

# **VIRGINIA INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM**

## **MONTHLY REPORT**

**Including Graphs of Statewide Trends**

**July 2014**

Prepared by:  
Virginia Department of Social Services August 27, 2014

# Monthly Report, July 2014

## Table of Contents

Work Participation Estimates (from the VDSS data warehouse) (A work participation estimate percent should not be compared to a percent in a work activity in the main body of the report which includes only clients in VIEW and does not consider the number of hours in a work activity during a month)	i-vi
TANF, TANF-UP Case Activity.....	1
Graphs of Case Activity.....	at end
VIEW Work Activity	
Statewide .....	4
Graphs of Statewide Activity.....	at end
EDD1.....	8
EDD2.....	9
EDD3.....	10
EDD4.....	11
EDD5 & EDD18.....	12
EDD6.....	13
EDD7.....	14
EDD8.....	15
EDD9.....	16
EDD10.....	17
EDD11.....	18
EDD14.....	19
EDD1.....	20
EDD3.....	21
EDD11.....	22
EDD15.....	23
EDD17.....	24
VIEW Year to Date Rankings.....	25-27
VIEW Cumulative Rankings.....	28-30
VIEW Timeliness Measures.....	31-39
Child Support Enforcement.....	40
Diversion.....	41
VIEW Definition Sheet and Endnotes.....	42-44

# Estimated Work Participation Rates for July

*These are estimated locality July 2014 work participation rates that include clients receiving either TANF or VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). The official participation rate will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated July statewide rate is 43% with a numerator of 5,901 and a denominator of 13,730.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	17	41	41%	061	Fauquier	14	37	38%
003	Albemarle	16	67	24%	063	Floyd	6	13	46%
005	Alleghany/Covington	19	38	50%	065	Fluvanna	6	17	35%
007	Amelia	8	15	53%	067	Franklin County	33	71	46%
009	Amherst	11	36	31%	069	Frederick	16	28	57%
011	Appomattox	18	52	35%	071	Giles	5	13	38%
013	Arlington	51	99	52%	073	Gloucester	15	39	38%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	81	169	48%	075	Goochland	1	11	9%
017	Bath	0	0	N/A	077	Grayson	4	13	31%
019	Bedford County/City	36	91	40%	079	Greene	3	15	20%
021	Bland	2	3	67%	081	Greensville/Emporia	31	72	43%
023	Botetourt	1	13	8%	083	Halifax	22	84	26%
025	Brunswick	20	57	35%	085	Hanover	28	63	44%
027	Buchanan	16	48	33%	087	Henrico	164	640	26%
029	Buckingham	14	31	45%	089	Henry/Martinsville	76	195	39%
031	Campbell	45	117	38%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	34	74	46%	093	Isle of Wight	15	29	52%
035	Carroll	24	40	60%	095	James City	27	72	38%
036	Charles City	0	7	0%	097	King and Queen	3	21	14%
037	Charlotte	16	33	48%	099	King George	8	17	47%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	171	378	45%	101	King William	8	20	40%
043	Clarke	1	6	17%	103	Lancaster	6	12	50%
045	Craig	1	10	10%	105	Lee	44	102	43%
047	Culpeper	31	63	49%	107	Loudoun	43	114	38%
049	Cumberland	13	30	43%	109	Louisa	24	52	46%
051	Dickensen	9	17	53%	111	Lunenburg	10	22	45%
053	Dinwiddie	17	40	43%	113	Madison	3	10	30%
057	Essex	20	36	56%	115	Mathews	6	9	67%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	242	506	48%	117	Mecklenburg	19	47	40%

# Estimated Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent
119	Middlesex	20	39	51%	187	Warren	40	62	65%
121	Montgomery	64	111	58%	191	Washington	30	75	40%
125	Nelson	3	13	23%	193	Westmoreland	10	29	34%
127	New Kent	11	28	39%	195	Wise	44	120	37%
131	Northampton	21	41	51%	197	Wythe	17	44	39%
133	Northumberland	5	7	71%	199	York/Poquoson	37	78	47%
135	Nottoway	25	63	40%	510	Alexandria	81	189	43%
137	Orange	20	55	36%	520	Bristol	61	116	53%
139	Page	7	20	35%	540	Charlottesville	73	135	54%
141	Patrick	10	42	24%	550	Chesapeake	229	523	44%
143	Pittsylvania	27	75	36%	590	Danville	70	181	39%
145	Powhatan	6	16	38%	620	Franklin City	25	47	53%
147	Prince Edward	33	71	46%	630	Fredericksburg	44	96	46%
149	Prince George	8	45	18%	640	Galax	13	22	59%
153	Prince William	246	517	48%	650	Hampton	300	617	49%
155	Pulaski	21	57	37%	670	Hopewell	47	171	27%
157	Rappahannock	0	3	0%	680	Lynchburg	88	238	37%
159	Richmond County	3	19	16%	683	Manassas	31	55	56%
161	Roanoke County	45	114	39%	685	Manassas Park	3	9	33%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	17	36	47%	700	Newport News	351	758	46%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	62	111	56%	710	Norfolk	469	954	49%
167	Russell	35	88	40%	720	Norton	7	26	27%
169	Scott	36	64	56%	730	Petersburg	128	280	46%
171	Shenandoah	5	18	28%	740	Portsmouth	203	515	39%
173	Smyth	46	90	51%	750	Radford	11	34	32%
175	Southampton	14	39	36%	760	Richmond City	455	1,122	41%
177	Spotsylvania	96	202	48%	770	Roanoke City	154	430	36%
179	Stafford	59	127	46%	800	Suffolk	79	167	47%
181	Surry	11	20	55%	810	Virginia Beach	188	376	50%
183	Sussex	13	39	33%	830	Williamsburg	10	14	71%
185	Tazewell	39	87	45%	840	Winchester	21	35	60%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF and VTP recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rate presented here is the all families rate, i. e. the overall rate, including VTP..

