	198	38%
137	Orange	20	41	49%	520	Bristol	79	151	52%
139	Page	10	23	43%	540	Charlottesville	74	173	43%
141	Patrick	15	52	29%	550	Chesapeake	192	565	34%
143	Pittsylvania	23	73	32%	590	Danville	74	198	37%
145	Powhatan	5	21	24%	620	Franklin City	27	67	40%
147	Prince Edward	19	57	33%	630	Fredericksburg	23	78	29%
149	Prince George	5	48	10%	640	Galax	16	30	53%
153	Prince William	192	537	36%	650	Hampton	297	694	43%
155	Pulaski	23	55	42%	670	Hopewell	27	179	15%
157	Rappahannock	0	4	0%	680	Lynchburg	100	284	35%
159	Richmond County	0	13	0%	683	Manassas	9	49	18%
161	Roanoke County	30	101	30%	685	Manassas Park	2	14	14%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	10	28	36%	700	Newport News	347	944	37%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	30	116	26%	710	Norfolk	597	1,228	49%
167	Russell	26	80	33%	720	Norton	2	22	9%
169	Scott	29	67	43%	730	Petersburg	110	273	40%
171	Shenandoah	4	19	21%	740	Portsmouth	171	547	31%
173	Smyth	26	94	28%	750	Radford	0	33	0%
175	Southampton	1	53	2%	760	Richmond City	460	1,437	32%
177	Spotsylvania	68	233	29%	770	Roanoke City	119	490	24%
179	Stafford	55	138	40%	800	Suffolk	41	147	28%
181	Surry	7	22	32%	810	Virginia Beach	164	419	39%
183	Sussex	13	38	34%	830	Williamsburg	6	18	33%
185	Tazewell	32	100	32%	840	Winchester	21	51	41%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are for the TANF all family rate not including VTP.

Estimated VTP only Work Participation Rates for September

These are estimated locality September 2012 work participation rates that include only clients receiving VTP. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated September statewide rate is 91% with a numerator of 2,059 and a denominator of 2,264. TANF only estimates are on pages iii and iv.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	5	8	63%	061	Fauquier	7	9	78%
003	Albemarle	20	21	95%	063	Floyd	3	3	100%
005	Alleghany/Covington	3	3	100%	065	Fluvanna	5	5	100%
007	Amelia	3	3	100%	067	Franklin County	16	17	94%
009	Amherst	8	10	80%	069	Frederick	7	7	100%
011	Appomattox	6	6	100%	071	Giles	5	5	100%
013	Arlington	18	18	100%	073	Gloucester	4	4	100%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	50	51	98%	075	Goochland	4	6	67%
017	Bath	0	0	N/A	077	Grayson	4	5	80%
019	Bedford County/City	12	12	100%	079	Greene	1	3	33%
021	Bland	3	3	100%	081	Greensville/Emporia	7	8	88%
023	Botetourt	1	1	100%	083	Halifax	10	10	100%
025	Brunswick	16	18	89%	085	Hanover	10	12	83%
027	Buchanan	1	3	33%	087	Henrico	87	97	90%
029	Buckingham	5	6	83%	089	Henry/Martinsville	25	28	89%
031	Campbell	20	21	95%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	2	13	15%	093	Isle of Wight	7	8	88%
035	Carroll	8	8	100%	095	James City	8	10	80%
036	Charles City	0	0	N/A	097	King and Queen	1	1	100%
037	Charlotte	5	5	100%	099	King George	3	3	100%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	69	74	93%	101	King William	4	4	100%
043	Clarke	0	1	0%	103	Lancaster	3	5	60%
045	Craig	3	3	100%	105	Lee	17	19	89%
047	Culpeper	9	10	90%	107	Loudoun	48	48	100%
049	Cumberland	1	1	100%	109	Louisa	4	4	100%
051	Dickensen	2	3	67%	111	Lunenburg	3	4	75%
053	Dinwiddie	7	8	88%	113	Madison	0	0	N/A
057	Essex	3	4	75%	115	Mathews	1	1	100%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	109	116	94%	117	Mecklenburg	9	9	100%

Estimated VTP Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	2	2	100%	187	Warren	13	15	87%
121	Montgomery	20	20	100%	191	Washington	4	4	100%
125	Nelson	3	3	100%	193	Westmoreland	3	3	100%
127	New Kent	0	5	0%	195	Wise	13	13	100%
131	Northampton	2	2	100%	197	Wythe	14	16	88%
133	Northumberland	3	3	100%	199	York/Poquoson	8	8	100%
135	Nottoway	15	15	100%	510	Alexandria	37	40	93%
137	Orange	3	4	75%	520	Bristol	11	11	100%
139	Page	5	5	100%	540	Charlottesville	26	26	100%
141	Patrick	8	8	100%	550	Chesapeake	72	79	91%
143	Pittsylvania	1	1	100%	590	Danville	20	20	100%
145	Powhatan	3	4	75%	620	Franklin City	5	5	100%
147	Prince Edward	11	11	100%	630	Fredericksburg	21	21	100%
149	Prince George	3	3	100%	640	Galax	5	5	100%
153	Prince William	127	138	92%	650	Hampton	97	109	89%
155	Pulaski	8	8	100%	670	Hopewell	10	16	63%
157	Rappahannock	0	1	0%	680	Lynchburg	20	22	91%
159	Richmond County	0	1	0%	683	Manassas	12	14	86%
161	Roanoke County	34	34	100%	685	Manassas Park	5	5	100%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	4	4	100%	700	Newport News	105	116	91%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	36	38	95%	710	Norfolk	84	94	89%
167	Russell	9	9	100%	720	Norton	4	4	100%
169	Scott	8	8	100%	730	Petersburg	36	39	92%
171	Shenandoah	3	3	100%	740	Portsmouth	53	55	96%
173	Smyth	13	13	100%	750	Radford	4	4	100%
175	Southampton	1	3	33%	760	Richmond City	110	127	87%
177	Spotsylvania	24	39	62%	770	Roanoke City	79	81	98%
179	Stafford	23	23	100%	800	Suffolk	13	18	72%
181	Surry	3	3	100%	810	Virginia Beach	80	81	99%
183	Sussex	7	8	88%	830	Williamsburg	1	4	25%
185	Tazewell	15	17	88%	840	Winchester	8	8	100%

All VTP recipients are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” Thus, all VTP cases are in the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, job follow-up entries are reviewed to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. The basic requirement for VTP recipients is employment for at least 30 hours per week. The requirement is contained in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are also sometimes referred to as the rates for the maintenance of effort program caseload. .

TANF

TANF Case Activity	Total Statewide				
	Sep-12	Sep-11	Change from Sep-11	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	6,097	5,442	12%	4,807	27%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	3,995	3,287	22%	1,658	141%
Applications Approved	2,125	2,370	-10%	2,820	-25%
Cases Closed *	2,355	2,676	-12%	4,476	-47%
Cases at End of Month	30,556	32,478	-6%	67,995	-55%
Cases Paid During Month ²	31,567	33,667	-6%	70,403	-55%

•The database indicates that of the 2,355 closed cases, 802 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 681 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 191 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 139 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

TANF-UP

TANF-UP Case Activity	Total Statewide				
	Sep-12	Sep-11	Change from Sep-11	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	501	499	0.4%	338	48%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	386	300	29%	232	66%
Applications Approved	220	209	5%	81	172%
Cases Closed *	233	255	-9%	107	118%
Cases at End of Month	1,657	1,893	-12%	321	416%
Cases Paid During Month ²	1,774	2,030	-13%	394	350%

•The database indicates that of the 233 closed cases, 110 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 101 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 19 were closed after 24 months participation, and all 19 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

Statewide - Status through September 30, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/12 and Work Activity as of 9/28/12

Current Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	32,213	1,586	975	2,450	880	513	3,561	366	638	1,237	1,422	1,012	5,342	1,095	409	3,358	1,179	5,912	278
Total Enrolled in VIEW	12,804	521	452	836	313	212	1,348	116	297	563	435	449	2,228	578	159	1,422	485	2,299	91
Part. in a Work Activity	9,987	454	377	624	254	143	1,098	92	270	419	344	356	1,648	392	123	1,158	360	1,810	65
% of Enrolled	78%	87%	83%	75%	81%	67%	81%	79%	91%	74%	79%	79%	74%	68%	77%	81%	74%	79%	71%
Part. Assigned to Inactive	106	3	3	3	0	5	24	4	1	2	0	1	23	2	1	12	14	8	0
Participants Employed	6,499	285	200	494	216	104	659	65	155	280	207	212	1,022	289	91	740	243	1,186	51
% of Enrolled	51%	55%	44%	59%	69%	49%	49%	56%	52%	50%	48%	47%	46%	50%	57%	52%	50%	52%	56%
Full Time	5,192	257	176	428	189	86	517	53	111	197	169	151	767	207	74	565	194	1,011	40
Part Time	1,307	28	24	66	27	18	142	12	44	83	38	61	255	82	17	175	49	175	11
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.25	\$7.88	\$7.92	\$7.98	\$8.15	\$8.23	\$9.39	\$8.48	\$8.40	\$7.94	\$7.84	\$7.72	\$8.38	\$8.54	\$8.20	\$8.21	\$8.04	\$8.00	\$7.84
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,105	\$1,097	\$1,106	\$1,114	\$1,139	\$1,119	\$1,272	\$1,155	\$1,094	\$1,015	\$1,095	\$979	\$1,107	\$1,093	\$1,087	\$1,078	\$1,110	\$1,067	\$1,060

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – September 30, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	8,602	420	291	722	261	128	1,020	106	194	290	384	288	1,243	299	103	956	280	1,548	69
Total Enrolled in VIEW	17,745	747	603	1,266	452	304	1,923	194	405	763	671	628	2,909	753	226	2,006	649	3,120	126
Part. in a Work Activity	15,037	687	526	1,040	393	243	1,685	165	383	638	576	520	2,395	590	193	1,691	531	2,677	104
% of Total Enrolled	85%	92%	87%	82%	87%	80%	88%	85%	95%	84%	86%	83%	82%	78%	85%	84%	82%	86%	83%
Participants Employed	9,034	395	276	722	299	156	970	104	211	399	309	297	1,362	394	125	1,007	334	1,609	65
% of Total Enrolled	51%	53%	46%	57%	66%	51%	50%	54%	52%	52%	46%	47%	47%	52%	55%	50%	51%	52%	52%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.33	\$7.88	\$7.96	\$8.11	\$8.21	\$8.25	\$9.59	\$8.52	\$8.47	\$7.95	\$7.91	\$7.85	\$8.48	\$8.58	\$8.08	\$8.26	\$8.05	\$8.07	\$7.91
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,084	\$1,073	\$1,086	\$1,105	\$1,104	\$1,089	\$1,267	\$1,134	\$1,025	\$962	\$1,068	\$971	\$1,089	\$1,056	\$1,037	\$1,059	\$1,089	\$1,046	\$1,010
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	66%	63%	63%	72%	62%	75%	75%	68%	68%	66%	71%	74%	79%	66%	72%	72%	71%	74%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	278,597	17,185	10,493	20,787	8,198	5,375	34,636	3,957	6,040	10,485	12,890	8,033	37,163	8,744	3,678	26,570	9,377	52,452	2,534
Total Enrolled in VIEW ⁵	214,121	13,210	8,826	15,972	6,310	4,016	26,726	2,986	4,659	8,705	10,333	6,235	29,145	6,454	2,750	20,068	7,355	38,506	1,865
Part. in a Work Activity	204,706	12,716	8,464	15,212	6,136	3,801	25,789	2,884	4,552	8,219	9,990	5,954	27,982	6,095	2,574	19,103	7,011	36,421	1,803
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	96%	95%	97%	95%	96%	97%	98%	94%	97%	95%	96%	94%	94%	95%	95%	95%	97%
Participants Employed	144,475	8,294	5,696	10,843	4,378	2,615	18,801	2,005	3,472	5,938	6,332	3,789	19,623	4,237	1,724	13,885	5,009	26,783	1,051
% of Total Enrolled	67%	63%	65%	68%	69%	65%	70%	67%	75%	68%	61%	61%	67%	66%	63%	69%	68%	70%	56%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.19	\$6.25	\$6.36	\$7.12	\$7.19	\$7.39	\$8.71	\$7.49	\$7.43	\$6.64	\$6.43	\$6.81	\$7.39	\$7.96	\$7.04	\$7.07	\$7.03	\$6.89	\$6.76
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$949	\$824	\$862	\$957	\$956	\$988	\$1,155	\$987	\$947	\$858	\$857	\$878	\$987	\$1,021	\$897	\$922	\$947	\$900	\$851
Three Months Employment ⁹	67%	67%	62%	61%	67%	63%	71%	65%	63%	64%	63%	66%	70%	66%	57%	66%	66%	67%	72%

