

# **VIRGINIA INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM**

**MONTHLY REPORT**  
Including Graphs of Statewide Trends  
**December 2013**

Prepared by:  
Virginia Department of Social Services January 29, 2014

# Monthly Report, December 2013

## Table of Contents

Work Participation Estimates (from the VDSS data warehouse) (A work participation estimate percent should not be compared to a percent in a work activity in the main body of the report which includes only clients in VIEW and does not consider the number of hours in a work activity during a month)	i-vi
TANF, TANF-UP Case Activity.....	1
Graphs of Case Activity.....	at end
VIEW Work Activity	
Statewide .....	4
Graphs of Statewide Activity.....	at end
EDD1.....	8
EDD2.....	9
EDD3.....	10
EDD4.....	11
EDD5 & EDD18.....	12
EDD6.....	13
EDD7.....	14
EDD8.....	15
EDD9.....	16
EDD10.....	17
EDD11.....	18
EDD14.....	19
EDD1.....	20
EDD3.....	21
EDD11.....	22
EDD15.....	23
EDD17.....	24
VIEW Year to Date Rankings.....	25-27
VIEW Cumulative Rankings.....	28-30
VIEW Timeliness Measures.....	31-39
Child Support Enforcement.....	40
Diversion.....	41
VIEW Definition Sheet and Endnotes.....	42-44

# Estimated Work Participation Rates for December

*These are estimated locality December 2013 work participation rates that include clients receiving either TANF or VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). The official participation rate will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated December statewide rate is 43% with a numerator of 6,516 and a denominator of 15,294.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	22	61	36%	061	Fauquier	20	45	44%
003	Albemarle	33	58	57%	063	Floyd	4	16	25%
005	Alleghany/Covington	16	36	44%	065	Fluvanna	7	15	47%
007	Amelia	9	25	36%	067	Franklin County	47	99	47%
009	Amherst	18	34	53%	069	Frederick	24	35	69%
011	Appomattox	24	57	42%	071	Giles	11	24	46%
013	Arlington	57	101	56%	073	Gloucester	18	46	39%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	107	213	50%	075	Goochland	4	10	40%
017	Bath	0	0	N/A	077	Grayson	5	13	38%
019	Bedford County/City	33	95	35%	079	Greene	8	18	44%
021	Bland	2	7	29%	081	Greensville/Emporia	29	89	33%
023	Botetourt	3	13	23%	083	Halifax	24	87	28%
025	Brunswick	24	58	41%	085	Hanover	30	64	47%
027	Buchanan	25	57	44%	087	Henrico	238	722	33%
029	Buckingham	17	31	55%	089	Henry/Martinsville	76	226	34%
031	Campbell	55	123	45%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	30	86	35%	093	Isle of Wight	16	50	32%
035	Carroll	22	43	51%	095	James City	33	86	38%
036	Charles City	1	5	20%	097	King and Queen	2	14	14%
037	Charlotte	14	37	38%	099	King George	13	22	59%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	187	459	41%	101	King William	7	18	39%
043	Clarke	1	5	20%	103	Lancaster	8	19	42%
045	Craig	0	5	0%	105	Lee	47	108	44%
047	Culpeper	41	76	54%	107	Loudoun	53	129	41%
049	Cumberland	11	29	38%	109	Louisa	28	57	49%
051	Dickensen	10	20	50%	111	Lunenburg	5	24	21%
053	Dinwiddie	12	47	26%	113	Madison	3	9	33%
057	Essex	24	43	56%	115	Mathews	7	14	50%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	251	577	44%	117	Mecklenburg	21	56	38%

# Estimated Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent
119	Middlesex	26	47	55%	187	Warren	30	62	48%
121	Montgomery	61	132	46%	191	Washington	36	78	46%
125	Nelson	3	13	23%	193	Westmoreland	9	30	30%
127	New Kent	9	24	38%	195	Wise	64	138	46%
131	Northampton	17	67	25%	197	Wythe	12	40	30%
133	Northumberland	5	14	36%	199	York/Poquoson	36	77	47%
135	Nottoway	23	68	34%	510	Alexandria	86	179	48%
137	Orange	10	44	23%	520	Bristol	83	132	63%
139	Page	9	16	56%	540	Charlottesville	89	166	54%
141	Patrick	17	48	35%	550	Chesapeake	262	552	47%
143	Pittsylvania	31	72	43%	590	Danville	86	190	45%
145	Powhatan	11	17	65%	620	Franklin City	25	59	42%
147	Prince Edward	38	70	54%	630	Fredericksburg	44	101	44%
149	Prince George	7	41	17%	640	Galax	18	27	67%
153	Prince William	262	523	50%	650	Hampton	360	727	50%
155	Pulaski	28	65	43%	670	Hopewell	38	154	25%
157	Rappahannock	3	10	30%	680	Lynchburg	109	273	40%
159	Richmond County	3	22	14%	683	Manassas	25	62	40%
161	Roanoke County	38	129	29%	685	Manassas Park	1	8	13%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	10	33	30%	700	Newport News	395	839	47%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	60	125	48%	710	Norfolk	550	1,130	49%
167	Russell	44	99	44%	720	Norton	14	33	42%
169	Scott	31	72	43%	730	Petersburg	120	292	41%
171	Shenandoah	4	20	20%	740	Portsmouth	194	535	36%
173	Smyth	42	80	53%	750	Radford	16	47	34%
175	Southampton	12	43	28%	760	Richmond City	489	1,266	39%
177	Spotsylvania	82	220	37%	770	Roanoke City	148	468	32%
179	Stafford	60	146	41%	800	Suffolk	96	179	54%
181	Surry	1	16	6%	810	Virginia Beach	217	454	48%
183	Sussex	2	37	5%	830	Williamsburg	13	21	62%
185	Tazewell	44	100	44%	840	Winchester	21	46	46%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF and VTP recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rate presented here is the all families rate, i. e. the overall rate, including VTP..

# Estimated TANF only Work Participation Rates for December

*These are estimated locality December 2013 work participation rates that include only clients receiving TANF. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated December statewide rate is 36% with a numerator of 4,932 and a denominator of 13,571. VTP only estimates are on pages v and vi.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	17	56	30%	061	Fauquier	12	37	32%
003	Albemarle	19	44	43%	063	Floyd	4	16	25%
005	Alleghany/Covington	14	34	41%	065	Fluvanna	7	15	47%
007	Amelia	7	22	32%	067	Franklin County	36	87	41%
009	Amherst	16	32	50%	069	Frederick	19	29	66%
011	Appomattox	20	52	38%	071	Giles	10	23	43%
013	Arlington	41	85	48%	073	Gloucester	12	40	30%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	80	185	43%	075	Goochland	2	8	25%
017	Bath	0	0	N/A	077	Grayson	5	13	38%
019	Bedford County/City	29	91	32%	079	Greene	6	15	40%
021	Bland	2	6	33%	081	Greensville/Emporia	19	77	25%
023	Botetourt	2	11	18%	083	Halifax	19	82	23%
025	Brunswick	18	51	35%	085	Hanover	19	52	37%
027	Buchanan	22	54	41%	087	Henrico	167	637	26%
029	Buckingham	10	24	42%	089	Henry/Martinsville	53	201	26%
031	Campbell	36	104	35%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	25	80	31%	093	Isle of Wight	11	44	25%
035	Carroll	18	39	46%	095	James City	26	79	33%
036	Charles City	0	4	0%	097	King and Queen	2	14	14%
037	Charlotte	10	33	30%	099	King George	7	16	44%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	154	416	37%	101	King William	4	15	27%
043	Clarke	0	4	0%	103	Lancaster	6	17	35%
045	Craig	0	5	0%	105	Lee	44	104	42%
047	Culpeper	26	60	43%	107	Loudoun	26	98	27%
049	Cumberland	10	28	36%	109	Louisa	13	42	31%
051	Dickensen	8	18	44%	111	Lunenburg	5	22	23%
053	Dinwiddie	9	44	20%	113	Madison	3	9	33%
057	Essex	21	40	53%	115	Mathews	5	12	42%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	154	476	32%	117	Mecklenburg	16	51	31%

# Estimated TANF Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	25	46	54%	187	Warren	23	55	42%
121	Montgomery	49	120	41%	191	Washington	31	73	42%
125	Nelson	3	11	27%	193	Westmoreland	8	28	29%
127	New Kent	7	21	33%	195	Wise	47	121	39%
131	Northampton	11	61	18%	197	Wythe	10	38	26%
133	Northumberland	3	11	27%	199	York/Poquoson	29	69	42%
135	Nottoway	18	63	29%	510	Alexandria	70	161	43%
137	Orange	8	40	20%	520	Bristol	76	125	61%
139	Page	8	15	53%	540	Charlottesville	61	138	44%
141	Patrick	12	43	28%	550	Chesapeake	196	484	40%
143	Pittsylvania	29	70	41%	590	Danville	68	169	40%
145	Powhatan	7	13	54%	620	Franklin City	20	52	38%
147	Prince Edward	26	58	45%	630	Fredericksburg	36	92	39%
149	Prince George	5	38	13%	640	Galax	15	24	63%
153	Prince William	180	439	41%	650	Hampton	280	633	44%
155	Pulaski	21	58	36%	670	Hopewell	32	143	22%
157	Rappahannock	0	7	0%	680	Lynchburg	85	249	34%
159	Richmond County	3	21	14%	683	Manassas	16	52	31%
161	Roanoke County	18	108	17%	685	Manassas Park	1	8	13%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	8	31	26%	700	Newport News	291	730	40%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	34	99	34%	710	Norfolk	463	1,034	45%
167	Russell	38	93	41%	720	Norton	11	30	37%
169	Scott	23	64	36%	730	Petersburg	105	269	39%
171	Shenandoah	2	18	11%	740	Portsmouth	159	498	32%
173	Smyth	33	71	46%	750	Radford	14	45	31%
175	Southampton	9	37	24%	760	Richmond City	408	1,177	35%
177	Spotsylvania	66	201	33%	770	Roanoke City	96	414	23%
179	Stafford	39	125	31%	800	Suffolk	72	154	47%
181	Surry	0	15	0%	810	Virginia Beach	139	375	37%
183	Sussex	0	34	0%	830	Williamsburg	6	14	43%
185	Tazewell	37	92	40%	840	Winchester	16	41	39%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are for the TANF all family rate not including VTP.

# Estimated VTP only Work Participation Rates for December

*These are estimated locality December 2013 work participation rates that include only clients receiving VTP. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated December statewide rate is 91% with a numerator of 1,584 and a denominator of 1,723. TANF only estimates are on pages iii and iv.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	5	5	100%	061	Fauquier	8	8	100%
003	Albemarle	14	14	100%	063	Floyd	0	0	N/A
005	Alleghany/Covington	2	2	100%	065	Fluvanna	0	0	N/A
007	Amelia	2	3	67%	067	Franklin County	11	12	92%
009	Amherst	2	2	100%	069	Frederick	5	6	83%
011	Appomattox	4	5	80%	071	Giles	1	1	100%
013	Arlington	16	16	100%	073	Gloucester	6	6	100%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	27	28	96%	075	Goochland	2	2	100%
017	Bath	0	0	N/A	077	Grayson	0	0	N/A
019	Bedford County/City	4	4	100%	079	Greene	2	3	67%
021	Bland	0	1	0%	081	Greensville/Emporia	10	12	83%
023	Botetourt	1	2	50%	083	Halifax	5	5	100%
025	Brunswick	6	7	86%	085	Hanover	11	12	92%
027	Buchanan	3	3	100%	087	Henrico	71	85	84%
029	Buckingham	7	7	100%	089	Henry/Martinsville	23	25	92%
031	Campbell	19	19	100%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	5	6	83%	093	Isle of Wight	5	6	83%
035	Carroll	4	4	100%	095	James City	7	7	100%
036	Charles City	1	1	100%	097	King and Queen	0	0	N/A
037	Charlotte	4	4	100%	099	King George	6	6	100%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	33	43	77%	101	King William	3	3	100%
043	Clarke	1	1	100%	103	Lancaster	2	2	100%
045	Craig	0	0	N/A	105	Lee	3	4	75%
047	Culpeper	15	16	94%	107	Loudoun	27	31	87%
049	Cumberland	1	1	100%	109	Louisa	15	15	100%
051	Dickensen	2	2	100%	111	Lunenburg	0	2	0%
053	Dinwiddie	3	3	100%	113	Madison	0	0	N/A
057	Essex	3	3	100%	115	Mathews	2	2	100%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	97	101	96%	117	Mecklenburg	5	5	100%

# Estimated VTP Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	1	1	100%	187	Warren	7	7	100%
121	Montgomery	12	12	100%	191	Washington	5	5	100%
125	Nelson	0	2	0%	193	Westmoreland	1	2	50%
127	New Kent	2	3	67%	195	Wise	17	17	100%
131	Northampton	6	6	100%	197	Wythe	2	2	100%
133	Northumberland	2	3	67%	199	York/Poquoson	7	8	88%
135	Nottoway	5	5	100%	510	Alexandria	16	18	89%
137	Orange	2	4	50%	520	Bristol	7	7	100%
139	Page	1	1	100%	540	Charlottesville	28	28	100%
141	Patrick	5	5	100%	550	Chesapeake	66	68	97%
143	Pittsylvania	2	2	100%	590	Danville	18	21	86%
145	Powhatan	4	4	100%	620	Franklin City	5	7	71%
147	Prince Edward	12	12	100%	630	Fredericksburg	8	9	89%
149	Prince George	2	3	67%	640	Galax	3	3	100%
153	Prince William	82	84	98%	650	Hampton	80	94	85%
155	Pulaski	7	7	100%	670	Hopewell	6	11	55%
157	Rappahannock	3	3	100%	680	Lynchburg	24	24	100%
159	Richmond County	0	1	0%	683	Manassas	9	10	90%
161	Roanoke County	20	21	95%	685	Manassas Park	0	0	N/A
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	2	2	100%	700	Newport News	104	109	95%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	26	26	100%	710	Norfolk	87	96	91%
167	Russell	6	6	100%	720	Norton	3	3	100%
169	Scott	8	8	100%	730	Petersburg	15	23	65%
171	Shenandoah	2	2	100%	740	Portsmouth	35	37	95%
173	Smyth	9	9	100%	750	Radford	2	2	100%
175	Southampton	3	6	50%	760	Richmond City	81	89	91%
177	Spotsylvania	16	19	84%	770	Roanoke City	52	54	96%
179	Stafford	21	21	100%	800	Suffolk	24	25	96%
181	Surry	1	1	100%	810	Virginia Beach	78	79	99%
183	Sussex	2	3	67%	830	Williamsburg	7	7	100%
185	Tazewell	7	8	88%	840	Winchester	5	5	100%

All VTP recipients are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” Thus, all VTP cases are in the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, job follow-up entries are reviewed to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. The basic requirement for VTP recipients is employment for at least 30 hours per week. The requirement is contained in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are also sometimes referred to as the rates for the maintenance of effort program caseload. .

