

# **VIRGINIA INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM**

**MONTHLY REPORT**  
Including Graphs of Statewide Trends  
**July 2013**

Prepared by:  
Virginia Department of Social Services August 26, 2013

# Monthly Report, July 2013

## Table of Contents

Work Participation Estimates (from the VDSS data warehouse) (A work participation estimate percent should not be compared to a percent in a work activity in the main body of the report which includes only clients in VIEW and does not consider the number of hours in a work activity during a month)	i-vi
TANF, TANF-UP Case Activity.....	1
Graphs of Case Activity.....	at end
VIEW Work Activity	
Statewide .....	4
Graphs of Statewide Activity.....	at end
EDD1.....	8
EDD2.....	9
EDD3.....	10
EDD4.....	11
EDD5 & EDD18.....	12
EDD6.....	13
EDD7.....	14
EDD8.....	15
EDD9.....	16
EDD10.....	17
EDD11.....	18
EDD14.....	19
EDD1.....	20
EDD3.....	21
EDD11.....	22
EDD15.....	23
EDD17.....	24
VIEW Year to Date Rankings.....	25-27
VIEW Cumulative Rankings.....	28-30
VIEW Timeliness Measures.....	31-39
Child Support Enforcement.....	40
Diversion.....	41
VIEW Definition Sheet and Endnotes.....	42-44

# Estimated Work Participation Rates for July

*These are estimated locality July 2013 work participation rates that include clients receiving either TANF or VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). The official participation rate will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated July statewide rate is 41% with a numerator of 6,196 and a denominator of 15,293.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	17	51	33%	061	Fauquier	23	48	48%
003	Albemarle	18	50	36%	063	Floyd	6	14	43%
005	Alleghany/Covington	24	46	52%	065	Fluvanna	8	21	38%
007	Amelia	8	24	33%	067	Franklin County	39	111	35%
009	Amherst	15	26	58%	069	Frederick	26	53	49%
011	Appomattox	1	51	2%	071	Giles	8	22	36%
013	Arlington	49	103	48%	073	Gloucester	13	33	39%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	120	243	49%	075	Goochland	11	16	69%
017	Bath	0	1	0%	077	Grayson	6	18	33%
019	Bedford County/City	36	95	38%	079	Greene	7	7	100%
021	Bland	3	4	75%	081	Greensville/Emporia	46	101	46%
023	Botetourt	1	9	11%	083	Halifax	19	78	24%
025	Brunswick	23	73	32%	085	Hanover	14	76	18%
027	Buchanan	31	61	51%	087	Henrico	273	704	39%
029	Buckingham	9	35	26%	089	Henry/Martinsville	57	214	27%
031	Campbell	49	130	38%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	27	88	31%	093	Isle of Wight	19	54	35%
035	Carroll	20	42	48%	095	James City	34	81	42%
036	Charles City	3	10	30%	097	King and Queen	0	6	0%
037	Charlotte	10	41	24%	099	King George	8	26	31%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	200	470	43%	101	King William	8	19	42%
043	Clarke	1	4	25%	103	Lancaster	11	20	55%
045	Craig	0	4	0%	105	Lee	47	122	39%
047	Culpeper	43	77	56%	107	Loudoun	56	135	41%
049	Cumberland	12	30	40%	109	Louisa	25	49	51%
051	Dickensen	17	25	68%	111	Lunenburg	10	33	30%
053	Dinwiddie	15	43	35%	113	Madison	3	8	38%
057	Essex	17	39	44%	115	Mathews	6	16	38%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	273	642	43%	117	Mecklenburg	13	60	22%

# Estimated Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent
119	Middlesex	22	45	49%	187	Warren	28	56	50%
121	Montgomery	61	115	53%	191	Washington	23	65	35%
125	Nelson	3	9	33%	193	Westmoreland	9	25	36%
127	New Kent	8	20	40%	195	Wise	54	137	39%
131	Northampton	22	61	36%	197	Wythe	18	47	38%
133	Northumberland	6	10	60%	199	York/Poquoson	38	86	44%
135	Nottoway	22	69	32%	510	Alexandria	97	191	51%
137	Orange	13	32	41%	520	Bristol	67	133	50%
139	Page	7	19	37%	540	Charlottesville	83	176	47%
141	Patrick	17	45	38%	550	Chesapeake	233	529	44%
143	Pittsylvania	19	68	28%	590	Danville	64	199	32%
145	Powhatan	6	15	40%	620	Franklin City	15	58	26%
147	Prince Edward	28	66	42%	630	Fredericksburg	45	88	51%
149	Prince George	3	39	8%	640	Galax	18	29	62%
153	Prince William	269	519	52%	650	Hampton	304	706	43%
155	Pulaski	29	71	41%	670	Hopewell	43	161	27%
157	Rappahannock	2	7	29%	680	Lynchburg	106	285	37%
159	Richmond County	6	21	29%	683	Manassas	26	65	40%
161	Roanoke County	47	129	36%	685	Manassas Park	4	18	22%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	3	33	9%	700	Newport News	340	818	42%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	60	111	54%	710	Norfolk	557	1,145	49%
167	Russell	29	80	36%	720	Norton	8	21	38%
169	Scott	38	68	56%	730	Petersburg	93	270	34%
171	Shenandoah	6	17	35%	740	Portsmouth	170	525	32%
173	Smyth	35	85	41%	750	Radford	12	37	32%
175	Southampton	20	50	40%	760	Richmond City	482	1,321	36%
177	Spotsylvania	74	206	36%	770	Roanoke City	124	461	27%
179	Stafford	56	138	41%	800	Suffolk	96	189	51%
181	Surry	8	25	32%	810	Virginia Beach	203	411	49%
183	Sussex	14	40	35%	830	Williamsburg	12	20	60%
185	Tazewell	41	99	41%	840	Winchester	12	47	26%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF and VTP recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rate presented here is the all families rate, i. e. the overall rate, including VTP..

# Estimated TANF only Work Participation Rates for July

*These are estimated locality July 2013 work participation rates that include only clients receiving TANF. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated July statewide rate is 36% with a numerator of 5,013 and a denominator of 13,989. VTP only estimates are on pages v and vi.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	15	49	31%	061	Fauquier	16	41	39%
003	Albemarle	11	43	26%	063	Floyd	3	11	27%
005	Alleghany/Covington	22	44	50%	065	Fluvanna	7	20	35%
007	Amelia	6	22	27%	067	Franklin County	32	104	31%
009	Amherst	13	24	54%	069	Frederick	24	51	47%
011	Appomattox	0	48	0%	071	Giles	7	21	33%
013	Arlington	35	88	40%	073	Gloucester	9	29	31%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	96	218	44%	075	Goochland	7	11	64%
017	Bath	0	1	0%	077	Grayson	6	18	33%
019	Bedford County/City	28	87	32%	079	Greene	5	5	100%
021	Bland	2	3	67%	081	Greensville/Emporia	39	94	41%
023	Botetourt	1	9	11%	083	Halifax	14	73	19%
025	Brunswick	21	68	31%	085	Hanover	12	72	17%
027	Buchanan	30	60	50%	087	Henrico	203	623	33%
029	Buckingham	9	35	26%	089	Henry/Martinsville	42	196	21%
031	Campbell	38	119	32%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	23	82	28%	093	Isle of Wight	10	45	22%
035	Carroll	19	41	46%	095	James City	26	73	36%
036	Charles City	3	10	30%	097	King and Queen	0	6	0%
037	Charlotte	10	41	24%	099	King George	7	25	28%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	162	427	38%	101	King William	6	16	38%
043	Clarke	1	4	25%	103	Lancaster	10	19	53%
045	Craig	0	4	0%	105	Lee	45	120	38%
047	Culpeper	28	60	47%	107	Loudoun	26	104	25%
049	Cumberland	10	27	37%	109	Louisa	21	45	47%
051	Dickensen	16	24	67%	111	Lunenburg	10	33	30%
053	Dinwiddie	12	40	30%	113	Madison	3	8	38%
057	Essex	16	36	44%	115	Mathews	6	15	40%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	195	559	35%	117	Mecklenburg	8	55	15%

# Estimated TANF Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	22	45	49%	187	Warren	21	48	44%
121	Montgomery	45	99	45%	191	Washington	21	63	33%
125	Nelson	3	9	33%	193	Westmoreland	8	24	33%
127	New Kent	8	20	40%	195	Wise	48	131	37%
131	Northampton	20	59	34%	197	Wythe	14	43	33%
133	Northumberland	4	8	50%	199	York/Poquoson	30	77	39%
135	Nottoway	18	65	28%	510	Alexandria	70	163	43%
137	Orange	10	28	36%	520	Bristol	59	125	47%
139	Page	6	18	33%	540	Charlottesville	59	150	39%
141	Patrick	15	43	35%	550	Chesapeake	188	482	39%
143	Pittsylvania	17	66	26%	590	Danville	55	189	29%
145	Powhatan	4	13	31%	620	Franklin City	14	57	25%
147	Prince Edward	20	58	34%	630	Fredericksburg	35	77	45%
149	Prince George	3	38	8%	640	Galax	17	28	61%
153	Prince William	197	443	44%	650	Hampton	259	647	40%
155	Pulaski	20	62	32%	670	Hopewell	37	153	24%
157	Rappahannock	0	5	0%	680	Lynchburg	85	264	32%
159	Richmond County	6	18	33%	683	Manassas	22	61	36%
161	Roanoke County	32	114	28%	685	Manassas Park	2	16	13%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	2	32	6%	700	Newport News	269	743	36%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	33	83	40%	710	Norfolk	509	1,092	47%
167	Russell	26	77	34%	720	Norton	8	21	38%
169	Scott	31	61	51%	730	Petersburg	79	249	32%
171	Shenandoah	5	16	31%	740	Portsmouth	146	498	29%
173	Smyth	27	77	35%	750	Radford	12	37	32%
175	Southampton	15	44	34%	760	Richmond City	432	1,258	34%
177	Spotsylvania	57	187	30%	770	Roanoke City	97	430	23%
179	Stafford	37	119	31%	800	Suffolk	76	169	45%
181	Surry	6	22	27%	810	Virginia Beach	160	366	44%
183	Sussex	11	37	30%	830	Williamsburg	10	18	56%
185	Tazewell	35	93	38%	840	Winchester	10	45	22%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are for the TANF all family rate not including VTP.

## *Estimated VTP only Work Participation Rates for July*

*These are estimated locality July 2013 work participation rates that include only clients receiving VTP. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated July statewide rate is 91% with a numerator of 1,183 and a denominator of 1,304. TANF only estimates are on pages iii and iv.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	<i>Accomack</i>	2	2	100%	061	<i>Fauquier</i>	7	7	100%
003	<i>Albemarle</i>	7	7	100%	063	<i>Floyd</i>	3	3	100%
005	<i>Alleghany/Covington</i>	2	2	100%	065	<i>Fluvanna</i>	1	1	100%
007	<i>Amelia</i>	2	2	100%	067	<i>Franklin County</i>	7	7	100%
009	<i>Amherst</i>	2	2	100%	069	<i>Frederick</i>	2	2	100%
011	<i>Appomattox</i>	1	3	33%	071	<i>Giles</i>	1	1	100%
013	<i>Arlington</i>	14	15	93%	073	<i>Gloucester</i>	4	4	100%
015	<i>Augusta/S'ton/W'boro</i>	24	25	96%	075	<i>Goochland</i>	4	5	80%
017	<i>Bath</i>	0	0	N/A	077	<i>Grayson</i>	0	0	N/A
019	<i>Bedford County/City</i>	8	8	100%	079	<i>Greene</i>	2	2	100%
021	<i>Bland</i>	1	1	100%	081	<i>Greensville/Emporia</i>	7	7	100%
023	<i>Botetourt</i>	0	0	N/A	083	<i>Halifax</i>	5	5	100%
025	<i>Brunswick</i>	2	5	40%	085	<i>Hanover</i>	2	4	50%
027	<i>Buchanan</i>	1	1	100%	087	<i>Henrico</i>	70	81	86%
029	<i>Buckingham</i>	0	0	N/A	089	<i>Henry/Martinsville</i>	15	18	83%
031	<i>Campbell</i>	11	11	100%	091	<i>Highland</i>	0	0	N/A
033	<i>Caroline</i>	4	6	67%	093	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	9	9	100%
035	<i>Carroll</i>	1	1	100%	095	<i>James City</i>	8	8	100%
036	<i>Charles City</i>	0	0	N/A	097	<i>King and Queen</i>	0	0	N/A
037	<i>Charlotte</i>	0	0	N/A	099	<i>King George</i>	1	1	100%
041	<i>Chesterfield/Col Hgts</i>	38	43	88%	101	<i>King William</i>	2	3	67%
043	<i>Clarke</i>	0	0	N/A	103	<i>Lancaster</i>	1	1	100%
045	<i>Craig</i>	0	0	N/A	105	<i>Lee</i>	2	2	100%
047	<i>Culpeper</i>	15	17	88%	107	<i>Loudoun</i>	30	31	97%
049	<i>Cumberland</i>	2	3	67%	109	<i>Louisa</i>	4	4	100%
051	<i>Dickensen</i>	1	1	100%	111	<i>Lunenburg</i>	0	0	N/A
053	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	3	3	100%	113	<i>Madison</i>	0	0	N/A
057	<i>Essex</i>	1	3	33%	115	<i>Mathews</i>	0	1	0%
059	<i>Fairfax/Falls Church</i>	78	83	94%	117	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	5	5	100%

# Estimated VTP Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	0	0	N/A	187	Warren	7	8	88%
121	Montgomery	16	16	100%	191	Washington	2	2	100%
125	Nelson	0	0	N/A	193	Westmoreland	1	1	100%
127	New Kent	0	0	N/A	195	Wise	6	6	100%
131	Northampton	2	2	100%	197	Wythe	4	4	100%
133	Northumberland	2	2	100%	199	York/Poquoson	8	9	89%
135	Nottoway	4	4	100%	510	Alexandria	27	28	96%
137	Orange	3	4	75%	520	Bristol	8	8	100%
139	Page	1	1	100%	540	Charlottesville	24	26	92%
141	Patrick	2	2	100%	550	Chesapeake	45	47	96%
143	Pittsylvania	2	2	100%	590	Danville	9	10	90%
145	Powhatan	2	2	100%	620	Franklin City	1	1	100%
147	Prince Edward	8	8	100%	630	Fredericksburg	10	11	91%
149	Prince George	0	1	0%	640	Galax	1	1	100%
153	Prince William	72	76	95%	650	Hampton	45	59	76%
155	Pulaski	9	9	100%	670	Hopewell	6	8	75%
157	Rappahannock	2	2	100%	680	Lynchburg	21	21	100%
159	Richmond County	0	3	0%	683	Manassas	4	4	100%
161	Roanoke County	15	15	100%	685	Manassas Park	2	2	100%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	1	1	100%	700	Newport News	71	75	95%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	27	28	96%	710	Norfolk	48	53	91%
167	Russell	3	3	100%	720	Norton	0	0	N/A
169	Scott	7	7	100%	730	Petersburg	14	21	67%
171	Shenandoah	1	1	100%	740	Portsmouth	24	27	89%
173	Smyth	8	8	100%	750	Radford	0	0	N/A
175	Southampton	5	6	83%	760	Richmond City	50	63	79%
177	Spotsylvania	17	19	89%	770	Roanoke City	27	31	87%
179	Stafford	19	19	100%	800	Suffolk	20	20	100%
181	Surry	2	3	67%	810	Virginia Beach	43	45	96%
183	Sussex	3	3	100%	830	Williamsburg	2	2	100%
185	Tazewell	6	6	100%	840	Winchester	2	2	100%

All VTP recipients are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” Thus, all VTP cases are in the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, job follow-up entries are reviewed to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. The basic requirement for VTP recipients is employment for at least 30 hours per week. The requirement is contained in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are also sometimes referred to as the rates for the maintenance of effort program caseload. .