EDD1 - Southwest Region: Status through September 30, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/12 and Work Activity as of 9/28/12

Current Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,586	157	60	288	218	167	235	413	48
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	521	35	15	117	74	68	72	121	19
Participants in a Work Activity	454	32	13	105	65	57	68	102	12
% of Enrolled	87%	91%	87%	90%	88%	84%	94%	84%	63%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
Participants Employed	285	10	4	64	24	37	47	89	10
% of Enrolled	55%	29%	27%	55%	32%	54%	65%	74%	53%
Full Time	257	7	4	55	22	34	42	86	7
Part Time	28	3	0	9	2	3	5	3	3
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.88	\$7.08	\$7.44	\$7.52	\$7.67	\$7.76	\$8.72	\$7.94	\$7.75
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,097	\$919	\$1,133	\$1,015	\$1,011	\$1,054	\$1,251	\$1,142	\$1,039

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – September 30, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	420	39	13	68	65	40	75	107	13
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	747	51	21	155	113	86	107	190	24
Participants in a Work Activity	687	50	18	149	102	77	104	171	16
% of Total Enrolled	92%	98%	86%	96%	90%	90%	97%	90%	67%
Participants Employed	395	15	8	86	41	47	67	119	12
% of Total Enrolled	53%	29%	38%	55%	36%	55%	63%	63%	50%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.88	\$7.17	\$7.56	\$7.68	\$7.88	\$7.82	\$8.46	\$7.83	\$8.03
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,073	\$941	\$1,126	\$1,016	\$1,038	\$1,066	\$1,179	\$1,083	\$1,093
Three Months Employment ⁸	66%	75%	72%	71%	63%	72%	58%	64%	60%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	17,185	1,669	1,187	2,426	2,371	1,689	3,282	4,008	553
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	13,210	1,264	923	1,855	1,901	1,236	2,504	3,090	437
Participants in a Work Activity	12,716	1,185	871	1,843	1,809	1,213	2,416	2,980	399
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	94%	99%	95%	98%	96%	96%	91%
Participants Employed	8,294	669	555	1,212	1,157	721	1,693	1,962	325
% of Total Enrolled	63%	53%	60%	65%	61%	58%	68%	63%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.25	\$6.39	\$6.29	\$6.01	\$6.42	\$6.54	\$6.17	\$6.25	\$6.06
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$824	\$836	\$833	\$792	\$852	\$885	\$815	\$822	\$749
Three Months Employment ⁹	67%	63%	55%	72%	67%	77%	65%	67%	71%

EDD2 – Bristol-Galax Region: Status through September 30, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/12 and Work Activity as of 9/28/12

Current Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	975	13	119	52	179	179	95	275	63
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	452	1	48	19	79	53	32	189	31
Participants in a Work Activity	377	1	41	16	57	44	22	168	28
% of Enrolled	83%	100%	85%	84%	72%	83%	69%	89%	90%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0
Participants Employed	200	1	28	7	42	32	17	57	16
% of Enrolled	44%	100%	58%	37%	53%	60%	53%	30%	52%
Full Time	176	0	26	7	40	31	16	45	11
Part Time	24	1	2	0	2	1	1	12	5
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.92	\$8.00	\$7.66	\$7.75	\$8.19	\$7.93	\$7.50	\$7.94	\$8.13
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,106	\$866	\$1,042	\$1,143	\$1,238	\$1,142	\$1,029	\$1,063	\$1,036

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – September 30, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	291	4	29	13	68	35	28	94	20
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	603	4	65	27	114	80	36	235	42
Participants in a Work Activity	526	2	56	26	88	70	35	212	37
% of Total Enrolled	87%	50%	86%	96%	77%	88%	97%	90%	88%
Participants Employed	276	2	32	11	55	48	24	82	22
% of Total Enrolled	46%	50%	49%	41%	48%	60%	67%	35%	52%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.96	\$9.38	\$7.91	\$7.84	\$8.34	\$7.95	\$7.59	\$7.81	\$8.11
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,086	\$1,355	\$1,095	\$1,089	\$1,238	\$1,113	\$1,043	\$1,013	\$941
Three Months Employment ⁸	63%	40%	61%	62%	62%	70%	60%	60%	71%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	10,493	258	1,411	669	1,839	1,797	1,562	2,227	730
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	8,826	194	1,169	544	1,549	1,496	1,243	1,990	641
Participants in a Work Activity	8,464	182	1,079	507	1,487	1,460	1,221	1,917	611
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	92%	93%	96%	98%	98%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	5,696	117	778	355	903	962	833	1,344	404
% of Total Enrolled	65%	60%	67%	65%	58%	64%	67%	68%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.36	\$6.26	\$6.17	\$6.39	\$6.20	\$6.60	\$6.35	\$6.42	\$6.34
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$862	\$860	\$830	\$854	\$852	\$895	\$842	\$871	\$888
Three Months Employment ⁹	62%	56%	54%	61%	64%	59%	67%	62%	70%

EDD3 – Roanoke Region: Status through September 30, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/12 and Work Activity as of 9/28/12

Current Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	2,450	104	40	10	64	234	62	309	180	250	70	1,127
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	836	34	16	3	20	105	16	97	46	90	32	377
Participants in a Work Activity	624	28	7	3	19	63	9	81	40	80	28	266
% of Enrolled	75%	82%	44%	100%	95%	60%	56%	84%	87%	89%	88%	71%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	0
Participants Employed	494	22	7	1	15	42	5	73	31	57	24	217
% of Enrolled	59%	65%	44%	33%	75%	40%	31%	75%	67%	63%	75%	58%
Full Time	428	14	7	1	14	33	5	68	28	45	21	192
Part Time	66	8	0	0	1	9	0	5	3	12	3	25
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.98	\$8.10	\$7.61	\$8.00	\$8.20	\$8.10	\$7.52	\$7.80	\$7.77	\$8.15	\$7.81	\$8.03
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,114	\$1,042	\$1,116	\$1,039	\$1,131	\$1,093	\$1,043	\$1,090	\$1,102	\$1,083	\$973	\$1,159

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – September 30, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	722	33	4	3	17	61	22	77	37	71	25	372
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,266	50	21	4	31	140	31	145	71	130	43	600
Participants in a Work Activity	1,040	43	11	4	26	122	25	124	67	116	36	466
% of Total Enrolled	82%	86%	52%	100%	84%	87%	81%	86%	94%	89%	84%	78%
Participants Employed	722	30	8	1	19	65	12	107	48	87	28	317
% of Total Enrolled	57%	60%	38%	25%	61%	46%	39%	74%	68%	67%	65%	53%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.11	\$8.06	\$7.76	\$8.00	\$8.47	\$8.07	\$7.94	\$7.95	\$7.86	\$8.63	\$7.86	\$8.08
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,105	\$999	\$1,040	\$1,032	\$1,220	\$1,051	\$1,095	\$1,105	\$1,080	\$1,143	\$989	\$1,127
Three Months Employment ⁸	63%	54%	100%	50%	43%	67%	63%	63%	62%	58%	77%	65%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	20,787	1,156	349	95	538	1,826	531	2,940	1,816	2,275	815	8,446
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	15,972	876	220	55	391	1,404	386	2,406	1,436	1,821	637	6,340
Participants in a Work Activity	15,212	841	192	54	378	1,259	376	2,367	1,383	1,720	611	6,031
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	87%	98%	97%	90%	97%	98%	96%	94%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	10,843	619	96	28	259	867	223	1,893	916	1,355	448	4,139
% of Total Enrolled	68%	71%	44%	51%	66%	62%	58%	79%	64%	74%	70%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.12	\$6.88	\$7.32	\$7.24	\$7.01	\$7.04	\$7.07	\$6.95	\$6.80	\$7.64	\$7.02	\$7.20
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$957	\$838	\$937	\$1,039	\$936	\$950	\$955	\$936	\$942	\$1,043	\$907	\$972
Three Months Employment ⁹	61%	58%	59%	62%	55%	58%	54%	64%	54%	59%	61%	62%

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: Status through September 30, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/12 and Work Activity as of 9/28/12

Current Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	880	512	4	1	78	285
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	313	183	1	1	23	105
Participants in a Work Activity	254	155	0	1	16	82
% of Enrolled	81%	85%	0%	100%	70%	78%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	216	128	0	1	15	72
% of Enrolled	69%	70%	0%	100%	65%	69%
Full Time	189	112	N/A	1	13	63
Part Time	27	16	N/A	0	2	9
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.15	\$7.98	N/A	\$8.00	\$7.68	\$8.56
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,139	\$1,107	N/A	\$1,386	\$971	\$1,226

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – September 30, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	261	150	0	3	13	95
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	452	267	2	1	36	146
Participants in a Work Activity	393	236	2	1	24	130
% of Total Enrolled	87%	88%	100%	100%	67%	89%
Participants Employed	299	170	1	1	22	105
% of Total Enrolled	66%	64%	50%	100%	61%	72%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.21	\$8.06	\$7.25	\$8.00	\$7.91	\$8.53
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,104	\$1,056	\$1,091	\$1,376	\$981	\$1,204
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	72%	100%	N/A	69%	70%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	8,198	4,401	79	22	718	2,978
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	6,310	3,451	50	8	532	2,269
Participants in a Work Activity	6,136	3,381	50	8	491	2,206
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	100%	100%	92%	97%
Participants Employed	4,378	2,301	32	6	379	1,660
% of Total Enrolled	69%	67%	64%	75%	71%	73%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.19	\$7.19	\$6.56	\$7.79	\$6.75	\$7.31
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$956	\$960	\$717	\$784	\$866	\$974
Three Months Employment ⁹	67%	67%	61%	83%	67%	68%

VIEW Work Activity

EDDS 5 & 18 – Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: Status through September 30, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/12 and Work Activity as of 9/28/12

Current Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	513	18	167	58	40	118	112	278	193	85
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	212	4	68	32	12	47	49	91	48	43
Participants in a Work Activity	143	1	32	29	6	31	44	65	35	30
% of Enrolled	67%	25%	47%	91%	50%	66%	90%	71%	73%	70%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	0	0	3	1	0	1	0	0	0
Participants Employed	104	1	29	21	5	22	26	51	25	26
% of Enrolled	49%	25%	43%	66%	42%	47%	53%	56%	52%	60%
Full Time	86	1	21	19	5	17	23	40	20	20
Part Time	18	0	8	2	0	5	3	11	5	6
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.23	\$8.00	\$8.71	\$7.58	\$8.19	\$8.38	\$8.10	\$7.84	\$7.76	\$7.93
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,119	\$1,039	\$1,190	\$1,067	\$1,170	\$1,107	\$1,087	\$1,060	\$1,077	\$1,044

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – September 30, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	128	4	38	15	9	25	37	69	51	18
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	304	6	80	44	24	68	82	126	76	50
Participants in a Work Activity	243	4	58	38	17	53	73	104	62	42
% of Total Enrolled	80%	67%	73%	86%	71%	78%	89%	83%	82%	84%
Participants Employed	156	2	39	29	9	31	46	65	35	30
% of Total Enrolled	51%	33%	49%	66%	38%	46%	56%	52%	46%	60%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.25	\$8.38	\$8.83	\$7.59	\$8.27	\$8.40	\$8.07	\$7.91	\$7.84	\$7.99
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,089	\$1,269	\$1,156	\$996	\$1,203	\$1,110	\$1,050	\$1,010	\$1,034	\$983
Three Months Employment ⁸	62%	75%	58%	70%	73%	65%	58%	74%	66%	82%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	5,375	209	1,168	819	657	1,284	1,238	2,534	1,611	923
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	4,016	128	871	666	402	970	979	1,865	1,157	708
Participants in a Work Activity	3,801	119	812	651	388	900	931	1,803	1,129	674
% of Total Enrolled	95%	93%	93%	98%	97%	93%	95%	97%	98%	95%
Participants Employed	2,615	74	500	464	240	661	676	1,051	594	457
% of Total Enrolled	65%	58%	57%	70%	60%	68%	69%	56%	51%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.39	\$7.46	\$7.77	\$7.34	\$6.76	\$7.47	\$7.31	\$6.76	\$6.79	\$6.72
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$988	\$989	\$1,011	\$992	\$929	\$1,012	\$965	\$851	\$853	\$848
Three Months Employment ⁹	63%	63%	62%	60%	70%	69%	59%	72%	65%	80%

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: Status through September 30, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/12 and Work Activity as of 9/28/12

