

VIRGINIA INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM

MONTHLY REPORT

Including Graphs of Statewide Trends

February 2014

Prepared by:

Virginia Department of Social Services March 26, 2014

Monthly Report, February 2014

Table of Contents

Work Participation Estimates (from the VDSS data warehouse) (A work participation estimate percent should not be compared to a percent in a work activity in the main body of the report which includes only clients in VIEW and does not consider the number of hours in a work activity during a month)	i-vi
TANF, TANF-UP Case Activity.....	1
Graphs of Case Activity.....	at end
VIEW Work Activity	
Statewide	4
Graphs of Statewide Activity.....	at end
EDD1.....	8
EDD2.....	9
EDD3.....	10
EDD4.....	11
EDD5 & EDD18.....	12
EDD6.....	13
EDD7.....	14
EDD8.....	15
EDD9.....	16
EDD10.....	17
EDD11.....	18
EDD14.....	19
EDD1.....	20
EDD3.....	21
EDD11.....	22
EDD15.....	23
EDD17.....	24
VIEW Year to Date Rankings.....	25-27
VIEW Cumulative Rankings.....	28-30
VIEW Timeliness Measures.....	31-39
Child Support Enforcement.....	40
Diversion.....	41
VIEW Definition Sheet and Endnotes.....	42-44

Estimated Work Participation Rates for February

These are estimated locality February 2014 work participation rates that include clients receiving either TANF or VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). The official participation rate will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated February statewide rate is 43% with a numerator of 6,229 and a denominator of 14,466.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	20	65	31%	061	Fauquier	17	45	38%
003	Albemarle	32	62	52%	063	Floyd	4	12	33%
005	Alleghany/Covington	18	40	45%	065	Fluvanna	5	15	33%
007	Amelia	12	22	55%	067	Franklin County	52	96	54%
009	Amherst	14	29	48%	069	Frederick	18	33	55%
011	Appomattox	27	49	55%	071	Giles	7	17	41%
013	Arlington	63	105	60%	073	Gloucester	17	41	41%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	100	209	48%	075	Goochland	3	10	30%
017	Bath	0	0	N/A	077	Grayson	6	12	50%
019	Bedford County/City	38	85	45%	079	Greene	5	14	36%
021	Bland	4	6	67%	081	Greensville/Emporia	39	80	49%
023	Botetourt	1	10	10%	083	Halifax	21	87	24%
025	Brunswick	18	48	38%	085	Hanover	29	68	43%
027	Buchanan	19	57	33%	087	Henrico	239	714	33%
029	Buckingham	13	30	43%	089	Henry/Martinsville	64	216	30%
031	Campbell	53	120	44%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	33	81	41%	093	Isle of Wight	19	47	40%
035	Carroll	18	35	51%	095	James City	28	84	33%
036	Charles City	0	5	0%	097	King and Queen	2	10	20%
037	Charlotte	10	40	25%	099	King George	15	20	75%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	152	409	37%	101	King William	10	18	56%
043	Clarke	1	5	20%	103	Lancaster	6	16	38%
045	Craig	1	5	20%	105	Lee	53	113	47%
047	Culpeper	36	71	51%	107	Loudoun	46	127	36%
049	Cumberland	14	29	48%	109	Louisa	28	53	53%
051	Dickensen	12	21	57%	111	Lunenburg	11	25	44%
053	Dinwiddie	14	40	35%	113	Madison	1	5	20%
057	Essex	21	38	55%	115	Mathews	8	14	57%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	249	556	45%	117	Mecklenburg	20	46	43%

Estimated Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent
119	Middlesex	24	43	56%	187	Warren	32	72	44%
121	Montgomery	54	131	41%	191	Washington	31	74	42%
125	Nelson	4	8	50%	193	Westmoreland	12	26	46%
127	New Kent	7	25	28%	195	Wise	56	131	43%
131	Northampton	16	55	29%	197	Wythe	11	44	25%
133	Northumberland	7	14	50%	199	York/Poquoson	35	78	45%
135	Nottoway	24	64	38%	510	Alexandria	83	179	46%
137	Orange	14	44	32%	520	Bristol	66	116	57%
139	Page	7	17	41%	540	Charlottesville	86	150	57%
141	Patrick	15	46	33%	550	Chesapeake	257	535	48%
143	Pittsylvania	29	62	47%	590	Danville	64	162	40%
145	Powhatan	8	20	40%	620	Franklin City	27	54	50%
147	Prince Edward	38	67	57%	630	Fredericksburg	43	98	44%
149	Prince George	7	41	17%	640	Galax	15	24	63%
153	Prince William	263	490	54%	650	Hampton	343	676	51%
155	Pulaski	29	61	48%	670	Hopewell	36	154	23%
157	Rappahannock	1	7	14%	680	Lynchburg	98	268	37%
159	Richmond County	1	24	4%	683	Manassas	29	59	49%
161	Roanoke County	46	122	38%	685	Manassas Park	4	11	36%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	12	33	36%	700	Newport News	357	789	45%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	60	111	54%	710	Norfolk	490	1,018	48%
167	Russell	35	92	38%	720	Norton	12	28	43%
169	Scott	33	74	45%	730	Petersburg	111	283	39%
171	Shenandoah	7	20	35%	740	Portsmouth	178	523	34%
173	Smyth	40	94	43%	750	Radford	14	38	37%
175	Southampton	20	42	48%	760	Richmond City	493	1,177	42%
177	Spotsylvania	92	209	44%	770	Roanoke City	142	430	33%
179	Stafford	51	143	36%	800	Suffolk	87	161	54%
181	Surry	8	17	47%	810	Virginia Beach	213	426	50%
183	Sussex	12	39	31%	830	Williamsburg	17	25	68%
185	Tazewell	36	87	41%	840	Winchester	21	45	47%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF and VTP recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rate presented here is the all families rate, i. e. the overall rate, including VTP..

Estimated TANF only Work Participation Rates for February

These are estimated locality February 2014 work participation rates that include only clients receiving TANF. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated February statewide rate is 37% with a numerator of 4,722 and a denominator of 12,797. VTP only estimates are on pages v and vi.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	13	57	23%	061	Fauquier	10	38	26%
003	Albemarle	23	49	47%	063	Floyd	4	12	33%
005	Alleghany/Covington	15	37	41%	065	Fluvanna	5	15	33%
007	Amelia	9	18	50%	067	Franklin County	40	83	48%
009	Amherst	11	26	42%	069	Frederick	13	28	46%
011	Appomattox	23	44	52%	071	Giles	7	17	41%
013	Arlington	46	86	53%	073	Gloucester	11	35	31%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	68	177	38%	075	Goochland	1	8	13%
017	Bath	0	0	N/A	077	Grayson	6	12	50%
019	Bedford County/City	34	80	43%	079	Greene	3	12	25%
021	Bland	4	6	67%	081	Greensville/Emporia	31	72	43%
023	Botetourt	0	9	0%	083	Halifax	15	81	19%
025	Brunswick	15	44	34%	085	Hanover	18	57	32%
027	Buchanan	16	54	30%	087	Henrico	169	623	27%
029	Buckingham	6	21	29%	089	Henry/Martinsville	42	191	22%
031	Campbell	39	106	37%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	28	75	37%	093	Isle of Wight	13	40	33%
035	Carroll	16	33	48%	095	James City	21	77	27%
036	Charles City	0	4	0%	097	King and Queen	2	10	20%
037	Charlotte	6	36	17%	099	King George	8	13	62%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	123	369	33%	101	King William	6	14	43%
043	Clarke	0	4	0%	103	Lancaster	4	14	29%
045	Craig	1	5	20%	105	Lee	50	110	45%
047	Culpeper	25	58	43%	107	Loudoun	21	99	21%
049	Cumberland	13	28	46%	109	Louisa	15	39	38%
051	Dickensen	8	17	47%	111	Lunenburg	11	23	48%
053	Dinwiddie	9	35	26%	113	Madison	1	5	20%
057	Essex	18	35	51%	115	Mathews	6	12	50%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	156	458	34%	117	Mecklenburg	16	41	39%

Estimated TANF Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	23	41	56%	187	Warren	23	63	37%
121	Montgomery	42	117	36%	191	Washington	27	70	39%
125	Nelson	3	7	43%	193	Westmoreland	11	25	44%
127	New Kent	5	23	22%	195	Wise	40	115	35%
131	Northampton	12	51	24%	197	Wythe	9	42	21%
133	Northumberland	6	11	55%	199	York/Poquoson	29	72	40%
135	Nottoway	22	61	36%	510	Alexandria	67	161	42%
137	Orange	13	41	32%	520	Bristol	60	106	57%
139	Page	6	16	38%	540	Charlottesville	60	124	48%
141	Patrick	9	40	23%	550	Chesapeake	198	470	42%
143	Pittsylvania	27	59	46%	590	Danville	48	144	33%
145	Powhatan	4	16	25%	620	Franklin City	20	45	44%
147	Prince Edward	26	55	47%	630	Fredericksburg	37	91	41%
149	Prince George	5	36	14%	640	Galax	13	21	62%
153	Prince William	175	401	44%	650	Hampton	269	590	46%
155	Pulaski	22	54	41%	670	Hopewell	30	147	20%
157	Rappahannock	0	4	0%	680	Lynchburg	84	252	33%
159	Richmond County	1	22	5%	683	Manassas	21	50	42%
161	Roanoke County	26	102	25%	685	Manassas Park	3	10	30%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	10	31	32%	700	Newport News	262	685	38%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	43	94	46%	710	Norfolk	411	937	44%
167	Russell	30	86	35%	720	Norton	10	26	38%
169	Scott	27	68	40%	730	Petersburg	99	261	38%
171	Shenandoah	4	17	24%	740	Portsmouth	139	482	29%
173	Smyth	32	85	38%	750	Radford	11	35	31%
175	Southampton	14	35	40%	760	Richmond City	404	1,081	37%
177	Spotsylvania	73	189	39%	770	Roanoke City	96	380	25%
179	Stafford	36	125	29%	800	Suffolk	64	137	47%
181	Surry	7	16	44%	810	Virginia Beach	135	345	39%
183	Sussex	9	36	25%	830	Williamsburg	9	17	53%
185	Tazewell	31	82	38%	840	Winchester	16	40	40%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are for the TANF all family rate not including VTP.

Estimated VTP only Work Participation Rates for February

These are estimated locality February 2014 work participation rates that include only clients receiving VTP. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated February statewide rate is 90% with a numerator of 1,507 and a denominator of 1,669. TANF only estimates are on pages iii and iv.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	7	8	88%	061	Fauquier	7	7	100%
003	Albemarle	9	13	69%	063	Floyd	0	0	N/A
005	Alleghany/Covington	3	3	100%	065	Fluvanna	0	0	N/A
007	Amelia	3	4	75%	067	Franklin County	12	13	92%
009	Amherst	3	3	100%	069	Frederick	5	5	100%
011	Appomattox	4	5	80%	071	Giles	0	0	N/A
013	Arlington	17	19	89%	073	Gloucester	6	6	100%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	32	32	100%	075	Goochland	2	2	100%
017	Bath	0	0	N/A	077	Grayson	0	0	N/A
019	Bedford County/City	4	5	80%	079	Greene	2	2	100%
021	Bland	0	0	N/A	081	Greensville/Emporia	8	8	100%
023	Botetourt	1	1	100%	083	Halifax	6	6	100%
025	Brunswick	3	4	75%	085	Hanover	11	11	100%
027	Buchanan	3	3	100%	087	Henrico	70	91	77%
029	Buckingham	7	9	78%	089	Henry/Martinsville	22	25	88%
031	Campbell	14	14	100%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	5	6	83%	093	Isle of Wight	6	7	86%
035	Carroll	2	2	100%	095	James City	7	7	100%
036	Charles City	0	1	0%	097	King and Queen	0	0	N/A
037	Charlotte	4	4	100%	099	King George	7	7	100%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	29	40	73%	101	King William	4	4	100%
043	Clarke	1	1	100%	103	Lancaster	2	2	100%
045	Craig	0	0	N/A	105	Lee	3	3	100%
047	Culpeper	11	13	85%	107	Loudoun	25	28	89%
049	Cumberland	1	1	100%	109	Louisa	13	14	93%
051	Dickensen	4	4	100%	111	Lunenburg	0	2	0%
053	Dinwiddie	5	5	100%	113	Madison	0	0	N/A
057	Essex	3	3	100%	115	Mathews	2	2	100%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	93	98	95%	117	Mecklenburg	4	5	80%

Estimated VTP Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	1	2	50%	187	Warren	9	9	100%
121	Montgomery	12	14	86%	191	Washington	4	4	100%
125	Nelson	1	1	100%	193	Westmoreland	1	1	100%
127	New Kent	2	2	100%	195	Wise	16	16	100%
131	Northampton	4	4	100%	197	Wythe	2	2	100%
133	Northumberland	1	3	33%	199	York/Poquoson	6	6	100%
135	Nottoway	2	3	67%	510	Alexandria	16	18	89%
137	Orange	1	3	33%	520	Bristol	6	10	60%
139	Page	1	1	100%	540	Charlottesville	26	26	100%
141	Patrick	6	6	100%	550	Chesapeake	59	65	91%
143	Pittsylvania	2	3	67%	590	Danville	16	18	89%
145	Powhatan	4	4	100%	620	Franklin City	7	9	78%
147	Prince Edward	12	12	100%	630	Fredericksburg	6	7	86%
149	Prince George	2	5	40%	640	Galax	2	3	67%
153	Prince William	88	89	99%	650	Hampton	74	86	86%
155	Pulaski	7	7	100%	670	Hopewell	6	7	86%
157	Rappahannock	1	3	33%	680	Lynchburg	14	16	88%
159	Richmond County	0	2	0%	683	Manassas	8	9	89%
161	Roanoke County	20	20	100%	685	Manassas Park	1	1	100%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	2	2	100%	700	Newport News	95	104	91%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	17	17	100%	710	Norfolk	79	81	98%
167	Russell	5	6	83%	720	Norton	2	2	100%
169	Scott	6	6	100%	730	Petersburg	12	22	55%
171	Shenandoah	3	3	100%	740	Portsmouth	39	41	95%
173	Smyth	8	9	89%	750	Radford	3	3	100%
175	Southampton	6	7	86%	760	Richmond City	89	96	93%
177	Spotsylvania	19	20	95%	770	Roanoke City	46	50	92%
179	Stafford	15	18	83%	800	Suffolk	23	24	96%
181	Surry	1	1	100%	810	Virginia Beach	78	81	96%
183	Sussex	3	3	100%	830	Williamsburg	8	8	100%
185	Tazewell	5	5	100%	840	Winchester	5	5	100%

All VTP recipients are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” Thus, all VTP cases are in the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, job follow-up entries are reviewed to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. The basic requirement for VTP recipients is employment for at least 30 hours per week. The requirement is contained in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are also sometimes referred to as the rates for the maintenance of effort program caseload. .