## TANF

TANF Case Activity	Total Statewide				
	Jul-13	Jul-12	Change from Jul-12	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received <sup>1</sup>	6,574	5,816	13%	4,807	37%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	4,120	3,254	27%	1,658	148%
Applications Approved	1,948	2,078	-6%	2,820	-31%
Cases Closed *	2,432	2,469	-1%	4,476	-46%
Cases at End of Month	28,508	30,331	-6%	67,995	-58%
Cases Paid During Month <sup>2</sup>	29,187	31,206	-6%	70,403	-59%

•The database indicates that of the 2,432 closed cases, 972 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 540 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 204 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 129 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

## TANF-UP

TANF-UP Case Activity	Total Statewide				
	Jul-13	Jul-12	Change from Jul-12	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received <sup>1</sup>	586	559	5%	338	73%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	400	303	32%	232	72%
Applications Approved	202	159	27%	81	149%
Cases Closed *	217	242	-10.3%	107	103%
Cases at End of Month	1,547	1,668	-7%	321	382%
Cases Paid During Month <sup>2</sup>	1,563	1,752	-11%	394	297%

•The database indicates that of the 217 closed cases, 124 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 107 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 16 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those 15 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

## Statewide - Status through July 31, 2013

### TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/13 and Work Activity as of 7/31/13

Current Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	30,055	1,574	921	2,339	833	461	3,370	361	584	1,159	1,319	1,007	4,835	978	413	3,080	1,100	5,460	261
Total Enrolled in VIEW	11,599	576	380	787	315	164	1,315	121	279	504	377	453	1,883	476	161	1,218	435	2,050	105
Part. in a Work Activity	8,763	472	309	536	230	130	1,039	96	239	356	272	330	1,405	324	124	954	279	1,599	69
% of Enrolled	76%	82%	81%	68%	73%	79%	79%	79%	86%	71%	72%	73%	75%	68%	77%	78%	64%	78%	66%
Part. Assigned to Inactive	129	2	1	10	0	6	14	4	4	4	0	6	27	9	1	14	13	12	2
Participants Employed	6,163	305	195	432	209	97	692	72	165	257	169	208	956	255	101	658	208	1,119	65
% of Enrolled	53%	53%	51%	55%	66%	59%	53%	60%	59%	51%	45%	46%	51%	54%	63%	54%	48%	55%	62%
Full Time	4,838	247	166	365	184	84	532	57	110	187	135	136	689	188	87	504	173	946	48
Part Time	1,325	58	29	67	25	13	160	15	55	70	34	72	267	67	14	154	35	173	17
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.30	\$7.87	\$7.70	\$8.23	\$8.22	\$8.45	\$9.42	\$8.40	\$8.66	\$8.02	\$7.95	\$7.98	\$8.41	\$8.57	\$8.14	\$8.13	\$8.00	\$8.00	\$7.83
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,106	\$1,075	\$1,030	\$1,148	\$1,174	\$1,154	\$1,242	\$1,114	\$1,096	\$1,021	\$1,100	\$1,021	\$1,095	\$1,117	\$1,150	\$1,075	\$1,121	\$1,069	\$1,028

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – July 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	2,694	132	103	250	76	37	317	29	49	88	135	109	352	102	32	290	92	490	11
Total Enrolled in VIEW	12,419	596	400	851	324	187	1,424	130	298	534	405	483	2,011	514	168	1,351	458	2,174	111
Part. in a Work Activity	9,786	521	336	649	269	147	1,142	105	265	429	314	361	1,518	375	138	1,070	300	1,764	83
% of Total Enrolled	79%	87%	84%	76%	83%	79%	80%	81%	89%	80%	78%	75%	75%	73%	82%	79%	66%	81%	75%
Participants Employed	6,541	317	208	471	217	108	734	76	174	278	178	219	999	280	104	710	216	1,184	68
% of Total Enrolled	53%	53%	52%	55%	67%	58%	52%	58%	58%	52%	44%	45%	50%	54%	62%	53%	47%	54%	61%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.29	\$7.85	\$7.70	\$8.20	\$8.19	\$8.43	\$9.43	\$8.40	\$8.62	\$8.06	\$7.94	\$7.97	\$8.40	\$8.54	\$8.12	\$8.10	\$8.02	\$8.02	\$7.81
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,066	\$1,047	\$1,004	\$1,110	\$1,114	\$1,132	\$1,205	\$1,099	\$1,010	\$971	\$1,059	\$996	\$1,067	\$1,086	\$1,024	\$1,024	\$1,099	\$1,029	\$955
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	71%	74%	64%	62%	66%	65%	77%	80%	76%	69%	57%	70%	74%	70%	72%	73%	68%	74%	75%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	290,722	17,733	10,929	21,700	8,551	5,654	36,312	4,128	6,341	10,937	13,327	8,465	38,688	9,327	3,861	27,856	9,774	54,505	2,634
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>5</sup>	224,390	13,708	9,196	16,770	6,646	4,238	28,062	3,124	4,921	9,088	10,684	6,613	30,450	6,939	2,909	21,162	7,659	40,250	1,971
Part. in a Work Activity	214,531	13,206	8,824	15,970	6,478	4,013	27,058	3,016	4,806	8,586	10,343	6,331	29,261	6,547	2,734	20,122	7,293	38,036	1,907
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	96%	95%	97%	95%	96%	97%	98%	94%	97%	96%	96%	94%	94%	95%	95%	94%	97%
Participants Employed	150,951	8,545	5,910	11,322	4,632	2,745	19,620	2,091	3,659	6,198	6,527	4,019	20,555	4,556	1,823	14,608	5,203	27,829	1,109
% of Total Enrolled	67%	62%	64%	68%	70%	65%	70%	67%	74%	68%	61%	61%	68%	66%	63%	69%	68%	69%	56%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.25	\$6.30	\$6.42	\$7.17	\$7.26	\$7.45	\$8.78	\$7.56	\$7.48	\$6.71	\$6.47	\$6.88	\$7.44	\$8.02	\$7.10	\$7.12	\$7.09	\$6.94	\$6.83
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$954	\$830	\$867	\$961	\$963	\$994	\$1,161	\$993	\$949	\$864	\$861	\$883	\$991	\$1,025	\$904	\$927	\$956	\$905	\$857
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	66%	67%	62%	60%	67%	63%	71%	65%	63%	64%	63%	65%	70%	66%	58%	66%	65%	67%	72%

## EDD1 - Southwest Region: Status through July 31, 2013

### TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/13 and Work Activity as of 7/31/13

Current Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,574	172	71	286	201	160	240	390	54
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	576	63	23	131	61	67	85	127	19
Participants in a Work Activity	472	59	20	117	48	50	79	88	11
% of Enrolled	82%	94%	87%	89%	79%	75%	93%	69%	58%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	305	27	16	68	24	36	50	74	10
% of Enrolled	53%	43%	70%	52%	39%	54%	59%	58%	53%
Full Time	247	16	14	51	21	25	43	68	9
Part Time	58	11	2	17	3	11	7	6	1
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.87	\$7.91	\$7.59	\$7.89	\$7.82	\$7.76	\$7.89	\$7.99	\$7.64
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,075	\$1,011	\$1,064	\$1,065	\$996	\$1,027	\$1,059	\$1,175	\$1,044

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – July 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	132	7	3	25	11	14	30	34	8
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	596	65	23	137	65	69	87	131	19
Participants in a Work Activity	521	60	20	128	50	56	85	108	14
% of Total Enrolled	87%	92%	87%	93%	77%	81%	98%	82%	74%
Participants Employed	317	28	16	69	25	36	55	77	11
% of Total Enrolled	53%	43%	70%	50%	38%	52%	63%	59%	58%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.85	\$7.80	\$7.59	\$7.88	\$7.80	\$7.76	\$7.86	\$7.99	\$7.60
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,047	\$1,000	\$1,076	\$1,026	\$1,000	\$966	\$1,044	\$1,144	\$963
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	74%	89%	80%	74%	67%	86%	77%	67%	67%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	17,733	1,710	1,213	2,502	2,447	1,748	3,383	4,159	571
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	13,708	1,303	948	1,932	1,974	1,291	2,583	3,224	453
Participants in a Work Activity	13,206	1,224	895	1,921	1,873	1,267	2,495	3,114	417
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	94%	99%	95%	98%	97%	97%	92%
Participants Employed	8,545	695	572	1,257	1,187	746	1,731	2,023	334
% of Total Enrolled	62%	53%	60%	65%	60%	58%	67%	63%	74%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.30	\$6.45	\$6.33	\$6.10	\$6.47	\$6.60	\$6.20	\$6.32	\$6.10
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$830	\$843	\$840	\$799	\$857	\$889	\$818	\$832	\$754
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	67%	65%	55%	72%	67%	77%	65%	67%	71%

## EDD2 – Bristol-Galax Region: Status through July 31, 2013

### TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/13 and Work Activity as of 7/31/13

Current Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	921	12	95	40	178	184	110	242	60
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	380	2	46	15	74	51	31	132	29
Participants in a Work Activity	309	2	37	10	60	41	23	110	26
% of Enrolled	81%	100%	80%	67%	81%	80%	74%	83%	90%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Participants Employed	195	0	22	9	44	26	21	55	18
% of Enrolled	51%	0%	48%	60%	59%	51%	68%	42%	62%
Full Time	166	N/A	21	9	41	20	18	44	13
Part Time	29	N/A	1	0	3	6	3	11	5
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.70	N/A	\$7.69	\$7.75	\$7.55	\$7.76	\$7.55	\$7.82	\$7.76
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,030	N/A	\$1,051	\$1,113	\$1,070	\$1,006	\$1,022	\$1,011	\$969

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – July 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	103	1	8	3	25	12	16	34	4
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	400	3	48	15	84	53	31	136	30
Participants in a Work Activity	336	3	38	11	67	44	29	117	27
% of Total Enrolled	84%	100%	79%	73%	80%	83%	94%	86%	90%
Participants Employed	208	1	23	9	46	30	21	59	19
% of Total Enrolled	52%	33%	48%	60%	55%	57%	68%	43%	63%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.70	\$8.00	\$7.66	\$7.75	\$7.56	\$7.70	\$7.55	\$7.86	\$7.73
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,004	\$1,204	\$1,036	\$1,144	\$1,061	\$989	\$919	\$985	\$927
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	64%	50%	69%	71%	60%	68%	70%	63%	47%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	10,929	273	1,454	687	1,922	1,895	1,606	2,339	753
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	9,196	205	1,204	558	1,623	1,582	1,276	2,087	661
Participants in a Work Activity	8,824	193	1,110	521	1,566	1,544	1,254	2,007	629
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	92%	93%	96%	98%	98%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	5,910	121	802	366	945	995	855	1,411	415
% of Total Enrolled	64%	59%	67%	66%	58%	63%	67%	68%	63%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.42	\$6.36	\$6.22	\$6.43	\$6.28	\$6.65	\$6.39	\$6.50	\$6.37
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$867	\$874	\$834	\$861	\$867	\$898	\$846	\$876	\$885
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	62%	56%	54%	61%	63%	58%	67%	62%	69%

## EDD3 – Roanoke Region: Status through July 31, 2013

### TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/13 and Work Activity as of 7/31/13

Current Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	2,339	92	34	6	48	222	51	275	162	279	77	1,093
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	787	41	8	3	13	102	9	102	40	97	30	342
Participants in a Work Activity	536	34	5	2	12	70	9	77	33	66	23	205
% of Enrolled	68%	83%	63%	67%	92%	69%	100%	75%	83%	68%	77%	60%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	10	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	4	1	1
Participants Employed	432	27	5	1	9	41	9	72	24	48	18	178
% of Enrolled	55%	66%	63%	33%	69%	40%	100%	71%	60%	49%	60%	52%
Full Time	365	23	4	1	6	27	8	70	23	39	13	151
Part Time	67	4	1	0	3	14	1	2	1	9	5	27
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.23	\$8.31	\$8.03	\$9.00	\$9.06	\$8.20	\$7.46	\$8.35	\$7.78	\$7.87	\$7.77	\$8.38
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,148	\$1,180	\$1,014	\$1,559	\$1,115	\$1,043	\$1,043	\$1,225	\$1,117	\$1,048	\$1,014	\$1,190