# Estimated TANF only Work Participation Rates for July

*These are estimated locality July 2014 work participation rates that include only clients receiving TANF. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated July statewide rate is 36% with a numerator of 4,438 and a denominator of 12,177. VTP only estimates are on pages v and vi.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	14	38	37%	061	Fauquier	12	34	35%
003	Albemarle	1	52	2%	063	Floyd	4	11	36%
005	Alleghany/Covington	13	32	41%	065	Fluvanna	4	15	27%
007	Amelia	4	11	36%	067	Franklin County	23	60	38%
009	Amherst	6	30	20%	069	Frederick	11	23	48%
011	Appomattox	13	47	28%	071	Giles	4	12	33%
013	Arlington	30	78	38%	073	Gloucester	14	37	38%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	46	134	34%	075	Goochland	1	11	9%
017	Bath	0	0	N/A	077	Grayson	2	11	18%
019	Bedford County/City	30	85	35%	079	Greene	3	15	20%
021	Bland	1	2	50%	081	Greensville/Emporia	20	61	33%
023	Botetourt	1	13	8%	083	Halifax	15	77	19%
025	Brunswick	18	53	34%	085	Hanover	15	49	31%
027	Buchanan	15	46	33%	087	Henrico	111	581	19%
029	Buckingham	8	24	33%	089	Henry/Martinsville	49	168	29%
031	Campbell	30	100	30%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	26	63	41%	093	Isle of Wight	11	25	44%
035	Carroll	21	37	57%	095	James City	19	63	30%
036	Charles City	0	7	0%	097	King and Queen	3	21	14%
037	Charlotte	13	30	43%	099	King George	4	13	31%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	137	341	40%	101	King William	7	17	41%
043	Clarke	0	4	0%	103	Lancaster	6	12	50%
045	Craig	1	9	11%	105	Lee	41	99	41%
047	Culpeper	26	56	46%	107	Loudoun	21	92	23%
049	Cumberland	12	29	41%	109	Louisa	12	40	30%
051	Dickensen	8	16	50%	111	Lunenburg	6	18	33%
053	Dinwiddie	12	35	34%	113	Madison	3	10	30%
057	Essex	17	33	52%	115	Mathews	6	8	75%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	147	409	36%	117	Mecklenburg	14	42	33%

# Estimated TANF Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	15	34	44%	187	Warren	31	53	58%
121	Montgomery	46	93	49%	191	Washington	27	72	38%
125	Nelson	3	13	23%	193	Westmoreland	10	29	34%
127	New Kent	8	24	33%	195	Wise	33	108	31%
131	Northampton	19	39	49%	197	Wythe	13	40	33%
133	Northumberland	3	5	60%	199	York/Poquoson	26	67	39%
135	Nottoway	18	56	32%	510	Alexandria	61	164	37%
137	Orange	20	55	36%	520	Bristol	52	107	49%
139	Page	3	16	19%	540	Charlottesville	53	114	46%
141	Patrick	8	39	21%	550	Chesapeake	183	475	39%
143	Pittsylvania	25	73	34%	590	Danville	55	165	33%
145	Powhatan	4	14	29%	620	Franklin City	18	40	45%
147	Prince Edward	25	62	40%	630	Fredericksburg	31	83	37%
149	Prince George	5	41	12%	640	Galax	10	19	53%
153	Prince William	155	423	37%	650	Hampton	233	543	43%
155	Pulaski	14	50	28%	670	Hopewell	39	163	24%
157	Rappahannock	0	3	0%	680	Lynchburg	75	225	33%
159	Richmond County	1	17	6%	683	Manassas	18	42	43%
161	Roanoke County	29	97	30%	685	Manassas Park	1	7	14%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	14	33	42%	700	Newport News	259	659	39%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	40	89	45%	710	Norfolk	404	888	45%
167	Russell	34	86	40%	720	Norton	5	24	21%
169	Scott	27	55	49%	730	Petersburg	110	261	42%
171	Shenandoah	5	17	29%	740	Portsmouth	164	471	35%
173	Smyth	41	85	48%	750	Radford	8	31	26%
175	Southampton	10	33	30%	760	Richmond City	371	1,032	36%
177	Spotsylvania	82	186	44%	770	Roanoke City	103	377	27%
179	Stafford	41	109	38%	800	Suffolk	54	140	39%
181	Surry	10	19	53%	810	Virginia Beach	131	318	41%
183	Sussex	10	36	28%	830	Williamsburg	6	9	67%
185	Tazewell	33	81	41%	840	Winchester	15	29	52%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF recipients who are considered to be "work-eligible individuals." The cases containing these client become the "denominator." To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are for the TANF all family rate not including VTP.