## TANF

TANF Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	Dec-13	Dec-12	Change from Dec-12	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received <sup>1</sup>	4,544	3,983	14%	4,807	-5%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	3,289	3,206	3%	1,658	98%
Applications Approved	1,520	1,510	1%	2,820	-46%
Cases Closed *	2,011	2,236	-10%	4,476	-55%
Cases at End of Month	28,001	30,767	-9%	67,995	-59%
Cases Paid During Month <sup>2</sup>	28,714	31,479	-9%	70,403	-59%

•The database indicates that of the 2,011 closed cases, 846 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 476 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 190 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 134 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

## TANF-UP

TANF-UP Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	Dec-13	Dec-12	Change from Dec-12	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received <sup>1</sup>	515	456	13%	338	52%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	317	358	-11%	232	37%
Applications Approved	192	157	22%	81	137%
Cases Closed *	195	211	-8%	107	82%
Cases at End of Month	1,594	1,655	-4%	321	397%
Cases Paid During Month <sup>2</sup>	1,595	1,723	-7%	394	305%

•The database indicates that of the 195 closed cases, 108 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 55 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 21 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those 15 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

## Statewide - Status through December 31, 2013

### TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/13 and Work Activity as of 12/31/13

Current Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	29,595	1,588	904	2,333	788	423	3,193	352	598	1,150	1,326	948	4,693	1,051	422	3,092	1,065	5,405	264
Total Enrolled in VIEW	11,311	585	358	736	270	172	1,291	121	278	466	400	405	1,766	506	175	1,194	420	2,051	117
Part. in a Work Activity	8,748	492	309	524	211	135	1,061	82	228	349	308	328	1,341	361	136	977	275	1,567	64
% of Enrolled	77%	84%	86%	71%	78%	78%	82%	68%	82%	75%	77%	81%	76%	71%	78%	82%	65%	76%	55%
Part. Assigned to Inactive	93	2	1	8	0	5	8	6	3	3	0	3	10	5	1	14	10	14	0
Participants Employed	6,198	318	198	431	197	99	685	65	161	241	200	192	967	276	113	680	208	1,123	44
% of Enrolled	55%	54%	55%	59%	73%	58%	53%	54%	58%	52%	50%	47%	55%	55%	65%	57%	50%	55%	38%
Full Time	4,750	231	146	332	166	86	523	56	113	161	147	141	728	207	89	513	177	902	32
Part Time	1,448	87	52	99	31	13	162	9	48	80	53	51	239	69	24	167	31	221	12
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.40	\$7.92	\$7.68	\$8.22	\$8.36	\$8.31	\$9.58	\$8.62	\$8.62	\$8.12	\$7.88	\$8.20	\$8.57	\$8.66	\$8.06	\$8.21	\$8.70	\$8.08	\$7.86
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,112	\$1,051	\$972	\$1,106	\$1,191	\$1,117	\$1,249	\$1,175	\$1,093	\$1,012	\$1,049	\$1,096	\$1,142	\$1,132	\$1,092	\$1,084	\$1,231	\$1,064	\$1,018

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – December 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	14,239	704	459	1,246	440	209	1,582	166	320	514	661	503	1,938	560	185	1,616	515	2,496	125
Total Enrolled in VIEW	20,756	1,013	685	1,554	579	325	2,331	225	512	845	792	759	3,038	846	291	2,352	722	3,686	201
Part. in a Work Activity	18,340	952	644	1,342	537	274	2,081	194	480	767	707	673	2,633	723	251	2,077	593	3,246	166
% of Total Enrolled	88%	94%	94%	86%	93%	84%	89%	86%	94%	91%	89%	89%	87%	85%	86%	88%	82%	88%	83%
Participants Employed	11,348	523	367	853	388	182	1,298	128	323	486	360	368	1,659	483	174	1,273	367	2,018	98
% of Total Enrolled	55%	52%	54%	55%	67%	56%	56%	57%	63%	58%	45%	48%	55%	57%	60%	54%	51%	55%	49%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.50	\$7.93	\$7.81	\$8.31	\$8.37	\$8.35	\$9.94	\$8.82	\$8.76	\$8.15	\$8.00	\$8.27	\$8.61	\$8.73	\$8.04	\$8.29	\$8.52	\$8.18	\$7.80
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,101	\$1,043	\$1,006	\$1,115	\$1,147	\$1,126	\$1,289	\$1,173	\$1,052	\$981	\$1,020	\$1,048	\$1,113	\$1,104	\$995	\$1,070	\$1,193	\$1,058	\$962
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	71%	75%	62%	67%	64%	67%	75%	72%	74%	68%	58%	68%	73%	70%	70%	73%	67%	72%	81%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	296,766	18,002	11,125	22,179	8,751	5,769	37,098	4,208	6,487	11,161	13,585	8,656	39,444	9,640	3,955	28,530	9,994	55,483	2,699
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>5</sup>	229,315	13,923	9,376	17,172	6,800	4,333	28,685	3,189	5,050	9,268	10,892	6,775	31,028	7,186	2,984	21,723	7,820	41,085	2,026
Part. in a Work Activity	219,270	13,419	9,013	16,351	6,631	4,099	27,654	3,072	4,936	8,768	10,543	6,492	29,801	6,783	2,800	20,663	7,442	38,852	1,951
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	96%	95%	98%	95%	96%	96%	98%	95%	97%	96%	96%	94%	94%	95%	95%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	154,133	8,675	6,017	11,558	4,743	2,799	20,018	2,131	3,753	6,343	6,637	4,118	20,982	4,716	1,875	14,972	5,303	28,363	1,130
% of Total Enrolled	67%	62%	64%	67%	70%	65%	70%	67%	74%	68%	61%	61%	68%	66%	63%	69%	68%	69%	56%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.29	\$6.33	\$6.45	\$7.20	\$7.29	\$7.47	\$8.82	\$7.59	\$7.52	\$6.74	\$6.50	\$6.92	\$7.48	\$8.05	\$7.12	\$7.16	\$7.13	\$6.97	\$6.85
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$957	\$833	\$869	\$964	\$967	\$996	\$1,164	\$998	\$952	\$866	\$862	\$888	\$994	\$1,027	\$905	\$930	\$962	\$908	\$859
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	66%	67%	62%	60%	67%	63%	71%	64%	63%	64%	63%	65%	70%	65%	58%	66%	65%	67%	72%

## EDD1 - Southwest Region: Status through December 31, 2013

### TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/13 and Work Activity as of 12/31/13

Current Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,588	169	69	288	209	158	257	377	61
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	585	54	22	137	71	74	96	114	17
Participants in a Work Activity	492	54	15	129	66	57	81	76	14
% of Enrolled	84%	100%	68%	94%	93%	77%	84%	67%	82%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	318	29	13	71	25	42	62	62	14
% of Enrolled	54%	54%	59%	52%	35%	57%	65%	54%	82%
Full Time	231	13	13	54	17	20	50	53	11
Part Time	87	16	0	17	8	22	12	9	3
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.92	\$8.39	\$7.65	\$7.98	\$7.76	\$7.88	\$7.84	\$7.77	\$8.29
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,051	\$1,056	\$1,107	\$1,053	\$954	\$987	\$1,073	\$1,089	\$1,082

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – December 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	704	56	21	126	98	63	135	183	22
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,013	99	38	206	125	118	160	238	29
Participants in a Work Activity	952	96	31	201	116	112	152	220	24
% of Total Enrolled	94%	97%	82%	98%	93%	95%	95%	92%	83%
Participants Employed	523	49	23	103	48	63	95	125	17
% of Total Enrolled	52%	49%	61%	50%	38%	53%	59%	53%	59%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.93	\$8.09	\$7.79	\$7.87	\$8.02	\$7.91	\$7.82	\$7.99	\$8.10
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,043	\$1,049	\$1,138	\$976	\$1,036	\$982	\$1,037	\$1,133	\$923
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	75%	81%	76%	75%	67%	95%	82%	65%	76%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	18,002	1,734	1,226	2,546	2,484	1,780	3,441	4,213	578
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	13,923	1,321	957	1,963	2,005	1,318	2,629	3,273	457
Participants in a Work Activity	13,419	1,243	901	1,952	1,909	1,296	2,536	3,162	420
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	94%	99%	95%	98%	96%	97%	92%
Participants Employed	8,675	711	576	1,277	1,207	764	1,758	2,046	336
% of Total Enrolled	62%	54%	60%	65%	60%	58%	67%	63%	74%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.33	\$6.49	\$6.35	\$6.13	\$6.49	\$6.64	\$6.22	\$6.34	\$6.14
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$833	\$847	\$843	\$801	\$860	\$891	\$821	\$835	\$756
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	67%	65%	54%	72%	67%	77%	66%	66%	71%

## EDD2 – Bristol-Galax Region: Status through December 31, 2013

### TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/13 and Work Activity as of 12/31/13

Current Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	904	14	91	32	192	196	109	221	49
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	358	2	40	6	67	57	25	143	18
Participants in a Work Activity	309	2	31	4	58	50	23	123	18
% of Enrolled	86%	100%	78%	67%	87%	88%	92%	86%	100%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Participants Employed	198	0	19	3	47	29	17	69	14
% of Enrolled	55%	0%	48%	50%	70%	51%	68%	48%	78%
Full Time	146	N/A	16	3	35	20	16	45	11
Part Time	52	N/A	3	0	12	9	1	24	3
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.68	N/A	\$7.61	\$7.83	\$7.49	\$7.82	\$7.72	\$7.72	\$7.76
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$972	N/A	\$1,040	\$1,049	\$1,008	\$846	\$1,168	\$944	\$904

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – December 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	459	8	36	15	107	84	56	139	14
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	685	7	74	22	139	109	65	230	39
Participants in a Work Activity	644	7	68	21	130	101	64	217	36
% of Total Enrolled	94%	100%	92%	95%	94%	93%	98%	94%	92%
Participants Employed	367	2	36	11	80	64	36	114	24
% of Total Enrolled	54%	29%	49%	50%	58%	59%	55%	50%	62%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.81	\$7.63	\$7.63	\$7.73	\$7.73	\$7.86	\$7.73	\$7.92	\$7.80
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,006	\$914	\$1,021	\$1,109	\$1,059	\$989	\$1,057	\$967	\$916
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	62%	50%	50%	43%	50%	69%	71%	68%	56%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	11,125	278	1,473	694	1,958	1,942	1,628	2,395	757
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	9,376	208	1,220	564	1,658	1,620	1,298	2,143	665
Participants in a Work Activity	9,013	196	1,129	527	1,605	1,583	1,276	2,065	632
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	93%	93%	97%	98%	98%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	6,017	122	811	367	969	1,018	865	1,448	417
% of Total Enrolled	64%	59%	66%	65%	58%	63%	67%	68%	63%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.45	\$6.36	\$6.24	\$6.43	\$6.32	\$6.68	\$6.41	\$6.53	\$6.39
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$869	\$873	\$836	\$861	\$871	\$899	\$850	\$877	\$885
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	62%	55%	54%	61%	63%	58%	67%	62%	69%

## EDD3 – Roanoke Region: Status through December 31, 2013

### TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/13 and Work Activity as of 12/31/13

Current Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	2,333	93	39	9	46	209	49	287	175	255	85	1,086
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	736	36	9	4	16	79	12	101	35	97	38	309
Participants in a Work Activity	524	29	4	2	12	63	10	80	32	74	27	191
% of Enrolled	71%	81%	44%	50%	75%	80%	83%	79%	91%	76%	71%	62%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	8	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	6	0	0
Participants Employed	431	23	4	2	9	41	8	74	26	46	25	173
% of Enrolled	59%	64%	44%	50%	56%	52%	67%	73%	74%	47%	66%	56%
Full Time	332	16	4	1	7	26	5	63	23	38	23	126
Part Time	99	7	0	1	2	15	3	11	3	8	2	47
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.22	\$7.90	\$9.23	\$8.38	\$8.06	\$8.39	\$7.45	\$8.52	\$7.96	\$8.06	\$7.99	\$8.23
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,106	\$1,031	\$1,446	\$1,249	\$1,114	\$1,091	\$965	\$1,152	\$1,174	\$1,053	\$1,115	\$1,098

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – December 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	1,246	50	23	2	24	107	19	153	86	119	54	609
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,554	74	22	4	30	169	23	202	94	180	70	686
Participants in a Work Activity	1,342	70	14	3	27	151	20	177	86	150	56	588
% of Total Enrolled	86%	95%	64%	75%	90%	89%	87%	88%	91%	83%	80%	86%
Participants Employed	853	44	9	2	16	80	14	141	52	100	39	356
% of Total Enrolled	55%	59%	41%	50%	53%	47%	61%	70%	55%	56%	56%	52%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.31	\$8.17	\$9.06	\$8.38	\$8.47	\$8.39	\$7.58	\$8.46	\$8.00	\$8.44	\$7.91	\$8.32
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,115	\$1,042	\$1,301	\$1,241	\$1,154	\$1,129	\$969	\$1,182	\$1,124	\$1,072	\$1,037	\$1,111
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	67%	64%	57%	100%	80%	77%	71%	73%	72%	65%	71%	61%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	22,179	1,213	377	100	573	1,969	564	3,125	1,919	2,447	879	9,013
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	17,172	931	239	57	424	1,528	410	2,563	1,530	1,972	686	6,832
Participants in a Work Activity	16,351	897	211	56	408	1,378	402	2,521	1,468	1,851	657	6,502
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	88%	98%	96%	90%	98%	98%	96%	94%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	11,558	651	108	28	276	942	239	1,999	969	1,456	476	4,414
% of Total Enrolled	67%	70%	45%	49%	65%	62%	58%	78%	63%	74%	69%	65%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.20	\$6.96	\$7.49	\$7.30	\$7.10	\$7.13	\$7.13	\$7.05	\$6.88	\$7.70	\$7.08	\$7.27
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$964	\$848	\$958	\$1,048	\$945	\$957	\$955	\$949	\$952	\$1,040	\$915	\$978
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	60%	58%	54%	59%	54%	58%	53%	63%	54%	58%	62%	62%

## EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: Status through December 31, 2013

### TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/13 and Work Activity as of 12/31/13

Current Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	788	464	4	3	71	246
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	270	156	0	0	25	89
Participants in a Work Activity	211	118	N/A	N/A	17	76
% of Enrolled	78%	76%	N/A	N/A	68%	85%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	N/A	N/A	0	0
Participants Employed	197	110	N/A	N/A	16	71
% of Enrolled	73%	71%	N/A	N/A	64%	80%
Full Time	166	95	N/A	N/A	11	60
Part Time	31	15	N/A	N/A	5	11
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.36	\$8.38	N/A	N/A	\$8.14	\$8.38
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,191	\$1,209	N/A	N/A	\$996	\$1,207