Current Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	3,561	251	1,435	209	1,072	402	150	42
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,348	69	490	133	460	143	46	7
Participants in a Work Activity	1,098	53	427	96	358	125	36	3
% of Enrolled	81%	77%	87%	72%	78%	87%	78%	43%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	24	2	9	1	3	7	2	0
Participants Employed	659	26	236	63	250	64	19	1
% of Enrolled	49%	38%	48%	47%	54%	45%	41%	14%
Full Time	517	17	188	38	203	53	17	1
Part Time	142	9	48	25	47	11	2	0
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.39	\$9.51	\$9.34	\$10.07	\$9.36	\$9.19	\$8.48	\$14.88
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,272	\$1,220	\$1,250	\$1,304	\$1,298	\$1,244	\$1,216	\$1,933

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – September 30, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	1,020	57	406	60	336	117	34	10
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,923	105	736	178	645	186	60	13
Participants in a Work Activity	1,685	92	679	144	545	166	50	9
% of Total Enrolled	88%	88%	92%	81%	84%	89%	83%	69%
Participants Employed	970	40	362	92	358	90	26	2
% of Total Enrolled	50%	38%	49%	52%	56%	48%	43%	15%
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.59	\$10.02	\$9.60	\$10.27	\$9.40	\$9.39	\$9.20	\$12.63
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,267	\$1,300	\$1,281	\$1,311	\$1,242	\$1,238	\$1,217	\$1,851
Three Months Employment ⁸	75%	60%	73%	87%	76%	81%	70%	86%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	34,636	2,906	12,282	2,459	11,112	3,957	1,484	436
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	26,726	2,274	9,273	1,964	8,630	3,123	1,147	315
Participants in a Work Activity	25,789	2,253	8,938	1,878	8,306	2,989	1,114	311
% of Total Enrolled	96%	99%	96%	96%	96%	96%	97%	99%
Participants Employed	18,801	1,633	6,251	1,517	6,243	2,192	738	227
% of Total Enrolled	70%	72%	67%	77%	72%	70%	64%	72%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.71	\$8.31	\$8.74	\$9.29	\$8.79	\$8.40	\$8.24	\$8.18
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,155	\$1,071	\$1,154	\$1,201	\$1,193	\$1,077	\$1,107	\$1,091
Three Months Employment ⁹	71%	69%	69%	72%	71%	77%	71%	78%

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: Status through September 30, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/12 and Work Activity as of 9/28/12

Current Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	366	156	85	28	89	8
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	116	54	27	4	27	4
Participants in a Work Activity	92	44	19	3	26	0
% of Enrolled	79%	81%	70%	75%	96%	0%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	0	4	0	0	0
Participants Employed	65	32	14	3	16	0
% of Enrolled	56%	59%	52%	75%	59%	0%
Full Time	53	25	12	3	13	N/A
Part Time	12	7	2	0	3	N/A
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.48	\$8.60	\$8.69	\$8.42	\$8.07	N/A
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,155	\$1,142	\$1,233	\$1,203	\$1,102	N/A

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – September 30, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	106	61	24	7	10	4
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	194	81	48	14	47	4
Participants in a Work Activity	165	72	43	10	39	1
% of Total Enrolled	85%	89%	90%	71%	83%	25%
Participants Employed	104	47	28	4	25	0
% of Total Enrolled	54%	58%	58%	29%	53%	0%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.52	\$8.56	\$8.90	\$8.13	\$8.08	N/A
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,134	\$1,119	\$1,251	\$1,234	\$1,013	N/A
Three Months Employment ⁸	75%	79%	66%	63%	89%	N/A

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	3,957	1,526	1,171	367	791	102
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	2,986	1,110	898	271	643	64
Participants in a Work Activity	2,884	1,068	889	264	602	61
% of Total Enrolled	97%	96%	99%	97%	94%	95%
Participants Employed	2,005	716	619	165	465	40
% of Total Enrolled	67%	65%	69%	61%	72%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.49	\$7.46	\$7.86	\$7.16	\$7.21	\$7.27
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$987	\$969	\$1,027	\$998	\$961	\$958
Three Months Employment ⁹	65%	66%	69%	61%	60%	44%

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: Status through September 30, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/12 and Work Activity as of 9/28/12

Current Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	Cville
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	638	119	53	46	94	41	285
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	297	35	22	15	40	14	171
Participants in a Work Activity	270	35	18	10	35	10	162
% of Enrolled	91%	100%	82%	67%	88%	71%	95%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
Participants Employed	155	23	9	8	19	5	91
% of Enrolled	52%	66%	41%	53%	48%	36%	53%
Full Time	111	19	7	4	14	4	63
Part Time	44	4	2	4	5	1	28
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.40	\$9.07	\$8.03	\$8.11	\$8.03	\$8.05	\$8.38
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,094	\$1,326	\$968	\$1,059	\$1,109	\$1,150	\$1,045

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – September 30, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	Cville
Individuals Referred	194	37	15	16	35	13	78
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	405	52	30	20	59	19	225
Participants in a Work Activity	383	50	25	17	55	16	220
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	83%	85%	93%	84%	98%
Participants Employed	211	33	11	10	26	7	124
% of Total Enrolled	52%	63%	37%	50%	44%	37%	55%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.47	\$8.96	\$7.98	\$7.99	\$8.41	\$8.39	\$8.44
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,025	\$1,164	\$846	\$1,041	\$974	\$1,080	\$1,010
Three Months Employment ⁸	68%	71%	70%	50%	60%	78%	70%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	Cville
Individuals Referred	6,040	1,399	270	469	955	310	2,637
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	4,659	1,010	187	334	703	218	2,207
Participants in a Work Activity	4,552	986	182	315	677	215	2,177
% of Total Enrolled	98%	98%	97%	94%	96%	99%	99%
Participants Employed	3,472	736	119	252	432	136	1,797
% of Total Enrolled	75%	73%	64%	75%	61%	62%	81%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.43	\$7.83	\$7.72	\$7.35	\$7.63	\$7.76	\$7.28
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$947	\$1,009	\$1,044	\$917	\$983	\$1,016	\$921
Three Months Employment ⁹	63%	57%	60%	57%	63%	63%	66%

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: Status through September 30, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/12 and Work Activity as of 9/28/12

Current Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,237	90	76	180	297	594
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	563	32	39	81	165	246
Participants in a Work Activity	419	25	35	61	125	173
% of Enrolled	74%	78%	90%	75%	76%	70%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	1	0	0	1	0
Participants Employed	280	20	23	33	74	130
% of Enrolled	50%	63%	59%	41%	45%	53%
Full Time	197	14	23	22	44	94
Part Time	83	6	0	11	30	36
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.94	\$7.81	\$7.79	\$7.96	\$8.07	\$7.91
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,015	\$930	\$1,102	\$986	\$978	\$1,041

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – September 30, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	290	34	25	48	50	133
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	763	45	55	105	226	332
Participants in a Work Activity	638	37	52	80	202	267
% of Total Enrolled	84%	82%	95%	76%	89%	80%
Participants Employed	399	30	33	49	112	175
% of Total Enrolled	52%	67%	60%	47%	50%	53%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.95	\$7.90	\$7.82	\$8.03	\$8.11	\$7.86
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$962	\$932	\$1,102	\$909	\$930	\$977
Three Months Employment ⁸	68%	52%	86%	69%	69%	66%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	10,485	979	818	2,108	2,442	4,138
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	8,705	789	715	1,697	2,095	3,409
Participants in a Work Activity	8,219	750	702	1,577	1,976	3,214
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	98%	93%	94%	94%
Participants Employed	5,938	528	505	1,096	1,436	2,373
% of Total Enrolled	68%	67%	71%	65%	69%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.64	\$6.84	\$6.30	\$6.70	\$6.84	\$6.53
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$858	\$871	\$871	\$857	\$897	\$832
Three Months Employment ⁹	64%	60%	56%	61%	64%	69%

EDD10 - Danville Region: Status through September 30, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/12 and Work Activity as of 9/28/12

Current Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
TANF Cases End of Month¹⁰	1,422	200	467	97	211	447
Total Enrolled in VIEW³	435	42	159	68	41	125
Participants in a Work Activity	344	35	122	50	29	108
% of Enrolled	79%	83%	77%	74%	71%	86%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	207	21	73	40	22	51
% of Enrolled	48%	50%	46%	59%	54%	41%
Full Time	169	17	59	30	19	44
Part Time	38	4	14	10	3	7
Average Wage⁶	\$7.84	\$7.41	\$7.77	\$7.73	\$8.28	\$7.99
Average Monthly Earnings⁷	\$1,095	\$981	\$1,111	\$1,079	\$1,143	\$1,111

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – September 30, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	384	35	129	29	65	126
Total Enrolled in VIEW³	671	65	234	92	83	197
Participants in a Work Activity	576	51	191	78	72	184
% of Total Enrolled	86%	78%	82%	85%	87%	93%
Participants Employed	309	28	109	55	35	82
% of Total Enrolled	46%	43%	47%	60%	42%	42%
Average Wage⁶	\$7.91	\$7.88	\$7.81	\$7.71	\$8.06	\$8.13
Average Monthly Earnings⁷	\$1,068	\$1,015	\$1,057	\$1,031	\$1,116	\$1,103
Three Months Employment⁸	66%	59%	66%	71%	48%	75%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	12,890	1,980	3,486	1,269	2,113	4,042
Total Enrolled in VIEW^{3,5}	10,333	1,646	2,658	1,103	1,613	3,313
Participants in a Work Activity	9,990	1,590	2,545	1,038	1,583	3,234
% of Total Enrolled	97%	97%	96%	94%	98%	98%
Participants Employed	6,332	931	1,678	741	870	2,112
% of Total Enrolled	61%	57%	63%	67%	54%	64%
Average Wage⁶	\$6.43	\$6.38	\$6.83	\$6.36	\$6.43	\$6.18
Average Monthly Earnings⁷	\$857	\$840	\$941	\$829	\$870	\$806
Three Months Employment⁹	63%	58%	64%	75%	60%	62%

EDD11 - Southside Region: Status through September 30, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/12 and Work Activity as of 9/28/12

Current Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,012	67	114	65	84	67	144	65	151	127	128
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	449	27	62	28	41	34	68	21	39	72	57
Participants in a Work Activity	356	25	50	26	38	29	45	17	34	47	45
% of Enrolled	79%	93%	81%	93%	93%	85%	66%	81%	87%	65%	79%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Participants Employed	212	18	29	15	14	15	25	9	27	35	25
% of Enrolled	47%	67%	47%	54%	34%	44%	37%	43%	69%	49%	44%
Full Time	151	15	23	13	11	7	10	7	17	26	22
Part Time	61	3	6	2	3	8	15	2	10	9	3
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.72	\$8.37	\$7.41	\$8.13	\$7.72	\$8.21	\$7.19	\$7.56	\$7.57	\$7.74	\$7.81
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$979	\$1,137	\$971	\$1,138	\$992	\$947	\$705	\$1,194	\$911	\$950	\$1,098

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – September 30, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	288	18	40	24	20	15	41	19	35	33	43
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	628	41	85	45	59	43	78	38	60	93	86
Participants in a Work Activity	520	33	70	41	53	37	59	30	52	70	75
% of Total Enrolled	83%	80%	82%	91%	90%	86%	76%	79%	87%	75%	87%
Participants Employed	297	21	41	23	21	21	30	16	36	47	41
% of Total Enrolled	47%	51%	48%	51%	36%	49%	38%	42%	60%	51%	48%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.85	\$8.31	\$7.67	\$8.24	\$7.64	\$8.64	\$7.51	\$7.55	\$7.59	\$7.78	\$7.89
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$971	\$961	\$969	\$1,183	\$923	\$1,054	\$804	\$1,003	\$917	\$940	\$1,028
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	85%	88%	68%	48%	79%	75%	45%	58%	85%	76%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	8,033	519	1004	779	700	540	943	369	1165	962	1052
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	6,235	378	805	591	517	396	750	287	915	743	853
Participants in a Work Activity	5,954	357	799	557	479	376	710	282	875	687	832
% of Total Enrolled	95%	94%	99%	94%	93%	95%	95%	98%	96%	92%	98%
Participants Employed	3,789	225	453	335	264	230	429	142	612	498	601
% of Total Enrolled	61%	60%	56%	57%	51%	58%	57%	49%	67%	67%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.81	\$7.46	\$6.28	\$7.06	\$7.25	\$7.33	\$6.74	\$6.43	\$6.61	\$6.81	\$6.78
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$878	\$959	\$827	\$946	\$926	\$951	\$819	\$799	\$840	\$899	\$883
Three Months Employment ⁹	66%	65%	67%	62%	56%	58%	64%	61%	68%	70%	68%

EDD12 - Richmond Region: Status through September 30, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/12 and Work Activity as of 9/28/12