## *Estimated VTP only Work Participation Rates for July*

*These are estimated locality July 2014 work participation rates that include only clients receiving VTP. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated July statewide rate is 94% with a numerator of 1,463 and a denominator of 1,553. TANF only estimates are on pages iii and iv.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	<i>Accomack</i>	3	3	100%	061	<i>Fauquier</i>	2	3	67%
003	<i>Albemarle</i>	15	15	100%	063	<i>Floyd</i>	2	2	100%
005	<i>Alleghany/Covington</i>	6	6	100%	065	<i>Fluvanna</i>	2	2	100%
007	<i>Amelia</i>	4	4	100%	067	<i>Franklin County</i>	10	11	91%
009	<i>Amherst</i>	5	6	83%	069	<i>Frederick</i>	5	5	100%
011	<i>Appomattox</i>	5	5	100%	071	<i>Giles</i>	1	1	100%
013	<i>Arlington</i>	21	21	100%	073	<i>Gloucester</i>	1	2	50%
015	<i>Augusta/S'ton/W'boro</i>	35	35	100%	075	<i>Goochland</i>	0	0	N/A
017	<i>Bath</i>	0	0	N/A	077	<i>Grayson</i>	2	2	100%
019	<i>Bedford County/City</i>	6	6	100%	079	<i>Greene</i>	0	0	N/A
021	<i>Bland</i>	1	1	100%	081	<i>Greensville/Emporia</i>	11	11	100%
023	<i>Botetourt</i>	0	0	N/A	083	<i>Halifax</i>	7	7	100%
025	<i>Brunswick</i>	2	4	50%	085	<i>Hanover</i>	13	14	93%
027	<i>Buchanan</i>	1	2	50%	087	<i>Henrico</i>	53	59	90%
029	<i>Buckingham</i>	6	7	86%	089	<i>Henry/Martinsville</i>	27	27	100%
031	<i>Campbell</i>	15	17	88%	091	<i>Highland</i>	0	0	N/A
033	<i>Caroline</i>	8	11	73%	093	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	4	4	100%
035	<i>Carroll</i>	3	3	100%	095	<i>James City</i>	8	9	89%
036	<i>Charles City</i>	0	0	N/A	097	<i>King and Queen</i>	0	0	N/A
037	<i>Charlotte</i>	3	3	100%	099	<i>King George</i>	4	4	100%
041	<i>Chesterfield/Col Hgts</i>	34	37	92%	101	<i>King William</i>	1	3	33%
043	<i>Clarke</i>	1	2	50%	103	<i>Lancaster</i>	0	0	N/A
045	<i>Craig</i>	0	1	0%	105	<i>Lee</i>	3	3	100%
047	<i>Culpeper</i>	5	7	71%	107	<i>Loudoun</i>	22	22	100%
049	<i>Cumberland</i>	1	1	100%	109	<i>Louisa</i>	12	12	100%
051	<i>Dickensen</i>	1	1	100%	111	<i>Lunenburg</i>	4	4	100%
053	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	5	5	100%	113	<i>Madison</i>	0	0	N/A
057	<i>Essex</i>	3	3	100%	115	<i>Mathews</i>	0	1	0%
059	<i>Fairfax/Falls Church</i>	95	97	98%	117	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	5	5	100%

# Estimated VTP Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	5	5	100%	187	Warren	9	9	100%
121	Montgomery	18	18	100%	191	Washington	3	3	100%
125	Nelson	0	0	N/A	193	Westmoreland	0	0	N/A
127	New Kent	3	4	75%	195	Wise	11	12	92%
131	Northampton	2	2	100%	197	Wythe	4	4	100%
133	Northumberland	2	2	100%	199	York/Poquoson	11	11	100%
135	Nottoway	7	7	100%	510	Alexandria	20	25	80%
137	Orange	0	0	N/A	520	Bristol	9	9	100%
139	Page	4	4	100%	540	Charlottesville	20	21	95%
141	Patrick	2	3	67%	550	Chesapeake	46	48	96%
143	Pittsylvania	2	2	100%	590	Danville	15	16	94%
145	Powhatan	2	2	100%	620	Franklin City	7	7	100%
147	Prince Edward	8	9	89%	630	Fredericksburg	13	13	100%
149	Prince George	3	4	75%	640	Galax	3	3	100%
153	Prince William	91	94	97%	650	Hampton	67	74	91%
155	Pulaski	7	7	100%	670	Hopewell	8	8	100%
157	Rappahannock	0	0	N/A	680	Lynchburg	13	13	100%
159	Richmond County	2	2	100%	683	Manassas	13	13	100%
161	Roanoke County	16	17	94%	685	Manassas Park	2	2	100%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	3	3	100%	700	Newport News	92	99	93%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	22	22	100%	710	Norfolk	65	66	98%
167	Russell	1	2	50%	720	Norton	2	2	100%
169	Scott	9	9	100%	730	Petersburg	18	19	95%
171	Shenandoah	0	1	0%	740	Portsmouth	39	44	89%
173	Smyth	5	5	100%	750	Radford	3	3	100%
175	Southampton	4	6	67%	760	Richmond City	84	90	93%
177	Spotsylvania	14	16	88%	770	Roanoke City	51	53	96%
179	Stafford	18	18	100%	800	Suffolk	25	27	93%
181	Surry	1	1	100%	810	Virginia Beach	57	58	98%
183	Sussex	3	3	100%	830	Williamsburg	4	5	80%
185	Tazewell	6	6	100%	840	Winchester	6	6	100%

All VTP recipients are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” Thus, all VTP cases are in the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, job follow-up entries are reviewed to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. The basic requirement for VTP recipients is employment for at least 30 hours per week. The requirement is contained in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are also sometimes referred to as the rates for the maintenance of effort program caseload. .

## TANF

	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
<b>TANF Case Activity</b>	<b>Jul-14</b>	<b>Jul-13</b>	<b>Change from Jul-13</b>	<b>Jun-95</b>	<b>Change from Jun-95</b>
Applications Received <sup>1</sup>	6,044	6,638	-8.9%	4,807	26%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	4,032	4,120	-2.1%	1,658	143%
Applications Approved	1,881	1,948	-3%	2,820	-33%
Cases Closed *	2,069	2,432	-15%	4,476	-54%
Cases at End of Month	25,217	28,508	-12%	67,995	-63%
Cases Paid During Month <sup>2</sup>	25,922	29,187	-11%	70,403	-63%

•The database indicates that of the 2,069 closed cases, 839 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 606 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 172 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 127 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

## TANF-UP

	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
<b>TANF-UP Case Activity</b>	<b>Jul-14</b>	<b>Jul-13</b>	<b>Change from Jul-13</b>	<b>Jun-95</b>	<b>Change from Jun-95</b>
Applications Received <sup>1</sup>	537	651	-18%	338	59%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	419	426	-2%	232	81%
Applications Approved	185	202	-8%	81	128%
Cases Closed *	197	217	-9%	107	84%
Cases at End of Month	1,395	1,547	-10%	321	335%
Cases Paid During Month <sup>2</sup>	1,463	1,563	-6%	394	271%

•The database indicates that of the 197 closed cases, 101 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 89 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 18 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those 15 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