TANF

TANF Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	Feb-14	Feb-13	Change from Feb-13	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	3,760	3,936	-4%	4,807	-22%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	2,272	3,286	-31%	1,658	37%
Applications Approved	1,356	1,598	-15%	2,820	-52%
Cases Closed *	2,080	2,268	-8%	4,476	-54%
Cases at End of Month	26,984	30,216	-11%	67,995	-60%
Cases Paid During Month ²	27,258	30,433	-10%	70,403	-61%

•The database indicates that of the 2,080 closed cases, 825 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 530 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 171 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 117 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

TANF-UP

TANF-UP Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	Feb-14	Feb-13	Change from Feb-13	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	338	419	-19%	338	0%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	247	335	-26%	232	6%
Applications Approved	204	168	21%	81	152%
Cases Closed *	219	219	0%	107	105%
Cases at End of Month	1,598	1,694	-6%	321	398%
Cases Paid During Month ²	1,632	1,667	-2%	394	314%

•The database indicates that of the 219 closed cases, 125 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 99 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 17 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those 16 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

Statewide - Status through February 28, 2014

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/28/14 and Work Activity as of 2/28/14

Current Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	28,582	1,521	860	2,251	784	404	3,151	355	573	1,125	1,285	939	4,514	1,021	404	2,939	1,067	5,134	255
Total Enrolled in VIEW	10,953	562	352	686	280	162	1,273	104	262	477	358	398	1,730	495	159	1,154	447	1,951	103
Part. in a Work Activity	8,514	475	295	480	234	125	1,021	73	231	378	273	324	1,329	372	126	946	275	1,496	61
% of Enrolled	78%	85%	84%	70%	84%	77%	80%	70%	88%	79%	76%	81%	77%	75%	79%	82%	62%	77%	59%
Part. Assigned to Inactive	114	2	1	10	0	1	12	8	2	0	1	3	19	12	2	11	7	22	1
Participants Employed	5,760	278	178	378	189	96	663	53	161	252	158	180	869	268	99	641	195	1,054	48
% of Enrolled	53%	49%	51%	55%	68%	59%	52%	51%	61%	53%	44%	45%	50%	54%	62%	56%	44%	54%	47%
Full Time	4,366	203	128	286	159	81	485	43	108	171	126	139	637	200	74	481	168	850	27
Part Time	1,394	75	50	92	30	15	178	10	53	81	32	41	232	68	25	160	27	204	21
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.49	\$7.97	\$7.84	\$8.30	\$8.30	\$8.27	\$10.12	\$8.27	\$8.65	\$8.10	\$8.00	\$8.07	\$8.55	\$8.85	\$8.00	\$8.18	\$8.48	\$8.17	\$7.63
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,112	\$1,051	\$1,003	\$1,112	\$1,138	\$1,103	\$1,309	\$1,101	\$1,105	\$1,015	\$1,095	\$1,080	\$1,112	\$1,156	\$1,044	\$1,069	\$1,208	\$1,066	\$894

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – February 28, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	17,778	884	594	1,510	548	271	2,023	220	388	648	776	617	2,489	686	219	1,973	646	3,132	154
Total Enrolled in VIEW	23,402	1,147	785	1,779	681	364	2,649	252	554	958	887	851	3,411	962	312	2,633	831	4,124	222
Part. in a Work Activity	20,734	1,085	729	1,518	631	310	2,352	228	530	865	803	774	2,941	822	277	2,345	686	3,647	191
% of Total Enrolled	89%	95%	93%	85%	93%	85%	89%	90%	96%	90%	91%	91%	86%	85%	89%	89%	83%	88%	86%
Participants Employed	12,721	583	407	954	443	204	1,488	145	366	556	396	405	1,842	543	188	1,420	417	2,251	113
% of Total Enrolled	54%	51%	52%	54%	65%	56%	56%	58%	66%	58%	45%	48%	54%	56%	60%	54%	50%	55%	51%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.56	\$7.93	\$7.89	\$8.36	\$8.39	\$8.42	\$10.16	\$8.72	\$8.77	\$8.17	\$8.11	\$8.26	\$8.62	\$8.82	\$8.05	\$8.31	\$8.53	\$8.21	\$7.79
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,104	\$1,026	\$1,017	\$1,114	\$1,146	\$1,135	\$1,315	\$1,159	\$1,055	\$991	\$1,036	\$1,048	\$1,104	\$1,114	\$989	\$1,070	\$1,192	\$1,056	\$943
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	74%	62%	66%	66%	71%	75%	66%	72%	66%	58%	68%	75%	69%	72%	73%	65%	72%	79%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	298,678	18,093	11,203	22,300	8,816	5,812	37,394	4,239	6,523	11,234	13,637	8,706	39,707	9,733	3,974	28,713	10,063	55,814	2,717
Total Enrolled in VIEW ⁵	231,006	14,008	9,446	17,305	6,866	4,360	28,913	3,212	5,080	9,335	10,949	6,833	31,250	7,286	3,000	21,896	7,878	41,350	2,039
Part. in a Work Activity	220,788	13,503	9,071	16,455	6,691	4,122	27,844	3,096	4,971	8,827	10,600	6,556	29,983	6,866	2,819	20,819	7,493	39,103	1,969
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	96%	95%	97%	95%	96%	96%	98%	95%	97%	96%	96%	94%	94%	95%	95%	95%	97%
Participants Employed	155,122	8,707	6,047	11,628	4,782	2,817	20,163	2,146	3,782	6,386	6,661	4,145	21,119	4,768	1,886	15,075	5,333	28,536	1,141
% of Total Enrolled	67%	62%	64%	67%	70%	65%	70%	67%	74%	68%	61%	61%	68%	65%	63%	69%	68%	69%	56%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.30	\$6.34	\$6.46	\$7.21	\$7.30	\$7.48	\$8.83	\$7.60	\$7.53	\$6.76	\$6.51	\$6.93	\$7.48	\$8.07	\$7.13	\$7.17	\$7.14	\$6.98	\$6.86
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$958	\$833	\$870	\$964	\$968	\$997	\$1,166	\$998	\$952	\$867	\$863	\$889	\$994	\$1,028	\$905	\$931	\$963	\$908	\$858
Three Months Employment ⁹	66%	67%	62%	60%	67%	63%	71%	64%	63%	64%	63%	65%	70%	65%	58%	66%	65%	67%	72%

EDD1 - Southwest Region: Status through February 28, 2014

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/28/14 and Work Activity as of 2/28/14

Current Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,521	163	66	279	214	152	238	357	52
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	562	45	16	150	66	63	90	114	18
Participants in a Work Activity	475	42	14	137	57	54	81	77	13
% of Enrolled	85%	93%	88%	91%	86%	86%	90%	68%	72%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
Participants Employed	278	26	11	64	19	35	53	58	12
% of Enrolled	49%	58%	69%	43%	29%	56%	59%	51%	67%
Full Time	203	14	11	43	12	26	43	45	9
Part Time	75	12	0	21	7	9	10	13	3
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.97	\$8.39	\$8.05	\$7.96	\$7.56	\$8.18	\$8.10	\$7.58	\$8.33
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,051	\$1,100	\$1,160	\$1,016	\$889	\$1,149	\$1,104	\$989	\$1,063

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – February 28, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	884	66	31	169	125	76	162	230	25
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,147	107	45	241	141	131	177	273	32
Participants in a Work Activity	1,085	104	40	233	130	124	175	252	27
% of Total Enrolled	95%	97%	89%	97%	92%	95%	99%	92%	84%
Participants Employed	583	54	26	124	50	69	103	138	19
% of Total Enrolled	51%	50%	58%	51%	35%	53%	58%	51%	59%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.93	\$8.12	\$8.02	\$7.85	\$7.96	\$7.90	\$7.92	\$7.92	\$8.03
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,026	\$1,046	\$1,178	\$943	\$1,006	\$986	\$1,039	\$1,099	\$911
Three Months Employment ⁸	74%	74%	73%	72%	70%	88%	80%	64%	81%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	18,093	1,742	1,231	2,565	2,504	1,784	3,452	4,234	581
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	14,008	1,328	962	1,985	2,018	1,329	2,637	3,291	458
Participants in a Work Activity	13,503	1,250	906	1,971	1,920	1,306	2,548	3,182	420
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	94%	99%	95%	98%	97%	97%	92%
Participants Employed	8,707	714	578	1,288	1,208	768	1,762	2,053	336
% of Total Enrolled	62%	54%	60%	65%	60%	58%	67%	62%	73%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.34	\$6.50	\$6.36	\$6.15	\$6.50	\$6.65	\$6.23	\$6.35	\$6.15
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$833	\$848	\$846	\$800	\$859	\$892	\$821	\$835	\$756
Three Months Employment ⁹	67%	65%	54%	72%	67%	77%	66%	66%	71%

EDD2 – Bristol-Galax Region: Status through February 28, 2014

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/28/14 and Work Activity as of 2/28/14

Current Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	860	12	91	32	187	193	93	212	40
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	352	4	35	6	75	56	23	137	16
Participants in a Work Activity	295	4	33	5	60	42	16	119	16
% of Enrolled	84%	100%	94%	83%	80%	75%	70%	87%	100%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Participants Employed	178	2	18	4	45	25	13	60	11
% of Enrolled	51%	50%	51%	67%	60%	45%	57%	44%	69%
Full Time	128	2	17	3	30	15	11	42	8
Part Time	50	0	1	1	15	10	2	18	3
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.84	\$14.50	\$7.68	\$7.38	\$7.64	\$7.91	\$7.69	\$7.85	\$7.80
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,003	\$2,317	\$1,040	\$911	\$1,031	\$843	\$1,125	\$997	\$880

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – February 28, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	594	9	44	18	140	116	66	182	19
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	785	8	80	25	161	131	71	267	42
Participants in a Work Activity	729	8	77	24	144	118	67	252	39
% of Total Enrolled	93%	100%	96%	96%	89%	90%	94%	94%	93%
Participants Employed	407	3	41	13	86	69	41	130	24
% of Total Enrolled	52%	38%	51%	52%	53%	53%	58%	49%	57%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.89	\$11.06	\$7.63	\$7.68	\$7.85	\$7.87	\$7.78	\$8.00	\$7.80
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,017	\$1,607	\$1,032	\$1,087	\$1,080	\$966	\$1,070	\$974	\$916
Three Months Employment ⁸	62%	25%	50%	50%	53%	67%	75%	65%	65%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	11,203	279	1,476	697	1,976	1,967	1,633	2,415	760
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	9,446	209	1,223	567	1,677	1,638	1,302	2,163	667
Participants in a Work Activity	9,071	197	1,134	530	1,617	1,595	1,278	2,086	634
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	93%	93%	96%	97%	98%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	6,047	123	813	369	975	1,022	868	1,460	417
% of Total Enrolled	64%	59%	66%	65%	58%	62%	67%	67%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.46	\$6.45	\$6.24	\$6.43	\$6.34	\$6.69	\$6.42	\$6.54	\$6.39
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$870	\$887	\$836	\$861	\$873	\$898	\$852	\$877	\$885
Three Months Employment ⁹	62%	55%	54%	61%	63%	58%	67%	62%	69%

EDD3 – Roanoke Region: Status through February 28, 2014

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/28/14 and Work Activity as of 2/28/14

Current Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	2,251	91	36	12	47	208	48	281	167	245	72	1,044
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	686	30	8	3	16	73	7	100	36	78	25	310
Participants in a Work Activity	480	22	1	2	12	55	6	78	31	63	21	189
% of Enrolled	70%	73%	13%	67%	75%	75%	86%	78%	86%	81%	84%	61%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	3	3	0
Participants Employed	378	17	1	2	10	35	4	70	28	45	18	148
% of Enrolled	55%	57%	13%	67%	63%	48%	57%	70%	78%	58%	72%	48%
Full Time	286	11	1	1	8	21	2	66	24	31	13	108
Part Time	92	6	0	1	2	14	2	4	4	14	5	40
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.30	\$8.31	\$8.24	\$8.38	\$8.58	\$8.15	\$7.65	\$8.35	\$7.89	\$8.36	\$8.20	\$8.39
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,112	\$969	\$1,213	\$1,249	\$1,195	\$988	\$947	\$1,232	\$1,131	\$1,056	\$1,004	\$1,124

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – February 28, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	1,510	64	25	3	28	125	27	196	100	142	61	739
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,779	88	26	4	35	187	27	237	105	200	77	793
Participants in a Work Activity	1,518	79	14	3	31	164	23	209	95	168	63	669
% of Total Enrolled	85%	90%	54%	75%	89%	88%	85%	88%	90%	84%	82%	84%
Participants Employed	954	49	9	2	18	87	14	162	58	114	42	399
% of Total Enrolled	54%	56%	35%	50%	51%	47%	52%	68%	55%	57%	55%	50%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.36	\$8.22	\$9.06	\$8.38	\$8.68	\$8.37	\$7.54	\$8.54	\$7.99	\$8.46	\$7.97	\$8.36
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,114	\$1,001	\$1,279	\$1,241	\$1,174	\$1,104	\$932	\$1,211	\$1,119	\$1,060	\$1,040	\$1,114
Three Months Employment ⁸	66%	67%	60%	100%	71%	79%	73%	70%	72%	60%	72%	59%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	22,300	1,220	379	101	576	1,978	568	3,149	1,925	2,459	885	9,060
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	17,305	941	243	58	427	1,540	413	2,587	1,535	1,986	691	6,884
Participants in a Work Activity	16,455	902	211	56	411	1,387	404	2,542	1,473	1,864	662	6,543
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	87%	97%	96%	90%	98%	98%	96%	94%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	11,628	655	108	28	278	949	239	2,014	974	1,464	479	4,440
% of Total Enrolled	67%	70%	44%	48%	65%	62%	58%	78%	63%	74%	69%	64%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.21	\$6.97	\$7.49	\$7.30	\$7.12	\$7.13	\$7.13	\$7.07	\$6.88	\$7.71	\$7.09	\$7.28
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$964	\$847	\$957	\$1,048	\$947	\$956	\$953	\$951	\$952	\$1,039	\$916	\$979
Three Months Employment ⁹	60%	58%	55%	59%	54%	58%	52%	63%	53%	58%	62%	62%