Current Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	5,342	1,007	41	150	1,431	51	2,662
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,228	348	27	78	769	19	987
Participants in a Work Activity	1,648	267	23	43	514	13	788
% of Enrolled	74%	77%	85%	55%	67%	68%	80%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	23	2	1	3	6	2	9
Participants Employed	1,022	174	9	26	370	7	436
% of Enrolled	46%	50%	33%	33%	48%	37%	44%
Full Time	767	144	3	18	256	7	339
Part Time	255	30	6	8	114	0	97
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.38	\$8.61	\$7.71	\$8.58	\$8.48	\$9.94	\$8.19
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,107	\$1,193	\$829	\$1,092	\$1,104	\$1,415	\$1,077

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – September 30, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	1,243	254	9	50	293	16	621
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,909	489	28	87	968	24	1,313
Participants in a Work Activity	2,395	409	26	63	758	22	1,117
% of Total Enrolled	82%	84%	93%	72%	78%	92%	85%
Participants Employed	1,362	251	12	34	493	11	561
% of Total Enrolled	47%	51%	43%	39%	51%	46%	43%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.48	\$8.74	\$7.60	\$8.67	\$8.53	\$9.49	\$8.31
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,089	\$1,152	\$878	\$1,089	\$1,080	\$1,337	\$1,071
Three Months Employment ⁸	74%	74%	69%	73%	80%	80%	70%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	37,163	7,690	282	1294	9,241	363	18,293
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	29,145	5,836	210	930	7,534	263	14,372
Participants in a Work Activity	27,982	5,632	200	857	7,120	247	13,926
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	95%	92%	95%	94%	97%
Participants Employed	19,623	3,692	144	585	5,034	144	10,024
% of Total Enrolled	67%	63%	69%	63%	67%	55%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.39	\$7.66	\$7.10	\$8.22	\$7.69	\$7.69	\$7.13
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$987	\$1,025	\$894	\$1,053	\$1,021	\$966	\$958
Three Months Employment ⁹	70%	69%	65%	66%	66%	64%	72%

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: Status through September 30, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/12 and Work Activity as of 9/28/12

Current Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,095	193	54	396	305	147
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	578	113	16	218	151	80
Participants in a Work Activity	392	44	13	151	119	65
% of Enrolled	68%	39%	81%	69%	79%	81%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	0	1	0	1
Participants Employed	289	42	6	117	77	47
% of Enrolled	50%	37%	38%	54%	51%	59%
Full Time	207	34	6	85	55	27
Part Time	82	8	0	32	22	20
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.54	\$8.09	\$8.18	\$8.33	\$9.06	\$8.63
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,093	\$1,081	\$1,136	\$1,091	\$1,113	\$1,073

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – September 30, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	299	38	17	109	88	47
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	753	130	23	268	201	131
Participants in a Work Activity	590	61	22	232	167	108
% of Total Enrolled	78%	47%	96%	87%	83%	82%
Participants Employed	394	51	9	156	105	73
% of Total Enrolled	52%	39%	39%	58%	52%	56%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.58	\$8.07	\$8.27	\$8.33	\$9.11	\$8.72
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,056	\$1,034	\$1,030	\$1,037	\$1,115	\$1,026
Three Months Employment ⁸	79%	87%	53%	89%	73%	68%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	8,744	1,331	523	2,666	2,531	1,693
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	6,454	926	355	2,091	1,650	1,432
Participants in a Work Activity	6,095	884	337	1,922	1,578	1,374
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	95%	92%	96%	96%
Participants Employed	4,237	557	214	1,417	973	1,076
% of Total Enrolled	66%	60%	60%	68%	59%	75%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.96	\$7.58	\$7.40	\$8.00	\$8.54	\$7.79
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,021	\$957	\$920	\$1,028	\$1,124	\$990
Three Months Employment ⁹	66%	57%	58%	69%	68%	66%

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: Status through September 30, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/12 and Work Activity as of 9/28/12

Current Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	409	78	25	41	44	23	51	33	39	75
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	159	34	7	18	9	13	35	5	11	27
Participants in a Work Activity	123	22	5	18	6	12	30	2	8	20
% of Enrolled	77%	65%	71%	100%	67%	92%	86%	40%	73%	74%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Participants Employed	91	16	4	14	5	10	19	1	4	18
% of Enrolled	57%	47%	57%	78%	56%	77%	54%	20%	36%	67%
Full Time	74	9	4	11	5	7	16	1	3	18
Part Time	17	7	0	3	0	3	3	0	1	0
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.20	\$9.45	\$7.50	\$8.25	\$8.94	\$7.96	\$7.65	\$7.00	\$7.69	\$7.90
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,087	\$1,096	\$1,045	\$1,122	\$1,188	\$1,053	\$1,102	\$970	\$1,077	\$1,045

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – September 30, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	103	19	4	8	8	4	30	4	9	17
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	226	49	7	29	15	16	47	11	15	37
Participants in a Work Activity	193	44	6	27	13	14	42	8	11	28
% of Total Enrolled	85%	90%	86%	93%	87%	88%	89%	73%	73%	76%
Participants Employed	125	25	4	16	8	11	23	6	8	24
% of Total Enrolled	55%	51%	57%	55%	53%	69%	49%	55%	53%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.08	\$8.86	\$7.50	\$8.29	\$8.31	\$7.90	\$7.68	\$7.62	\$7.55	\$7.97
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,037	\$992	\$967	\$1,118	\$1,106	\$1,084	\$1,073	\$951	\$903	\$1,022
Three Months Employment ⁸	66%	60%	50%	92%	42%	100%	74%	50%	67%	65%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	3,678	567	274	331	408	207	437	315	332	807
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	2,750	452	184	272	319	143	324	259	201	596
Participants in a Work Activity	2,574	432	174	260	298	137	297	251	188	537
% of Total Enrolled	94%	96%	95%	96%	93%	96%	92%	97%	94%	90%
Participants Employed	1,724	303	94	160	210	94	210	149	122	382
% of Total Enrolled	63%	67%	51%	59%	66%	66%	65%	58%	61%	64%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.04	\$7.09	\$7.01	\$7.43	\$6.81	\$7.04	\$7.07	\$6.68	\$6.95	\$7.08
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$897	\$868	\$866	\$978	\$856	\$900	\$911	\$858	\$919	\$920
Three Months Employment ⁹	57%	49%	47%	61%	52%	69%	70%	53%	40%	65%

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: Status through September 30, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/12 and Work Activity as of 9/28/12

Current Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	NewKent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	3,358	20	91	153	46	127	1,186	1,703	32
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,422	7	28	64	18	78	506	705	16
Participants in a Work Activity	1,158	3	22	49	10	63	435	566	10
% of Enrolled	81%	43%	79%	77%	56%	81%	86%	80%	63%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	12	0	0	2	1	2	5	2	0
Participants Employed	740	3	16	36	9	36	253	380	7
% of Enrolled	52%	43%	57%	56%	50%	46%	50%	54%	44%
Full Time	565	2	15	25	8	16	182	312	5
Part Time	175	1	1	11	1	20	71	68	2
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.21	\$6.35	\$7.80	\$8.34	\$9.67	\$8.36	\$8.19	\$8.20	\$8.21
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,078	\$912	\$1,026	\$1,022	\$1,467	\$909	\$1,042	\$1,119	\$1,032

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – September 30, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	956	2	26	49	7	29	310	516	17
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,006	8	39	94	24	97	669	1,052	23
Participants in a Work Activity	1,691	5	32	73	15	85	600	866	15
% of Total Enrolled	84%	63%	82%	78%	63%	88%	90%	82%	65%
Participants Employed	1,007	5	20	53	10	46	348	515	10
% of Total Enrolled	50%	63%	51%	56%	42%	47%	52%	49%	43%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.26	\$7.32	\$7.76	\$8.97	\$10.15	\$8.23	\$8.13	\$8.27	\$8.42
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,059	\$1,147	\$982	\$1,133	\$1,471	\$870	\$1,005	\$1,099	\$1,008
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	80%	44%	57%	73%	75%	77%	71%	75%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	26,570	165	1,069	1,137	343	1,101	9,229	13,139	387
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	20,068	120	756	904	244	841	6,831	10,088	284
Participants in a Work Activity	19,103	113	705	837	232	808	6,657	9,487	264
% of Total Enrolled	95%	94%	93%	93%	95%	96%	97%	94%	93%
Participants Employed	13,885	68	496	626	137	524	4,760	7,078	196
% of Total Enrolled	69%	57%	66%	69%	56%	62%	70%	70%	69%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.07	\$7.12	\$6.87	\$7.50	\$7.58	\$7.29	\$7.07	\$7.02	\$7.25
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$922	\$943	\$851	\$918	\$1,034	\$894	\$917	\$931	\$909
Three Months Employment ⁹	66%	54%	59%	60%	72%	60%	64%	69%	64%

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: Status through September 30, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/12 and Work Activity as of 9/28/12

Current Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,179	124	86	57	65	307	540
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	485	20	38	26	28	161	212
Participants in a Work Activity	360	17	24	17	25	110	167
% of Enrolled	74%	85%	63%	65%	89%	68%	79%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	14	0	2	0	0	11	1
Participants Employed	243	12	14	10	18	70	119
% of Enrolled	50%	60%	37%	38%	64%	43%	56%
Full Time	194	9	7	5	16	51	106
Part Time	49	3	7	5	2	19	13
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.04	\$7.65	\$8.68	\$7.94	\$7.85	\$7.85	\$8.16
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,110	\$1,064	\$1,059	\$941	\$1,113	\$1,048	\$1,172

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – September 30, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	280	35	26	11	19	76	113
Total Enrolled in VIEW	649	32	53	38	47	204	275
Participants in a Work Activity	531	31	40	29	39	155	237
% of Total Enrolled	82%	97%	75%	76%	83%	76%	86%
Participants Employed	334	23	21	16	26	93	155
% of Total Enrolled	51%	72%	40%	42%	55%	46%	56%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.05	\$7.91	\$8.66	\$8.16	\$8.29	\$7.68	\$8.15
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,089	\$1,183	\$1,070	\$878	\$1,159	\$1,017	\$1,131
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	75%	65%	80%	57%	69%	76%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	9,377	1,198	844	371	746	2,352	3,866
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	7,355	803	641	305	604	1,893	3,109
Participants in a Work Activity	7,011	800	552	290	581	1,805	2,983
% of Total Enrolled	95%	100%	86%	95%	96%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	5,009	533	383	215	396	1,285	2,197
% of Total Enrolled	68%	66%	60%	70%	66%	68%	71%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.03	\$6.92	\$7.52	\$7.26	\$7.02	\$6.90	\$7.04
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$947	\$965	\$995	\$874	\$956	\$924	\$956
Three Months Employment ⁹	66%	59%	59%	57%	63%	63%	70%

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: Status through September 30, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 9/30/12 and Work Activity as of 9/28/12

Current Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	5,912	127	116	995	133	2,119	1,112	380	930
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,299	35	39	391	48	976	392	105	313
Participants in a Work Activity	1,810	20	28	314	42	755	316	86	249
% of Enrolled	79%	57%	72%	80%	88%	77%	81%	82%	80%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	8	0	0	2	0	2	4	0	0
Participants Employed	1,186	15	21	218	21	493	186	63	169
% of Enrolled	52%	43%	54%	56%	44%	51%	47%	60%	54%
Full Time	1,011	12	12	182	20	445	151	52	137
Part Time	175	3	9	36	1	48	35	11	32
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.00	\$7.42	\$7.21	\$8.06	\$8.77	\$8.01	\$7.87	\$7.77	\$8.15
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,067	\$978	\$894	\$1,103	\$1,404	\$1,050	\$1,073	\$1,007	\$1,073

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – September 30, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	1,548	28	16	247	53	532	261	99	312
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	3,120	54	48	548	74	1,231	553	144	468
Participants in a Work Activity	2,677	40	45	480	70	1,046	476	122	398
% of Total Enrolled	86%	74%	94%	88%	95%	85%	86%	85%	85%
Participants Employed	1,609	23	27	307	32	645	250	83	242
% of Total Enrolled	52%	43%	56%	56%	43%	52%	45%	58%	52%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.07	\$7.75	\$7.85	\$8.12	\$8.99	\$8.03	\$7.94	\$7.96	\$8.24
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,046	\$921	\$944	\$1,053	\$1,327	\$1,037	\$1,053	\$999	\$1,057
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	55%	62%	74%	55%	74%	70%	70%	66%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	52,452	1,192	990	8,438	837	16,852	8,489	3,307	12,347
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	38,506	861	796	6,192	669	12,254	6,728	2,576	8,430
Participants in a Work Activity	36,421	825	762	5,899	658	11,571	6,341	2,544	7,821
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	96%	95%	98%	94%	94%	99%	93%
Participants Employed	26,783	589	462	4,478	431	8,593	4,790	1,895	5,545
% of Total Enrolled	70%	68%	58%	72%	64%	70%	71%	74%	66%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.89	\$6.90	\$6.72	\$7.07	\$6.58	\$6.78	\$6.77	\$6.72	\$7.21
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$900	\$910	\$830	\$931	\$832	\$866	\$904	\$873	\$955
Three Months Employment ⁹	67%	60%	54%	65%	64%	67%	69%	68%	69%