## Statewide - Status through July 31, 2014

### TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/14 and Work Activity as of 7/31/14

Current Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	26,612	1,385	855	2,065	686	345	2,924	341	556	1,042	1,237	832	4,194	960	372	2,776	1,054	4,773	215
Total Enrolled in VIEW	10,127	466	346	631	244	141	1,204	114	235	416	337	322	1,645	457	146	1,097	450	1,793	83
Part. in a Work Activity	7,820	351	296	447	198	114	943	90	201	311	256	256	1,217	349	114	897	313	1,410	57
% of Enrolled	77%	75%	86%	71%	81%	81%	78%	79%	86%	75%	76%	80%	74%	76%	78%	82%	70%	79%	69%
Part. Assigned to Inactive	103	3	1	7	1	4	15	3	4	6	0	4	9	9	3	14	4	16	0
Participants Employed	5,659	257	195	377	187	94	611	82	140	211	178	169	863	254	91	646	230	1,029	45
% of Enrolled	56%	55%	56%	60%	77%	67%	51%	72%	60%	51%	53%	52%	52%	56%	62%	59%	51%	57%	54%
Full Time	4,465	199	154	323	162	85	458	65	100	160	143	127	625	200	72	519	189	848	36
Part Time	1,194	58	41	54	25	9	153	17	40	51	35	42	238	54	19	127	41	181	9
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.45	\$8.04	\$7.70	\$8.22	\$8.25	\$8.40	\$9.86	\$8.72	\$8.77	\$8.07	\$7.78	\$8.01	\$8.56	\$8.65	\$8.32	\$8.29	\$8.44	\$8.18	\$8.12
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,134	\$1,082	\$1,053	\$1,144	\$1,144	\$1,138	\$1,300	\$1,197	\$1,131	\$1,069	\$1,064	\$1,069	\$1,122	\$1,141	\$1,114	\$1,123	\$1,172	\$1,096	\$1,132

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – July 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	2,346	105	69	181	63	32	304	32	69	94	126	73	292	80	31	282	91	408	14
Total Enrolled in VIEW	10,852	477	365	693	249	157	1,300	121	257	462	383	345	1,762	483	157	1,209	468	1,880	84
Part. in a Work Activity	8,681	409	312	549	215	132	1,043	94	219	378	298	282	1,328	375	129	1,000	334	1,518	66
% of Total Enrolled	80%	86%	85%	79%	86%	84%	80%	78%	85%	82%	78%	82%	75%	78%	82%	83%	71%	81%	79%
Participants Employed	6,009	268	207	414	191	106	656	86	147	236	200	178	906	270	95	688	240	1,074	47
% of Total Enrolled	55%	56%	57%	60%	77%	68%	50%	71%	57%	51%	52%	52%	51%	56%	61%	57%	51%	57%	56%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.45	\$8.04	\$7.70	\$8.21	\$8.26	\$8.39	\$9.84	\$8.81	\$8.77	\$8.09	\$7.83	\$7.98	\$8.55	\$8.72	\$8.30	\$8.26	\$8.46	\$8.19	\$8.12
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,090	\$1,041	\$1,009	\$1,110	\$1,113	\$1,132	\$1,232	\$1,189	\$1,007	\$1,012	\$1,023	\$1,005	\$1,099	\$1,091	\$1,043	\$1,071	\$1,164	\$1,054	\$1,036
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	70%	66%	67%	65%	67%	71%	75%	66%	70%	64%	60%	71%	73%	68%	72%	65%	73%	73%	73%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	303,815	18,300	11,358	22,648	8,954	5,897	38,176	4,317	6,666	11,454	13,853	8,838	40,364	10,005	4,049	29,240	10,278	56,674	2,744
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>5</sup>	235,143	14,187	9,586	17,601	6,983	4,434	29,464	3,281	5,188	9,516	11,110	6,948	31,824	7,498	3,050	22,333	8,051	42,023	2,066
Part. in a Work Activity	224,718	13,681	9,211	16,749	6,814	4,193	28,360	3,160	5,068	8,982	10,760	6,664	30,511	7,067	2,868	21,241	7,644	39,746	1,999
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	96%	95%	98%	95%	96%	96%	98%	94%	97%	96%	96%	94%	94%	95%	95%	95%	97%
Participants Employed	158,030	8,823	6,152	11,849	4,874	2,883	20,512	2,197	3,849	6,493	6,765	4,229	21,523	4,936	1,925	15,402	5,456	29,003	1,159
% of Total Enrolled	67%	62%	64%	67%	70%	65%	70%	67%	74%	68%	61%	61%	68%	66%	63%	69%	68%	69%	56%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.33	\$6.37	\$6.48	\$7.24	\$7.33	\$7.51	\$8.86	\$7.64	\$7.55	\$6.78	\$6.54	\$6.96	\$7.51	\$8.10	\$7.16	\$7.20	\$7.18	\$7.01	\$6.89
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$961	\$836	\$873	\$967	\$972	\$1,000	\$1,169	\$1,002	\$954	\$870	\$866	\$893	\$996	\$1,030	\$907	\$934	\$968	\$911	\$861
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	66%	67%	61%	60%	67%	63%	71%	64%	63%	64%	63%	65%	69%	65%	58%	66%	65%	67%	71%

## EDD1 - Southwest Region: Status through July 31, 2014

### TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/14 and Work Activity as of 7/31/14

Current Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,385	137	64	264	192	139	222	316	51
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	466	41	16	118	64	54	79	79	15
Participants in a Work Activity	351	34	12	97	49	37	64	46	12
% of Enrolled	75%	83%	75%	82%	77%	69%	81%	58%	80%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	257	26	12	60	21	31	56	40	11
% of Enrolled	55%	63%	75%	51%	33%	57%	71%	51%	73%
Full Time	199	14	11	49	17	19	48	34	7
Part Time	58	12	1	11	4	12	8	6	4
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.04	\$8.02	\$7.55	\$8.15	\$9.14	\$8.06	\$7.85	\$7.72	\$7.93
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,082	\$1,022	\$1,071	\$1,124	\$1,217	\$1,035	\$1,079	\$1,063	\$959