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – July 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	250	8	3	0	3	25	3	28	18	22	7	133
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	851	48	10	3	13	115	11	110	42	105	36	358
Participants in a Work Activity	649	36	8	2	12	82	11	90	38	78	26	266
% of Total Enrolled	76%	75%	80%	67%	92%	71%	100%	82%	90%	74%	72%	74%
Participants Employed	471	28	6	1	9	45	10	79	27	51	21	194
% of Total Enrolled	55%	58%	60%	33%	69%	39%	91%	72%	64%	49%	58%	54%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.20	\$8.27	\$8.11	\$9.00	\$9.06	\$8.13	\$7.44	\$8.30	\$7.82	\$7.84	\$7.92	\$8.33
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,110	\$1,125	\$1,013	\$1,548	\$1,233	\$1,013	\$976	\$1,200	\$1,088	\$976	\$959	\$1,150
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	62%	56%	40%	N/A	42%	63%	41%	69%	56%	61%	64%	62%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	21,700	1,195	363	98	561	1,931	555	3,065	1,876	2,389	857	8,810
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	16,770	917	230	56	411	1,489	402	2,517	1,493	1,921	668	6,666
Participants in a Work Activity	15,970	883	205	55	397	1,335	395	2,478	1,432	1,801	639	6,350
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	89%	98%	97%	90%	98%	98%	96%	94%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	11,322	640	105	28	271	921	237	1,963	949	1,421	466	4,321
% of Total Enrolled	68%	70%	46%	50%	66%	62%	59%	78%	64%	74%	70%	65%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.17	\$6.94	\$7.40	\$7.29	\$7.08	\$7.09	\$7.12	\$7.02	\$6.85	\$7.67	\$7.06	\$7.25
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$961	\$847	\$936	\$1,053	\$944	\$951	\$955	\$945	\$948	\$1,038	\$911	\$977
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	60%	58%	55%	59%	54%	58%	52%	64%	54%	58%	62%	62%

## EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: Status through July 31, 2013

### TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/13 and Work Activity as of 7/31/13

Current Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	833	502	5	2	73	251
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	315	187	1	0	23	104
Participants in a Work Activity	230	136	1	N/A	14	79
% of Enrolled	73%	73%	100%	N/A	61%	76%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	N/A	0	0
Participants Employed	209	127	0	N/A	13	69
% of Enrolled	66%	68%	N/A	N/A	57%	66%
Full Time	184	107	N/A	N/A	11	66
Part Time	25	20	N/A	N/A	2	3
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.22	\$8.01	N/A	N/A	\$8.33	\$8.57
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,174	\$1,128	N/A	N/A	\$1,090	\$1,272

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – July 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	76	38	1	0	7	30
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	324	190	1	N/A	25	108
Participants in a Work Activity	269	158	1	N/A	16	94
% of Total Enrolled	83%	83%	100%	N/A	64%	87%
Participants Employed	217	130	0	N/A	15	72
% of Total Enrolled	67%	68%	0%	N/A	60%	67%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.19	\$7.94	N/A	N/A	\$8.48	\$8.58
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,114	\$1,052	N/A	N/A	\$1,105	\$1,229
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	66%	64%	N/A	N/A	69%	70%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	8,551	4,589	80	23	743	3,116
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	6,646	3,626	51	8	557	2,404
Participants in a Work Activity	6,478	3,561	51	8	511	2,347
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	100%	100%	92%	98%
Participants Employed	4,632	2,442	32	6	392	1,760
% of Total Enrolled	70%	67%	63%	75%	70%	73%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.26	\$7.24	\$6.56	\$7.79	\$6.81	\$7.38
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$963	\$964	\$717	\$784	\$870	\$986
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	67%	67%	61%	83%	67%	68%

## EDDS 5 & 18 – Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: Status through July 31, 2013

### TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/13 and Work Activity as of 7/31/13

Current Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	461	11	143	54	47	116	90	261	172	89
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	164	0	64	25	12	33	30	105	44	61
Participants in a Work Activity	130	N/A	46	24	11	27	22	69	30	39
% of Enrolled	79%	N/A	72%	96%	92%	82%	73%	66%	68%	64%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	6	N/A	0	0	0	4	2	2	0	2
Participants Employed	97	N/A	38	17	7	21	14	65	29	36
% of Enrolled	59%	N/A	59%	68%	58%	64%	47%	62%	66%	59%
Full Time	84	N/A	34	14	6	17	13	48	24	24
Part Time	13	N/A	4	3	1	4	1	17	5	12
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.45	N/A	\$8.71	\$8.47	\$8.43	\$7.63	\$8.96	\$7.83	\$7.80	\$7.86
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,154	N/A	\$1,227	\$1,135	\$1,216	\$947	\$1,255	\$1,028	\$1,093	\$975

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – July 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	37	2	9	6	3	7	10	11	7	4
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	187	2	67	25	15	37	41	111	47	64
Participants in a Work Activity	147	1	48	24	14	30	30	83	38	45
% of Total Enrolled	79%	50%	72%	96%	93%	81%	73%	75%	81%	70%
Participants Employed	108	1	38	17	9	24	19	68	30	38
% of Total Enrolled	58%	50%	57%	68%	60%	65%	46%	61%	64%	59%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.43	\$12.00	\$8.71	\$8.47	\$8.19	\$7.83	\$8.51	\$7.81	\$7.79	\$7.83
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,132	\$1,909	\$1,211	\$1,125	\$1,172	\$967	\$1,131	\$955	\$1,031	\$896
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	65%	0%	65%	48%	80%	81%	67%	75%	66%	100%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	5,654	217	1,251	853	689	1,344	1,300	2,634	1,677	957
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	4,238	133	934	697	424	1,023	1,027	1,971	1,227	744
Participants in a Work Activity	4,013	124	876	681	412	945	975	1,907	1,197	710
% of Total Enrolled	95%	93%	94%	98%	97%	92%	95%	97%	98%	95%
Participants Employed	2,745	77	535	485	251	692	705	1,109	630	479
% of Total Enrolled	65%	58%	57%	70%	59%	68%	69%	56%	51%	64%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.45	\$7.56	\$7.84	\$7.38	\$6.83	\$7.50	\$7.39	\$6.83	\$6.89	\$6.76
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$994	\$1,005	\$1,020	\$995	\$939	\$1,010	\$975	\$857	\$864	\$849
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	63%	59%	61%	59%	69%	69%	59%	72%	65%	80%

## EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: Status through July 31, 2013

### TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/13 and Work Activity as of 7/31/13

Current Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	3,370	216	1,347	220	1,034	366	144	43
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,315	57	476	139	422	165	42	14
Participants in a Work Activity	1,039	45	396	106	323	132	30	7
% of Enrolled	79%	79%	83%	76%	77%	80%	71%	50%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	14	2	6	0	4	1	1	0
Participants Employed	692	30	251	73	232	82	22	2
% of Enrolled	53%	53%	53%	53%	55%	50%	52%	14%
Full Time	532	16	203	48	178	64	21	2
Part Time	160	14	48	25	54	18	1	0
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$9.42	\$8.33	\$9.51	\$10.46	\$9.13	\$9.52	\$9.37	\$7.29
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,242	\$967	\$1,261	\$1,339	\$1,216	\$1,234	\$1,398	\$947

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – July 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	317	22	114	21	97	47	11	5
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,424	70	532	144	452	168	44	14
Participants in a Work Activity	1,142	51	445	112	357	138	32	7
% of Total Enrolled	80%	73%	84%	78%	79%	82%	73%	50%
Participants Employed	734	32	269	76	249	84	22	2
% of Total Enrolled	52%	46%	51%	53%	55%	50%	50%	14%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$9.43	\$8.43	\$9.50	\$10.66	\$9.11	\$9.49	\$9.37	\$7.29
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,205	\$966	\$1,213	\$1,385	\$1,172	\$1,189	\$1,285	\$940
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	77%	66%	77%	83%	75%	87%	60%	100%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	36,312	3,009	12,930	2,612	11,620	4,128	1,552	461
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	28,062	2,357	9,779	2,087	9,045	3,256	1,199	339
Participants in a Work Activity	27,058	2,337	9,423	1,994	8,689	3,114	1,168	333
% of Total Enrolled	96%	99%	96%	96%	96%	96%	97%	98%
Participants Employed	19,620	1,679	6,563	1,597	6,503	2,278	768	232
% of Total Enrolled	70%	71%	67%	77%	72%	70%	64%	68%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.78	\$8.35	\$8.85	\$9.38	\$8.84	\$8.45	\$8.29	\$8.22
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,161	\$1,072	\$1,166	\$1,213	\$1,195	\$1,082	\$1,109	\$1,098
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	71%	69%	69%	72%	70%	77%	70%	78%

## EDD7 - Culpeper Region: Status through July 31, 2013

### TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/13 and Work Activity as of 7/31/13

Current Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	361	158	74	24	89	16
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	121	64	25	6	23	3
Participants in a Work Activity	96	50	19	6	19	2
% of Enrolled	79%	78%	76%	100%	83%	67%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	1	1	0	2	0
Participants Employed	72	41	16	3	12	0
% of Enrolled	60%	64%	64%	50%	52%	0%
Full Time	57	32	12	2	11	N/A
Part Time	15	9	4	1	1	N/A
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.40	\$8.41	\$8.61	\$6.92	\$8.44	N/A
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,114	\$1,084	\$1,194	\$852	\$1,174	N/A

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – July 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	29	14	7	3	5	0
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	130	70	27	7	23	3
Participants in a Work Activity	105	55	22	7	19	2
% of Total Enrolled	81%	79%	81%	100%	83%	67%
Participants Employed	76	43	17	4	12	0
% of Total Enrolled	58%	61%	63%	57%	52%	0%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.40	\$8.39	\$8.57	\$7.69	\$8.44	N/A
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,099	\$1,069	\$1,204	\$869	\$1,136	N/A
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	80%	79%	84%	17%	100%	100%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	4,128	1,604	1,212	381	822	109
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	3,124	1,176	930	281	666	71
Participants in a Work Activity	3,016	1,135	917	274	623	67
% of Total Enrolled	97%	97%	99%	98%	94%	94%
Participants Employed	2,091	761	642	170	476	42
% of Total Enrolled	67%	65%	69%	60%	71%	59%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.56	\$7.54	\$7.91	\$7.21	\$7.25	\$7.32
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$993	\$975	\$1,035	\$1,003	\$965	\$950
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	65%	66%	69%	60%	60%	46%

## EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: Status through July 31, 2013

### TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/13 and Work Activity as of 7/31/13

Current Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	584	116	50	27	100	31	260
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	279	37	25	14	45	11	147
Participants in a Work Activity	239	31	22	11	39	7	129
% of Enrolled	86%	84%	88%	79%	87%	64%	88%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	0	2	0	1	0	1
Participants Employed	165	25	13	10	33	7	77
% of Enrolled	59%	68%	52%	71%	73%	64%	52%
Full Time	110	20	10	3	20	4	53
Part Time	55	5	3	7	13	3	24
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.66	\$8.71	\$9.42	\$8.29	\$8.69	\$7.61	\$8.65
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,096	\$1,224	\$1,314	\$832	\$1,144	\$888	\$1,050

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – July 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	49	12	3	0	7	6	21
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	298	37	27	15	51	11	157
Participants in a Work Activity	265	32	24	13	43	7	146
% of Total Enrolled	89%	86%	89%	87%	84%	64%	93%
Participants Employed	174	26	15	11	36	7	79
% of Total Enrolled	58%	70%	56%	73%	71%	64%	50%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.62	\$8.73	\$9.36	\$8.19	\$8.59	\$7.61	\$8.61
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,010	\$1,119	\$1,259	\$863	\$976	\$804	\$982
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	76%	84%	83%	73%	79%	53%	74%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	6,341	1,481	297	487	1008	333	2,735
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	4,921	1,075	215	349	752	235	2,295
Participants in a Work Activity	4,806	1,047	209	331	726	229	2,264
% of Total Enrolled	98%	97%	97%	95%	97%	97%	99%
Participants Employed	3,659	772	136	265	472	145	1,869
% of Total Enrolled	74%	72%	63%	76%	63%	62%	81%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.48	\$7.88	\$7.90	\$7.40	\$7.72	\$7.85	\$7.32
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$949	\$1,014	\$1,064	\$913	\$984	\$1,019	\$922
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	63%	58%	63%	56%	63%	63%	66%

## EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: Status through July 31, 2013

### TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/13 and Work Activity as of 7/31/13

Current Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,159	83	96	202	244	534
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	504	20	52	81	130	221
Participants in a Work Activity	356	14	34	67	96	145
% of Enrolled	71%	70%	65%	83%	74%	66%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	1	1	0	0	2
Participants Employed	257	13	26	41	65	112
% of Enrolled	51%	65%	50%	51%	50%	51%
Full Time	187	12	23	28	47	77
Part Time	70	1	3	13	18	35
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.02	\$7.85	\$8.08	\$7.89	\$8.11	\$8.01
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,021	\$1,081	\$1,127	\$921	\$1,040	\$1,015

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – July 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	88	3	5	10	13	57
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	534	20	52	85	144	233
Participants in a Work Activity	429	15	37	71	127	179
% of Total Enrolled	80%	75%	71%	84%	88%	77%
Participants Employed	278	13	26	46	72	121
% of Total Enrolled	52%	65%	50%	54%	50%	52%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.06	\$7.97	\$8.08	\$7.83	\$8.25	\$8.04
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$971	\$1,021	\$1,165	\$888	\$980	\$951
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	69%	69%	77%	64%	69%	69%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	10,937	1013	861	2,220	2,536	4,307
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	9,088	815	753	1,776	2,182	3,562
Participants in a Work Activity	8,586	776	741	1,651	2,062	3,356
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	98%	93%	95%	94%
Participants Employed	6,198	543	525	1,151	1,503	2,476
% of Total Enrolled	68%	67%	70%	65%	69%	70%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.71	\$6.91	\$6.37	\$6.78	\$6.91	\$6.61
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$864	\$879	\$881	\$861	\$902	\$837
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	64%	59%	56%	60%	64%	68%