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date

This section lists the relative state fiscal year to date rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Wage, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	46.1%	4.61	81	\$7.84	1.23	90	65.7%	4.29	74	3.37	91
003	Albemarle	63.5%	6.35	14	\$8.96	3.28	14	71.1%	5.18	50	4.93	18
005	Alleghany/Covington	60.0%	6.00	20	\$8.06	1.63	61	53.6%	2.26	105	3.30	96
007	Amelia	51.2%	5.12	57	\$8.31	2.09	37	85.0%	7.50	13	4.90	19
009	Amherst	66.7%	6.67	8	\$7.90	1.34	81	51.7%	1.95	107	3.32	93
011	Appomattox	60.0%	6.00	21	\$7.82	1.19	93	86.2%	7.70	11	4.96	15
013	Arlington	38.1%	3.81	106	\$10.02	5.22	4	60.0%	3.33	90	4.12	48
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	63.7%	6.37	13	\$8.06	1.63	62	72.2%	5.36	46	4.45	31
017	Bath	50.0%	5.00	62	\$7.25	0.15	118	100.0%	10.00	1	5.05	12
019	Bedford County/City	46.7%	4.67	78	\$8.03	1.58	64	69.2%	4.87	63	3.70	73
021	Bland	50.0%	5.00	63	\$9.38	4.05	9	40.0%	0.00	118	3.02	101
023	Botetourt	38.1%	3.81	107	\$7.76	1.08	98	100.0%	10.00	2	4.96	16
025	Brunswick	48.2%	4.82	75	\$7.67	0.92	105	87.5%	7.92	8	4.55	29
027	Buchanan	29.4%	2.94	116	\$7.17	0.00	119	75.0%	5.83	29	2.92	104
029	Buckingham	51.1%	5.11	58	\$8.24	1.96	45	68.2%	4.70	67	3.92	59
031	Campbell	49.6%	4.96	66	\$8.11	1.72	54	69.2%	4.86	64	3.85	64
033	Caroline	39.2%	3.92	101	\$8.07	1.65	58	86.8%	7.81	10	4.46	30
035	Carroll	49.2%	4.92	67	\$7.91	1.36	78	61.0%	3.50	88	3.26	99
036	Charles City	62.5%	6.25	17	\$7.32	0.27	117	80.0%	6.67	17	4.40	34
037	Charlotte	35.6%	3.56	113	\$7.64	0.86	106	47.8%	1.31	112	1.91	118
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	51.3%	5.13	55	\$8.74	2.88	18	74.1%	5.69	36	4.57	27
043	Clarke	33.3%	3.33	115	\$8.38	2.22	34	75.0%	5.83	30	3.79	69
045	Craig	25.0%	2.50	118	\$8.00	1.52	67	50.0%	1.67	108	1.90	119
047	Culpeper	58.0%	5.80	28	\$8.56	2.55	24	79.1%	6.51	21	4.95	17
049	Cumberland	48.8%	4.88	71	\$8.64	2.69	22	78.6%	6.43	22	4.67	25
051	Dickensen	38.1%	3.81	108	\$7.56	0.71	112	72.2%	5.37	45	3.30	95
053	Dinwiddie	71.9%	7.19	4	\$7.91	1.36	79	75.0%	5.83	31	4.79	22
057	Essex	51.0%	5.10	59	\$8.86	3.10	16	60.0%	3.33	91	3.84	65
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	49.2%	4.92	68	\$9.60	4.45	5	73.2%	5.54	41	4.97	14
061	Fauquier	58.3%	5.83	26	\$8.90	3.17	15	65.9%	4.31	72	4.44	33
063	Floyd	61.3%	6.13	18	\$8.47	2.38	27	42.9%	0.48	116	3.00	102
065	Fluvanna	36.7%	3.67	111	\$7.98	1.48	71	70.0%	5.00	56	3.38	89
067	Franklin County	46.4%	4.64	80	\$8.07	1.65	59	66.7%	4.45	68	3.58	80
069	Frederick	48.8%	4.88	72	\$8.83	3.04	17	58.0%	3.00	99	3.64	75
071	Giles	38.7%	3.87	104	\$7.94	1.41	76	63.2%	3.86	80	3.05	100
073	Gloucester	51.3%	5.13	56	\$7.76	1.08	99	44.4%	0.74	115	2.32	116
075	Goochland	42.9%	4.29	91	\$7.60	0.79	108	68.8%	4.79	65	3.29	97
077	Grayson	40.7%	4.07	99	\$7.84	1.23	91	61.5%	3.59	87	2.96	103

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	50.0%	5.00	64	\$7.99	1.50	69	50.0%	1.67	109	2.72	108
081	Greensville/Emporia	38.5%	3.85	105	\$7.51	0.62	115	75.0%	5.83	32	3.43	86
083	Halifax	43.1%	4.31	90	\$7.88	1.30	84	58.8%	3.14	95	2.91	105
085	Hanover	39.1%	3.91	103	\$8.67	2.75	20	73.3%	5.56	40	4.07	51
087	Henrico	50.9%	5.09	60	\$8.53	2.49	25	79.8%	6.63	20	4.74	24
089	Henry/Martinsville	46.6%	4.66	79	\$7.81	1.17	95	65.9%	4.31	71	3.38	90
091	Highland	100.0%	10.00	1	\$8.00	1.52	68	N/A	N/A	N/A	5.76	4
093	Isle of Wight	42.6%	4.26	93	\$7.75	1.06	100	55.0%	2.50	104	2.61	113
095	James City	56.4%	5.64	31	\$8.97	3.30	13	57.1%	2.86	101	3.93	58
097	King and Queen	57.1%	5.71	30	\$7.50	0.60	116	50.0%	1.67	110	2.66	110
099	King George	39.1%	3.91	102	\$8.27	2.01	42	53.3%	2.22	106	2.72	109
101	King William	55.2%	5.52	40	\$8.29	2.05	40	91.7%	8.61	4	5.39	5
103	Lancaster	53.3%	5.33	44	\$8.31	2.09	38	41.7%	0.28	117	2.57	114
105	Lee	55.5%	5.55	38	\$7.68	0.93	102	70.6%	5.10	52	3.86	63
107	Loudoun	51.7%	5.17	54	\$10.27	5.68	2	87.2%	7.86	9	6.24	2
109	Louisa	44.1%	4.41	86	\$8.41	2.27	31	60.0%	3.33	92	3.34	92
111	Lunenburg	42.1%	4.21	95	\$7.55	0.70	113	45.0%	0.83	114	1.91	117
113	Madison	28.6%	2.86	117	\$8.13	1.76	50	62.5%	3.75	83	2.79	107
115	Mathews	68.8%	6.88	5	\$7.90	1.34	82	100.0%	10.00	3	6.07	3
117	Mecklenburg	60.0%	6.00	22	\$7.59	0.77	109	58.3%	3.06	96	3.27	98
119	Middlesex	48.9%	4.89	70	\$7.68	0.93	103	73.9%	5.65	38	3.83	67
121	Montgomery	73.8%	7.38	2	\$7.95	1.43	74	63.0%	3.84	81	4.22	41
125	Nelson	36.8%	3.68	110	\$8.39	2.23	33	77.8%	6.30	23	4.07	50
127	New Kent	41.7%	4.17	97	\$10.15	5.46	3	72.7%	5.46	43	5.03	13
131	Northampton	60.0%	6.00	23	\$7.99	1.50	70	81.8%	6.97	15	4.82	21
133	Northumberland	54.5%	5.45	43	\$7.62	0.82	107	50.0%	1.67	111	2.65	112
135	Nottoway	50.5%	5.05	61	\$7.78	1.12	97	85.0%	7.50	14	4.56	28
137	Orange	53.2%	5.32	46	\$8.08	1.67	56	89.5%	8.25	5	5.08	11
139	Page	65.9%	6.59	10	\$7.59	0.77	110	70.4%	5.06	53	4.14	45
141	Patrick	59.8%	5.98	25	\$7.71	0.99	101	71.1%	5.19	49	4.05	53
143	Pittsylvania	42.2%	4.22	94	\$8.06	1.63	63	47.5%	1.25	113	2.37	115
145	Powhatan	45.8%	4.58	82	\$9.49	4.25	6	80.0%	6.67	18	5.17	10
147	Prince Edward	47.7%	4.77	76	\$7.89	1.32	83	75.5%	5.92	28	4.00	56
149	Prince George	39.6%	3.96	100	\$8.66	2.73	21	64.7%	4.12	78	3.60	79
153	Prince William	55.5%	5.55	37	\$9.40	4.08	7	75.7%	5.95	26	5.20	9
155	Pulaski	67.6%	6.76	6	\$7.86	1.26	86	62.1%	3.68	84	3.90	61
157	Rappahannock	0.0%	0.00	120	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.00	120
159	Richmond County	53.3%	5.33	45	\$7.55	0.70	114	66.7%	4.45	69	3.49	83
161	Roanoke County	66.9%	6.69	7	\$8.63	2.67	23	58.2%	3.04	98	4.14	47
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	61.1%	6.11	19	\$7.91	1.36	80	88.9%	8.15	7	5.20	8
165	Rockingham/H'burg	71.9%	7.19	3	\$8.53	2.49	26	69.6%	4.94	60	4.87	20
167	Russell	36.3%	3.63	112	\$7.88	1.30	85	62.8%	3.80	82	2.91	106
169	Scott	54.7%	5.47	42	\$7.82	1.19	94	71.7%	5.29	47	3.98	57
171	Shenandoah	37.5%	3.75	109	\$8.27	2.01	43	72.7%	5.46	44	3.74	71

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	48.2%	4.82	74	\$8.34	2.14	35	61.7%	3.61	86	3.53	81
175	<i>Southampton</i>	56.3%	5.63	33	\$7.85	1.25	89	62.1%	3.68	85	3.52	82
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	58.2%	5.82	27	\$8.33	2.12	36	89.2%	8.20	6	5.38	6
179	<i>Stafford</i>	52.2%	5.22	51	\$9.11	3.55	11	73.2%	5.53	42	4.77	23
181	<i>Surry</i>	42.1%	4.21	96	\$8.16	1.81	48	80.0%	6.67	19	4.23	40
183	<i>Sussex</i>	55.3%	5.53	39	\$8.29	2.05	41	57.1%	2.86	102	3.48	84
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	62.6%	6.26	16	\$8.46	2.36	28	58.3%	3.06	97	3.89	62
187	<i>Warren</i>	45.6%	4.56	83	\$8.40	2.25	32	64.8%	4.14	77	3.65	74
191	<i>Washington</i>	60.0%	6.00	24	\$7.95	1.43	75	70.0%	5.00	57	4.14	44
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	64.9%	6.49	12	\$7.97	1.47	72	65.2%	4.20	75	4.05	52
195	<i>Wise</i>	62.6%	6.26	15	\$7.83	1.21	92	64.1%	4.01	79	3.83	66
197	<i>Wythe</i>	66.7%	6.67	9	\$7.59	0.77	111	60.5%	3.41	89	3.62	77
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	47.4%	4.74	77	\$8.23	1.94	47	75.0%	5.83	33	4.17	42
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	48.4%	4.84	73	\$9.39	4.07	8	81.3%	6.89	16	5.26	7
520	<i>Bristol</i>	34.9%	3.49	114	\$7.81	1.17	96	59.8%	3.30	94	2.65	111
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	55.1%	5.51	41	\$8.44	2.33	29	70.3%	5.06	55	4.30	39
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	56.0%	5.60	35	\$8.12	1.74	53	74.1%	5.69	37	4.34	38
590	<i>Danville</i>	41.6%	4.16	98	\$8.13	1.76	51	74.7%	5.78	35	3.90	60
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	43.2%	4.32	89	\$8.99	3.33	12	55.2%	2.53	103	3.40	88
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	55.7%	5.57	36	\$8.72	2.84	19	68.4%	4.73	66	4.38	36
640	<i>Galax</i>	52.4%	5.24	50	\$8.11	1.72	55	70.8%	5.14	51	4.03	55
650	<i>Hampton</i>	52.0%	5.20	52	\$8.13	1.76	52	77.2%	6.21	24	4.39	35
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	45.6%	4.56	84	\$7.68	0.93	104	69.5%	4.91	62	3.47	85
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	52.7%	5.27	48	\$7.86	1.26	87	65.8%	4.29	73	3.61	78
683	<i>Manassas</i>	43.3%	4.33	88	\$9.20	3.72	10	70.0%	5.00	58	4.35	37
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	15.4%	1.54	119	\$12.63	10.00	1	85.7%	7.62	12	6.39	1
700	<i>Newport News</i>	49.0%	4.90	69	\$8.27	2.01	44	71.4%	5.24	48	4.05	54
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	52.4%	5.24	49	\$8.03	1.58	65	73.6%	5.59	39	4.14	46
720	<i>Norton</i>	50.0%	5.00	65	\$8.03	1.58	66	60.0%	3.33	93	3.30	94
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	56.4%	5.64	32	\$8.15	1.79	49	75.5%	5.92	27	4.45	32
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	45.2%	4.52	85	\$7.94	1.41	77	69.5%	4.92	61	3.62	76
750	<i>Radford</i>	65.1%	6.51	11	\$7.86	1.26	88	76.9%	6.15	25	4.64	26
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	42.7%	4.27	92	\$8.31	2.09	39	69.8%	4.97	59	3.78	70
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	52.8%	5.28	47	\$8.08	1.67	57	65.1%	4.18	76	3.71	72
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	57.6%	5.76	29	\$7.96	1.45	73	70.4%	5.06	54	4.09	49
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	51.7%	5.17	53	\$8.24	1.96	46	66.0%	4.33	70	3.82	68
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	43.5%	4.35	87	\$8.42	2.29	30	75.0%	5.83	34	4.16	43
840	<i>Winchester</i>	56.1%	5.61	34	\$8.07	1.65	60	57.6%	2.93	100	3.40	87