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – July 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	105	10	6	20	11	11	22	23	2
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	477	41	16	120	66	54	81	82	17
Participants in a Work Activity	409	36	14	113	51	44	73	65	13
% of Total Enrolled	86%	88%	88%	94%	77%	81%	90%	79%	76%
Participants Employed	268	26	12	64	22	31	58	43	12
% of Total Enrolled	56%	63%	75%	53%	33%	57%	72%	52%	71%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.04	\$8.02	\$7.55	\$8.19	\$9.09	\$8.06	\$7.87	\$7.70	\$7.94
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,041	\$1,002	\$1,051	\$1,070	\$1,258	\$972	\$1,030	\$1,018	\$893
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	66%	62%	57%	66%	69%	65%	65%	66%	100%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	18,300	1,763	1,243	2,599	2,537	1,803	3,482	4,282	591
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	14,187	1,345	972	2,016	2,051	1,346	2,661	3,327	469
Participants in a Work Activity	13,681	1,264	915	2,004	1,954	1,322	2,573	3,218	431
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	94%	99%	95%	98%	97%	97%	92%
Participants Employed	8,823	725	583	1,313	1,221	785	1,779	2,074	343
% of Total Enrolled	62%	54%	60%	65%	60%	58%	67%	62%	73%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.37	\$6.53	\$6.37	\$6.20	\$6.53	\$6.67	\$6.25	\$6.37	\$6.17
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$836	\$850	\$847	\$807	\$863	\$891	\$824	\$838	\$758
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	67%	64%	55%	72%	67%	76%	66%	66%	71%

## EDD2 – Bristol-Galax Region: Status through July 31, 2014

### TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/14 and Work Activity as of 7/31/14

Current Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	855	10	87	37	192	185	102	204	38
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	346	1	44	3	73	54	27	126	18
Participants in a Work Activity	296	1	37	3	66	45	23	106	15
% of Enrolled	86%	100%	84%	100%	90%	83%	85%	84%	83%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Participants Employed	195	0	30	3	48	26	18	61	9
% of Enrolled	56%	0%	68%	100%	66%	48%	67%	48%	50%
Full Time	154	N/A	29	3	35	17	17	46	7
Part Time	41	N/A	1	0	13	9	1	15	2
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.70	N/A	\$7.43	\$7.58	\$7.56	\$7.83	\$8.01	\$7.81	\$7.67
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,053	N/A	\$1,071	\$1,054	\$1,053	\$1,035	\$1,169	\$1,034	\$946

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – July 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	69	0	13	3	16	10	7	18	2
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	365	2	44	5	83	58	27	127	19
Participants in a Work Activity	312	2	37	5	71	48	24	109	16
% of Total Enrolled	85%	100%	84%	100%	86%	83%	89%	86%	84%
Participants Employed	207	1	30	3	49	31	19	64	10
% of Total Enrolled	57%	50%	68%	60%	59%	53%	70%	50%	53%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.70	\$9.00	\$7.43	\$7.58	\$7.57	\$7.76	\$7.97	\$7.78	\$7.70
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,009	\$1,161	\$1,010	\$1,047	\$1,005	\$946	\$1,143	\$1,015	\$893
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	67%	67%	62%	75%	65%	69%	65%	70%	56%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	11,358	283	1,497	703	2,007	2,004	1,650	2,450	764
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	9,586	210	1,242	574	1,705	1,673	1,318	2,192	672
Participants in a Work Activity	9,211	198	1,146	537	1,651	1,634	1,293	2,116	636
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	92%	94%	97%	98%	98%	97%	95%
Participants Employed	6,152	124	832	370	998	1,043	876	1,489	420
% of Total Enrolled	64%	59%	67%	64%	59%	62%	66%	68%	63%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.48	\$6.46	\$6.27	\$6.44	\$6.38	\$6.71	\$6.44	\$6.57	\$6.40
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$873	\$888	\$840	\$861	\$877	\$901	\$854	\$879	\$886
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	61%	55%	54%	61%	62%	58%	67%	62%	69%

## EDD3 – Roanoke Region: Status through July 31, 2014

### TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/14 and Work Activity as of 7/31/14

Current Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	2,065	83	32	15	46	181	40	253	149	227	68	971
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	631	24	6	4	11	54	6	82	33	70	25	316
Participants in a Work Activity	447	21	3	3	9	43	5	69	23	55	20	196
% of Enrolled	71%	88%	50%	75%	82%	80%	83%	84%	70%	79%	80%	62%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	7	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	2	1	0
Participants Employed	377	18	2	3	6	24	5	65	18	39	17	180
% of Enrolled	60%	75%	33%	75%	55%	44%	83%	79%	55%	56%	68%	57%
Full Time	323	14	1	3	5	17	4	59	17	39	13	151
Part Time	54	4	1	0	1	7	1	6	1	0	4	29
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.22	\$8.51	\$7.38	\$8.17	\$7.79	\$8.16	\$7.69	\$8.05	\$8.06	\$8.12	\$7.80	\$8.37
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,144	\$1,167	\$880	\$1,202	\$1,039	\$1,062	\$968	\$1,155	\$1,215	\$1,209	\$1,041	\$1,148

