

VIRGINIA INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM

MONTHLY REPORT

Including Graphs of Statewide Trends

June 2012

Prepared by:
Virginia Department of Social Services July 25, 2012

Monthly Report, June 2012

Table of Contents

Work Participation Estimates (from the VDSS data warehouse) (A work participation estimate percent should not be compared to a percent in a work activity in the main body of the report which includes only clients in VIEW and does not consider the number of hours in a work activity during a month)	i-vi
TANF, TANF-UP Case Activity.....	1
Graphs of Case Activity.....	at end
VIEW Work Activity	
Statewide	4
Graphs of Statewide Activity.....	at end
EDD1.....	8
EDD2.....	9
EDD3.....	10
EDD4.....	11
EDD5 & EDD18.....	12
EDD6.....	13
EDD7.....	14
EDD8.....	15
EDD9.....	16
EDD10.....	17
EDD11.....	18
EDD14.....	19
EDD1.....	20
EDD3.....	21
EDD11.....	22
EDD15.....	23
EDD17.....	24
VIEW Year to Date Rankings.....	25-27
VIEW Cumulative Rankings.....	28-30
VIEW Timeliness Measures.....	31-39
Child Support Enforcement.....	40
Diversion.....	41
VIEW Definition Sheet and Endnotes.....	42-44

Estimated Work Participation Rates for June

These are estimated locality June 2012 work participation rates that include clients receiving either TANF or VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). The official participation rate will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated June statewide rate is 45% with a numerator of 7,617 and a denominator of 17,094

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	25	71	35%	061	Fauquier	22	51	43%
003	Albemarle	34	67	51%	063	Floyd	9	23	39%
005	Alleghany/Covington	18	48	38%	065	Fluvanna	7	26	27%
007	Amelia	10	40	25%	067	Franklin County	38	105	36%
009	Amherst	17	33	52%	069	Frederick	27	82	33%
011	Appomattox	29	50	58%	071	Giles	7	29	24%
013	Arlington	53	99	54%	073	Gloucester	19	44	43%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	129	233	55%	075	Goochland	12	33	36%
017	Bath	0	1	0%	077	Grayson	18	31	58%
019	Bedford County/City	47	105	45%	079	Greene	6	16	38%
021	Bland	3	6	50%	081	Greensville/Emporia	30	80	38%
023	Botetourt	3	21	14%	083	Halifax	28	68	41%
025	Brunswick	47	73	64%	085	Hanover	27	70	39%
027	Buchanan	19	48	40%	087	Henrico	315	873	36%
029	Buckingham	15	32	47%	089	Henry/Martinsville	99	249	40%
031	Campbell	72	170	42%	091	Highland	0	1	0%
033	Caroline	15	111	14%	093	Isle of Wight	22	55	40%
035	Carroll	26	44	59%	095	James City	27	84	32%
036	Charles City	2	14	14%	097	King and Queen	1	8	13%
037	Charlotte	17	51	33%	099	King George	9	19	47%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	247	520	48%	101	King William	11	24	46%
043	Clarke	2	7	29%	103	Lancaster	5	26	19%
045	Craig	4	9	44%	105	Lee	53	119	45%
047	Culpeper	38	79	48%	107	Loudoun	63	143	44%
049	Cumberland	13	39	33%	109	Louisa	25	53	47%
051	Dickensen	10	17	59%	111	Lunenburg	17	32	53%
053	Dinwiddie	16	47	34%	113	Madison	1	14	7%
057	Essex	12	40	30%	115	Mathews	7	18	39%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	337	704	48%	117	Mecklenburg	22	66	33%

Estimated Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent
119	Middlesex	5	22	23%	187	Warren	32	69	46%
121	Montgomery	65	137	47%	191	Washington	34	61	56%
125	Nelson	8	15	53%	193	Westmoreland	20	41	49%
127	New Kent	8	29	28%	195	Wise	62	165	38%
131	Northampton	18	53	34%	197	Wythe	25	47	53%
133	Northumberland	9	11	82%	199	York/Poquoson	39	92	42%
135	Nottoway	45	92	49%	510	Alexandria	115	216	53%
137	Orange	21	48	44%	520	Bristol	81	149	54%
139	Page	17	35	49%	540	Charlottesville	85	199	43%
141	Patrick	27	62	44%	550	Chesapeake	271	598	45%
143	Pittsylvania	23	71	32%	590	Danville	105	191	55%
145	Powhatan	8	21	38%	620	Franklin City	37	62	60%
147	Prince Edward	39	63	62%	630	Fredericksburg	51	105	49%
149	Prince George	14	50	28%	640	Galax	15	33	45%
153	Prince William	319	623	51%	650	Hampton	397	774	51%
155	Pulaski	35	69	51%	670	Hopewell	33	173	19%
157	Rappahannock	0	3	0%	680	Lynchburg	128	303	42%
159	Richmond County	2	13	15%	683	Manassas	27	69	39%
161	Roanoke County	60	139	43%	685	Manassas Park	6	20	30%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	16	36	44%	700	Newport News	455	969	47%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	80	135	59%	710	Norfolk	674	1,213	56%
167	Russell	30	77	39%	720	Norton	9	30	30%
169	Scott	39	69	57%	730	Petersburg	156	303	51%
171	Shenandoah	10	24	42%	740	Portsmouth	236	576	41%
173	Smyth	40	106	38%	750	Radford	16	37	43%
175	Southampton	14	47	30%	760	Richmond City	569	1,489	38%
177	Spotsylvania	106	249	43%	770	Roanoke City	180	525	34%
179	Stafford	66	163	40%	800	Suffolk	82	142	58%
181	Surry	8	25	32%	810	Virginia Beach	253	502	50%
183	Sussex	19	44	43%	830	Williamsburg	8	18	44%
185	Tazewell	49	109	45%	840	Winchester	29	62	47%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF and VTP recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rate presented here is the all families rate, i. e. the overall rate, including VTP..

Estimated TANF only Work Participation Rates for June

These are estimated locality June 2012 work participation rates that include only clients receiving TANF. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated June statewide rate is 38% with a numerator of 5,600 and a denominator of 14,889. VTP only estimates are on pages v and vi.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	19	64	30%	061	Fauquier	13	41	32%
003	Albemarle	18	51	35%	063	Floyd	8	22	36%
005	Alleghany/Covington	12	42	29%	065	Fluvanna	4	23	17%
007	Amelia	9	39	23%	067	Franklin County	32	99	32%
009	Amherst	11	27	41%	069	Frederick	18	70	26%
011	Appomattox	23	44	52%	071	Giles	4	26	15%
013	Arlington	34	80	43%	073	Gloucester	13	37	35%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	79	182	43%	075	Goochland	7	26	27%
017	Bath	0	1	0%	077	Grayson	14	27	52%
019	Bedford County/City	33	91	36%	079	Greene	4	14	29%
021	Bland	1	4	25%	081	Greensville/Emporia	20	70	29%
023	Botetourt	2	19	11%	083	Halifax	18	58	31%
025	Brunswick	38	63	60%	085	Hanover	17	57	30%
027	Buchanan	13	42	31%	087	Henrico	230	770	30%
029	Buckingham	10	26	38%	089	Henry/Martinsville	66	215	31%
031	Campbell	49	146	34%	091	Highland	0	1	0%
033	Caroline	15	100	15%	093	Isle of Wight	17	48	35%
035	Carroll	18	36	50%	095	James City	21	75	28%
036	Charles City	1	13	8%	097	King and Queen	0	7	0%
037	Charlotte	13	47	28%	099	King George	6	16	38%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	181	448	40%	101	King William	9	22	41%
043	Clarke	1	6	17%	103	Lancaster	3	23	13%
045	Craig	2	7	29%	105	Lee	38	103	37%
047	Culpeper	24	63	38%	107	Loudoun	20	95	21%
049	Cumberland	12	38	32%	109	Louisa	18	46	39%
051	Dickensen	7	13	54%	111	Lunenburg	13	27	48%
053	Dinwiddie	9	40	23%	113	Madison	0	13	0%
057	Essex	10	37	27%	115	Mathews	6	17	35%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	205	566	36%	117	Mecklenburg	15	59	25%

Estimated TANF Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	4	20	20%	187	Warren	18	55	33%
121	Montgomery	45	116	39%	191	Washington	32	59	54%
125	Nelson	4	11	36%	193	Westmoreland	17	38	45%
127	New Kent	7	26	27%	195	Wise	47	149	32%
131	Northampton	15	50	30%	197	Wythe	11	33	33%
133	Northumberland	6	8	75%	199	York/Poquoson	29	81	36%
135	Nottoway	30	77	39%	510	Alexandria	80	179	45%
137	Orange	19	45	42%	520	Bristol	70	138	51%
139	Page	12	29	41%	540	Charlottesville	66	178	37%
141	Patrick	20	55	36%	550	Chesapeake	214	532	40%
143	Pittsylvania	22	70	31%	590	Danville	86	168	51%
145	Powhatan	4	17	24%	620	Franklin City	34	58	59%
147	Prince Edward	31	55	56%	630	Fredericksburg	29	82	35%
149	Prince George	9	45	20%	640	Galax	10	27	37%
153	Prince William	199	497	40%	650	Hampton	308	669	46%
155	Pulaski	31	65	48%	670	Hopewell	26	160	16%
157	Rappahannock	0	3	0%	680	Lynchburg	100	272	37%
159	Richmond County	2	13	15%	683	Manassas	10	51	20%
161	Roanoke County	27	106	25%	685	Manassas Park	1	14	7%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	14	33	42%	700	Newport News	351	857	41%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	41	95	43%	710	Norfolk	593	1,130	52%
167	Russell	24	70	34%	720	Norton	6	27	22%
169	Scott	29	58	50%	730	Petersburg	122	260	47%
171	Shenandoah	6	19	32%	740	Portsmouth	182	521	35%
173	Smyth	30	96	31%	750	Radford	12	33	36%
175	Southampton	10	41	24%	760	Richmond City	473	1,378	34%
177	Spotsylvania	78	214	36%	770	Roanoke City	119	463	26%
179	Stafford	46	143	32%	800	Suffolk	59	119	50%
181	Surry	5	22	23%	810	Virginia Beach	163	411	40%
183	Sussex	13	38	34%	830	Williamsburg	8	17	47%
185	Tazewell	34	94	36%	840	Winchester	24	57	42%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are for the TANF all family rate not including VTP.

Estimated VTP only Work Participation Rates for June

These are estimated locality June 2012 work participation rates that include only clients receiving VTP. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated June statewide rate is 91% with a numerator of 2,017 and a denominator of 2,205. TANF only estimates are on pages iii and iv.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	6	7	86%	061	Fauquier	9	10	90%
003	Albemarle	16	16	100%	063	Floyd	1	1	100%
005	Alleghany/Covington	6	6	100%	065	Fluvanna	3	3	100%
007	Amelia	1	1	100%	067	Franklin County	6	6	100%
009	Amherst	6	6	100%	069	Frederick	9	12	75%
011	Appomattox	6	6	100%	071	Giles	3	3	100%
013	Arlington	19	19	100%	073	Gloucester	6	7	86%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	50	51	98%	075	Goochland	5	7	71%
017	Bath	0	0	N/A	077	Grayson	4	4	100%
019	Bedford County/City	14	14	100%	079	Greene	2	2	100%
021	Bland	2	2	100%	081	Greensville/Emporia	10	10	100%
023	Botetourt	1	2	50%	083	Halifax	10	10	100%
025	Brunswick	9	10	90%	085	Hanover	10	13	77%
027	Buchanan	6	6	100%	087	Henrico	85	103	83%
029	Buckingham	5	6	83%	089	Henry/Martinsville	33	34	97%
031	Campbell	23	24	96%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	0	11	0%	093	Isle of Wight	5	7	71%
035	Carroll	8	8	100%	095	James City	6	9	67%
036	Charles City	1	1	100%	097	King and Queen	1	1	100%
037	Charlotte	4	4	100%	099	King George	3	3	100%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	66	72	92%	101	King William	2	2	100%
043	Clarke	1	1	100%	103	Lancaster	2	3	67%
045	Craig	2	2	100%	105	Lee	15	16	94%
047	Culpeper	14	16	88%	107	Loudoun	43	48	90%
049	Cumberland	1	1	100%	109	Louisa	7	7	100%
051	Dickensen	3	4	75%	111	Lunenburg	4	5	80%
053	Dinwiddie	7	7	100%	113	Madison	1	1	100%
057	Essex	2	3	67%	115	Mathews	1	1	100%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	132	138	96%	117	Mecklenburg	7	7	100%

Estimated VTP Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	1	2	50%	187	Warren	14	14	100%
121	Montgomery	20	21	95%	191	Washington	2	2	100%
125	Nelson	4	4	100%	193	Westmoreland	3	3	100%
127	New Kent	1	3	33%	195	Wise	15	16	94%
131	Northampton	3	3	100%	197	Wythe	14	14	100%
133	Northumberland	3	3	100%	199	York/Poquoson	10	11	91%
135	Nottoway	15	15	100%	510	Alexandria	35	37	95%
137	Orange	2	3	67%	520	Bristol	11	11	100%
139	Page	5	6	83%	540	Charlottesville	19	21	90%
141	Patrick	7	7	100%	550	Chesapeake	57	66	86%
143	Pittsylvania	1	1	100%	590	Danville	19	23	83%
145	Powhatan	4	4	100%	620	Franklin City	3	4	75%
147	Prince Edward	8	8	100%	630	Fredericksburg	22	23	96%
149	Prince George	5	5	100%	640	Galax	5	6	83%
153	Prince William	120	126	95%	650	Hampton	89	105	85%
155	Pulaski	4	4	100%	670	Hopewell	7	13	54%
157	Rappahannock	0	0	N/A	680	Lynchburg	28	31	90%
159	Richmond County	0	0	N/A	683	Manassas	17	18	94%
161	Roanoke County	33	33	100%	685	Manassas Park	5	6	83%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	2	3	67%	700	Newport News	104	112	93%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	39	40	98%	710	Norfolk	81	83	98%
167	Russell	6	7	86%	720	Norton	3	3	100%
169	Scott	10	11	91%	730	Petersburg	34	43	79%
171	Shenandoah	4	5	80%	740	Portsmouth	54	55	98%
173	Smyth	10	10	100%	750	Radford	4	4	100%
175	Southampton	4	6	67%	760	Richmond City	96	111	86%
177	Spotsylvania	28	35	80%	770	Roanoke City	61	62	98%
179	Stafford	20	20	100%	800	Suffolk	23	23	100%
181	Surry	3	3	100%	810	Virginia Beach	90	91	99%
183	Sussex	6	6	100%	830	Williamsburg	0	1	0%
185	Tazewell	15	15	100%	840	Winchester	5	5	100%

All VTP recipients are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” Thus, all VTP cases are in the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, job follow-up entries are reviewed to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. The basic requirement for VTP recipients is employment for at least 30 hours per week. The requirement is contained in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are also sometimes referred to as the rates for the maintenance of effort program caseload. .