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – December 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	440	254	1	0	25	160
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	579	351	1	0	41	186
Participants in a Work Activity	537	321	1	N/A	33	182
% of Total Enrolled	93%	91%	100%	N/A	80%	98%
Participants Employed	388	227	0	N/A	26	135
% of Total Enrolled	67%	65%	0%	N/A	63%	73%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.37	\$8.20	N/A	N/A	\$8.34	\$8.64
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,147	\$1,113	N/A	N/A	\$1,037	\$1,223
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	64%	60%	N/A	N/A	68%	68%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	8,751	4,687	80	23	759	3,202
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	6,800	3,704	51	8	570	2,467
Participants in a Work Activity	6,631	3,638	51	8	524	2,410
% of Total Enrolled	98%	98%	100%	100%	92%	98%
Participants Employed	4,743	2,494	32	6	401	1,810
% of Total Enrolled	70%	67%	63%	75%	70%	73%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.29	\$7.28	\$6.56	\$7.79	\$6.83	\$7.42
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$967	\$968	\$717	\$784	\$871	\$990
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	67%	67%	61%	83%	67%	68%

## EDDS 5 & 18 – Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: Status through December 31, 2013

### TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/13 and Work Activity as of 12/31/13

Current Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	423	14	105	49	44	117	94	264	166	98
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	172	2	55	29	12	35	39	117	47	70
Participants in a Work Activity	135	0	38	24	12	29	32	64	29	35
% of Enrolled	78%	0	69%	83%	100%	83%	82%	55%	62%	50%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	2	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0
Participants Employed	99	0	33	17	6	21	22	44	23	21
% of Enrolled	58%	0	60%	59%	50%	60%	56%	38%	49%	30%
Full Time	86	N/A	28	16	6	19	17	32	17	15
Part Time	13	N/A	5	1	0	2	5	12	6	6
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.31	N/A	\$8.77	\$8.22	\$8.28	\$7.81	\$8.17	\$7.86	\$7.86	\$7.86
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,117	N/A	\$1,200	\$1,130	\$1,159	\$1,024	\$1,062	\$1,018	\$1,021	\$1,014

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – December 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	209	7	46	32	13	58	53	125	86	39
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	325	5	103	45	23	70	79	201	111	90
Participants in a Work Activity	274	1	82	44	20	62	65	166	92	74
% of Total Enrolled	84%	20%	80%	98%	87%	89%	82%	83%	83%	82%
Participants Employed	182	1	56	25	11	41	48	98	52	46
% of Total Enrolled	56%	20%	54%	56%	48%	59%	61%	49%	47%	51%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.35	\$12.00	\$8.48	\$8.51	\$8.81	\$8.08	\$8.15	\$7.80	\$7.82	\$7.79
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,126	\$1,909	\$1,186	\$1,188	\$1,283	\$1,043	\$1,046	\$962	\$984	\$937
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	67%	50%	73%	64%	60%	85%	51%	81%	74%	90%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	5,769	221	1,276	866	696	1,382	1,328	2,699	1,724	975
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	4,333	135	961	707	429	1,048	1,053	2,026	1,269	757
Participants in a Work Activity	4,099	124	900	691	416	970	998	1,951	1,230	721
% of Total Enrolled	95%	92%	94%	98%	97%	93%	95%	96%	97%	95%
Participants Employed	2,799	77	552	489	252	706	723	1,130	645	485
% of Total Enrolled	65%	57%	57%	69%	59%	67%	69%	56%	51%	64%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.47	\$7.56	\$7.86	\$7.39	\$6.86	\$7.51	\$7.41	\$6.85	\$6.92	\$6.77
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$996	\$1,005	\$1,025	\$998	\$945	\$1,012	\$975	\$859	\$864	\$852
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	63%	58%	61%	60%	69%	69%	58%	72%	65%	80%

## EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: Status through December 31, 2013

### TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/13 and Work Activity as of 12/31/13

Current Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	3,193	194	1,233	204	996	387	140	39
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,291	77	481	130	402	166	29	6
Participants in a Work Activity	1,061	66	427	89	316	134	24	5
% of Enrolled	82%	86%	89%	68%	79%	81%	83%	83%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	8	1	4	1	0	2	0	0
Participants Employed	685	39	236	69	235	87	17	2
% of Enrolled	53%	51%	49%	53%	58%	52%	59%	33%
Full Time	523	20	180	50	187	71	13	2
Part Time	162	19	56	19	48	16	4	0
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$9.58	\$9.50	\$9.93	\$10.43	\$9.14	\$9.43	\$8.60	\$7.29
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,249	\$1,056	\$1,306	\$1,346	\$1,216	\$1,217	\$1,158	\$947

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – December 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	1,582	102	588	109	505	205	53	20
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,331	133	889	204	756	261	70	18
Participants in a Work Activity	2,081	119	816	172	665	230	62	17
% of Total Enrolled	89%	89%	92%	84%	88%	88%	89%	94%
Participants Employed	1,298	75	478	118	453	128	39	7
% of Total Enrolled	56%	56%	54%	58%	60%	49%	56%	39%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$9.94	\$9.70	\$10.11	\$10.94	\$9.62	\$9.88	\$9.72	\$7.83
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,289	\$1,158	\$1,305	\$1,428	\$1,266	\$1,245	\$1,366	\$1,105
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	75%	64%	75%	80%	76%	86%	61%	60%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	37,098	3,050	13,253	2,675	11,850	4,218	1,581	471
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	28,685	2,399	10,033	2,139	9,242	3,311	1,218	343
Participants in a Work Activity	27,654	2,380	9,669	2,036	8,882	3,161	1,185	341
% of Total Enrolled	96%	99%	96%	95%	96%	95%	97%	99%
Participants Employed	20,018	1,713	6,713	1,634	6,636	2,307	779	236
% of Total Enrolled	70%	71%	67%	76%	72%	70%	64%	69%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.82	\$8.39	\$8.90	\$9.42	\$8.86	\$8.48	\$8.32	\$8.22
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,164	\$1,075	\$1,171	\$1,217	\$1,198	\$1,085	\$1,113	\$1,098
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	71%	69%	69%	72%	70%	77%	70%	77%

## EDD7 - Culpeper Region: Status through December 31, 2013

### TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/13 and Work Activity as of 12/31/13

Current Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	352	150	67	23	95	17
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	121	58	23	9	27	4
Participants in a Work Activity	82	45	19	4	12	2
% of Enrolled	68%	78%	83%	44%	44%	50%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	6	2	1	1	2	0
Participants Employed	65	35	16	2	11	1
% of Enrolled	54%	60%	70%	22%	41%	25%
Full Time	56	31	13	2	9	1
Part Time	9	4	3	0	2	0
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.62	\$8.19	\$9.20	\$9.75	\$8.93	\$9.00
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,175	\$1,080	\$1,305	\$1,472	\$1,233	\$1,169

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – December 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	166	78	42	14	29	3
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	225	114	52	17	36	6
Participants in a Work Activity	194	102	47	16	23	6
% of Total Enrolled	86%	89%	90%	94%	64%	100%
Participants Employed	128	70	33	6	17	2
% of Total Enrolled	57%	61%	63%	35%	47%	33%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.82	\$8.60	\$9.51	\$7.96	\$8.51	\$9.75
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,173	\$1,127	\$1,322	\$1,067	\$1,082	\$1,371
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	72%	75%	66%	17%	91%	100%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	4,208	1,639	1,233	387	837	112
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	3,189	1,207	944	289	674	75
Participants in a Work Activity	3,072	1,164	930	281	626	71
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	99%	97%	93%	95%
Participants Employed	2,131	782	652	172	481	44
% of Total Enrolled	67%	65%	69%	60%	71%	59%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.59	\$7.59	\$7.96	\$7.22	\$7.26	\$7.45
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$998	\$982	\$1,041	\$1,006	\$965	\$973
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	64%	66%	68%	60%	60%	48%

## EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: Status through December 31, 2013

### TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/13 and Work Activity as of 12/31/13

Current Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	598	130	42	31	100	35	260
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	278	53	18	11	34	11	151
Participants in a Work Activity	228	49	13	5	30	10	121
% of Enrolled	82%	92%	72%	45%	88%	91%	80%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	0	0	1	0	2
Participants Employed	161	35	11	5	23	7	80
% of Enrolled	58%	66%	61%	45%	68%	64%	53%
Full Time	113	30	9	0	20	2	52
Part Time	48	5	2	5	3	5	28
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.62	\$8.69	\$8.35	\$8.27	\$8.51	\$8.23	\$8.72
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,093	\$1,201	\$1,072	\$799	\$1,183	\$843	\$1,064

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – December 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	320	93	14	14	42	19	138
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	512	104	31	27	75	23	252
Participants in a Work Activity	480	97	30	25	69	23	236
% of Total Enrolled	94%	93%	97%	93%	92%	100%	94%
Participants Employed	323	66	21	16	52	13	155
% of Total Enrolled	63%	63%	68%	59%	69%	57%	62%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.76	\$8.90	\$9.61	\$8.27	\$8.50	\$7.91	\$8.78
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,052	\$1,216	\$1,271	\$937	\$987	\$946	\$995
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	74%	79%	71%	79%	79%	38%	73%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	6,487	1,536	304	497	1,031	341	2,778
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	5,050	1,124	218	358	767	244	2,339
Participants in a Work Activity	4,936	1,097	213	340	743	242	2,301
% of Total Enrolled	98%	98%	98%	95%	97%	99%	98%
Participants Employed	3,753	804	142	269	484	149	1,905
% of Total Enrolled	74%	72%	65%	75%	63%	61%	81%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.52	\$7.92	\$8.01	\$7.41	\$7.73	\$7.86	\$7.35
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$952	\$1,022	\$1,074	\$914	\$983	\$1,020	\$923
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	63%	58%	62%	56%	63%	63%	66%

## EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: Status through December 31, 2013

### TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/13 and Work Activity as of 12/31/13

Current Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,150	85	106	202	231	526
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	466	23	49	81	113	200
Participants in a Work Activity	349	18	45	72	79	135
% of Enrolled	75%	78%	92%	89%	70%	68%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	0	0	1	2
Participants Employed	241	13	24	39	56	109
% of Enrolled	52%	57%	49%	48%	50%	55%
Full Time	161	9	14	30	41	67
Part Time	80	4	10	9	15	42
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.12	\$8.06	\$8.54	\$8.47	\$8.20	\$7.86
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,012	\$1,065	\$1,036	\$1,065	\$1,050	\$963

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – December 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	514	38	42	94	98	242
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	845	40	77	135	218	375
Participants in a Work Activity	767	35	71	123	205	333
% of Total Enrolled	91%	88%	92%	91%	94%	89%
Participants Employed	486	25	47	75	124	215
% of Total Enrolled	58%	63%	61%	56%	57%	57%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.15	\$8.22	\$8.41	\$8.31	\$8.23	\$8.00
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$981	\$1,094	\$1,062	\$1,020	\$998	\$924
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	68%	67%	78%	60%	68%	69%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	11,161	1,033	881	2,261	2,593	4,393
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	9,268	826	769	1,810	2,233	3,630
Participants in a Work Activity	8,768	787	757	1,686	2,108	3,430
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	98%	93%	94%	94%
Participants Employed	6,343	550	539	1,174	1,540	2,540
% of Total Enrolled	68%	67%	70%	65%	69%	70%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.74	\$6.94	\$6.44	\$6.82	\$6.94	\$6.64
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$866	\$884	\$883	\$866	\$904	\$838
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	64%	59%	56%	60%	63%	68%

## EDD10 - Danville Region: Status through December 31, 2013

### TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/13 and Work Activity as of 12/31/13

Current Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,326	194	407	90	207	428
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	400	56	146	50	41	107
Participants in a Work Activity	308	28	113	45	29	93
% of Enrolled	77%	50%	77%	90%	71%	87%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	200	25	85	25	22	43
% of Enrolled	50%	45%	58%	50%	54%	40%
Full Time	147	13	61	16	18	39
Part Time	53	12	24	9	4	4
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.88	\$7.93	\$8.00	\$7.69	\$7.57	\$7.87
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,049	\$1,017	\$1,062	\$1,019	\$966	\$1,099

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – December 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	661	80	212	53	107	209
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	792	101	272	90	101	228
Participants in a Work Activity	707	83	234	81	92	217
% of Total Enrolled	89%	82%	86%	90%	91%	95%
Participants Employed	360	39	145	49	39	88
% of Total Enrolled	45%	39%	53%	54%	39%	39%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.00	\$8.46	\$7.91	\$7.78	\$7.81	\$8.18
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,020	\$1,106	\$952	\$993	\$1,007	\$1,122
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	58%	56%	59%	65%	52%	56%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	13,585	2,082	3,672	1,334	2,246	4,251
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	10,892	1,728	2,831	1,150	1,708	3,475
Participants in a Work Activity	10,543	1,668	2,712	1,083	1,683	3,397
% of Total Enrolled	97%	97%	96%	94%	99%	98%
Participants Employed	6,637	964	1,803	775	914	2,181
% of Total Enrolled	61%	56%	64%	67%	54%	63%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.50	\$6.47	\$6.91	\$6.38	\$6.49	\$6.23
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$862	\$852	\$940	\$827	\$876	\$813
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	63%	59%	64%	74%	59%	61%

## EDD11 - Southside Region: Status through December 31, 2013

### TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/13 and Work Activity as of 12/31/13

Current Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	948	57	93	64	75	59	145	52	159	118	126
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	405	24	47	14	41	27	58	21	41	66	66
Participants in a Work Activity	328	20	41	14	33	24	50	16	33	38	59
% of Enrolled	81%	83%	87%	100%	80%	89%	86%	76%	80%	58%	89%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Participants Employed	192	15	25	10	17	12	20	6	20	33	34
% of Enrolled	47%	63%	53%	71%	41%	44%	34%	29%	49%	50%	52%
Full Time	141	10	18	9	13	7	13	5	16	24	26
Part Time	51	5	7	1	4	5	7	1	4	9	8
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.20	\$8.04	\$8.13	\$8.13	\$8.51	\$8.85	\$7.59	\$8.42	\$8.36	\$8.07	\$8.32
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,096	\$957	#####	\$1,078	\$1,169	\$1,005	\$989	\$1,098	\$1,227	\$1,039	\$1,188