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores is done to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

VIEW Rankings, From Inception of VIEW

This section lists the relative cumulative rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Monthly Earnings, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	51.3%	2.04	115	\$853	2.81	95	65.0%	5.81	50	3.55	108
003	Albemarle	72.9%	7.74	11	\$1,009	6.03	21	57.4%	4.06	101	5.94	25
005	Alleghany/Covington	70.7%	7.15	20	\$838	2.50	103	58.1%	4.24	98	4.63	80
007	Amelia	59.5%	4.20	95	\$959	4.99	37	65.1%	5.82	49	5.01	67
009	Amherst	66.9%	6.16	49	\$871	3.18	84	59.7%	4.59	87	4.64	79
011	Appomattox	70.6%	7.14	21	\$871	3.18	83	56.1%	3.77	107	4.70	77
013	Arlington	71.8%	7.46	16	\$1,071	7.31	8	69.4%	6.82	20	7.19	6
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	66.7%	6.10	52	\$960	5.02	36	67.3%	6.33	37	5.82	29
017	Bath	64.0%	5.39	72	\$717	0.00	120	61.3%	4.96	74	3.45	110
019	Bedford County/City	64.6%	5.54	65	\$857	2.89	92	60.8%	4.83	80	4.42	92
021	Bland	60.3%	4.41	89	\$860	2.95	90	56.5%	3.86	105	3.74	106
023	Botetourt	43.6%	0.00	120	\$937	4.55	51	58.7%	4.36	91	2.97	117
025	Brunswick	56.3%	3.34	110	\$827	2.27	111	67.4%	6.35	36	3.99	99
027	Buchanan	52.9%	2.46	114	\$836	2.45	104	63.4%	5.44	62	3.45	111
029	Buckingham	56.7%	3.45	107	\$946	4.73	47	62.3%	5.19	69	4.46	89
031	Campbell	68.5%	6.59	37	\$897	3.72	73	63.8%	5.54	57	5.28	56
033	Caroline	60.2%	4.37	91	\$957	4.96	40	57.0%	3.98	103	4.44	90
035	Carroll	66.6%	6.06	53	\$830	2.33	109	53.9%	3.27	112	3.89	101
036	Charles City	56.7%	3.45	108	\$943	4.67	48	53.6%	3.19	114	3.77	104
037	Charlotte	51.1%	1.97	117	\$926	4.32	57	56.5%	3.85	106	3.38	114
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	63.3%	5.19	77	\$1,025	6.36	16	69.1%	6.75	24	6.10	21
043	Clarke	57.8%	3.75	102	\$989	5.62	26	62.7%	5.28	67	4.88	72
045	Craig	50.9%	1.92	118	\$1,039	6.65	12	61.5%	5.01	73	4.53	83
047	Culpeper	64.5%	5.52	67	\$969	5.21	31	66.2%	6.07	45	5.60	37
049	Cumberland	58.1%	3.82	100	\$951	4.83	45	57.7%	4.14	100	4.27	95
051	Dickenson	60.1%	4.36	92	\$833	2.40	105	55.0%	3.52	109	3.43	112
053	Dinwiddie	66.4%	6.02	54	\$965	5.12	34	58.7%	4.37	89	5.17	60
057	Essex	67.0%	6.19	46	\$868	3.12	86	49.4%	2.24	117	3.85	102
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	67.4%	6.29	44	\$1,154	9.03	3	69.2%	6.76	22	7.36	5
061	Fauquier	68.9%	6.69	35	\$1,027	6.40	15	69.1%	6.75	23	6.62	10
063	Floyd	66.2%	5.98	55	\$936	4.51	53	55.1%	3.53	108	4.68	78
065	Fluvanna	63.6%	5.29	75	\$1,044	6.75	10	60.5%	4.77	81	5.60	36
067	Franklin County	61.8%	4.79	84	\$950	4.81	46	58.2%	4.24	97	4.61	81
069	Frederick	57.4%	3.64	105	\$1,011	6.06	20	61.9%	5.09	71	4.93	69
071	Giles	57.8%	3.74	103	\$955	4.93	43	53.8%	3.25	113	3.97	100
073	Gloucester	65.6%	5.81	59	\$851	2.77	98	58.7%	4.35	93	4.31	94
075	Goochland	68.6%	6.60	36	\$894	3.65	76	65.4%	5.89	48	5.38	50
077	Grayson	65.3%	5.72	63	\$854	2.82	94	61.0%	4.90	76	4.48	88

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	75.4%	8.42	4	\$917	4.13	65	56.6%	3.88	104	5.48	44
081	Greensville/Emporia	57.2%	3.59	106	\$819	2.10	113	64.0%	5.59	55	3.76	105
083	Halifax	56.6%	3.42	109	\$840	2.54	101	58.4%	4.30	96	3.42	113
085	Hanover	62.9%	5.10	80	\$1,053	6.94	9	66.3%	6.10	44	6.05	23
087	Henrico	66.8%	6.13	51	\$1,021	6.27	17	66.4%	6.13	43	6.18	20
089	Henry/Martinsville	63.1%	5.16	78	\$941	4.62	50	64.3%	5.65	53	5.14	62
091	Highland	75.0%	8.30	6	\$784	1.37	118	83.3%	10.00	1	6.56	11
093	Isle of Wight	68.4%	6.56	38	\$910	3.98	67	59.9%	4.64	84	5.06	65
095	James City	69.2%	6.78	32	\$918	4.14	63	60.4%	4.75	82	5.22	57
097	King and Queen	51.1%	1.97	116	\$866	3.08	87	47.0%	1.69	118	2.25	120
099	King George	60.3%	4.41	90	\$920	4.19	61	57.9%	4.18	99	4.26	96
101	King William	58.8%	4.02	97	\$978	5.40	28	60.8%	4.85	78	4.75	76
103	Lancaster	65.8%	5.87	56	\$856	2.88	93	51.6%	2.74	116	3.83	103
105	Lee	65.3%	5.74	61	\$792	1.54	117	72.4%	7.51	7	4.93	70
107	Loudoun	77.2%	8.89	3	\$1,201	10.00	1	71.9%	7.39	8	8.76	1
109	Louisa	61.5%	4.71	85	\$983	5.50	27	62.9%	5.31	66	5.18	59
111	Lunenburg	49.5%	1.55	119	\$799	1.69	116	61.0%	4.90	77	2.71	119
113	Madison	60.9%	4.56	86	\$998	5.80	22	60.8%	4.84	79	5.07	64
115	Mathews	65.7%	5.85	58	\$900	3.78	71	69.0%	6.71	26	5.45	45
117	Mecklenburg	66.9%	6.15	50	\$840	2.54	102	67.8%	6.45	32	5.05	66
119	Middlesex	64.8%	5.60	64	\$911	4.01	66	69.6%	6.87	17	5.49	42
121	Montgomery	78.7%	9.27	2	\$936	4.52	52	64.0%	5.58	56	6.46	14
125	Nelson	62.4%	4.96	82	\$1,016	6.18	18	63.1%	5.37	65	5.51	40
127	New Kent	56.1%	3.31	111	\$1,034	6.56	13	71.8%	7.35	9	5.74	32
131	Northampton	64.5%	5.53	66	\$848	2.70	99	79.8%	9.19	2	5.81	30
133	Northumberland	57.5%	3.68	104	\$858	2.91	91	52.6%	2.97	115	3.19	115
135	Nottoway	67.0%	6.19	47	\$899	3.75	72	69.7%	6.88	16	5.61	35
137	Orange	72.3%	7.59	14	\$961	5.04	35	59.5%	4.56	88	5.73	33
139	Page	69.7%	6.89	30	\$992	5.67	24	60.0%	4.66	83	5.74	31
141	Patrick	67.2%	6.23	45	\$829	2.31	110	74.6%	8.00	6	5.51	39
143	Pittsylvania	53.9%	2.73	113	\$870	3.16	85	59.9%	4.63	85	3.51	109
145	Powhatan	54.8%	2.94	112	\$966	5.14	32	63.5%	5.46	61	4.52	85
147	Prince Edward	70.5%	7.10	23	\$883	3.44	79	68.5%	6.60	30	5.71	34
149	Prince George	59.8%	4.26	93	\$995	5.75	23	58.6%	4.34	94	4.78	74
153	Prince William	72.3%	7.60	12	\$1,193	9.83	2	70.6%	7.08	13	8.17	2
155	Pulaski	63.8%	5.33	73	\$942	4.64	49	54.1%	3.32	110	4.43	91
157	Rappahannock	62.5%	4.99	81	\$958	4.98	39	43.6%	0.91	119	3.63	107
159	Richmond County	60.7%	4.51	88	\$919	4.18	62	39.6%	0.00	120	2.90	118
161	Roanoke County	74.4%	8.14	7	\$1,043	6.74	11	58.5%	4.33	95	6.40	17
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	71.2%	7.31	17	\$866	3.08	89	67.2%	6.31	38	5.56	38
165	Rockingham/H'burg	73.2%	7.81	10	\$974	5.32	29	67.7%	6.42	33	6.52	12
167	Russell	60.9%	4.56	87	\$852	2.80	96	67.1%	6.28	39	4.55	82
169	Scott	58.3%	3.89	98	\$885	3.47	78	76.8%	8.51	5	5.29	54
171	Shenandoah	59.7%	4.25	94	\$929	4.38	56	70.0%	6.96	15	5.20	58