TANF

TANF Case Activity	Total Statewide				
	Jun-12	Jun-11	Change from Jun-11	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	5,267	5,558	-5%	4,807	10%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	2,941	2,886	2%	1,658	77%
Applications Approved	2,003	2,358	-15%	2,820	-29%
Cases Closed *	2,507	2,777	-10%	4,476	-44%
Cases at End of Month	30,517	32,685	-7%	67,995	-55%
Cases Paid During Month ²	31,589	33,822	-7%	70,403	-55%

•The database indicates that of the 2,507 closed cases, 989 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 567 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 207 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 137 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

TANF-UP

TANF-UP Case Activity	Total Statewide				
	Jun-12	Jun-11	Change from Jun-11	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	427	511	-16%	338	26%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	262	273	-4%	232	13%
Applications Approved	188	227	-17%	81	132%
Cases Closed *	244	276	-12%	107	128%
Cases at End of Month	1,725	1,989	-13%	321	437%
Cases Paid During Month ²	1,822	2,119	-14%	394	362%

•The database indicates that of the 244 closed cases, 136 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 77 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 32 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 27 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

Statewide - Status through June 30, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/12 and Work Activity as of 6/29/12

Current Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	32,242	1,580	986	2,465	905	542	3,516	359	636	1,268	1,409	982	5,408	1,114	395	3,395	1,149	5,844	289
Total Enrolled in VIEW	12,993	524	439	838	333	247	1,361	151	303	556	426	453	2,257	616	161	1,433	534	2,267	94
Part. in a Work Activity	9,936	431	364	584	260	160	1,108	109	252	408	346	364	1,669	421	125	1,126	350	1,797	62
% of Enrolled	76%	82%	83%	70%	78%	65%	81%	72%	83%	73%	81%	80%	74%	68%	78%	79%	66%	79%	66%
Part. Assigned to Inactive	104	5	2	5	1	9	14	5	4	1	2	2	18	4	1	12	6	13	0
Participants Employed	6,589	292	211	475	220	117	669	84	152	266	212	230	1,018	303	89	732	245	1,227	47
% of Enrolled	51%	56%	48%	57%	66%	47%	49%	56%	50%	48%	50%	51%	45%	49%	55%	51%	46%	54%	50%
Full Time	5,273	267	187	399	201	101	521	76	112	187	177	167	753	208	72	566	193	1,052	34
Part Time	1,316	25	24	76	19	16	148	8	40	79	35	63	265	95	17	166	52	175	13
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.19	\$7.69	\$7.82	\$8.13	\$8.11	\$8.24	\$9.25	\$8.42	\$8.32	\$7.90	\$7.74	\$7.77	\$8.32	\$8.38	\$7.95	\$8.13	\$7.94	\$7.98	\$8.09
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,097	\$1,076	\$1,081	\$1,134	\$1,160	\$1,119	\$1,228	\$1,197	\$1,079	\$1,004	\$1,070	\$1,007	\$1,102	\$1,039	\$1,087	\$1,083	\$1,078	\$1,066	\$1,072

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – June 30, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	29,912	1,392	1,102	2,608	985	630	3,219	360	628	1,184	1,291	968	4,203	1,160	392	3,240	908	5,357	285
Total Enrolled in VIEW	35,406	1,566	1,256	2,818	1,058	725	3,926	404	768	1,512	1,410	1,187	5,383	1,427	448	3,873	1,222	6,140	283
Part. in a Work Activity	31,814	1,469	1,178	2,446	987	630	3,598	358	724	1,333	1,301	1,094	4,772	1,218	405	3,469	1,035	5,540	257
% of Total Enrolled	90%	94%	94%	87%	93%	87%	92%	89%	94%	88%	92%	92%	89%	85%	90%	90%	85%	90%	91%
Participants Employed	18,308	853	645	1,445	651	370	2,192	226	443	788	614	592	2,563	714	231	1,978	606	3,276	121
% of Total Enrolled	52%	54%	51%	51%	62%	51%	56%	56%	58%	52%	44%	50%	48%	50%	52%	51%	50%	53%	43%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.41	\$7.73	\$7.85	\$8.18	\$8.25	\$8.44	\$9.95	\$8.70	\$8.63	\$8.00	\$7.83	\$7.92	\$8.44	\$8.75	\$8.06	\$8.25	\$8.15	\$8.10	\$8.37
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,093	\$1,046	\$1,068	\$1,112	\$1,121	\$1,127	\$1,300	\$1,159	\$1,018	\$1,007	\$1,031	\$980	\$1,085	\$1,087	\$1,032	\$1,061	\$1,095	\$1,042	\$1,045
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	69%	63%	64%	71%	63%	75%	77%	69%	69%	68%	69%	74%	78%	63%	72%	77%	71%	78%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	274,349	16,991	10,347	20,501	8,068	5,304	34,067	3,896	5,939	10,338	12,715	7,896	36,588	8,557	3,611	26,110	9,230	51,688	2,503
Total Enrolled in VIEW ⁵	210,771	13,062	8,702	15,734	6,201	3,967	26,305	2,943	4,589	8,576	10,200	6,114	28,678	6,311	2,691	19,701	7,243	37,917	1,837
Part. in a Work Activity	201,543	12,568	8,345	14,978	6,038	3,743	25,394	2,831	4,474	8,099	9,876	5,853	27,526	5,965	2,522	18,757	6,907	35,895	1,772
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	96%	95%	97%	94%	97%	96%	97%	94%	97%	96%	96%	95%	94%	95%	95%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	142,404	8,213	5,632	10,648	4,314	2,581	18,545	1,981	3,414	5,848	6,269	3,722	19,327	4,142	1,685	13,651	4,933	26,467	1,032
% of Total Enrolled	68%	63%	65%	68%	70%	65%	70%	67%	74%	68%	61%	61%	67%	66%	63%	69%	68%	70%	56%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.17	\$6.23	\$6.34	\$7.10	\$7.17	\$7.38	\$8.69	\$7.48	\$7.41	\$6.61	\$6.41	\$6.78	\$7.36	\$7.94	\$7.01	\$7.04	\$7.01	\$6.87	\$6.74
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$947	\$821	\$859	\$954	\$953	\$987	\$1,152	\$986	\$945	\$857	\$854	\$876	\$985	\$1,019	\$895	\$920	\$945	\$898	\$849
Three Months Employment ⁹	67%	68%	62%	61%	68%	63%	71%	65%	63%	64%	63%	66%	70%	66%	58%	66%	66%	68%	72%

EDD1 - Southwest Region: Status through June 30, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/12 and Work Activity as of 6/29/12

Current Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,580	138	60	288	206	163	229	448	48
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	524	35	14	122	71	58	71	139	14
Participants in a Work Activity	431	29	10	105	67	49	65	97	9
% of Enrolled	82%	83%	71%	86%	94%	84%	92%	70%	64%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	0	0	1	3	0	0	1	0
Participants Employed	292	13	7	67	29	39	48	82	7
% of Enrolled	56%	37%	50%	55%	41%	67%	68%	59%	50%
Full Time	267	12	7	60	25	38	44	74	7
Part Time	25	1	0	7	4	1	4	8	0
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.69	\$7.46	\$7.87	\$7.66	\$7.78	\$7.57	\$7.74	\$7.69	\$8.18
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,076	\$999	\$1,202	\$1,090	\$984	\$1,039	\$1,090	\$1,096	\$1,197

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – June 30, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	1,392	68	54	256	175	154	267	387	31
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,566	60	86	304	211	197	264	403	41
Participants in a Work Activity	1,469	53	78	300	199	186	260	357	36
% of Total Enrolled	94%	88%	91%	99%	94%	94%	98%	89%	88%
Participants Employed	853	27	48	180	95	109	161	207	26
% of Total Enrolled	54%	45%	56%	59%	45%	55%	61%	51%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.73	\$7.70	\$8.05	\$7.61	\$7.73	\$7.60	\$7.84	\$7.79	\$7.48
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,046	\$1,043	\$1,156	\$991	\$956	\$1,015	\$1,092	\$1,097	\$1,020
Three Months Employment ⁸	69%	80%	57%	72%	69%	77%	65%	69%	50%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	16,991	1,647	1,182	2,398	2,331	1,667	3,250	3,973	543
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	13,062	1,254	919	1,831	1,875	1,215	2,479	3,061	428
Participants in a Work Activity	12,568	1,171	867	1,816	1,785	1,197	2,392	2,945	395
% of Total Enrolled	96%	93%	94%	99%	95%	99%	96%	96%	92%
Participants Employed	8,213	664	553	1,196	1,149	713	1,681	1,936	321
% of Total Enrolled	63%	53%	60%	65%	61%	59%	68%	63%	75%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.23	\$6.39	\$6.28	\$5.99	\$6.41	\$6.52	\$6.14	\$6.23	\$6.04
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$821	\$836	\$832	\$789	\$851	\$882	\$811	\$817	\$746
Three Months Employment ⁹	68%	63%	55%	72%	67%	77%	66%	67%	71%

EDD2 – Bristol-Galax Region: Status through June 30, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/12 and Work Activity as of 6/29/12

Current Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	986	12	113	42	191	185	93	286	64
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	439	4	51	14	85	66	23	173	23
Participants in a Work Activity	364	2	46	14	66	52	20	149	15
% of Enrolled	83%	50%	90%	100%	78%	79%	87%	86%	65%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
Participants Employed	211	1	29	9	42	44	16	58	12
% of Enrolled	48%	25%	57%	64%	49%	67%	70%	34%	52%
Full Time	187	1	27	7	39	42	15	47	9
Part Time	24	0	2	2	3	2	1	11	3
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.82	\$10.75	\$8.03	\$7.93	\$7.78	\$7.85	\$7.41	\$7.67	\$8.35
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,081	\$1,862	\$1,117	\$1,079	\$1,129	\$1,116	\$1,027	\$999	\$1,095

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – June 30, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	1,102	18	121	40	233	165	126	328	71
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,256	14	144	48	261	181	129	389	90
Participants in a Work Activity	1,178	12	137	46	244	171	124	361	83
% of Total Enrolled	94%	86%	95%	96%	93%	94%	96%	93%	92%
Participants Employed	645	6	83	30	117	100	83	179	47
% of Total Enrolled	51%	43%	58%	63%	45%	55%	64%	46%	52%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.85	\$9.19	\$7.80	\$8.03	\$7.92	\$7.89	\$7.86	\$7.70	\$7.91
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,068	\$1,505	\$1,037	\$1,104	\$1,118	\$1,075	\$1,060	\$1,028	\$1,076
Three Months Employment ⁸	63%	20%	61%	57%	60%	64%	69%	63%	67%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	10,347	255	1,396	657	1,804	1,778	1,552	2,181	724
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	8,702	194	1,152	534	1,524	1,485	1,233	1,945	635
Participants in a Work Activity	8,345	182	1,062	497	1,465	1,447	1,211	1,876	605
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	92%	93%	96%	97%	98%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	5,632	116	766	355	886	958	825	1,326	400
% of Total Enrolled	65%	60%	66%	66%	58%	65%	67%	68%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.34	\$6.25	\$6.16	\$6.38	\$6.15	\$6.59	\$6.34	\$6.40	\$6.32
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$859	\$860	\$828	\$852	\$843	\$893	\$840	\$870	\$888
Three Months Employment ⁹	62%	56%	54%	61%	64%	59%	67%	62%	70%

EDD3 – Roanoke Region: Status through June 30, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/12 and Work Activity as of 6/29/12

Current Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	2,465	88	44	13	56	234	66	304	186	267	68	1,139
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	838	28	20	5	23	96	18	99	53	92	25	379
Participants in a Work Activity	584	23	6	4	20	65	11	83	47	74	17	234
% of Enrolled	70%	82%	30%	80%	87%	68%	61%	84%	89%	80%	68%	62%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0
Participants Employed	475	18	6	2	17	41	9	76	37	55	14	200
% of Enrolled	57%	64%	30%	40%	74%	43%	50%	77%	70%	60%	56%	53%
Full Time	399	12	5	2	16	31	9	69	34	43	11	167
Part Time	76	6	1	0	1	10	0	7	3	12	3	33
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.13	\$7.99	\$7.86	\$7.25	\$8.34	\$8.06	\$8.19	\$7.85	\$7.88	\$8.61	\$7.88	\$8.20
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,134	\$987	\$1,115	\$1,099	\$1,168	\$1,097	\$1,256	\$1,102	\$1,138	\$1,157	\$1,030	\$1,159

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – June 30, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	2,608	78	32	20	63	243	58	303	202	229	80	1,300
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,818	100	41	23	61	282	55	389	203	306	89	1,269
Participants in a Work Activity	2,446	92	24	21	54	246	51	352	184	268	72	1,082
% of Total Enrolled	87%	92%	59%	91%	89%	87%	93%	90%	91%	88%	81%	85%
Participants Employed	1,445	54	12	9	30	110	24	261	106	204	53	582
% of Total Enrolled	51%	54%	29%	39%	49%	39%	44%	67%	52%	67%	60%	46%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.18	\$8.09	\$8.13	\$7.61	\$8.10	\$8.35	\$7.81	\$7.94	\$7.82	\$8.54	\$8.06	\$8.22
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,112	\$1,074	\$1,122	\$1,118	\$1,111	\$1,086	\$1,044	\$1,072	\$1,098	\$1,159	\$1,068	\$1,132
Three Months Employment ⁸	64%	58%	67%	71%	71%	56%	57%	68%	56%	63%	71%	65%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	20,501	1,143	345	95	527	1,800	521	2,910	1,794	2,240	799	8,327
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	15,734	865	218	54	384	1,379	378	2,373	1,421	1,796	622	6,244
Participants in a Work Activity	14,978	830	190	53	372	1,233	370	2,335	1,368	1,692	596	5,939
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	87%	98%	97%	89%	98%	98%	96%	94%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	10,648	612	94	27	255	846	221	1,862	905	1,330	437	4,059
% of Total Enrolled	68%	71%	43%	50%	66%	61%	58%	78%	64%	74%	70%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.10	\$6.87	\$7.31	\$7.21	\$6.98	\$7.01	\$7.07	\$6.94	\$6.79	\$7.61	\$7.00	\$7.18
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$954	\$837	\$937	\$1,041	\$933	\$948	\$956	\$934	\$940	\$1,040	\$906	\$970
Three Months Employment ⁹	61%	59%	58%	62%	57%	58%	54%	64%	54%	59%	61%	62%

