

VIRGINIA INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM

MONTHLY REPORT
Including Graphs of Statewide Trends
October 2012

Monthly Report, October 2012

Table of Contents

Work Participation Estimates (from the VDSS data warehouse) (A work participation estimate percent should not be compared to a percent in a work activity in the main body of the report which includes only clients in VIEW and does not consider the number of hours in a work activity during a month)	i-vi
TANF, TANF-UP Case Activity.....	1
Graphs of Case Activity.....	at end
VIEW Work Activity	
Statewide	4
Graphs of Statewide Activity.....	at end
EDD1.....	8
EDD2.....	9
EDD3.....	10
EDD4.....	11
EDD5 & EDD18.....	12
EDD6.....	13
EDD7.....	14
EDD8.....	15
EDD9.....	16
EDD10.....	17
EDD11.....	18
EDD14.....	19
EDD1.....	20
EDD3.....	21
EDD11.....	22
EDD15.....	23
EDD17.....	24
VIEW Year to Date Rankings.....	25-27
VIEW Cumulative Rankings.....	28-30
VIEW Timeliness Measures.....	31-39
Child Support Enforcement.....	40
Diversion.....	41
VIEW Definition Sheet and Endnotes.....	42-44

Estimated Work Participation Rates for October

These are estimated locality October 2012 work participation rates that include clients receiving either TANF or VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). The official participation rate will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated October statewide rate is 38% with a numerator of 6,811 and a denominator of 18,135. The data for this month were not extracted on schedule, so for some localities, the numerator is less than it normally would have been.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	12	83	14%	061	Fauquier	22	58	38%
003	Albemarle	32	69	46%	063	Floyd	10	29	34%
005	Alleghany/Covington	18	57	32%	065	Fluvanna	5	25	20%
007	Amelia	15	37	41%	067	Franklin County	53	129	41%
009	Amherst	14	49	29%	069	Frederick	9	80	11%
011	Appomattox	27	49	55%	071	Giles	5	27	19%
013	Arlington	42	120	35%	073	Gloucester	17	46	37%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	154	273	56%	075	Goochland	16	34	47%
017	Bath	0	1	0%	077	Grayson	11	34	32%
019	Bedford County/City	41	94	44%	079	Greene	1	14	7%
021	Bland	3	5	60%	081	Greensville/Emporia	33	93	35%
023	Botetourt	4	16	25%	083	Halifax	31	86	36%
025	Brunswick	45	84	54%	085	Hanover	18	88	20%
027	Buchanan	13	45	29%	087	Henrico	278	872	32%
029	Buckingham	21	35	60%	089	Henry/Martinsville	72	229	31%
031	Campbell	69	161	43%	091	Highland	1	1	100%
033	Caroline	13	119	11%	093	Isle of Wight	17	58	29%
035	Carroll	34	56	61%	095	James City	33	101	33%
036	Charles City	2	11	18%	097	King and Queen	2	15	13%
037	Charlotte	24	62	39%	099	King George	8	23	35%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	184	538	34%	101	King William	13	25	52%
043	Clarke	1	7	14%	103	Lancaster	7	23	30%
045	Craig	3	6	50%	105	Lee	58	129	45%
047	Culpeper	38	87	44%	107	Loudoun	67	151	44%
049	Cumberland	12	39	31%	109	Louisa	21	56	38%
051	Dickensen	8	16	50%	111	Lunenburg	12	37	32%
053	Dinwiddie	20	48	42%	113	Madison	3	11	27%
057	Essex	19	42	45%	115	Mathews	5	19	26%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	328	747	44%	117	Mecklenburg	29	63	46%

Estimated Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent
119	Middlesex	22	34	65%	187	Warren	23	70	33%
121	Montgomery	54	139	39%	191	Washington	34	67	51%
125	Nelson	9	20	45%	193	Westmoreland	20	45	44%
127	New Kent	6	27	22%	195	Wise	80	162	49%
131	Northampton	18	53	34%	197	Wythe	26	59	44%
133	Northumberland	4	11	36%	199	York/Poquoson	36	88	41%
135	Nottoway	24	106	23%	510	Alexandria	103	236	44%
137	Orange	18	44	41%	520	Bristol	82	157	52%
139	Page	9	27	33%	540	Charlottesville	77	200	39%
141	Patrick	26	58	45%	550	Chesapeake	289	660	44%
143	Pittsylvania	25	79	32%	590	Danville	101	226	45%
145	Powhatan	10	24	42%	620	Franklin City	35	68	51%
147	Prince Edward	27	67	40%	630	Fredericksburg	36	97	37%
149	Prince George	16	50	32%	640	Galax	21	37	57%
153	Prince William	317	666	48%	650	Hampton	315	799	39%
155	Pulaski	31	69	45%	670	Hopewell	18	185	10%
157	Rappahannock	0	7	0%	680	Lynchburg	126	321	39%
159	Richmond County	4	15	27%	683	Manassas	35	69	51%
161	Roanoke County	61	139	44%	685	Manassas Park	7	17	41%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	16	34	47%	700	Newport News	332	1,065	31%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	53	154	34%	710	Norfolk	539	1,307	41%
167	Russell	27	93	29%	720	Norton	5	27	19%
169	Scott	14	75	19%	730	Petersburg	127	309	41%
171	Shenandoah	8	21	38%	740	Portsmouth	197	592	33%
173	Smyth	15	109	14%	750	Radford	4	32	13%
175	Southampton	1	53	2%	760	Richmond City	543	1,580	34%
177	Spotsylvania	66	277	24%	770	Roanoke City	186	552	34%
179	Stafford	72	172	42%	800	Suffolk	70	191	37%
181	Surry	13	26	50%	810	Virginia Beach	231	505	46%
183	Sussex	22	47	47%	830	Williamsburg	5	24	21%
185	Tazewell	45	118	38%	840	Winchester	22	62	35%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF and VTP recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rate presented here is the all families rate, i. e. the overall rate, including VTP..

Estimated TANF only Work Participation Rates for October

These are estimated locality October 2012 work participation rates that include only clients receiving TANF. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated October statewide rate is 30% with a numerator of 4,768 and a denominator of 15,903. VTP only estimates are on pages v and vi. The data for this month were not extracted on schedule, so for some localities, the numerator is less than it normally would have been.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	7	77	9%	061	Fauquier	13	48	27%
003	Albemarle	13	47	28%	063	Floyd	7	26	27%
005	Alleghany/Covington	15	54	28%	065	Fluvanna	3	22	14%
007	Amelia	12	34	35%	067	Franklin County	37	111	33%
009	Amherst	6	39	15%	069	Frederick	3	74	4%
011	Appomattox	19	40	48%	071	Giles	0	22	0%
013	Arlington	24	102	24%	073	Gloucester	14	43	33%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	107	226	47%	075	Goochland	10	28	36%
017	Bath	0	1	0%	077	Grayson	6	29	21%
019	Bedford County/City	28	81	35%	079	Greene	0	12	0%
021	Bland	0	2	0%	081	Greensville/Emporia	26	85	31%
023	Botetourt	3	15	20%	083	Halifax	21	76	28%
025	Brunswick	31	66	47%	085	Hanover	6	74	8%
027	Buchanan	12	44	27%	087	Henrico	193	775	25%
029	Buckingham	14	28	50%	089	Henry/Martinsville	46	202	23%
031	Campbell	51	143	36%	091	Highland	1	1	100%
033	Caroline	10	108	9%	093	Isle of Wight	10	49	20%
035	Carroll	26	48	54%	095	James City	23	90	26%
036	Charles City	2	11	18%	097	King and Queen	1	13	8%
037	Charlotte	19	57	33%	099	King George	6	21	29%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	125	474	26%	101	King William	8	20	40%
043	Clarke	1	7	14%	103	Lancaster	5	21	24%
045	Craig	0	3	0%	105	Lee	40	109	37%
047	Culpeper	30	76	39%	107	Loudoun	23	106	22%
049	Cumberland	10	37	27%	109	Louisa	17	52	33%
051	Dickensen	5	13	38%	111	Lunenburg	10	34	29%
053	Dinwiddie	12	40	30%	113	Madison	3	11	27%
057	Essex	16	39	41%	115	Mathews	5	18	28%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	216	628	34%	117	Mecklenburg	19	53	36%

Estimated TANF Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	20	32	63%	187	Warren	9	55	16%
121	Montgomery	38	122	31%	191	Washington	30	63	48%
125	Nelson	6	16	38%	193	Westmoreland	17	42	40%
127	New Kent	4	21	19%	195	Wise	63	145	43%
131	Northampton	16	51	31%	197	Wythe	14	46	30%
133	Northumberland	1	8	13%	199	York/Poquoson	25	77	32%
135	Nottoway	8	90	9%	510	Alexandria	71	200	36%
137	Orange	15	40	38%	520	Bristol	73	147	50%
139	Page	6	23	26%	540	Charlottesville	53	175	30%
141	Patrick	16	48	33%	550	Chesapeake	215	582	37%
143	Pittsylvania	22	76	29%	590	Danville	80	204	39%
145	Powhatan	7	21	33%	620	Franklin City	30	62	48%
147	Prince Edward	16	56	29%	630	Fredericksburg	18	77	23%
149	Prince George	13	47	28%	640	Galax	16	32	50%
153	Prince William	177	520	34%	650	Hampton	220	691	32%
155	Pulaski	22	60	37%	670	Hopewell	7	169	4%
157	Rappahannock	0	6	0%	680	Lynchburg	105	298	35%
159	Richmond County	3	14	21%	683	Manassas	21	55	38%
161	Roanoke County	30	107	28%	685	Manassas Park	3	13	23%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	12	30	40%	700	Newport News	224	947	24%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	20	118	17%	710	Norfolk	452	1,216	37%
167	Russell	18	84	21%	720	Norton	1	22	5%
169	Scott	6	67	9%	730	Petersburg	92	269	34%
171	Shenandoah	5	18	28%	740	Portsmouth	145	538	27%
173	Smyth	3	96	3%	750	Radford	1	28	4%
175	Southampton	1	50	2%	760	Richmond City	435	1,453	30%
177	Spotsylvania	41	237	17%	770	Roanoke City	114	476	24%
179	Stafford	48	148	32%	800	Suffolk	53	174	30%
181	Surry	9	22	41%	810	Virginia Beach	158	432	37%
183	Sussex	16	40	40%	830	Williamsburg	4	20	20%
185	Tazewell	32	104	31%	840	Winchester	18	58	31%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are for the TANF all family rate not including VTP.

Estimated VTP only Work Participation Rates for October

These are estimated locality October 2012 work participation rates that include only clients receiving VTP. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated October statewide rate is 92% with a numerator of 2,043 and a denominator of 2,232. TANF only estimates are on pages iii and iv. The data for this month were not extracted on schedule, so for some localities, the numerator may be less than it normally would have been.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	5	6	83%	061	Fauquier	9	10	90%
003	Albemarle	19	22	86%	063	Floyd	3	3	100%
005	Alleghany/Covington	3	3	100%	065	Fluvanna	2	3	67%
007	Amelia	3	3	100%	067	Franklin County	16	18	89%
009	Amherst	8	10	80%	069	Frederick	6	6	100%
011	Appomattox	8	9	89%	071	Giles	5	5	100%
013	Arlington	18	18	100%	073	Gloucester	3	3	100%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	47	47	100%	075	Goochland	6	6	100%
017	Bath	0	0	N/A	077	Grayson	5	5	100%
019	Bedford County/City	13	13	100%	079	Greene	1	2	50%
021	Bland	3	3	100%	081	Greensville/Emporia	7	8	88%
023	Botetourt	1	1	100%	083	Halifax	10	10	100%
025	Brunswick	14	18	78%	085	Hanover	12	14	86%
027	Buchanan	1	1	100%	087	Henrico	85	97	88%
029	Buckingham	7	7	100%	089	Henry/Martinsville	26	27	96%
031	Campbell	18	18	100%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	3	11	27%	093	Isle of Wight	7	9	78%
035	Carroll	8	8	100%	095	James City	10	11	91%
036	Charles City	0	0	N/A	097	King and Queen	1	2	50%
037	Charlotte	5	5	100%	099	King George	2	2	100%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	59	64	92%	101	King William	5	5	100%
043	Clarke	0	0	N/A	103	Lancaster	2	2	100%
045	Craig	3	3	100%	105	Lee	18	20	90%
047	Culpeper	8	11	73%	107	Loudoun	44	45	98%
049	Cumberland	2	2	100%	109	Louisa	4	4	100%
051	Dickensen	3	3	100%	111	Lunenburg	2	3	67%
053	Dinwiddie	8	8	100%	113	Madison	0	0	N/A
057	Essex	3	3	100%	115	Mathews	0	1	0%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	112	119	94%	117	Mecklenburg	10	10	100%

Estimated VTP Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	2	2	100%	187	Warren	14	15	93%
121	Montgomery	16	17	94%	191	Washington	4	4	100%
125	Nelson	3	4	75%	193	Westmoreland	3	3	100%
127	New Kent	2	6	33%	195	Wise	17	17	100%
131	Northampton	2	2	100%	197	Wythe	12	13	92%
133	Northumberland	3	3	100%	199	York/Poquoson	11	11	100%
135	Nottoway	16	16	100%	510	Alexandria	32	36	89%
137	Orange	3	4	75%	520	Bristol	9	10	90%
139	Page	3	4	75%	540	Charlottesville	24	25	96%
141	Patrick	10	10	100%	550	Chesapeake	74	78	95%
143	Pittsylvania	3	3	100%	590	Danville	21	22	95%
145	Powhatan	3	3	100%	620	Franklin City	5	6	83%
147	Prince Edward	11	11	100%	630	Fredericksburg	18	20	90%
149	Prince George	3	3	100%	640	Galax	5	5	100%
153	Prince William	140	146	96%	650	Hampton	95	108	88%
155	Pulaski	9	9	100%	670	Hopewell	11	16	69%
157	Rappahannock	0	1	0%	680	Lynchburg	21	23	91%
159	Richmond County	1	1	100%	683	Manassas	14	14	100%
161	Roanoke County	31	32	97%	685	Manassas Park	4	4	100%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	4	4	100%	700	Newport News	108	118	92%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	33	36	92%	710	Norfolk	87	91	96%
167	Russell	9	9	100%	720	Norton	4	5	80%
169	Scott	8	8	100%	730	Petersburg	35	40	88%
171	Shenandoah	3	3	100%	740	Portsmouth	52	54	96%
173	Smyth	12	13	92%	750	Radford	3	4	75%
175	Southampton	0	3	0%	760	Richmond City	108	127	85%
177	Spotsylvania	25	40	63%	770	Roanoke City	72	76	95%
179	Stafford	24	24	100%	800	Suffolk	17	17	100%
181	Surry	4	4	100%	810	Virginia Beach	73	73	100%
183	Sussex	6	7	86%	830	Williamsburg	1	4	25%
185	Tazewell	13	14	93%	840	Winchester	4	4	100%

All VTP recipients are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” Thus, all VTP cases are in the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, job follow-up entries are reviewed to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. The basic requirement for VTP recipients is employment for at least 30 hours per week. The requirement is contained in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are also sometimes referred to as the rates for the maintenance of effort program caseload. .