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: Status through February 28, 2014

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/28/14 and Work Activity as of 2/28/14

Current Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	784	458	4	4	66	252
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	280	159	0	0	16	105
Participants in a Work Activity	234	130	N/A	N/A	13	91
% of Enrolled	84%	82%	N/A	N/A	81%	87%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	N/A	N/A	0	0
Participants Employed	189	99	N/A	N/A	10	80
% of Enrolled	68%	62%	N/A	N/A	63%	76%
Full Time	159	83	N/A	N/A	6	70
Part Time	30	16	N/A	N/A	4	10
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.30	\$8.25	N/A	N/A	\$8.33	\$8.35
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,138	\$1,120	N/A	N/A	\$904	\$1,189

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – February 28, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	548	314	1	0	26	207
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	681	411	1	0	42	227
Participants in a Work Activity	631	373	1	N/A	39	218
% of Total Enrolled	93%	91%	100%	N/A	93%	96%
Participants Employed	443	254	0	N/A	26	163
% of Total Enrolled	65%	62%	0%	N/A	62%	72%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.39	\$8.20	N/A	N/A	\$8.37	\$8.69
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,146	\$1,107	N/A	N/A	\$1,019	\$1,227
Three Months Employment ⁸	66%	62%	N/A	N/A	67%	69%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	8,816	4,719	80	23	759	3,235
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	6,866	3,737	51	8	571	2,499
Participants in a Work Activity	6,691	3,665	51	8	529	2,438
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	100%	100%	93%	98%
Participants Employed	4,782	2,509	32	6	401	1,834
% of Total Enrolled	70%	67%	63%	75%	70%	73%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.30	\$7.28	\$6.56	\$7.79	\$6.84	\$7.43
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$968	\$969	\$717	\$784	\$871	\$992
Three Months Employment ⁹	67%	67%	61%	83%	67%	68%

VIEW Work Activity

EDDS 5 & 18 – Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: Status through February 28, 2014

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/28/14 and Work Activity as of 2/28/14

Current Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	404	13	84	46	44	123	94	255	166	89
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	162	2	43	26	12	43	36	103	45	58
Participants in a Work Activity	125	1	29	17	12	35	31	61	38	23
% of Enrolled	77%	1	67%	65%	100%	81%	86%	59%	84%	40%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1
Participants Employed	96	1	24	13	8	25	25	48	28	20
% of Enrolled	59%	1	56%	50%	67%	58%	69%	47%	62%	34%
Full Time	81	1	21	12	8	23	16	27	18	9
Part Time	15	0	3	1	0	2	9	21	10	11
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.27	\$7.75	\$9.04	\$8.02	\$8.11	\$7.62	\$8.39	\$7.63	\$7.76	\$7.43
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,103	\$1,275	\$1,247	\$1,067	\$1,117	\$1,016	\$1,060	\$894	\$973	\$783

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – February 28, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	271	10	53	42	20	80	66	154	109	45
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	364	6	108	52	26	85	87	222	125	97
Participants in a Work Activity	310	2	89	50	23	75	71	191	110	81
% of Total Enrolled	85%	33%	82%	96%	88%	88%	82%	86%	88%	84%
Participants Employed	204	2	60	26	13	48	55	113	62	51
% of Total Enrolled	56%	33%	56%	50%	50%	56%	63%	51%	50%	53%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.42	\$9.88	\$8.76	\$8.47	\$8.65	\$8.05	\$8.26	\$7.79	\$7.85	\$7.72
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,135	\$1,588	\$1,219	\$1,179	\$1,243	\$1,078	\$1,050	\$943	\$972	\$910
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	100%	71%	78%	60%	92%	56%	79%	73%	90%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	5,812	223	1,281	871	703	1,397	1,337	2,717	1,740	977
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	4,360	135	965	710	432	1,058	1,060	2,039	1,279	760
Participants in a Work Activity	4,122	124	904	693	419	979	1,003	1,969	1,244	725
% of Total Enrolled	95%	92%	94%	98%	97%	93%	95%	97%	97%	95%
Participants Employed	2,817	77	556	489	254	712	729	1,141	653	488
% of Total Enrolled	65%	57%	58%	69%	59%	67%	69%	56%	51%	64%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.48	\$7.57	\$7.89	\$7.39	\$6.87	\$7.51	\$7.42	\$6.86	\$6.93	\$6.78
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$997	\$1,007	\$1,028	\$998	\$945	\$1,013	\$975	\$858	\$865	\$850
Three Months Employment ⁹	63%	58%	61%	60%	69%	69%	59%	72%	65%	80%

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: Status through February 28, 2014

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/28/14 and Work Activity as of 2/28/14

Current Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	3,151	207	1,190	188	988	392	148	38
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,273	68	465	117	395	169	45	14
Participants in a Work Activity	1,021	60	397	82	303	136	35	8
% of Enrolled	80%	88%	85%	70%	77%	80%	78%	57%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	12	0	4	1	6	1	0	0
Participants Employed	663	34	237	62	215	87	23	5
% of Enrolled	52%	50%	51%	53%	54%	51%	51%	36%
Full Time	485	17	164	43	172	66	19	4
Part Time	178	17	73	19	43	21	4	1
Average Wage ⁶	\$10.12	\$9.51	\$10.13	\$11.38	\$9.81	\$10.42	\$8.90	\$11.87
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,309	\$1,043	\$1,292	\$1,428	\$1,319	\$1,375	\$1,144	\$1,578

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – February 28, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	2,023	122	762	131	635	263	82	28
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,649	151	1,000	220	856	300	94	28
Participants in a Work Activity	2,352	138	917	196	733	264	82	22
% of Total Enrolled	89%	91%	92%	89%	86%	88%	87%	79%
Participants Employed	1,488	89	555	134	497	149	53	11
% of Total Enrolled	56%	59%	56%	61%	58%	50%	56%	39%
Average Wage ⁶	\$10.16	\$9.71	\$10.24	\$11.17	\$9.90	\$10.36	\$9.44	\$10.07
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,315	\$1,179	\$1,313	\$1,459	\$1,304	\$1,324	\$1,247	\$1,384
Three Months Employment ⁸	75%	66%	73%	79%	75%	88%	66%	50%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	37,394	3,066	13,362	2,686	11,937	4,261	1,603	479
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	28,913	2,412	10,114	2,150	9,304	3,341	1,240	352
Participants in a Work Activity	27,844	2,391	9,741	2,050	8,929	3,186	1,202	345
% of Total Enrolled	96%	99%	96%	95%	96%	95%	97%	98%
Participants Employed	20,163	1,722	6,770	1,646	6,671	2,324	791	239
% of Total Enrolled	70%	71%	67%	77%	72%	70%	64%	68%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.83	\$8.40	\$8.92	\$9.44	\$8.88	\$8.51	\$8.33	\$8.29
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,166	\$1,076	\$1,172	\$1,220	\$1,199	\$1,089	\$1,111	\$1,107
Three Months Employment ⁹	71%	69%	69%	72%	70%	78%	70%	77%

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: Status through February 28, 2014

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/28/14 and Work Activity as of 2/28/14

Current Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	355	146	75	20	100	14
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	104	42	26	4	29	3
Participants in a Work Activity	73	33	17	4	17	2
% of Enrolled	70%	79%	65%	100%	59%	67%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	8	0	3	0	5	0
Participants Employed	53	28	13	1	11	0
% of Enrolled	51%	67%	50%	25%	38%	0%
Full Time	43	24	9	1	9	N/A
Part Time	10	4	4	0	2	N/A
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.27	\$8.15	\$7.89	\$10.00	\$8.86	N/A
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,101	\$1,050	\$1,078	\$1,299	\$1,240	N/A

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – February 28, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	220	106	58	15	36	5
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	252	119	65	17	43	8
Participants in a Work Activity	228	110	58	17	36	7
% of Total Enrolled	90%	92%	89%	100%	84%	88%
Participants Employed	145	76	40	6	21	2
% of Total Enrolled	58%	64%	62%	35%	49%	25%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.72	\$8.63	\$9.03	\$7.96	\$8.58	\$9.75
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,159	\$1,141	\$1,234	\$1,067	\$1,088	\$1,371
Three Months Employment ⁸	66%	69%	65%	14%	82%	100%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	4,239	1,656	1,241	387	841	114
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	3,212	1,212	953	289	681	77
Participants in a Work Activity	3,096	1,169	937	282	636	72
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	98%	98%	93%	94%
Participants Employed	2,146	787	659	172	484	44
% of Total Enrolled	67%	65%	69%	60%	71%	57%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.60	\$7.60	\$7.95	\$7.22	\$7.27	\$7.45
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$998	\$984	\$1,039	\$1,006	\$965	\$973
Three Months Employment ⁹	64%	66%	68%	59%	60%	48%

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: Status through February 28, 2014

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/28/14 and Work Activity as of 2/28/14

Current Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	Cville
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	573	139	44	28	91	37	234
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	262	51	18	6	32	11	144
Participants in a Work Activity	231	49	14	4	30	8	126
% of Enrolled	88%	96%	78%	67%	94%	73%	88%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	0	0	0	1	1
Participants Employed	161	39	10	4	21	7	80
% of Enrolled	61%	76%	56%	67%	66%	64%	56%
Full Time	108	30	4	0	17	4	53
Part Time	53	9	6	4	4	3	27
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.65	\$8.72	\$8.34	\$8.03	\$8.66	\$8.32	\$8.72
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,105	\$1,173	\$898	\$798	\$1,229	\$994	\$1,091

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – February 28, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	Cville
Individuals Referred	388	116	16	21	54	22	159
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	554	115	32	31	87	24	265
Participants in a Work Activity	530	112	31	29	82	24	252
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	97%	94%	94%	100%	95%
Participants Employed	366	81	22	16	57	15	175
% of Total Enrolled	66%	70%	69%	52%	66%	63%	66%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.77	\$8.87	\$9.47	\$8.27	\$8.71	\$8.07	\$8.77
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,055	\$1,168	\$1,234	\$937	\$1,042	\$906	\$1,009
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	74%	71%	67%	82%	50%	72%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	Cville
Individuals Referred	6,523	1,553	305	499	1,035	343	2,788
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	5,080	1,134	219	361	776	245	2,345
Participants in a Work Activity	4,971	1,109	214	343	753	243	2,309
% of Total Enrolled	98%	98%	98%	95%	97%	99%	98%
Participants Employed	3,782	816	143	269	488	150	1,916
% of Total Enrolled	74%	72%	65%	75%	63%	61%	82%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.53	\$7.93	\$8.01	\$7.41	\$7.76	\$7.88	\$7.36
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$952	\$1,021	\$1,071	\$914	\$987	\$1,014	\$924
Three Months Employment ⁹	63%	57%	62%	56%	63%	64%	66%

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: Status through February 28, 2014

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/28/14 and Work Activity as of 2/28/14

Current Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,125	80	104	187	235	519
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	477	15	51	85	125	201
Participants in a Work Activity	378	14	47	73	102	142
% of Enrolled	79%	93%	92%	86%	82%	71%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	252	12	28	47	60	105
% of Enrolled	53%	80%	55%	55%	48%	52%
Full Time	171	8	20	35	41	67
Part Time	81	4	8	12	19	38
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.10	\$7.97	\$8.21	\$8.48	\$8.18	\$7.87
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,015	\$997	\$960	\$1,025	\$1,064	\$998

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – February 28, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	648	44	54	116	132	302
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	958	42	91	158	246	421
Participants in a Work Activity	865	37	86	138	233	371
% of Total Enrolled	90%	88%	95%	87%	95%	88%
Participants Employed	556	26	59	91	140	240
% of Total Enrolled	58%	62%	65%	58%	57%	57%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.17	\$8.17	\$8.26	\$8.34	\$8.32	\$8.00
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$991	\$1,089	\$1,048	\$1,036	\$1,018	\$929
Three Months Employment ⁸	66%	75%	71%	59%	67%	66%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	11,234	1,040	884	2,272	2,614	4,424
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	9,335	828	778	1,825	2,250	3,654
Participants in a Work Activity	8,827	789	766	1,694	2,127	3,451
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	98%	93%	95%	94%
Participants Employed	6,386	551	545	1,186	1,553	2,551
% of Total Enrolled	68%	67%	70%	65%	69%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.76	\$6.95	\$6.46	\$6.84	\$6.95	\$6.65
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$867	\$885	\$884	\$868	\$906	\$839
Three Months Employment ⁹	64%	59%	56%	60%	63%	68%

EDD10 - Danville Region: Status through February 28, 2014

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/28/14 and Work Activity as of 2/28/14

Current Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,285	193	405	82	203	402
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	358	52	137	49	38	82
Participants in a Work Activity	273	34	93	45	32	69
% of Enrolled	76%	65%	68%	92%	84%	84%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	0	1	0
Participants Employed	158	20	67	19	21	31
% of Enrolled	44%	38%	49%	39%	55%	38%
Full Time	126	15	56	9	18	28
Part Time	32	5	11	10	3	3
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.00	\$7.93	\$7.97	\$8.89	\$7.60	\$7.84
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,095	\$1,102	\$1,095	\$1,123	\$1,060	\$1,098