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – December 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	503	24	55	35	33	22	69	30	83	83	69
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	759	40	88	45	64	43	115	36	92	119	117
Participants in a Work Activity	673	34	76	42	56	40	107	32	85	91	110
% of Total Enrolled	89%	85%	86%	93%	88%	93%	93%	89%	92%	76%	94%
Participants Employed	368	26	39	21	27	21	56	14	43	60	61
% of Total Enrolled	48%	65%	44%	47%	42%	49%	49%	39%	47%	50%	52%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.27	\$8.50	\$8.05	\$8.49	\$8.39	\$9.20	\$8.06	\$8.14	\$8.33	\$8.07	\$8.22
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,048	\$1,039	#####	\$1,177	\$923	\$1,198	\$936	\$976	\$1,097	\$1,007	\$1,142
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	68%	40%	88%	50%	84%	67%	69%	64%	45%	82%	72%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	8,656	554	1,056	826	749	579	1,009	416	1,251	1,068	1,148
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	6,775	409	845	632	561	430	799	326	994	831	948
Participants in a Work Activity	6,492	389	842	599	522	410	766	322	953	767	922
% of Total Enrolled	96%	95%	100%	95%	93%	95%	96%	99%	96%	92%	97%
Participants Employed	4,118	252	479	359	291	247	475	157	650	551	657
% of Total Enrolled	61%	62%	57%	57%	52%	57%	59%	48%	65%	66%	69%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.92	\$7.54	\$6.39	\$7.14	\$7.34	\$7.48	\$6.90	\$6.65	\$6.70	\$6.93	\$6.87
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$888	\$961	\$836	\$958	\$921	\$966	\$833	\$821	\$848	\$908	\$894
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	65%	60%	67%	59%	59%	58%	65%	60%	67%	70%	68%

## EDD12 - Richmond Region: Status through December 31, 2013

### TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/13 and Work Activity as of 12/31/13

Current Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	4,693	916	25	118	1,266	48	2,320
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,766	335	7	48	574	18	784
Participants in a Work Activity	1,341	257	5	38	391	12	638
% of Enrolled	76%	77%	71%	79%	68%	67%	81%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	10	3	0	1	1	0	5
Participants Employed	967	207	4	34	301	10	411
% of Enrolled	55%	62%	57%	71%	52%	56%	52%
Full Time	728	168	4	27	209	8	312
Part Time	239	39	0	7	92	2	99
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.57	\$8.84	\$10.19	\$8.52	\$8.65	\$7.74	\$8.39
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,142	\$1,228	\$1,533	\$1,112	\$1,121	\$1,056	\$1,115

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – December 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	1,938	399	8	50	535	26	920
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	3,038	581	17	97	926	32	1,385
Participants in a Work Activity	2,633	511	13	76	775	28	1,230
% of Total Enrolled	87%	88%	76%	78%	84%	88%	89%
Participants Employed	1,659	323	9	63	508	20	736
% of Total Enrolled	55%	56%	53%	65%	55%	63%	53%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.61	\$8.98	\$9.00	\$8.82	\$8.68	\$8.69	\$8.39
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,113	\$1,215	\$1,363	\$1,075	\$1,076	\$1,148	\$1,095
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	73%	78%	89%	78%	73%	53%	71%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	39,444	8,286	300	1,392	9,933	396	19,137
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	31,028	6,316	231	992	8,100	297	15,092
Participants in a Work Activity	29,801	6,110	220	921	7,653	278	14,619
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	95%	93%	94%	94%	97%
Participants Employed	20,982	3,990	154	641	5,470	164	10,563
% of Total Enrolled	68%	63%	67%	65%	68%	55%	70%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.48	\$7.78	\$7.33	\$8.29	\$7.78	\$7.83	\$7.20
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$994	\$1,040	\$940	\$1,056	\$1,025	\$988	\$963
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	70%	69%	65%	66%	66%	63%	72%

## EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: Status through December 31, 2013

### TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/13 and Work Activity as of 12/31/13

<b>Current Data</b>	<b>Total EDD13</b>	<b>Caroline</b>	<b>King George</b>	<b>Spotsylvania</b>	<b>Stafford</b>	<b>Fredericksburg</b>
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,051	168	52	379	294	158
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	506	96	24	175	114	97
Participants in a Work Activity	361	62	21	117	89	72
% of Enrolled	71%	65%	88%	67%	78%	74%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	1	0	2	0	2
Participants Employed	276	56	18	92	50	60
% of Enrolled	55%	58%	75%	53%	44%	62%
Full Time	207	46	13	68	42	38
Part Time	69	10	5	24	8	22
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.66	\$8.79	\$8.55	\$8.51	\$8.98	\$8.56
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,132	\$1,193	\$1,151	\$1,080	\$1,227	\$1,070

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – December 31, 2013, Year to Date

<b>State Fiscal Year to Date</b>	<b>Total EDD13</b>	<b>Caroline</b>	<b>King George</b>	<b>Spotsylvania</b>	<b>Stafford</b>	<b>Fredericksburg</b>
Individuals Referred	560	85	29	192	140	114
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	846	166	45	269	204	162
Participants in a Work Activity	723	132	43	233	175	140
% of Total Enrolled	85%	80%	96%	87%	86%	86%
Participants Employed	483	91	28	160	101	103
% of Total Enrolled	57%	55%	62%	59%	50%	64%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.73	\$8.50	\$8.82	\$8.26	\$9.61	\$8.74
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,104	\$1,137	\$1,056	\$1,001	\$1,295	\$1,058
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	70%	84%	50%	64%	73%	70%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

<b>Cumulative Data</b>	<b>Total EDD13</b>	<b>Caroline</b>	<b>King George</b>	<b>Spotsylvania</b>	<b>Stafford</b>	<b>Fredericksburg</b>
Individuals Referred	9,640	1,461	584	2,953	2,780	1,862
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	7,186	1,041	408	2,290	1,862	1,585
Participants in a Work Activity	6,783	991	387	2,120	1,776	1,509
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	95%	93%	95%	95%
Participants Employed	4,716	636	241	1,566	1,094	1,179
% of Total Enrolled	66%	61%	59%	68%	59%	74%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.05	\$7.69	\$7.55	\$8.03	\$8.71	\$7.87
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,027	\$973	\$927	\$1,019	\$1,143	\$995
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	65%	59%	58%	67%	68%	66%

## EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: Status through December 31, 2013

### TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/13 and Work Activity as of 12/31/13

Current Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	422	85	28	30	37	23	71	37	34	77
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	175	28	11	12	12	10	51	8	20	23
Participants in a Work Activity	136	21	6	12	9	9	46	7	13	13
% of Enrolled	78%	75%	55%	100%	75%	90%	90%	88%	65%	57%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Participants Employed	113	19	2	8	7	9	42	4	12	10
% of Enrolled	65%	68%	18%	67%	58%	90%	82%	50%	60%	43%
Full Time	89	18	1	5	6	7	34	1	9	8
Part Time	24	1	1	3	1	2	8	3	3	2
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.06	\$8.55	\$8.50	\$8.91	\$8.53	\$7.58	\$7.52	\$8.31	\$8.33	\$8.26
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,092	\$1,215	\$1,229	\$1,256	\$1,248	\$1,052	\$1,034	\$688	\$1,124	\$997

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – December 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	185	36	12	17	17	8	28	18	16	33
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	291	52	12	29	23	19	71	18	26	41
Participants in a Work Activity	251	49	10	24	20	17	67	16	19	29
% of Total Enrolled	86%	94%	83%	83%	87%	89%	94%	89%	73%	71%
Participants Employed	174	30	3	14	16	15	53	10	13	20
% of Total Enrolled	60%	58%	25%	48%	70%	79%	75%	56%	50%	49%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.04	\$8.17	\$9.17	\$8.76	\$7.94	\$7.89	\$7.55	\$8.67	\$8.20	\$8.17
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$995	\$979	\$1,341	\$1,189	\$962	\$1,024	\$956	\$742	\$1,092	\$996
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	70%	59%	67%	65%	46%	71%	94%	54%	64%	79%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	3,955	608	290	355	431	218	486	351	359	857
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	2,984	486	198	294	339	155	363	285	226	638
Participants in a Work Activity	2,800	465	186	280	321	148	341	276	209	574
% of Total Enrolled	94%	96%	94%	95%	95%	95%	94%	97%	92%	90%
Participants Employed	1,875	324	99	175	226	104	249	161	136	401
% of Total Enrolled	63%	67%	50%	60%	67%	67%	69%	56%	60%	63%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.12	\$7.14	\$7.09	\$7.56	\$6.92	\$7.12	\$7.14	\$6.84	\$7.13	\$7.14
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$905	\$877	\$886	\$991	\$865	\$912	\$912	\$857	\$936	\$926
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	58%	49%	45%	59%	51%	70%	73%	51%	42%	65%

## EDD15 - Peninsula Region: Status through December 31, 2013

### TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/13 and Work Activity as of 12/31/13

Current Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	NewKent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	3,092	14	103	139	49	122	1,152	1,488	25
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,194	2	32	54	21	75	468	525	17
Participants in a Work Activity	977	1	28	39	20	57	371	445	16
% of Enrolled	82%	50%	88%	72%	95%	76%	79%	85%	94%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	14	0	0	7	0	1	2	4	0
Participants Employed	680	1	22	32	13	39	252	314	7
% of Enrolled	57%	50%	69%	59%	62%	52%	54%	60%	41%
Full Time	513	1	20	23	10	13	180	263	3
Part Time	167	0	2	9	3	26	72	51	4
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.21	\$10.00	\$7.36	\$8.50	\$8.67	\$7.86	\$7.99	\$8.41	\$9.13
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,084	\$1,732	\$962	\$1,111	\$1,067	\$824	\$1,030	\$1,165	\$1,011

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – December 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	1,616	1	56	74	29	63	556	814	23
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,352	8	65	103	34	116	850	1,136	40
Participants in a Work Activity	2,077	8	56	88	30	100	758	1,000	37
% of Total Enrolled	88%	100%	86%	85%	88%	86%	89%	88%	93%
Participants Employed	1,273	5	40	68	19	59	447	611	24
% of Total Enrolled	54%	63%	62%	66%	56%	51%	53%	54%	60%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.29	\$9.32	\$7.48	\$8.39	\$7.87	\$8.17	\$8.22	\$8.40	\$8.50
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,070	\$1,425	\$931	\$1,059	\$965	\$845	\$1,037	\$1,128	\$1,021
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	73%	100%	53%	55%	70%	73%	76%	73%	76%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	28,530	170	1,160	1,256	379	1,209	9,916	14,010	430
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	21,723	124	832	992	276	938	7,403	10,831	327
Participants in a Work Activity	20,663	118	770	921	265	897	7,198	10,190	304
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	93%	93%	96%	96%	97%	94%	93%
Participants Employed	14,972	72	536	687	155	582	5,149	7,567	224
% of Total Enrolled	69%	58%	64%	69%	56%	62%	70%	70%	69%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.16	\$7.23	\$6.93	\$7.59	\$7.58	\$7.41	\$7.16	\$7.11	\$7.36
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$930	\$966	\$855	\$924	\$1,019	\$895	\$924	\$940	\$915
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	66%	51%	57%	58%	72%	60%	64%	69%	65%

## EDD16 - Petersburg Region: Status through December 31, 2013

### TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/13 and Work Activity as of 12/31/13

Current Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,065	128	72	36	63	284	482
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	420	16	25	21	28	144	186
Participants in a Work Activity	275	15	14	13	21	72	140
% of Enrolled	65%	94%	56%	62%	75%	50%	75%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	10	0	0	0	1	8	1
Participants Employed	208	12	11	5	16	54	110
% of Enrolled	50%	75%	44%	24%	57%	38%	59%
Full Time	177	12	10	4	12	40	99
Part Time	31	0	1	1	4	14	11
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.70	\$9.43	\$9.27	\$8.30	\$7.95	\$9.08	\$8.49
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,231	\$1,552	\$1,381	\$1,085	\$1,031	\$1,256	\$1,206

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – December 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	515	54	38	21	32	146	224
Total Enrolled in VIEW	722	37	51	39	61	222	312
Participants in a Work Activity	593	35	41	31	47	175	264
% of Total Enrolled	82%	95%	80%	79%	77%	79%	85%
Participants Employed	367	24	26	14	30	97	176
% of Total Enrolled	51%	65%	51%	36%	49%	44%	56%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.52	\$9.40	\$8.52	\$7.98	\$8.11	\$8.54	\$8.51
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,193	\$1,539	\$1,180	\$895	\$1,096	\$1,170	\$1,203
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	67%	65%	48%	56%	84%	62%	72%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	9,994	1,282	911	405	786	2,502	4,108
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	7,820	844	688	331	635	2,032	3,290
Participants in a Work Activity	7,442	841	597	315	607	1,930	3,152
% of Total Enrolled	95%	100%	87%	95%	96%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	5,303	557	410	228	414	1,374	2,320
% of Total Enrolled	68%	66%	60%	69%	65%	68%	71%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.13	\$7.03	\$7.62	\$7.32	\$7.08	\$7.02	\$7.14
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$962	\$983	\$1,007	\$882	\$964	\$940	\$970
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	65%	58%	58%	56%	63%	63%	70%

## EDD17 - Tidewater Region: Status through December 31, 2013

### TANF Cases as of the end of 12/31/13 and Work Activity as of 12/31/13

Current Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	5,405	118	84	898	98	1,935	1,016	377	879
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,051	27	30	344	48	844	367	109	282
Participants in a Work Activity	1,567	15	18	277	41	624	284	94	214
% of Enrolled	76%	56%	60%	81%	85%	74%	77%	86%	76%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	14	1	0	1	0	2	8	0	2
Participants Employed	1,123	12	15	206	25	471	184	68	142
% of Enrolled	55%	44%	50%	60%	52%	56%	50%	62%	50%
Full Time	902	10	13	170	18	393	145	48	105
Part Time	221	2	2	36	7	78	39	20	37
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.08	\$8.26	\$7.75	\$8.23	\$7.39	\$7.98	\$8.10	\$7.95	\$8.34
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,064	\$1,144	\$1,109	\$1,126	\$994	\$1,028	\$1,110	\$1,061	\$1,042