TANF

	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
TANF Case Activity	Oct-12	Oct-11	Change from Oct-11	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	6,278	5,243	20%	4,807	31%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	4,288	2,936	46%	1,658	159%
Applications Approved	2,410	2,256	7%	2,820	-15%
Cases Closed *	2,434	2,497	-3%	4,476	-46%
Cases at End of Month	30,683	32,529	-6%	67,995	-55%
Cases Paid During Month ²	31,746	33,650	-6%	70,403	-55%

•The database indicates that of the 2,434 closed cases, 989 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 698 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 190 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 130 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

TANF-UP

	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
TANF-UP Case Activity	Oct-12	Oct-11	Change from Oct-11	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	621	497	25%	338	84%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	432	264	64%	232	86%
Applications Approved	232	232	0%	81	186%
Cases Closed *	239	264	-9%	107	123%
Cases at End of Month	1,615	1,892	-15%	321	403%
Cases Paid During Month ²	1,753	2,004	-13%	394	345%

•The database indicates that of the 239 closed cases, 125 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 91 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 24 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those 18 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

Statewide - Status through October 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/12 and Work Activity as of 10/31/12

Current Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	32,298	1,589	998	2,482	867	495	3,605	352	629	1,269	1,420	1,042	5,339	1,093	426	3,383	1,153	5,885	271
Total Enrolled in VIEW	13,105	543	468	869	336	195	1,401	132	309	568	442	471	2,252	549	168	1,442	489	2,377	94
Part. in a Work Activity	9,907	422	355	635	246	133	1,104	92	258	421	342	378	1,649	363	132	1,147	338	1,831	61
% of Enrolled	76%	78%	76%	73%	73%	68%	79%	70%	83%	74%	77%	80%	73%	66%	79%	80%	69%	77%	65%
Part. Assigned to Inactive	132	10	3	3	1	6	17	1	6	1	0	5	22	6	1	16	12	22	0
Participants Employed	6,594	283	199	492	219	95	681	68	166	294	209	213	1,036	274	96	744	247	1,226	52
% of Enrolled	50%	52%	43%	57%	65%	49%	49%	52%	54%	52%	47%	45%	46%	50%	57%	52%	51%	52%	55%
Full Time	5,196	246	171	423	189	77	515	53	111	215	174	146	759	197	79	560	198	1,042	41
Part Time	1,398	37	28	69	30	18	166	15	55	79	35	67	277	77	17	184	49	184	11
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.24	\$7.84	\$7.88	\$8.04	\$8.06	\$8.24	\$9.35	\$8.48	\$8.45	\$7.91	\$7.85	\$7.65	\$8.35	\$8.47	\$8.20	\$8.19	\$8.00	\$8.05	\$8.05
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,097	\$1,082	\$1,083	\$1,124	\$1,130	\$1,119	\$1,237	\$1,139	\$1,075	\$1,029	\$1,085	\$966	\$1,096	\$1,092	\$1,090	\$1,068	\$1,096	\$1,069	\$1,115

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – October 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	11,550	567	401	968	350	177	1,357	144	247	402	514	407	1,665	429	150	1,245	362	2,069	96
Total Enrolled in VIEW	19,907	867	687	1,436	529	330	2,170	225	453	849	757	715	3,205	819	250	2,235	719	3,519	142
Part. in a Work Activity	17,038	792	599	1,206	465	271	1,894	189	425	721	657	617	2,684	651	221	1,890	608	3,030	118
% of Total Enrolled	86%	91%	87%	84%	88%	82%	87%	84%	94%	85%	87%	86%	84%	79%	88%	85%	85%	86%	83%
Participants Employed	10,155	452	307	802	350	168	1,099	117	250	454	346	332	1,531	433	138	1,118	381	1,806	71
% of Total Enrolled	51%	52%	45%	56%	66%	51%	51%	52%	55%	53%	46%	46%	48%	53%	55%	50%	53%	51%	50%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.36	\$7.92	\$7.93	\$8.12	\$8.18	\$8.26	\$9.66	\$8.58	\$8.54	\$7.95	\$7.94	\$7.86	\$8.47	\$8.62	\$8.13	\$8.26	\$8.08	\$8.13	\$8.08
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,088	\$1,077	\$1,074	\$1,107	\$1,104	\$1,101	\$1,273	\$1,146	\$1,026	\$974	\$1,074	\$974	\$1,087	\$1,069	\$1,048	\$1,055	\$1,092	\$1,053	\$1,047
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	66%	63%	61%	72%	65%	76%	74%	68%	67%	66%	72%	74%	78%	71%	72%	70%	71%	79%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	280,127	17,258	10,554	20,902	8,243	5,405	34,854	3,979	6,071	10,535	12,962	8,085	37,349	8,822	3,705	26,724	9,424	52,713	2,542
Total Enrolled in VIEW ⁵	215,426	13,278	8,874	16,072	6,355	4,033	26,894	3,005	4,691	8,761	10,383	6,284	29,305	6,508	2,766	20,198	7,406	38,736	1,877
Part. in a Work Activity	205,899	12,781	8,503	15,316	6,183	3,817	25,922	2,897	4,580	8,269	10,039	6,007	28,139	6,139	2,596	19,217	7,064	36,618	1,812
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	96%	95%	97%	95%	96%	96%	98%	94%	97%	96%	96%	94%	94%	95%	95%	95%	97%
Participants Employed	145,254	8,333	5,720	10,897	4,412	2,622	18,897	2,014	3,493	5,982	6,356	3,816	19,742	4,275	1,736	13,960	5,038	26,907	1,054
% of Total Enrolled	67%	63%	64%	68%	69%	65%	70%	67%	74%	68%	61%	61%	67%	66%	63%	69%	68%	69%	56%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.20	\$6.26	\$6.37	\$7.13	\$7.20	\$7.40	\$8.72	\$7.50	\$7.46	\$6.64	\$6.44	\$6.82	\$7.39	\$7.97	\$7.05	\$7.07	\$7.04	\$6.90	\$6.77
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$950	\$825	\$862	\$957	\$956	\$988	\$1,155	\$988	\$949	\$859	\$858	\$879	\$987	\$1,022	\$898	\$923	\$948	\$900	\$852
Three Months Employment ⁹	67%	67%	62%	60%	67%	63%	71%	64%	63%	64%	63%	65%	70%	66%	58%	66%	65%	67%	72%

EDDI - Southwest Region: Status through October 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/12 and Work Activity as of 10/31/12

Current Data	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,589	156	60	300	213	165	240	409	46
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	543	39	10	124	78	69	82	125	16
Participants in a Work Activity	422	32	8	102	69	46	70	89	6
% of Enrolled	78%	82%	80%	82%	88%	67%	85%	71%	38%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	10	0	0	0	4	3	1	2	0
Participants Employed	283	18	5	63	24	33	51	83	6
% of Enrolled	52%	46%	50%	51%	31%	48%	62%	66%	38%
Full Time	246	13	5	54	22	28	46	73	5
Part Time	37	5	0	9	2	5	5	10	1
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.84	\$7.48	\$7.66	\$7.57	\$7.99	\$7.83	\$8.23	\$7.87	\$7.75
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,082	\$1,018	\$1,182	\$1,032	\$1,081	\$1,040	\$1,168	\$1,091	\$1,099

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – October 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	567	53	18	100	81	54	102	143	16
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	867	62	24	184	128	101	130	212	26
Participants in a Work Activity	792	61	21	176	115	86	127	187	19
% of Total Enrolled	91%	98%	88%	96%	90%	85%	98%	88%	73%
Participants Employed	452	25	10	101	44	51	76	131	14
% of Total Enrolled	52%	40%	42%	55%	34%	50%	58%	62%	54%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.92	\$7.47	\$7.60	\$7.71	\$8.14	\$7.83	\$8.32	\$7.93	\$7.94
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,077	\$1,011	\$1,159	\$1,024	\$1,096	\$1,051	\$1,148	\$1,083	\$1,093
Three Months Employment ⁸	66%	76%	65%	68%	69%	73%	61%	64%	58%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	17,258	1,677	1,192	2,438	2,378	1,694	3,295	4,028	556
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	13,278	1,270	926	1,869	1,911	1,243	2,520	3,100	439
Participants in a Work Activity	12,781	1,191	873	1,855	1,817	1,219	2,432	2,992	402
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	94%	99%	95%	98%	97%	97%	92%
Participants Employed	8,333	678	557	1,220	1,159	724	1,699	1,969	327
% of Total Enrolled	63%	53%	60%	65%	61%	58%	67%	64%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.26	\$6.40	\$6.29	\$6.03	\$6.43	\$6.55	\$6.17	\$6.26	\$6.07
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$825	\$838	\$834	\$793	\$854	\$885	\$815	\$823	\$750
Three Months Employment ⁹	67%	63%	55%	72%	67%	77%	65%	66%	71%

EDD2 – Bristol-Galax Region: Status through October 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/12 and Work Activity as of 10/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	998	13	128	51	192	186	100	269	59
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	468	0	50	16	84	65	30	189	34
Participants in a Work Activity	355	0	44	12	62	40	18	155	24
% of Enrolled	76%	N/A	88%	75%	74%	62%	60%	82%	71%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0
Participants Employed	199	0	29	8	36	31	18	60	17
% of Enrolled	43%	N/A	58%	50%	43%	48%	60%	32%	50%
Full Time	171	0	27	8	36	29	16	42	13
Part Time	28	0	2	0	0	2	2	18	4
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.88	N/A	\$7.68	\$7.64	\$8.05	\$7.88	\$7.66	\$7.92	\$8.08
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,083	N/A	\$1,072	\$1,145	\$1,210	\$1,124	\$1,022	\$1,012	\$1,040

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – October 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	401	5	37	17	91	63	41	119	28
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	687	4	73	29	131	99	42	260	49
Participants in a Work Activity	599	2	66	28	109	87	38	228	41
% of Total Enrolled	87%	50%	90%	97%	83%	88%	90%	88%	84%
Participants Employed	307	2	36	14	57	52	28	94	24
% of Total Enrolled	45%	50%	49%	48%	44%	53%	67%	36%	49%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.93	\$9.38	\$7.87	\$7.82	\$8.31	\$7.90	\$7.64	\$7.83	\$8.03
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,074	\$1,355	\$1,102	\$1,112	\$1,232	\$1,098	\$1,028	\$999	\$935
Three Months Employment ⁸	63%	60%	65%	75%	58%	74%	57%	59%	77%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	10,554	260	1,415	671	1,848	1,816	1,568	2,241	735
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	8,874	194	1,172	546	1,557	1,511	1,246	2,004	644
Participants in a Work Activity	8,503	182	1,082	509	1,501	1,471	1,222	1,925	611
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	92%	93%	96%	97%	98%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	5,720	117	779	358	905	966	836	1,354	405
% of Total Enrolled	64%	60%	66%	66%	58%	64%	67%	68%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.37	\$6.26	\$6.18	\$6.40	\$6.20	\$6.61	\$6.35	\$6.43	\$6.34
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$862	\$860	\$831	\$855	\$853	\$895	\$842	\$871	\$888
Three Months Employment ⁹	62%	56%	54%	61%	64%	59%	66%	62%	70%

EDD3 – Roanoke Region: Status through October 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/12 and Work Activity as of 10/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	2,482	108	40	10	64	236	64	302	184	248	74	1,152
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	869	37	16	2	23	112	19	92	49	92	33	394
Participants in a Work Activity	635	26	8	2	21	96	15	74	41	79	27	246
% of Enrolled	73%	70%	50%	100%	91%	86%	79%	80%	84%	86%	82%	62%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
Participants Employed	492	16	8	1	14	43	7	71	31	60	24	217
% of Enrolled	57%	43%	50%	50%	61%	38%	37%	77%	63%	65%	73%	55%
Full Time	423	11	6	1	12	34	5	64	28	48	20	194
Part Time	69	5	2	0	2	9	2	7	3	12	4	23
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.04	\$8.04	\$8.07	\$8.00	\$8.18	\$8.10	\$7.91	\$8.02	\$7.75	\$8.14	\$7.82	\$8.06
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,124	\$1,084	\$1,031	\$1,039	\$1,107	\$1,089	\$1,013	\$1,126	\$1,089	\$1,083	\$948	\$1,179