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – February 28, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	776	95	250	65	124	242
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	887	112	306	97	116	256
Participants in a Work Activity	803	100	261	91	107	244
% of Total Enrolled	91%	89%	85%	94%	92%	95%
Participants Employed	396	42	158	54	44	98
% of Total Enrolled	45%	38%	52%	56%	38%	38%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.11	\$8.54	\$7.93	\$8.39	\$7.80	\$8.21
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,036	\$1,153	\$951	\$1,069	\$1,015	\$1,123
Three Months Employment ⁸	58%	65%	61%	66%	49%	51%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	13,637	2,090	3,685	1,336	2,256	4,270
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	10,949	1,732	2,846	1,156	1,719	3,496
Participants in a Work Activity	10,600	1,673	2,726	1,091	1,692	3,418
% of Total Enrolled	97%	97%	96%	94%	98%	98%
Participants Employed	6,661	964	1,812	779	918	2,188
% of Total Enrolled	61%	56%	64%	67%	53%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.51	\$6.48	\$6.92	\$6.42	\$6.50	\$6.24
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$863	\$854	\$940	\$831	\$876	\$814
Three Months Employment ⁹	63%	58%	64%	74%	59%	61%

EDD11 - Southside Region: Status through February 28, 2014

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/28/14 and Work Activity as of 2/28/14

Current Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	939	52	91	63	74	57	148	52	153	125	124
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	398	24	34	13	42	34	62	25	35	68	61
Participants in a Work Activity	324	22	31	12	35	29	58	16	28	42	51
% of Enrolled	81%	92%	91%	92%	83%	85%	94%	64%	80%	62%	84%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	180	15	20	7	18	10	24	7	19	28	32
% of Enrolled	45%	63%	59%	54%	43%	29%	39%	28%	54%	41%	52%
Full Time	139	13	17	6	14	8	15	6	14	21	25
Part Time	41	2	3	1	4	2	9	1	5	7	7
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.07	\$8.57	\$8.43	\$8.24	\$8.01	\$8.14	\$7.68	\$7.79	\$8.18	\$7.96	\$7.98
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,080	\$1,109	#####	\$1,133	\$1,053	\$1,061	\$1,004	\$1,061	\$1,060	\$1,051	\$1,106

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – February 28, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	617	30	64	43	45	36	83	36	91	102	87
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	851	44	92	51	73	55	127	47	98	136	128
Participants in a Work Activity	774	37	81	48	66	51	122	42	92	111	124
% of Total Enrolled	91%	84%	88%	94%	90%	93%	96%	89%	94%	82%	97%
Participants Employed	405	29	42	23	30	24	61	17	47	65	67
% of Total Enrolled	48%	66%	46%	45%	41%	44%	48%	36%	48%	48%	52%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.26	\$8.65	\$8.04	\$8.44	\$8.35	\$9.07	\$8.06	\$8.00	\$8.24	\$8.15	\$8.18
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,048	\$1,083	#####	\$1,161	\$943	\$1,182	\$953	\$986	\$1,059	\$1,007	\$1,138
Three Months Employment ⁸	68%	44%	83%	59%	91%	69%	67%	75%	45%	80%	72%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	8,706	558	1,058	829	753	588	1016	417	1,253	1,077	1,157
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	6,833	413	848	636	565	438	809	333	996	841	954
Participants in a Work Activity	6,556	392	845	603	529	417	777	329	956	777	931
% of Total Enrolled	96%	95%	100%	95%	94%	95%	96%	99%	96%	92%	98%
Participants Employed	4,145	254	482	361	293	248	479	158	653	555	662
% of Total Enrolled	61%	62%	57%	57%	52%	57%	59%	47%	66%	66%	69%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.93	\$7.56	\$6.39	\$7.15	\$7.35	\$7.48	\$6.91	\$6.66	\$6.71	\$6.94	\$6.88
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$889	\$965	\$837	\$958	\$922	\$967	\$834	\$824	\$848	\$909	\$895
Three Months Employment ⁹	65%	61%	67%	60%	59%	57%	65%	60%	67%	70%	68%

EDD12 - Richmond Region: Status through February 28, 2014

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/28/14 and Work Activity as of 2/28/14

Current Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	4,514	856	24	118	1,266	43	2,207
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,730	316	6	52	594	18	744
Participants in a Work Activity	1,329	255	6	36	412	15	605
% of Enrolled	77%	81%	100%	69%	69%	83%	81%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	19	1	0	1	1	1	15
Participants Employed	869	184	2	27	302	7	347
% of Enrolled	50%	58%	33%	52%	51%	39%	47%
Full Time	637	150	1	21	201	6	258
Part Time	232	34	1	6	101	1	89
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.55	\$8.85	\$11.00	\$8.35	\$8.67	\$8.24	\$8.29
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,112	\$1,206	\$1,310	\$1,067	\$1,106	\$1,162	\$1,068

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – February 28, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	2,489	520	13	66	689	35	1,166
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	3,411	644	18	110	1,035	38	1,566
Participants in a Work Activity	2,941	559	15	86	869	35	1,377
% of Total Enrolled	86%	87%	83%	78%	84%	92%	88%
Participants Employed	1,842	355	9	65	584	21	808
% of Total Enrolled	54%	55%	50%	59%	56%	55%	52%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.62	\$9.03	\$9.17	\$8.79	\$8.71	\$8.60	\$8.37
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,104	\$1,217	\$1,348	\$1,074	\$1,066	\$1,121	\$1,084
Three Months Employment ⁸	75%	82%	89%	80%	75%	56%	71%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	39,707	8,361	305	1,408	10,005	401	19,227
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	31,250	6,357	232	1,004	8,174	300	15,183
Participants in a Work Activity	29,983	6,142	221	930	7,716	282	14,692
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	95%	93%	94%	94%	97%
Participants Employed	21,119	4,019	154	643	5,530	165	10,608
% of Total Enrolled	68%	63%	66%	64%	68%	55%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.48	\$7.79	\$7.34	\$8.29	\$7.79	\$7.83	\$7.20
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$994	\$1,041	\$941	\$1,056	\$1,025	\$986	\$963
Three Months Employment ⁹	70%	69%	66%	66%	66%	63%	72%

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: Status through February 28, 2014

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/28/14 and Work Activity as of 2/28/14

Current Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,021	163	43	360	291	164
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	495	87	22	164	127	95
Participants in a Work Activity	372	59	18	126	99	70
% of Enrolled	75%	68%	82%	77%	78%	74%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	12	7	0	1	0	4
Participants Employed	268	51	15	91	51	60
% of Enrolled	54%	59%	68%	55%	40%	63%
Full Time	200	45	11	67	43	34
Part Time	68	6	4	24	8	26
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.85	\$8.71	\$9.15	\$8.61	\$9.68	\$8.55
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,156	\$1,235	\$1,130	\$1,074	\$1,336	\$1,068

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – February 28, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	686	103	35	235	173	140
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	962	181	51	303	243	184
Participants in a Work Activity	822	141	49	260	211	161
% of Total Enrolled	85%	78%	96%	86%	87%	88%
Participants Employed	543	99	32	177	119	116
% of Total Enrolled	56%	55%	63%	58%	49%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.82	\$8.56	\$9.09	\$8.38	\$9.69	\$8.71
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,114	\$1,150	\$1,088	\$1,004	\$1,307	\$1,056
Three Months Employment ⁸	69%	80%	48%	69%	68%	67%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	9,733	1,470	587	2,986	2,806	1,884
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	7,286	1,053	414	2,323	1,893	1,603
Participants in a Work Activity	6,866	999	393	2,143	1,806	1,525
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	95%	92%	95%	95%
Participants Employed	4,768	643	245	1,581	1,109	1,190
% of Total Enrolled	65%	61%	59%	68%	59%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.07	\$7.71	\$7.59	\$8.04	\$8.73	\$7.87
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,028	\$975	\$930	\$1,019	\$1,146	\$995
Three Months Employment ⁹	65%	59%	58%	67%	68%	66%

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: Status through February 28, 2014

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/28/14 and Work Activity as of 2/28/14

Current Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	404	79	28	24	39	19	67	34	38	76
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	159	29	12	9	7	8	46	8	17	23
Participants in a Work Activity	126	20	8	8	6	8	40	7	11	18
% of Enrolled	79%	69%	67%	89%	86%	100%	87%	88%	65%	78%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Participants Employed	99	16	4	5	5	8	36	1	11	13
% of Enrolled	62%	55%	33%	56%	71%	100%	78%	13%	65%	57%
Full Time	74	15	0	4	3	6	28	0	7	11
Part Time	25	1	4	1	2	2	8	1	4	2
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.00	\$8.79	\$9.63	\$9.15	\$7.79	\$6.89	\$7.47	\$7.25	\$8.18	\$8.21
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,044	\$1,267	\$831	\$1,389	\$898	\$914	\$1,005	\$314	\$1,002	\$1,035

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – February 28, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	219	42	14	19	20	8	34	23	19	40
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	312	55	14	30	24	19	73	24	26	47
Participants in a Work Activity	277	52	14	24	21	18	69	21	19	39
% of Total Enrolled	89%	95%	100%	80%	88%	95%	95%	88%	73%	83%
Participants Employed	188	30	5	15	19	16	55	10	13	25
% of Total Enrolled	60%	55%	36%	50%	79%	84%	75%	42%	50%	53%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.05	\$8.20	\$9.80	\$8.98	\$7.84	\$7.57	\$7.54	\$8.67	\$8.20	\$8.10
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$989	\$998	\$1,140	\$1,244	\$917	\$992	\$939	\$730	\$1,104	\$983
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	67%	50%	69%	45%	78%	93%	50%	71%	78%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	3,974	607	292	356	433	218	491	355	361	861
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	3,000	487	200	295	340	155	365	290	226	642
Participants in a Work Activity	2,819	466	190	280	322	148	343	280	209	581
% of Total Enrolled	94%	96%	95%	95%	95%	95%	94%	97%	92%	90%
Participants Employed	1,886	324	100	175	229	104	251	161	136	406
% of Total Enrolled	63%	67%	50%	59%	67%	67%	69%	56%	60%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.13	\$7.14	\$7.15	\$7.58	\$6.92	\$7.09	\$7.14	\$6.84	\$7.13	\$7.15
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$905	\$878	\$885	\$996	\$863	\$909	\$910	\$856	\$937	\$926
Three Months Employment ⁹	58%	49%	45%	59%	51%	71%	73%	51%	42%	65%

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: Status through February 28, 2014

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/28/14 and Work Activity as of 2/28/14

Current Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	NewKent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	2,939	13	96	137	47	118	1,077	1,428	23
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,154	2	29	49	30	67	469	493	15
Participants in a Work Activity	946	1	25	34	27	55	383	409	12
% of Enrolled	82%	50%	86%	69%	90%	82%	82%	83%	80%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	11	0	1	1	0	0	7	1	1
Participants Employed	641	1	24	28	18	34	229	300	7
% of Enrolled	56%	50%	83%	57%	60%	51%	49%	61%	47%
Full Time	481	1	20	21	16	13	175	232	3
Part Time	160	0	4	7	2	21	54	68	4
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.18	\$10.00	\$7.20	\$8.42	\$8.85	\$8.31	\$7.99	\$8.30	\$8.73
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,069	\$1,732	\$898	\$1,098	\$1,163	\$882	\$1,040	\$1,119	\$890

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – February 28, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	1,973	1	61	93	34	73	679	1,002	30
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,633	8	72	116	38	125	959	1,271	44
Participants in a Work Activity	2,345	8	63	101	34	108	869	1,121	41
% of Total Enrolled	89%	100%	88%	87%	89%	86%	91%	88%	93%
Participants Employed	1,420	5	46	75	24	64	498	682	26
% of Total Enrolled	54%	63%	64%	65%	63%	51%	52%	54%	59%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.31	\$9.32	\$7.44	\$8.53	\$8.11	\$8.32	\$8.23	\$8.39	\$8.48
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,070	\$1,425	\$918	\$1,082	\$1,016	\$859	\$1,043	\$1,121	\$1,005
Three Months Employment ⁸	73%	100%	76%	53%	83%	71%	77%	72%	74%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	28,713	171	1,164	1,271	384	1,215	9,959	14,113	436
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	21,896	124	838	1004	280	944	7,468	10,907	331
Participants in a Work Activity	20,819	118	776	932	268	902	7,263	10,252	308
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	93%	93%	96%	96%	97%	94%	93%
Participants Employed	15,075	72	542	693	160	585	5,186	7,611	226
% of Total Enrolled	69%	58%	65%	69%	57%	62%	69%	70%	68%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.17	\$7.23	\$6.93	\$7.61	\$7.61	\$7.43	\$7.17	\$7.12	\$7.36
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$931	\$966	\$855	\$926	\$1,022	\$895	\$925	\$941	\$915
Three Months Employment ⁹	66%	51%	57%	58%	73%	60%	64%	69%	65%

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: Status through February 28, 2014

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/28/14 and Work Activity as of 2/28/14

Current Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,067	125	78	37	65	295	467
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	447	19	18	21	29	154	206
Participants in a Work Activity	275	17	14	11	16	83	134
% of Enrolled	62%	89%	78%	52%	55%	54%	65%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	7	0	0	1	1	4	1
Participants Employed	195	12	9	4	14	51	105
% of Enrolled	44%	63%	50%	19%	48%	33%	51%
Full Time	168	10	9	2	12	37	98
Part Time	27	2	0	2	2	14	7
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.48	\$8.48	\$8.21	\$8.13	\$8.26	\$8.81	\$8.39
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,208	\$1,287	\$1,217	\$982	\$1,102	\$1,229	\$1,212