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – December 31, 2013, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	2,496	43	29	410	54	865	420	174	501
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	3,686	58	57	650	81	1,387	639	238	576
Participants in a Work Activity	3,246	47	52	572	74	1,209	578	221	493
% of Total Enrolled	88%	81%	91%	88%	91%	87%	90%	93%	86%
Participants Employed	2,018	25	28	376	48	773	319	139	310
% of Total Enrolled	55%	43%	49%	58%	59%	56%	50%	58%	54%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.18	\$7.84	\$7.83	\$8.36	\$7.78	\$8.07	\$8.13	\$8.17	\$8.41
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,058	\$969	\$1,022	\$1,110	\$1,051	\$1,029	\$1,062	\$1,060	\$1,075
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	72%	59%	66%	72%	55%	77%	71%	72%	68%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	55,483	1,271	1,040	8,967	897	17,822	8,949	3,493	13,044
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	41,085	919	837	6,648	723	13,109	7,125	2,750	8,974
Participants in a Work Activity	38,852	883	801	6,350	712	12,351	6,710	2,722	8,323
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	96%	96%	98%	94%	94%	99%	93%
Participants Employed	28,363	621	484	4,765	467	9,129	5,023	2,014	5,860
% of Total Enrolled	69%	68%	58%	72%	65%	70%	70%	73%	65%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.97	\$6.96	\$6.72	\$7.16	\$6.70	\$6.86	\$6.83	\$6.81	\$7.28
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$908	\$916	\$838	\$942	\$845	\$874	\$910	\$884	\$960
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	67%	59%	54%	64%	64%	67%	69%	68%	69%

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date

*This section lists the relative state fiscal year to date rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Wage, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	46.8%	5.93	99	\$7.82	0.75	103	73.8%	6.86	38	4.51	88
003	Albemarle	63.5%	8.04	14	\$8.90	3.14	19	78.7%	7.45	22	6.21	10
005	Alleghany/Covington	59.5%	7.53	32	\$8.17	1.53	68	63.6%	5.64	83	4.90	70
007	Amelia	65.0%	8.23	9	\$8.50	2.26	36	40.0%	2.80	116	4.43	95
009	Amherst	62.5%	7.92	17	\$8.22	1.64	62	66.7%	6.00	68	5.18	47
011	Appomattox	61.0%	7.73	25	\$8.41	2.06	46	77.8%	7.33	24	5.71	29
013	Arlington	56.4%	7.14	48	\$9.70	4.91	7	63.9%	5.67	82	5.91	19
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	64.7%	8.19	12	\$8.20	1.59	65	60.2%	5.22	87	5.00	59
017	Bath	0.0%	0.00	119	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.00	119
019	Bedford County/City	55.6%	7.04	54	\$8.31	1.84	58	60.0%	5.20	88	4.69	82
021	Bland	28.6%	3.62	116	\$7.63	0.33	114	50.0%	4.00	106	2.65	117
023	Botetourt	40.9%	5.18	106	\$9.06	3.50	16	57.1%	4.86	94	4.51	89
025	Brunswick	44.3%	5.61	102	\$8.05	1.26	83	88.0%	8.56	9	5.14	52
027	Buchanan	49.5%	6.27	87	\$8.09	1.35	78	80.7%	7.68	17	5.10	55
029	Buckingham	46.7%	5.91	101	\$8.49	2.23	40	50.0%	4.00	107	4.05	104
031	Campbell	56.9%	7.20	45	\$8.23	1.66	61	67.7%	6.13	66	5.00	61
033	Caroline	54.8%	6.94	61	\$8.50	2.26	37	84.4%	8.13	12	5.78	24
035	Carroll	48.6%	6.16	94	\$7.63	0.33	115	50.0%	4.00	108	3.50	113
036	Charles City	62.5%	7.92	18	\$9.32	4.07	13	100.0%	10.00	1	7.33	2
037	Charlotte	42.2%	5.34	105	\$8.39	2.01	49	84.0%	8.08	14	5.15	51
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	55.6%	7.04	53	\$8.98	3.32	18	77.6%	7.31	25	5.89	20
043	Clarke	20.0%	2.53	118	\$12.00	10.00	1	50.0%	4.00	109	5.51	35
045	Craig	50.0%	6.33	80	\$8.38	1.99	53	100.0%	10.00	2	6.11	14
047	Culpeper	61.4%	7.78	24	\$8.60	2.48	30	75.4%	7.05	34	5.77	25
049	Cumberland	48.8%	6.19	91	\$9.20	3.81	14	66.7%	6.00	69	5.33	39
051	Dickensen	60.5%	7.67	28	\$7.79	0.69	107	76.2%	7.14	30	5.17	50
053	Dinwiddie	64.9%	8.22	11	\$9.40	4.25	12	65.0%	5.80	74	6.09	15
057	Essex	57.7%	7.31	42	\$8.17	1.53	69	59.1%	5.09	92	4.64	83
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	53.8%	6.81	66	\$10.11	5.82	3	75.0%	7.00	35	6.54	5
061	Fauquier	63.5%	8.04	15	\$9.51	4.49	11	65.5%	5.86	71	6.13	13
063	Floyd	53.3%	6.76	68	\$8.47	2.19	42	80.0%	7.60	18	5.52	34
065	Fluvanna	67.7%	8.58	7	\$9.61	4.71	9	71.4%	6.57	51	6.62	3
067	Franklin County	47.3%	6.00	97	\$8.39	2.01	50	76.8%	7.22	27	5.08	56
069	Frederick	54.4%	6.89	63	\$8.48	2.21	41	72.7%	6.73	44	5.28	43
071	Giles	60.9%	7.71	26	\$7.58	0.22	116	71.4%	6.57	52	4.83	74
073	Gloucester	61.5%	7.79	21	\$7.48	0.00	118	53.3%	4.40	102	4.06	102
075	Goochland	52.9%	6.71	71	\$9.00	3.36	17	88.9%	8.67	8	6.25	9
077	Grayson	50.0%	6.33	81	\$7.73	0.55	111	42.9%	3.14	115	3.34	115

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	59.3%	7.51	34	\$8.27	1.75	59	78.6%	7.43	23	5.56	33
081	Greensville/Emporia	48.7%	6.17	93	\$8.06	1.28	82	68.6%	6.24	60	4.56	85
083	Halifax	38.6%	4.89	109	\$8.46	2.17	43	56.3%	4.75	95	3.94	107
085	Hanover	64.9%	8.23	10	\$8.82	2.96	20	77.6%	7.31	26	6.17	12
087	Henrico	54.9%	6.95	60	\$8.68	2.65	27	72.8%	6.74	42	5.45	36
089	Henry/Martinsville	53.3%	6.75	69	\$7.91	0.95	92	58.7%	5.04	93	4.25	100
091	Highland	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
093	Isle of Wight	43.1%	5.46	104	\$7.84	0.80	100	59.3%	5.11	91	3.79	108
095	James City	66.0%	8.36	8	\$8.39	2.01	51	55.0%	4.60	100	4.99	62
097	King and Queen	25.0%	3.17	117	\$9.17	3.74	15	66.7%	6.00	70	4.30	97
099	King George	62.2%	7.88	20	\$8.82	2.96	21	50.0%	4.00	110	4.95	67
101	King William	48.3%	6.11	95	\$8.76	2.83	24	64.7%	5.77	76	4.90	69
103	Lancaster	69.6%	8.81	5	\$7.94	1.02	90	46.2%	3.54	113	4.46	91
105	Lee	50.0%	6.33	82	\$7.87	0.86	97	74.7%	6.96	36	4.72	79
107	Loudoun	57.8%	7.33	41	\$10.94	7.65	2	80.0%	7.60	19	7.53	1
109	Louisa	69.3%	8.78	6	\$8.50	2.26	38	79.1%	7.49	20	6.18	11
111	Lunenburg	38.9%	4.93	107	\$8.14	1.46	74	64.3%	5.71	78	4.03	105
113	Madison	35.3%	4.47	114	\$7.96	1.06	89	16.7%	0.00	118	1.84	118
115	Mathews	78.9%	10.00	1	\$7.89	0.91	96	71.4%	6.57	53	5.83	21
117	Mecklenburg	46.7%	5.92	100	\$8.33	1.88	56	45.5%	3.45	114	3.75	109
119	Middlesex	74.6%	9.46	2	\$7.55	0.15	117	93.8%	9.25	5	6.29	8
121	Montgomery	69.8%	8.84	4	\$8.46	2.17	44	73.3%	6.79	41	5.93	17
125	Nelson	56.5%	7.16	46	\$7.91	0.95	93	38.5%	2.61	117	3.58	112
127	New Kent	55.9%	7.08	49	\$7.87	0.86	98	70.0%	6.40	58	4.78	76
131	Northampton	51.1%	6.47	76	\$7.79	0.69	108	90.0%	8.80	7	5.32	41
133	Northumberland	55.6%	7.04	55	\$8.67	2.63	28	53.9%	4.46	101	4.71	81
135	Nottoway	50.4%	6.39	79	\$8.07	1.31	80	82.0%	7.84	15	5.18	49
137	Orange	47.2%	5.98	98	\$8.51	2.28	33	90.9%	8.91	6	5.72	27
139	Page	55.6%	7.04	56	\$8.51	2.28	34	64.0%	5.68	81	5.00	60
141	Patrick	54.4%	6.90	62	\$7.78	0.66	109	65.0%	5.80	75	4.45	92
143	Pittsylvania	38.6%	4.89	110	\$7.81	0.73	105	51.5%	4.18	104	3.27	116
145	Powhatan	62.5%	7.92	19	\$8.69	2.68	26	52.6%	4.32	103	4.97	65
147	Prince Edward	52.1%	6.60	74	\$8.22	1.64	63	72.2%	6.67	47	4.97	66
149	Prince George	51.0%	6.46	77	\$8.52	2.30	32	47.6%	3.71	112	4.16	101
153	Prince William	59.9%	7.59	30	\$9.62	4.73	8	75.5%	7.06	33	6.46	6
155	Pulaski	55.3%	7.01	59	\$8.00	1.15	85	72.1%	6.65	48	4.94	68
157	Rappahannock	33.3%	4.22	115	\$9.75	5.02	5	100.0%	10.00	3	6.41	7
159	Richmond County	50.0%	6.33	83	\$8.20	1.59	66	64.3%	5.71	79	4.55	86
161	Roanoke County	55.6%	7.04	57	\$8.44	2.12	45	64.7%	5.77	77	4.98	64
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	63.4%	8.03	16	\$8.34	1.90	55	73.9%	6.87	37	5.60	32
165	Rockingham/H'burg	72.6%	9.19	3	\$8.64	2.57	29	68.3%	6.20	63	5.99	16
167	Russell	38.4%	4.86	112	\$8.02	1.19	84	67.4%	6.09	67	4.05	103
169	Scott	53.4%	6.76	67	\$7.91	0.95	94	95.1%	9.41	4	5.71	28
171	Shenandoah	47.8%	6.06	96	\$8.81	2.94	22	60.0%	5.20	89	4.73	78

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	57.6%	7.29	<b>43</b>	\$7.73	0.55	<b>112</b>	50.0%	4.00	<b>111</b>	3.95	<b>106</b>
175	<i>Southampton</i>	49.1%	6.22	<b>89</b>	\$7.83	0.77	<b>101</b>	65.5%	5.86	<b>72</b>	4.29	<b>98</b>
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	59.5%	7.53	<b>31</b>	\$8.26	1.73	<b>60</b>	64.1%	5.69	<b>80</b>	4.98	<b>63</b>
179	<i>Stafford</i>	49.5%	6.27	<b>86</b>	\$9.61	4.71	<b>10</b>	72.8%	6.74	<b>43</b>	5.91	<b>18</b>
181	<i>Surry</i>	35.9%	4.55	<b>113</b>	\$7.98	1.11	<b>88</b>	55.6%	4.67	<b>97</b>	3.44	<b>114</b>
183	<i>Sussex</i>	49.2%	6.23	<b>88</b>	\$8.11	1.39	<b>76</b>	84.2%	8.11	<b>13</b>	5.24	<b>44</b>
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	59.4%	7.52	<b>33</b>	\$7.82	0.75	<b>104</b>	81.8%	7.82	<b>16</b>	5.36	<b>38</b>
187	<i>Warren</i>	58.6%	7.42	<b>38</b>	\$8.08	1.33	<b>79</b>	85.3%	8.23	<b>11</b>	5.66	<b>31</b>
191	<i>Washington</i>	58.7%	7.44	<b>36</b>	\$7.86	0.84	<b>99</b>	68.5%	6.22	<b>62</b>	4.83	<b>75</b>
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	48.8%	6.18	<b>92</b>	\$8.17	1.53	<b>70</b>	79.0%	7.47	<b>21</b>	5.06	<b>57</b>
195	<i>Wise</i>	52.5%	6.65	<b>73</b>	\$7.99	1.13	<b>87</b>	65.5%	5.86	<b>73</b>	4.55	<b>87</b>
197	<i>Wythe</i>	55.4%	7.02	<b>58</b>	\$7.73	0.55	<b>113</b>	71.4%	6.57	<b>54</b>	4.71	<b>80</b>
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	50.9%	6.44	<b>78</b>	\$8.17	1.53	<b>71</b>	72.6%	6.71	<b>45</b>	4.89	<b>71</b>
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	49.0%	6.21	<b>90</b>	\$9.88	5.31	<b>4</b>	85.7%	8.29	<b>10</b>	6.60	<b>4</b>
520	<i>Bristol</i>	49.6%	6.28	<b>85</b>	\$7.92	0.97	<b>91</b>	67.8%	6.14	<b>65</b>	4.46	<b>90</b>
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	61.5%	7.79	<b>23</b>	\$8.78	2.88	<b>23</b>	73.4%	6.81	<b>40</b>	5.82	<b>22</b>
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	57.8%	7.33	<b>40</b>	\$8.36	1.95	<b>54</b>	72.0%	6.64	<b>49</b>	5.30	<b>42</b>
590	<i>Danville</i>	38.6%	4.89	<b>111</b>	\$8.18	1.55	<b>67</b>	55.9%	4.71	<b>96</b>	3.71	<b>110</b>
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	59.3%	7.51	<b>35</b>	\$7.78	0.66	<b>110</b>	55.3%	4.63	<b>99</b>	4.27	<b>99</b>
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	63.6%	8.05	<b>13</b>	\$8.74	2.79	<b>25</b>	69.7%	6.36	<b>59</b>	5.73	<b>26</b>
640	<i>Galax</i>	61.5%	7.79	<b>22</b>	\$7.80	0.71	<b>106</b>	55.6%	4.67	<b>98</b>	4.39	<b>96</b>
650	<i>Hampton</i>	52.6%	6.66	<b>72</b>	\$8.22	1.64	<b>64</b>	75.8%	7.09	<b>32</b>	5.13	<b>53</b>
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	43.7%	5.53	<b>103</b>	\$8.54	2.35	<b>31</b>	61.8%	5.42	<b>84</b>	4.43	<b>94</b>
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	57.3%	7.26	<b>44</b>	\$8.00	1.15	<b>86</b>	68.5%	6.22	<b>61</b>	4.88	<b>72</b>
683	<i>Manassas</i>	55.7%	7.06	<b>51</b>	\$9.72	4.96	<b>6</b>	61.0%	5.32	<b>85</b>	5.78	<b>23</b>
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	38.9%	4.93	<b>108</b>	\$7.83	0.77	<b>102</b>	60.0%	5.20	<b>90</b>	3.63	<b>111</b>
700	<i>Newport News</i>	53.8%	6.81	<b>65</b>	\$8.40	2.04	<b>48</b>	73.5%	6.82	<b>39</b>	5.22	<b>45</b>
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	55.7%	7.06	<b>50</b>	\$8.07	1.31	<b>81</b>	76.5%	7.18	<b>28</b>	5.18	<b>48</b>
720	<i>Norton</i>	58.6%	7.43	<b>37</b>	\$8.10	1.37	<b>77</b>	76.5%	7.18	<b>29</b>	5.32	<b>40</b>
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	56.4%	7.15	<b>47</b>	\$8.51	2.28	<b>35</b>	72.4%	6.69	<b>46</b>	5.37	<b>37</b>
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	49.9%	6.32	<b>84</b>	\$8.13	1.44	<b>75</b>	71.3%	6.56	<b>56</b>	4.77	<b>77</b>
750	<i>Radford</i>	55.7%	7.06	<b>52</b>	\$7.91	0.95	<b>95</b>	71.0%	6.52	<b>57</b>	4.84	<b>73</b>
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	53.1%	6.73	<b>70</b>	\$8.39	2.01	<b>52</b>	71.4%	6.57	<b>55</b>	5.11	<b>54</b>
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	51.9%	6.57	<b>75</b>	\$8.32	1.86	<b>57</b>	60.9%	5.30	<b>86</b>	4.58	<b>84</b>
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	58.4%	7.40	<b>39</b>	\$8.17	1.53	<b>72</b>	72.0%	6.64	<b>50</b>	5.19	<b>46</b>
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	53.8%	6.82	<b>64</b>	\$8.41	2.06	<b>47</b>	68.2%	6.18	<b>64</b>	5.02	<b>58</b>
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	60.0%	7.60	<b>29</b>	\$8.50	2.26	<b>39</b>	76.2%	7.14	<b>31</b>	5.67	<b>30</b>
840	<i>Winchester</i>	60.8%	7.70	<b>27</b>	\$8.15	1.48	<b>73</b>	51.1%	4.13	<b>105</b>	4.44	<b>93</b>