## EDD10 - Danville Region: Status through July 31, 2013

### TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/13 and Work Activity as of 7/31/13

Current Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,319	196	412	89	187	435
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	377	51	135	52	40	99
Participants in a Work Activity	272	28	97	47	23	77
% of Enrolled	72%	55%	72%	90%	58%	78%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	169	16	65	27	16	45
% of Enrolled	45%	31%	48%	52%	40%	45%
Full Time	135	13	53	17	14	38
Part Time	34	3	12	10	2	7
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.95	\$7.94	\$7.93	\$7.70	\$8.15	\$8.07
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,100	\$1,086	\$1,107	\$1,025	\$1,114	\$1,133

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – July 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	135	9	45	12	20	49
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	405	51	142	55	45	112
Participants in a Work Activity	314	31	103	49	34	97
% of Total Enrolled	78%	61%	73%	89%	76%	87%
Participants Employed	178	17	67	27	21	46
% of Total Enrolled	44%	33%	47%	49%	47%	41%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.94	\$7.92	\$7.91	\$7.70	\$8.09	\$8.09
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,059	\$1,053	\$1,067	\$913	\$1,112	\$1,111
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	57%	59%	58%	68%	41%	57%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	13,327	2,052	3,602	1,307	2,192	4,174
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	10,684	1,701	2,765	1,130	1,674	3,414
Participants in a Work Activity	10,343	1,640	2,652	1,066	1,648	3,337
% of Total Enrolled	97%	96%	96%	94%	98%	98%
Participants Employed	6,527	951	1,758	762	901	2,155
% of Total Enrolled	61%	56%	64%	67%	54%	63%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.47	\$6.43	\$6.88	\$6.35	\$6.48	\$6.21
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$861	\$847	\$943	\$823	\$876	\$810
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	63%	59%	64%	74%	60%	62%

## EDD11 - Southside Region: Status through July 31, 2013

### TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/13 and Work Activity as of 7/31/13

Current Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,007	63	99	68	75	57	157	62	165	124	137
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	453	26	52	25	48	28	71	22	43	71	67
Participants in a Work Activity	330	21	31	18	40	21	61	15	28	45	50
% of Enrolled	73%	81%	60%	72%	83%	75%	86%	68%	65%	63%	75%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	6	3	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	208	13	21	12	16	11	37	10	18	39	31
% of Enrolled	46%	50%	40%	48%	33%	39%	52%	45%	42%	55%	46%
Full Time	136	9	15	12	9	7	20	8	9	24	23
Part Time	72	4	6	0	7	4	17	2	9	15	8
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.98	\$8.57	\$7.63	\$8.52	\$8.19	\$8.41	\$7.69	\$7.97	\$8.07	\$7.77	\$8.06
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,021	\$1,028	\$981	\$1,267	\$949	\$1,072	\$948	\$1,074	\$980	\$975	\$1,120

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – July 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	109	3	9	12	5	3	21	5	19	19	13
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	483	26	64	28	48	29	78	22	43	75	70
Participants in a Work Activity	361	21	49	20	40	26	61	15	29	46	54
% of Total Enrolled	75%	81%	77%	71%	83%	90%	78%	68%	67%	61%	77%
Participants Employed	219	13	26	13	16	13	37	10	18	40	33
% of Total Enrolled	45%	50%	41%	46%	33%	45%	47%	45%	42%	53%	47%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.97	\$8.57	\$7.56	\$8.48	\$8.19	\$8.46	\$7.69	\$7.97	\$8.07	\$7.76	\$8.05
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$996	\$1,047	\$977	\$1,238	\$877	\$1,049	\$855	\$1,011	\$974	\$977	\$1,124
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	70%	53%	86%	53%	82%	65%	81%	52%	54%	78%	65%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	8,465	544	1,035	812	734	567	989	404	1,224	1,040	1,116
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	6,613	402	830	621	551	421	784	320	963	803	918
Participants in a Work Activity	6,331	382	828	585	512	402	752	316	919	742	893
% of Total Enrolled	96%	95%	100%	94%	93%	95%	96%	99%	95%	92%	97%
Participants Employed	4,019	244	470	352	283	243	463	155	633	536	640
% of Total Enrolled	61%	61%	57%	57%	51%	58%	59%	48%	66%	67%	70%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.88	\$7.51	\$6.33	\$7.11	\$7.30	\$7.41	\$6.84	\$6.61	\$6.66	\$6.89	\$6.83
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$883	\$960	\$831	\$956	\$921	\$957	\$826	\$820	\$842	\$905	\$888
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	65%	64%	68%	60%	57%	58%	65%	61%	67%	69%	68%

## EDD12 - Richmond Region: Status through July 31, 2013

### TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/13 and Work Activity as of 7/31/13

Current Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	4,835	964	25	146	1,270	50	2,380
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,883	366	11	76	589	11	830
Participants in a Work Activity	1,405	274	9	45	422	9	646
% of Enrolled	75%	75%	82%	59%	72%	82%	78%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	27	8	2	0	3	0	14
Participants Employed	956	188	5	43	310	6	404
% of Enrolled	51%	51%	45%	57%	53%	55%	49%
Full Time	689	154	3	21	200	5	306
Part Time	267	34	2	22	110	1	98
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.41	\$8.79	\$7.80	\$8.51	\$8.56	\$8.38	\$8.12
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,095	\$1,209	\$958	\$1,005	\$1,094	\$1,108	\$1,055

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – July 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	352	65	1	2	88	12	184
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,011	378	12	77	628	13	903
Participants in a Work Activity	1,518	286	9	46	457	9	711
% of Total Enrolled	75%	76%	75%	60%	73%	69%	79%
Participants Employed	999	193	5	43	323	6	429
% of Total Enrolled	50%	51%	42%	56%	51%	46%	48%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.40	\$8.78	\$7.80	\$8.51	\$8.54	\$8.38	\$8.13
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,067	\$1,165	\$1,046	\$989	\$1,052	\$997	\$1,044
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	74%	80%	77%	81%	76%	60%	70%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	38,688	8,092	295	1,366	9,698	386	18,851
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	30,450	6,177	227	977	7,914	280	14,875
Participants in a Work Activity	29,261	5,976	217	908	7,491	263	14,406
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	96%	93%	95%	94%	97%
Participants Employed	20,555	3,901	151	627	5,345	154	10,377
% of Total Enrolled	68%	63%	67%	64%	68%	55%	70%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.44	\$7.74	\$7.26	\$8.26	\$7.75	\$7.75	\$7.17
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$991	\$1,035	\$925	\$1,053	\$1,024	\$975	\$960
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	70%	69%	65%	67%	67%	63%	72%

## EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: Status through July 31, 2013

### TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/13 and Work Activity as of 7/31/13

<b>Current Data</b>	<b>Total EDD13</b>	<b>Caroline</b>	<b>King George</b>	<b>Spotsylvania</b>	<b>Stafford</b>	<b>Fredericksburg</b>
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	978	152	60	355	259	152
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	476	95	27	156	118	80
Participants in a Work Activity	324	46	20	101	96	61
% of Enrolled	68%	48%	74%	65%	81%	76%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	9	4	0	3	0	2
Participants Employed	255	46	15	87	62	45
% of Enrolled	54%	48%	56%	56%	53%	56%
Full Time	188	38	12	63	47	28
Part Time	67	8	3	24	15	17
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.57	\$8.08	\$8.67	\$8.07	\$9.68	\$8.48
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,117	\$1,091	\$1,206	\$1,029	\$1,297	\$1,034

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – July 31, 2013, Year to Date

<b>State Fiscal Year to Date</b>	<b>Total EDD13</b>	<b>Caroline</b>	<b>King George</b>	<b>Spotsylvania</b>	<b>Stafford</b>	<b>Fredericksburg</b>
Individuals Referred	102	8	4	45	26	19
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	514	109	27	170	121	87
Participants in a Work Activity	375	63	24	120	97	71
% of Total Enrolled	73%	58%	89%	71%	80%	82%
Participants Employed	280	53	16	98	63	50
% of Total Enrolled	54%	49%	59%	58%	52%	57%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.54	\$8.06	\$8.77	\$8.06	\$9.65	\$8.48
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,086	\$1,102	\$1,109	\$979	\$1,268	\$1,041
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	70%	90%	50%	64%	80%	63%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

<b>Cumulative Data</b>	<b>Total EDD13</b>	<b>Caroline</b>	<b>King George</b>	<b>Spotsylvania</b>	<b>Stafford</b>	<b>Fredericksburg</b>
Individuals Referred	9,327	1,406	565	2,845	2,701	1,810
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	6,939	997	393	2,216	1,798	1,535
Participants in a Work Activity	6,547	947	372	2,044	1,720	1,464
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	95%	92%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	4,556	603	231	1,518	1,061	1,143
% of Total Enrolled	66%	60%	59%	69%	59%	74%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.02	\$7.62	\$7.50	\$8.01	\$8.68	\$7.83
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,025	\$964	\$924	\$1,020	\$1,140	\$994
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	66%	59%	58%	68%	69%	66%

## EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: Status through July 31, 2013

### TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/13 and Work Activity as of 7/31/13

Current Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	413	82	16	44	39	27	70	31	38	66
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	161	28	3	17	12	12	48	9	14	18
Participants in a Work Activity	124	17	1	14	9	10	44	8	10	11
% of Enrolled	77%	61%	33%	82%	75%	83%	92%	89%	71%	61%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	101	16	1	9	8	7	37	5	9	9
% of Enrolled	63%	57%	33%	53%	67%	58%	77%	56%	64%	50%
Full Time	87	14	1	7	7	6	34	2	8	8
Part Time	14	2	0	2	1	1	3	3	1	1
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.14	\$8.70	\$9.50	\$8.67	\$7.97	\$8.26	\$7.54	\$9.13	\$8.62	\$7.93
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,150	\$1,300	\$1,645	\$1,244	\$1,106	\$1,209	\$1,103	\$773	\$1,256	\$1,027

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – July 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	32	5	1	4	2	0	6	3	1	10
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	168	28	3	20	12	12	50	9	15	19
Participants in a Work Activity	138	26	3	15	11	10	44	8	10	11
% of Total Enrolled	82%	93%	100%	75%	92%	83%	88%	89%	67%	58%
Participants Employed	104	17	1	9	8	7	38	6	9	9
% of Total Enrolled	62%	61%	33%	45%	67%	58%	76%	67%	60%	47%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.12	\$8.64	\$9.50	\$8.67	\$7.97	\$8.26	\$7.53	\$8.82	\$8.62	\$7.93
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,024	\$965	\$1,634	\$1,202	\$1,013	\$1,107	\$969	\$759	\$1,229	\$1,043
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	72%	62%	40%	65%	67%	100%	97%	67%	50%	71%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	3,861	593	281	350	422	215	470	339	349	842
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	2,909	473	192	290	334	151	350	278	217	624
Participants in a Work Activity	2,734	453	182	276	315	145	328	270	203	562
% of Total Enrolled	94%	96%	95%	95%	94%	96%	94%	97%	94%	90%
Participants Employed	1,823	315	97	172	220	98	238	158	133	392
% of Total Enrolled	63%	67%	51%	59%	66%	65%	68%	57%	61%	63%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.10	\$7.13	\$7.07	\$7.52	\$6.89	\$7.10	\$7.13	\$6.80	\$7.07	\$7.12
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$904	\$875	\$882	\$987	\$865	\$912	\$913	\$860	\$929	\$926
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	58%	49%	46%	60%	52%	69%	72%	53%	40%	65%

## EDD15 - Peninsula Region: Status through July 31, 2013

### TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/13 and Work Activity as of 7/31/13

Current Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	NewKent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	3,080	20	93	141	41	118	1,134	1,503	30
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,218	7	26	52	14	65	473	559	22
Participants in a Work Activity	954	6	25	43	11	47	373	432	17
% of Enrolled	78%	86%	96%	83%	79%	72%	79%	77%	77%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	14	0	0	2	0	2	10	0	0
Participants Employed	658	3	20	37	7	29	231	317	14
% of Enrolled	54%	43%	77%	71%	50%	45%	49%	57%	64%
Full Time	504	3	18	28	6	10	167	263	9
Part Time	154	0	2	9	1	19	64	54	5
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.13	\$9.01	\$7.35	\$8.41	\$7.30	\$8.11	\$8.10	\$8.19	\$7.81
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,075	\$1,463	\$941	\$1,051	\$952	\$844	\$1,074	\$1,118	\$836

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – July 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	290	1	3	10	3	13	111	145	4
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,351	8	34	60	18	73	510	625	23
Participants in a Work Activity	1,070	7	31	45	12	56	405	495	19
% of Total Enrolled	79%	88%	91%	75%	67%	77%	79%	79%	83%
Participants Employed	710	4	25	39	8	32	244	343	15
% of Total Enrolled	53%	50%	74%	65%	44%	44%	48%	55%	65%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.10	\$8.76	\$7.42	\$8.38	\$6.39	\$8.07	\$8.09	\$8.17	\$7.95
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,024	\$1,348	\$914	\$1,054	\$788	\$813	\$998	\$1,078	\$841
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	73%	100%	41%	65%	75%	68%	76%	74%	80%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	27,856	170	1,123	1,217	362	1,179	9,683	13,706	416
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	21,162	124	807	968	263	908	7,208	10,570	314
Participants in a Work Activity	20,122	118	750	897	251	868	7,010	9,937	291
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	93%	93%	95%	96%	97%	94%	93%
Participants Employed	14,608	71	525	671	145	565	5,015	7,398	218
% of Total Enrolled	69%	57%	65%	69%	55%	62%	70%	70%	69%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.12	\$7.19	\$6.91	\$7.56	\$7.51	\$7.38	\$7.13	\$7.07	\$7.30
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$927	\$960	\$854	\$920	\$1,018	\$897	\$921	\$936	\$906
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	66%	51%	57%	60%	72%	59%	64%	69%	64%