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – February 28, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	646	72	47	26	42	176	283
Total Enrolled in VIEW	831	46	52	43	67	252	371
Participants in a Work Activity	686	43	41	34	53	203	312
% of Total Enrolled	83%	93%	79%	79%	79%	81%	84%
Participants Employed	417	30	27	15	32	111	202
% of Total Enrolled	50%	65%	52%	35%	48%	44%	54%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.53	\$9.12	\$8.58	\$7.95	\$8.20	\$8.62	\$8.50
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,192	\$1,435	\$1,199	\$880	\$1,117	\$1,171	\$1,203
Three Months Employment ⁸	65%	55%	46%	60%	76%	62%	69%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	10,063	1,292	917	407	789	2,522	4,136
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	7,878	848	688	333	638	2,047	3,324
Participants in a Work Activity	7,493	844	596	316	610	1,947	3,180
% of Total Enrolled	95%	100%	87%	95%	96%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	5,333	558	411	228	416	1,384	2,336
% of Total Enrolled	68%	66%	60%	68%	65%	68%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.14	\$7.03	\$7.62	\$7.32	\$7.09	\$7.03	\$7.15
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$963	\$983	\$1,008	\$881	\$965	\$941	\$972
Three Months Employment ⁹	65%	58%	58%	56%	63%	63%	70%

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: Status through February 28, 2014

TANF Cases as of the end of 2/28/14 and Work Activity as of 2/28/14

Current Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	5,134	113	71	875	95	1,795	971	367	847
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,951	24	28	359	35	752	373	98	282
Participants in a Work Activity	1,496	17	23	286	32	579	263	85	211
% of Enrolled	77%	71%	82%	80%	91%	77%	71%	87%	75%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	22	0	1	0	0	3	11	0	7
Participants Employed	1,054	13	18	209	18	424	184	56	132
% of Enrolled	54%	54%	64%	58%	51%	56%	49%	57%	47%
Full Time	850	9	13	175	9	359	149	44	92
Part Time	204	4	5	34	9	65	35	12	40
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.17	\$7.71	\$7.75	\$8.24	\$7.46	\$8.01	\$8.53	\$8.05	\$8.31
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,066	\$996	\$1,047	\$1,115	\$872	\$1,031	\$1,134	\$1,096	\$1,028

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – February 28, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	3,132	61	37	525	66	1,051	525	219	648
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	4,124	68	63	733	88	1,511	712	275	674
Participants in a Work Activity	3,647	58	60	648	83	1,339	619	259	581
% of Total Enrolled	88%	85%	95%	88%	94%	89%	87%	94%	86%
Participants Employed	2,251	31	36	423	49	843	362	158	349
% of Total Enrolled	55%	46%	57%	58%	56%	56%	51%	57%	52%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.21	\$7.80	\$7.87	\$8.34	\$7.76	\$8.07	\$8.31	\$8.16	\$8.42
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,056	\$956	\$1,022	\$1,100	\$1,000	\$1,025	\$1,080	\$1,059	\$1,071
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	62%	64%	72%	59%	78%	71%	67%	67%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	55,814	1,278	1,045	9,026	899	17,911	8,990	3,518	13,147
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	41,350	926	841	6,702	727	13,172	7,163	2,771	9,048
Participants in a Work Activity	39,103	891	806	6,394	716	12,429	6,734	2,741	8,392
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	96%	95%	98%	94%	94%	99%	93%
Participants Employed	28,536	626	489	4,802	468	9,183	5,051	2,027	5,890
% of Total Enrolled	69%	68%	58%	72%	64%	70%	71%	73%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.98	\$6.97	\$6.73	\$7.17	\$6.71	\$6.87	\$6.85	\$6.81	\$7.29
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$908	\$916	\$840	\$942	\$842	\$874	\$911	\$885	\$961
Three Months Employment ⁹	67%	59%	54%	64%	64%	68%	69%	68%	69%

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date

This section lists the relative state fiscal year to date rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Wage, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	49.6%	5.89	90	\$7.85	1.10	103	72.7%	6.82	42	4.60	91
003	Albemarle	70.4%	8.36	5	\$8.87	3.83	23	74.1%	6.97	37	6.39	9
005	Alleghany/Covington	55.7%	6.61	48	\$8.22	2.09	69	66.7%	6.11	73	4.94	73
007	Amelia	65.9%	7.83	9	\$8.65	3.24	33	44.4%	3.52	116	4.86	81
009	Amherst	61.9%	7.35	22	\$8.17	1.96	76	75.0%	7.08	33	5.46	38
011	Appomattox	64.8%	7.70	12	\$8.26	2.20	65	71.0%	6.61	56	5.50	35
013	Arlington	58.9%	7.00	30	\$9.71	6.09	10	66.2%	6.06	77	6.38	11
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	61.8%	7.34	24	\$8.20	2.04	71	62.3%	5.60	87	4.99	65
017	Bath	0.0%	0.00	119	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.00	119
019	Bedford County/City	57.6%	6.84	37	\$8.34	2.41	59	59.0%	5.22	97	4.82	85
021	Bland	37.5%	4.45	109	\$11.06	9.71	2	25.0%	1.25	117	5.14	56
023	Botetourt	34.6%	4.11	116	\$9.06	4.34	19	60.0%	5.33	92	4.60	92
025	Brunswick	45.7%	5.42	99	\$8.04	1.61	86	83.3%	8.06	12	5.03	63
027	Buchanan	50.5%	5.99	81	\$8.12	1.82	79	73.5%	6.91	39	4.91	77
029	Buckingham	45.1%	5.36	101	\$8.44	2.68	48	59.1%	5.23	96	4.42	101
031	Campbell	56.9%	6.76	43	\$8.32	2.36	61	66.7%	6.11	74	5.08	60
033	Caroline	54.7%	6.50	56	\$8.56	3.00	40	79.7%	7.63	21	5.71	29
035	Carroll	51.3%	6.09	77	\$7.63	0.51	114	50.0%	4.17	105	3.59	116
036	Charles City	62.5%	7.42	20	\$9.32	5.04	14	100.0%	10.00	1	7.49	2
037	Charlotte	41.1%	4.88	105	\$8.35	2.44	58	91.3%	8.98	7	5.43	39
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	55.1%	6.55	55	\$9.03	4.26	20	82.2%	7.92	14	6.24	13
043	Clarke	33.3%	3.96	117	\$9.88	6.54	7	100.0%	10.00	2	6.83	6
045	Craig	50.0%	5.94	83	\$8.38	2.52	52	100.0%	10.00	3	6.15	14
047	Culpeper	63.9%	7.58	15	\$8.63	3.19	35	69.4%	6.42	61	5.73	27
049	Cumberland	43.6%	5.18	103	\$9.07	4.37	18	68.8%	6.35	63	5.30	44
051	Dickensen	57.8%	6.86	34	\$8.02	1.55	88	72.7%	6.82	43	5.08	59
053	Dinwiddie	65.2%	7.74	11	\$9.12	4.50	16	55.0%	4.75	101	5.67	31
057	Essex	54.5%	6.48	57	\$8.20	2.04	72	66.7%	6.11	75	4.88	79
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	55.5%	6.59	52	\$10.24	7.51	4	73.2%	6.87	41	6.99	4
061	Fauquier	61.5%	7.31	25	\$9.03	4.26	21	64.5%	5.86	84	5.81	23
063	Floyd	51.4%	6.11	76	\$8.68	3.32	31	71.4%	6.67	51	5.37	41
065	Fluvanna	68.8%	8.16	6	\$9.47	5.44	12	71.4%	6.67	52	6.76	7
067	Franklin County	46.5%	5.52	98	\$8.37	2.49	54	78.9%	7.53	23	5.18	54
069	Frederick	55.6%	6.60	51	\$8.76	3.54	26	70.7%	6.58	57	5.57	34
071	Giles	51.9%	6.16	70	\$7.54	0.27	116	73.3%	6.89	40	4.44	98
073	Gloucester	63.9%	7.59	14	\$7.44	0.00	118	75.9%	7.18	31	4.92	75
075	Goochland	50.0%	5.94	84	\$9.17	4.64	15	88.9%	8.70	9	6.43	8
077	Grayson	52.0%	6.18	67	\$7.68	0.64	113	50.0%	4.17	106	3.66	113

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	51.6%	6.13	73	\$8.27	2.23	64	66.7%	6.11	76	4.82	86
081	Greensville/Emporia	48.0%	5.70	94	\$8.06	1.66	84	67.4%	6.20	68	4.52	97
083	Halifax	37.5%	4.45	110	\$8.54	2.95	41	64.9%	5.90	82	4.43	99
085	Hanover	59.1%	7.02	28	\$8.79	3.62	24	80.0%	7.67	19	6.10	16
087	Henrico	56.4%	6.70	45	\$8.71	3.40	27	75.5%	7.14	32	5.75	26
089	Henry/Martinsville	51.6%	6.13	72	\$7.93	1.31	97	61.4%	5.49	90	4.31	104
091	Highland	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
093	Isle of Wight	45.6%	5.41	100	\$7.80	0.97	107	61.5%	5.51	88	3.96	111
095	James City	64.7%	7.68	13	\$8.53	2.92	43	52.5%	4.46	102	5.02	64
097	King and Queen	35.7%	4.24	112	\$9.80	6.33	8	50.0%	4.17	107	4.91	76
099	King George	62.7%	7.45	19	\$9.09	4.42	17	47.6%	3.89	112	5.25	49
101	King William	50.0%	5.94	85	\$8.98	4.13	22	68.8%	6.35	64	5.47	37
103	Lancaster	79.2%	9.40	2	\$7.84	1.07	106	45.5%	3.64	114	4.70	88
105	Lee	51.5%	6.11	75	\$7.85	1.10	104	72.0%	6.73	47	4.65	89
107	Loudoun	60.9%	7.23	26	\$11.17	10.00	1	78.9%	7.54	22	8.26	1
109	Louisa	65.5%	7.78	10	\$8.71	3.40	28	82.1%	7.91	15	6.36	12
111	Lunenburg	36.2%	4.30	111	\$8.00	1.50	89	75.0%	7.08	34	4.29	106
113	Madison	35.3%	4.19	114	\$7.96	1.39	94	14.3%	0.00	118	1.86	118
115	Mathews	84.2%	10.00	1	\$7.57	0.35	115	77.8%	7.41	26	5.92	21
117	Mecklenburg	48.0%	5.70	95	\$8.24	2.14	67	45.2%	3.61	115	3.82	112
119	Middlesex	75.3%	8.95	3	\$7.54	0.27	117	92.6%	9.14	5	6.12	15
121	Montgomery	68.4%	8.12	7	\$8.54	2.95	42	70.1%	6.51	60	5.86	22
125	Nelson	62.5%	7.42	21	\$8.07	1.69	82	50.0%	4.17	108	4.43	100
127	New Kent	63.2%	7.50	17	\$8.11	1.80	80	83.3%	8.06	13	5.78	25
131	Northampton	52.6%	6.24	65	\$7.72	0.75	112	89.7%	8.79	8	5.26	48
133	Northumberland	41.7%	4.95	104	\$8.67	3.30	32	50.0%	4.17	109	4.14	108
135	Nottoway	47.8%	5.68	96	\$8.15	1.90	78	80.0%	7.67	20	5.08	58
137	Orange	48.8%	5.80	92	\$8.58	3.06	38	81.8%	7.88	16	5.58	32
139	Page	50.0%	5.94	86	\$8.47	2.76	46	78.3%	7.46	24	5.39	40
141	Patrick	55.7%	6.61	50	\$8.39	2.55	50	65.8%	6.01	79	5.06	61
143	Pittsylvania	37.9%	4.50	108	\$7.80	0.97	108	48.6%	4.00	111	3.16	117
145	Powhatan	55.3%	6.56	53	\$8.60	3.11	37	55.6%	4.82	100	4.83	84
147	Prince Edward	52.3%	6.22	66	\$8.18	1.98	75	71.9%	6.73	48	4.97	70
149	Prince George	51.9%	6.17	69	\$8.58	3.06	39	45.8%	3.68	113	4.30	105
153	Prince William	58.1%	6.89	33	\$9.90	6.60	6	74.8%	7.06	36	6.85	5
155	Pulaski	55.2%	6.56	54	\$7.99	1.47	92	72.3%	6.77	44	4.94	74
157	Rappahannock	25.0%	2.97	118	\$9.75	6.19	9	100.0%	10.00	4	6.39	10
159	Richmond County	50.0%	5.94	87	\$8.20	2.04	73	71.4%	6.67	53	4.88	78
161	Roanoke County	57.0%	6.77	42	\$8.46	2.73	47	60.5%	5.39	91	4.96	71
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	61.9%	7.35	23	\$8.37	2.49	55	77.3%	7.35	28	5.73	28
165	Rockingham/H'burg	71.8%	8.53	4	\$8.69	3.35	30	68.6%	6.33	66	6.07	17
167	Russell	35.5%	4.21	113	\$7.96	1.39	95	70.2%	6.52	59	4.04	109
169	Scott	52.7%	6.25	63	\$7.90	1.23	100	87.5%	8.54	11	5.34	42
171	Shenandoah	50.0%	5.94	88	\$8.65	3.24	34	60.0%	5.33	93	4.84	83