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores is done to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception of VIEW

*This section lists the relative cumulative rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Monthly Earnings, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	50.8%	1.56	116	\$864	2.95	94	65.2%	5.59	49	3.36	108
003	Albemarle	71.5%	7.27	13	\$1,022	6.10	17	57.8%	3.79	99	5.72	28
005	Alleghany/Covington	69.9%	6.82	22	\$848	2.62	103	58.3%	3.91	91	4.45	78
007	Amelia	61.6%	4.53	84	\$961	4.89	44	60.4%	4.41	74	4.61	71
009	Amherst	66.6%	5.90	53	\$884	3.34	82	59.3%	4.16	83	4.47	77
011	Appomattox	70.1%	6.87	19	\$883	3.32	83	56.0%	3.34	104	4.51	75
013	Arlington	71.4%	7.23	14	\$1,075	7.15	8	69.0%	6.51	25	6.96	6
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	67.3%	6.11	45	\$968	5.03	38	66.8%	5.98	36	5.70	29
017	Bath	62.7%	4.84	79	\$717	0.00	120	61.3%	4.64	72	3.16	114
019	Bedford County/City	64.9%	5.43	64	\$866	2.99	92	60.0%	4.32	76	4.24	88
021	Bland	58.7%	3.71	98	\$873	3.11	89	55.0%	3.09	106	3.31	109
023	Botetourt	45.2%	0.00	120	\$958	4.82	47	54.0%	2.87	109	2.56	118
025	Brunswick	56.7%	3.17	108	\$836	2.39	109	67.1%	6.05	34	3.87	99
027	Buchanan	53.8%	2.38	113	\$847	2.60	104	64.9%	5.52	51	3.50	106
029	Buckingham	56.8%	3.20	107	\$958	4.83	46	59.4%	4.17	82	4.07	92
031	Campbell	69.0%	6.56	32	\$904	3.74	74	63.4%	5.15	59	5.15	53
033	Caroline	61.1%	4.39	85	\$973	5.11	36	58.9%	4.04	86	4.51	74
035	Carroll	66.5%	5.87	54	\$836	2.37	110	53.8%	2.81	111	3.68	102
036	Charles City	58.1%	3.55	101	\$966	4.99	39	50.9%	2.10	115	3.54	105
037	Charlotte	51.9%	1.84	115	\$921	4.09	65	58.5%	3.96	89	3.30	110
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	63.2%	4.96	74	\$1,040	6.45	14	69.1%	6.53	23	5.98	22
043	Clarke	57.0%	3.27	106	\$1,005	5.77	24	58.0%	3.83	97	4.29	87
045	Craig	49.1%	1.09	118	\$1,048	6.62	11	59.3%	4.14	84	3.95	95
047	Culpeper	64.8%	5.41	65	\$982	5.29	32	66.2%	5.83	43	5.51	34
049	Cumberland	57.4%	3.38	104	\$966	4.99	40	57.8%	3.77	101	4.05	93
051	Dickenson	60.2%	4.14	88	\$843	2.53	106	54.5%	2.97	108	3.21	112
053	Dinwiddie	66.0%	5.74	56	\$983	5.32	31	57.9%	3.80	98	4.95	58
057	Essex	66.7%	5.92	49	\$877	3.19	86	48.6%	1.54	117	3.55	104
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	66.9%	5.99	47	\$1,171	9.07	3	69.3%	6.59	18	7.22	5
061	Fauquier	69.1%	6.59	31	\$1,041	6.49	12	68.4%	6.36	27	6.48	10
063	Floyd	65.1%	5.49	61	\$945	4.56	53	54.5%	2.98	107	4.34	83
065	Fluvanna	65.1%	5.50	60	\$1,074	7.14	9	61.6%	4.71	69	5.78	27
067	Franklin County	61.6%	4.54	83	\$957	4.80	48	58.2%	3.87	93	4.40	81
069	Frederick	57.4%	3.38	105	\$1,025	6.16	16	61.3%	4.64	71	4.73	68
071	Giles	58.3%	3.61	100	\$955	4.75	49	52.6%	2.52	113	3.63	103
073	Gloucester	64.4%	5.31	69	\$855	2.77	98	57.0%	3.60	102	3.89	98
075	Goochland	66.7%	5.92	50	\$940	4.46	56	65.2%	5.59	48	5.33	44
077	Grayson	65.1%	5.48	62	\$861	2.88	95	60.9%	4.55	73	4.30	86

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	75.1%	8.26	4	\$914	3.95	69	55.5%	3.23	105	5.15	54
081	Greensville/Emporia	59.4%	3.93	93	\$833	2.31	112	64.7%	5.47	52	3.90	96
083	Halifax	55.8%	2.92	111	\$852	2.69	99	58.6%	3.97	88	3.20	113
085	Hanover	64.6%	5.36	66	\$1,056	6.79	10	66.1%	5.82	44	5.99	21
087	Henrico	67.5%	6.16	42	\$1,025	6.17	15	66.4%	5.88	41	6.07	20
089	Henry/Martinsville	63.7%	5.10	72	\$940	4.46	57	64.0%	5.29	54	4.95	59
091	Highland	75.0%	8.22	5	\$784	1.33	118	83.3%	10.00	1	6.52	9
093	Isle of Wight	67.6%	6.17	40	\$916	3.98	66	59.1%	4.10	85	4.75	66
095	James City	69.3%	6.64	29	\$924	4.13	63	58.3%	3.90	92	4.89	62
097	King and Queen	50.0%	1.33	117	\$886	3.38	79	45.4%	0.76	119	1.82	120
099	King George	59.1%	3.83	94	\$927	4.19	60	58.1%	3.86	95	3.96	94
101	King William	59.5%	3.95	91	\$991	5.49	27	58.8%	4.03	87	4.49	76
103	Lancaster	66.7%	5.92	51	\$865	2.96	93	51.5%	2.25	114	3.71	101
105	Lee	65.1%	5.48	63	\$801	1.67	117	72.2%	7.30	9	4.82	65
107	Loudoun	76.4%	8.61	3	\$1,217	10.00	1	72.1%	7.26	10	8.62	1
109	Louisa	63.1%	4.94	75	\$983	5.32	30	63.3%	5.13	60	5.13	56
111	Lunenburg	48.2%	0.82	119	\$821	2.09	114	60.3%	4.40	75	2.44	119
113	Madison	59.5%	3.95	92	\$1,006	5.79	23	59.5%	4.20	80	4.65	70
115	Mathews	67.1%	6.04	46	\$912	3.89	71	70.2%	6.81	15	5.58	33
117	Mecklenburg	65.4%	5.57	57	\$848	2.63	102	66.6%	5.92	40	4.71	69
119	Middlesex	68.6%	6.46	36	\$912	3.91	70	73.1%	7.51	7	5.96	23
121	Montgomery	78.0%	9.05	2	\$949	4.63	51	63.5%	5.17	58	6.28	15
125	Nelson	61.1%	4.38	86	\$1,020	6.06	18	63.2%	5.11	61	5.18	51
127	New Kent	56.2%	3.03	110	\$1,019	6.04	20	72.3%	7.33	8	5.46	38
131	Northampton	64.1%	5.21	70	\$852	2.69	100	80.0%	9.18	2	5.69	30
133	Northumberland	56.5%	3.12	109	\$857	2.79	97	50.7%	2.06	116	2.66	117
135	Nottoway	66.3%	5.82	55	\$908	3.82	73	69.9%	6.72	17	5.46	39
137	Orange	71.4%	7.22	15	\$965	4.96	41	60.0%	4.31	77	5.50	35
139	Page	69.2%	6.61	30	\$998	5.62	25	59.7%	4.24	78	5.49	36
141	Patrick	67.4%	6.12	43	\$827	2.21	113	74.2%	7.77	6	5.37	40
143	Pittsylvania	53.5%	2.30	114	\$876	3.17	87	59.4%	4.18	81	3.22	111
145	Powhatan	55.2%	2.77	112	\$988	5.42	29	63.1%	5.07	63	4.42	79
147	Prince Edward	69.3%	6.65	28	\$894	3.54	77	67.9%	6.25	31	5.48	37
149	Prince George	59.6%	3.97	90	\$1,007	5.80	22	57.8%	3.78	100	4.52	73
153	Prince William	71.8%	7.34	11	\$1,198	9.61	2	70.3%	6.83	13	7.93	2
155	Pulaski	63.3%	5.00	73	\$952	4.69	50	53.6%	2.75	112	4.15	89
157	Rappahannock	58.7%	3.72	97	\$973	5.12	35	47.6%	1.31	118	3.38	107
159	Richmond County	60.2%	4.13	89	\$936	4.37	59	42.2%	0.00	120	2.84	116
161	Roanoke County	73.8%	7.90	7	\$1,040	6.45	13	58.2%	3.87	94	6.08	19
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	70.4%	6.94	18	\$871	3.08	90	67.1%	6.05	35	5.36	42
165	Rockingham/H'burg	73.4%	7.77	9	\$990	5.46	28	67.6%	6.18	32	6.47	11
167	Russell	60.2%	4.14	87	\$860	2.85	96	66.6%	5.94	39	4.31	85
169	Scott	58.0%	3.52	102	\$891	3.48	78	77.4%	8.54	4	5.18	52
171	Shenandoah	58.7%	3.74	96	\$945	4.57	52	68.9%	6.49	26	4.93	60

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	58.4%	3.66	<b>99</b>	\$871	3.07	<b>91</b>	62.7%	4.98	<b>65</b>	3.90	<b>97</b>
175	<i>Southampton</i>	57.8%	3.49	<b>103</b>	\$838	2.42	<b>107</b>	54.0%	2.86	<b>110</b>	2.92	<b>115</b>
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	68.4%	6.40	<b>38</b>	\$1,019	6.05	<b>19</b>	66.8%	5.97	<b>37</b>	6.14	<b>18</b>
179	<i>Stafford</i>	58.8%	3.74	<b>95</b>	\$1,143	8.52	<b>4</b>	68.1%	6.29	<b>29</b>	6.19	<b>17</b>
181	<i>Surry</i>	68.9%	6.54	<b>33</b>	\$882	3.30	<b>84</b>	56.2%	3.39	<b>103</b>	4.41	<b>80</b>
183	<i>Sussex</i>	65.2%	5.52	<b>59</b>	\$964	4.93	<b>42</b>	63.2%	5.10	<b>62</b>	5.19	<b>50</b>
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	66.9%	5.98	<b>48</b>	\$821	2.07	<b>115</b>	65.7%	5.70	<b>47</b>	4.59	<b>72</b>
187	<i>Warren</i>	67.4%	6.12	<b>44</b>	\$1,012	5.89	<b>21</b>	69.3%	6.57	<b>20</b>	6.19	<b>16</b>
191	<i>Washington</i>	62.8%	4.87	<b>77</b>	\$899	3.64	<b>75</b>	58.1%	3.85	<b>96</b>	4.12	<b>91</b>
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	62.9%	4.87	<b>76</b>	\$926	4.18	<b>61</b>	65.0%	5.55	<b>50</b>	4.87	<b>63</b>
195	<i>Wise</i>	62.5%	4.78	<b>81</b>	\$835	2.37	<b>111</b>	66.3%	5.84	<b>42</b>	4.33	<b>84</b>
197	<i>Wythe</i>	66.6%	5.92	<b>52</b>	\$850	2.67	<b>101</b>	66.7%	5.96	<b>38</b>	4.85	<b>64</b>
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	62.0%	4.65	<b>82</b>	\$895	3.55	<b>76</b>	59.6%	4.21	<b>79</b>	4.14	<b>90</b>
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	69.7%	6.75	<b>24</b>	\$1,085	7.36	<b>7</b>	77.4%	8.56	<b>3</b>	7.56	<b>3</b>
520	<i>Bristol</i>	67.6%	6.17	<b>41</b>	\$877	3.19	<b>85</b>	62.2%	4.87	<b>66</b>	4.74	<b>67</b>
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	81.4%	10.00	<b>1</b>	\$923	4.12	<b>64</b>	66.0%	5.77	<b>45</b>	6.63	<b>7</b>
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	71.7%	7.31	<b>12</b>	\$942	4.50	<b>54</b>	63.9%	5.26	<b>56</b>	5.69	<b>31</b>
590	<i>Danville</i>	62.8%	4.85	<b>78</b>	\$813	1.92	<b>116</b>	61.4%	4.66	<b>70</b>	3.81	<b>100</b>
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	64.6%	5.35	<b>68</b>	\$845	2.55	<b>105</b>	64.0%	5.29	<b>55</b>	4.40	<b>82</b>
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	74.4%	8.05	<b>6</b>	\$995	5.55	<b>26</b>	65.7%	5.72	<b>46</b>	6.44	<b>12</b>
640	<i>Galax</i>	62.7%	4.83	<b>80</b>	\$885	3.36	<b>80</b>	69.1%	6.53	<b>22</b>	4.91	<b>61</b>
650	<i>Hampton</i>	69.6%	6.72	<b>26</b>	\$924	4.14	<b>62</b>	63.8%	5.24	<b>57</b>	5.37	<b>41</b>
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	67.6%	6.19	<b>39</b>	\$940	4.46	<b>58</b>	62.8%	5.00	<b>64</b>	5.22	<b>46</b>
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	70.0%	6.84	<b>21</b>	\$838	2.42	<b>108</b>	68.3%	6.35	<b>28</b>	5.20	<b>48</b>
683	<i>Manassas</i>	64.0%	5.18	<b>71</b>	\$1,113	7.93	<b>5</b>	70.1%	6.77	<b>16</b>	6.62	<b>8</b>
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	68.8%	6.51	<b>34</b>	\$1,098	7.62	<b>6</b>	77.0%	8.46	<b>5</b>	7.53	<b>4</b>
700	<i>Newport News</i>	69.9%	6.81	<b>23</b>	\$940	4.47	<b>55</b>	69.1%	6.54	<b>21</b>	5.94	<b>24</b>
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	69.6%	6.74	<b>25</b>	\$874	3.13	<b>88</b>	67.5%	6.14	<b>33</b>	5.34	<b>43</b>
720	<i>Norton</i>	73.5%	7.82	<b>8</b>	\$756	0.79	<b>119</b>	71.1%	7.03	<b>12</b>	5.21	<b>47</b>
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	70.5%	6.99	<b>16</b>	\$970	5.06	<b>37</b>	70.2%	6.81	<b>14</b>	6.29	<b>14</b>
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	70.5%	6.98	<b>17</b>	\$910	3.86	<b>72</b>	69.3%	6.58	<b>19</b>	5.80	<b>25</b>
750	<i>Radford</i>	69.4%	6.67	<b>27</b>	\$915	3.96	<b>68</b>	61.7%	4.73	<b>68</b>	5.12	<b>57</b>
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	70.0%	6.84	<b>20</b>	\$963	4.92	<b>43</b>	71.6%	7.14	<b>11</b>	6.30	<b>13</b>
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	64.6%	5.36	<b>67</b>	\$978	5.23	<b>33</b>	62.2%	4.85	<b>67</b>	5.14	<b>55</b>
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	73.2%	7.74	<b>10</b>	\$884	3.34	<b>81</b>	68.0%	6.28	<b>30</b>	5.79	<b>26</b>
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	65.3%	5.55	<b>58</b>	\$960	4.87	<b>45</b>	69.0%	6.51	<b>24</b>	5.64	<b>32</b>
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	68.5%	6.43	<b>37</b>	\$915	3.96	<b>67</b>	64.7%	5.47	<b>53</b>	5.29	<b>45</b>
840	<i>Winchester</i>	68.7%	6.47	<b>35</b>	\$975	5.15	<b>34</b>	58.5%	3.95	<b>90</b>	5.19	<b>49</b>