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: Status through June 30, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/12 and Work Activity as of 6/29/12

Current Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	905	532	7	1	75	290
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	333	188	2	1	28	114
Participants in a Work Activity	260	141	2	1	19	97
% of Enrolled	78%	75%	100%	100%	68%	85%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	0	0	1
Participants Employed	220	126	1	1	17	75
% of Enrolled	66%	67%	50%	100%	61%	66%
Full Time	201	116	1	1	15	68
Part Time	19	10	0	0	2	7
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.11	\$8.02	\$7.25	\$8.00	\$8.04	\$8.28
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,160	\$1,132	\$1,099	\$1,386	\$1,096	\$1,218

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – June 30, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	985	580	4	1	66	334
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,058	634	7	1	76	340
Participants in a Work Activity	987	594	6	1	57	329
% of Total Enrolled	93%	94%	86%	100%	75%	97%
Participants Employed	651	367	4	1	38	241
% of Total Enrolled	62%	58%	57%	100%	50%	71%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.25	\$8.22	\$7.94	\$8.00	\$7.95	\$8.34
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,121	\$1,104	\$970	\$1,376	\$960	\$1,169
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	71%	100%	N/A	74%	68%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	8,068	4,330	79	19	716	2,924
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	6,201	3,385	50	8	529	2,229
Participants in a Work Activity	6,038	3,317	50	8	490	2,173
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	100%	100%	93%	97%
Participants Employed	4,314	2,263	32	6	377	1,636
% of Total Enrolled	70%	67%	64%	75%	71%	73%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.17	\$7.17	\$6.56	\$7.79	\$6.74	\$7.29
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$953	\$959	\$717	\$784	\$865	\$971
Three Months Employment ⁹	68%	67%	63%	83%	67%	68%

EDDS 5 & 18 – Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: Status through June 30, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/12 and Work Activity as of 6/29/12

Current Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	542	22	170	63	45	117	125	289	201	88
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	247	4	85	34	19	49	56	94	47	47
Participants in a Work Activity	160	3	38	31	11	33	44	62	33	29
% of Enrolled	65%	75%	45%	91%	58%	67%	79%	66%	70%	62%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	9	1	1	2	1	2	2	0	0	0
Participants Employed	117	2	33	21	7	25	29	47	21	26
% of Enrolled	47%	50%	39%	62%	37%	51%	52%	50%	45%	55%
Full Time	101	2	27	19	7	21	25	34	17	17
Part Time	16	0	6	2	0	4	4	13	4	9
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.24	\$8.38	\$8.87	\$7.62	\$7.97	\$8.41	\$7.86	\$8.09	\$7.94	\$8.21
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,119	\$1,277	\$1,191	\$1,049	\$1,150	\$1,138	\$1,054	\$1,072	\$1,112	\$1,040

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – June 30, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	630	19	177	88	63	149	134	285	181	104
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	725	19	213	103	56	170	164	283	161	122
Participants in a Work Activity	630	15	172	102	53	144	144	257	138	119
% of Total Enrolled	87%	79%	81%	99%	95%	85%	88%	91%	86%	98%
Participants Employed	370	8	97	59	21	86	99	121	53	68
% of Total Enrolled	51%	42%	46%	57%	38%	51%	60%	43%	33%	56%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.44	\$8.83	\$8.60	\$8.18	\$8.41	\$8.77	\$8.16	\$8.37	\$8.31	\$8.42
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,127	\$1,217	\$1,120	\$1,113	\$1,180	\$1,196	\$1,073	\$1,045	\$1,048	\$1,043
Three Months Employment ⁸	63%	60%	68%	69%	64%	60%	57%	78%	76%	80%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	5,304	206	1141	813	651	1272	1221	2,503	1587	916
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	3,967	125	859	662	398	958	965	1,837	1134	703
Participants in a Work Activity	3,743	117	793	647	386	886	914	1772	1103	669
% of Total Enrolled	94%	94%	92%	98%	97%	92%	95%	96%	97%	95%
Participants Employed	2,581	74	488	459	238	657	665	1,032	580	452
% of Total Enrolled	65%	59%	57%	69%	60%	69%	69%	56%	51%	64%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.38	\$7.46	\$7.75	\$7.33	\$6.75	\$7.47	\$7.29	\$6.74	\$6.77	\$6.70
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$987	\$989	\$1,010	\$991	\$926	\$1,011	\$965	\$849	\$851	\$846
Three Months Employment ⁹	63%	63%	61%	60%	70%	69%	59%	72%	65%	80%

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: Status through June 30, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/12 and Work Activity as of 6/29/12

Current Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	3,516	241	1,436	215	1,072	377	140	35
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,361	60	496	147	462	149	41	6
Participants in a Work Activity	1,108	49	429	99	372	121	34	4
% of Enrolled	81%	82%	86%	67%	81%	81%	83%	67%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	14	1	9	1	2	1	0	0
Participants Employed	669	19	240	65	255	66	20	4
% of Enrolled	49%	32%	48%	44%	55%	44%	49%	67%
Full Time	521	14	187	40	209	52	15	4
Part Time	148	5	53	25	46	14	5	0
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.25	\$10.60	\$9.15	\$9.69	\$9.03	\$9.56	\$9.75	\$7.92
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,228	\$1,447	\$1,217	\$1,203	\$1,203	\$1,289	\$1,371	\$1,155

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – June 30, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	3,219	183	1,177	264	1,044	386	129	36
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	3,926	234	1,410	344	1,345	411	161	21
Participants in a Work Activity	3,598	227	1,302	302	1,215	376	156	20
% of Total Enrolled	92%	97%	92%	88%	90%	91%	97%	95%
Participants Employed	2,192	118	794	211	766	210	78	15
% of Total Enrolled	56%	50%	56%	61%	57%	51%	48%	71%
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.95	\$11.23	\$10.04	\$10.71	\$9.42	\$10.28	\$9.94	\$8.79
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,300	\$1,333	\$1,334	\$1,407	\$1,220	\$1,339	\$1,340	\$1,261
Three Months Employment ⁸	75%	61%	74%	82%	74%	83%	64%	100%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	34,067	2,870	12,051	2,424	10,924	3,902	1,465	431
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	26,305	2,244	9,110	1,931	8,491	3,088	1,130	311
Participants in a Work Activity	25,394	2,225	8,784	1,846	8,177	2,955	1,099	308
% of Total Enrolled	97%	99%	96%	96%	96%	96%	97%	99%
Participants Employed	18,545	1,617	6,162	1,493	6,149	2,167	730	227
% of Total Enrolled	70%	72%	68%	77%	72%	70%	65%	73%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.69	\$8.30	\$8.71	\$9.26	\$8.78	\$8.39	\$8.23	\$8.13
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,152	\$1,070	\$1,150	\$1,197	\$1,191	\$1,075	\$1,107	\$1,084
Three Months Employment ⁹	71%	70%	69%	72%	71%	77%	71%	78%

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: Status through June 30, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/12 and Work Activity as of 6/29/12

Current Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	359	145	88	29	91	6
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	151	59	34	10	44	4
Participants in a Work Activity	109	44	24	7	34	0
% of Enrolled	72%	75%	71%	70%	77%	0%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	1	3	0	1	0
Participants Employed	84	36	21	5	22	0
% of Enrolled	56%	61%	62%	50%	50%	0%
Full Time	76	33	17	5	21	N/A
Part Time	8	3	4	0	1	N/A
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.42	\$8.35	\$8.71	\$7.95	\$8.37	N/A
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,197	\$1,179	\$1,197	\$1,182	\$1,230	N/A

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – June 30, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	360	148	119	31	55	7
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	404	179	120	28	72	5
Participants in a Work Activity	358	154	114	26	61	3
% of Total Enrolled	89%	86%	95%	93%	85%	60%
Participants Employed	226	93	74	12	46	1
% of Total Enrolled	56%	52%	62%	43%	64%	20%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.70	\$8.60	\$9.09	\$8.08	\$8.44	\$8.00
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,159	\$1,152	\$1,206	\$1,211	\$1,075	\$1,204
Three Months Employment ⁸	77%	79%	73%	57%	83%	N/A

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	3,896	1,495	1157	362	784	98
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	2,943	1087	887	267	638	64
Participants in a Work Activity	2,831	1044	876	258	592	61
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	99%	97%	93%	95%
Participants Employed	1,981	704	612	165	460	40
% of Total Enrolled	67%	65%	69%	62%	72%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.48	\$7.43	\$7.84	\$7.16	\$7.21	\$7.27
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$986	\$968	\$1,023	\$998	\$962	\$958
Three Months Employment ⁹	65%	67%	69%	61%	59%	44%

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: Status through June 30, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/12 and Work Activity as of 6/29/12

Current Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	636	124	56	38	92	36	290
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	303	38	23	13	43	9	177
Participants in a Work Activity	252	34	18	8	36	6	150
% of Enrolled	83%	89%	78%	62%	84%	67%	85%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	1	0	0	1	0	2
Participants Employed	152	24	6	8	27	4	83
% of Enrolled	50%	63%	26%	62%	63%	44%	47%
Full Time	112	18	5	3	22	3	61
Part Time	40	6	1	5	5	1	22
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.32	\$8.61	\$7.79	\$7.67	\$8.59	\$8.63	\$8.23
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,079	\$1,085	\$953	\$989	\$1,211	\$1,034	\$1,054

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – June 30, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	628	117	52	44	110	41	264
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	768	128	55	52	118	39	376
Participants in a Work Activity	724	123	51	45	106	30	369
% of Total Enrolled	94%	96%	93%	87%	90%	77%	98%
Participants Employed	443	79	20	30	67	18	229
% of Total Enrolled	58%	62%	36%	58%	57%	46%	61%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.63	\$8.84	\$9.22	\$8.24	\$8.92	\$8.89	\$8.44
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,018	\$1,126	\$1,021	\$976	\$1,137	\$1,191	\$930
Three Months Employment ⁸	69%	67%	79%	46%	69%	91%	69%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	5,939	1377	260	459	935	301	2,607
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	4,589	994	182	328	688	213	2,184
Participants in a Work Activity	4,474	970	175	310	658	208	2,153
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	96%	95%	96%	98%	99%
Participants Employed	3,414	723	114	250	429	132	1,766
% of Total Enrolled	74%	73%	63%	76%	62%	62%	81%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.41	\$7.80	\$7.70	\$7.34	\$7.63	\$7.75	\$7.26
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$945	\$1,005	\$1,046	\$915	\$985	\$1,016	\$920
Three Months Employment ⁹	63%	58%	60%	57%	63%	63%	65%

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: Status through June 30, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/12 and Work Activity as of 6/29/12

Current Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,268	94	80	176	306	612
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	556	23	44	75	184	230
Participants in a Work Activity	408	14	39	64	126	165
% of Enrolled	73%	61%	89%	85%	68%	72%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	1	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	266	9	29	38	76	114
% of Enrolled	48%	39%	66%	51%	41%	50%
Full Time	187	6	29	22	49	81
Part Time	79	3	0	16	27	33
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.90	\$7.80	\$7.85	\$8.05	\$8.07	\$7.75
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,004	\$937	\$1,111	\$915	\$1,032	\$994

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – June 30, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	1,184	82	84	199	245	574
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,512	93	107	247	409	656
Participants in a Work Activity	1,333	79	102	214	370	568
% of Total Enrolled	88%	85%	95%	87%	90%	87%
Participants Employed	788	50	63	126	214	335
% of Total Enrolled	52%	54%	59%	51%	52%	51%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.00	\$8.02	\$7.75	\$8.21	\$8.14	\$7.86
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,007	\$1,062	\$1,126	\$1,002	\$1,035	\$962
Three Months Employment ⁸	69%	52%	87%	69%	74%	66%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	10,338	962	803	2,080	2,411	4,082
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	8,576	773	702	1,669	2,066	3,366
Participants in a Work Activity	8,099	733	692	1,555	1,945	3,174
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	99%	93%	94%	94%
Participants Employed	5,848	515	499	1,086	1,408	2,340
% of Total Enrolled	68%	67%	71%	65%	68%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.61	\$6.80	\$6.29	\$6.69	\$6.82	\$6.51
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$857	\$870	\$869	\$856	\$896	\$830
Three Months Employment ⁹	64%	60%	56%	61%	64%	69%

EDD10 - Danville Region: Status through June 30, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/12 and Work Activity as of 6/29/12

Current Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
TANF Cases End of Month¹⁰	1,409	206	450	104	212	437
Total Enrolled in VIEW³	426	44	149	67	47	119
Participants in a Work Activity	346	26	122	56	35	107
% of Enrolled	81%	59%	82%	84%	74%	90%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	0	0	2	0
Participants Employed	212	22	72	33	26	59
% of Enrolled	50%	50%	48%	49%	55%	50%
Full Time	177	18	58	25	23	53
Part Time	35	4	14	8	3	6
Average Wage⁶	\$7.74	\$7.83	\$7.81	\$7.42	\$7.76	\$7.78
Average Monthly Earnings⁷	\$1,070	\$1,074	\$1,075	\$1,025	\$1,060	\$1,090