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	53.4%	6.34	61	\$7.85	1.10	105	52.5%	4.46	103	3.97	110
175	<i>Southampton</i>	57.1%	6.79	39	\$7.87	1.15	101	64.0%	5.80	85	4.58	93
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	58.4%	6.94	31	\$8.38	2.52	53	68.6%	6.34	65	5.26	47
179	<i>Stafford</i>	49.0%	5.82	91	\$9.69	6.03	11	68.5%	6.32	67	6.06	18
181	<i>Surry</i>	34.9%	4.14	115	\$7.95	1.37	96	60.0%	5.33	94	3.61	115
183	<i>Sussex</i>	47.8%	5.67	97	\$8.20	2.04	74	76.2%	7.22	30	4.98	69
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	58.2%	6.91	32	\$7.92	1.29	98	80.3%	7.70	18	5.30	45
187	<i>Warren</i>	56.5%	6.71	44	\$8.05	1.64	85	91.7%	9.03	6	5.79	24
191	<i>Washington</i>	52.7%	6.25	64	\$7.87	1.15	102	67.4%	6.19	69	4.53	96
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	53.2%	6.32	62	\$8.10	1.77	81	77.8%	7.41	27	5.16	55
195	<i>Wise</i>	50.5%	6.00	80	\$7.92	1.29	99	63.9%	5.79	86	4.36	103
197	<i>Wythe</i>	57.7%	6.86	35	\$7.78	0.91	110	75.0%	7.08	35	4.95	72
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	51.2%	6.08	78	\$8.32	2.36	62	71.4%	6.67	54	5.04	62
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	49.7%	5.90	89	\$10.36	7.83	3	87.9%	8.58	10	7.44	3
520	<i>Bristol</i>	48.7%	5.78	93	\$8.00	1.50	90	65.2%	5.94	81	4.41	102
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	66.0%	7.84	8	\$8.77	3.57	25	71.5%	6.68	50	6.03	19
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	57.7%	6.85	36	\$8.34	2.41	60	72.1%	6.74	46	5.33	43
590	<i>Danville</i>	38.3%	4.55	107	\$8.21	2.06	70	50.7%	4.24	104	3.62	114
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	55.7%	6.61	49	\$7.76	0.86	111	59.0%	5.21	98	4.23	107
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	63.0%	7.49	18	\$8.71	3.40	29	67.0%	6.15	72	5.68	30
640	<i>Galax</i>	57.1%	6.79	40	\$7.80	0.97	109	64.7%	5.88	83	4.54	95
650	<i>Hampton</i>	51.9%	6.17	68	\$8.23	2.12	68	77.0%	7.32	29	5.20	53
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	44.0%	5.23	102	\$8.62	3.16	36	61.5%	5.51	89	4.64	90
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	57.0%	6.77	41	\$8.00	1.50	91	66.1%	6.05	78	4.77	87
683	<i>Manassas</i>	56.4%	6.70	46	\$9.44	5.36	13	65.6%	5.99	80	6.02	20
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	39.3%	4.67	106	\$10.07	7.05	5	50.0%	4.17	110	5.29	46
700	<i>Newport News</i>	53.7%	6.37	60	\$8.39	2.55	51	72.3%	6.76	45	5.23	52
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	55.8%	6.63	47	\$8.07	1.69	83	78.1%	7.44	25	5.25	50
720	<i>Norton</i>	59.4%	7.05	27	\$8.03	1.58	87	81.3%	7.81	17	5.48	36
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	54.4%	6.47	59	\$8.50	2.84	44	69.3%	6.42	62	5.24	51
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	50.8%	6.04	79	\$8.31	2.33	63	70.6%	6.57	58	4.98	67
750	<i>Radford</i>	54.5%	6.48	58	\$7.97	1.42	93	71.9%	6.72	49	4.87	80
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	51.6%	6.13	74	\$8.37	2.49	56	71.4%	6.67	55	5.10	57
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	50.3%	5.97	82	\$8.36	2.47	57	59.3%	5.25	95	4.56	94
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	57.5%	6.82	38	\$8.16	1.93	77	67.3%	6.18	71	4.98	68
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	51.8%	6.15	71	\$8.42	2.63	49	67.3%	6.19	70	4.99	66
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	59.1%	7.02	29	\$8.48	2.79	45	73.7%	6.93	38	5.58	33
840	<i>Winchester</i>	63.2%	7.51	16	\$8.26	2.20	66	56.0%	4.87	99	4.86	82

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores is done to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

VIEW Rankings, From Inception of VIEW

This section lists the relative cumulative rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Monthly Earnings, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	51.1%	1.77	116	\$865	2.94	93	65.0%	5.55	49	3.42	107
003	Albemarle	72.0%	7.38	11	\$1,021	6.04	18	57.4%	3.70	101	5.71	29
005	Alleghany/Covington	69.6%	6.75	24	\$847	2.59	104	58.4%	3.93	91	4.43	78
007	Amelia	61.5%	4.58	84	\$965	4.93	43	60.6%	4.46	74	4.66	70
009	Amherst	66.5%	5.93	51	\$885	3.35	80	59.3%	4.15	82	4.48	77
011	Appomattox	70.1%	6.87	19	\$884	3.33	84	55.8%	3.31	104	4.50	76
013	Arlington	71.4%	7.23	14	\$1,076	7.13	8	69.1%	6.53	22	6.96	6
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	67.1%	6.09	46	\$969	5.01	38	66.7%	5.95	38	5.68	30
017	Bath	62.7%	4.91	77	\$717	0.00	120	61.3%	4.64	72	3.18	114
019	Bedford County/City	65.0%	5.51	63	\$868	3.01	92	59.9%	4.30	77	4.28	88
021	Bland	58.9%	3.87	95	\$887	3.38	79	55.0%	3.09	106	3.45	110
023	Botetourt	44.4%	0.00	120	\$957	4.76	47	54.6%	3.00	107	2.59	118
025	Brunswick	56.8%	3.33	107	\$837	2.38	109	67.1%	6.05	35	3.92	99
027	Buchanan	53.8%	2.50	113	\$848	2.60	102	64.9%	5.52	51	3.54	106
029	Buckingham	56.8%	3.31	108	\$958	4.80	46	59.6%	4.23	80	4.11	92
031	Campbell	69.0%	6.60	31	\$906	3.75	74	63.4%	5.15	60	5.17	53
033	Caroline	61.1%	4.46	86	\$975	5.14	34	58.9%	4.05	86	4.55	74
035	Carroll	66.5%	5.91	53	\$836	2.38	110	53.9%	2.83	111	3.71	102
036	Charles City	58.1%	3.66	100	\$966	4.96	40	50.9%	2.10	115	3.57	104
037	Charlotte	51.9%	1.99	115	\$922	4.09	65	58.5%	3.96	88	3.35	109
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	63.2%	5.04	75	\$1,041	6.44	12	69.0%	6.52	23	6.00	21
043	Clarke	57.0%	3.38	106	\$1,007	5.77	23	58.0%	3.83	97	4.33	86
045	Craig	48.3%	1.03	118	\$1,048	6.58	11	59.3%	4.14	83	3.92	94
047	Culpeper	64.9%	5.50	64	\$984	5.30	31	66.2%	5.82	43	5.54	35
049	Cumberland	56.6%	3.27	109	\$967	4.97	39	57.5%	3.71	100	3.98	93
051	Dickenson	60.1%	4.20	88	\$846	2.56	105	54.5%	2.97	109	3.24	111
053	Dinwiddie	65.8%	5.73	56	\$983	5.30	32	57.9%	3.82	98	4.95	58
057	Essex	66.5%	5.93	52	\$878	3.20	86	48.6%	1.54	117	3.56	105
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	66.9%	6.04	48	\$1,172	9.05	3	69.3%	6.59	19	7.22	5
061	Fauquier	69.2%	6.63	29	\$1,039	6.41	13	68.5%	6.39	27	6.48	10
063	Floyd	65.1%	5.54	60	\$947	4.58	52	54.5%	2.98	108	4.37	84
065	Fluvanna	65.3%	5.60	58	\$1,071	7.04	9	62.0%	4.80	68	5.81	25
067	Franklin County	61.6%	4.61	83	\$956	4.76	48	58.4%	3.92	92	4.43	79
069	Frederick	57.6%	3.54	103	\$1,028	6.19	15	61.3%	4.65	70	4.79	66
071	Giles	57.9%	3.60	101	\$953	4.69	49	52.4%	2.46	113	3.59	103
073	Gloucester	64.7%	5.43	66	\$855	2.74	98	57.1%	3.62	102	3.93	98
075	Goochland	66.4%	5.89	54	\$941	4.45	57	65.7%	5.71	47	5.35	43
077	Grayson	65.1%	5.54	62	\$861	2.87	95	60.9%	4.55	73	4.32	87

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	74.5%	8.07	5	\$914	3.93	69	55.5%	3.23	105	5.08	57
081	Greensville/Emporia	59.2%	3.96	93	\$834	2.34	112	64.7%	5.47	52	3.92	97
083	Halifax	55.7%	3.01	110	\$854	2.72	99	58.4%	3.94	90	3.22	113
085	Hanover	64.0%	5.26	70	\$1,056	6.75	10	66.1%	5.82	44	5.94	22
087	Henrico	67.7%	6.23	39	\$1,025	6.12	16	66.5%	5.89	41	6.08	19
089	Henry/Martinsville	63.7%	5.16	72	\$940	4.43	58	63.8%	5.25	57	4.95	60
091	Highland	75.0%	8.20	4	\$784	1.32	118	83.3%	10.00	1	6.51	9
093	Isle of Wight	67.6%	6.22	41	\$916	3.95	67	59.1%	4.11	85	4.76	67
095	James City	69.0%	6.60	30	\$926	4.16	61	58.2%	3.87	95	4.88	64
097	King and Queen	50.0%	1.49	117	\$885	3.34	83	45.4%	0.76	119	1.86	120
099	King George	59.2%	3.95	94	\$930	4.24	60	58.1%	3.86	96	4.02	95
101	King William	59.3%	3.99	92	\$996	5.54	26	58.7%	4.00	87	4.51	75
103	Lancaster	67.4%	6.15	44	\$863	2.91	94	51.5%	2.25	114	3.77	101
105	Lee	64.9%	5.49	65	\$800	1.66	117	72.1%	7.27	10	4.80	65
107	Loudoun	76.6%	8.62	3	\$1,220	10.00	1	72.2%	7.29	9	8.63	1
109	Louisa	62.9%	4.95	76	\$987	5.38	29	63.4%	5.15	61	5.16	55
111	Lunenburg	47.4%	0.81	119	\$824	2.12	114	60.3%	4.40	75	2.44	119
113	Madison	59.5%	4.04	91	\$1,006	5.76	24	59.2%	4.12	84	4.64	71
115	Mathews	67.1%	6.08	47	\$909	3.82	72	70.8%	6.96	13	5.62	34
117	Mecklenburg	65.6%	5.67	57	\$848	2.60	103	66.6%	5.92	40	4.73	68
119	Middlesex	68.8%	6.53	34	\$910	3.85	71	73.1%	7.51	7	5.96	24
121	Montgomery	77.9%	8.97	2	\$951	4.66	51	63.5%	5.17	59	6.26	15
125	Nelson	61.2%	4.50	85	\$1,014	5.92	20	63.5%	5.17	58	5.20	47
127	New Kent	57.1%	3.41	104	\$1,022	6.07	17	72.7%	7.42	8	5.63	33
131	Northampton	64.2%	5.30	69	\$850	2.65	101	79.8%	9.15	2	5.70	28
133	Northumberland	55.5%	2.97	111	\$856	2.77	97	50.7%	2.06	116	2.60	117
135	Nottoway	66.0%	5.78	55	\$909	3.81	73	69.9%	6.73	17	5.44	39
137	Orange	71.1%	7.15	15	\$965	4.94	41	60.1%	4.33	76	5.47	38
139	Page	68.9%	6.56	32	\$998	5.59	25	59.7%	4.26	78	5.47	37
141	Patrick	67.4%	6.16	43	\$831	2.27	113	74.1%	7.74	6	5.39	41
143	Pittsylvania	53.4%	2.40	114	\$876	3.17	88	59.5%	4.19	81	3.25	112
145	Powhatan	55.0%	2.83	112	\$986	5.36	30	62.7%	4.97	65	4.39	82
147	Prince Edward	69.4%	6.70	27	\$895	3.55	76	68.1%	6.29	29	5.51	36
149	Prince George	59.7%	4.10	90	\$1,008	5.79	22	57.9%	3.81	99	4.57	73
153	Prince William	71.7%	7.31	12	\$1,199	9.60	2	70.3%	6.82	14	7.91	2
155	Pulaski	63.5%	5.10	73	\$952	4.67	50	53.4%	2.73	112	4.17	89
157	Rappahannock	57.1%	3.41	105	\$973	5.10	36	47.6%	1.31	118	3.27	108
159	Richmond County	60.2%	4.22	87	\$937	4.37	59	42.2%	0.00	120	2.86	116
161	Roanoke County	73.7%	7.86	7	\$1,039	6.40	14	58.3%	3.90	93	6.05	20
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	70.2%	6.92	18	\$871	3.06	91	67.2%	6.07	34	5.35	42
165	Rockingham/H'burg	73.4%	7.77	8	\$992	5.47	28	67.6%	6.17	32	6.47	11
167	Russell	59.9%	4.14	89	\$859	2.83	96	66.7%	5.95	39	4.31	85
169	Scott	57.8%	3.58	102	\$892	3.48	78	77.5%	8.57	4	5.21	48
171	Shenandoah	58.8%	3.85	96	\$945	4.54	53	68.9%	6.49	26	4.96	59