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	58.3%	3.88	99	\$852	2.80	97	63.6%	5.49	58	4.06	98
175	<i>Southampton</i>	58.0%	3.81	101	\$830	2.34	108	54.1%	3.32	111	3.15	116
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	67.8%	6.39	41	\$1,028	6.43	14	68.6%	6.62	28	6.48	13
179	<i>Stafford</i>	59.0%	4.06	96	\$1,124	8.40	4	67.7%	6.42	34	6.29	19
181	<i>Surry</i>	70.5%	7.11	22	\$874	3.25	80	57.2%	4.03	102	4.79	73
183	<i>Sussex</i>	65.6%	5.80	60	\$956	4.93	42	63.3%	5.41	64	5.38	49
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	67.6%	6.34	42	\$815	2.02	114	65.4%	5.91	47	4.76	75
187	<i>Warren</i>	68.1%	6.49	39	\$1,012	6.09	19	68.8%	6.68	27	6.42	15
191	<i>Washington</i>	64.3%	5.47	70	\$895	3.67	74	58.7%	4.36	92	4.50	87
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	64.1%	5.41	71	\$920	4.20	60	64.6%	5.71	52	5.11	63
195	<i>Wise</i>	63.5%	5.26	76	\$822	2.16	112	66.5%	6.16	41	4.52	84
197	<i>Wythe</i>	67.0%	6.19	48	\$842	2.58	100	66.7%	6.19	40	4.98	68
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	62.3%	4.94	83	\$894	3.66	75	59.8%	4.61	86	4.41	93
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	70.2%	7.03	25	\$1,077	7.44	7	77.1%	8.57	4	7.68	4
520	<i>Bristol</i>	67.5%	6.33	43	\$871	3.19	82	62.3%	5.18	70	4.90	71
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	81.4%	10.00	1	\$921	4.21	59	65.5%	5.93	46	6.71	8
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	72.3%	7.59	13	\$931	4.41	54	64.7%	5.74	51	5.91	26
590	<i>Danville</i>	63.7%	5.32	74	\$806	1.85	115	61.8%	5.07	72	4.08	97
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	64.4%	5.50	68	\$832	2.37	107	64.3%	5.65	54	4.51	86
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	75.1%	8.34	5	\$990	5.64	25	66.4%	6.14	42	6.70	9
640	<i>Galax</i>	63.0%	5.13	79	\$888	3.53	77	69.5%	6.84	18	5.16	61
650	<i>Hampton</i>	69.7%	6.89	29	\$917	4.14	64	63.6%	5.48	59	5.51	41
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	67.9%	6.42	40	\$924	4.27	58	63.4%	5.43	63	5.37	51
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	69.6%	6.87	31	\$832	2.38	106	68.5%	6.61	29	5.29	55
683	<i>Manassas</i>	64.3%	5.48	69	\$1,107	8.06	5	70.8%	7.13	12	6.89	7
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	72.1%	7.52	15	\$1,091	7.73	6	77.5%	8.67	3	7.98	3
700	<i>Newport News</i>	70.2%	7.02	26	\$931	4.41	55	69.1%	6.75	25	6.06	22
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	70.1%	7.01	27	\$866	3.08	88	67.4%	6.36	35	5.48	43
720	<i>Norton</i>	74.4%	8.13	8	\$749	0.67	119	71.3%	7.26	11	5.35	52
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	70.7%	7.15	19	\$956	4.93	41	70.4%	7.03	14	6.37	18
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	71.2%	7.29	18	\$904	3.86	70	69.5%	6.83	19	5.99	24
750	<i>Radford</i>	70.3%	7.06	24	\$907	3.93	69	61.1%	4.91	75	5.30	53
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	69.7%	6.91	28	\$958	4.98	38	71.7%	7.34	10	6.41	16
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	65.3%	5.73	62	\$972	5.28	30	62.3%	5.19	68	5.40	47
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	73.6%	7.92	9	\$873	3.22	81	68.0%	6.50	31	5.88	27
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	65.8%	5.86	57	\$955	4.92	44	69.2%	6.78	21	5.85	28
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	69.0%	6.72	34	\$909	3.97	68	63.6%	5.48	60	5.39	48
840	<i>Winchester</i>	69.1%	6.73	33	\$965	5.13	33	58.7%	4.36	90	5.40	46

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores was begun in May 1999 to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

State Summary July 1, 2012 – September 30, 2012

	State	ED 1	ED 2	ED 3	ED 4	ED 5	ED 6	ED 7	ED 8	ED 9	ED 10	ED 11	ED 12	ED 13	ED 14	ED 15	ED 16	ED 17	ED 18
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹																			
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	4,289	199	145	353	135	69	471	53	99	158	190	145	603	164	55	491	137	789	33
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	93%	99%	92%	96%	90%	83%	93%	87%	98%	96%	99%	89%	95%	70%	96%	97%	89%	94%	91%
% Timely in September 2012	93%	99%	93%	96%	92%	71%	95%	79%	98%	95%	100%	92%	93%	59%	95%	97%	88%	93%	####
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²																			
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴																			
# Over 30 Days	132	1	1	2	2	11	8	10	1	0	0	3	23	57	1	6	1	5	0
Average # of Days Over 30	50	7	3	24	2	73	38	53	15	0	0	34	60	53	49	20	1	29	0

EDD1 – Southwest Region: July 1, 2012 - September 30, 2012

	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	199	15	8	40	34	19	25	52	6
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	96%	98%	100%
% Timely in September 2012	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	94%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0

EDD2 - Bristol-Galax Region: July 1, 2012 - September 30, 2012

	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	145	1	15	7	26	15	13	53	15
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	92%	0%	93%	100%	100%	93%	92%	92%	80%
% Timely in September 2012	93%	0%	88%	100%	100%	75%	100%	100%	67%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD3 - Roanoke Region: July 1, 2012 - September 30, 2012

	Total EDD3	Alleghny/ Covington	Bote- tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont- gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹												
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	353	19	1	2	8	33	8	35	18	41	15	173
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	96%	100%	0%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	87%	93%
% Timely in September 2012	96%	100%	0%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	93%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²												
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴												
# Over 30 Days	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	24	0	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	31	0

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: July 1, 2012 - September 30, 2012

	<i>Total EDD4</i>	<i>Aug/S'ton /W'boro</i>	<i>Bath</i>	<i>Highland</i>	<i>Rockbridge/ BV/Lex</i>	<i>Rockingham/ HBurg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	135	80	0	0	6	49
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	90%	96%	N/A	N/A	0%	92%
% Timely in September 2012	92%	100%	N/A	N/A	0%	91%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	0	2	0
Average # of Days Over 30	2	0	0	0	2	0

EDD5 & EDD18 - Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: July 1, 2012 - September 30, 2012

	<i>Total EDD5</i>	<i>Clarke</i>	<i>Frederick</i>	<i>Page</i>	<i>Shen.</i>	<i>Warren</i>	<i>Winchester</i>	<i>Total EDD18</i>	<i>Accomack</i>	<i>Nhampton</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹										
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	69	2	20	7	3	15	22	33	28	5
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	83%	100%	50%	100%	100%	87%	100%	91%	89%	100%
% Timely in September 2012	71%	100%	9%	100%	100%	86%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴										
# Over 30 Days	11	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	73	0	73	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: July 1, 2012 - September 30, 2012

	<i>Total EDD6</i>	<i>Arlington</i>	<i>Fairfax</i>	<i>Loudoun</i>	<i>Prince William</i>	<i>Alexandria</i>	<i>Manassas</i>	<i>Manassas Park</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹								
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	471	33	173	37	158	50	16	4
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	93%	100%	97%	95%	85%	100%	100%	75%
% Timely in September 2012	95%	100%	97%	100%	91%	100%	100%	50%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²								
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴								
# Over 30 Days	8	0	1	1	6	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	38	0	8	31	44	0	0	0

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: July 1, 2012 - September 30, 2012

	<i>Total EDD7</i>	<i>Culpeper</i>	<i>Fauquier</i>	<i>Madison</i>	<i>Orange</i>	<i>Rapp.</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	53	28	14	2	6	3
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	87%	96%	93%	100%	67%	0%
% Timely in September 2012	79%	92%	100%	100%	33%	0%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	10	1	0	1	5	3
Average # of Days Over 30	53	9	0	94	73	22

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: July 1, 2012 - September 30, 2012

	<i>Total EDD8</i>	<i>Albemarle</i>	<i>Fluvanna</i>	<i>Greene</i>	<i>Louisa</i>	<i>Nelson</i>	<i>Cville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	99	14	7	8	15	9	46
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	98%	100%	100%	88%	93%	100%	100%
% Timely in September 2012	98%	100%	100%	67%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	15	0	0	15	0	0	0

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: July 1, 2012 - September 30, 2012

	<i>Total EDD9</i>	<i>Amherst</i>	<i>Appom.</i>	<i>Bedford</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Lynchburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	158	19	14	27	31	67
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	96%	100%	100%	85%	100%	97%
% Timely in September 2012	95%	100%	100%	79%	100%	97%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD10 - Danville Region: July 1, 2012 - September 30, 2012

	<i>Total EDD10</i>	<i>Halifax</i>	<i>Henry/ Martinsville</i>	<i>Patrick</i>	<i>Pittsylvania</i>	<i>Danville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	190	18	62	20	26	64
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	98%
% Timely in September 2012	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD11 – Southside Region: July 1, 2012 - September 30, 2012

	<i>Total EDD11</i>	<i>Amelia</i>	<i>Brunswick</i>	<i>Buckingham</i>	<i>Charlotte</i>	<i>Cumberland</i>	<i>Gr'nsville Emporia</i>	<i>Lunenburg</i>	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	<i>Nottoway</i>	<i>Pr. Edward</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹											
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	145	5	24	14	11	6	14	12	14	21	24
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	89%	80%	96%	93%	100%	100%	29%	92%	100%	100%	92%
% Timely in September 2012	92%	50%	100%	80%	100%	100%	75%	100%	100%	100%	86%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²											
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴											
# Over 30 Days	3	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	34	2	0	0	0	0	50	0	0	0	0

EDD12 - Richmond Region: July 1, 2012 - September 30, 2012

	<i>Total EDD12</i>	<i>Chesterfield/ Col Hgts</i>	<i>Goochland</i>	<i>Hanover</i>	<i>Henrico</i>	<i>Powhatan</i>	<i>Richmond City</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	603	111	4	33	160	10	285
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	95%	92%	100%	94%	93%	100%	96%
% Timely in September 2012	93%	88%	100%	95%	88%	100%	96%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	23	7	0	1	11	0	4
Average # of Days Over 30	60	39	0	10	98	0	7

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: July 1, 2012 - September 30, 2012

	<i>Total EDD13</i>	<i>Caroline</i>	<i>King George</i>	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	<i>Stafford</i>	<i>Fredericksburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	164	17	12	59	44	32
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	70%	24%	100%	46%	93%	94%
% Timely in September 2012	59%	27%	100%	23%	94%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	57	29	0	26	2	0
Average # of Days Over 30	53	74	0	27	91	0

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: July 1, 2012 - September 30, 2012

	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹										
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	55	13	1	6	2	2	15	3	6	7
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	96%	100%	100%	83%	100%	50%	100%	100%	100%	100%
% Timely in September 2012	95%	100%	N/A	67%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴										
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	49	0	0	0	0	49	0	0	0	0

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: July 1, 2012 - September 30, 2012

	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	491	1	16	26	5	13	149	270	11
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	97%	100%	94%	92%	20%	92%	99%	99%	82%
% Timely in September 2012	97%	100%	88%	100%	33%	88%	99%	98%	83%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	6	0	0	0	4	0	1	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	20	0	0	0	30	0	1	0	1

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: July 1, 2012 - September 30, 2012

	<i>Total EDD16</i>	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	<i>Prince George</i>	<i>Surry</i>	<i>Sussex</i>	<i>Hopewell</i>	<i>Petersburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	137	12	15	6	9	32	63
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	89%	100%	100%	100%	89%	75%	90%
% Timely in September 2012	88%	100%	100%	100%	75%	67%	92%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	1	0	0	0	0	1	0

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: July 1, 2012 - September 30, 2012

	<i>Total EDD17</i>	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	<i>South- hampton</i>	<i>Chesapeake</i>	<i>Franklin City</i>	<i>Norfolk</i>	<i>Portsmouth</i>	<i>Suffolk</i>	<i>VA Beach</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	789	12	7	117	35	273	154	48	143
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	94%	92%	86%	96%	100%	92%	94%	94%	97%
% Timely in September 2012	93%	75%	75%	94%	100%	92%	91%	91%	97%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in September 2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	5	1	0	1	0	0	2	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	29	2	0	1	0	0	19	0	102

Child Support Enforcement - Statewide Totals

Collections

	<i>Sep-11</i>	<i>Sep-12</i>	<i>% Change</i>
TANF Cases Collected	14,667	14,343	-2.2%
NTANF Cases Collected	127,958	126,990	-0.8%
Total Cases Collected	142,625	141,333	-0.9%
TANF Collections	\$2,826,849	\$2,536,722	-10.3%
NTANF Collections	\$47,379,390	\$46,922,746	-1.0%
Total Collections	\$50,206,239	\$49,459,468	-1.5%
SFY YTD Collections	\$152,455,848	\$154,802,918	1.5%
SFY YTD Average Collection	\$354.56	\$360.11	1.6%

Paternities Established

	<i>Sep-12</i>
TANF Paternities	220
NTANF Paternities	450
Combined Paternities	670

Diversionsary Assistance

September Diversionsary Assistance Cases

Locality	Number of Cases		Locality	Number of Cases
Albemarle	3		Orange	3
Alleghany / Covington	1		Patrick	2
Amelia	1		Prince George	1
Appomattox	2		Prince William	2
Augusta / Staunt'n / W'boro	3		Roanoke County	4
Bedford City	3		Rockingham / H'burg	1
Buckingham	1		Shenandoah	9
Campbell	1		Smyth	2
Chesterfield/Colonial Hgts	12		Spotsylvania	4
Clarke	1		Stafford	3
Culpeper	7		Tazewell	1
Cumberland	2		Warren	2
Dickenson	1		Westmoreland	1
Dinwiddie	4		York / Poquoson	1
Fairfax / Falls Church	3		Bristol	9
Fauquier	1		Charlottesville	2
Fluvanna	1		Chesapeake	6
Frederick	5		Danville	1
Grayson	1		Franklin City	1
Greene	1		Fredericksburg	2
Halifax	1		Hampton	6
Henrico	12		Hopewell	4
Henry / Martinsville	1		Manassas	1
Isle of Wight	1		Newport News	16
King and Queen	1		Norfolk	11
Loudoun	1		Petersburg	2
Louisa	3		Portsmouth	3
Madison	1		Richmond City	3
Mecklenburg	1		Roanoke City	11
Middlesex	1		Suffolk	6
Montgomery	1		Virginia Beach	6
Nelson	1		Winchester	4
			Statewide	209

Definitions of Selected Terms Contained in Report

Average Monthly Earnings. This is the average monthly earnings of the unsubsidized jobs that participants obtained. The value is calculated by multiplying the hourly wage by the weekly hours worked by 4.3 average weeks per month. For the cumulative figures the initial wage and initial hours are used. For the current figures, the initial wage and most current hours are used, except for the November 2001 report which used initial hours. Earlier than June 1999, wage changes that were entered overwrote the initial wage, so prior to that date, the cumulative figures used the initial hours and the last wage entered. With the advent of the VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) program in October 2006 the end of month average monthly earnings might be expected to decline somewhat because prior to VTP, cases with high earnings might remain open for job follow-up, but after the implementation of VTP the VIEW case would be closed when the TANF case was closed and a VTP case opened. VTP cases are not included in this report except for estimating the participation rate.