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – June 30, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	1,291	166	403	136	191	395
Total Enrolled in VIEW³	1,410	187	468	168	189	398
Participants in a Work Activity	1,301	168	432	152	170	379
% of Total Enrolled	92%	90%	92%	90%	90%	95%
Participants Employed	614	73	224	90	81	146
% of Total Enrolled	44%	39%	48%	54%	43%	37%
Average Wage⁶	\$7.83	\$7.88	\$7.84	\$7.52	\$7.95	\$7.93
Average Monthly Earnings⁷	\$1,031	\$1,025	\$1,030	\$990	\$1,057	\$1,052
Three Months Employment⁸	68%	60%	71%	74%	45%	77%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	12,715	1,970	3,427	1,258	2,071	3,989
Total Enrolled in VIEW^{3,5}	10,200	1,639	2,610	1,089	1,587	3,275
Participants in a Work Activity	9,876	1,582	2,506	1,026	1,562	3,200
% of Total Enrolled	97%	97%	96%	94%	98%	98%
Participants Employed	6,269	925	1,655	727	864	2,098
% of Total Enrolled	61%	56%	63%	67%	54%	64%
Average Wage⁶	\$6.41	\$6.37	\$6.81	\$6.33	\$6.40	\$6.16
Average Monthly Earnings⁷	\$854	\$839	\$939	\$825	\$867	\$804
Three Months Employment⁹	63%	58%	64%	75%	60%	62%

EDD11 - Southside Region: Status through June 30, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/12 and Work Activity as of 6/29/12

Current Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	982	71	104	63	87	64	139	60	156	114	124
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	453	32	54	23	45	35	52	28	53	69	62
Participants in a Work Activity	364	27	49	22	41	29	32	22	44	41	57
% of Enrolled	80%	84%	91%	96%	91%	83%	62%	79%	83%	59%	92%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Participants Employed	230	16	36	16	20	14	21	14	26	34	33
% of Enrolled	51%	50%	67%	70%	44%	40%	40%	50%	49%	49%	53%
Full Time	167	12	24	16	12	9	9	11	18	30	26
Part Time	63	4	12	0	8	5	12	3	8	4	7
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.77	\$8.64	\$7.42	\$8.10	\$7.96	\$8.36	\$7.58	\$7.48	\$7.43	\$7.56	\$7.95
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,007	\$1,113	\$921	\$1,191	\$1,003	\$949	\$889	\$1,071	\$926	\$974	\$1,134

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – June 30, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	968	79	103	77	96	48	117	68	130	125	125
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,187	74	133	91	106	68	162	87	149	163	154
Participants in a Work Activity	1,094	67	128	90	93	58	149	82	140	142	145
% of Total Enrolled	92%	91%	96%	99%	88%	85%	92%	94%	94%	87%	94%
Participants Employed	592	36	63	44	43	32	62	43	86	97	86
% of Total Enrolled	50%	49%	47%	48%	41%	47%	38%	49%	58%	60%	56%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.92	\$8.30	\$7.43	\$8.01	\$8.08	\$8.35	\$7.84	\$7.96	\$7.83	\$8.00	\$7.94
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$980	\$971	\$878	\$1,105	\$958	\$989	\$913	\$1,011	\$929	\$1,033	\$1,047
Three Months Employment ⁸	69%	79%	82%	67%	48%	56%	69%	58%	63%	84%	70%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	7,896	513	983	771	687	532	929	360	1145	944	1032
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	6,114	368	787	579	503	391	737	281	901	727	840
Participants in a Work Activity	5,853	348	785	546	464	372	704	276	862	676	820
% of Total Enrolled	96%	95%	100%	94%	92%	95%	96%	98%	96%	93%	98%
Participants Employed	3,722	222	445	330	258	223	422	138	600	490	594
% of Total Enrolled	61%	60%	57%	57%	51%	57%	57%	49%	67%	67%	71%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.78	\$7.46	\$6.24	\$7.03	\$7.24	\$7.28	\$6.72	\$6.39	\$6.58	\$6.78	\$6.76
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$876	\$958	\$820	\$943	\$926	\$947	\$820	\$789	\$839	\$898	\$882
Three Months Employment ⁹	66%	65%	67%	63%	58%	58%	64%	63%	68%	70%	68%

EDD12 - Richmond Region: Status through June 30, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/12 and Work Activity as of 6/29/12

Current Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	5,408	1,029	43	129	1,505	47	2,655
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,257	377	25	51	785	16	1,003
Participants in a Work Activity	1,669	286	19	36	544	11	773
% of Enrolled	74%	76%	76%	71%	69%	69%	77%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	18	0	0	1	8	0	9
Participants Employed	1,018	195	9	22	379	7	406
% of Enrolled	45%	52%	36%	43%	48%	44%	40%
Full Time	753	158	3	17	261	6	308
Part Time	265	37	6	5	118	1	98
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.32	\$8.49	\$8.11	\$8.21	\$8.47	\$7.48	\$8.13
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,102	\$1,155	\$862	\$1,089	\$1,105	\$1,081	\$1,081

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – June 30, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	4,203	865	34	141	1,147	40	1,976
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	5,383	1,023	54	145	1,698	48	2,415
Participants in a Work Activity	4,772	901	50	136	1,481	45	2,159
% of Total Enrolled	89%	88%	93%	94%	87%	94%	89%
Participants Employed	2,563	484	31	71	874	21	1,082
% of Total Enrolled	48%	47%	57%	49%	51%	44%	45%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.44	\$8.72	\$8.38	\$8.74	\$8.56	\$8.82	\$8.20
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,085	\$1,148	\$957	\$1,145	\$1,087	\$1,061	\$1,059
Three Months Employment ⁸	74%	75%	71%	76%	79%	82%	70%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	36,588	7,557	276	1256	9,096	354	18,049
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	28,678	5,746	207	894	7,381	255	14,195
Participants in a Work Activity	27,526	5,535	197	830	6,983	239	13,742
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	95%	93%	95%	94%	97%
Participants Employed	19,327	3,644	140	573	4,927	140	9,903
% of Total Enrolled	67%	63%	68%	64%	67%	55%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.36	\$7.63	\$7.09	\$8.20	\$7.67	\$7.59	\$7.11
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$985	\$1,022	\$894	\$1,052	\$1,020	\$950	\$957
Three Months Employment ⁹	70%	69%	65%	66%	67%	64%	72%

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: Status through June 30, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/12 and Work Activity as of 6/29/12

Current Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,114	194	41	395	322	162
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	616	123	11	231	155	96
Participants in a Work Activity	421	48	8	158	122	85
% of Enrolled	68%	39%	73%	68%	79%	89%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	2	0	1	0	1
Participants Employed	303	46	6	117	74	60
% of Enrolled	49%	37%	55%	51%	48%	63%
Full Time	208	35	5	81	49	38
Part Time	95	11	1	36	25	22
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.38	\$8.17	\$7.33	\$8.27	\$8.50	\$8.71
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,039	\$1,082	\$827	\$1,040	\$1,020	\$1,048

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – June 30, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	1,160	159	54	403	334	210
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,427	211	46	513	379	278
Participants in a Work Activity	1,218	178	42	415	334	249
% of Total Enrolled	85%	84%	91%	81%	88%	90%
Participants Employed	714	80	22	269	180	163
% of Total Enrolled	50%	38%	48%	52%	47%	59%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.75	\$8.31	\$8.68	\$8.37	\$9.22	\$9.01
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,087	\$1,018	\$1,037	\$1,061	\$1,156	\$1,082
Three Months Employment ⁸	78%	85%	53%	88%	69%	72%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	8,557	1,309	512	2,600	2,476	1,660
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	6,311	923	343	2,044	1,600	1,401
Participants in a Work Activity	5,965	881	326	1,873	1,536	1,349
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	95%	92%	96%	96%
Participants Employed	4,142	552	211	1,383	941	1,055
% of Total Enrolled	66%	60%	62%	68%	59%	75%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.94	\$7.58	\$7.38	\$7.99	\$8.49	\$7.76
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,019	\$957	\$916	\$1,027	\$1,121	\$988
Three Months Employment ⁹	66%	57%	58%	69%	68%	67%

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: Status through June 30, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/12 and Work Activity as of 6/29/12

Current Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	395	78	24	38	43	26	42	33	32	79
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	161	31	6	20	11	14	27	9	14	29
Participants in a Work Activity	125	25	3	19	6	11	20	9	8	24
% of Enrolled	78%	81%	50%	95%	55%	79%	74%	100%	57%	83%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Participants Employed	89	14	3	12	6	9	12	7	7	19
% of Enrolled	55%	45%	50%	60%	55%	64%	44%	78%	50%	66%
Full Time	72	10	2	8	6	8	10	4	5	19
Part Time	17	4	1	4	0	1	2	3	2	0
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.95	\$8.46	\$7.47	\$7.98	\$8.17	\$7.79	\$7.83	\$7.89	\$6.86	\$8.13
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,087	\$1,219	\$1,016	\$1,022	\$1,104	\$1,113	\$1,171	\$946	\$977	\$1,066

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – June 30, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	392	85	26	41	35	19	63	24	22	77
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	448	100	22	51	39	25	66	29	24	92
Participants in a Work Activity	405	93	20	47	35	24	60	28	18	80
% of Total Enrolled	90%	93%	91%	92%	90%	96%	91%	97%	75%	87%
Participants Employed	231	54	6	25	25	15	32	15	14	45
% of Total Enrolled	52%	54%	27%	49%	64%	60%	48%	52%	58%	49%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.06	\$8.09	\$7.64	\$8.01	\$8.51	\$7.81	\$8.15	\$8.11	\$7.28	\$8.13
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,032	\$1,020	\$821	\$1,064	\$1,125	\$1,066	\$1,050	\$1,019	\$903	\$1,031
Three Months Employment ⁸	63%	54%	40%	64%	40%	100%	53%	70%	75%	74%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	3,611	554	273	327	402	204	423	313	324	791
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	2,691	438	183	266	314	141	312	257	195	585
Participants in a Work Activity	2,522	417	173	253	293	135	286	250	185	530
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	95%	95%	93%	96%	92%	97%	95%	91%
Participants Employed	1,685	295	93	154	208	92	201	148	121	373
% of Total Enrolled	63%	67%	51%	58%	66%	65%	64%	58%	62%	64%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.01	\$7.05	\$7.00	\$7.38	\$6.80	\$7.02	\$7.05	\$6.68	\$6.95	\$7.05
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$895	\$867	\$864	\$971	\$855	\$902	\$908	\$857	\$920	\$918
Three Months Employment ⁹	58%	50%	47%	61%	52%	70%	69%	53%	42%	66%

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: Status through June 30, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/12 and Work Activity as of 6/29/12

Current Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	NewKent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	3,395	22	87	145	52	127	1,224	1,701	37
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,433	8	23	54	24	75	504	730	15
Participants in a Work Activity	1,126	5	18	47	14	58	417	559	8
% of Enrolled	79%	63%	78%	87%	58%	77%	83%	77%	53%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	12	0	0	0	0	3	4	5	0
Participants Employed	732	4	16	33	10	39	253	372	5
% of Enrolled	51%	50%	70%	61%	42%	52%	50%	51%	33%
Full Time	566	3	16	28	8	13	193	301	4
Part Time	166	1	0	5	2	26	60	71	1
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.13	\$7.01	\$7.40	\$8.98	\$9.06	\$8.31	\$8.00	\$8.14	\$8.42
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,083	\$1,073	\$1,007	\$1,255	\$1,345	\$880	\$1,043	\$1,114	\$994

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – June 30, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	3,240	17	99	147	41	127	1,116	1,655	38
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	3,873	23	95	164	50	185	1,338	1,967	51
Participants in a Work Activity	3,469	17	87	142	45	167	1,232	1,732	47
% of Total Enrolled	90%	74%	92%	87%	90%	90%	92%	88%	92%
Participants Employed	1,978	13	50	99	23	99	699	971	24
% of Total Enrolled	51%	57%	53%	60%	46%	54%	52%	49%	47%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.25	\$7.83	\$8.05	\$8.54	\$8.54	\$8.34	\$8.25	\$8.22	\$8.21
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,061	\$1,100	\$1,032	\$1,105	\$1,213	\$919	\$1,057	\$1,072	\$987
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	50%	35%	70%	75%	79%	76%	70%	80%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	26,110	164	1,058	1,107	337	1,081	9,091	12,892	380
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	19,701	119	747	875	240	821	6,719	9,899	281
Participants in a Work Activity	18,757	112	696	814	229	789	6,548	9,308	261
% of Total Enrolled	95%	94%	93%	93%	95%	96%	97%	94%	93%
Participants Employed	13,651	67	492	605	136	514	4,672	6,972	193
% of Total Enrolled	69%	56%	66%	69%	57%	63%	70%	70%	69%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.04	\$7.09	\$6.86	\$7.45	\$7.55	\$7.29	\$7.04	\$6.99	\$7.23
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$920	\$938	\$851	\$913	\$1,029	\$895	\$916	\$928	\$906
Three Months Employment ⁹	66%	55%	59%	61%	72%	60%	64%	69%	64%

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: Status through June 30, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/12 and Work Activity as of 6/29/12

Current Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,149	126	83	51	63	302	524
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	534	16	33	28	30	204	223
Participants in a Work Activity	350	16	22	11	24	123	154
% of Enrolled	66%	100%	67%	39%	80%	60%	69%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	6	0	1	0	1	4	0
Participants Employed	245	14	15	11	15	79	111
% of Enrolled	46%	88%	45%	39%	50%	39%	50%
Full Time	193	13	9	7	13	51	100
Part Time	52	1	6	4	2	28	11
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.94	\$7.78	\$8.49	\$8.11	\$8.48	\$7.63	\$8.01
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,078	\$1,166	\$1,103	\$976	\$1,203	\$986	\$1,122

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – June 30, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	908	103	88	35	76	233	373
Total Enrolled in VIEW	1,222	72	98	64	96	360	532
Participants in a Work Activity	1,035	71	74	57	81	277	475
% of Total Enrolled	85%	99%	76%	89%	84%	77%	89%
Participants Employed	606	44	39	35	45	152	291
% of Total Enrolled	50%	61%	40%	55%	47%	42%	55%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.15	\$7.95	\$8.64	\$8.44	\$8.23	\$7.96	\$8.15
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,095	\$1,218	\$1,114	\$945	\$1,113	\$1,041	\$1,118
Three Months Employment ⁸	77%	80%	78%	80%	63%	74%	79%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	9,230	1,181	828	362	735	2,299	3,825
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	7,243	792	627	298	592	1,858	3,076
Participants in a Work Activity	6,907	789	541	283	571	1,773	2,950
% of Total Enrolled	95%	100%	86%	95%	96%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	4,933	526	377	209	388	1,263	2,170
% of Total Enrolled	68%	66%	60%	70%	66%	68%	71%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.01	\$6.91	\$7.50	\$7.24	\$7.00	\$6.88	\$7.02
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$945	\$963	\$994	\$874	\$952	\$920	\$953
Three Months Employment ⁹	66%	59%	59%	58%	63%	64%	70%