## EDD16 - Petersburg Region: Status through July 31, 2013

### TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/13 and Work Activity as of 7/31/13

Current Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,100	130	79	42	77	290	482
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	435	18	26	26	29	147	189
Participants in a Work Activity	279	16	18	16	21	85	123
% of Enrolled	64%	89%	69%	62%	72%	58%	65%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	13	0	0	3	2	7	1
Participants Employed	208	11	15	8	15	64	95
% of Enrolled	48%	61%	58%	31%	52%	44%	50%
Full Time	173	10	13	6	14	43	87
Part Time	35	1	2	2	1	21	8
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.00	\$8.30	\$8.16	\$7.69	\$7.68	\$7.73	\$8.21
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,121	\$1,229	\$1,149	\$1,003	\$1,071	\$1,013	\$1,194

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – July 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	92	7	8	5	9	22	41
Total Enrolled in VIEW	458	19	28	28	40	150	193
Participants in a Work Activity	300	17	19	17	25	90	132
% of Total Enrolled	66%	89%	68%	61%	63%	60%	68%
Participants Employed	216	12	16	10	16	64	98
% of Total Enrolled	47%	63%	57%	36%	40%	43%	51%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.02	\$8.27	\$8.10	\$7.68	\$7.67	\$7.73	\$8.25
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,099	\$1,334	\$1,107	\$835	\$999	\$1,024	\$1,162
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	68%	67%	55%	78%	76%	63%	72%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	9,774	1,256	890	392	776	2,435	4,025
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	7,659	834	672	323	624	1,974	3,232
Participants in a Work Activity	7,293	831	583	307	596	1,886	3,090
% of Total Enrolled	95%	100%	87%	95%	96%	96%	96%
Participants Employed	5,203	548	403	225	407	1,346	2,274
% of Total Enrolled	68%	66%	60%	70%	65%	68%	70%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.09	\$6.98	\$7.59	\$7.30	\$7.05	\$6.97	\$7.10
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$956	\$973	\$1,003	\$881	\$960	\$932	\$965
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	65%	58%	58%	57%	63%	63%	70%

## EDD17 - Tidewater Region: Status through July 31, 2013

### TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/13 and Work Activity as of 7/31/13

Current Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	5,460	111	89	906	104	1,953	1,051	395	851
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,050	35	33	346	43	855	350	112	276
Participants in a Work Activity	1,599	23	29	263	35	660	283	84	222
% of Enrolled	78%	66%	88%	76%	81%	77%	81%	75%	80%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	12	1	0	0	0	4	4	1	2
Participants Employed	1,119	15	18	189	24	473	165	71	164
% of Enrolled	55%	43%	55%	55%	56%	55%	47%	63%	59%
Full Time	946	11	11	155	15	435	129	58	132
Part Time	173	4	7	34	9	38	36	13	32
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.00	\$7.85	\$7.95	\$8.08	\$8.03	\$7.93	\$7.89	\$8.14	\$8.22
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,069	\$1,033	\$969	\$1,100	\$1,076	\$1,046	\$1,061	\$1,095	\$1,111

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – July 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	490	4	4	76	12	176	107	30	81
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,174	36	35	382	54	870	375	127	295
Participants in a Work Activity	1,764	26	30	305	41	692	313	107	250
% of Total Enrolled	81%	72%	86%	80%	76%	80%	83%	84%	85%
Participants Employed	1,184	16	18	210	29	480	174	80	177
% of Total Enrolled	54%	44%	51%	55%	54%	55%	46%	63%	60%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.02	\$7.87	\$7.95	\$8.08	\$8.26	\$7.92	\$7.95	\$8.14	\$8.20
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,029	\$999	\$983	\$1,053	\$1,080	\$1,005	\$1,017	\$1,058	\$1,062
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	74%	52%	85%	72%	71%	77%	73%	78%	68%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	54,505	1,245	1,022	8,793	879	17,509	8,818	3,435	12,804
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	40,250	905	822	6,500	709	12,830	6,994	2,701	8,789
Participants in a Work Activity	38,036	870	788	6,200	698	12,067	6,583	2,671	8,159
% of Total Enrolled	94%	96%	96%	95%	98%	94%	94%	99%	93%
Participants Employed	27,829	615	476	4,668	454	8,950	4,931	1,979	5,756
% of Total Enrolled	69%	68%	58%	72%	64%	70%	71%	73%	65%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.94	\$6.95	\$6.70	\$7.13	\$6.68	\$6.83	\$6.81	\$6.78	\$7.26
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$905	\$916	\$835	\$938	\$840	\$871	\$907	\$882	\$959
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	67%	59%	54%	64%	64%	68%	69%	68%	69%

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date

*This section lists the relative state fiscal year to date rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Wage, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	63.8%	7.02	21	\$7.79	2.50	94	65.9%	6.59	72	5.37	54
003	Albemarle	70.3%	7.73	7	\$8.73	4.17	16	83.7%	8.37	15	6.76	2
005	Alleghany/Covington	58.3%	6.42	39	\$8.27	3.35	41	56.1%	5.61	97	5.13	73
007	Amelia	50.0%	5.50	72	\$8.57	3.89	24	52.6%	5.26	102	4.88	88
009	Amherst	65.0%	7.15	17	\$7.97	2.82	70	68.6%	6.86	59	5.61	36
011	Appomattox	50.0%	5.50	73	\$8.08	3.01	60	77.1%	7.71	33	5.41	49
013	Arlington	45.7%	5.03	94	\$8.43	3.64	35	66.2%	6.62	71	5.10	75
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	68.4%	7.53	10	\$7.94	2.76	76	63.5%	6.35	81	5.55	41
017	Bath	0.0%	0.00	118	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.00	119
019	Bedford County/City	54.1%	5.95	57	\$7.83	2.57	87	63.8%	6.38	79	4.97	84
021	Bland	33.3%	3.67	112	\$8.00	2.87	68	50.0%	5.00	105	3.85	117
023	Botetourt	60.0%	6.60	30	\$8.11	3.07	55	40.0%	4.00	114	4.56	103
025	Brunswick	40.6%	4.47	107	\$7.56	2.09	110	86.2%	8.62	11	5.06	78
027	Buchanan	43.1%	4.74	102	\$7.80	2.51	91	89.3%	8.93	9	5.39	50
029	Buckingham	46.4%	5.11	90	\$8.48	3.73	29	52.9%	5.29	100	4.71	95
031	Campbell	50.0%	5.50	74	\$8.25	3.32	45	68.8%	6.88	58	5.23	61
033	Caroline	48.6%	5.35	80	\$8.06	2.98	64	89.7%	8.97	8	5.77	28
035	Carroll	47.9%	5.27	82	\$7.66	2.26	106	69.2%	6.92	56	4.82	93
036	Charles City	50.0%	5.50	75	\$8.76	4.22	15	100.0%	10.00	1	6.57	7
037	Charlotte	33.3%	3.67	113	\$8.19	3.21	48	81.8%	8.18	18	5.02	81
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	51.1%	5.62	67	\$8.78	4.26	13	80.2%	8.02	22	5.97	22
043	Clarke	50.0%	5.50	76	\$12.00	10.00	1	0.0%	0.00	117	5.17	70
045	Craig	33.3%	3.67	114	\$9.00	4.65	11	N/A	N/A	N/A	4.16	113
047	Culpeper	61.4%	6.76	28	\$8.39	3.57	36	79.3%	7.93	28	6.08	17
049	Cumberland	44.8%	4.93	97	\$8.46	3.69	33	65.2%	6.52	73	5.05	80
051	Dickensen	69.6%	7.65	8	\$7.59	2.14	109	80.0%	8.00	23	5.93	26
053	Dinwiddie	63.2%	6.95	25	\$8.27	3.35	42	66.7%	6.67	66	5.66	31
057	Essex	60.7%	6.68	29	\$8.64	4.01	19	61.9%	6.19	87	5.63	34
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	50.6%	5.56	69	\$9.50	5.54	4	76.9%	7.69	36	6.27	13
061	Fauquier	63.0%	6.93	27	\$8.57	3.89	25	83.8%	8.38	14	6.40	11
063	Floyd	69.2%	7.62	9	\$9.06	4.76	10	41.7%	4.17	110	5.51	43
065	Fluvanna	55.6%	6.11	50	\$9.36	5.29	8	83.3%	8.33	16	6.58	6
067	Franklin County	39.1%	4.30	109	\$8.13	3.10	53	62.7%	6.27	84	4.56	102
069	Frederick	56.7%	6.24	47	\$8.71	4.14	17	65.0%	6.50	75	5.62	35
071	Giles	90.9%	10.00	1	\$7.44	1.87	114	41.2%	4.12	111	5.33	58
073	Gloucester	73.5%	8.09	3	\$7.42	1.84	115	41.2%	4.12	112	4.68	97
075	Goochland	41.7%	4.58	105	\$7.80	2.51	92	76.9%	7.69	35	4.93	86
077	Grayson	60.0%	6.60	31	\$7.75	2.42	97	71.4%	7.14	49	5.39	51

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	73.3%	8.07	4	\$8.19	3.21	49	73.3%	7.33	45	6.20	14
081	Greensville/Emporia	47.4%	5.22	85	\$7.69	2.32	102	80.7%	8.07	21	5.20	65
083	Halifax	33.3%	3.67	115	\$7.92	2.73	78	59.4%	5.94	92	4.11	115
085	Hanover	55.8%	6.14	49	\$8.51	3.78	27	81.1%	8.11	20	6.01	20
087	Henrico	51.4%	5.66	65	\$8.54	3.83	26	76.2%	7.62	39	5.70	30
089	Henry/Martinsville	47.2%	5.19	87	\$7.91	2.71	81	57.9%	5.79	94	4.56	101
091	Highland	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
093	Isle of Wight	44.4%	4.89	98	\$7.87	2.64	83	51.5%	5.15	104	4.23	112
095	James City	65.0%	7.15	18	\$8.38	3.55	37	64.8%	6.48	76	5.73	29
097	King and Queen	33.3%	3.67	116	\$9.50	5.54	5	40.0%	4.00	115	4.40	107
099	King George	59.3%	6.52	37	\$8.77	4.24	14	50.0%	5.00	106	5.25	60
101	King William	45.0%	4.95	96	\$8.67	4.06	18	64.7%	6.47	77	5.16	71
103	Lancaster	66.7%	7.33	13	\$7.97	2.82	71	66.7%	6.67	67	5.61	37
105	Lee	50.4%	5.54	70	\$7.88	2.66	82	74.4%	7.44	42	5.21	63
107	Loudoun	52.8%	5.81	60	\$10.66	7.61	2	83.2%	8.32	17	7.25	1
109	Louisa	70.6%	7.76	6	\$8.59	3.92	22	79.4%	7.94	27	6.54	8
111	Lunenburg	45.5%	5.00	95	\$7.97	2.82	72	52.4%	5.24	103	4.35	110
113	Madison	57.1%	6.29	45	\$7.69	2.32	103	16.7%	1.67	116	3.42	118
115	Mathews	58.3%	6.42	40	\$8.26	3.33	43	100.0%	10.00	2	6.58	5
117	Mecklenburg	41.9%	4.60	104	\$8.07	2.99	62	54.3%	5.43	99	4.34	111
119	Middlesex	76.0%	8.36	2	\$7.53	2.03	113	96.8%	9.68	7	6.69	3
121	Montgomery	71.8%	7.90	5	\$8.30	3.40	40	69.5%	6.95	55	6.08	18
125	Nelson	63.6%	7.00	22	\$7.61	2.17	107	52.9%	5.29	101	4.82	92
127	New Kent	44.4%	4.89	99	\$6.39	0.00	117	75.0%	7.50	41	4.13	114
131	Northampton	59.4%	6.53	36	\$7.83	2.57	88	100.0%	10.00	3	6.37	12
133	Northumberland	66.7%	7.33	14	\$8.82	4.33	12	66.7%	6.67	68	6.11	16
135	Nottoway	53.3%	5.87	59	\$7.76	2.44	95	78.0%	7.80	29	5.37	55
137	Orange	52.2%	5.74	61	\$8.44	3.65	34	100.0%	10.00	4	6.46	10
139	Page	68.0%	7.48	11	\$8.47	3.71	32	48.2%	4.82	108	5.33	57
141	Patrick	49.1%	5.40	79	\$7.70	2.34	100	67.5%	6.75	63	4.83	91
143	Pittsylvania	46.7%	5.13	89	\$8.09	3.03	57	40.5%	4.05	113	4.07	116
145	Powhatan	46.2%	5.08	93	\$8.38	3.55	38	60.0%	6.00	89	4.87	89
147	Prince Edward	47.1%	5.19	88	\$8.05	2.96	66	65.1%	6.51	74	4.89	87
149	Prince George	57.1%	6.29	46	\$8.10	3.05	56	55.2%	5.52	98	4.95	85
153	Prince William	55.1%	6.06	52	\$9.11	4.85	9	75.1%	7.51	40	6.14	15
155	Pulaski	64.3%	7.07	20	\$7.82	2.55	90	56.3%	5.63	96	5.08	76
157	Rappahannock	0.0%	0.00	119	N/A	N/A	N/A	100.0%	10.00	5	5.00	83
159	Richmond County	60.0%	6.60	32	\$8.62	3.98	20	50.0%	5.00	107	5.19	66
161	Roanoke County	48.6%	5.34	81	\$7.84	2.58	86	60.9%	6.09	88	4.67	99
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	60.0%	6.60	33	\$8.48	3.73	30	58.3%	5.83	93	5.39	52
165	Rockingham/H'burg	66.7%	7.33	15	\$8.58	3.90	23	69.5%	6.95	54	6.06	19
167	Russell	38.5%	4.23	110	\$7.80	2.51	93	67.4%	6.74	64	4.49	105
169	Scott	52.2%	5.74	62	\$7.76	2.44	96	85.7%	8.57	12	5.58	39
171	Shenandoah	60.0%	6.60	34	\$8.19	3.21	50	80.0%	8.00	24	5.94	24