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	58.1%	3.68	99	\$873	3.10	90	62.7%	4.98	64	3.92	96
175	<i>Southampton</i>	58.1%	3.68	98	\$840	2.44	107	54.0%	2.86	110	2.99	115
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	68.1%	6.34	37	\$1,019	6.00	19	67.0%	6.03	36	6.12	18
179	<i>Stafford</i>	58.6%	3.79	97	\$1,146	8.52	4	67.8%	6.23	31	6.18	17
181	<i>Surry</i>	68.5%	6.45	35	\$881	3.27	85	56.4%	3.45	103	4.39	80
183	<i>Sussex</i>	65.2%	5.57	59	\$965	4.93	42	63.1%	5.06	62	5.19	52
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	66.8%	6.00	49	\$821	2.07	115	65.7%	5.72	46	4.60	72
187	<i>Warren</i>	67.3%	6.13	45	\$1,013	5.89	21	69.3%	6.59	18	6.20	16
191	<i>Washington</i>	62.4%	4.82	80	\$898	3.60	75	58.2%	3.88	94	4.10	91
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	63.2%	5.04	74	\$926	4.15	62	65.0%	5.53	50	4.91	61
195	<i>Wise</i>	62.4%	4.81	81	\$835	2.35	111	66.3%	5.85	42	4.34	83
197	<i>Wythe</i>	66.7%	5.96	50	\$852	2.68	100	66.8%	5.96	37	4.87	63
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	62.0%	4.70	82	\$895	3.54	77	59.7%	4.25	79	4.17	90
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	69.6%	6.74	25	\$1,089	7.40	7	77.5%	8.58	3	7.57	3
520	<i>Bristol</i>	67.5%	6.19	42	\$877	3.19	87	62.1%	4.84	66	4.74	69
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	81.7%	10.00	1	\$924	4.12	64	65.9%	5.77	45	6.63	8
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	71.7%	7.30	13	\$942	4.48	54	63.9%	5.28	54	5.69	31
590	<i>Danville</i>	62.6%	4.87	78	\$814	1.93	116	61.3%	4.64	71	3.81	100
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	64.4%	5.35	68	\$842	2.49	106	63.8%	5.26	55	4.37	81
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	74.2%	8.00	6	\$995	5.53	27	65.5%	5.66	48	6.39	12
640	<i>Galax</i>	62.5%	4.85	79	\$885	3.34	81	68.9%	6.49	25	4.90	62
650	<i>Hampton</i>	69.4%	6.71	26	\$925	4.14	63	63.8%	5.25	56	5.37	40
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	67.6%	6.22	40	\$941	4.46	55	62.7%	4.99	63	5.22	46
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	69.8%	6.81	21	\$839	2.42	108	68.2%	6.33	28	5.18	51
683	<i>Manassas</i>	63.8%	5.19	71	\$1,111	7.83	5	70.0%	6.76	16	6.59	7
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	67.9%	6.29	38	\$1,107	7.76	6	77.0%	8.46	5	7.51	4
700	<i>Newport News</i>	69.8%	6.80	22	\$941	4.46	56	69.2%	6.55	21	5.94	23
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	69.7%	6.78	23	\$874	3.13	89	67.5%	6.15	33	5.35	44
720	<i>Norton</i>	73.4%	7.76	9	\$756	0.78	119	71.1%	7.03	12	5.19	50
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	70.3%	6.93	17	\$972	5.06	37	70.2%	6.80	15	6.27	14
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	70.5%	7.00	16	\$911	3.86	70	69.2%	6.57	20	5.81	26
750	<i>Radford</i>	69.3%	6.68	28	\$916	3.96	66	61.8%	4.76	69	5.13	56
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	69.9%	6.82	20	\$963	4.90	44	71.6%	7.14	11	6.29	13
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	64.5%	5.38	67	\$979	5.21	33	62.1%	4.82	67	5.14	54
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	73.2%	7.70	10	\$885	3.34	82	68.0%	6.27	30	5.77	27
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	65.1%	5.54	61	\$961	4.85	45	68.9%	6.50	24	5.63	32
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	68.3%	6.40	36	\$915	3.93	68	64.7%	5.47	53	5.26	45
840	<i>Winchester</i>	68.8%	6.53	33	\$975	5.14	35	58.5%	3.96	89	5.21	49

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores was begun in May 1999 to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

State Summary July 1, 2013 – February 28, 2014

	State	ED 1	ED 2	ED 3	ED 4	ED 5	ED 6	ED 7	ED 8	ED 9	ED 10	ED 11	ED 12	ED 13	ED 14	ED 15	ED 16	ED 17	ED 18
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>																			
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	12,813	643	446	1,103	415	188	1,366	152	322	482	557	482	1,697	489	173	1,501	433	2,246	118
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	93%	98%	99%	95%	98%	93%	91%	93%	98%	95%	96%	89%	89%	88%	90%	98%	81%	91%	91%
% Timely in February 2014	92%	97%	97%	98%	98%	89%	93%	94%	100%	100%	98%	93%	83%	78%	69%	96%	83%	92%	80%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>																			
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	8,700	455	311	715	285	124	925	94	233	339	389	353	1,147	335	123	973	294	1,521	84
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	95%	100%	99%	97%	100%	95%	95%	97%	99%	95%	97%	98%	93%	96%	94%	96%	90%	94%	98%
Percent in February 2014	95%	100%	100%	97%	100%	94%	97%	100%	98%	97%	97%	94%	88%	97%	88%	95%	90%	93%	####
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>																			
# Over 30 Days	109	4	1	1	0	5	6	2	0	0	0	6	53	13	6	0	10	2	0
Average # of Days Over 30	37	3	8	35	0	25	47	59	0	0	0	32	37	38	90	0	25	6	0

EDD1 – Southwest Region: July 1, 2013 - February 28, 2014

	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2013		643	52	22	129	82	61	112	169
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013		98%	96%	100%	98%	99%	98%	99%	98%
% Timely in February 2014		97%	75%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	95%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013		455	41	11	88	66	47	80	112
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013		100%	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	90%
Percent in February 2014		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>									
# Over 30 Days		4	1	0	0	0	0	0	3
Average # of Days Over 30		3	7	0	0	0	0	0	2

EDD2 - Bristol-Galax Region: July 1, 2013 - February 28, 2014

	<i>Total EDD2</i>	<i>Bland</i>	<i>Carroll</i>	<i>Grayson</i>	<i>Smyth</i>	<i>Wash.</i>	<i>Wythe</i>	<i>Bristol</i>	<i>Galax</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	446	6	32	15	100	78	48	154	13
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	99%	100%	100%	93%	100%	97%	94%	100%	100%
% Timely in February 2014	97%	100%	100%	75%	100%	93%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	311	4	23	10	72	54	37	102	9
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	99%	100%	100%	100%	99%	100%	100%	99%	100%
Percent in February 2014	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	8	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0

EDD3 - Roanoke Region: July 1, 2013 - February 28, 2014

	<i>Total EDD3</i>	<i>Alleghny/ Covington</i>	<i>Bote- tourt</i>	<i>Craig</i>	<i>Floyd</i>	<i>Franklin County</i>	<i>Giles</i>	<i>Mont- gomery</i>	<i>Pulaski</i>	<i>Roanoke County</i>	<i>Radford</i>	<i>Roanoke City</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹												
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	1,103	56	19	2	25	97	17	134	80	103	48	522
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	95%	96%	100%	0%	96%	98%	100%	99%	100%	99%	81%	94%
% Timely in February 2014	98%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	95%	100%	100%	50%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²												
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	715	34	10	1	18	74	11	80	49	60	33	345
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	97%	100%	60%	100%	94%	95%	100%	99%	100%	100%	97%	96%
Percent in February 2014	97%	100%	0%	N/A	100%	94%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	96%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴												
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	35	0	0	35	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: July 1, 2013 - February 28, 2014

	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton /W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge/ BV/Lex	Rockingham/ HBurg
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	415	258	1	0	20	136
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	98%	99%	100%	N/A	95%	97%
% Timely in February 2014	98%	97%	N/A	N/A	N/A	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	285	173	1	0	16	95
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	100%	100%	100%	N/A	94%	100%
Percent in February 2014	100%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD5 & EDD18 - Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: July 1, 2013 - February 28, 2014

	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹										
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	188	6	40	28	12	52	50	118	79	39
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	93%	67%	90%	100%	83%	88%	100%	91%	90%	92%
% Timely in February 2014	89%	0%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	80%	86%	67%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	124	0	30	24	6	34	30	84	59	25
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	95%	N/A	93%	100%	100%	97%	90%	98%	98%	96%
Percent in February 2014	94%	N/A	88%	100%	100%	91%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴										
# Over 30 Days	5	2	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	25	19	0	0	5	41	0	0	0	0

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: July 1, 2013 - February 28, 2014

	<i>Total EDD6</i>	<i>Arlington</i>	<i>Fairfax</i>	<i>Loudoun</i>	<i>Prince William</i>	<i>Alexandria</i>	<i>Manassas</i>	<i>Manassas Park</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹								
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	1,366	102	500	97	446	155	48	18
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	91%	97%	95%	89%	84%	99%	88%	100%
% Timely in February 2014	93%	100%	98%	100%	86%	100%	81%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²								
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	925	73	349	66	309	93	24	11
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	95%	100%	97%	92%	93%	91%	96%	100%
Percent in February 2014	97%	100%	98%	100%	91%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴								
# Over 30 Days	6	0	0	0	4	0	2	0
Average # of Days Over 30	47	0	0	0	68	0	6	0

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: July 1, 2013 - February 28, 2014

	<i>Total EDD7</i>	<i>Culpeper</i>	<i>Fauquier</i>	<i>Madison</i>	<i>Orange</i>	<i>Rapp.</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	152	69	42	14	25	2
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	93%	96%	95%	100%	80%	50%
% Timely in February 2014	94%	89%	100%	N/A	100%	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	94	48	24	13	7	2
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	97%	100%	96%	92%	86%	100%
Percent in February 2014	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	0	2	0
Average # of Days Over 30	59	0	0	0	59	0

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: July 1, 2013 - February 28, 2014

	<i>Total EDD8</i>	<i>Albemarle</i>	<i>Fluvanna</i>	<i>Greene</i>	<i>Louisa</i>	<i>Nelson</i>	<i>Cville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	322	92	12	16	40	20	142
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	98%	100%	100%	94%	95%	100%	99%
% Timely in February 2014	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	233	61	9	13	31	17	102
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	99%	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	99%
Percent in February 2014	98%	92%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: July 1, 2013 - February 28, 2014

	<i>Total EDD9</i>	<i>Amherst</i>	<i>Appom.</i>	<i>Bedford</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Lynchburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	482	25	43	78	104	232
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	95%	96%	84%	90%	100%	97%
% Timely in February 2014	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	339	20	30	54	76	159
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	95%	100%	100%	93%	97%	94%
Percent in February 2014	97%	100%	100%	100%	91%	95%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD10 - Danville Region: July 1, 2013 - February 28, 2014

	<i>Total EDD10</i>	<i>Halifax</i>	<i>Henry/ Martinsville</i>	<i>Patrick</i>	<i>Pittsylvania</i>	<i>Danville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	557	70	188	50	80	169
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	96%	89%	96%	100%	98%	97%
% Timely in February 2014	98%	100%	93%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	389	53	130	33	53	120
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	97%	98%	95%	100%	100%	96%
Percent in February 2014	97%	100%	90%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD11 – Southside Region: July 1, 2013 - February 28, 2014

	<i>Total EDD11</i>	<i>Amelia</i>	<i>Brunswick</i>	<i>Buckingham</i>	<i>Charlotte</i>	<i>Cumberland</i>	<i>Gr'nsville Emporia</i>	<i>Lunenburg</i>	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	<i>Nottoway</i>	<i>Pr. Edward</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹											
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	482	22	44	36	32	28	63	29	75	79	74
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	89%	100%	89%	97%	100%	96%	37%	83%	100%	99%	100%
% Timely in February 2014	93%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	33%	33%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²											
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	353	18	38	28	20	12	53	19	65	48	52
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	94%	100%	98%	96%	98%
Percent in February 2014	94%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	70%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴											
# Over 30 Days	6	0	1	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	32	0	48	0	0	0	29	0	0	0	0

EDD12 - Richmond Region: July 1, 2013 - February 28, 2014

	<i>Total EDD12</i>	<i>Chesterfield/ Col Hgts</i>	<i>Goochland</i>	<i>Hanover</i>	<i>Henrico</i>	<i>Powhatan</i>	<i>Richmond City</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	1,697	306	8	35	508	30	810
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	89%	90%	100%	97%	77%	100%	95%
% Timely in February 2014	83%	77%	100%	100%	66%	100%	94%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	1,147	233	5	21	336	23	529
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	93%	94%	100%	86%	88%	96%	95%
Percent in February 2014	88%	85%	N/A	100%	82%	100%	93%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	53	3	0	0	34	0	16
Average # of Days Over 30	37	126	0	0	31	0	33

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: July 1, 2013 - February 28, 2014

	<i>Total EDD13</i>	<i>Caroline</i>	<i>King George</i>	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	<i>Stafford</i>	<i>Fredericksburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	489	81	31	146	124	107
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	88%	83%	100%	73%	97%	98%
% Timely in February 2014	78%	75%	100%	53%	93%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	335	55	23	104	77	76
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	96%	98%	100%	94%	92%	99%
Percent in February 2014	97%	100%	100%	92%	100%	94%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	13	8	0	5	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	38	46	0	24	0	0

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: July 1, 2013 - February 28, 2014

	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North- umberland	Richmond County	West- moreland
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹										
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	173	36	12	18	14	7	24	17	14	31
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	90%	94%	17%	100%	100%	71%	92%	100%	93%	100%
% Timely in February 2014	69%	100%	0%	N/A	100%	N/A	50%	100%	0%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	123	27	11	14	7	4	20	10	11	19
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	94%	96%	73%	100%	100%	100%	95%	100%	91%	89%
Percent in February 2014	88%	75%	75%	N/A	100%	N/A	100%	100%	75%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴										
# Over 30 Days	6	0	1	0	0	0	4	0	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	90	0	7	0	0	0	129.75	0	11	0

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: July 1, 2013 - February 28, 2014

	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	1,501	1	47	64	24	64	509	767	25
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	98%	100%	89%	97%	79%	97%	99%	97%	100%
% Timely in February 2014	96%	N/A	100%	100%	67%	100%	100%	93%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	973	1	29	38	16	44	329	499	17
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	96%	100%	100%	97%	100%	91%	96%	95%	100%
Percent in February 2014	95%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	75%	93%	97%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: July 1, 2013 - February 28, 2014

	<i>Total EDD16</i>	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	<i>Prince George</i>	<i>Surry</i>	<i>Sussex</i>	<i>Hopewell</i>	<i>Petersburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	433	27	31	21	29	124	201
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	81%	100%	55%	100%	97%	60%	91%
% Timely in February 2014	83%	100%	33%	100%	50%	70%	92%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	294	19	24	13	20	79	139
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	90%	95%	79%	100%	90%	89%	91%
Percent in February 2014	90%	100%	100%	100%	80%	94%	86%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	10	0	8	0	0	0	2
Average # of Days Over 30	25	0	31	0	0	0	1

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: July 1, 2013 - February 28, 2014

	<i>Total EDD17</i>	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	<i>South- hampton</i>	<i>Chesapeake</i>	<i>Franklin City</i>	<i>Norfolk</i>	<i>Portsmouth</i>	<i>Suffolk</i>	<i>VA Beach</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2013	2,246	38	26	406	66	741	385	173	411
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2013	91%	92%	96%	92%	100%	88%	88%	96%	95%
% Timely in February 2014	92%	100%	100%	95%	100%	96%	73%	100%	98%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2013	1,521	26	21	281	40	517	265	119	252
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2013	94%	92%	100%	93%	100%	92%	94%	97%	94%
Percent in February 2014	93%	100%	100%	91%	100%	91%	90%	100%	96%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	6	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0