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: Status through June 30, 2012

TANF Cases as of the end of 6/30/12 and Work Activity as of 6/29/12

Current Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	5,844	128	117	978	121	2,111	1,119	348	922
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,267	37	36	409	38	949	390	94	314
Participants in a Work Activity	1,797	27	19	333	34	764	302	75	243
% of Enrolled	79%	73%	53%	81%	89%	81%	77%	80%	77%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	13	0	1	0	0	2	5	0	5
Participants Employed	1,227	17	14	242	18	516	190	57	173
% of Enrolled	54%	46%	39%	59%	47%	54%	49%	61%	55%
Full Time	1,052	15	11	211	15	461	155	46	138
Part Time	175	2	3	31	3	55	35	11	35
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.98	\$7.92	\$7.55	\$8.08	\$7.58	\$7.96	\$7.79	\$8.03	\$8.21
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,066	\$1,098	\$1,056	\$1,125	\$1,169	\$1,029	\$1,062	\$1,068	\$1,081

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2011 – June 30, 2012, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	5,357	118	93	866	118	1,819	976	278	1,089
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	6,140	106	124	1,091	119	2,163	1,145	311	1,081
Participants in a Work Activity	5,540	100	112	1,016	115	1,901	1,023	303	970
% of Total Enrolled	90%	94%	90%	93%	97%	88%	89%	97%	90%
Participants Employed	3,276	58	56	635	41	1,173	571	183	559
% of Total Enrolled	53%	55%	45%	58%	34%	54%	50%	59%	52%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.10	\$8.02	\$7.87	\$8.19	\$7.63	\$7.97	\$8.00	\$8.07	\$8.48
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,042	\$1,047	\$920	\$1,074	\$999	\$1,008	\$1,036	\$1,056	\$1,098
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	66%	67%	76%	67%	76%	64%	62%	68%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	51,688	1,176	984	8,305	820	16,614	8,371	3,255	12,163
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	37,917	849	793	6,101	656	12,063	6,627	2,537	8,291
Participants in a Work Activity	35,895	818	758	5,820	645	11,409	6,238	2,514	7,693
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	96%	95%	98%	95%	94%	99%	93%
Participants Employed	26,467	582	455	4,428	418	8,489	4,741	1,873	5,481
% of Total Enrolled	70%	69%	57%	73%	64%	70%	72%	74%	66%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.87	\$6.89	\$6.69	\$7.05	\$6.48	\$6.76	\$6.75	\$6.70	\$7.19
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$898	\$910	\$827	\$929	\$812	\$864	\$902	\$872	\$954
Three Months Employment ⁹	68%	60%	54%	65%	65%	68%	70%	68%	69%

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date

This section lists the relative state fiscal year to date rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Wage, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	32.9%	1.61	117	\$8.31	2.61	42	76.5%	7.06	#REF!	3.76	78
003	Albemarle	61.7%	5.21	11	\$8.84	3.95	14	66.7%	5.83	#REF!	5.00	17
005	Alleghany/Covington	54.0%	4.25	48	\$8.09	2.05	64	57.7%	4.71	97	3.67	90
007	Amelia	48.6%	3.58	77	\$8.30	2.58	44	79.0%	7.37	21	4.51	41
009	Amherst	53.8%	4.22	50	\$8.02	1.87	73	51.6%	3.95	109	3.35	100
011	Appomattox	58.9%	4.86	23	\$7.75	1.19	108	86.7%	8.33	7	4.79	29
013	Arlington	50.4%	3.80	68	\$11.23	10.00	1	61.1%	5.14	90	6.31	4
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	57.9%	4.74	28	\$8.22	2.38	48	71.4%	6.42	48	4.51	40
017	Bath	57.1%	4.64	34	\$7.94	1.67	87	100.0%	10.00	1	5.44	11
019	Bedford County/City	51.0%	3.88	66	\$8.21	2.35	51	68.8%	6.09	65	4.11	58
021	Bland	42.9%	2.86	101	\$9.19	4.84	9	20.0%	0.00	118	2.56	117
023	Botetourt	29.3%	1.16	118	\$8.13	2.15	60	66.7%	5.83	71	3.05	111
025	Brunswick	47.4%	3.42	84	\$7.43	0.38	119	82.4%	7.79	12	3.86	73
027	Buchanan	45.0%	3.13	96	\$7.70	1.06	110	80.0%	7.50	15	3.90	68
029	Buckingham	48.4%	3.54	80	\$8.01	1.85	75	66.7%	5.83	72	3.74	82
031	Campbell	52.3%	4.04	55	\$8.14	2.18	59	74.3%	6.79	38	4.34	49
033	Caroline	37.9%	2.24	112	\$8.31	2.61	43	85.0%	8.13	8	4.32	51
035	Carroll	57.6%	4.70	31	\$7.80	1.32	106	60.9%	5.11	91	3.71	86
036	Charles City	56.5%	4.57	37	\$7.83	1.39	101	50.0%	3.75	110	3.24	105
037	Charlotte	40.6%	2.57	106	\$8.08	2.03	66	47.6%	3.45	112	2.68	115
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	47.3%	3.41	85	\$8.72	3.65	20	75.2%	6.90	34	4.65	36
043	Clarke	42.1%	2.76	105	\$8.83	3.92	15	60.0%	5.00	94	3.90	69
045	Craig	39.1%	2.39	108	\$7.61	0.84	114	71.4%	6.43	46	3.22	108
047	Culpeper	52.0%	3.99	59	\$8.60	3.34	23	78.7%	7.34	22	4.89	23
049	Cumberland	47.1%	3.38	86	\$8.35	2.71	38	55.6%	4.45	105	3.51	93
051	Dickensen	55.8%	4.48	40	\$8.05	1.95	70	57.1%	4.64	98	3.69	88
053	Dinwiddie	61.1%	5.14	14	\$7.95	1.70	84	80.0%	7.50	16	4.78	30
057	Essex	54.0%	4.25	49	\$8.09	2.05	65	53.6%	4.20	106	3.50	95
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	56.3%	4.54	38	\$10.04	6.99	4	73.6%	6.70	42	6.08	5
061	Fauquier	61.7%	5.21	12	\$9.09	4.58	10	73.0%	6.62	43	5.47	8
063	Floyd	49.2%	3.65	73	\$8.10	2.08	63	71.4%	6.43	47	4.05	62
065	Fluvanna	36.4%	2.05	115	\$9.22	4.91	7	78.6%	7.32	25	4.76	32
067	Franklin County	39.0%	2.38	110	\$8.35	2.71	39	56.1%	4.51	104	3.20	109
069	Frederick	45.5%	3.19	93	\$8.60	3.34	24	67.9%	5.98	67	4.17	54
071	Giles	43.6%	2.95	100	\$7.81	1.34	104	57.1%	4.64	99	2.98	112
073	Gloucester	52.6%	4.08	53	\$8.05	1.95	71	34.6%	1.83	117	2.62	116
075	Goochland	57.4%	4.68	32	\$8.38	2.78	36	70.6%	6.32	51	4.59	38
077	Grayson	62.5%	5.31	10	\$8.03	1.90	72	57.1%	4.64	100	3.95	66

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	57.7%	4.71	30	\$8.24	2.43	46	46.2%	3.27	113	3.47	98
081	Greensville/Emporia	38.3%	2.28	111	\$7.84	1.42	98	69.4%	6.18	57	3.29	102
083	Halifax	39.0%	2.38	109	\$7.88	1.52	94	60.0%	5.00	95	2.97	113
085	Hanover	49.0%	3.62	75	\$8.74	3.70	19	75.8%	6.97	30	4.76	31
087	Henrico	51.5%	3.93	62	\$8.56	3.24	25	78.7%	7.34	24	4.84	26
089	Henry/Martinsville	47.9%	3.48	81	\$7.84	1.42	99	70.8%	6.35	50	3.75	80
091	Highland	100.0%	10.00	1	\$8.00	1.82	77	N/A	N/A	N/A	5.91	6
093	Isle of Wight	54.7%	4.34	44	\$8.02	1.87	74	65.5%	5.69	77	3.97	65
095	James City	60.4%	5.05	17	\$8.54	3.19	26	70.0%	6.25	53	4.83	27
097	King and Queen	27.3%	0.91	119	\$7.64	0.91	112	40.0%	2.50	115	1.44	119
099	King George	47.8%	3.48	82	\$8.68	3.54	21	53.3%	4.17	107	3.73	83
101	King William	49.0%	3.63	74	\$8.01	1.85	76	64.3%	5.54	80	3.67	91
103	Lancaster	64.1%	5.51	7	\$8.51	3.11	29	40.0%	2.50	116	3.71	87
105	Lee	59.2%	4.90	22	\$7.61	0.84	115	72.1%	6.51	44	4.08	61
107	Loudoun	61.3%	5.17	13	\$10.71	8.68	2	82.1%	7.76	13	7.20	1
109	Louisa	56.8%	4.60	36	\$8.92	4.15	12	69.1%	6.13	62	4.96	19
111	Lunenburg	49.4%	3.68	71	\$7.96	1.72	82	57.9%	4.74	96	3.38	99
113	Madison	42.9%	2.86	102	\$8.08	2.03	67	57.1%	4.64	101	3.17	110
115	Mathews	60.0%	5.00	19	\$7.81	1.34	105	100.0%	10.00	2	5.45	9
117	Mecklenburg	57.7%	4.71	29	\$7.83	1.39	102	62.5%	5.31	88	3.81	76
119	Middlesex	48.5%	3.56	78	\$8.15	2.20	57	52.9%	4.12	108	3.29	103
121	Montgomery	67.1%	5.89	4	\$7.94	1.67	88	68.5%	6.06	66	4.54	39
125	Nelson	46.2%	3.27	89	\$8.89	4.08	13	90.9%	8.86	5	5.40	12
127	New Kent	46.0%	3.25	91	\$8.54	3.19	27	75.0%	6.88	35	4.44	43
131	Northampton	55.7%	4.47	41	\$8.42	2.89	34	80.0%	7.50	17	4.95	20
133	Northumberland	51.7%	3.97	60	\$8.11	2.10	62	70.0%	6.25	54	4.11	59
135	Nottoway	59.5%	4.94	21	\$8.00	1.82	78	84.1%	8.01	9	4.92	21
137	Orange	63.9%	5.49	8	\$8.44	2.94	31	83.3%	7.92	10	5.45	10
139	Page	57.3%	4.66	33	\$8.18	2.28	55	69.4%	6.18	58	4.37	48
141	Patrick	53.6%	4.20	51	\$7.52	0.61	117	74.5%	6.81	37	3.87	72
143	Pittsylvania	42.9%	2.86	103	\$7.95	1.70	85	45.0%	3.13	114	2.56	118
145	Powhatan	43.8%	2.97	99	\$8.82	3.90	16	81.8%	7.73	14	4.86	24
147	Prince Edward	55.8%	4.48	39	\$7.94	1.67	89	70.0%	6.25	55	4.13	56
149	Prince George	39.8%	2.47	107	\$8.64	3.44	22	78.3%	7.28	26	4.40	46
153	Prince William	57.0%	4.62	35	\$9.42	5.42	6	74.2%	6.77	39	5.60	7
155	Pulaski	52.2%	4.03	58	\$7.82	1.37	103	56.4%	4.55	103	3.31	101
157	Rappahannock	20.0%	0.00	120	\$8.00	1.82	79	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.91	120
159	Richmond County	58.3%	4.79	26	\$7.28	0.00	120	75.0%	6.88	36	3.89	71
161	Roanoke County	66.7%	5.83	5	\$8.54	3.19	28	63.1%	5.39	85	4.80	28
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	50.0%	3.75	69	\$7.95	1.70	86	100.0%	10.00	3	5.15	15
165	Rockingham/H'burg	70.9%	6.36	3	\$8.34	2.68	40	67.6%	5.94	69	5.00	18
167	Russell	45.0%	3.13	95	\$7.73	1.14	109	69.4%	6.17	59	3.48	97
169	Scott	55.3%	4.42	42	\$7.60	0.81	116	77.2%	7.15	27	4.13	57
171	Shenandoah	37.5%	2.19	113	\$8.41	2.86	35	63.6%	5.46	83	3.50	94