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – October 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	968	44	8	3	24	83	30	101	59	93	29	494
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,436	58	24	4	37	157	38	166	90	145	45	672
Participants in a Work Activity	1,206	51	15	4	32	141	33	142	82	126	40	540
% of Total Enrolled	84%	88%	63%	100%	86%	90%	87%	86%	91%	87%	89%	80%
Participants Employed	802	33	10	1	20	70	17	120	55	98	29	349
% of Total Enrolled	56%	57%	42%	25%	54%	45%	45%	72%	61%	68%	64%	52%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.12	\$7.99	\$8.08	\$8.00	\$8.44	\$8.09	\$7.96	\$8.02	\$7.89	\$8.58	\$7.85	\$8.10
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,107	\$982	\$985	\$1,032	\$1,227	\$1,062	\$1,031	\$1,100	\$1,092	\$1,145	\$968	\$1,134
Three Months Employment ⁸	61%	50%	83%	50%	43%	62%	56%	61%	58%	60%	77%	63%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	20,902	1,160	352	95	543	1,839	534	2,955	1,827	2,285	819	8,493
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	16,072	879	223	55	396	1,413	389	2,419	1,450	1,833	639	6,376
Participants in a Work Activity	15,316	845	196	54	383	1,271	381	2,377	1,395	1,728	614	6,072
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	88%	98%	97%	90%	98%	98%	96%	94%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	10,897	621	99	28	260	871	227	1,900	922	1,362	449	4,158
% of Total Enrolled	68%	71%	44%	51%	66%	62%	58%	79%	64%	74%	70%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.13	\$6.88	\$7.35	\$7.24	\$7.01	\$7.04	\$7.09	\$6.96	\$6.81	\$7.64	\$7.02	\$7.21
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$957	\$838	\$935	\$1,039	\$937	\$950	\$954	\$936	\$942	\$1,044	\$907	\$973
Three Months Employment ⁹	60%	58%	58%	62%	55%	58%	53%	64%	54%	58%	61%	62%

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: Status through October 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/12 and Work Activity as of 10/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	867	510	2	1	78	276
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	336	205	0	1	22	108
Participants in a Work Activity	246	150	0	1	17	78
% of Enrolled	73%	73%	N/A	100%	77%	72%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	0	0	1
Participants Employed	219	138	0	1	13	67
% of Enrolled	65%	67%	N/A	100%	59%	62%
Full Time	189	117	0	1	12	59
Part Time	30	21	0	0	1	8
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.06	\$7.94	N/A	\$8.00	\$7.72	\$8.39
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,130	\$1,094	N/A	\$1,386	\$1,055	\$1,213

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – October 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	350	202	0	3	15	130
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	529	307	2	1	40	179
Participants in a Work Activity	465	274	2	1	28	160
% of Total Enrolled	88%	89%	100%	100%	70%	89%
Participants Employed	350	199	1	1	23	126
% of Total Enrolled	66%	65%	50%	100%	58%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.18	\$8.05	\$7.25	\$8.00	\$7.89	\$8.43
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,104	\$1,055	\$1,091	\$1,376	\$987	\$1,198
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	71%	100%	N/A	70%	70%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	8,243	4,428	79	22	718	2,996
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	6,355	3,471	50	8	533	2,293
Participants in a Work Activity	6,183	3,406	50	8	492	2,227
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	100%	100%	92%	97%
Participants Employed	4,412	2,318	32	6	380	1,676
% of Total Enrolled	69%	67%	64%	75%	71%	73%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.20	\$7.19	\$6.56	\$7.79	\$6.75	\$7.32
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$956	\$960	\$717	\$784	\$866	\$976
Three Months Employment ⁹	67%	67%	61%	83%	67%	68%

EDDS 5 & 18 – Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: Status through October 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/12 and Work Activity as of 10/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	495	18	165	57	33	119	103	271	182	89
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	195	3	61	33	5	49	44	94	51	43
Participants in a Work Activity	133	2	36	26	4	30	35	61	35	26
% of Enrolled	68%	67%	59%	79%	80%	61%	80%	65%	69%	60%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	6	0	1	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	95	1	30	17	3	23	21	52	27	25
% of Enrolled	49%	33%	49%	52%	60%	47%	48%	55%	53%	58%
Full Time	77	1	21	16	3	19	17	41	22	19
Part Time	18	0	9	1	0	4	4	11	5	6
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.24	\$8.00	\$8.79	\$7.75	\$7.55	\$8.07	\$8.16	\$8.05	\$8.26	\$7.82
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,119	\$1,039	\$1,169	\$1,125	\$1,098	\$1,098	\$1,073	\$1,115	\$1,203	\$1,020

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – October 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	177	5	56	23	13	34	46	96	69	27
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	330	6	87	49	25	76	87	142	91	51
Participants in a Work Activity	271	5	67	41	20	58	80	118	74	44
% of Total Enrolled	82%	83%	77%	84%	80%	76%	92%	83%	81%	86%
Participants Employed	168	2	43	30	9	35	49	71	40	31
% of Total Enrolled	51%	33%	49%	61%	36%	46%	56%	50%	44%	61%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.26	\$8.38	\$8.84	\$7.63	\$8.27	\$8.16	\$8.20	\$8.08	\$8.16	\$7.98
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,101	\$1,269	\$1,164	\$1,004	\$1,203	\$1,098	\$1,083	\$1,047	\$1,106	\$973
Three Months Employment ⁸	65%	67%	63%	70%	67%	69%	60%	79%	73%	85%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	5,405	210	1,177	826	661	1,287	1,244	2,542	1,616	926
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	4,033	128	874	671	403	973	984	1,877	1,168	709
Participants in a Work Activity	3,817	120	815	654	391	901	936	1,812	1,137	675
% of Total Enrolled	95%	94%	93%	97%	97%	93%	95%	97%	97%	95%
Participants Employed	2,622	74	502	465	240	663	678	1,054	597	457
% of Total Enrolled	65%	58%	57%	69%	60%	68%	69%	56%	51%	64%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.40	\$7.46	\$7.77	\$7.34	\$6.76	\$7.47	\$7.32	\$6.77	\$6.81	\$6.72
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$988	\$989	\$1,012	\$992	\$929	\$1,012	\$967	\$852	\$857	\$847
Three Months Employment ⁹	63%	63%	62%	60%	70%	69%	59%	72%	65%	80%

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: Status through October 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/12 and Work Activity as of 10/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	3,605	252	1,452	224	1,077	408	153	39
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,401	78	503	127	490	149	45	9
Participants in a Work Activity	1,104	56	431	89	364	122	37	5
% of Enrolled	79%	72%	86%	70%	74%	82%	82%	56%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	17	1	8	0	2	3	3	0
Participants Employed	681	26	248	60	255	67	24	1
% of Enrolled	49%	33%	49%	47%	52%	45%	53%	11%
Full Time	515	15	187	31	203	58	20	1
Part Time	166	11	61	29	52	9	4	0
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.35	\$9.11	\$9.53	\$9.52	\$9.27	\$9.22	\$8.58	\$10.00
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,237	\$1,124	\$1,263	\$1,155	\$1,241	\$1,268	\$1,157	\$1,732

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – October 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	1,357	83	524	83	454	154	46	13
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,170	129	821	189	738	205	70	18
Participants in a Work Activity	1,894	110	750	154	621	185	61	13
% of Total Enrolled	87%	85%	91%	81%	84%	90%	87%	72%
Participants Employed	1,099	46	407	102	410	100	32	2
% of Total Enrolled	51%	36%	50%	54%	56%	49%	46%	11%
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.66	\$9.85	\$9.76	\$10.25	\$9.47	\$9.39	\$9.23	\$11.97
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,273	\$1,285	\$1,304	\$1,295	\$1,240	\$1,249	\$1,212	\$1,818
Three Months Employment ⁸	76%	63%	73%	87%	76%	81%	71%	88%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	34,854	2,923	12,365	2,475	11,181	3,982	1,489	439
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	26,894	2,291	9,334	1,969	8,696	3,133	1,151	320
Participants in a Work Activity	25,922	2,264	8,989	1,883	8,352	2,999	1,121	314
% of Total Enrolled	96%	99%	96%	96%	96%	96%	97%	98%
Participants Employed	18,897	1,637	6,286	1,524	6,282	2,198	743	227
% of Total Enrolled	70%	71%	67%	77%	72%	70%	65%	71%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.72	\$8.31	\$8.75	\$9.30	\$8.80	\$8.40	\$8.24	\$8.19
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,155	\$1,071	\$1,156	\$1,201	\$1,193	\$1,077	\$1,107	\$1,093
Three Months Employment ⁹	71%	70%	69%	72%	71%	77%	71%	78%

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: Status through October 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/12 and Work Activity as of 10/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	352	149	80	26	89	8
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	132	62	26	8	29	7
Participants in a Work Activity	92	40	19	5	26	2
% of Enrolled	70%	65%	73%	63%	90%	29%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	0	0	1
Participants Employed	68	32	14	3	19	0
% of Enrolled	52%	52%	54%	38%	66%	0%
Full Time	53	25	9	2	17	N/A
Part Time	15	7	5	1	2	N/A
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.48	\$8.66	\$8.87	\$7.50	\$8.04	N/A
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,139	\$1,149	\$1,172	\$906	\$1,136	M/A

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – October 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	144	75	37	13	14	5
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	225	96	55	18	49	7
Participants in a Work Activity	189	83	50	12	41	3
% of Total Enrolled	84%	86%	91%	67%	84%	43%
Participants Employed	117	53	32	4	28	0
% of Total Enrolled	52%	55%	58%	22%	57%	0%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.58	\$8.60	\$9.04	\$7.95	\$8.06	N/A
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,146	\$1,132	\$1,273	\$1,068	\$1,027	N/A
Three Months Employment ⁸	74%	78%	63%	67%	94%	N/A

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	3,979	1,533	1,179	371	794	102
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	3,005	1,119	903	272	644	67
Participants in a Work Activity	2,897	1,073	894	264	603	63
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	99%	97%	94%	94%
Participants Employed	2,014	720	622	165	467	40
% of Total Enrolled	67%	64%	69%	61%	73%	60%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.50	\$7.47	\$7.87	\$7.16	\$7.21	\$7.27
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$988	\$970	\$1,029	\$996	\$962	\$958
Three Months Employment ⁹	64%	66%	69%	61%	60%	44%

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: Status through October 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/12 and Work Activity as of 10/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	629	118	56	40	91	41	283
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	309	43	22	20	45	15	164
Participants in a Work Activity	258	39	16	14	35	8	146
% of Enrolled	83%	91%	73%	70%	78%	53%	89%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	6	0	1	0	0	3	2
Participants Employed	166	24	9	13	23	6	91
% of Enrolled	54%	56%	41%	65%	51%	40%	55%
Full Time	111	18	7	4	19	5	58
Part Time	55	6	2	9	4	1	33
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.45	\$8.29	\$8.11	\$8.44	\$8.49	\$7.92	\$8.54
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,075	\$1,170	\$966	\$927	\$1,196	\$1,267	\$1,039

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – October 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	247	51	19	18	48	18	93
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	453	67	31	24	71	23	237
Participants in a Work Activity	425	63	27	22	64	16	233
% of Total Enrolled	94%	94%	87%	92%	90%	70%	98%
Participants Employed	250	40	12	15	35	8	140
% of Total Enrolled	55%	60%	39%	63%	49%	35%	59%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.54	\$8.82	\$8.02	\$8.32	\$8.62	\$8.72	\$8.49
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,026	\$1,162	\$855	\$999	\$1,028	\$988	\$1,004
Three Months Employment ⁸	68%	72%	75%	46%	55%	78%	72%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	6,071	1,407	273	470	961	315	2,645
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	4,691	1,022	189	337	707	222	2,214
Participants in a Work Activity	4,580	996	184	319	682	215	2,184
% of Total Enrolled	98%	97%	97%	95%	96%	97%	99%
Participants Employed	3,493	741	119	256	437	136	1,804
% of Total Enrolled	74%	73%	63%	76%	62%	61%	81%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.46	\$7.83	\$7.72	\$7.37	\$7.65	\$7.78	\$7.32
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$949	\$1,010	\$1,043	\$916	\$985	\$1,013	\$924
Three Months Employment ⁹	63%	58%	61%	56%	63%	64%	66%

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: Status through October 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/12 and Work Activity as of 10/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,269	98	84	202	278	607
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	568	35	40	68	159	266
Participants in a Work Activity	421	30	37	59	125	170
% of Enrolled	74%	86%	93%	87%	79%	64%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	0	1	0
Participants Employed	294	21	21	38	72	142
% of Enrolled	52%	60%	53%	56%	45%	53%
Full Time	215	15	21	23	52	104
Part Time	79	6	0	15	20	38
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.91	\$7.79	\$7.69	\$7.87	\$8.04	\$7.91
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,029	\$958	\$1,105	\$923	\$1,056	\$1,043

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – October 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	402	44	34	70	70	184
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	849	53	61	113	244	378
Participants in a Work Activity	721	47	59	91	219	305
% of Total Enrolled	85%	89%	97%	81%	90%	81%
Participants Employed	454	31	36	60	127	200
% of Total Enrolled	53%	58%	59%	53%	52%	53%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.95	\$7.88	\$7.80	\$8.01	\$8.11	\$7.87
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$974	\$955	\$1,102	\$897	\$980	\$975
Three Months Employment ⁸	67%	56%	88%	67%	70%	66%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	10,535	984	823	2,119	2,456	4,153
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	8,761	793	719	1,702	2,112	3,435
Participants in a Work Activity	8,269	755	707	1,583	1,991	3,233
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	98%	93%	94%	94%
Participants Employed	5,982	529	507	1,106	1,450	2,390
% of Total Enrolled	68%	67%	71%	65%	69%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.64	\$6.84	\$6.30	\$6.71	\$6.85	\$6.54
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$859	\$872	\$872	\$857	\$899	\$833
Three Months Employment ⁹	64%	60%	56%	61%	64%	68%

EDD10 - Danville Region: Status through October 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/12 and Work Activity as of 10/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
TANF Cases End of Month¹⁰	1,420	192	456	98	213	461
Total Enrolled in VIEW³	442	48	166	63	42	123
Participants in a Work Activity	342	29	141	51	20	101
% of Enrolled	77%	60%	85%	81%	48%	82%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	209	24	84	32	19	50
% of Enrolled	47%	50%	51%	51%	45%	41%
Full Time	174	23	69	24	17	41
Part Time	35	1	15	8	2	9
Average Wage⁶	\$7.85	\$7.58	\$7.85	\$7.82	\$7.88	\$8.00
Average Monthly Earnings⁷	\$1,085	\$1,115	\$1,095	\$1,079	\$1,080	\$1,058