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores was begun in May 1999 to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

## State Summary July 1, 2013 – December 31, 2013

	State	ED 1	ED 2	ED 3	ED 4	ED 5	ED 6	ED 7	ED 8	ED 9	ED 10	ED 11	ED 12	ED 13	ED 14	ED 15	ED 16	ED 17	ED 18
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>																			
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	9,732	473	328	849	304	147	1,021	112	246	366	457	379	1,284	374	141	1,133	328	1,700	90
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	93%	98%	99%	96%	99%	95%	91%	92%	99%	94%	97%	89%	89%	89%	91%	98%	80%	93%	94%
% Timely in December 2013	92%	99%	100%	93%	100%	92%	87%	88%	98%	98%	99%	81%	84%	75%	96%	97%	78%	95%	####
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>																			
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	5,461	290	201	457	178	66	593	62	143	212	245	229	699	220	71	618	184	951	42
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	96%	100%	99%	97%	100%	94%	94%	97%	99%	94%	97%	100%	94%	95%	96%	96%	92%	94%	####
Percent in December 2013	95%	99%	100%	98%	100%	96%	92%	95%	98%	95%	96%	100%	93%	92%	97%	96%	90%	92%	####
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>																			
# Over 30 Days	132	1	0	3	0	3	11	4	1	0	1	10	60	16	3	1	15	3	0
Average # of Days Over 30	48	18	0	15	0	33	30	22	5	0	53	14	65	35	163	40	35	25	0

## EDD1 – Southwest Region: July 1, 2013 - December 31, 2013

	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton	
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2013		473	42	16	86	68	48	84	117	12
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013		98%	100%	100%	99%	99%	100%	100%	97%	75%
% Timely in December 2013		99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	50%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013		290	26	5	67	41	26	53	64	8
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	88%
Percent in December 2013		99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	0%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>										
# Over 30 Days		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30		18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18

## EDD2 - Bristol-Galax Region: July 1, 2013 - December 31, 2013

	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	328	4	24	10	77	56	39	108	10
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	98%	92%	100%	100%
% Timely in December 2013	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	201	2	13	3	44	34	25	76	4
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	99%	100%	100%	100%	98%	100%	100%	99%	100%
Percent in December 2013	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>									
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD3 - Roanoke Region: July 1, 2013 - December 31, 2013

	Total EDD3	Alleghny/ Covington	Bote- tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont- gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>												
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	849	39	15	1	20	81	13	92	61	88	43	396
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	96%	97%	100%	0%	95%	98%	100%	99%	100%	99%	81%	94%
% Timely in December 2013	93%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	36%	95%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>												
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	457	23	9	1	13	46	5	55	25	41	24	215
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	97%	100%	67%	100%	92%	96%	100%	98%	100%	100%	100%	97%
Percent in December 2013	98%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	88%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	97%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>												
# Over 30 Days	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1
Average # of Days Over 30	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	20

## EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: July 1, 2013 - December 31, 2013

	<i>Total EDD4</i>	<i>Aug/S'ton /W'boro</i>	<i>Bath</i>	<i>Highland</i>	<i>Rockbridge/ BV/Lex</i>	<i>Rockingham/ HBurg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	304	187	1	0	18	98
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	99%	100%	100%	N/A	94%	98%
% Timely in December 2013	100%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	178	100	1	0	10	67
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%
Percent in December 2013	100%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD5 & EDD18 - Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: July 1, 2013 - December 31, 2013

	<i>Total EDD5</i>	<i>Clarke</i>	<i>Frederick</i>	<i>Page</i>	<i>Shen.</i>	<i>Warren</i>	<i>Winchester</i>	<i>Total EDD18</i>	<i>Accomack</i>	<i>Nhampton</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	147	2	36	24	8	38	39	90	63	27
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	95%	100%	89%	100%	100%	89%	100%	94%	95%	93%
% Timely in December 2013	92%	100%	100%	100%	100%	73%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	66	0	20	11	4	13	18	42	33	9
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	94%	N/A	95%	100%	100%	100%	83%	100%	100%	100%
Percent in December 2013	96%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	88%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>										
# Over 30 Days	3	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	33	0	0	0	0	33	0	0	0	0

## EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: July 1, 2013 - December 31, 2013

	<i>Total EDD6</i>	<i>Arlington</i>	<i>Fairfax</i>	<i>Loudoun</i>	<i>Prince William</i>	<i>Alexandria</i>	<i>Manassas</i>	<i>Manassas Park</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>								
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	1,021	75	380	69	348	111	26	12
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	91%	97%	95%	86%	84%	98%	88%	100%
% Timely in December 2013	87%	100%	88%	100%	76%	94%	100%	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>								
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	593	46	225	40	201	58	15	8
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	94%	100%	95%	88%	93%	95%	93%	100%
Percent in December 2013	92%	100%	93%	80%	94%	86%	83%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>								
# Over 30 Days	11	0	0	0	9	2	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	30	0	0	0	25	54	0	0

## EDD7 - Culpeper Region: July 1, 2013 - December 31, 2013

	<i>Total EDD7</i>	<i>Culpeper</i>	<i>Fauquier</i>	<i>Madison</i>	<i>Orange</i>	<i>Rapp.</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	112	54	28	13	15	2
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	92%	96%	93%	100%	73%	50%
% Timely in December 2013	88%	100%	100%	100%	60%	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	62	33	18	6	5	0
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	97%	100%	94%	100%	80%	N/A
Percent in December 2013	95%	100%	100%	100%	0%	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	4	0	0	0	4	0
Average # of Days Over 30	22	0	0	0	22	0

## EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: July 1, 2013 - December 31, 2013

	<i>Total EDD8</i>	<i>Albemarle</i>	<i>Fluvanna</i>	<i>Greene</i>	<i>Louisa</i>	<i>Nelson</i>	<i>Cville</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	246	65	9	12	31	17	112
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	99%	100%	100%	92%	100%	100%	99%
% Timely in December 2013	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	95%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	143	38	6	9	16	9	65
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	99%	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Percent in December 2013	98%	94%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	5	0	0	0	0	0	5

## EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: July 1, 2013 - December 31, 2013

	<i>Total EDD9</i>	<i>Amherst</i>	<i>Appom.</i>	<i>Bedford</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Lynchburg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	366	22	30	59	81	174
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	94%	95%	77%	88%	100%	97%
% Timely in December 2013	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	95%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	212	9	16	31	44	112
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	94%	100%	100%	90%	98%	92%
Percent in December 2013	95%	100%	100%	100%	100%	90%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD10 - Danville Region: July 1, 2013 - December 31, 2013

	<i>Total EDD10</i>	<i>Halifax</i>	<i>Henry/ Martinsville</i>	<i>Patrick</i>	<i>Pittsylvania</i>	<i>Danville</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	457	58	153	40	65	141
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	97%	90%	97%	100%	100%	97%
% Timely in December 2013	99%	94%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	245	23	91	24	36	71
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	97%	100%	96%	100%	100%	96%
Percent in December 2013	96%	100%	97%	100%	100%	91%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	1	1	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	53	53	0	0	0	0

## EDD11 – Southside Region: July 1, 2013 - December 31, 2013

	<i>Total EDD11</i>	<i>Amelia</i>	<i>Brunswick</i>	<i>Buckingham</i>	<i>Charlotte</i>	<i>Cumberland</i>	<i>Gr'nsville Emporia</i>	<i>Lunenburg</i>	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	<i>Nottoway</i>	<i>Pr. Edward</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>											
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	379	20	44	29	20	13	54	19	67	58	55
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	89%	100%	86%	97%	100%	92%	39%	100%	100%	98%	100%
% Timely in December 2013	81%	100%	73%	100%	100%	100%	20%	100%	100%	90%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>											
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	229	10	23	21	12	8	33	13	45	26	38
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	97%
Percent in December 2013	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>											
# Over 30 Days	10	0	2	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	14	0	9	0	0	0	16	0	0	0	0

## EDD12 - Richmond Region: July 1, 2013 - December 31, 2013

	<i>Total EDD12</i>	<i>Chesterfield/ Col Hgts</i>	<i>Goochland</i>	<i>Hanover</i>	<i>Henrico</i>	<i>Powhatan</i>	<i>Richmond City</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	1,284	240	7	26	389	24	598
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	89%	92%	86%	92%	78%	96%	94%
% Timely in December 2013	84%	97%	100%	100%	65%	67%	92%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	699	139	3	14	187	14	342
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	94%	96%	100%	86%	90%	93%	95%
Percent in December 2013	93%	95%	100%	86%	89%	75%	94%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	60	3	1	2	36	1	17
Average # of Days Over 30	65	115	44	46	76	11	39

## EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: July 1, 2013 - December 31, 2013

	<i>Total EDD13</i>	<i>Caroline</i>	<i>King George</i>	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	<i>Stafford</i>	<i>Fredericksburg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	374	66	24	119	80	85
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	89%	88%	100%	72%	100%	98%
% Timely in December 2013	75%	70%	100%	40%	100%	93%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	220	36	16	72	45	51
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	95%	100%	100%	93%	84%	100%
Percent in December 2013	92%	100%	100%	90%	73%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	16	4	0	12	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	35	85	0	18	0	0

## EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: July 1, 2013 - December 31, 2013

	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North- umberland	Richmond County	West- moreland
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	141	30	9	17	11	6	21	11	13	23
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	91%	93%	22%	100%	100%	67%	95%	100%	100%	100%
% Timely in December 2013	96%	100%	50%	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	71	19	2	10	4	2	12	5	5	12
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	96%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	92%	100%	100%	83%
Percent in December 2013	97%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	86%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>										
# Over 30 Days	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	163	0	0	0	0	0	162.67	0	0	0

## EDD15 - Peninsula Region: July 1, 2013 - December 31, 2013

	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	1,133	1	32	51	17	49	378	588	17
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	98%	100%	94%	96%	76%	96%	99%	98%	100%
% Timely in December 2013	97%	N/A	75%	100%	33%	100%	100%	99%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	618	1	13	29	12	33	192	327	11
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	96%	100%	100%	97%	100%	91%	98%	94%	100%
Percent in December 2013	96%	N/A	100%	93%	100%	83%	98%	97%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>									
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	40	0	0	0	40	0	0	0	0

## EDD16 - Petersburg Region: July 1, 2013 - December 31, 2013

	<i>Total EDD16</i>	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	<i>Prince George</i>	<i>Surry</i>	<i>Sussex</i>	<i>Hopewell</i>	<i>Petersburg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	328	19	27	14	24	92	152
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	80%	100%	59%	100%	100%	65%	86%
% Timely in December 2013	78%	100%	67%	N/A	100%	88%	70%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	184	14	16	10	11	50	83
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	92%	93%	88%	100%	100%	86%	95%
Percent in December 2013	90%	100%	100%	100%	100%	83%	89%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	15	0	2	0	0	0	13
Average # of Days Over 30	35	0	18	0	0	0	37

## EDD17 - Tidewater Region: July 1, 2013 - December 31, 2013

	<i>Total EDD17</i>	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	<i>South- hampton</i>	<i>Chesapeake</i>	<i>Franklin City</i>	<i>Norfolk</i>	<i>Portsmouth</i>	<i>Suffolk</i>	<i>VA Beach</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	1,700	26	21	309	40	586	297	121	300
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	93%	88%	95%	94%	100%	89%	92%	97%	96%
% Timely in December 2013	95%	71%	100%	95%	100%	91%	100%	100%	97%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	951	11	9	173	24	327	174	77	156
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	94%	100%	100%	95%	100%	91%	95%	100%	94%
Percent in December 2013	92%	100%	100%	90%	100%	88%	95%	100%	90%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>									
# Over 30 Days	3	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	25	0	0	17	0	0	33	0	25