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	54.8%	6.02	<b>55</b>	\$7.56	2.09	<b>111</b>	59.6%	5.96	<b>91</b>	4.69	<b>96</b>
175	<i>Southampton</i>	51.4%	5.66	<b>66</b>	\$7.95	2.78	<b>73</b>	85.0%	8.50	<b>13</b>	5.65	<b>32</b>
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	57.6%	6.34	<b>43</b>	\$8.06	2.98	<b>65</b>	63.7%	6.37	<b>80</b>	5.23	<b>62</b>
179	<i>Stafford</i>	52.1%	5.73	<b>63</b>	\$9.65	5.81	<b>3</b>	80.0%	8.00	<b>25</b>	6.51	<b>9</b>
181	<i>Surry</i>	35.7%	3.93	<b>111</b>	\$7.68	2.30	<b>104</b>	77.8%	7.78	<b>30</b>	4.67	<b>100</b>
183	<i>Sussex</i>	40.0%	4.40	<b>108</b>	\$7.67	2.28	<b>105</b>	76.5%	7.65	<b>37</b>	4.78	<b>94</b>
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	63.2%	6.95	<b>24</b>	\$7.86	2.62	<b>84</b>	77.3%	7.73	<b>32</b>	5.77	<b>27</b>
187	<i>Warren</i>	64.9%	7.14	<b>19</b>	\$7.83	2.57	<b>89</b>	81.5%	8.15	<b>19</b>	5.95	<b>23</b>
191	<i>Washington</i>	56.6%	6.23	<b>48</b>	\$7.70	2.34	<b>101</b>	68.2%	6.82	<b>61</b>	5.13	<b>72</b>
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	47.4%	5.21	<b>86</b>	\$7.93	2.75	<b>77</b>	70.6%	7.06	<b>51</b>	5.00	<b>82</b>
195	<i>Wise</i>	58.8%	6.47	<b>38</b>	\$7.99	2.85	<b>69</b>	67.3%	6.73	<b>65</b>	5.35	<b>56</b>
197	<i>Wythe</i>	67.7%	7.45	<b>12</b>	\$7.55	2.07	<b>112</b>	70.0%	7.00	<b>52</b>	5.51	<b>44</b>
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	43.8%	4.82	<b>100</b>	\$8.07	2.99	<b>63</b>	67.9%	6.79	<b>62</b>	4.87	<b>90</b>
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	50.0%	5.50	<b>77</b>	\$9.49	5.53	<b>6</b>	87.3%	8.73	<b>10</b>	6.58	<b>4</b>
520	<i>Bristol</i>	43.4%	4.77	<b>101</b>	\$7.86	2.62	<b>85</b>	62.5%	6.25	<b>85</b>	4.55	<b>104</b>
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	50.3%	5.54	<b>71</b>	\$8.61	3.96	<b>21</b>	74.2%	7.42	<b>43</b>	5.64	<b>33</b>
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	55.0%	6.05	<b>53</b>	\$8.08	3.01	<b>61</b>	72.1%	7.21	<b>48</b>	5.42	<b>48</b>
590	<i>Danville</i>	41.1%	4.52	<b>106</b>	\$8.09	3.03	<b>58</b>	56.5%	5.65	<b>95</b>	4.40	<b>108</b>
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	53.7%	5.91	<b>58</b>	\$8.26	3.33	<b>44</b>	71.1%	7.11	<b>50</b>	5.45	<b>47</b>
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	57.5%	6.32	<b>44</b>	\$8.48	3.73	<b>31</b>	63.3%	6.33	<b>82</b>	5.46	<b>46</b>
640	<i>Galax</i>	63.3%	6.97	<b>23</b>	\$7.73	2.39	<b>98</b>	46.7%	4.67	<b>109</b>	4.67	<b>98</b>
650	<i>Hampton</i>	47.8%	5.26	<b>83</b>	\$8.09	3.03	<b>59</b>	76.4%	7.64	<b>38</b>	5.31	<b>59</b>
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	42.7%	4.69	<b>103</b>	\$7.73	2.39	<b>99</b>	62.8%	6.28	<b>83</b>	4.45	<b>106</b>
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	51.9%	5.71	<b>64</b>	\$8.04	2.94	<b>67</b>	68.8%	6.88	<b>57</b>	5.18	<b>69</b>
683	<i>Manassas</i>	50.0%	5.50	<b>78</b>	\$9.37	5.31	<b>7</b>	60.0%	6.00	<b>90</b>	5.60	<b>38</b>
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	14.3%	1.57	<b>117</b>	\$7.29	1.60	<b>116</b>	100.0%	10.00	<b>6</b>	4.39	<b>109</b>
700	<i>Newport News</i>	54.9%	6.04	<b>54</b>	\$8.17	3.17	<b>51</b>	74.0%	7.40	<b>44</b>	5.54	<b>42</b>
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	55.2%	6.07	<b>51</b>	\$7.92	2.73	<b>79</b>	77.0%	7.70	<b>34</b>	5.50	<b>45</b>
720	<i>Norton</i>	57.9%	6.37	<b>42</b>	\$7.60	2.16	<b>108</b>	66.7%	6.67	<b>69</b>	5.06	<b>77</b>
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	50.8%	5.59	<b>68</b>	\$8.25	3.32	<b>46</b>	72.5%	7.25	<b>47</b>	5.38	<b>53</b>
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	46.4%	5.10	<b>91</b>	\$7.95	2.78	<b>74</b>	72.9%	7.29	<b>46</b>	5.06	<b>79</b>
750	<i>Radford</i>	58.3%	6.42	<b>41</b>	\$7.92	2.73	<b>80</b>	64.3%	6.43	<b>78</b>	5.19	<b>67</b>
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	47.5%	5.23	<b>84</b>	\$8.13	3.10	<b>54</b>	69.7%	6.97	<b>53</b>	5.10	<b>74</b>
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	54.2%	5.96	<b>56</b>	\$8.33	3.46	<b>39</b>	62.0%	6.20	<b>86</b>	5.21	<b>64</b>
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	63.0%	6.93	<b>26</b>	\$8.14	3.12	<b>52</b>	77.6%	7.76	<b>31</b>	5.94	<b>25</b>
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	60.0%	6.60	<b>35</b>	\$8.20	3.23	<b>47</b>	68.2%	6.82	<b>60</b>	5.55	<b>40</b>
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	65.2%	7.17	<b>16</b>	\$7.95	2.78	<b>75</b>	80.0%	8.00	<b>26</b>	5.98	<b>21</b>
840	<i>Winchester</i>	46.3%	5.10	<b>92</b>	\$8.51	3.78	<b>28</b>	66.7%	6.67	<b>70</b>	5.18	<b>68</b>

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores is done to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception of VIEW

*This section lists the relative cumulative rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Monthly Earnings, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	51.3%	1.59	116	\$864	2.96	93	65.3%	5.81	49	3.46	107
003	Albemarle	71.8%	7.31	13	\$1,014	5.99	20	57.8%	4.09	98	5.80	27
005	Alleghany/Covington	69.8%	6.75	21	\$847	2.62	101	57.9%	4.11	96	4.49	79
007	Amelia	60.7%	4.20	86	\$960	4.90	42	63.6%	5.42	60	4.84	67
009	Amherst	66.6%	5.86	50	\$879	3.26	84	59.5%	4.47	83	4.53	78
011	Appomattox	69.7%	6.73	25	\$881	3.31	82	56.2%	3.70	104	4.58	76
013	Arlington	71.2%	7.15	15	\$1,072	7.15	8	69.1%	6.71	22	7.00	6
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	67.3%	6.06	45	\$964	4.98	38	67.0%	6.22	37	5.75	29
017	Bath	62.7%	4.78	81	\$717	0.00	120	61.3%	4.89	72	3.22	114
019	Bedford County/City	64.8%	5.35	65	\$861	2.91	94	60.2%	4.63	77	4.30	88
021	Bland	59.0%	3.74	96	\$874	3.17	88	56.0%	3.66	105	3.52	106
023	Botetourt	45.7%	0.00	120	\$936	4.42	55	54.8%	3.38	107	2.60	118
025	Brunswick	56.6%	3.07	109	\$831	2.30	111	67.5%	6.33	34	3.90	100
027	Buchanan	53.3%	2.15	114	\$843	2.54	103	64.5%	5.64	52	3.44	108
029	Buckingham	56.7%	3.08	108	\$956	4.82	45	59.9%	4.58	80	4.16	92
031	Campbell	68.9%	6.49	34	\$902	3.74	74	63.6%	5.42	59	5.22	53
033	Caroline	60.5%	4.14	88	\$964	4.99	37	58.6%	4.27	90	4.47	80
035	Carroll	66.6%	5.86	51	\$834	2.36	109	54.1%	3.23	110	3.82	101
036	Charles City	57.3%	3.24	106	\$960	4.90	40	50.9%	2.48	116	3.54	105
037	Charlotte	51.4%	1.60	115	\$921	4.11	64	57.4%	4.00	101	3.24	113
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	63.2%	4.89	74	\$1,035	6.42	13	69.0%	6.69	24	6.00	22
043	Clarke	57.9%	3.42	102	\$1,005	5.82	22	59.4%	4.46	85	4.57	77
045	Craig	50.0%	1.21	118	\$1,053	6.77	11	59.3%	4.42	86	4.13	93
047	Culpeper	64.7%	5.33	66	\$975	5.21	31	66.3%	6.05	44	5.53	36
049	Cumberland	57.7%	3.37	104	\$957	4.84	44	58.1%	4.15	94	4.12	94
051	Dickenson	60.3%	4.10	89	\$840	2.47	106	54.6%	3.33	108	3.30	111
053	Dinwiddie	65.7%	5.60	57	\$973	5.17	34	57.7%	4.06	99	4.94	62
057	Essex	66.6%	5.85	52	\$875	3.18	87	48.9%	2.02	117	3.68	104
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	67.1%	6.00	46	\$1,166	9.06	3	69.4%	6.77	18	7.28	5
061	Fauquier	69.0%	6.53	33	\$1,035	6.42	14	68.5%	6.57	28	6.51	11
063	Floyd	65.9%	5.67	54	\$944	4.57	51	53.7%	3.14	111	4.46	81
065	Fluvanna	63.3%	4.92	73	\$1,064	7.01	9	62.6%	5.20	67	5.71	32
067	Franklin County	61.9%	4.53	83	\$951	4.71	47	57.5%	4.02	100	4.42	83
069	Frederick	57.3%	3.25	105	\$1,020	6.12	16	60.8%	4.78	74	4.72	71
071	Giles	59.0%	3.72	98	\$955	4.81	46	52.4%	2.83	114	3.78	103
073	Gloucester	65.1%	5.42	62	\$854	2.77	98	57.3%	3.96	102	4.05	95
075	Goochland	66.5%	5.83	53	\$925	4.19	60	64.7%	5.68	50	5.23	52
077	Grayson	65.6%	5.57	58	\$861	2.90	95	61.1%	4.86	73	4.44	82

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	75.9%	8.46	4	\$913	3.95	68	55.8%	3.63	106	5.35	44
081	Greensville/Emporia	59.1%	3.75	95	\$826	2.20	112	65.4%	5.85	47	3.93	98
083	Halifax	55.9%	2.87	110	\$847	2.63	100	58.7%	4.30	89	3.27	112
085	Hanover	64.2%	5.18	68	\$1,053	6.78	10	66.6%	6.13	40	6.03	21
087	Henrico	67.5%	6.12	43	\$1,024	6.20	15	66.5%	6.10	42	6.14	20
089	Henry/Martinsville	63.6%	5.01	71	\$943	4.55	52	64.1%	5.54	55	5.03	59
091	Highland	75.0%	8.20	5	\$784	1.34	118	83.3%	10.00	1	6.51	10
093	Isle of Wight	68.0%	6.23	40	\$916	4.02	66	59.1%	4.38	88	4.88	65
095	James City	69.3%	6.61	32	\$920	4.10	65	59.9%	4.56	81	5.09	58
097	King and Queen	50.5%	1.36	117	\$882	3.33	80	45.9%	1.32	119	2.00	120
099	King George	58.8%	3.67	99	\$924	4.18	61	58.1%	4.14	95	4.00	96
101	King William	59.3%	3.82	92	\$987	5.45	27	60.1%	4.62	79	4.63	74
103	Lancaster	65.9%	5.65	55	\$865	2.98	92	52.3%	2.80	115	3.81	102
105	Lee	65.1%	5.42	61	\$799	1.66	117	72.2%	7.42	7	4.84	68
107	Loudoun	76.5%	8.63	3	\$1,213	10.00	1	72.1%	7.39	9	8.67	1
109	Louisa	62.8%	4.78	79	\$984	5.38	29	63.0%	5.28	64	5.15	56
111	Lunenburg	48.4%	0.78	119	\$820	2.08	114	60.8%	4.77	75	2.54	119
113	Madison	60.5%	4.15	87	\$1,003	5.78	23	60.3%	4.65	76	4.86	66
115	Mathews	64.9%	5.38	63	\$912	3.94	69	69.2%	6.73	19	5.35	43
117	Mecklenburg	65.7%	5.61	56	\$842	2.52	104	67.2%	6.25	36	4.79	70
119	Middlesex	68.0%	6.24	39	\$913	3.96	67	72.2%	7.41	8	5.87	24
121	Montgomery	78.0%	9.04	2	\$945	4.59	50	63.7%	5.45	57	6.36	13
125	Nelson	61.7%	4.49	84	\$1,019	6.09	18	62.7%	5.22	66	5.26	48
127	New Kent	55.1%	2.65	111	\$1,018	6.08	19	71.9%	7.34	10	5.36	42
131	Northampton	64.4%	5.23	67	\$849	2.66	99	80.2%	9.28	2	5.73	30
133	Northumberland	56.8%	3.12	107	\$860	2.88	96	52.9%	2.96	113	2.99	116
135	Nottoway	66.7%	5.90	49	\$905	3.80	73	69.4%	6.78	16	5.49	37
137	Orange	71.5%	7.21	14	\$965	5.01	35	60.1%	4.63	78	5.62	33
139	Page	69.6%	6.69	28	\$995	5.61	25	59.4%	4.46	84	5.59	34
141	Patrick	67.4%	6.09	44	\$823	2.14	113	74.4%	7.92	6	5.38	40
143	Pittsylvania	53.8%	2.28	113	\$876	3.20	86	59.5%	4.48	82	3.32	109
145	Powhatan	55.0%	2.61	112	\$975	5.20	32	62.8%	5.25	65	4.36	86
147	Prince Edward	69.7%	6.72	26	\$888	3.45	78	68.0%	6.44	31	5.54	35
149	Prince George	60.0%	4.00	91	\$1,003	5.77	24	58.1%	4.16	93	4.64	72
153	Prince William	71.9%	7.33	11	\$1,195	9.65	2	70.4%	7.01	13	8.00	2
155	Pulaski	63.6%	5.01	72	\$948	4.67	49	53.5%	3.09	112	4.25	89
157	Rappahannock	59.2%	3.77	94	\$950	4.71	48	46.3%	1.43	118	3.30	110
159	Richmond County	61.3%	4.37	85	\$929	4.28	58	40.2%	0.00	120	2.88	117
161	Roanoke County	74.0%	7.91	7	\$1,038	6.48	12	57.9%	4.10	97	6.16	19
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	70.4%	6.91	17	\$870	3.09	90	66.6%	6.12	41	5.37	41
165	Rockingham/H'burg	73.2%	7.70	10	\$986	5.42	28	67.7%	6.38	32	6.50	12
167	Russell	60.1%	4.05	90	\$857	2.83	97	66.9%	6.18	38	4.35	87
169	Scott	57.8%	3.39	103	\$889	3.47	77	77.0%	8.54	5	5.13	57
171	Shenandoah	59.2%	3.79	93	\$939	4.49	53	69.2%	6.73	20	5.00	60