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – October 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	514	59	162	35	86	172
Total Enrolled in VIEW³	757	75	262	96	99	225
Participants in a Work Activity	657	58	228	82	82	207
% of Total Enrolled	87%	77%	87%	85%	83%	92%
Participants Employed	346	34	131	55	38	88
% of Total Enrolled	46%	45%	50%	57%	38%	39%
Average Wage⁶	\$7.94	\$7.91	\$7.87	\$7.70	\$8.01	\$8.16
Average Monthly Earnings⁷	\$1,074	\$1,061	\$1,075	\$1,037	\$1,112	\$1,083
Three Months Employment⁸	66%	68%	67%	63%	49%	74%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	12,962	2,000	3,500	1,273	2,125	4,064
Total Enrolled in VIEW^{3,5}	10,383	1,653	2,675	1,105	1,624	3,326
Participants in a Work Activity	10,039	1,594	2,565	1,040	1,592	3,248
% of Total Enrolled	97%	96%	96%	94%	98%	98%
Participants Employed	6,356	934	1,691	742	872	2,117
% of Total Enrolled	61%	57%	63%	67%	54%	64%
Average Wage⁶	\$6.44	\$6.38	\$6.84	\$6.36	\$6.43	\$6.19
Average Monthly Earnings⁷	\$858	\$841	\$942	\$829	\$870	\$807
Three Months Employment⁹	63%	58%	64%	75%	60%	62%

EDD11 - Southside Region: Status through October 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/12 and Work Activity as of 10/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,042	71	119	64	82	73	144	67	151	138	133
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	471	32	59	27	50	35	70	27	43	69	59
Participants in a Work Activity	378	30	44	26	39	31	55	21	32	50	50
% of Enrolled	80%	94%	75%	96%	78%	89%	79%	78%	74%	72%	85%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	0	0	1	1	0	3	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	213	19	34	12	15	16	20	11	23	37	26
% of Enrolled	45%	59%	58%	44%	30%	46%	29%	41%	53%	54%	44%
Full Time	146	16	23	11	9	6	13	8	11	27	22
Part Time	67	3	11	1	6	10	7	3	12	10	4
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.65	\$7.97	\$7.38	\$8.12	\$7.62	\$7.89	\$7.57	\$7.52	\$7.53	\$7.61	\$7.71
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$966	\$1,088	\$891	\$1,136	\$880	\$825	\$936	\$1,122	\$904	\$933	\$1,089

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – October 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	407	29	52	32	37	22	51	30	49	52	53
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	715	48	91	52	70	48	91	47	71	100	97
Participants in a Work Activity	617	40	83	49	59	44	79	38	59	79	87
% of Total Enrolled	86%	83%	91%	94%	84%	92%	87%	81%	83%	79%	90%
Participants Employed	332	25	44	24	24	24	33	20	38	55	45
% of Total Enrolled	46%	52%	48%	46%	34%	50%	36%	43%	54%	55%	46%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.86	\$8.35	\$7.64	\$8.23	\$7.55	\$8.56	\$7.77	\$7.57	\$7.57	\$7.83	\$7.85
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$974	\$1,006	\$934	\$1,199	\$871	\$1,033	\$873	\$1,039	\$908	\$962	\$1,022
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	90%	90%	71%	52%	71%	81%	45%	57%	89%	65%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	8,085	526	1008	782	708	543	947	372	1171	972	1056
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	6,284	382	808	594	523	399	756	292	921	749	860
Participants in a Work Activity	6,007	362	806	561	481	380	720	285	879	693	840
% of Total Enrolled	96%	95%	100%	94%	92%	95%	95%	98%	95%	93%	98%
Participants Employed	3,816	229	455	336	267	233	431	145	613	504	603
% of Total Enrolled	61%	60%	56%	57%	51%	58%	57%	50%	67%	67%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.82	\$7.47	\$6.29	\$7.06	\$7.24	\$7.33	\$6.76	\$6.46	\$6.61	\$6.83	\$6.78
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$879	\$961	\$828	\$947	\$923	\$950	\$822	\$806	\$840	\$900	\$884
Three Months Employment ⁹	65%	65%	67%	62%	57%	58%	64%	61%	68%	70%	68%

EDD12 - Richmond Region: Status through October 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/12 and Work Activity as of 10/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	5,339	1,020	39	146	1,419	48	2,667
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,252	379	28	74	738	16	1,017
Participants in a Work Activity	1,649	282	20	41	509	10	787
% of Enrolled	73%	74%	71%	55%	69%	63%	77%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	22	3	2	2	5	0	10
Participants Employed	1,036	186	12	27	366	6	439
% of Enrolled	46%	49%	43%	36%	50%	38%	43%
Full Time	759	154	6	19	252	4	324
Part Time	277	32	6	8	114	2	115
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.35	\$8.56	\$7.68	\$8.42	\$8.52	\$6.63	\$8.15
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,096	\$1,195	\$891	\$1,072	\$1,107	\$636	\$1,059

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – October 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	1,665	340	11	62	399	21	832
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	3,205	560	33	92	1,025	27	1,468
Participants in a Work Activity	2,684	463	29	75	830	24	1,263
% of Total Enrolled	84%	83%	88%	82%	81%	89%	86%
Participants Employed	1,531	282	15	42	540	12	640
% of Total Enrolled	48%	50%	45%	46%	53%	44%	44%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.47	\$8.72	\$7.65	\$8.75	\$8.55	\$9.30	\$8.28
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,087	\$1,155	\$899	\$1,112	\$1,083	\$1,294	\$1,062
Three Months Employment ⁸	74%	75%	67%	64%	80%	78%	71%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	37,349	7,741	283	1306	9,295	367	18,357
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	29,305	5,881	214	936	7,571	266	14,437
Participants in a Work Activity	28,139	5,667	202	867	7,163	248	13,992
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	94%	93%	95%	93%	97%
Participants Employed	19,742	3,716	145	594	5,073	145	10,069
% of Total Enrolled	67%	63%	68%	63%	67%	55%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.39	\$7.66	\$7.11	\$8.23	\$7.70	\$7.69	\$7.13
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$987	\$1,026	\$894	\$1,053	\$1,021	\$965	\$958
Three Months Employment ⁹	70%	69%	65%	66%	66%	64%	72%

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: Status through October 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/12 and Work Activity as of 10/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,093	188	51	402	303	149
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	549	98	15	210	147	79
Participants in a Work Activity	363	41	10	132	124	56
% of Enrolled	66%	42%	67%	63%	84%	71%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	6	4	0	1	0	1
Participants Employed	274	35	7	109	80	43
% of Enrolled	50%	36%	47%	52%	54%	54%
Full Time	197	29	7	79	57	25
Part Time	77	6	0	30	23	18
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.47	\$8.17	\$8.90	\$8.31	\$8.84	\$8.40
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,092	\$1,118	\$1,292	\$1,101	\$1,081	\$1,034

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – October 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	429	62	23	154	126	64
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	819	128	27	295	227	142
Participants in a Work Activity	651	63	26	252	191	119
% of Total Enrolled	79%	49%	96%	85%	84%	84%
Participants Employed	433	48	11	174	121	79
% of Total Enrolled	53%	38%	41%	59%	53%	56%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.62	\$8.19	\$8.62	\$8.29	\$9.17	\$8.73
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,069	\$1,069	\$1,105	\$1,027	\$1,149	\$1,029
Three Months Employment ⁸	78%	85%	60%	88%	76%	61%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	8,822	1,342	527	2,695	2,555	1,703
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	6,508	935	358	2,106	1,670	1,439
Participants in a Work Activity	6,139	889	340	1,933	1,597	1,380
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	95%	92%	96%	96%
Participants Employed	4,275	563	216	1,430	987	1,079
% of Total Enrolled	66%	60%	60%	68%	59%	75%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.97	\$7.59	\$7.42	\$8.00	\$8.56	\$7.79
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,022	\$958	\$923	\$1,027	\$1,126	\$990
Three Months Employment ⁹	66%	57%	58%	69%	68%	66%

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: Status through October 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/12 and Work Activity as of 10/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	426	83	26	44	41	27	59	34	37	75
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	168	33	6	23	11	9	38	5	13	30
Participants in a Work Activity	132	24	3	18	11	6	33	5	10	22
% of Enrolled	79%	73%	50%	78%	100%	67%	87%	100%	77%	73%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Participants Employed	96	17	3	13	8	6	24	1	5	19
% of Enrolled	57%	52%	50%	57%	73%	67%	63%	20%	38%	63%
Full Time	79	10	3	11	8	5	19	1	4	18
Part Time	17	7	0	2	0	1	5	0	1	1
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.20	\$9.43	\$7.55	\$8.05	\$8.28	\$8.23	\$7.60	\$7.00	\$7.65	\$8.25
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,090	\$1,139	\$1,033	\$1,067	\$1,151	\$1,165	\$1,041	\$970	\$1,025	\$1,106

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – October 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	150	25	7	18	11	6	36	10	13	24
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	250	50	7	32	19	16	51	14	19	42
Participants in a Work Activity	221	46	6	28	19	14	49	11	15	33
% of Total Enrolled	88%	92%	86%	88%	100%	88%	96%	79%	79%	79%
Participants Employed	138	26	4	18	11	11	27	6	9	26
% of Total Enrolled	55%	52%	57%	56%	58%	69%	53%	43%	47%	62%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.13	\$8.84	\$7.50	\$8.37	\$8.30	\$7.90	\$7.66	\$7.62	\$7.54	\$8.22
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,048	\$1,005	\$967	\$1,134	\$1,145	\$1,084	\$1,037	\$951	\$910	\$1,067
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	67%	80%	90%	46%	100%	84%	50%	67%	65%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	3,705	570	276	336	411	209	441	321	334	807
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	2,766	452	184	275	322	143	328	262	203	597
Participants in a Work Activity	2,596	433	174	261	303	137	304	254	190	540
% of Total Enrolled	94%	96%	95%	95%	94%	96%	93%	97%	94%	90%
Participants Employed	1,736	304	94	162	213	94	213	149	123	384
% of Total Enrolled	63%	67%	51%	59%	66%	66%	65%	57%	61%	64%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.05	\$7.10	\$7.01	\$7.44	\$6.84	\$7.04	\$7.08	\$6.68	\$6.95	\$7.09
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$898	\$869	\$866	\$980	\$861	\$900	\$910	\$858	\$919	\$923
Three Months Employment ⁹	58%	50%	48%	61%	51%	69%	71%	53%	40%	65%

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: Status through October 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/12 and Work Activity as of 10/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	NewKent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	3,383	22	92	151	46	129	1,206	1,699	38
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,442	9	25	70	20	74	496	731	17
Participants in a Work Activity	1,147	6	20	54	13	51	412	582	9
% of Enrolled	80%	67%	80%	77%	65%	69%	83%	80%	53%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	16	0	0	5	0	3	5	2	1
Participants Employed	744	4	15	38	9	33	245	391	9
% of Enrolled	52%	44%	60%	54%	45%	45%	49%	53%	53%
Full Time	560	3	14	23	7	15	176	315	7
Part Time	184	1	1	15	2	18	69	76	2
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.19	\$6.70	\$7.89	\$8.29	\$9.81	\$8.23	\$8.09	\$8.23	\$8.28
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,068	\$944	\$1,033	\$950	\$1,357	\$937	\$1,027	\$1,112	\$1,069

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – October 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	1,245	4	36	60	7	40	406	671	21
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,235	10	42	111	30	106	726	1,184	26
Participants in a Work Activity	1,890	7	33	90	19	94	651	980	16
% of Total Enrolled	85%	70%	79%	81%	63%	89%	90%	83%	62%
Participants Employed	1,118	6	21	63	12	50	382	571	13
% of Total Enrolled	50%	60%	50%	57%	40%	47%	53%	48%	50%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.26	\$7.39	\$7.79	\$8.79	\$9.90	\$8.44	\$8.10	\$8.28	\$8.51
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,055	\$1,128	\$959	\$1,078	\$1,373	\$909	\$1,000	\$1,100	\$1,023
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	67%	52%	64%	73%	76%	76%	71%	80%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	26,724	165	1,077	1,143	343	1,112	9,292	13,203	389
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	20,198	120	758	912	248	847	6,870	10,157	286
Participants in a Work Activity	19,217	113	705	849	235	815	6,687	9,548	265
% of Total Enrolled	95%	94%	93%	93%	95%	96%	97%	94%	93%
Participants Employed	13,960	68	496	630	139	528	4,778	7,122	199
% of Total Enrolled	69%	57%	65%	69%	56%	62%	70%	70%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.07	\$7.12	\$6.87	\$7.50	\$7.59	\$7.32	\$7.07	\$7.02	\$7.26
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$923	\$944	\$851	\$916	\$1,033	\$896	\$917	\$931	\$910
Three Months Employment ⁹	66%	54%	58%	60%	72%	59%	64%	69%	64%

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: Status through October 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/12 and Work Activity as of 10/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,153	120	87	46	66	308	526
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	489	17	35	24	33	160	220
Participants in a Work Activity	338	16	22	12	25	104	159
% of Enrolled	69%	94%	63%	50%	76%	65%	72%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	12	0	2	0	0	7	3
Participants Employed	247	12	14	9	20	75	117
% of Enrolled	51%	71%	40%	38%	61%	47%	53%
Full Time	198	11	7	3	18	54	105
Part Time	49	1	7	6	2	21	12
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.00	\$8.34	\$8.69	\$7.83	\$7.97	\$7.90	\$7.96
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,096	\$1,302	\$1,024	\$861	\$1,164	\$1,029	\$1,133