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	44.8%	3.10	97	\$7.92	1.62	91	60.4%	5.05	92	3.26	104
175	<i>Southampton</i>	45.2%	3.15	94	\$7.87	1.49	95	66.7%	5.83	73	3.49	96
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	52.4%	4.05	54	\$8.37	2.76	37	87.6%	8.45	6	5.09	16
179	<i>Stafford</i>	47.5%	3.44	83	\$9.22	4.91	8	69.4%	6.17	60	4.84	25
181	<i>Surry</i>	54.7%	4.34	46	\$8.44	2.94	32	80.0%	7.50	18	4.92	22
183	<i>Sussex</i>	46.9%	3.36	88	\$8.23	2.41	47	63.0%	5.37	86	3.71	85
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	61.0%	5.12	15	\$7.84	1.42	100	64.5%	5.57	79	4.04	64
187	<i>Warren</i>	50.6%	3.82	67	\$8.77	3.77	18	60.4%	5.05	93	4.21	53
191	<i>Washington</i>	55.2%	4.41	43	\$7.89	1.54	93	64.3%	5.54	81	3.83	74
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	48.9%	3.61	76	\$8.13	2.15	61	73.7%	6.71	41	4.16	55
195	<i>Wise</i>	51.4%	3.92	63	\$7.79	1.29	107	68.8%	6.10	64	3.77	77
197	<i>Wythe</i>	64.3%	5.54	6	\$7.86	1.47	96	69.4%	6.17	61	4.39	47
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	53.5%	4.19	52	\$8.34	2.68	41	78.7%	7.34	23	4.74	33
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	51.1%	3.89	64	\$10.28	7.59	3	83.0%	7.88	11	6.45	3
520	<i>Bristol</i>	46.0%	3.25	90	\$7.70	1.06	111	62.8%	5.34	87	3.22	107
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	60.9%	5.11	16	\$8.44	2.94	33	69.0%	6.12	63	4.72	34
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	58.2%	4.78	27	\$8.19	2.30	54	75.5%	6.94	33	4.67	35
590	<i>Danville</i>	36.7%	2.09	114	\$7.93	1.65	90	77.1%	7.14	28	3.62	92
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	34.5%	1.81	116	\$7.63	0.89	113	66.7%	5.83	74	2.84	114
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	58.6%	4.83	25	\$9.01	4.38	11	72.0%	6.50	45	5.24	14
640	<i>Galax</i>	52.2%	4.03	57	\$7.91	1.59	92	66.7%	5.83	75	3.82	75
650	<i>Hampton</i>	52.2%	4.03	56	\$8.25	2.46	45	75.6%	6.95	32	4.48	42
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	42.2%	2.78	104	\$7.96	1.72	83	74.1%	6.77	40	3.75	79
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	51.1%	3.88	65	\$7.86	1.47	97	66.3%	5.79	76	3.71	84
683	<i>Manassas</i>	48.4%	3.56	79	\$9.94	6.73	5	63.6%	5.46	84	5.25	13
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	71.4%	6.43	2	\$8.79	3.82	17	100.0%	10.00	4	6.75	2
700	<i>Newport News</i>	49.4%	3.67	72	\$8.22	2.38	49	70.0%	6.26	52	4.10	60
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	54.2%	4.28	47	\$7.97	1.75	81	75.7%	6.97	31	4.33	50
720	<i>Norton</i>	63.4%	5.43	9	\$7.48	0.51	118	50.0%	3.75	111	3.23	106
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	54.7%	4.34	45	\$8.15	2.20	58	79.4%	7.42	20	4.65	37
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	49.9%	3.73	70	\$8.00	1.82	80	63.7%	5.46	82	3.67	89
750	<i>Radford</i>	59.6%	4.94	20	\$8.06	1.97	69	71.0%	6.37	49	4.43	44
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	44.8%	3.10	98	\$8.20	2.33	53	70.0%	6.25	56	3.89	70
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	45.9%	3.23	92	\$8.22	2.38	50	65.0%	5.62	78	3.75	81
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	58.8%	4.86	24	\$8.07	2.00	68	62.2%	5.28	89	4.04	63
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	51.7%	3.96	61	\$8.48	3.04	30	67.6%	5.95	68	4.32	52
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	47.1%	3.38	87	\$8.21	2.35	52	80.0%	7.50	19	4.41	45
840	<i>Winchester</i>	60.4%	5.05	18	\$8.16	2.23	56	56.6%	4.58	102	3.95	67

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores is done to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

VIEW Rankings, From Inception of VIEW

This section lists the relative cumulative rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Monthly Earnings, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	51.1%	2.13	116	\$851	2.79	95	65.2%	5.67	49	3.53	109
003	Albemarle	72.7%	7.85	12	\$1,005	6.01	21	57.6%	3.84	103	5.90	28
005	Alleghany/Covington	70.8%	7.32	20	\$837	2.49	103	58.6%	4.09	94	4.63	78
007	Amelia	60.3%	4.56	90	\$958	5.02	37	64.9%	5.59	51	5.06	66
009	Amherst	66.6%	6.23	51	\$870	3.18	83	59.7%	4.34	87	4.58	80
011	Appomattox	71.1%	7.41	19	\$869	3.17	84	56.2%	3.52	108	4.70	77
013	Arlington	72.1%	7.67	16	\$1,070	7.35	8	69.6%	6.71	19	7.24	6
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	66.9%	6.29	48	\$959	5.03	35	67.4%	6.20	36	5.84	29
017	Bath	64.0%	5.53	72	\$717	0.00	120	63.3%	5.22	66	3.58	107
019	Bedford County/City	65.1%	5.82	63	\$856	2.89	92	60.9%	4.63	79	4.45	88
021	Bland	59.8%	4.42	95	\$860	2.98	90	56.5%	3.58	107	3.66	106
023	Botetourt	43.1%	0.00	120	\$937	4.59	51	58.1%	3.97	98	2.85	118
025	Brunswick	56.5%	3.56	109	\$820	2.15	110	67.3%	6.17	37	3.96	100
027	Buchanan	53.0%	2.60	114	\$836	2.47	104	63.5%	5.25	63	3.44	112
029	Buckingham	57.0%	3.68	106	\$943	4.71	47	62.5%	5.02	70	4.47	86
031	Campbell	68.2%	6.63	39	\$896	3.74	73	63.9%	5.36	57	5.24	57
033	Caroline	59.8%	4.42	93	\$957	5.00	38	57.3%	3.77	104	4.40	91
035	Carroll	66.5%	6.19	53	\$828	2.30	107	54.0%	2.98	113	3.83	102
036	Charles City	56.3%	3.49	111	\$938	4.60	50	54.6%	3.12	110	3.74	105
037	Charlotte	51.3%	2.17	115	\$926	4.36	57	57.8%	3.89	101	3.47	111
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	63.4%	5.38	76	\$1,022	6.35	16	69.3%	6.65	23	6.13	22
043	Clarke	59.2%	4.26	96	\$989	5.67	25	62.7%	5.06	69	5.00	68
045	Craig	50.0%	1.82	118	\$1,041	6.74	11	61.5%	4.79	74	4.45	87
047	Culpeper	64.8%	5.74	65	\$968	5.22	31	66.7%	6.02	42	5.66	35
049	Cumberland	57.0%	3.69	105	\$947	4.78	46	57.7%	3.88	102	4.12	96
051	Dickenson	60.2%	4.52	91	\$832	2.40	105	55.5%	3.34	109	3.42	113
053	Dinwiddie	66.4%	6.17	55	\$963	5.13	33	58.9%	4.16	91	5.15	62
057	Essex	67.4%	6.42	46	\$867	3.13	85	50.0%	2.03	117	3.86	101
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	67.6%	6.50	43	\$1,150	9.02	3	69.3%	6.63	24	7.38	5
061	Fauquier	69.0%	6.86	33	\$1,023	6.38	15	69.4%	6.66	22	6.63	10
063	Floyd	66.4%	6.17	56	\$933	4.49	53	56.7%	3.64	105	4.77	75
065	Fluvanna	62.6%	5.17	80	\$1,046	6.84	10	60.0%	4.42	83	5.48	42
067	Franklin County	61.3%	4.83	88	\$948	4.81	45	58.1%	3.97	99	4.54	83
069	Frederick	56.8%	3.63	107	\$1,010	6.09	20	61.5%	4.77	75	4.83	73
071	Giles	58.5%	4.07	99	\$956	4.98	40	53.6%	2.88	114	3.98	99
073	Gloucester	65.9%	6.03	59	\$851	2.79	96	58.6%	4.08	95	4.30	95
075	Goochland	67.6%	6.50	44	\$894	3.69	75	65.1%	5.64	50	5.27	55
077	Grayson	66.5%	6.19	54	\$852	2.80	94	61.3%	4.74	77	4.58	82

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	76.2%	8.77	4	\$915	4.12	64	56.6%	3.60	106	5.50	39
081	Greensville/Emporia	57.3%	3.75	104	\$820	2.14	111	64.2%	5.43	55	3.77	104
083	Halifax	56.4%	3.53	110	\$839	2.53	102	58.3%	4.02	96	3.36	114
085	Hanover	64.1%	5.56	70	\$1,052	6.97	9	66.1%	5.89	45	6.14	21
087	Henrico	66.8%	6.26	50	\$1,020	6.32	17	66.6%	6.01	43	6.20	20
089	Henry/Martinsville	63.4%	5.38	77	\$939	4.63	49	64.4%	5.46	54	5.16	61
091	Highland	75.0%	8.45	6	\$784	1.39	118	83.3%	10.00	1	6.61	11
093	Isle of Wight	68.6%	6.74	37	\$910	4.03	66	60.2%	4.46	82	5.08	65
095	James City	69.1%	6.90	32	\$913	4.08	65	61.4%	4.74	76	5.24	58
097	King and Queen	50.8%	2.04	117	\$864	3.06	88	47.0%	1.31	118	2.14	120
099	King George	61.5%	4.87	87	\$916	4.15	62	58.2%	3.99	97	4.34	93
101	King William	57.9%	3.91	101	\$971	5.29	29	60.5%	4.55	81	4.58	79
103	Lancaster	66.2%	6.13	57	\$855	2.87	93	51.9%	2.48	116	3.82	103
105	Lee	65.3%	5.88	61	\$789	1.50	117	72.4%	7.39	7	4.92	71
107	Loudoun	77.3%	9.06	3	\$1,197	10.00	1	72.0%	7.29	9	8.78	1
109	Louisa	62.4%	5.10	83	\$985	5.58	27	63.4%	5.24	64	5.31	53
111	Lunenburg	49.1%	1.59	119	\$789	1.51	116	62.7%	5.07	68	2.72	119
113	Madison	61.8%	4.95	86	\$998	5.85	22	60.8%	4.60	80	5.14	64
115	Mathews	65.2%	5.86	62	\$902	3.86	70	69.8%	6.76	16	5.49	40
117	Mecklenburg	66.6%	6.22	52	\$839	2.54	101	68.0%	6.34	32	5.03	67
119	Middlesex	64.4%	5.64	68	\$908	3.97	67	69.0%	6.57	26	5.40	46
121	Montgomery	78.5%	9.37	2	\$934	4.51	52	64.2%	5.42	56	6.43	16
125	Nelson	62.0%	5.00	85	\$1,016	6.23	18	63.1%	5.16	67	5.46	44
127	New Kent	56.7%	3.59	108	\$1,029	6.50	13	72.3%	7.36	8	5.82	31
131	Northampton	64.3%	5.61	69	\$846	2.69	98	80.0%	9.19	2	5.83	30
133	Northumberland	57.6%	3.83	102	\$857	2.92	91	53.0%	2.75	115	3.17	115
135	Nottoway	67.4%	6.43	45	\$898	3.77	72	69.6%	6.73	18	5.64	36
137	Orange	72.1%	7.68	15	\$962	5.11	34	59.4%	4.29	88	5.69	33
139	Page	69.3%	6.95	31	\$991	5.72	24	59.7%	4.36	86	5.67	34
141	Patrick	66.8%	6.26	49	\$825	2.24	109	74.7%	7.94	6	5.48	41
143	Pittsylvania	54.4%	3.00	113	\$867	3.13	86	60.0%	4.41	84	3.51	110
145	Powhatan	54.9%	3.12	112	\$950	4.84	44	63.7%	5.31	61	4.42	89
147	Prince Edward	70.7%	7.31	21	\$882	3.44	79	68.4%	6.43	30	5.73	32
149	Prince George	60.1%	4.51	92	\$994	5.77	23	59.3%	4.24	89	4.84	72
153	Prince William	72.4%	7.76	14	\$1,191	9.87	2	70.7%	6.99	13	8.21	2
155	Pulaski	63.7%	5.45	75	\$940	4.64	48	54.4%	3.07	111	4.39	92
157	Rappahannock	62.5%	5.14	82	\$958	5.02	36	43.6%	0.50	119	3.55	108
159	Richmond County	62.1%	5.02	84	\$920	4.22	59	41.5%	0.00	120	3.08	116
161	Roanoke County	74.1%	8.20	8	\$1,040	6.72	12	58.8%	4.13	92	6.35	18
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	71.3%	7.46	18	\$865	3.09	87	67.2%	6.14	38	5.56	37
165	Rockingham/H'burg	73.4%	8.02	10	\$971	5.29	28	67.7%	6.27	34	6.53	12
167	Russell	61.3%	4.81	89	\$851	2.78	97	67.2%	6.14	39	4.58	81
169	Scott	58.7%	4.12	98	\$882	3.45	78	77.0%	8.49	5	5.35	51
171	Shenandoah	59.8%	4.42	94	\$926	4.36	56	70.4%	6.90	14	5.23	59

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	58.1%	3.98	100	\$843	2.63	99	63.8%	5.33	58	3.98	98
175	<i>Southampton</i>	57.4%	3.78	103	\$827	2.29	108	54.3%	3.05	112	3.04	117
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	67.7%	6.50	42	\$1,027	6.46	14	68.6%	6.48	28	6.48	13
179	<i>Stafford</i>	58.8%	4.16	97	\$1,121	8.42	4	67.9%	6.32	33	6.30	19
181	<i>Surry</i>	70.1%	7.16	27	\$874	3.27	80	58.0%	3.95	100	4.79	74
183	<i>Sussex</i>	65.5%	5.94	60	\$952	4.89	43	63.4%	5.24	65	5.36	50
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	67.8%	6.54	41	\$811	1.95	114	65.6%	5.75	47	4.75	76
187	<i>Warren</i>	68.6%	6.75	36	\$1,011	6.13	19	68.8%	6.53	27	6.47	14
191	<i>Washington</i>	64.5%	5.67	67	\$893	3.67	76	58.6%	4.09	93	4.48	85
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	63.8%	5.47	73	\$918	4.19	61	65.6%	5.75	46	5.14	63
195	<i>Wise</i>	63.2%	5.33	78	\$817	2.09	112	66.9%	6.07	40	4.50	84
197	<i>Wythe</i>	66.9%	6.30	47	\$840	2.56	100	66.7%	6.03	41	4.96	69
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	62.6%	5.16	81	\$895	3.70	74	59.8%	4.38	85	4.41	90
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	70.2%	7.17	26	\$1,075	7.46	7	77.1%	8.51	4	7.71	4
520	<i>Bristol</i>	68.2%	6.64	38	\$870	3.18	82	62.2%	4.95	72	4.93	70
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	80.9%	10.00	1	\$920	4.22	60	65.5%	5.73	48	6.65	9
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	72.6%	7.81	13	\$929	4.42	54	64.8%	5.56	52	5.93	25
590	<i>Danville</i>	64.1%	5.55	71	\$804	1.81	115	61.9%	4.86	73	4.08	97
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	63.7%	5.46	74	\$812	1.99	113	64.7%	5.53	53	4.33	94
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	75.3%	8.53	5	\$988	5.64	26	66.6%	6.00	44	6.72	8
640	<i>Galax</i>	63.0%	5.27	79	\$888	3.57	77	69.8%	6.76	17	5.20	60
650	<i>Hampton</i>	69.5%	7.00	29	\$916	4.15	63	63.6%	5.29	62	5.48	43
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	68.0%	6.59	40	\$920	4.24	58	63.7%	5.31	59	5.38	48
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	69.5%	6.99	30	\$830	2.36	106	68.6%	6.47	29	5.27	54
683	<i>Manassas</i>	64.6%	5.69	66	\$1,107	8.12	5	70.9%	7.02	12	6.94	7
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	73.0%	7.91	11	\$1,084	7.65	6	78.0%	8.72	3	8.09	3
700	<i>Newport News</i>	70.4%	7.24	23	\$928	4.40	55	69.2%	6.63	25	6.09	23
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	70.4%	7.22	24	\$864	3.06	89	67.6%	6.23	35	5.50	38
720	<i>Norton</i>	75.0%	8.45	7	\$746	0.60	119	71.3%	7.11	11	5.39	47
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	70.5%	7.27	22	\$953	4.92	42	70.3%	6.89	15	6.36	17
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	71.5%	7.53	17	\$902	3.86	71	69.5%	6.70	20	6.03	24
750	<i>Radford</i>	70.3%	7.19	25	\$906	3.95	69	61.0%	4.65	78	5.26	56
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	69.8%	7.06	28	\$957	5.00	39	71.9%	7.26	10	6.44	15
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	65.0%	5.80	64	\$970	5.27	30	62.5%	5.02	71	5.36	49
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	73.8%	8.14	9	\$872	3.23	81	68.1%	6.35	31	5.90	26
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	66.1%	6.09	58	\$954	4.94	41	69.4%	6.67	21	5.90	27
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	68.7%	6.77	35	\$906	3.95	68	63.7%	5.31	60	5.34	52
840	<i>Winchester</i>	68.9%	6.83	34	\$965	5.17	32	59.1%	4.21	90	5.41	45