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	58.2%	3.51	<b>100</b>	\$867	3.03	<b>91</b>	63.1%	5.31	<b>63</b>	3.95	<b>97</b>
175	<i>Southampton</i>	57.9%	3.42	<b>101</b>	\$835	2.37	<b>108</b>	54.4%	3.30	<b>109</b>	3.03	<b>115</b>
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	68.5%	6.39	<b>36</b>	\$1,020	6.12	<b>17</b>	67.7%	6.38	<b>33</b>	6.29	<b>15</b>
179	<i>Stafford</i>	59.0%	3.73	<b>97</b>	\$1,140	8.52	<b>4</b>	68.7%	6.61	<b>27</b>	6.29	<b>16</b>
181	<i>Surry</i>	69.7%	6.71	<b>27</b>	\$881	3.30	<b>83</b>	57.1%	3.91	<b>103</b>	4.64	<b>73</b>
183	<i>Sussex</i>	65.2%	5.47	<b>60</b>	\$960	4.90	<b>41</b>	63.2%	5.34	<b>61</b>	5.24	<b>51</b>
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	67.0%	5.97	<b>47</b>	\$818	2.04	<b>115</b>	65.4%	5.84	<b>48</b>	4.62	<b>75</b>
187	<i>Warren</i>	67.6%	6.15	<b>41</b>	\$1,010	5.91	<b>21</b>	69.0%	6.67	<b>26</b>	6.24	<b>18</b>
191	<i>Washington</i>	62.9%	4.82	<b>76</b>	\$898	3.65	<b>75</b>	58.3%	4.19	<b>92</b>	4.22	<b>91</b>
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	62.8%	4.80	<b>77</b>	\$926	4.21	<b>59</b>	64.7%	5.67	<b>51</b>	4.89	<b>64</b>
195	<i>Wise</i>	62.7%	4.78	<b>80</b>	\$832	2.32	<b>110</b>	66.5%	6.10	<b>43</b>	4.40	<b>84</b>
197	<i>Wythe</i>	67.0%	5.97	<b>48</b>	\$846	2.60	<b>102</b>	66.7%	6.14	<b>39</b>	4.90	<b>63</b>
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	62.2%	4.63	<b>82</b>	\$897	3.62	<b>76</b>	59.3%	4.42	<b>87</b>	4.22	<b>90</b>
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	70.0%	6.79	<b>20</b>	\$1,082	7.37	<b>7</b>	77.5%	8.64	<b>4</b>	7.60	<b>3</b>
520	<i>Bristol</i>	67.6%	6.14	<b>42</b>	\$876	3.20	<b>85</b>	62.0%	5.05	<b>69</b>	4.80	<b>69</b>
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	81.4%	10.00	<b>1</b>	\$922	4.14	<b>62</b>	65.9%	5.96	<b>45</b>	6.70	<b>7</b>
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	71.8%	7.31	<b>12</b>	\$938	4.45	<b>54</b>	64.3%	5.58	<b>53</b>	5.78	<b>28</b>
590	<i>Danville</i>	63.1%	4.88	<b>75</b>	\$810	1.88	<b>116</b>	61.6%	4.95	<b>71</b>	3.90	<b>99</b>
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	64.0%	5.14	<b>70</b>	\$840	2.49	<b>105</b>	64.1%	5.53	<b>56</b>	4.39	<b>85</b>
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	74.5%	8.05	<b>6</b>	\$994	5.58	<b>26</b>	65.8%	5.94	<b>46</b>	6.53	<b>9</b>
640	<i>Galax</i>	62.8%	4.79	<b>78</b>	\$885	3.40	<b>79</b>	69.0%	6.68	<b>25</b>	4.96	<b>61</b>
650	<i>Hampton</i>	69.6%	6.69	<b>29</b>	\$921	4.12	<b>63</b>	63.6%	5.42	<b>58</b>	5.41	<b>38</b>
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	68.2%	6.30	<b>38</b>	\$932	4.34	<b>57</b>	63.1%	5.32	<b>62</b>	5.32	<b>46</b>
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	69.5%	6.67	<b>30</b>	\$837	2.43	<b>107</b>	68.4%	6.55	<b>29</b>	5.21	<b>54</b>
683	<i>Manassas</i>	64.1%	5.14	<b>69</b>	\$1,109	7.90	<b>5</b>	70.0%	6.91	<b>15</b>	6.65	<b>8</b>
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	68.4%	6.37	<b>37</b>	\$1,098	7.68	<b>6</b>	77.8%	8.73	<b>3</b>	7.59	<b>4</b>
700	<i>Newport News</i>	70.0%	6.80	<b>19</b>	\$936	4.42	<b>56</b>	69.2%	6.72	<b>21</b>	5.98	<b>23</b>
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	69.8%	6.74	<b>24</b>	\$871	3.10	<b>89</b>	67.5%	6.33	<b>35</b>	5.39	<b>39</b>
720	<i>Norton</i>	73.7%	7.85	<b>8</b>	\$754	0.75	<b>119</b>	71.1%	7.16	<b>12</b>	5.25	<b>49</b>
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	70.4%	6.90	<b>18</b>	\$965	5.00	<b>36</b>	70.2%	6.95	<b>14</b>	6.29	<b>17</b>
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	70.5%	6.94	<b>16</b>	\$907	3.84	<b>71</b>	69.4%	6.78	<b>17</b>	5.85	<b>25</b>
750	<i>Radford</i>	69.8%	6.74	<b>23</b>	\$911	3.91	<b>70</b>	61.6%	4.96	<b>70</b>	5.20	<b>55</b>
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	69.8%	6.74	<b>22</b>	\$960	4.91	<b>39</b>	71.6%	7.28	<b>11</b>	6.31	<b>14</b>
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	64.8%	5.36	<b>64</b>	\$977	5.25	<b>30</b>	62.2%	5.11	<b>68</b>	5.24	<b>50</b>
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	73.3%	7.72	<b>9</b>	\$882	3.32	<b>81</b>	68.2%	6.48	<b>30</b>	5.84	<b>26</b>
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	65.5%	5.54	<b>59</b>	\$959	4.88	<b>43</b>	69.1%	6.71	<b>23</b>	5.71	<b>31</b>
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	69.4%	6.64	<b>31</b>	\$906	3.82	<b>72</b>	64.2%	5.56	<b>54</b>	5.34	<b>45</b>
840	<i>Winchester</i>	68.6%	6.43	<b>35</b>	\$975	5.20	<b>33</b>	58.5%	4.25	<b>91</b>	5.29	<b>47</b>

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores was begun in May 1999 to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

## State Summary July 1, 2013 – July 31, 2013

	State	ED 1	ED 2	ED 3	ED 4	ED 5	ED 6	ED 7	ED 8	ED 9	ED 10	ED 11	ED 12	ED 13	ED 14	ED 15	ED 16	ED 17	ED 18
<b><u>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></u></b>																			
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></u></b>																			
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></u></b>																			
# Over 30 Days	55	2	0	1	0	0	4	3	0	1	0	1	21	11	4	0	3	4	0
Average # of Days Over 30	56	9	0	11	0	0	15	37	0	4	0	39	102	24	89	0	11	17	0

## EDD1 – Southwest Region: July 1, 2013 - July 31, 2013

	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
<b><u>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></u></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></u></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></u></b>									
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	9	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	11

## EDD2 - Bristol-Galax Region: July 1, 2013 - July 31, 2013

	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>									
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD3 - Roanoke Region: July 1, 2013 - July 31, 2013

	Total EDD3	Alleghny/ Covington	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>												
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>												
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>												
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	11	0	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: July 1, 2013 - July 31, 2013

	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton /W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge/ BV/Lex	Rockingham/ HBurg
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD5 & EDD18 - Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: July 1, 2013 - July 31, 2013

	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>										
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: July 1, 2013 - July 31, 2013

	<i>Total EDD6</i>	<i>Arlington</i>	<i>Fairfax</i>	<i>Loudoun</i>	<i>Prince William</i>	<i>Alexandria</i>	<i>Manassas</i>	<i>Manassas Park</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>								
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>								
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>								
# Over 30 Days	4	0	0	1	2	0	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	15	0	0	7	21	0	13	0

## EDD7 - Culpeper Region: July 1, 2013 - July 31, 2013

	<i>Total EDD7</i>	<i>Culpeper</i>	<i>Fauquier</i>	<i>Madison</i>	<i>Orange</i>	<i>Rapp.</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	3	0	0	0	0	3
Average # of Days Over 30	37	0	0	0	0	37

## EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: July 1, 2013 - July 31, 2013

	<i>Total EDD8</i>	<i>Albemarle</i>	<i>Fluvanna</i>	<i>Greene</i>	<i>Louisa</i>	<i>Nelson</i>	<i>Cville</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: July 1, 2013 - July 31, 2013

	<i>Total EDD9</i>	<i>Amherst</i>	<i>Appom.</i>	<i>Bedford</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Lynchburg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	1	0	1	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	4	0	4	0	0	0

## EDD10 - Danville Region: July 1, 2013 - July 31, 2013

	<i>Total EDD10</i>	<i>Halifax</i>	<i>Henry/ Martinsville</i>	<i>Patrick</i>	<i>Pittsylvania</i>	<i>Danville</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD11 – Southside Region: July 1, 2013 - July 31, 2013

	<i>Total EDD11</i>	<i>Amelia</i>	<i>Brunswick</i>	<i>Buckingham</i>	<i>Charlotte</i>	<i>Cumberland</i>	<i>Gr'nsville Emporia</i>	<i>Lunenburg</i>	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	<i>Nottoway</i>	<i>Pr. Edward</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>											
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>											
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>											
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	39	0	0	0	0	39	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD12 - Richmond Region: July 1, 2013 - July 31, 2013

	<i>Total EDD12</i>	<i>Chesterfield/ Col Hgts</i>	<i>Goochland</i>	<i>Hanover</i>	<i>Henrico</i>	<i>Powhatan</i>	<i>Richmond City</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	21	3	0	3	12	0	3
Average # of Days Over 30	102	70	0	73	132	0	41

## EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: July 1, 2013 - July 31, 2013

	<i>Total EDD13</i>	<i>Caroline</i>	<i>King George</i>	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	<i>Stafford</i>	<i>Fredericksburg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	11	6	0	5	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	24	32	0	14	0	0

## EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: July 1, 2013 - July 31, 2013

	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North- umberland	Richmond County	West- moreland
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>										
# Over 30 Days	4	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	89	0	0	0	0	0	22.33	0	287	0

## EDD15 - Peninsula Region: July 1, 2013 - July 31, 2013

	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>									
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD16 - Petersburg Region: July 1, 2013 - July 31, 2013

	<i>Total EDD16</i>	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	<i>Prince George</i>	<i>Surry</i>	<i>Sussex</i>	<i>Hopewell</i>	<i>Petersburg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	3	0	0	0	0	1	2
Average # of Days Over 30	11	0	0	0	0	17	9

## EDD17 - Tidewater Region: July 1, 2013 - July 31, 2013

	<i>Total EDD17</i>	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	<i>South- hampton</i>	<i>Chesapeake</i>	<i>Franklin City</i>	<i>Norfolk</i>	<i>Portsmouth</i>	<i>Suffolk</i>	<i>VA Beach</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>									
# Over 30 Days	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
Average # of Days Over 30	17	0	0	0	0	0	16	0	18

## *Child Support Enforcement - Statewide Totals*

### *Collections*

	<i>Jul-12</i>	<i>Jul-13</i>	<i>% Change</i>
TANF Cases Collected	14,611	15,031	2.9%
NTANF Cases Collected	130,968	132,477	1.2%
Total Cases Collected	145,579	147,508	1.3%
TANF Collections	\$2,625,574	\$2,783,677	6.0%
NTANF Collections	\$50,846,292	\$52,529,103	3.3%
Total Collections	\$53,471,866	\$55,312,780	3.4%
SFY YTD Collections	\$53,471,866	\$55,312,780	3.4%
SFY YTD Average Collection	\$367.30	\$374.98	2.1%