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – October 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	362	43	34	15	24	97	149
Total Enrolled in VIEW	719	38	59	41	53	222	306
Participants in a Work Activity	608	38	47	32	45	176	270
% of Total Enrolled	85%	100%	80%	78%	85%	79%	88%
Participants Employed	381	27	25	18	29	110	172
% of Total Enrolled	53%	71%	42%	44%	55%	50%	56%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.08	\$8.18	\$8.79	\$8.06	\$8.30	\$7.75	\$8.13
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,092	\$1,249	\$1,138	\$851	\$1,183	\$1,006	\$1,125
Three Months Employment ⁸	70%	61%	65%	77%	68%	67%	73%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	9,424	1,205	851	375	750	2,360	3,883
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	7,406	807	646	308	608	1,910	3,127
Participants in a Work Activity	7,064	804	558	293	585	1,824	3,000
% of Total Enrolled	95%	100%	86%	95%	96%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	5,038	535	386	217	398	1,298	2,204
% of Total Enrolled	68%	66%	60%	70%	65%	68%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.04	\$6.93	\$7.53	\$7.26	\$7.03	\$6.91	\$7.04
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$948	\$968	\$998	\$873	\$957	\$923	\$956
Three Months Employment ⁹	65%	58%	59%	57%	63%	63%	70%

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: Status through October 31, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/12 and Work Activity as of 10/31/12

Current Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	5,885	123	116	1,014	134	2,115	1,089	371	923
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,377	42	39	421	50	972	403	121	329
Participants in a Work Activity	1,831	27	26	327	43	759	305	90	254
% of Enrolled	77%	64%	67%	78%	86%	78%	76%	74%	77%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	22	0	0	2	1	1	12	1	5
Participants Employed	1,226	18	21	224	25	507	180	75	176
% of Enrolled	52%	43%	54%	53%	50%	52%	45%	62%	53%
Full Time	1,042	15	12	186	20	459	151	56	143
Part Time	184	3	9	38	5	48	29	19	33
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.05	\$8.29	\$7.21	\$8.02	\$8.65	\$7.98	\$8.18	\$7.93	\$8.22
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,069	\$1,118	\$894	\$1,085	\$1,326	\$1,045	\$1,131	\$986	\$1,067

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2012 – October 31, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	2,069	39	20	334	64	714	351	134	413
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	3,519	65	48	617	81	1,373	609	185	541
Participants in a Work Activity	3,030	54	46	551	76	1,162	522	157	462
% of Total Enrolled	86%	83%	96%	89%	94%	85%	86%	85%	85%
Participants Employed	1,806	30	27	338	36	715	279	107	274
% of Total Enrolled	51%	46%	56%	55%	44%	52%	46%	58%	51%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.13	\$8.19	\$7.85	\$8.10	\$8.90	\$8.03	\$8.11	\$8.07	\$8.36
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,053	\$1,024	\$944	\$1,052	\$1,293	\$1,038	\$1,084	\$1,006	\$1,062
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	52%	66%	74%	48%	73%	70%	73%	69%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	52,713	1,196	993	8,483	841	16,937	8,535	3,320	12,408
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	38,736	866	796	6,227	672	12,340	6,758	2,597	8,480
Participants in a Work Activity	36,618	833	762	5,936	661	11,639	6,359	2,564	7,864
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	96%	95%	98%	94%	94%	99%	93%
Participants Employed	26,907	594	462	4,497	433	8,633	4,807	1,913	5,568
% of Total Enrolled	69%	69%	58%	72%	64%	70%	71%	74%	66%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.90	\$6.91	\$6.72	\$7.07	\$6.60	\$6.79	\$6.78	\$6.73	\$7.21
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$900	\$912	\$830	\$931	\$834	\$867	\$905	\$874	\$955
Three Months Employment ⁹	67%	60%	54%	65%	64%	67%	70%	68%	69%

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date

This section lists the relative state fiscal year to date rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Wage, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	44.0%	4.40	93	\$8.16	1.93	53	73.0%	5.22	41	3.85	63
003	Albemarle	59.7%	5.97	17	\$8.82	3.33	16	71.8%	5.01	47	4.77	22
005	Alleghany/Covington	56.9%	5.69	30	\$7.99	1.57	77	50.0%	1.15	110	2.80	108
007	Amelia	52.1%	5.21	54	\$8.35	2.33	37	90.0%	8.23	5	5.26	11
009	Amherst	58.5%	5.85	21	\$7.88	1.33	88	56.3%	2.26	104	3.15	101
011	Appomattox	59.0%	5.90	19	\$7.80	1.17	99	88.0%	7.88	9	4.98	16
013	Arlington	35.7%	3.57	112	\$9.85	5.51	4	62.9%	3.44	86	4.17	46
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	64.8%	6.48	8	\$8.05	1.69	68	71.3%	4.92	49	4.37	31
017	Bath	50.0%	5.00	62	\$7.25	0.00	119	100.0%	10.00	1	5.00	14
019	Bedford County/City	53.1%	5.31	48	\$8.01	1.61	73	66.7%	4.10	66	3.67	76
021	Bland	50.0%	5.00	63	\$9.38	4.51	8	60.0%	2.92	94	4.15	48
023	Botetourt	41.7%	4.17	100	\$8.08	1.76	64	83.3%	7.05	16	4.33	34
025	Brunswick	48.4%	4.84	75	\$7.64	0.83	107	90.0%	8.23	6	4.63	25
027	Buchanan	40.3%	4.03	103	\$7.47	0.47	117	76.5%	5.84	28	3.45	84
029	Buckingham	46.2%	4.62	81	\$8.23	2.08	47	70.8%	4.84	51	3.84	64
031	Campbell	52.0%	5.20	56	\$8.11	1.82	58	69.8%	4.65	56	3.89	59
033	Caroline	37.5%	3.75	108	\$8.19	1.99	50	84.9%	7.32	13	4.35	32
035	Carroll	49.3%	4.93	71	\$7.87	1.31	89	64.9%	3.78	79	3.34	88
036	Charles City	60.0%	6.00	16	\$7.39	0.30	118	66.7%	4.10	67	3.47	83
037	Charlotte	34.3%	3.43	115	\$7.55	0.64	114	52.2%	1.54	107	1.87	117
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	50.4%	5.04	61	\$8.72	3.11	21	75.2%	5.61	33	4.59	26
043	Clarke	33.3%	3.33	116	\$8.38	2.39	34	66.7%	4.10	68	3.28	95
045	Craig	25.0%	2.50	117	\$8.00	1.59	75	50.0%	1.15	111	1.75	118
047	Culpeper	55.2%	5.52	38	\$8.60	2.86	25	78.3%	6.15	22	4.84	20
049	Cumberland	50.0%	5.00	64	\$8.56	2.78	27	70.6%	4.80	52	4.19	43
051	Dickensen	41.7%	4.17	101	\$7.60	0.74	111	64.7%	3.76	80	2.89	105
053	Dinwiddie	71.1%	7.11	3	\$8.18	1.97	52	61.1%	3.12	90	4.06	49
057	Essex	52.0%	5.20	57	\$8.84	3.37	14	66.7%	4.10	69	4.22	40
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	49.6%	4.96	68	\$9.76	5.32	5	72.6%	5.16	44	5.14	12
061	Fauquier	58.2%	5.82	23	\$9.04	3.79	12	62.8%	3.42	87	4.34	33
063	Floyd	54.1%	5.41	43	\$8.44	2.52	31	43.5%	0.00	118	2.64	111
065	Fluvanna	38.7%	3.87	106	\$8.02	1.63	71	75.0%	5.58	34	3.69	75
067	Franklin County	44.6%	4.46	90	\$8.09	1.78	63	62.1%	3.30	89	3.18	97
069	Frederick	49.4%	4.94	70	\$8.84	3.37	15	62.5%	3.37	88	3.89	60
071	Giles	44.7%	4.47	89	\$7.96	1.50	79	56.3%	2.26	105	2.75	109
073	Gloucester	50.0%	5.00	65	\$7.79	1.14	100	51.9%	1.48	109	2.54	113
075	Goochland	45.5%	4.55	87	\$7.65	0.85	106	66.7%	4.10	70	3.17	98
077	Grayson	48.3%	4.83	76	\$7.82	1.21	98	75.0%	5.58	35	3.87	62

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	62.5%	6.25	10	\$8.32	2.27	38	46.2%	0.47	115	3.00	103
081	Greensville/Emporia	36.3%	3.63	109	\$7.77	1.10	101	80.7%	6.58	18	3.77	69
083	Halifax	45.3%	4.53	88	\$7.91	1.40	83	67.7%	4.29	62	3.41	85
085	Hanover	45.7%	4.57	86	\$8.75	3.18	19	63.6%	3.57	82	3.77	67
087	Henrico	52.7%	5.27	51	\$8.55	2.75	28	79.6%	6.39	21	4.80	21
089	Henry/Martinsville	50.0%	5.00	66	\$7.87	1.31	90	67.2%	4.20	64	3.50	80
091	Highland	100.0%	10.00	1	\$8.00	1.59	76	N/A	N/A	N/A	5.79	4
093	Isle of Wight	46.2%	4.62	82	\$8.19	1.99	51	52.2%	1.54	108	2.71	110
095	James City	56.8%	5.68	31	\$8.79	3.26	17	63.9%	3.62	81	4.19	44
097	King and Queen	57.1%	5.71	28	\$7.50	0.53	116	80.0%	6.46	19	4.24	39
099	King George	40.7%	4.07	102	\$8.62	2.90	23	60.0%	2.92	95	3.30	91
101	King William	56.3%	5.63	33	\$8.37	2.37	35	90.0%	8.23	7	5.41	6
103	Lancaster	57.9%	5.79	24	\$8.30	2.22	41	46.2%	0.47	116	2.83	107
105	Lee	54.9%	5.49	40	\$7.71	0.97	103	67.8%	4.31	61	3.59	78
107	Loudoun	54.0%	5.40	44	\$10.25	6.36	2	87.1%	7.71	12	6.49	1
109	Louisa	49.3%	4.93	72	\$8.62	2.90	24	55.3%	2.08	106	3.31	90
111	Lunenburg	42.6%	4.26	98	\$7.57	0.68	112	45.0%	0.27	117	1.73	119
113	Madison	22.2%	2.22	118	\$7.95	1.48	80	66.7%	4.10	71	2.60	112
115	Mathews	68.8%	6.88	5	\$7.90	1.38	84	100.0%	10.00	2	6.08	3
117	Mecklenburg	53.5%	5.35	46	\$7.57	0.68	113	57.5%	2.47	102	2.83	106
119	Middlesex	52.9%	5.29	49	\$7.66	0.87	105	84.0%	7.17	15	4.44	30
121	Montgomery	72.3%	7.23	2	\$8.02	1.63	72	61.0%	3.10	92	3.99	54
125	Nelson	34.8%	3.48	113	\$8.72	3.11	22	77.8%	6.07	23	4.22	41
127	New Kent	40.0%	4.00	104	\$9.90	5.61	3	72.7%	5.18	43	4.93	18
131	Northampton	60.8%	6.08	15	\$7.98	1.55	78	84.9%	7.32	14	4.98	15
133	Northumberland	42.9%	4.29	97	\$7.62	0.78	110	50.0%	1.15	112	2.07	116
135	Nottoway	55.0%	5.50	39	\$7.83	1.23	95	88.9%	8.03	8	4.92	19
137	Orange	57.1%	5.71	29	\$8.06	1.72	66	93.8%	8.89	4	5.44	5
139	Page	61.2%	6.12	13	\$7.63	0.81	109	70.0%	4.69	54	3.87	61
141	Patrick	57.3%	5.73	27	\$7.70	0.95	104	63.3%	3.50	84	3.39	86
143	Pittsylvania	38.4%	3.84	107	\$8.01	1.61	74	48.7%	0.93	113	2.13	115
145	Powhatan	44.4%	4.44	91	\$9.30	4.34	9	77.8%	6.07	24	4.95	17
147	Prince Edward	46.4%	4.64	80	\$7.85	1.27	92	65.1%	3.83	76	3.25	96
149	Prince George	42.4%	4.24	99	\$8.79	3.26	18	65.0%	3.81	77	3.77	68
153	Prince William	55.6%	5.56	37	\$9.47	4.70	6	76.2%	5.79	30	5.35	9
155	Pulaski	61.1%	6.11	14	\$7.89	1.36	86	57.9%	2.55	101	3.34	89
157	Rappahannock	0.0%	0.00	120	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.00	120
159	Richmond County	47.4%	4.74	78	\$7.54	0.61	115	66.7%	4.10	72	3.15	100
161	Roanoke County	67.6%	6.76	6	\$8.58	2.82	26	59.8%	2.88	97	4.15	47
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	57.5%	5.75	26	\$7.89	1.36	87	94.7%	9.07	3	5.39	7
165	Rockingham/H'burg	70.4%	7.04	4	\$8.43	2.50	33	69.7%	4.65	57	4.73	23
167	Russell	34.4%	3.44	114	\$8.14	1.89	56	69.1%	4.52	59	3.28	94
169	Scott	50.5%	5.05	60	\$7.83	1.23	96	72.5%	5.13	45	3.80	65
171	Shenandoah	36.0%	3.60	111	\$8.27	2.16	46	66.7%	4.10	73	3.29	92