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores was begun in May 1999 to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

State Summary July 1, 2011 – June 30, 2012

	State	ED 1	ED 2	ED 3	ED 4	ED 5	ED 6	ED 7	ED 8	ED 9	ED 10	ED 11	ED 12	ED 13	ED 14	ED 15	ED 16	ED 17	ED 18
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹																			
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	23,496	1,074	855	2,072	795	478	2,417	266	537	990	1,020	805	3,210	867	323	2,744	710	4,117	216
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	94%	96%	96%	97%	95%	91%	93%	92%	98%	98%	96%	95%	94%	77%	96%	98%	88%	90%	94%
% Timely in June 2012	94%	98%	99%	96%	98%	88%	90%	85%	98%	99%	99%	97%	91%	68%	100%	98%	93%	96%	87%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²																			
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	17,544	828	666	1,480	607	342	1,855	201	425	718	771	634	2,441	652	227	1,955	501	3,067	174
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	95%	98%	97%	97%	97%	91%	96%	94%	98%	95%	97%	98%	94%	92%	96%	94%	95%	92%	98%
Percent in June 2012	95%	98%	95%	96%	100%	82%	96%	96%	90%	97%	100%	100%	94%	95%	96%	93%	96%	94%	92%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴																			
# Over 30 Days	101	2	0	3	1	1	12	6	1	0	1	2	36	29	0	4	1	2	0
Average # of Days Over 30	50	42	0	32	1	617	25	32	6	0	2	19	50	51	0	90	6	13	0

EDD1 – Southwest Region: July 1, 2011 - June 30, 2012

	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	1,074	44	52	196	146	114	190	307	25
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	96%	95%	100%	99%	100%	91%	96%	94%	80%
% Timely in June 2012	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	96%	67%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	828	34	39	147	110	103	145	232	18
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	98%	100%	97%	100%	96%	99%	99%	98%	83%
Percent in June 2012	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	92%	100%	86%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Average # of Days Over 30	42	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	42

EDD2 - Bristol-Galax Region: July 1, 2011 - June 30, 2012

	<i>Total EDD2</i>	<i>Bland</i>	<i>Carroll</i>	<i>Grayson</i>	<i>Smyth</i>	<i>Wash.</i>	<i>Wythe</i>	<i>Bristol</i>	<i>Galax</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	855	11	81	34	183	127	82	267	70
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	96%	100%	96%	100%	99%	98%	100%	95%	87%
% Timely in June 2012	99%	100%	86%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	666	7	64	25	140	105	63	205	57
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	97%	100%	100%	96%	98%	98%	98%	97%	93%
Percent in June 2012	95%	N/A	100%	100%	92%	100%	100%	88%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD3 - Roanoke Region: July 1, 2011 - June 30, 2012

	<i>Total EDD3</i>	<i>Alleghny/ Covington</i>	<i>Bote- tourt</i>	<i>Craig</i>	<i>Floyd</i>	<i>Franklin County</i>	<i>Giles</i>	<i>Mont- gomery</i>	<i>Pulaski</i>	<i>Roanoke County</i>	<i>Radford</i>	<i>Roanoke City</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹												
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	2,072	72	23	20	55	203	48	244	176	177	63	991
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	97%	100%	91%	100%	95%	100%	100%	98%	100%	100%	92%	96%
% Timely in June 2012	96%	100%	100%	100%	57%	95%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	97%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²												
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	1,480	59	12	11	41	141	36	175	119	125	49	712
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	97%	100%	83%	100%	98%	99%	100%	99%	100%	98%	92%	95%
Percent in June 2012	96%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	92%	100%	83%	100%	96%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴												
# Over 30 Days	3	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	32	0	94	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: July 1, 2011 - June 30, 2012

	<i>Total EDD4</i>	<i>Aug/S'ton /W'boro</i>	<i>Bath</i>	<i>Highland</i>	<i>Rockbridge/ BV/Lex</i>	<i>Rockingham/ HBurg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	795	493	4	0	37	261
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	95%	100%	100%	N/A	54%	92%
% Timely in June 2012	98%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	96%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	607	380	4	0	26	197
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	97%	99%	100%	N/A	58%	99%
Percent in June 2012	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	1	0	0	0	0	1

EDD5 & EDD18 - Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: July 1, 2011 - June 30, 2012

	<i>Total EDD5</i>	<i>Clarke</i>	<i>Frederick</i>	<i>Page</i>	<i>Shen.</i>	<i>Warren</i>	<i>Winchester</i>	<i>Total EDD18</i>	<i>Accomack</i>	<i>Nhampton</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹										
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	478	12	130	73	38	120	105	216	134	82
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	91%	92%	77%	99%	92%	100%	92%	94%	94%	93%
% Timely in June 2012	88%	100%	60%	100%	100%	100%	83%	87%	85%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	342	9	103	59	26	76	69	174	105	69
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	91%	100%	91%	95%	100%	86%	90%	98%	99%	97%
Percent in June 2012	82%	N/A	80%	83%	100%	100%	75%	92%	91%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴										
# Over 30 Days	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	617	0	617	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: July 1, 2011 - June 30, 2012

	<i>Total EDD6</i>	<i>Arlington</i>	<i>Fairfax</i>	<i>Loudoun</i>	<i>Prince William</i>	<i>Alexandria</i>	<i>Manassas</i>	<i>Manassas Park</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹								
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	2,417	158	852	194	806	282	106	19
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	93%	99%	96%	95%	85%	100%	97%	74%
% Timely in June 2012	90%	80%	98%	94%	83%	100%	100%	50%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²								
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	1,855	132	654	143	620	202	90	14
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	96%	100%	97%	97%	94%	93%	98%	100%
Percent in June 2012	96%	100%	97%	100%	92%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴								
# Over 30 Days	12	1	1	0	8	0	0	2
Average # of Days Over 30	25	6	13	0	29	0	0	24

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: July 1, 2011 - June 30, 2012

	<i>Total EDD7</i>	<i>Culpeper</i>	<i>Fauquier</i>	<i>Madison</i>	<i>Orange</i>	<i>Rapp.</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	266	110	86	20	47	3
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	92%	95%	94%	90%	81%	100%
% Timely in June 2012	85%	100%	100%	50%	60%	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	201	89	65	14	32	1
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	94%	96%	98%	100%	75%	100%
Percent in June 2012	96%	100%	100%	100%	50%	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	6	0	0	2	4	0
Average # of Days Over 30	32	0	0	11	43	0

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: July 1, 2011 - June 30, 2012

	<i>Total EDD8</i>	<i>Albemarle</i>	<i>Fluvanna</i>	<i>Greene</i>	<i>Louisa</i>	<i>Nelson</i>	<i>Cville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	537	93	44	34	89	31	246
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	98%	100%	93%	94%	98%	97%	99%
% Timely in June 2012	98%	100%	100%	75%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	425	78	37	26	70	22	192
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	98%	96%	97%	96%	99%	95%	99%
Percent in June 2012	90%	86%	100%	0%	91%	67%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	6	0	0	6	0	0	0

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: July 1, 2011 - June 30, 2012

	<i>Total EDD9</i>	<i>Amherst</i>	<i>Appom.</i>	<i>Bedford</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Lynchburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	990	66	73	167	227	457
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	98%	100%	99%	97%	100%	98%
% Timely in June 2012	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	97%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	718	48	62	117	168	323
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	95%	98%	98%	91%	95%	95%
Percent in June 2012	97%	100%	100%	92%	100%	96%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD10 - Danville Region: July 1, 2011 - June 30, 2012

	<i>Total EDD10</i>	<i>Halifax</i>	<i>Henry/ Martinsville</i>	<i>Patrick</i>	<i>Pittsylvania</i>	<i>Danville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	1,020	135	330	119	144	292
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	96%	98%	90%	99%	99%	98%
% Timely in June 2012	99%	100%	96%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	771	106	255	80	97	233
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	97%	100%	94%	96%	99%	99%
Percent in June 2012	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	1	0	1	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	2	0	2	0	0	0

EDD11 – Southside Region: July 1, 2011 - June 30, 2012

	<i>Total EDD11</i>	<i>Amelia</i>	<i>Brunswick</i>	<i>Buckingham</i>	<i>Charlotte</i>	<i>Cumberland</i>	<i>Gr'nsville Emporia</i>	<i>Lunenburg</i>	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	<i>Nottoway</i>	<i>Pr. Edward</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹											
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	805	47	96	73	87	41	95	55	102	98	111
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	95%	89%	97%	96%	100%	100%	84%	89%	99%	97%	96%
% Timely in June 2012	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	83%	67%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²											
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	634	35	84	60	59	26	78	46	82	72	92
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	98%	94%	99%	100%	100%	100%	95%	100%	100%	96%	99%
Percent in June 2012	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴											
# Over 30 Days	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	19	30	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0

EDD12 - Richmond Region: July 1, 2011 - June 30, 2012

	<i>Total EDD12</i>	<i>Chesterfield/ Col Hgts</i>	<i>Goochland</i>	<i>Hanover</i>	<i>Henrico</i>	<i>Powhatan</i>	<i>Richmond City</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	3,210	636	28	84	900	31	1,531
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	94%	94%	96%	96%	91%	100%	96%
% Timely in June 2012	91%	92%	100%	100%	82%	100%	95%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	2,441	492	22	68	689	20	1,150
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	94%	98%	100%	94%	91%	95%	94%
Percent in June 2012	94%	96%	100%	83%	92%	100%	94%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	36	2	0	0	26	0	8
Average # of Days Over 30	50	29	0	0	64	0	13

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: July 1, 2011 - June 30, 2012

	<i>Total EDD13</i>	<i>Caroline</i>	<i>King George</i>	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	<i>Stafford</i>	<i>Fredericksburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	867	113	45	288	237	184
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	77%	64%	100%	50%	94%	98%
% Timely in June 2012	68%	25%	100%	38%	88%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	652	81	35	220	172	144
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	92%	95%	100%	84%	92%	99%
Percent in June 2012	95%	100%	100%	87%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	29	17	0	9	3	0
Average # of Days Over 30	51	51	0	49	52	0

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: July 1, 2011 - June 30, 2012

	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North- umberland	Richmond County	West- moreland
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹										
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	323	73	21	39	22	15	54	24	18	57
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	96%	100%	81%	90%	100%	100%	98%	100%	78%	98%
% Timely in June 2012	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	227	55	18	33	15	9	32	17	11	37
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	96%	96%	89%	97%	100%	89%	100%	100%	82%	97%
Percent in June 2012	96%	100%	80%	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴										
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: July 1, 2011 - June 30, 2012

	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	2,744	12	94	118	26	114	910	1,439	31
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	98%	100%	98%	100%	46%	95%	100%	97%	100%
% Timely in June 2012	98%	N/A	89%	100%	67%	100%	100%	98%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	1,955	6	72	84	18	79	665	1,009	22
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	94%	83%	97%	96%	78%	97%	97%	92%	95%
Percent in June 2012	93%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	95%	91%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	4	0	0	0	3	0	0	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	90	0	0	0	117	0	0	10	0

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: July 1, 2011 - June 30, 2012

	<i>Total EDD16</i>	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	<i>Prince George</i>	<i>Surry</i>	<i>Sussex</i>	<i>Hopewell</i>	<i>Petersburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	710	55	74	26	61	175	319
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	88%	100%	97%	96%	98%	67%	92%
% Timely in June 2012	93%	100%	100%	100%	80%	100%	90%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	501	46	45	20	37	126	227
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	95%	100%	100%	100%	97%	95%	93%
Percent in June 2012	96%	100%	100%	100%	100%	95%	96%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	6	0	0	0	0	0	6

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: July 1, 2011 - June 30, 2012

	<i>Total EDD17</i>	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	<i>South- hampton</i>	<i>Chesapeake</i>	<i>Franklin City</i>	<i>Norfolk</i>	<i>Portsmouth</i>	<i>Suffolk</i>	<i>VA Beach</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2011	4,117	77	85	694	122	1,345	821	221	752
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2011	90%	94%	98%	97%	92%	77%	98%	98%	94%
% Timely in June 2012	96%	100%	75%	98%	100%	94%	98%	100%	95%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2011	3,067	62	66	532	91	997	604	178	537
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2011	92%	94%	100%	96%	99%	82%	97%	98%	97%
Percent in June 2012	94%	85%	100%	96%	100%	86%	97%	94%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	13	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	10