## *Child Support Enforcement - Statewide Totals*

### *Collections*

	<i>Dec-12</i>	<i>Dec-13</i>	<i>% Change</i>
TANF Cases Collected	14,071	14,683	4.3%
NTANF Cases Collected	126,762	131,448	3.7%
Total Cases Collected	140,833	146,131	3.8%
TANF Collections	\$2,271,625	\$2,590,011	14.0%
NTANF Collections	\$46,027,611	\$50,695,693	10.1%
Total Collections	\$48,299,236	\$53,285,704	10.3%
SFY YTD Collections	\$307,093,665	\$314,464,967	2.4%
SFY YTD Average Collection	\$357.13	\$359.89	0.8%

### *Paternities Established*

	<i>Dec-13</i>
TANF Paternities	144
NTANF Paternities	293
Combined Paternities	437

# *Diversionsary Assistance*

## *December Diversionsary Assistance Cases*

<b>Locality</b>	<b>Number of Cases</b>		<b>Locality</b>	<b>Number of Cases</b>
Alleghany / Covington	1		Prince William	1
Amelia	1		Roanoke County	4
Augusta / Staunt'n / W'boro	2		Rockingham/ H'burg	2
Botetourt	1		Shenandoah	5
Chesterfield/Colonial Hgts	4		Spotsylvania	9
Fairfax/ Falls Church	1		Warren	6
Fauquier	2		Bristol	5
Franklin County	1		Charlottesville	4
Frederick	2		Chesapeake	2
Gloucester	1		Danville	1
Greene	2		Fredericksburg	1
Henrico	3		Hampton	5
Henry / Martinsville	3		Hopewell	3
Isle of Wight	1		Newport News	13
Loudoun	1		Norfolk	11
Louisa	1		Petersburg	2
Lunenburg	1		Richmond City	2
Mecklenburg	3		Roanoke City	8
Orange	1		Suffolk	2
Patrick	1		Virginia Beach	8
Pittsylvania	2		Winchester	1
			Statewide	130

## *Definitions of Selected Terms Contained in Report*

**Average Monthly Earnings.** This is the average monthly earnings of the unsubsidized jobs that participants obtained. The value is calculated by multiplying the hourly wage by the weekly hours worked by 4.3 average weeks per month. For the cumulative figures the initial wage and initial hours are used. For the current figures, the initial wage and most current hours are used, except for the November 2001 report which used initial hours. Earlier than June 1999, wage changes that were entered overwrote the initial wage, so prior to that date, the cumulative figures used the initial hours and the last wage entered. With the advent of the VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) program in October 2006 the end of month average monthly earnings might be expected to decline somewhat because prior to VTP, cases with high earnings might remain open for job follow-up, but after the implementation of VTP the VIEW case would be closed when the TANF case was closed and a VTP case opened. VTP cases are not included in this report except for estimating the participation rate.

**Average Wage.** The average of the wages reported for each occurrence of unsubsidized employment. After June 1999 the wage is the wage at hiring, that is, the initial wage. Prior to June 1999, that wage may have been updated if changed during employment. After the implementation of the VIEW Transitional Payment program the end of month point in time average wage might be expected to decline some for the same reason mentioned in the explanation of possible decline in Average Monthly earnings, above. See note 6 on page 44.

**Cases Closed with Employment.** These are TANF or TANF-UP cases that are closed with an indication in the data base of employment at the date of closure. It does not mean that the case necessarily closed because of employment. For example, a TANF case may have an indication of closing at the client's request, along with indication of existing employment in VIEW. That case is counted as closed with employment.

**EDD.** This stands for Economic Development District. EDDs were developed during the administration of Governor Allen. They generally are no longer in use. This report continues to refer to them because VIEW was phased in by EDD and their use provides consistency over time.

**Enrolled.** Unduplicated number by locality of TANF recipients who were interviewed, received an individual assessment, signed the Agreement of Personal Responsibility, and enrolled in the VIEW program. Because the count is by locality, a recipient may be counted once in more than one locality. The fiscal year to date numbers include those who were enrolled at the beginning of the fiscal year as well as those enrolled during the year. There are clients enrolled in VIEW who have had their TANF case closed because VIEW policy requires that the enrollment remain open for job follow-up after employment unless the employment meets federal work participation guidelines, in which case the VIEW case is closed and a VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) case is opened.

**Full Time Employment.** Employment at 30 hours a week or greater.

**Participants Assigned to Inactive.** Inactive is a status assigned to participants who cannot participate in the VIEW program for reasons other than being exempt. Examples are unavailability of child care, unavailability of transportation, and mental or medical problems that make participation impractical. Inactive participants are due to be reviewed each month. While in inactive status, the 24 month time limit for TANF benefits is suspended.

**Participants Employed.** Total number of participants by locality who obtained an unsubsidized job, either full time or part time. If employed more than one time, they are still only counted once, but may be counted in more than one locality. Also, these participants may have left their job and not gotten another one. For the year to date numbers, those employed at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first became employed in the fiscal year.

**Participants in a Work Activity.** Unduplicated count by locality of persons who have been in any of the following components: unsubsidized employment, full employment program (FEP), on-the-job training (OJT), community work experience program (CWEP), public service program (PSP), vocational education and training, and job search/job readiness. For example, a person who was in community work experience and then employed would be counted only once.

For the year to date numbers, those in a work activity at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first entered a work activity in the fiscal year. Note that this is a revised definition of work activity from reports through October 2006. The revised definition captures the activities that count toward the first 20 hours of federal participation requirements – the core work activities. Clients who are counted as being in a core work activity must meet additional participation rate requirements in order to be included in the work participation rate, and only VIEW clients are counted here, not all work eligible TANF clients **Thus, these percentages in a work activity are quite different from the percentages in the TANF work participation rate.**

**Part Time Employment.** Employment at fewer than 30 weekly hours.

**Referred.** Unduplicated number of TANF recipients by locality who were initially evaluated as eligible for VIEW and had their names referred to the automated VIEW list for participation.

**Relationship Between TANF and VIEW Participation.** On the chart at page 5a, the column heading “Total Adults in the Case” includes adults who are not considered to be part of the assistance unit. For example, a mother receiving SSI may be in the case, but because she receives SSI is not considered eligible for TANF and thus is not part of the assistance unit. Persons such as she who are not in the assistance unit are not eligible to participate in VIEW. Also included are adults who are part of the assistance unit but exempt from VIEW

**Five Months Employment.** In earlier reports, this represented the percent of all participants that were employed 150 days after their first employment. Participants who were employed in any job 150 days after their first employment were counted in this measure.. This measure became unavailable after July 1, 2011. See note 9 on page 44.

**Three Months Employment.** This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 90 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 90 days after their first employment are counted in this measure. For year to date percents, the calculations are based on the first employment that began one year before the end of the report month. That employment may not have been the first ever for the participant. The year to date percents were calculated differently in reports before September 2004. Also for year to date percents, transferred cases were not counted for months after the January 2002 report.

**TANF / TANF-UP Payment Cases.** Up to November 2003 these counts were taken from a monthly report with no adjustment. After that, the monthly counts included some cases that were being paid because child support payments had been received and were being passed on. These counts were adjusted to mostly eliminate those additional cases. Further adjustment may be appropriate. It should also be noted that the counts include both strictly TANF cases and MOE cases for some years. With the end of waiver provisions in July 2003, a substantial part of the caseload was placed in a state program and funded with MOE funds. This change in July 2003 was a change in funding, not a change in eligibility requirements or case payment amounts. It ended in September 2006 when VIEW transitional payments (VTP) were introduced. Adjustments have been made to take out VTP cases. Also, TANF-UP cases are currently funded with only state monies.

**VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP).** Beginning in October 2006, VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) were introduced. These are payments of \$50 per client per month for one year to VIEW clients that leave “regular” TANF with employment sufficient to meet Federal work participation requirements. The employment must be maintained to be eligible for VTP. Previously, VTP clients normally would have remained VIEW clients for five or six months of job-follow-up. Thus, the VTP program has had a diminishing effect on some of the statistics in this report such as end of month percent employed and average wage. See graph on page 5a.

**Time That Data Represent.** The data in the top sections of page 4 and pages 8 – 24 are point in time data as of the date indicated. The year to date section represents state fiscal year to date data, with the exception of three month retention (see above). The bottom section is cumulative from when the locality began VIEW.

**General Statement About Accuracy.** The report is based on an extract from the Employment Services automated system. The extract is normally made the night of the first work day after the end of the report month. Data that staff of local social services agencies enter into the automated system after the time of the extract are not included in the report, even though the activity reflected actually occurred in the report month. However, that data, and the activity reflected, are included in the reports for subsequent months. Further, because the data are entered by staff in the 120 localities, the accuracy of the data in the data base is, of course, subject to inadvertent error.


- <sup>1</sup> Applications are not necessarily acted upon in the month that they are received. Also, applications may be transferred from regular TANF to TANF-UP and vice versa as they are processed. November applications are being reviewed for accuracy.
- <sup>2</sup> These TANF cases paid counts are prior to possible adjustment. See definition of payment cases. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes.
- <sup>3</sup> VIEW enrolled counts do not include VTP clients
- <sup>4</sup> A case may be open in more than one locality during the time period.
- <sup>5</sup> Prior to June 1999, when individuals were transferred from one locality to another, their history was transferred as well. This may have an effect on a locality's cumulative VIEW statistics.
- <sup>6</sup> The minimum wage rose from \$6.55 to \$7.25 an hour on 7/24/09. The report reflects initial wages so some effect on wages in the report was delayed. The average wage includes full and part time, unless otherwise indicated. See the definition of average wage on page 42.
- <sup>7</sup> Includes full and part time earnings.
- <sup>8</sup> This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed three months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed three months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous. See definition on page 43 for the revised method of calculating the year to date percentages.
- <sup>9</sup> Until the July 2011 report, this was employed after five months. However, on July 1, 2011 the number of required job follow-ups was reduced to three. Thus, data for five month job retention became unavailable.
- <sup>10</sup> Number includes TANF-UP and represents cases carried over to the next month. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes, but with the advent of VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) such occurrences were reduced from earlier months.
- <sup>11</sup> Enrollment is timely if done within 30 days of referral in previous month of the state fiscal year. The monthly percent includes evaluation of clients waiting in the queue. The year to date does not.
- <sup>12</sup> Placement in a work activity on the 95th day after referral was a measure of timeliness before Oct 1, 2006. The revised definition of work activity has increased the percentage from reports before November 2006. Clients whose cases have closed before the 95<sup>th</sup> day are excluded, so 100% is an attainable mark.
- <sup>14</sup> As of the morning of Jan 2, 2014. Some referrals to the waiting list are not appropriate; there may be considerable lag time in correcting them.

This report is available on the Department of Social Services web site at:  
[http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial\\_assistance/tanf.cgi](http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial_assistance/tanf.cgi)

The scores in the VIEW Rankings are determined by placing a locality on a scale of zero to ten in the same relative position as its place in the difference between the high and low of the measure in question. This converts all measures to a similar scale and provides a more equitable way to combine the ratings in each measure to determine a combined rank. (Questions, please call 804 726-7618.)

**Comments on the format or content of the report and requests for Excel files for portions of current and past reports may be sent by e-mail to: [william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov](mailto:william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov).**

## TANF and TANF-UP Cases Paid at Six Month Intervals, June 1995 through December 2013




This graph illustrates the dramatic decline of the TANF caseload as VIEW was implemented. There was some sign of slowing in the rate of decline after June 1998. Nevertheless, wide community involvement, a strong economy, and the effect of the 24 month rule allowed the caseload to continue to fall until it was near the 30,000 level. There was little net change in the caseload from June 2000 to June 2002, but some upward movement was seen after June 2002, until 2005. The more recent trends are presumed to be a result of economic conditions. The caseload leveled off after recession spurred growth and now is declining.

Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

# Applications Received with Approval Percentage


Six Month Asymmetrical Moving Averages by Quarter, July 1995 through December 2013



This graph of application activity is presented as six month moving averages to produce smoother lines from which trends may be seen and in recognition that applications are not always acted on in the month received. The trends are not dramatic, but there seems to have been a general decline in the number of applications received until the July 2001 data. The decline in applications received after December 2004 and the more recent changes appear to be reflected in the case load trends. While no reason has been determined for the general decline in percent approved, an apparent reason for the very recent decline is the availability of applications on line that offers ready access for applying to multiple assistance programs. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

## TANF Cases, VIEW Enrolled, and Employed VIEW Participants


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide  
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. December is the last month



This graph shows that the number enrolled in VIEW increased during early implementation and then generally tracked the number of cases. The effects of recent economic conditions are apparent. A VIEW case may remain open for some months after the TANF case closes, if job follow-up is being done and the case has not been converted to the VIEW Transitional Payment program. It is unlikely that the number employed will ever equal the number enrolled because there are always new enrollees without employment, and there are some enrollees in work activities, other than employment, that meet VIEW requirements. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months. It includes TANF-UP

## Chart Displaying the Relationships Between TANF and VIEW Participation


December 31, 2013



This chart illustrates that there are a large number of adults who are exempt from VIEW and that many of the VIEW enrollees have had their TANF cases closed. They are now in a job follow-up phase of VIEW. They have not had their VIEW case closed and become enrolled in the VIEW Transitional Payment program. The source of this information is a monthly TANF extract from ADAPT and the monthly extract from ESPAS, the VIEW automated data system. The extracts were made at the beginning of January and represent end of December data. TANF-UP is included.

## VIEW Percent Employed and Average Wage at End of Month


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide  
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. December is the last month.



This graph shows that the average wage has seen a general increase as the percent of VIEW employed initially increased and then leveled. Besides employment, VIEW participants may be in various other activities or initial assessment and job search. It is unlikely that the percent employed will rise more, and the recent economic conditions may have caused a decline. The wages shown are generally the initial wages. When the average wage was calculated at about the end of December it was \$8.51 rather than the \$8.40 initial wages used to calculate wages shown on this graph. See page 42 of the report for an explanation of why the VIEW average wage might decline after October 2006 and note 6 on page 44 for the effect of the increase in minimum wage. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.

## Percent of Jobs Found During a Month That Were Full Time and Percent Part Time

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide  
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. December is the last month



This graph illustrates an initial trend of VIEW participants obtaining a greater percent of full time jobs than part time. After the initial months, the trend seems to be fairly level with an increase in the percent full time after TANF reauthorization which probably reflects increased emphasis on meeting the required TANF work participation rate. The more recent changes are presumed to be a result of economic developments and increased use of other work activities to help meet the required work participation hours. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.