Average Wage. The average of the wages reported for each occurrence of unsubsidized employment. After June 1999 the wage is the wage at hiring, that is, the initial wage. Prior to June 1999, that wage may have been updated if changed during employment. After the implementation of the VIEW Transitional Payment program the end of month point in time average wage might be expected to decline some for the same reason mentioned in the explanation of possible decline in Average Monthly earnings, above. See note 6 on page 44.

Cases Closed with Employment. These are TANF or TANF-UP cases that are closed with an indication in the data base of employment at the date of closure. It does not mean that the case necessarily closed because of employment. For example, a TANF case may have an indication of closing at the client's request, along with indication of existing employment in VIEW. That case is counted as closed with employment.

EDD. This stands for Economic Development District. EDDs were developed during the administration of Governor Allen. They generally are no longer in use. This report continues to refer to them because VIEW was phased in by EDD and their use provides consistency over time.

Enrolled. Unduplicated number by locality of TANF recipients who were interviewed, received an individual assessment, signed the Agreement of Personal Responsibility, and enrolled in the VIEW program. Because the count is by locality, a recipient may be counted once in more than one locality. The fiscal year to date numbers include those who were enrolled at the beginning of the fiscal year as well as those enrolled during the year. There are clients enrolled in VIEW who have had their TANF case closed because VIEW policy requires that the enrollment remain open for job follow-up after employment unless the employment meets federal work participation guidelines, in which case the VIEW case is closed and a VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) case is opened.

Full Time Employment. Employment at 30 hours a week or greater.

Participants Assigned to Inactive. Inactive is a status assigned to participants who cannot participate in the VIEW program for reasons other than being exempt. Examples are unavailability of child care, unavailability of transportation, and mental or medical problems that make participation impractical. Inactive participants are due to be reviewed each month. While in inactive status, the 24 month time limit for TANF benefits is suspended.

Participants Employed. Total number of participants by locality who ever obtained an unsubsidized job, either full time or part time for the counts from inception. Other counts include subsidized employment. If employed more than one time, they are still only counted once, but may be counted in more than one locality. Also, these participants may have left their job and not gotten another one. For the year to date numbers, those employed at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first became employed in the fiscal year.

Participants in a Work Activity. Unduplicated count by locality of persons who have been in any of the following components: unsubsidized employment, full employment program (FEP), on-the-job training (OJT), community work experience program (CWEP), public service program (PSP), vocational education and training, and job search/job readiness. For example, a person who was in community work experience and then employed would be counted only once.

For the year to date numbers, those in a work activity at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first entered a work activity in the fiscal year. Note that this is a revised definition of work activity from reports through October 2006. The revised definition captures the activities that count toward the first 20 hours of federal participation requirements – the core work activities. Clients who are counted as being in a core work activity must meet additional participation rate requirements in order to be included in the work participation rate, and only VIEW clients are counted here, not all work eligible TANF clients **Thus, these percentages in a work activity are quite different from the percentages in the TANF work participation rate.**

Part Time Employment. Employment at fewer than 30 weekly hours.

Referred. Unduplicated number of TANF recipients by locality who were initially evaluated as eligible for VIEW and had their names referred to the automated VIEW list for participation.

Relationship Between TANF and VIEW Participation. On the chart at page 5a, the column heading “Total Adults in the Case” includes adults who are not considered to be part of the assistance unit. For example, a mother receiving SSI may be in the case, but because she receives SSI is not considered eligible for TANF and thus is not part of the assistance unit. Persons such as she who are not in the assistance unit are not eligible to participate in VIEW. Also included are adults who are part of the assistance unit but exempt from VIEW

Five Months Employment. In earlier reports, this represented the percent of all participants that were employed 150 days after their first employment. Participants who were employed in any job 150 days after their first employment were counted in this measure.. This measure became unavailable after July 1, 2011. See note 9 on page 44.

Three Months Employment. This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 90 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 90 days after their first employment are counted in this measure. For year to date percents, the calculations are based on the first employment that began one year before the end of the report month. That employment may not have been the first ever for the participant. The year to date percents were calculated differently in reports before September 2004. Also for year to date percents, transferred cases were not counted for months after the January 2002 report.

TANF / TANF-UP Payment Cases. Up to November 2003 these counts were taken from a monthly report with no adjustment. After that, the monthly counts included some cases that were being paid because child support payments had been received and were being passed on. These counts were adjusted to mostly eliminate those additional cases. Further adjustment may be appropriate. It should also be noted that the counts include both strictly TANF cases and MOE cases for some years. With the end of waiver provisions in July 2003, a substantial part of the caseload was placed in a state program and funded with MOE funds. This change in July 2003 was a change in funding, not a change in eligibility requirements or case payment amounts. It ended in September 2006 when VIEW transitional payments (VTP) were introduced. Adjustments have been made to take out VTP cases. Also, TANF-UP cases are currently funded with only state monies.

VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). Beginning in October 2006, VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) were introduced. These are payments of \$50 per client per month for one year to VIEW clients that leave “regular” TANF with employment sufficient to meet Federal work participation requirements. The employment must be maintained to be eligible for VTP. Previously, VTP clients normally would have remained VIEW clients for five or six months of job-follow-up. Thus, the VTP program has had a diminishing effect on some of the statistics in this report such as end of month percent employed and average wage. See graph on page 5a.

Time That Data Represent. The data in the top sections of page 4 and pages 8 – 24 are point in time data as of the date indicated. The year to date section represents state fiscal year to date data, with the exception of three month retention (see above). The bottom section is cumulative from when the locality began VIEW.

General Statement About Accuracy. The report is based on an extract from the Employment Services automated system. The extract is normally made the night of the first work day after the end of the report month. Data that staff of local social services agencies enter into the automated system after the time of the extract are not included in the report, even though the activity reflected actually occurred in the report month. However, that data, and the activity reflected, are included in the reports for subsequent months. Further, because the data are entered by staff in the 120 localities, the accuracy of the data in the data base is, of course, subject to inadvertent error.


- ¹ Applications are not necessarily acted upon in the month that they are received. Also, applications may be transferred from regular TANF to TANF-UP and vice versa as they are processed.
- ² These TANF cases paid counts are prior to possible adjustment. See definition of payment cases. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes.
- ³ VIEW enrolled counts do not include VTP clients
- ⁴ A case may be open in more than one locality during the time period.
- ⁵ Prior to June 1999, when individuals were transferred from one locality to another, their history was transferred as well. This may have an effect on a locality's cumulative VIEW statistics.
- ⁶ The minimum wage rose from \$6.55 to \$7.25 an hour on 7/24/09. The report reflects initial wages so some effect on wages in the report was delayed. The average wage includes full and part time, unless otherwise indicated. See the definition of average wage on page 42.
- ⁷ Includes full and part time earnings.
- ⁸ This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed three months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed three months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous. See definition on page 43 for the revised method of calculating the year to date percentages.
- ⁹ Until the July 2011 report, this was employed after five months. However, on July 1, 2011 the number of required job follow-ups was reduced to three. Thus, data for five month job retention became unavailable.
- ¹⁰ Number includes TANF-UP and represents cases carried over to the next month. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes, but with the advent of VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) such occurrences were reduced from earlier months.
- ¹¹ Enrollment is timely if done within 30 days of referral in previous month of the state fiscal year. The monthly percent includes evaluation of clients waiting in the queue. The year to date does not.
- ¹² Placement in a work activity on the 95th day after referral was a measure of timeliness before Oct 1, 2006. The revised definition of work activity has increased the percentage from reports before November 2006. Clients whose cases have closed before the 95th day are excluded, so 100% is an attainable mark.
- ¹⁴ As of the morning of October 1, 2012. Some referrals to the waiting list are not appropriate; there may be considerable lag time in correcting them..

This report is available on the Department of Social Services web site at:
http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial_assistance/tanf.cgi

The scores in the VIEW Rankings are determined by placing a locality on a scale of zero to ten in the same relative position as its place in the difference between the high and low of the measure in question. This converts all measures to a similar scale and provides a more equitable way to combine the ratings in each measure to determine a combined rank. (Questions, please call 804 726-7618.)

Comments on the format or content of the report and requests for Excel files for portions of current and past reports may be sent by e-mail to: william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov.


TANF and TANF-UP Cases Paid at Six Month Intervals, except last month June 1995 through September 2012



This graph illustrates the dramatic decline of the TANF caseload as VIEW was implemented. There was some sign of slowing in the rate of decline after June 1998. Nevertheless, wide community involvement, a strong economy, and the effect of the 24 month rule allowed the caseload to continue to fall until it was near the 30,000 level. There was little net change in the caseload from June 2000 to June 2002, but some upward movement was seen after June 2002, until 2005. The more recent trends are presumed to be a result of economic conditions. Of note is that the caseload leveled off after recession spurred growth and seems now in decline. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

Applications Received with Approval Percentage


Six Month Asymmetrical Moving Averages by Quarter, July 1995 through September 2012



This graph of application activity is presented as six month moving averages to produce smoother lines from which trends may be seen and in recognition that applications are not always acted on in the month received. The trends are not dramatic, but there seems to have been a general decline in the number of applications received until the July 2001 data. The decline in applications received after December 2004 and the more recent changes appear to be reflected in the case load trends. While no reason has been determined for the general decline in percent approved, an apparent reason for the very recent increase is the availability of applications on line that offers ready access for applying to multiple assistance programs. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

TANF Cases, VIEW Enrolled, and Employed VIEW Participants


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. September is the last month



This graph shows that the number enrolled in VIEW increased during early implementation and then generally tracked the number of cases. The effects of recent economic conditions are apparent. A VIEW case may remain open for some months after the TANF case closes, if job follow-up is being done and the case has not been converted to the VIEW Transitional Payment program. It is unlikely that the number employed will ever equal the number enrolled because there are always new enrollees without employment, and there are some enrollees in work activities, other than employment, that meet VIEW requirements. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months. It includes TANF-UP

Chart Displaying the Relationships Between TANF and VIEW Participation


Sep 30, 2012



This chart illustrates that there are a large number of adults who are exempt from VIEW and that many of the VIEW enrollees have had their TANF cases closed. They are now in a job follow-up phase of VIEW. They have not had their VIEW case closed and become enrolled in the VIEW Transitional Payment program. The source of this information is a monthly TANF extract from ADAPT and the monthly extract from ESPAS, the VIEW automated data system. The extracts were made at the beginning of October and represent end of September data. TANF-UP is included.

VIEW Percent Total Employed and Average Wage at End of Month


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. September is the last month.



This graph shows that the average wage has seen a general increase as the percent of VIEW employed initially increased and then leveled. Besides employment, VIEW participants may be in various other activities or initial assessment and job search. It is unlikely that the percent employed will rise more, and the recent economic conditions may have caused a decline. The wages shown are generally the initial wages. When the average wage was calculated at about the end of September it was \$8.33 rather than the \$8.25 initial wages used to calculate wages shown on this graph. See page 42 of the report for an explanation of why the VIEW average wage might decline after October 2006 and note 6 on page 44 for the effect of the increase in minimum wage. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.

Percent of Jobs Found During a Month That Were Full Time and Percent Part Time

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. September is the last month



This graph illustrates an initial trend of VIEW participants obtaining a greater percent of full time jobs than part time. After the initial months, the trend seems to be fairly level with an increase in the percent full time after TANF reauthorization which probably reflects increased emphasis on meeting the required TANF work participation rate. The more recent changes are presumed to be a result of economic developments. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.