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	43.5%	4.35	96	\$8.31	2.25	40	58.3%	2.63	99	3.07	102
175	<i>Southampton</i>	56.3%	5.63	34	\$7.85	1.27	93	65.5%	3.90	74	3.60	77
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	59.0%	5.90	20	\$8.29	2.20	43	87.5%	7.79	10	5.30	10
179	<i>Stafford</i>	53.3%	5.33	47	\$9.17	4.07	11	76.2%	5.78	31	5.06	13
181	<i>Surry</i>	43.9%	4.39	94	\$8.06	1.72	67	76.9%	5.92	26	4.01	52
183	<i>Sussex</i>	54.7%	5.47	42	\$8.30	2.22	42	67.7%	4.29	63	4.00	53
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	58.5%	5.85	22	\$8.32	2.27	39	60.8%	3.07	93	3.73	72
187	<i>Warren</i>	46.1%	4.61	83	\$8.16	1.93	54	69.4%	4.58	58	3.71	73
191	<i>Washington</i>	52.5%	5.25	53	\$7.90	1.38	85	73.6%	5.33	37	3.99	55
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	61.9%	6.19	11	\$8.22	2.06	48	65.0%	3.81	78	4.02	51
195	<i>Wise</i>	61.8%	6.18	12	\$7.93	1.44	82	63.6%	3.57	83	3.73	71
197	<i>Wythe</i>	66.7%	6.67	7	\$7.64	0.83	108	56.8%	2.36	103	3.28	93
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	47.2%	4.72	79	\$8.44	2.52	32	75.6%	5.68	32	4.30	35
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	48.8%	4.88	74	\$9.39	4.53	7	81.1%	6.66	17	5.36	8
520	<i>Bristol</i>	36.2%	3.62	110	\$7.83	1.23	97	59.2%	2.78	98	2.54	114
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	59.1%	5.91	18	\$8.49	2.63	30	72.4%	5.11	46	4.55	27
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	54.8%	5.48	41	\$8.10	1.80	60	73.6%	5.33	38	4.20	42
590	<i>Danville</i>	39.1%	3.91	105	\$8.16	1.93	55	74.0%	5.41	36	3.75	70
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	44.4%	4.44	92	\$8.90	3.50	13	48.4%	0.87	114	2.94	104
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	55.6%	5.56	36	\$8.73	3.14	20	61.1%	3.12	91	3.94	58
640	<i>Galax</i>	49.0%	4.90	73	\$8.03	1.65	69	77.3%	5.98	25	4.18	45
650	<i>Hampton</i>	52.6%	5.26	52	\$8.10	1.80	61	76.4%	5.83	29	4.30	36
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	49.5%	4.95	69	\$7.75	1.06	102	67.0%	4.16	65	3.39	87
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	52.9%	5.29	50	\$7.87	1.31	91	65.5%	3.90	75	3.50	81
683	<i>Manassas</i>	45.7%	4.57	85	\$9.23	4.19	10	70.6%	4.80	53	4.52	29
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	11.1%	1.11	119	\$11.97	10.00	1	87.5%	7.79	11	6.30	2
700	<i>Newport News</i>	48.2%	4.82	77	\$8.28	2.18	44	71.3%	4.92	48	3.98	56
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	52.1%	5.21	55	\$8.03	1.65	70	73.4%	5.29	39	4.05	50
720	<i>Norton</i>	53.8%	5.38	45	\$7.94	1.46	81	58.3%	2.63	100	3.16	99
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	56.2%	5.62	35	\$8.13	1.86	57	73.1%	5.25	40	4.24	37
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	45.8%	4.58	84	\$8.11	1.82	59	70.0%	4.69	55	3.70	74
750	<i>Radford</i>	64.4%	6.44	9	\$7.85	1.27	94	76.9%	5.92	27	4.54	28
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	43.6%	4.36	95	\$8.28	2.18	45	71.0%	4.87	50	3.80	66
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	51.9%	5.19	58	\$8.10	1.80	62	62.9%	3.44	85	3.48	82
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	57.8%	5.78	25	\$8.07	1.74	65	72.8%	5.19	42	4.24	38
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	50.6%	5.06	59	\$8.36	2.35	36	68.7%	4.46	60	3.96	57
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	50.0%	5.00	67	\$8.51	2.67	29	80.0%	6.46	20	4.71	24
840	<i>Winchester</i>	56.3%	5.63	32	\$8.20	2.01	49	60.0%	2.92	96	3.52	79

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores is done to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

VIEW Rankings, From Inception of VIEW

This section lists the relative cumulative rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Monthly Earnings, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	51.1%	1.81	115	\$857	2.89	93	65.3%	5.86	48	3.52	107
003	Albemarle	72.5%	7.58	12	\$1,010	6.05	21	57.8%	4.15	100	5.93	25
005	Alleghany/Covington	70.6%	7.08	19	\$838	2.50	104	58.1%	4.23	98	4.60	79
007	Amelia	59.9%	4.19	92	\$961	5.05	36	64.7%	5.75	50	5.00	65
009	Amherst	66.7%	6.02	51	\$872	3.20	82	59.9%	4.64	85	4.62	78
011	Appomattox	70.5%	7.04	20	\$872	3.20	83	56.1%	3.77	107	4.67	77
013	Arlington	71.5%	7.30	15	\$1,071	7.31	8	69.5%	6.85	19	7.15	6
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	66.8%	6.04	50	\$960	5.03	37	67.4%	6.35	36	5.81	29
017	Bath	64.0%	5.29	71	\$717	0.00	120	61.3%	4.96	74	3.41	110
019	Bedford County/City	65.0%	5.55	64	\$857	2.88	94	60.7%	4.83	81	4.42	89
021	Bland	60.3%	4.29	89	\$860	2.95	91	56.5%	3.86	105	3.70	106
023	Botetourt	44.4%	0.00	120	\$935	4.51	53	57.9%	4.18	99	2.90	117
025	Brunswick	56.3%	3.21	110	\$828	2.29	111	67.5%	6.37	35	3.96	99
027	Buchanan	53.4%	2.42	114	\$838	2.50	103	63.5%	5.46	63	3.46	108
029	Buckingham	56.6%	3.28	108	\$947	4.76	47	62.2%	5.17	69	4.40	91
031	Campbell	68.7%	6.54	36	\$899	3.77	73	63.7%	5.52	57	5.28	53
033	Caroline	60.2%	4.27	90	\$958	4.98	40	57.0%	3.98	103	4.41	90
035	Carroll	66.5%	5.95	53	\$831	2.35	108	54.0%	3.28	111	3.86	102
036	Charles City	56.7%	3.31	107	\$944	4.68	48	53.6%	3.19	113	3.73	104
037	Charlotte	51.1%	1.79	117	\$923	4.26	59	56.7%	3.90	104	3.32	114
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	63.2%	5.07	78	\$1,026	6.38	16	69.2%	6.76	24	6.07	21
043	Clarke	57.8%	3.62	103	\$989	5.62	26	62.7%	5.28	66	4.84	72
045	Craig	50.9%	1.76	118	\$1,039	6.65	12	61.5%	5.01	73	4.48	85
047	Culpeper	64.3%	5.38	69	\$970	5.23	31	66.3%	6.10	44	5.57	36
049	Cumberland	58.4%	3.78	98	\$950	4.81	46	57.6%	4.11	101	4.23	96
051	Dickenson	60.2%	4.25	91	\$834	2.42	105	54.9%	3.49	109	3.39	111
053	Dinwiddie	66.3%	5.91	54	\$968	5.18	32	58.4%	4.31	94	5.13	60
057	Essex	67.3%	6.16	46	\$869	3.13	86	49.6%	2.29	117	3.86	101
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	67.3%	6.19	44	\$1,156	9.07	3	69.2%	6.76	23	7.34	5
061	Fauquier	68.9%	6.60	35	\$1,029	6.45	14	68.6%	6.64	28	6.56	10
063	Floyd	65.7%	5.73	58	\$937	4.54	51	55.0%	3.52	108	4.60	80
065	Fluvanna	63.0%	5.01	79	\$1,043	6.73	11	60.9%	4.87	78	5.54	38
067	Franklin County	61.6%	4.65	83	\$950	4.83	45	58.2%	4.26	97	4.58	81
069	Frederick	57.4%	3.52	104	\$1,012	6.09	20	61.7%	5.04	72	4.88	70
071	Giles	58.4%	3.76	99	\$954	4.90	44	53.3%	3.13	114	3.93	100
073	Gloucester	65.4%	5.67	61	\$851	2.76	98	58.4%	4.29	95	4.24	95
075	Goochland	67.8%	6.30	41	\$894	3.66	76	65.1%	5.83	49	5.27	54
077	Grayson	65.6%	5.71	59	\$855	2.85	95	61.0%	4.90	76	4.49	84

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	76.0%	8.51	4	\$916	4.12	64	56.3%	3.82	106	5.49	40
081	Greensville/Emporia	57.0%	3.40	105	\$822	2.17	113	64.0%	5.59	55	3.72	105
083	Halifax	56.5%	3.26	109	\$841	2.57	101	58.5%	4.31	93	3.38	112
085	Hanover	63.5%	5.14	76	\$1,053	6.94	9	65.8%	5.98	45	6.02	23
087	Henrico	67.0%	6.10	49	\$1,021	6.28	17	66.4%	6.12	43	6.17	20
089	Henry/Martinsville	63.2%	5.07	77	\$942	4.65	50	64.3%	5.65	53	5.13	61
091	Highland	75.0%	8.25	5	\$784	1.38	118	83.3%	10.00	1	6.54	11
093	Isle of Wight	68.6%	6.52	37	\$912	4.03	66	60.1%	4.68	83	5.08	64
095	James City	69.1%	6.66	33	\$916	4.11	65	60.4%	4.75	82	5.17	58
097	King and Queen	51.1%	1.80	116	\$866	3.09	88	47.6%	1.83	118	2.24	120
099	King George	60.3%	4.30	88	\$923	4.25	61	58.3%	4.28	96	4.28	94
101	King William	58.9%	3.91	97	\$980	5.44	28	60.8%	4.85	79	4.73	75
103	Lancaster	66.1%	5.87	55	\$861	2.97	90	51.3%	2.68	116	3.84	103
105	Lee	65.3%	5.63	62	\$793	1.58	117	72.5%	7.52	7	4.91	69
107	Loudoun	77.4%	8.90	3	\$1,201	10.00	1	72.0%	7.41	8	8.77	1
109	Louisa	61.8%	4.70	82	\$985	5.55	27	62.7%	5.28	67	5.17	57
111	Lunenburg	49.7%	1.42	119	\$806	1.84	116	61.0%	4.90	77	2.72	119
113	Madison	60.7%	4.39	85	\$996	5.76	23	60.8%	4.84	80	4.99	66
115	Mathews	65.7%	5.75	56	\$900	3.78	72	69.3%	6.79	21	5.44	44
117	Mecklenburg	66.6%	5.98	52	\$840	2.53	102	67.8%	6.45	33	4.99	67
119	Middlesex	64.9%	5.54	65	\$910	3.99	68	70.6%	7.08	14	5.54	39
121	Montgomery	78.5%	9.21	2	\$936	4.53	52	63.7%	5.51	58	6.42	14
125	Nelson	61.3%	4.55	84	\$1,013	6.11	18	63.7%	5.51	59	5.39	46
127	New Kent	56.0%	3.14	111	\$1,033	6.52	13	72.0%	7.40	9	5.69	32
131	Northampton	64.5%	5.41	67	\$847	2.70	99	79.9%	9.21	2	5.77	30
133	Northumberland	56.9%	3.36	106	\$858	2.91	92	52.6%	2.97	115	3.08	116
135	Nottoway	67.3%	6.17	45	\$900	3.78	71	70.0%	6.95	17	5.64	34
137	Orange	72.5%	7.58	11	\$962	5.06	35	59.6%	4.58	87	5.74	31
139	Page	69.3%	6.72	32	\$992	5.68	24	60.0%	4.65	84	5.68	33
141	Patrick	67.1%	6.14	47	\$829	2.32	110	74.5%	7.98	6	5.48	41
143	Pittsylvania	53.7%	2.51	113	\$870	3.17	85	59.9%	4.63	86	3.44	109
145	Powhatan	54.5%	2.73	112	\$965	5.13	34	63.5%	5.46	61	4.44	87
147	Prince Edward	70.1%	6.94	26	\$884	3.44	79	68.1%	6.50	30	5.63	35
149	Prince George	59.8%	4.14	93	\$998	5.82	22	58.7%	4.37	89	4.78	73
153	Prince William	72.2%	7.51	13	\$1,193	9.83	2	70.6%	7.08	13	8.14	2
155	Pulaski	63.6%	5.17	74	\$942	4.66	49	53.8%	3.24	112	4.36	92
157	Rappahannock	59.7%	4.13	94	\$958	4.98	39	43.6%	0.91	119	3.34	113
159	Richmond County	60.6%	4.37	87	\$919	4.18	62	39.6%	0.00	120	2.85	118
161	Roanoke County	74.3%	8.06	8	\$1,044	6.75	10	58.5%	4.31	92	6.38	17
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	71.3%	7.25	16	\$866	3.08	89	67.3%	6.33	38	5.56	37
165	Rockingham/H'burg	73.1%	7.74	10	\$976	5.34	29	67.7%	6.41	34	6.50	12
167	Russell	60.6%	4.38	86	\$854	2.83	96	67.1%	6.29	39	4.50	82
169	Scott	58.2%	3.73	100	\$885	3.47	78	76.9%	8.52	5	5.24	56
171	Shenandoah	59.6%	4.09	95	\$929	4.38	56	70.2%	6.99	16	5.15	59