Child Support Enforcement - Statewide Totals

Collections

	<i>Feb-13</i>	<i>Feb-14</i>	<i>% Change</i>
TANF Cases Collected	14,594	15,617	7.0%
NTANF Cases Collected	129,485	130,659	0.9%
Total Cases Collected	144,079	146,276	1.5%
TANF Collections	\$4,442,846	\$5,333,489	20.0%
NTANF Collections	\$51,295,560	\$52,930,169	3.2%
Total Collections	\$55,738,406	\$58,263,658	4.5%
SFY YTD Collections	\$417,063,020	\$423,700,587	1.6%
SFY YTD Average Collection	\$363.19	\$359.08	-1.1%

Paternities Established

	<i>Feb-14</i>
TANF Paternities	130
NTANF Paternities	292
Combined Paternities	422

Diversionsary Assistance

February Diversionsary Assistance Cases

Locality	Number of Cases		Locality	Number of Cases
Alleghany / Covington	1		Stafford	2
Augusta / Staunt'n / W'boro	2		Surry	2
Bedford City	1		Warren	3
Buckingham	2		Westmoreland	1
Chesterfield/Colonial Hgts	7		York / Poquoson	1
Culpeper	2		Bristol	4
Fairfax / Falls Church	2		Charlottesville	2
Fauquier	3		Chesapeake	1
Franklin County	2		Franklin City	2
Greene	3		Hampton	4
Halifax	1		Hopewell	1
Henry / Martinsville	1		Lynchburg	2
Loudoun	2		Newport News	7
Patrick	1		Norfolk	4
Prince William	1		Petersburg	2
Roanoke County	3		Roanoke City	4
Rockingham/ H'burg	1		Suffolk	3
Shenandoah	5		Virginia Beach	3
Spotsylvania	3		Winchester	1
			Statewide	92

Definitions of Selected Terms Contained in Report

Average Monthly Earnings. This is the average monthly earnings of the unsubsidized jobs that participants obtained. The value is calculated by multiplying the hourly wage by the weekly hours worked by 4.3 average weeks per month. For the cumulative figures the initial wage and initial hours are used. For the current figures, the initial wage and most current hours are used, except for the November 2001 report which used initial hours. Earlier than June 1999, wage changes that were entered overwrote the initial wage, so prior to that date, the cumulative figures used the initial hours and the last wage entered. With the advent of the VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) program in October 2006 the end of month average monthly earnings might be expected to decline somewhat because prior to VTP, cases with high earnings might remain open for job follow-up, but after the implementation of VTP the VIEW case would be closed when the TANF case was closed and a VTP case opened. VTP cases are not included in this report except for estimating the participation rate.

Average Wage. The average of the wages reported for each occurrence of unsubsidized employment. After June 1999 the wage is the wage at hiring, that is, the initial wage. Prior to June 1999, that wage may have been updated if changed during employment. After the implementation of the VIEW Transitional Payment program the end of month point in time average wage might be expected to decline some for the same reason mentioned in the explanation of possible decline in Average Monthly earnings, above. See note 6 on page 44.

Cases Closed with Employment. These are TANF or TANF-UP cases that are closed with an indication in the data base of employment at the date of closure. It does not mean that the case necessarily closed because of employment. For example, a TANF case may have an indication of closing at the client's request, along with indication of existing employment in VIEW. That case is counted as closed with employment.

EDD. This stands for Economic Development District. EDDs were developed during the administration of Governor Allen. They generally are no longer in use. This report continues to refer to them because VIEW was phased in by EDD and their use provides consistency over time.

Enrolled. Unduplicated number by locality of TANF recipients who were interviewed, received an individual assessment, signed the Agreement of Personal Responsibility, and enrolled in the VIEW program. Because the count is by locality, a recipient may be counted once in more than one locality. The fiscal year to date numbers include those who were enrolled at the beginning of the fiscal year as well as those enrolled during the year. There are clients enrolled in VIEW who have had their TANF case closed because VIEW policy requires that the enrollment remain open for job follow-up after employment unless the employment meets federal work participation guidelines, in which case the VIEW case is closed and a VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) case is opened.

Full Time Employment. Employment at 30 hours a week or greater.

Participants Assigned to Inactive. Inactive is a status assigned to participants who cannot participate in the VIEW program for reasons other than being exempt. Examples are unavailability of child care, unavailability of transportation, and mental or medical problems that make participation impractical. Inactive participants are due to be reviewed each month. While in inactive status, the 24 month time limit for TANF benefits is suspended.

Participants Employed. Total number of participants by locality who obtained an unsubsidized job, either full time or part time. If employed more than one time, they are still only counted once, but may be counted in more than one locality. Also, these participants may have left their job and not gotten another one. For the year to date numbers, those employed at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first became employed in the fiscal year.

Participants in a Work Activity. Unduplicated count by locality of persons who have been in any of the following components: unsubsidized employment, full employment program (FEP), on-the-job training (OJT), community work experience program (CWEP), public service program (PSP), vocational education and training, and job search/job readiness. For example, a person who was in community work experience and then employed would be counted only once.

For the year to date numbers, those in a work activity at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first entered a work activity in the fiscal year. Note that this is a revised definition of work activity from reports through October 2006. The revised definition captures the activities that count toward the first 20 hours of federal participation requirements – the core work activities. Clients who are counted as being in a core work activity must meet additional participation rate requirements in order to be included in the work participation rate, and only VIEW clients are counted here, not all work eligible TANF clients **Thus, these percentages in a work activity are quite different from the percentages in the TANF work participation rate.**

Part Time Employment. Employment at fewer than 30 weekly hours.

Referred. Unduplicated number of TANF recipients by locality who were initially evaluated as eligible for VIEW and had their names referred to the automated VIEW list for participation.

Relationship Between TANF and VIEW Participation. On the chart at page 5a, the column heading “Total Adults in the Case” includes adults who are not considered to be part of the assistance unit. For example, a mother receiving SSI may be in the case, but because she receives SSI is not considered eligible for TANF and thus is not part of the assistance unit. Persons such as she who are not in the assistance unit are not eligible to participate in VIEW. Also included are adults who are part of the assistance unit but exempt from VIEW

Five Months Employment. In earlier reports, this represented the percent of all participants that were employed 150 days after their first employment. Participants who were employed in any job 150 days after their first employment were counted in this measure.. This measure became unavailable after July 1, 2011. See note 9 on page 44.

Three Months Employment. This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 90 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 90 days after their first employment are counted in this measure. For year to date percents, the calculations are based on the first employment that began one year before the end of the report month. That employment may not have been the first ever for the participant. The year to date percents were calculated differently in reports before September 2004. Also for year to date percents, transferred cases were not counted for months after the January 2002 report.

TANF / TANF-UP Payment Cases. Up to November 2003 these counts were taken from a monthly report with no adjustment. After that, the monthly counts included some cases that were being paid because child support payments had been received and were being passed on. These counts were adjusted to mostly eliminate those additional cases. Further adjustment may be appropriate. It should also be noted that the counts include both strictly TANF cases and MOE cases for some years. With the end of waiver provisions in July 2003, a substantial part of the caseload was placed in a state program and funded with MOE funds. This change in July 2003 was a change in funding, not a change in eligibility requirements or case payment amounts. It ended in September 2006 when VIEW transitional payments (VTP) were introduced. Adjustments have been made to take out VTP cases. Also, TANF-UP cases are currently funded with only state monies.

VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). Beginning in October 2006, VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) were introduced. These are payments of \$50 per client per month for one year to VIEW clients that leave “regular” TANF with employment sufficient to meet Federal work participation requirements. The employment must be maintained to be eligible for VTP. Previously, VTP clients normally would have remained VIEW clients for five or six months of job-follow-up. Thus, the VTP program has had a diminishing effect on some of the statistics in this report such as end of month percent employed and average wage. See graph on page 5a.

Time That Data Represent. The data in the top sections of page 4 and pages 8 – 24 are point in time data as of the date indicated. The year to date section represents state fiscal year to date data, with the exception of three month retention (see above). The bottom section is cumulative from when the locality began VIEW.

General Statement About Accuracy. The report is based on an extract from the Employment Services automated system. The extract is normally made the night of the first work day after the end of the report month. Data that staff of local social services agencies enter into the automated system after the time of the extract are not included in the report, even though the activity reflected actually occurred in the report month. However, that data, and the activity reflected, are included in the reports for subsequent months. Further, because the data are entered by staff in the 120 localities, the accuracy of the data in the data base is, of course, subject to inadvertent error.

¹Applications are not necessarily acted upon in the month that they are received. Also, applications may be transferred from regular TANF to TANF-UP and vice versa as they are processed. November applications are being reviewed for accuracy.

² These TANF cases paid counts are prior to possible adjustment. See definition of payment cases. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes.

³ VIEW enrolled counts do not include VTP clients

⁴ A case may be open in more than one locality during the time period.

⁵ Prior to June 1999, when individuals were transferred from one locality to another, their history was transferred as well. This may have an effect on a locality's cumulative VIEW statistics.

⁶ The minimum wage rose from \$6.55 to \$7.25 an hour on 7/24/09. The report reflects initial wages so some effect on wages in the report was delayed. The average wage includes full and part time, unless otherwise indicated. See the definition of average wage on page 42.

⁷ Includes full and part time earnings.

⁸ This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed three months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed three months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous. See definition on page 43 for the revised method of calculating the year to date percentages.

⁹ Until the July 2011 report, this was employed after five months. However, on July 1, 2011 the number of required job follow-ups was reduced to three. Thus, data for five month job retention became unavailable.

¹⁰ Number includes TANF-UP and represents cases carried over to the next month. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes, but with the advent of VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) such occurrences were reduced from earlier months.

¹¹ Enrollment is timely if done within 30 days of referral in previous month of the state fiscal year. The monthly percent includes evaluation of clients waiting in the queue. The year to date does not.

¹² Placement in a work activity on the 95th day after referral was a measure of timeliness before Oct 1, 2006. The revised definition of work activity has increased the percentage from reports before November 2006. Clients whose cases have closed before the 95th day are excluded, so 100% is an attainable mark.


¹⁴ As of the morning of Mar 7, 2014. Some referrals to the waiting list are not appropriate; there may be considerable lag time in correcting them.

This report is available on the Department of Social Services web site at:
http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial_assistance/tanf.cgi

The scores in the VIEW Rankings are determined by placing a locality on a scale of zero to ten in the same relative position as its place in the difference between the high and low of the measure in question. This converts all measures to a similar scale and provides a more equitable way to combine the ratings in each measure to determine a combined rank. (Questions, please call 804 726-7618.)

Comments on the format or content of the report and requests for Excel files for portions of current and past reports may be sent by e-mail to: william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov.

TANF and TANF-UP Cases Paid at Six Month Intervals, except last month June 1995 through February 2014




This graph illustrates the dramatic decline of the TANF caseload as VIEW was implemented. There was some sign of slowing in the rate of decline after June 1998. Nevertheless, wide community involvement, a strong economy, and the effect of the 24 month rule allowed the caseload to continue to fall until it was near the 30,000 level. There was little net change in the caseload from June 2000 to June 2002, but some upward movement was seen after June 2002, until 2005. The more recent trends are presumed to be a result of economic conditions. The caseload leveled off after recession spurred growth and now is declining.

Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

Applications Received with Approval Percentage


Six Month Asymmetrical Moving Averages by Quarter, July 1995 through February 2014



This graph of application activity is presented as six month moving averages to produce smoother lines from which trends may be seen and in recognition that applications are not always acted on in the month received. The trends are not dramatic, but there seems to have been a general decline in the number of applications received until the July 2001 data. The decline in applications received after December 2004 and the more recent changes appear to be reflected in the case load trends. While no reason has been determined for the general decline in percent approved, an apparent reason for the more recent decline is the availability of applications on line that offers ready access for applying to multiple assistance programs. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

TANF Cases, VIEW Enrolled, and Employed VIEW Participants


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. February is the last month



This graph shows that the number enrolled in VIEW increased during early implementation and then generally tracked the number of cases. The effects of recent economic conditions are apparent. A VIEW case may remain open for some months after the TANF case closes, if job follow-up is being done and the case has not been converted to the VIEW Transitional Payment program. It is unlikely that the number employed will ever equal the number enrolled because there are always new enrollees without employment, and there are some enrollees in work activities, other than employment, that meet VIEW requirements. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months. It includes TANF-UP

Chart Displaying the Relationships Between TANF and VIEW Participation


February 28, 2014



This chart illustrates that there are a large number of adults who are exempt from VIEW and that many of the VIEW enrollees have had their TANF cases closed. They are now in a job follow-up phase of VIEW. They have not had their VIEW case closed and become enrolled in the VIEW Transitional Payment program. The source of this information is a monthly TANF extract from ADAPT and the monthly extract from ESPAS, the VIEW automated data system. The extracts were made at the beginning of March and represent end of February data. TANF-UP is included.

VIEW Percent Employed and Average Wage at End of Month


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. February is the last month.



This graph shows that the average wage has seen a general increase as the percent of VIEW employed initially increased and then leveled. Besides employment, VIEW participants may be in various other activities or initial assessment and job search. It is unlikely that the percent employed will rise more, and the recent economic conditions may have caused a decline. The wages shown are generally the initial wages. When the average wage was calculated at about the end of December it was \$8.59 rather than the \$8.49 initial wages used to calculate wages shown on this graph. See page 42 of the report for an explanation of why the VIEW average wage might decline after October 2006 and note 6 on page 44 for the effect of the increase in minimum wage. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.

Percent of Jobs Found During a Month That Were Full Time and Percent Part Time

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. February is the last month



This graph illustrates an initial trend of VIEW participants obtaining a greater percent of full time jobs than part time. After the initial months, the trend seems to be fairly level with an increase in the percent full time after TANF reauthorization which probably reflects increased emphasis on meeting the required TANF work participation rate. The more recent changes are presumed to be a result of economic developments and increased use of other work activities to help meet the required work participation hours. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.