Child Support Enforcement - Statewide Totals

Collections

	<i>Jun-11</i>	<i>Jun-12</i>	<i>% Change</i>
TANF Cases Collected	15,274	14,716	-3.7%
NTANF Cases Collected	132,109	131,225	-0.7%
Total Cases Collected	147,383	145,941	-1.0%
TANF Collections	\$3,565,218	\$3,076,141	-13.7%
NTANF Collections	\$52,340,822	\$49,090,777	-6.2%
Total Collections	\$55,906,040	\$52,166,918	-6.7%
SFY YTD Collections	\$646,678,529	\$655,032,635	1.3%
SFY YTD Average Collection	\$373.90	\$375.26	0.4%

Paternities Established

	<i>Jun-12</i>
TANF Paternities	226
NTANF Paternities	403
Combined Paternities	629

Diversionsary Assistance

June Diversionsary Assistance Cases

Locality	Number of Cases		Locality	Number of Cases
Albemarle	2		Prince Edward	1
Alleghany / Covington	5		Prince William	3
Appomattox	1		Roanoke County	5
Augusta / Staunt'n / W'boro	4		Shenandoah	12
Bedford City	2		Smyth	1
Buckingham	1		Spotsylvania	2
Chesterfield/Colonial Hgts	9		Stafford	2
Cumberland	1		Sussex	1
Dinwiddie	2		Warren	3
Fairfax / Falls Church	3		York / Poquoson	4
Floyd	1		Bristol	3
Frederick	4		Charlottesville	5
Gloucester	1		Chesapeake	7
Grayson	3		Franklin City	2
Greene	2		Fredericksburg	1
Henrico	6		Hampton	4
Henry / Martinsville	3		Hopewell	3
Isle of Wight	1		Newport News	19
King and Queen	1		Norfolk	12
King William	1		Petersburg	13
Loudoun	1		Portsmouth	1
Nelson	1		Richmond City	4
Nottoway	2		Roanoke City	7
Orange	1		Suffolk	14
Patrick	3		Virginia Beach	8
Pittsylvania	1		Winchester	1
			Statewide	200

Definitions of Selected Terms Contained in Report

Average Monthly Earnings. This is the average monthly earnings of the unsubsidized jobs that participants obtained. The value is calculated by multiplying the hourly wage by the weekly hours worked by 4.3 average weeks per month. For the cumulative figures the initial wage and initial hours are used. For the current figures, the initial wage and most current hours are used, except for the November 2001 report which used initial hours. Earlier than June 1999, wage changes that were entered overwrote the initial wage, so prior to that date, the cumulative figures used the initial hours and the last wage entered. With the advent of the VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) program in October 2006 the end of month average monthly earnings might be expected to decline somewhat because prior to VTP, cases with high earnings might remain open for job follow-up, but after the implementation of VTP the VIEW case would be closed when the TANF case was closed and a VTP case opened. VTP cases are not included in this report except for estimating the participation rate.

Average Wage. The average of the wages reported for each occurrence of unsubsidized employment. After June 1999 the wage is the wage at hiring, that is, the initial wage. Prior to June 1999, that wage may have been updated if changed during employment. After the implementation of the VIEW Transitional Payment program the end of month point in time average wage might be expected to decline some for the same reason mentioned in the explanation of possible decline in Average Monthly earnings, above. See note 6 on page 44.

Cases Closed with Employment. These are TANF or TANF-UP cases that are closed with an indication in the data base of employment at the date of closure. It does not mean that the case necessarily closed because of employment. For example, a TANF case may have an indication of closing at the client's request, along with indication of existing employment in VIEW. That case is counted as closed with employment.

EDD. This stands for Economic Development District. EDDs were developed during the administration of Governor Allen. They generally are no longer in use. This report continues to refer to them because VIEW was phased in by EDD and their use provides consistency over time.

Enrolled. Unduplicated number by locality of TANF recipients who were interviewed, received an individual assessment, signed the Agreement of Personal Responsibility, and enrolled in the VIEW program. Because the count is by locality, a recipient may be counted once in more than one locality. The fiscal year to date numbers include those who were enrolled at the beginning of the fiscal year as well as those enrolled during the year. There are clients enrolled in VIEW who have had their TANF case closed because VIEW policy requires that the enrollment remain open for job follow-up after employment unless the employment meets federal work participation guidelines, in which case the VIEW case is closed and a VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) case is opened.

Full Time Employment. Employment at 30 hours a week or greater.

Participants Assigned to Inactive. Inactive is a status assigned to participants who cannot participate in the VIEW program for reasons other than being exempt. Examples are unavailability of child care, unavailability of transportation, and mental or medical problems that make participation impractical. Inactive participants are due to be reviewed each month. While in inactive status, the 24 month time limit for TANF benefits is suspended.

Participants Employed. Total number of participants by locality who ever obtained an unsubsidized job, either full time or part time for the counts from inception. Other counts include subsidized employment. If employed more than one time, they are still only counted once, but may be counted in more than one locality. Also, these participants may have left their job and not gotten another one. For the year to date numbers, those employed at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first became employed in the fiscal year.

Participants in a Work Activity. Unduplicated count by locality of persons who have been in any of the following components: unsubsidized employment, full employment program (FEP), on-the-job training (OJT), community work experience program (CWEP), public service program (PSP), vocational education and training, and job search/job readiness. For example, a person who was in community work experience and then employed would be counted only once.

For the year to date numbers, those in a work activity at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first entered a work activity in the fiscal year. Note that this is a revised definition of work activity from reports through October 2006. The revised definition captures the activities that count toward the first 20 hours of federal participation requirements – the core work activities. Clients who are counted as being in a core work activity must meet additional participation rate requirements in order to be included in the work participation rate, and only VIEW clients are counted here, not all work eligible TANF clients **Thus, these percentages in a work activity are quite different from the percentages in the TANF work participation rate.**

Part Time Employment. Employment at fewer than 30 weekly hours.

Referred. Unduplicated number of TANF recipients by locality who were initially evaluated as eligible for VIEW and had their names referred to the automated VIEW list for participation.

Relationship Between TANF and VIEW Participation. On the chart at page 5a, the column heading “Total Adults in the Case” includes adults who are not considered to be part of the assistance unit. For example, a mother receiving SSI may be in the case, but because she receives SSI is not considered eligible for TANF and thus is not part of the assistance unit. Persons such as she who are not in the assistance unit are not eligible to participate in VIEW. Also included are adults who are part of the assistance unit but exempt from VIEW

Five Months Employment. In earlier reports, this represented the percent of all participants that were employed 150 days after their first employment. Participants who were employed in any job 150 days after their first employment were counted in this measure.. This measure became unavailable after July 1, 2011. See note 9 on page 44.

Three Months Employment. This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 90 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 90 days after their first employment are counted in this measure. For year to date percents, the calculations are based on the first employment that began one year before the end of the report month. That employment may not have been the first ever for the participant. The year to date percents were calculated differently in reports before September 2004. Also for year to date percents, transferred cases were not counted for months after the January 2002 report.

TANF / TANF-UP Payment Cases. Up to November 2003 these counts were taken from a monthly report with no adjustment. After that, the monthly counts included some cases that were being paid because child support payments had been received and were being passed on. These counts were adjusted to mostly eliminate those additional cases. Further adjustment may be appropriate. It should also be noted that the counts include both strictly TANF cases and MOE cases for some years. With the end of waiver provisions in July 2003, a substantial part of the caseload was placed in a state program and funded with MOE funds. This change in July 2003 was a change in funding, not a change in eligibility requirements or case payment amounts. It ended in September 2006 when VIEW transitional payments (VTP) were introduced. Adjustments have been made to take out VTP cases. Also, TANF-UP cases are currently funded with only state monies.

VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). Beginning in October 2006, VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) were introduced. These are payments of \$50 per client per month for one year to VIEW clients that leave “regular” TANF with employment sufficient to meet Federal work participation requirements. The employment must be maintained to be eligible for VTP. Previously, VTP clients normally would have remained VIEW clients for five or six months of job-follow-up. Thus, the VTP program has had a diminishing effect on some of the statistics in this report such as end of month percent employed and average wage. See graph on page 5a.

Time That Data Represent. The data in the top sections of page 4 and pages 8 – 24 are point in time data as of the date indicated. The year to date section represents state fiscal year to date data, with the exception of three month retention (see above). The bottom section is cumulative from when the locality began VIEW.

General Statement About Accuracy. The report is based on an extract from the Employment Services automated system. The extract is normally made the night of the first work day after the end of the report month. Data that staff of local social services agencies enter into the automated system after the time of the extract are not included in the report, even though the activity reflected actually occurred in the report month. However, that data, and the activity reflected, are included in the reports for subsequent months. Further, because the data are entered by staff in the 120 localities, the accuracy of the data in the data base is, of course, subject to inadvertent error.


- ¹ Applications are not necessarily acted upon in the month that they are received. Also, applications may be transferred from regular TANF to TANF-UP and vice versa as they are processed.
- ² These TANF cases paid counts are prior to possible adjustment. See definition of payment cases. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes.
- ³ VIEW enrolled counts do not include VTP clients
- ⁴ A case may be open in more than one locality during the time period.
- ⁵ Prior to June 1999, when individuals were transferred from one locality to another, their history was transferred as well. This may have an effect on a locality's cumulative VIEW statistics.
- ⁶ The minimum wage rose from \$6.55 to \$7.25 an hour on 7/24/09. The report reflects initial wages so some effect on wages in the report was delayed. The average wage includes full and part time, unless otherwise indicated. See the definition of average wage on page 42.
- ⁷ Includes full and part time earnings.
- ⁸ This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed three months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed three months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous. See definition on page 43 for the revised method of calculating the year to date percentages.
- ⁹ Until the July 2011 report, this was employed after five months. However, on July 1, 2011 the number of required job follow-ups was reduced to three. Thus, data for five month job retention became unavailable.
- ¹⁰ Number includes TANF-UP and represents cases carried over to the next month. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes, but with the advent of VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) such occurrences were reduced from earlier months.
- ¹¹ Enrollment is timely if done within 30 days of referral in previous month of the state fiscal year. The monthly percent includes evaluation of clients waiting in the queue. The year to date does not.
- ¹² Placement in a work activity on the 95th day after referral was a measure of timeliness before Oct 1, 2006. The revised definition of work activity has increased the percentage from reports before November 2006. Clients whose cases have closed before the 95th day are excluded, so 100% is an attainable mark.
- ¹⁴ As of the morning of July 2, 2012. Thus, this month's numbers are less than normal. Some referrals to the waiting list are not appropriate; there may be considerable lag time in correcting them..

This report is available on the Department of Social Services web site at:
http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial_assistance/tanf.cgi

The scores in the VIEW Rankings are determined by placing a locality on a scale of zero to ten in the same relative position as its place in the difference between the high and low of the measure in question. This converts all measures to a similar scale and provides a more equitable way to combine the ratings in each measure to determine a combined rank. (Questions, please call 804 726-7618.)

Comments on the format or content of the report and requests for Excel files for portions of current and past reports may be sent by e-mail to: william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov.


TANF and TANF-UP Cases Paid at Six Month Intervals June 1995 through June 2012



This graph illustrates the dramatic decline of the TANF caseload as VIEW was implemented. There was some sign of slowing in the rate of decline after June 1998. Nevertheless, wide community involvement, a strong economy, and the effect of the 24 month rule allowed the caseload to continue to fall until it was near the 30,000 level. There was little net change in the caseload from June 2000 to June 2002, but some upward movement was seen after June 2002, until 2005. The more recent trends are presumed to be a result of economic conditions. Of note is that the caseload leveled off after recession spurred growth and seems now in decline. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

Applications Received with Approval Percentage


Six Month Asymmetrical Moving Averages by Quarter, July 1995 through June 2012



This graph of application activity is presented as six month moving averages to produce smoother lines from which trends may be seen and in recognition that applications are not always acted on in the month received. The trends are not dramatic, but there seems to have been a general decline in the number of applications received until the July 2001 data. The decline in applications received after December 2004 and the more recent changes appear to be reflected in the case load trends. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

TANF Cases, VIEW Enrolled, and Employed VIEW Participants


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. June is the last month



This graph shows that the number enrolled in VIEW increased during early implementation and then generally tracked the number of cases. The effects of recent economic conditions are apparent. A VIEW case may remain open for some months after the TANF case closes, if job follow-up is being done and the case has not been converted to the VIEW Transitional Payment program. It is unlikely that the number employed will ever equal the number enrolled because there are always new enrollees without employment, and there are some enrollees in work activities, other than employment, that meet VIEW requirements. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months. It includes TANF-UP

Chart Displaying the Relationships Between TANF and VIEW Participation


Jun 30, 2012



This chart illustrates that there are a large number of adults who are exempt from VIEW and that many of the VIEW enrollees have had their TANF cases closed. They are now in a job follow-up phase of VIEW. They have not had their VIEW case closed and become enrolled in the VIEW Transitional Payment program. The source of this information is a monthly TANF extract from ADAPT and the monthly extract from ESPAS, the VIEW automated data system. The extracts were made at the beginning of June and represent end of May data. TANF-UP is included.

VIEW Percent Total Employed and Average Wage at End of Month


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. June is the last month.



This graph shows that the average wage has seen a general increase as the percent of VIEW employed initially increased and then leveled. Besides employment, VIEW participants may be in various other activities or initial assessment and job search. It is unlikely that the percent employed will rise more, and the recent economic conditions may have caused a decline. The wages shown are generally the initial wages. When the average wage was calculated at about the end of June it was \$8.29 rather than the \$8.19 initial wages used to calculate wages shown on this graph. See page 42 of the report for an explanation of why the VIEW average wage might decline after October 2006 and note 6 on page 44 for the effect of the increase in minimum wage. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.

Percent of Jobs Found During a Month That Were Full Time and Percent Part Time

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. June is the last month



This graph illustrates an initial trend of VIEW participants obtaining a greater percent of full time jobs than part time. After the initial months, the trend seems to be fairly level with an increase in the percent full time after TANF reauthorization which probably reflects increased emphasis on meeting the required TANF work participation rate. The more recent changes are presumed to be a result of economic developments. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.