### *Paternities Established*

	<i>Jul-13</i>
TANF Paternities	182
NTANF Paternities	371
Combined Paternities	553

# *Diversionsary Assistance*

## *July Diversionsary Assistance Cases*

<b>Locality</b>	<b>Number of Cases</b>		<b>Locality</b>	<b>Number of Cases</b>
Alleghany / Covington	1		Pittsylvania	3
Bath	1		Prince William	3
Buckingham	2		Roanoke County	5
Chesterfield/Colonial Hgts	4		Shenandoah	15
Culpeper	6		Smyth	1
Cumberland	1		Spotsylvania	4
Dinwiddie	1		Warren	1
Fairfax / Falls Church	1		York / Poquoson	1
Floyd	1		Bristol	2
Fluvanna	1		Charlottesville	1
Frederick	1		Chesapeake	2
Gloucester	1		Franklin City	2
Grayson	1		Fredericksburg	1
Greene	2		Hampton	9
Henrico	5		Hopewell	4
Henry / Martinsville	1		Lynchburg	3
Isle of Wight	2		Newport News	14
King and Queen	1		Norfolk	10
Loudoun	3		Petersburg	2
Lunenburg	1		Portsmouth	1
Mathews	1		Richmond City	3
Montgomery	3		Roanoke City	2
Nottoway	2		Suffolk	7
Orange	2		Virginia Beach	6
Patrick	1		Winchester	2
			Statewide	150

## *Definitions of Selected Terms Contained in Report*

**Average Monthly Earnings.** This is the average monthly earnings of the unsubsidized jobs that participants obtained. The value is calculated by multiplying the hourly wage by the weekly hours worked by 4.3 average weeks per month. For the cumulative figures the initial wage and initial hours are used. For the current figures, the initial wage and most current hours are used, except for the November 2001 report which used initial hours. Earlier than June 1999, wage changes that were entered overwrote the initial wage, so prior to that date, the cumulative figures used the initial hours and the last wage entered. With the advent of the VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) program in October 2006 the end of month average monthly earnings might be expected to decline somewhat because prior to VTP, cases with high earnings might remain open for job follow-up, but after the implementation of VTP the VIEW case would be closed when the TANF case was closed and a VTP case opened. VTP cases are not included in this report except for estimating the participation rate.

**Average Wage.** The average of the wages reported for each occurrence of unsubsidized employment. After June 1999 the wage is the wage at hiring, that is, the initial wage. Prior to June 1999, that wage may have been updated if changed during employment. After the implementation of the VIEW Transitional Payment program the end of month point in time average wage might be expected to decline some for the same reason mentioned in the explanation of possible decline in Average Monthly earnings, above. See note 6 on page 44.

**Cases Closed with Employment.** These are TANF or TANF-UP cases that are closed with an indication in the data base of employment at the date of closure. It does not mean that the case necessarily closed because of employment. For example, a TANF case may have an indication of closing at the client's request, along with indication of existing employment in VIEW. That case is counted as closed with employment.

**EDD.** This stands for Economic Development District. EDDs were developed during the administration of Governor Allen. They generally are no longer in use. This report continues to refer to them because VIEW was phased in by EDD and their use provides consistency over time.

**Enrolled.** Unduplicated number by locality of TANF recipients who were interviewed, received an individual assessment, signed the Agreement of Personal Responsibility, and enrolled in the VIEW program. Because the count is by locality, a recipient may be counted once in more than one locality. The fiscal year to date numbers include those who were enrolled at the beginning of the fiscal year as well as those enrolled during the year. There are clients enrolled in VIEW who have had their TANF case closed because VIEW policy requires that the enrollment remain open for job follow-up after employment unless the employment meets federal work participation guidelines, in which case the VIEW case is closed and a VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) case is opened.

**Full Time Employment.** Employment at 30 hours a week or greater.

**Participants Assigned to Inactive.** Inactive is a status assigned to participants who cannot participate in the VIEW program for reasons other than being exempt. Examples are unavailability of child care, unavailability of transportation, and mental or medical problems that make participation impractical. Inactive participants are due to be reviewed each month. While in inactive status, the 24 month time limit for TANF benefits is suspended.

**Participants Employed.** Total number of participants by locality who obtained an unsubsidized job, either full time or part time. If employed more than one time, they are still only counted once, but may be counted in more than one locality. Also, these participants may have left their job and not gotten another one. For the year to date numbers, those employed at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first became employed in the fiscal year.

**Participants in a Work Activity.** Unduplicated count by locality of persons who have been in any of the following components: unsubsidized employment, full employment program (FEP), on-the-job training (OJT), community work experience program (CWEP), public service program (PSP), vocational education and training, and job search/job readiness. For example, a person who was in community work experience and then employed would be counted only once.

For the year to date numbers, those in a work activity at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first entered a work activity in the fiscal year. Note that this is a revised definition of work activity from reports through October 2006. The revised definition captures the activities that count toward the first 20 hours of federal participation requirements – the core work activities. Clients who are counted as being in a core work activity must meet additional participation rate requirements in order to be included in the work participation rate, and only VIEW clients are counted here, not all work eligible TANF clients **Thus, these percentages in a work activity are quite different from the percentages in the TANF work participation rate.**

**Part Time Employment.** Employment at fewer than 30 weekly hours.

**Referred.** Unduplicated number of TANF recipients by locality who were initially evaluated as eligible for VIEW and had their names referred to the automated VIEW list for participation.

**Relationship Between TANF and VIEW Participation.** On the chart at page 5a, the column heading “Total Adults in the Case” includes adults who are not considered to be part of the assistance unit. For example, a mother receiving SSI may be in the case, but because she receives SSI is not considered eligible for TANF and thus is not part of the assistance unit. Persons such as she who are not in the assistance unit are not eligible to participate in VIEW. Also included are adults who are part of the assistance unit but exempt from VIEW

**Five Months Employment.** In earlier reports, this represented the percent of all participants that were employed 150 days after their first employment. Participants who were employed in any job 150 days after their first employment were counted in this measure.. This measure became unavailable after July 1, 2011. See note 9 on page 44.

**Three Months Employment.** This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 90 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 90 days after their first employment are counted in this measure. For year to date percents, the calculations are based on the first employment that began one year before the end of the report month. That employment may not have been the first ever for the participant. The year to date percents were calculated differently in reports before September 2004. Also for year to date percents, transferred cases were not counted for months after the January 2002 report.

**TANF / TANF-UP Payment Cases.** Up to November 2003 these counts were taken from a monthly report with no adjustment. After that, the monthly counts included some cases that were being paid because child support payments had been received and were being passed on. These counts were adjusted to mostly eliminate those additional cases. Further adjustment may be appropriate. It should also be noted that the counts include both strictly TANF cases and MOE cases for some years. With the end of waiver provisions in July 2003, a substantial part of the caseload was placed in a state program and funded with MOE funds. This change in July 2003 was a change in funding, not a change in eligibility requirements or case payment amounts. It ended in September 2006 when VIEW transitional payments (VTP) were introduced. Adjustments have been made to take out VTP cases. Also, TANF-UP cases are currently funded with only state monies.

**VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP).** Beginning in October 2006, VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) were introduced. These are payments of \$50 per client per month for one year to VIEW clients that leave “regular” TANF with employment sufficient to meet Federal work participation requirements. The employment must be maintained to be eligible for VTP. Previously, VTP clients normally would have remained VIEW clients for five or six months of job-follow-up. Thus, the VTP program has had a diminishing effect on some of the statistics in this report such as end of month percent employed and average wage. See graph on page 5a.

**Time That Data Represent.** The data in the top sections of page 4 and pages 8 – 24 are point in time data as of the date indicated. The year to date section represents state fiscal year to date data, with the exception of three month retention (see above). The bottom section is cumulative from when the locality began VIEW.

**General Statement About Accuracy.** The report is based on an extract from the Employment Services automated system. The extract is normally made the night of the first work day after the end of the report month. Data that staff of local social services agencies enter into the automated system after the time of the extract are not included in the report, even though the activity reflected actually occurred in the report month. However, that data, and the activity reflected, are included in the reports for subsequent months. Further, because the data are entered by staff in the 120 localities, the accuracy of the data in the data base is, of course, subject to inadvertent error.


- <sup>1</sup> Applications are not necessarily acted upon in the month that they are received. Also, applications may be transferred from regular TANF to TANF-UP and vice versa as they are processed.
- <sup>2</sup> These TANF cases paid counts are prior to possible adjustment. See definition of payment cases. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes.
- <sup>3</sup> VIEW enrolled counts do not include VTP clients
- <sup>4</sup> A case may be open in more than one locality during the time period.
- <sup>5</sup> Prior to June 1999, when individuals were transferred from one locality to another, their history was transferred as well. This may have an effect on a locality's cumulative VIEW statistics.
- <sup>6</sup> The minimum wage rose from \$6.55 to \$7.25 an hour on 7/24/09. The report reflects initial wages so some effect on wages in the report was delayed. The average wage includes full and part time, unless otherwise indicated. See the definition of average wage on page 42.
- <sup>7</sup> Includes full and part time earnings.
- <sup>8</sup> This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed three months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed three months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous. See definition on page 43 for the revised method of calculating the year to date percentages.
- <sup>9</sup> Until the July 2011 report, this was employed after five months. However, on July 1, 2011 the number of required job follow-ups was reduced to three. Thus, data for five month job retention became unavailable.
- <sup>10</sup> Number includes TANF-UP and represents cases carried over to the next month. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes, but with the advent of VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) such occurrences were reduced from earlier months.
- <sup>11</sup> Enrollment is timely if done within 30 days of referral in previous month of the state fiscal year. The monthly percent includes evaluation of clients waiting in the queue. The year to date does not.
- <sup>12</sup> Placement in a work activity on the 95th day after referral was a measure of timeliness before Oct 1, 2006. The revised definition of work activity has increased the percentage from reports before November 2006. Clients whose cases have closed before the 95<sup>th</sup> day are excluded, so 100% is an attainable mark.
- <sup>14</sup> As of the morning of Aug 1, 2013. Some referrals to the waiting list are not appropriate; there may be considerable lag time in correcting them.

This report is available on the Department of Social Services web site at:  
[http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial\\_assistance/tanf.cgi](http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial_assistance/tanf.cgi)

The scores in the VIEW Rankings are determined by placing a locality on a scale of zero to ten in the same relative position as its place in the difference between the high and low of the measure in question. This converts all measures to a similar scale and provides a more equitable way to combine the ratings in each measure to determine a combined rank. (Questions, please call 804 726-7618.)

**Comments on the format or content of the report and requests for Excel files for portions of current and past reports may be sent by e-mail to: [william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov](mailto:william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov).**

## TANF and TANF-UP Cases Paid at Six Month Intervals, June 1995 through July 2013, except last month




This graph illustrates the dramatic decline of the TANF caseload as VIEW was implemented. There was some sign of slowing in the rate of decline after June 1998. Nevertheless, wide community involvement, a strong economy, and the effect of the 24 month rule allowed the caseload to continue to fall until it was near the 30,000 level. There was little net change in the caseload from June 2000 to June 2002, but some upward movement was seen after June 2002, until 2005. The more recent trends are presumed to be a result of economic conditions. The caseload leveled off after recession spurred growth and now is declining.

Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

## Applications Received with Approval Percentage


Six Month Asymmetrical Moving Averages by Quarter, July 1995 through July 2013



This graph of application activity is presented as six month moving averages to produce smoother lines from which trends may be seen and in recognition that applications are not always acted on in the month received. The trends are not dramatic, but there seems to have been a general decline in the number of applications received until the July 2001 data. The decline in applications received after December 2004 and the more recent changes appear to be reflected in the case load trends. While no reason has been determined for the general decline in percent approved, an apparent reason for the very recent decline is the availability of applications on line that offers ready access for applying to multiple assistance programs. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

## TANF Cases, VIEW Enrolled, and Employed VIEW Participants


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide  
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. July is the last month



This graph shows that the number enrolled in VIEW increased during early implementation and then generally tracked the number of cases. The effects of recent economic conditions are apparent. A VIEW case may remain open for some months after the TANF case closes, if job follow-up is being done and the case has not been converted to the VIEW Transitional Payment program. It is unlikely that the number employed will ever equal the number enrolled because there are always new enrollees without employment, and there are some enrollees in work activities, other than employment, that meet VIEW requirements. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months. It includes TANF-UP

## Chart Displaying the Relationships Between TANF and VIEW Participation


July 31, 2013



This chart illustrates that there are a large number of adults who are exempt from VIEW and that many of the VIEW enrollees have had their TANF cases closed. They are now in a job follow-up phase of VIEW. They have not had their VIEW case closed and become enrolled in the VIEW Transitional Payment program. The source of this information is a monthly TANF extract from ADAPT and the monthly extract from ESPAS, the VIEW automated data system. The extracts were made at the beginning of August and represent end of July data. TANF-UP is included.

## VIEW Percent Total Employed and Average Wage at End of Month


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide  
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. July is the last month.



This graph shows that the average wage has seen a general increase as the percent of VIEW employed initially increased and then leveled. Besides employment, VIEW participants may be in various other activities or initial assessment and job search. It is unlikely that the percent employed will rise more, and the recent economic conditions may have caused a decline. The wages shown are generally the initial wages. When the average wage was calculated at about the end of July it was \$8.41 rather than the \$8.30 initial wages used to calculate wages shown on this graph. See page 42 of the report for an explanation of why the VIEW average wage might decline after October 2006 and note 6 on page 44 for the effect of the increase in minimum wage. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.

# Percent of Jobs Found During a Month That Were Full Time and Percent Part Time

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide  
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. July is the last month



This graph illustrates an initial trend of VIEW participants obtaining a greater percent of full time jobs than part time. After the initial months, the trend seems to be fairly level with an increase in the percent full time after TANF reauthorization which probably reflects increased emphasis on meeting the required TANF work participation rate. The more recent changes are presumed to be a result of economic developments and increased use of other work activities to help meet the required work participation hours. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.