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	58.1%	3.70	101	\$853	2.80	97	63.6%	5.50	60	4.00	98
175	<i>Southampton</i>	58.0%	3.68	102	\$830	2.34	109	54.3%	3.37	110	3.13	115
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	67.9%	6.34	40	\$1,027	6.41	15	68.7%	6.64	27	6.46	13
179	<i>Stafford</i>	59.1%	3.97	96	\$1,126	8.46	4	67.9%	6.47	32	6.30	19
181	<i>Surry</i>	70.5%	7.03	22	\$873	3.22	81	57.4%	4.06	102	4.77	74
183	<i>Sussex</i>	65.5%	5.68	60	\$957	4.97	41	63.3%	5.42	65	5.35	50
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	67.4%	6.21	43	\$815	2.02	114	65.4%	5.89	47	4.71	76
187	<i>Warren</i>	68.1%	6.40	38	\$1,012	6.09	19	68.8%	6.67	26	6.39	16
191	<i>Washington</i>	63.9%	5.27	72	\$895	3.67	75	58.7%	4.36	91	4.43	88
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	64.3%	5.37	70	\$923	4.25	60	64.6%	5.71	52	5.11	63
195	<i>Wise</i>	63.5%	5.16	75	\$823	2.18	112	66.4%	6.13	40	4.49	83
197	<i>Wythe</i>	67.1%	6.12	48	\$842	2.59	100	66.4%	6.12	42	4.94	68
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	62.3%	4.84	81	\$896	3.71	74	59.4%	4.52	88	4.36	93
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	70.2%	6.95	24	\$1,077	7.45	7	77.1%	8.58	4	7.66	4
520	<i>Bristol</i>	67.6%	6.25	42	\$871	3.19	84	62.2%	5.17	70	4.87	71
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	81.5%	10.00	1	\$924	4.28	57	65.6%	5.95	46	6.74	8
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	72.2%	7.50	14	\$931	4.42	55	64.6%	5.72	51	5.88	26
590	<i>Danville</i>	63.7%	5.19	73	\$807	1.85	115	61.7%	5.06	71	4.03	97
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	64.4%	5.40	68	\$834	2.42	106	64.1%	5.60	54	4.47	86
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	75.0%	8.25	6	\$990	5.64	25	66.4%	6.13	41	6.67	9
640	<i>Galax</i>	62.9%	4.99	80	\$888	3.52	77	69.7%	6.87	18	5.13	62
650	<i>Hampton</i>	69.5%	6.78	31	\$917	4.14	63	63.5%	5.46	62	5.46	42
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	68.0%	6.35	39	\$923	4.27	58	63.4%	5.44	64	5.35	49
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	69.6%	6.79	30	\$833	2.40	107	68.5%	6.60	29	5.26	55
683	<i>Manassas</i>	64.6%	5.44	66	\$1,107	8.07	5	70.9%	7.14	12	6.88	7
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	70.9%	7.16	18	\$1,093	7.78	6	77.5%	8.67	3	7.87	3
700	<i>Newport News</i>	70.1%	6.94	25	\$931	4.43	54	69.1%	6.74	25	6.04	22
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	70.0%	6.89	27	\$867	3.09	87	67.4%	6.35	37	5.44	43
720	<i>Norton</i>	74.5%	8.11	7	\$750	0.69	119	71.2%	7.23	11	5.34	51
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	70.5%	7.03	21	\$956	4.94	42	70.3%	7.01	15	6.33	18
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	71.1%	7.21	17	\$905	3.88	70	69.5%	6.84	20	5.98	24
750	<i>Radford</i>	70.3%	6.98	23	\$907	3.92	69	61.2%	4.95	75	5.28	52
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	69.7%	6.84	28	\$958	4.99	38	71.8%	7.35	10	6.39	15
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	65.2%	5.61	63	\$973	5.30	30	62.3%	5.19	68	5.37	48
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	73.7%	7.89	9	\$874	3.24	80	68.0%	6.50	31	5.88	27
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	65.7%	5.73	57	\$955	4.93	43	69.3%	6.78	22	5.81	28
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	69.6%	6.79	29	\$910	3.99	67	63.8%	5.53	56	5.44	45
840	<i>Winchester</i>	68.9%	6.61	34	\$967	5.16	33	58.7%	4.37	90	5.38	47

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores was begun in May 1999 to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

State Summary July 1, 2012 – October 31, 2012

	State	ED 1	ED 2	ED 3	ED 4	ED 5	ED 6	ED 7	ED 8	ED 9	ED 10	ED 11	ED 12	ED 13	ED 14	ED 15	ED 16	ED 17	ED 18
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹																			
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	6,674	338	231	538	203	100	725	78	156	247	284	254	936	251	94	760	216	1,212	51
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	94%	98%	95%	95%	92%	86%	92%	90%	96%	96%	98%	94%	95%	69%	95%	98%	92%	93%	88%
% Timely in October 2012	94%	98%	100%	96%	97%	81%	93%	88%	91%	97%	97%	100%	95%	58%	90%	99%	97%	94%	83%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²																			
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	1,670	79	63	122	58	24	184	22	37	62	71	60	245	66	24	177	51	308	17
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	94%	100%	100%	96%	98%	92%	95%	82%	100%	90%	99%	98%	92%	91%	100%	95%	92%	91%	####
Percent in October 2012	94%	100%	100%	96%	98%	92%	95%	82%	100%	90%	99%	98%	92%	91%	100%	95%	92%	91%	####
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴																			
# Over 30 Days	122	2	0	2	0	10	8	8	4	3	3	0	23	50	3	1	1	4	0
Average # of Days Over 30	40	5	0	26	0	24	26	67	17	11	12	0	75	37	17	10	32	7	0

EDD1 – Southwest Region: July 1, 2012 - October 31, 2012

	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2012		338	27	11	61	55	34	54	11
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012		98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	88%	98%	100%
% Timely in October 2012		98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	85%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012		79	7	1	19	10	8	8	3
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Percent in October 2012		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days		2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30		5	0	0	0	0	3	0	0

EDD2 - Bristol-Galax Region: July 1, 2012 - October 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD2</i>	<i>Bland</i>	<i>Carroll</i>	<i>Grayson</i>	<i>Smyth</i>	<i>Wash.</i>	<i>Wythe</i>	<i>Bristol</i>	<i>Galax</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	231	0	22	11	54	25	20	80	19
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	95%	N/A	95%	100%	98%	96%	95%	95%	84%
% Timely in October 2012	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	63	0	7	1	12	6	4	26	7
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Percent in October 2012	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD3 - Roanoke Region: July 1, 2012 - October 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD3</i>	<i>Alleghny/ Covington</i>	<i>Bote- tourt</i>	<i>Craig</i>	<i>Floyd</i>	<i>Franklin County</i>	<i>Giles</i>	<i>Mont- gomery</i>	<i>Pulaski</i>	<i>Roanoke County</i>	<i>Radford</i>	<i>Roanoke City</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹												
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	538	29	5	3	13	50	15	56	32	56	17	262
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	95%	97%	80%	100%	100%	100%	100%	98%	100%	100%	94%	92%
% Timely in October 2012	96%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	95%	100%	100%	100%	92%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²												
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	122	8	0	1	1	9	2	9	8	15	7	62
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	96%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	92%
Percent in October 2012	96%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	92%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴												
# Over 30 Days	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	26	0	47	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: July 1, 2012 - October 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD4</i>	<i>Aug/S'ton /W'boro</i>	<i>Bath</i>	<i>Highland</i>	<i>Rockbridge/ BV/Lex</i>	<i>Rockingham/ HBurg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	203	118	0	0	8	77
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	92%	97%	N/A	N/A	25%	90%
% Timely in October 2012	97%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	92%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	58	30	0	0	3	25
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	98%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	96%
Percent in October 2012	98%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	96%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD5 & EDD18 - Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: July 1, 2012 - October 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD5</i>	<i>Clarke</i>	<i>Frederick</i>	<i>Page</i>	<i>Shen.</i>	<i>Warren</i>	<i>Winchester</i>	<i>Total EDD18</i>	<i>Accomack</i>	<i>Nhampton</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹										
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	100	3	29	12	6	19	31	51	42	9
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	86%	100%	59%	100%	100%	89%	100%	88%	86%	100%
% Timely in October 2012	81%	100%	33%	100%	100%	100%	100%	83%	79%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	24	0	6	3	1	8	6	17	16	1
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	92%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	75%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Percent in October 2012	92%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	75%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴										
# Over 30 Days	10	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	24	0	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: July 1, 2012 - October 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD6</i>	<i>Arlington</i>	<i>Fairfax</i>	<i>Loudoun</i>	<i>Prince William</i>	<i>Alexandria</i>	<i>Manassas</i>	<i>Manassas Park</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹								
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	725	53	272	51	243	71	28	7
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	92%	98%	97%	94%	84%	97%	89%	71%
% Timely in October 2012	93%	95%	99%	93%	87%	90%	82%	67%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²								
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	184	12	62	19	69	12	8	2
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	95%	100%	98%	95%	90%	100%	100%	100%
Percent in October 2012	95%	100%	98%	95%	90%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴								
# Over 30 Days	8	0	0	2	5	0	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	26	0	0	36	27	0	3	0

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: July 1, 2012 - October 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD7</i>	<i>Culpeper</i>	<i>Fauquier</i>	<i>Madison</i>	<i>Orange</i>	<i>Rapp.</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	78	43	19	4	9	3
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	90%	100%	95%	50%	67%	33%
% Timely in October 2012	88%	100%	100%	0%	50%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	22	12	7	1	2	0
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	82%	83%	100%	100%	0%	N/A
Percent in October 2012	82%	83%	100%	100%	0%	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	8	0	0	2	6	0
Average # of Days Over 30	67	0	0	11	85	0

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: July 1, 2012 - October 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD8</i>	<i>Albemarle</i>	<i>Fluvanna</i>	<i>Greene</i>	<i>Louisa</i>	<i>Nelson</i>	<i>Cville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	156	29	11	12	29	9	66
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	96%	100%	82%	100%	86%	100%	100%
% Timely in October 2012	91%	100%	50%	100%	79%	N/A	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	37	4	5	3	4	2	19
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Percent in October 2012	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	4	0	2	0	2	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	17	0	26	0	7	0	0

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: July 1, 2012 - October 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD9</i>	<i>Amherst</i>	<i>Appom.</i>	<i>Bedford</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Lynchburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	247	26	21	39	48	113
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	96%	100%	100%	90%	100%	95%
% Timely in October 2012	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	93%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	62	11	4	10	13	24
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	90%	100%	100%	70%	92%	92%
Percent in October 2012	90%	100%	100%	70%	92%	92%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	3	0	0	0	0	3
Average # of Days Over 30	11	0	0	0	0	11

EDD10 - Danville Region: July 1, 2012 - October 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD10</i>	<i>Halifax</i>	<i>Henry/ Martinsville</i>	<i>Patrick</i>	<i>Pittsylvania</i>	<i>Danville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	284	28	101	24	38	93
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	98%	96%	95%	100%	100%	99%
% Timely in October 2012	97%	90%	94%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	71	7	25	9	11	19
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	95%
Percent in October 2012	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	95%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	3	1	2	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	12	6	15	0	0	0

EDD11 – Southside Region: July 1, 2012 - October 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD11</i>	<i>Amelia</i>	<i>Brunswick</i>	<i>Buckingham</i>	<i>Charlotte</i>	<i>Cumberland</i>	<i>Gr'nsville Emporia</i>	<i>Lunenburg</i>	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	<i>Nottoway</i>	<i>Pr. Edward</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹											
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	254	14	33	24	18	11	34	22	25	34	39
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	94%	100%	97%	96%	100%	100%	71%	95%	100%	100%	95%
% Timely in October 2012	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²											
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	60	2	9	8	5	2	6	4	8	6	10
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	83%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Percent in October 2012	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	83%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴											
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD12 - Richmond Region: July 1, 2012 - October 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD12</i>	<i>Chesterfield/ Col Hgts</i>	<i>Goochland</i>	<i>Hanover</i>	<i>Henrico</i>	<i>Powhatan</i>	<i>Richmond City</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	936	185	10	39	246	13	443
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	95%	95%	80%	95%	91%	100%	97%
% Timely in October 2012	95%	97%	80%	100%	88%	100%	98%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	245	47	3	7	67	3	118
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	92%	98%	67%	86%	87%	100%	93%
Percent in October 2012	92%	98%	67%	86%	87%	100%	93%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	23	2	1	1	18	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	75	106	5	41	79	0	31

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: July 1, 2012 - October 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD13</i>	<i>Caroline</i>	<i>King George</i>	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	<i>Stafford</i>	<i>Fredericksburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	251	42	17	85	66	41
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	69%	36%	100%	47%	94%	95%
% Timely in October 2012	58%	33%	100%	34%	91%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	66	1	6	25	22	12
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	91%	100%	100%	88%	86%	100%
Percent in October 2012	91%	100%	100%	88%	86%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	50	30	0	19	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	37	51	0	17	4	0

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: July 1, 2012 - October 31, 2012

	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North- umberland	Richmond County	West- moreland
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹										
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	94	19	3	9	7	2	25	7	9	13
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	95%	100%	33%	89%	100%	100%	100%	86%	89%	100%
% Timely in October 2012	90%	100%	0%	100%	100%	100%	100%	75%	67%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	24	9	1	3	2	0	7	1	0	1
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	N/A	100%
Percent in October 2012	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	N/A	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴										
# Over 30 Days	3	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	17	0	19	0	0	0	0	0	14	0

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: July 1, 2012 - October 31, 2012

	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	760	2	18	46	6	26	219	431	12
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	98%	100%	94%	93%	50%	96%	100%	99%	83%
% Timely in October 2012	99%	100%	100%	95%	100%	100%	100%	99%	50%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	177	0	6	3	2	4	61	98	3
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	95%	N/A	100%	100%	0%	100%	98%	94%	100%
Percent in October 2012	95%	N/A	100%	100%	0%	100%	98%	94%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: July 1, 2012 - October 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD16</i>	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	<i>Prince George</i>	<i>Surry</i>	<i>Sussex</i>	<i>Hopewell</i>	<i>Petersburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	216	18	22	9	13	59	95
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	92%	100%	100%	100%	92%	81%	94%
% Timely in October 2012	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	92%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	51	5	5	3	4	14	20
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	92%	100%	100%	100%	100%	93%	85%
Percent in October 2012	92%	100%	100%	100%	100%	93%	85%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	32	0	0	0	0	32	0

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: July 1, 2012 - October 31, 2012

	<i>Total EDD17</i>	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	<i>South- hampton</i>	<i>Chesapeake</i>	<i>Franklin City</i>	<i>Norfolk</i>	<i>Portsmouth</i>	<i>Suffolk</i>	<i>VA Beach</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2012	1,212	25	11	186	51	410	214	86	229
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2012	93%	100%	82%	96%	100%	89%	94%	97%	96%
% Timely in October 2012	94%	100%	75%	98%	100%	87%	97%	100%	94%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2012	308	4	3	44	14	112	55	23	53
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2012	91%	100%	100%	93%	100%	80%	98%	100%	98%
Percent in October 2012	91%	100%	100%	93%	100%	80%	98%	100%	98%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	4	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	2
Average # of Days Over 30	7	0	5	0	0	0	11	0	6

Child Support Enforcement - Statewide Totals

Collections

	<i>Oct-11</i>	<i>Oct-12</i>	<i>% Change</i>
TANF Cases Collected	14,920	14,897	-0.2%
NTANF Cases Collected	128,778	130,385	1.2%
Total Cases Collected	143,698	145,282	1.1%
TANF Collections	\$2,952,283	\$2,613,111	-11%
NTANF Collections	\$48,387,547	\$50,667,691	4.7%
Total Collections	\$51,339,830	\$53,280,802	3.8%
SFY YTD Collections	\$203,795,678	\$208,083,720	2.1%
SFY YTD Average Collection	\$355.24	\$361.78	1.8%

Paternities Established

	<i>Oct-12</i>
TANF Paternities	153
NTANF Paternities	285
Combined Paternities	438

Diversionsary Assistance

October Diversionsary Assistance Cases

Locality	Number of Cases		Locality	Number of Cases
Accomack	1		Prince George	1
Alleghany / Covington	1		Prince William	1
Appomattox	1		Pulaski	1
Augusta / Staunt'n / W'boro	9		Roanoke County	6
Bath	1		Rockingham/ H'burg	2
Bedford City	4		Shenandoah	15
Caroline	1		Spotsylvania	3
Chesterfield/Colonial Hgts	11		Stafford	3
Culpeper	5		Surry	3
Dinwiddie	1		Warren	3
Fairfax / Falls Church	2		York / Poquoson	2
Fauquier	1		Bristol	10
Floyd	1		Charlottesville	3
Frederick	2		Chesapeake	3
Greene	2		Franklin City	1
Greensville / Emporia	1		Fredericksburg	2
Halifax	1		Galax	1
Hanover	1		Hampton	8
Henrico	7		Hopewell	7
Henry / Martinsville	4		Lynchburg	1
Isle of Wight	1		Newport News	26
King and Queen	1		Norfolk	14
Loudoun	3		Petersburg	4
Louisa	2		Portsmouth	1
Montgomery	1		Richmond City	1
Orange	6		Roanoke City	11
Page	1		Suffolk	6
Patrick	4		Virginia Beach	4
Pittsylvania	1		Winchester	2
			Statewide	222

Definitions of Selected Terms Contained in Report

Average Monthly Earnings. This is the average monthly earnings of the unsubsidized jobs that participants obtained. The value is calculated by multiplying the hourly wage by the weekly hours worked by 4.3 average weeks per month. For the cumulative figures the initial wage and initial hours are used. For the current figures, the initial wage and most current hours are used, except for the November 2001 report which used initial hours. Earlier than June 1999, wage changes that were entered overwrote the initial wage, so prior to that date, the cumulative figures used the initial hours and the last wage entered. With the advent of the VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) program in October 2006 the end of month average monthly earnings might be expected to decline somewhat because prior to VTP, cases with high earnings might remain open for job follow-up, but after the implementation of VTP the VIEW case would be closed when the TANF case was closed and a VTP case opened. VTP cases are not included in this report except for estimating the participation rate.

Average Wage. The average of the wages reported for each occurrence of unsubsidized employment. After June 1999 the wage is the wage at hiring, that is, the initial wage. Prior to June 1999, that wage may have been updated if changed during employment. After the implementation of the VIEW Transitional Payment program the end of month point in time average wage might be expected to decline some for the same reason mentioned in the explanation of possible decline in Average Monthly earnings, above. See note 6 on page 44.

Cases Closed with Employment. These are TANF or TANF-UP cases that are closed with an indication in the data base of employment at the date of closure. It does not mean that the case necessarily closed because of employment. For example, a TANF case may have an indication of closing at the client's request, along with indication of existing employment in VIEW. That case is counted as closed with employment.

EDD. This stands for Economic Development District. EDDs were developed during the administration of Governor Allen. They generally are no longer in use. This report continues to refer to them because VIEW was phased in by EDD and their use provides consistency over time.

Enrolled. Unduplicated number by locality of TANF recipients who were interviewed, received an individual assessment, signed the Agreement of Personal Responsibility, and enrolled in the VIEW program. Because the count is by locality, a recipient may be counted once in more than one locality. The fiscal year to date numbers include those who were enrolled at the beginning of the fiscal year as well as those enrolled during the year. There are clients enrolled in VIEW who have had their TANF case closed because VIEW policy requires that the enrollment remain open for job follow-up after employment unless the employment meets federal work participation guidelines, in which case the VIEW case is closed and a VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) case is opened.

Full Time Employment. Employment at 30 hours a week or greater.

Participants Assigned to Inactive. Inactive is a status assigned to participants who cannot participate in the VIEW program for reasons other than being exempt. Examples are unavailability of child care, unavailability of transportation, and mental or medical problems that make participation impractical. Inactive participants are due to be reviewed each month. While in inactive status, the 24 month time limit for TANF benefits is suspended.

Participants Employed. Total number of participants by locality who ever obtained an unsubsidized job, either full time or part time for the counts from inception. Other counts include subsidized employment. If employed more than one time, they are still only counted once, but may be counted in more than one locality. Also, these participants may have left their job and not gotten another one. For the year to date numbers, those employed at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first became employed in the fiscal year.

Participants in a Work Activity. Unduplicated count by locality of persons who have been in any of the following components: unsubsidized employment, full employment program (FEP), on-the-job training (OJT), community work experience program (CWEP), public service program (PSP), vocational education and training, and job search/job readiness. For example, a person who was in community work experience and then employed would be counted only once.

For the year to date numbers, those in a work activity at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first entered a work activity in the fiscal year. Note that this is a revised definition of work activity from reports through October 2006. The revised definition captures the activities that count toward the first 20 hours of federal participation requirements – the core work activities. Clients who are counted as being in a core work activity must meet additional participation rate requirements in order to be included in the work participation rate, and only VIEW clients are counted here, not all work eligible TANF clients **Thus, these percentages in a work activity are quite different from the percentages in the TANF work participation rate.**

Part Time Employment. Employment at fewer than 30 weekly hours.

Referred. Unduplicated number of TANF recipients by locality who were initially evaluated as eligible for VIEW and had their names referred to the automated VIEW list for participation.

Relationship Between TANF and VIEW Participation. On the chart at page 5a, the column heading “Total Adults in the Case” includes adults who are not considered to be part of the assistance unit. For example, a mother receiving SSI may be in the case, but because she receives SSI is not considered eligible for TANF and thus is not part of the assistance unit. Persons such as she who are not in the assistance unit are not eligible to participate in VIEW. Also included are adults who are part of the assistance unit but exempt from VIEW

Five Months Employment. In earlier reports, this represented the percent of all participants that were employed 150 days after their first employment. Participants who were employed in any job 150 days after their first employment were counted in this measure.. This measure became unavailable after July 1, 2011. See note 9 on page 44.

Three Months Employment. This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 90 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 90 days after their first employment are counted in this measure. For year to date percents, the calculations are based on the first employment that began one year before the end of the report month. That employment may not have been the first ever for the participant. The year to date percents were calculated differently in reports before September 2004. Also for year to date percents, transferred cases were not counted for months after the January 2002 report.

TANF / TANF-UP Payment Cases. Up to November 2003 these counts were taken from a monthly report with no adjustment. After that, the monthly counts included some cases that were being paid because child support payments had been received and were being passed on. These counts were adjusted to mostly eliminate those additional cases. Further adjustment may be appropriate. It should also be noted that the counts include both strictly TANF cases and MOE cases for some years. With the end of waiver provisions in July 2003, a substantial part of the caseload was placed in a state program and funded with MOE funds. This change in July 2003 was a change in funding, not a change in eligibility requirements or case payment amounts. It ended in September 2006 when VIEW transitional payments (VTP) were introduced. Adjustments have been made to take out VTP cases. Also, TANF-UP cases are currently funded with only state monies.

VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). Beginning in October 2006, VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) were introduced. These are payments of \$50 per client per month for one year to VIEW clients that leave “regular” TANF with employment sufficient to meet Federal work participation requirements. The employment must be maintained to be eligible for VTP. Previously, VTP clients normally would have remained VIEW clients for five or six months of job-follow-up. Thus, the VTP program has had a diminishing effect on some of the statistics in this report such as end of month percent employed and average wage. See graph on page 5a.

Time That Data Represent. The data in the top sections of page 4 and pages 8 – 24 are point in time data as of the date indicated. The year to date section represents state fiscal year to date data, with the exception of three month retention (see above). The bottom section is cumulative from when the locality began VIEW.

General Statement About Accuracy. The report is based on an extract from the Employment Services automated system. The extract is normally made the night of the first work day after the end of the report month. Data that staff of local social services agencies enter into the automated system after the time of the extract are not included in the report, even though the activity reflected actually occurred in the report month. However, that data, and the activity reflected, are included in the reports for subsequent months. Further, because the data are entered by staff in the 120 localities, the accuracy of the data in the data base is, of course, subject to inadvertent error.


- ¹ Applications are not necessarily acted upon in the month that they are received. Also, applications may be transferred from regular TANF to TANF-UP and vice versa as they are processed.
- ² These TANF cases paid counts are prior to possible adjustment. See definition of payment cases. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes.
- ³ VIEW enrolled counts do not include VTP clients
- ⁴ A case may be open in more than one locality during the time period.
- ⁵ Prior to June 1999, when individuals were transferred from one locality to another, their history was transferred as well. This may have an effect on a locality's cumulative VIEW statistics.
- ⁶ The minimum wage rose from \$6.55 to \$7.25 an hour on 7/24/09. The report reflects initial wages so some effect on wages in the report was delayed. The average wage includes full and part time, unless otherwise indicated. See the definition of average wage on page 42.
- ⁷ Includes full and part time earnings.
- ⁸ This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed three months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed three months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous. See definition on page 43 for the revised method of calculating the year to date percentages.
- ⁹ Until the July 2011 report, this was employed after five months. However, on July 1, 2011 the number of required job follow-ups was reduced to three. Thus, data for five month job retention became unavailable.
- ¹⁰ Number includes TANF-UP and represents cases carried over to the next month. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes, but with the advent of VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) such occurrences were reduced from earlier months.
- ¹¹ Enrollment is timely if done within 30 days of referral in previous month of the state fiscal year. The monthly percent includes evaluation of clients waiting in the queue. The year to date does not.
- ¹² Placement in a work activity on the 95th day after referral was a measure of timeliness before Oct 1, 2006. The revised definition of work activity has increased the percentage from reports before November 2006. Clients whose cases have closed before the 95th day are excluded, so 100% is an attainable mark.
- ¹⁴ As of the morning of November 1, 2012. Some referrals to the waiting list are not appropriate; there may be considerable lag time in correcting them..

This report is available on the Department of Social Services web site at:
http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial_assistance/tanf.cgi

The scores in the VIEW Rankings are determined by placing a locality on a scale of zero to ten in the same relative position as its place in the difference between the high and low of the measure in question. This converts all measures to a similar scale and provides a more equitable way to combine the ratings in each measure to determine a combined rank. (Questions, please call 804 726-7618.)

Comments on the format or content of the report and requests for Excel files for portions of current and past reports may be sent by e-mail to: william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov.


TANF and TANF-UP Cases Paid at Six Month Intervals, except last month June 1995 through October 2012



This graph illustrates the dramatic decline of the TANF caseload as VIEW was implemented. There was some sign of slowing in the rate of decline after June 1998. Nevertheless, wide community involvement, a strong economy, and the effect of the 24 month rule allowed the caseload to continue to fall until it was near the 30,000 level. There was little net change in the caseload from June 2000 to June 2002, but some upward movement was seen after June 2002, until 2005. The more recent trends are presumed to be a result of economic conditions. Of note is that the caseload leveled off after recession spurred growth, declined and now seems level. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

Applications Received with Approval Percentage


Six Month Asymmetrical Moving Averages by Quarter, July 1995 through October 2012



This graph of application activity is presented as six month moving averages to produce smoother lines from which trends may be seen and in recognition that applications are not always acted on in the month received. The trends are not dramatic, but there seems to have been a general decline in the number of applications received until the July 2001 data. The decline in applications received after December 2004 and the more recent changes appear to be reflected in the case load trends. While no reason has been determined for the general decline in percent approved, an apparent reason for the very recent increase is the availability of applications on line that offers ready access for applying to multiple assistance programs. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

TANF Cases, VIEW Enrolled, and Employed VIEW Participants


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. October is the last month



This graph shows that the number enrolled in VIEW increased during early implementation and then generally tracked the number of cases. The effects of recent economic conditions are apparent. A VIEW case may remain open for some months after the TANF case closes, if job follow-up is being done and the case has not been converted to the VIEW Transitional Payment program. It is unlikely that the number employed will ever equal the number enrolled because there are always new enrollees without employment, and there are some enrollees in work activities, other than employment, that meet VIEW requirements. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months. It includes TANF-UP

Chart Displaying the Relationships Between TANF and VIEW Participation


Oct 31, 2012



This chart illustrates that there are a large number of adults who are exempt from VIEW and that many of the VIEW enrollees have had their TANF cases closed. They are now in a job follow-up phase of VIEW. They have not had their VIEW case closed and become enrolled in the VIEW Transitional Payment program. The source of this information is a monthly TANF extract from ADAPT and the monthly extract from ESPAS, the VIEW automated data system. The extracts were made at the beginning of November and represent end of October data. TANF-UP is included.

VIEW Percent Total Employed and Average Wage at End of Month


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. October is the last month.



This graph shows that the average wage has seen a general increase as the percent of VIEW employed initially increased and then leveled. Besides employment, VIEW participants may be in various other activities or initial assessment and job search. It is unlikely that the percent employed will rise more, and the recent economic conditions may have caused a decline. The wages shown are generally the initial wages. When the average wage was calculated at about the end of October it was \$8.31 rather than the \$8.24 initial wages used to calculate wages shown on this graph. See page 42 of the report for an explanation of why the VIEW average wage might decline after October 2006 and note 6 on page 44 for the effect of the increase in minimum wage. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.

Percent of Jobs Found During a Month That Were Full Time and Percent Part Time

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. October is the last month



This graph illustrates an initial trend of VIEW participants obtaining a greater percent of full time jobs than part time. After the initial months, the trend seems to be fairly level with an increase in the percent full time after TANF reauthorization which probably reflects increased emphasis on meeting the required TANF work participation rate. The more recent changes are presumed to be a result of economic developments. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.