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – July 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	181	6	3	2	2	18	3	24	10	15	6	92
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	693	31	7	6	14	62	9	92	34	74	29	335
Participants in a Work Activity	549	27	4	3	12	48	8	75	27	60	23	262
% of Total Enrolled	79%	87%	57%	50%	86%	77%	89%	82%	79%	81%	79%	78%
Participants Employed	414	19	2	3	9	30	5	68	22	41	20	195
% of Total Enrolled	60%	61%	29%	50%	64%	48%	56%	74%	65%	55%	69%	58%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.21	\$8.48	\$7.38	\$8.17	\$7.69	\$8.11	\$7.69	\$8.05	\$7.96	\$8.12	\$7.97	\$8.37
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,110	\$1,115	\$967	\$1,194	\$967	\$1,064	\$1,005	\$1,144	\$1,031	\$1,154	\$1,034	\$1,122
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	65%	61%	80%	100%	62%	71%	43%	72%	65%	63%	58%	63%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	22,648	1,234	385	108	586	2,012	577	3,188	1,951	2,506	901	9,200
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	17,601	951	247	62	436	1,565	422	2,624	1,557	2,027	705	7,005
Participants in a Work Activity	16,749	918	215	61	419	1,411	414	2,579	1,493	1,900	674	6,665
% of Total Enrolled	95%	97%	87%	98%	96%	90%	98%	98%	96%	94%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	11,849	665	111	30	284	968	243	2,044	984	1,493	490	4,537
% of Total Enrolled	67%	70%	45%	48%	65%	62%	58%	78%	63%	74%	70%	65%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.24	\$7.00	\$7.54	\$7.34	\$7.15	\$7.17	\$7.14	\$7.08	\$6.90	\$7.72	\$7.11	\$7.31
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$967	\$854	\$962	\$1,042	\$954	\$962	\$957	\$954	\$954	\$1,038	\$918	\$981
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	60%	58%	54%	59%	54%	58%	52%	63%	53%	58%	62%	62%

## EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: Status through July 31, 2014

### TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/14 and Work Activity as of 7/31/14

Current Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	686	378	3	4	67	234
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	244	111	0	0	26	107
Participants in a Work Activity	198	84	N/A	N/A	21	93
% of Enrolled	81%	76%	N/A	N/A	81%	87%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	N/A	N/A	0	1
Participants Employed	187	80	N/A	N/A	19	88
% of Enrolled	77%	72%	N/A	N/A	73%	82%
Full Time	162	69	N/A	N/A	14	79
Part Time	25	11	N/A	N/A	5	9
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.25	\$8.12	N/A	N/A	\$8.30	\$8.36
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,144	\$1,121	N/A	N/A	\$1,043	\$1,188

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – July 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	63	36	0	0	4	23
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	249	116	0	0	26	107
Participants in a Work Activity	215	98	N/A	N/A	22	95
% of Total Enrolled	86%	84%	N/A	N/A	85%	89%
Participants Employed	191	84	N/A	N/A	19	88
% of Total Enrolled	77%	72%	N/A	N/A	73%	82%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.26	\$8.14	N/A	N/A	\$8.30	\$8.37
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,113	\$1,085	N/A	N/A	\$1,081	\$1,145
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	67%	64%	N/A	N/A	67%	70%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	8,954	4,777	80	23	777	3,297
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	6,983	3,791	52	8	583	2,549
Participants in a Work Activity	6,814	3,723	52	8	539	2,492
% of Total Enrolled	98%	98%	100%	100%	92%	98%
Participants Employed	4,874	2,545	32	6	413	1,878
% of Total Enrolled	70%	67%	62%	75%	71%	74%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.33	\$7.31	\$6.56	\$7.79	\$6.87	\$7.46
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$972	\$972	\$717	\$784	\$875	\$996
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	67%	66%	61%	83%	67%	68%

## EDDS 5 & 18 – Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: Status through July 31, 2014

### TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/14 and Work Activity as of 7/31/14

Current Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	345	9	66	43	46	108	73	215	140	75
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	141	2	28	22	19	43	27	83	37	46
Participants in a Work Activity	114	1	21	17	16	41	18	57	30	27
% of Enrolled	81%	1	75%	77%	84%	95%	67%	69%	81%	59%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	0	1	2	0	0	1	0	0	0
Participants Employed	94	1	20	11	14	32	16	45	23	22
% of Enrolled	67%	1	71%	50%	74%	74%	59%	54%	62%	48%
Full Time	85	1	15	11	13	31	14	36	17	19
Part Time	9	0	5	0	1	1	2	9	6	3
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.40	\$7.75	\$8.69	\$8.41	\$9.28	\$7.76	\$8.57	\$8.12	\$8.04	\$8.20
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,138	\$1,007	\$1,089	\$1,191	\$1,277	\$1,067	\$1,190	\$1,132	\$1,102	\$1,164

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – July 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	32	0	2	9	1	9	11	14	13	1
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	157	2	28	27	20	52	28	84	37	47
Participants in a Work Activity	132	1	23	23	17	49	19	66	32	34
% of Total Enrolled	84%	50%	82%	85%	85%	94%	68%	79%	86%	72%
Participants Employed	106	1	20	16	15	38	16	47	24	23
% of Total Enrolled	68%	50%	71%	59%	75%	73%	57%	56%	65%	49%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.39	\$7.75	\$8.69	\$8.72	\$9.14	\$7.75	\$8.57	\$8.12	\$8.08	\$8.15
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,132	\$1,000	\$1,112	\$1,186	\$1,283	\$1,038	\$1,192	\$1,036	\$1,026	\$1,046
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	71%	33%	68%	82%	70%	84%	61%	73%	69%	80%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	5,897	227	1,296	887	715	1,415	1,357	2,744	1,760	984
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	4,434	137	975	722	445	1,080	1,075	2,066	1,298	768
Participants in a Work Activity	4,193	126	914	706	432	1,002	1,013	1,999	1,264	735
% of Total Enrolled	95%	92%	94%	98%	97%	93%	94%	97%	97%	96%
Participants Employed	2,883	79	569	502	263	731	739	1,159	658	501
% of Total Enrolled	65%	58%	58%	70%	59%	68%	69%	56%	51%	65%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.51	\$7.61	\$7.91	\$7.42	\$6.95	\$7.52	\$7.44	\$6.89	\$6.95	\$6.82
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,000	\$1,011	\$1,030	\$1,002	\$955	\$1,014	\$978	\$861	\$866	\$855
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	63%	57%	62%	60%	69%	70%	58%	71%	65%	80%

## EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: Status through July 31, 2014

### TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/14 and Work Activity as of 7/31/14

Current Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	2,924	185	1,084	187	916	390	125	37
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,204	69	426	113	373	177	40	6
Participants in a Work Activity	943	50	364	78	283	135	30	3
% of Enrolled	78%	72%	85%	69%	76%	76%	75%	50%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	15	1	6	5	2	0	1	0
Participants Employed	611	29	214	49	208	88	20	3
% of Enrolled	51%	42%	50%	43%	56%	50%	50%	50%
Full Time	458	17	149	29	174	72	15	2
Part Time	153	12	65	20	34	16	5	1
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$9.86	\$8.75	\$9.83	\$11.31	\$9.58	\$10.26	\$9.39	\$8.90
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,300	\$1,007	\$1,260	\$1,424	\$1,313	\$1,401	\$1,318	\$966

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – July 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	304	17	110	19	67	78	8	5
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,300	77	482	115	399	180	41	6
Participants in a Work Activity	1,043	58	410	88	313	140	31	3
% of Total Enrolled	80%	75%	85%	77%	78%	78%	76%	50%
Participants Employed	656	33	235	51	224	89	21	3
% of Total Enrolled	50%	43%	49%	44%	56%	49%	51%	50%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$9.84	\$9.22	\$9.80	\$11.39	\$9.51	\$10.27	\$9.36	\$8.90
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,232	\$1,057	\$1,196	\$1,288	\$1,233	\$1,343	\$1,341	\$960
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	75%	68%	73%	71%	77%	85%	74%	55%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	38,176	3,114	13,642	2,767	12,147	4,379	1,638	489
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	29,464	2,455	10,308	2,200	9,447	3,434	1,263	357
Participants in a Work Activity	28,360	2,431	9,917	2,093	9,073	3,266	1,226	354
% of Total Enrolled	96%	99%	96%	95%	96%	95%	97%	99%
Participants Employed	20,512	1,747	6,896	1,675	6,768	2,376	809	241
% of Total Enrolled	70%	71%	67%	76%	72%	69%	64%	68%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.86	\$8.42	\$8.95	\$9.47	\$8.90	\$8.56	\$8.39	\$8.30
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,169	\$1,077	\$1,174	\$1,221	\$1,202	\$1,097	\$1,122	\$1,103
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	71%	69%	69%	72%	70%	78%	70%	77%

## EDD7 - Culpeper Region: Status through July 31, 2014

### TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/14 and Work Activity as of 7/31/14

Current Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	341	131	64	25	108	13
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	114	52	22	6	31	3
Participants in a Work Activity	90	42	19	5	22	2
% of Enrolled	79%	81%	86%	83%	71%	67%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	2	1	0	0	0
Participants Employed	82	40	18	4	19	1
% of Enrolled	72%	77%	82%	67%	61%	33%
Full Time	65	31	15	2	17	0
Part Time	17	9	3	2	2	1
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.72	\$8.34	\$8.81	\$8.13	\$9.55	\$9.00
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,197	\$1,111	\$1,180	\$975	\$1,454	\$974

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – July 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	32	17	3	3	9	0
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	121	55	23	8	32	3
Participants in a Work Activity	94	43	20	5	24	2
% of Total Enrolled	78%	78%	87%	63%	75%	67%
Participants Employed	86	41	19	4	21	1
% of Total Enrolled	71%	75%	83%	50%	66%	33%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.81	\$8.55	\$8.75	\$8.13	\$9.47	\$9.00
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,189	\$1,134	\$1,181	\$936	\$1,362	\$968
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	66%	65%	59%	0%	86%	100%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	4,317	1,688	1,252	398	865	114
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	3,281	1,244	964	295	701	77
Participants in a Work Activity	3,160	1,197	950	286	654	73
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	99%	97%	93%	95%
Participants Employed	2,197	806	672	175	499	45
% of Total Enrolled	67%	65%	70%	59%	71%	58%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.64	\$7.64	\$7.98	\$7.24	\$7.32	\$7.48
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,002	\$987	\$1,043	\$1,003	\$974	\$973
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	64%	66%	68%	58%	61%	50%

## EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: Status through July 31, 2014

### TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/14 and Work Activity as of 7/31/14

Current Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	556	130	48	39	93	30	216
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	235	48	18	14	30	8	117
Participants in a Work Activity	201	43	13	9	22	6	108
% of Enrolled	86%	90%	72%	64%	73%	75%	92%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
Participants Employed	140	34	8	7	18	5	68
% of Enrolled	60%	71%	44%	50%	60%	63%	58%
Full Time	100	26	4	1	16	4	49
Part Time	40	8	4	6	2	1	19
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.77	\$8.94	\$8.29	\$9.16	\$8.57	\$11.50	\$8.54
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,131	\$1,206	\$970	\$1,037	\$1,269	\$1,429	\$1,063

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – July 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	69	18	4	6	12	3	26
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	257	50	18	14	35	8	132
Participants in a Work Activity	219	45	14	9	27	6	118
% of Total Enrolled	85%	90%	78%	64%	77%	75%	89%
Participants Employed	147	35	8	7	19	5	73
% of Total Enrolled	57%	70%	44%	50%	54%	63%	55%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.77	\$9.00	\$8.29	\$9.16	\$8.50	\$11.50	\$8.56
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,007	\$1,032	\$959	\$1,026	\$971	\$1,221	\$993
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	70%	78%	86%	50%	74%	78%	65%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	6,666	1,606	316	514	1,055	354	2,821
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	5,188	1,171	231	369	791	254	2,372
Participants in a Work Activity	5,068	1,141	223	350	765	251	2,338
% of Total Enrolled	98%	97%	97%	95%	97%	99%	99%
Participants Employed	3,849	836	146	274	500	153	1,940
% of Total Enrolled	74%	71%	63%	74%	63%	60%	82%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.55	\$7.97	\$8.02	\$7.44	\$7.78	\$7.93	\$7.38
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$954	\$1,021	\$1,069	\$919	\$992	\$1,017	\$925
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	63%	58%	62%	55%	63%	64%	66%

## EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: Status through July 31, 2014

### TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/14 and Work Activity as of 7/31/14

Current Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,042	88	84	183	214	473
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	416	26	39	73	101	177
Participants in a Work Activity	311	17	38	61	69	126
% of Enrolled	75%	65%	97%	84%	68%	71%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	6	1	0	2	1	2
Participants Employed	211	11	21	36	42	101
% of Enrolled	51%	42%	54%	49%	42%	57%
Full Time	160	9	18	30	33	70
Part Time	51	2	3	6	9	31
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.07	\$8.18	\$7.98	\$8.50	\$8.33	\$7.82
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,069	\$1,162	\$1,106	\$1,143	\$1,157	\$989

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – July 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	94	10	6	18	21	39
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	462	27	44	77	118	196
Participants in a Work Activity	378	21	41	64	96	156
% of Total Enrolled	82%	78%	93%	83%	81%	80%
Participants Employed	236	11	22	39	56	108
% of Total Enrolled	51%	41%	50%	51%	47%	55%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.09	\$8.18	\$7.98	\$8.53	\$8.31	\$7.84
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,012	\$1,154	\$1,090	\$1,111	\$1,057	\$922
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	64%	75%	48%	67%	67%	64%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	11,454	1,062	901	2,320	2,662	4,509
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	9,516	847	791	1,860	2,291	3,727
Participants in a Work Activity	8,982	804	779	1,722	2,155	3,522
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	98%	93%	94%	94%
Participants Employed	6,493	556	555	1,202	1,576	2,604
% of Total Enrolled	68%	66%	70%	65%	69%	70%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.78	\$6.97	\$6.49	\$6.87	\$6.98	\$6.67
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$870	\$888	\$889	\$874	\$910	\$840
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	64%	59%	55%	60%	63%	68%

## EDD10 - Danville Region: Status through July 31, 2014

### TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/14 and Work Activity as of 7/31/14

Current Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,237	181	387	90	186	393
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	337	48	111	44	33	101
Participants in a Work Activity	256	22	93	37	23	81
% of Enrolled	76%	46%	84%	84%	70%	80%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	178	20	66	23	17	52
% of Enrolled	53%	42%	59%	52%	52%	51%
Full Time	143	10	56	16	14	47
Part Time	35	10	10	7	3	5
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.78	\$7.98	\$7.96	\$7.28	\$7.50	\$7.77
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,064	\$944	\$1,109	\$970	\$935	\$1,139

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – July 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	126	18	40	11	18	39
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	383	51	125	52	41	114
Participants in a Work Activity	298	28	104	42	33	91
% of Total Enrolled	78%	55%	83%	81%	80%	80%
Participants Employed	200	22	75	28	21	54
% of Total Enrolled	52%	43%	60%	54%	51%	47%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.83	\$8.05	\$8.06	\$7.38	\$7.56	\$7.76
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,023	\$918	\$1,088	\$940	\$936	\$1,056
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	60%	60%	61%	65%	59%	58%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	13,853	2,120	3,747	1,355	2,298	4,333
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	11,110	1,751	2,891	1,171	1,749	3,548
Participants in a Work Activity	10,760	1,690	2,776	1,106	1,721	3,467
% of Total Enrolled	97%	97%	96%	94%	98%	98%
Participants Employed	6,765	974	1,851	789	932	2,219
% of Total Enrolled	61%	56%	64%	67%	53%	63%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.54	\$6.50	\$6.95	\$6.44	\$6.53	\$6.26
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$866	\$855	\$942	\$834	\$876	\$816
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	63%	58%	64%	74%	59%	61%

## EDD11 - Southside Region: Status through July 31, 2014

### TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/14 and Work Activity as of 7/31/14

Current Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	832	35	90	60	60	57	125	43	141	108	113
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	322	14	36	16	32	27	43	22	29	44	59
Participants in a Work Activity	256	11	19	14	27	18	39	13	27	38	50
% of Enrolled	80%	79%	53%	88%	84%	67%	91%	59%	93%	86%	85%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0
Participants Employed	169	9	15	10	18	11	21	6	18	27	34
% of Enrolled	52%	64%	42%	63%	56%	41%	49%	27%	62%	61%	58%
Full Time	127	8	11	10	11	10	16	3	15	16	27
Part Time	42	1	4	0	7	1	5	3	3	11	7
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.01	\$8.61	\$8.02	\$8.20	\$7.98	\$7.96	\$7.56	\$8.09	\$7.84	\$8.26	\$7.97
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,069	\$1,150	\$1,066	\$1,118	\$938	\$1,056	\$959	\$960	\$1,123	\$1,095	\$1,144

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – July 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	73	3	14	4	8	2	11	6	5	11	9
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	345	15	37	20	32	29	47	22	29	52	62
Participants in a Work Activity	282	12	27	15	27	24	41	13	27	43	53
% of Total Enrolled	82%	80%	73%	75%	84%	83%	87%	59%	93%	83%	85%
Participants Employed	178	9	15	11	18	12	23	6	18	30	36
% of Total Enrolled	52%	60%	41%	55%	56%	41%	49%	27%	62%	58%	58%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.98	\$8.61	\$8.02	\$8.16	\$7.98	\$7.92	\$7.53	\$8.09	\$7.84	\$8.18	\$7.93
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,005	\$1,095	\$1,007	\$1,100	\$929	\$995	\$917	\$886	\$991	\$1,034	\$1,050
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	71%	56%	83%	75%	92%	60%	69%	78%	50%	76%	73%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	8,838	563	1,074	841	769	598	1,034	420	1,275	1,089	1,175
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	6,948	416	861	650	581	448	821	337	1,010	852	972
Participants in a Work Activity	6,664	395	857	616	541	426	791	330	971	788	949
% of Total Enrolled	96%	95%	100%	95%	93%	95%	96%	98%	96%	92%	98%
Participants Employed	4,229	256	487	370	303	257	495	162	657	561	681
% of Total Enrolled	61%	62%	57%	57%	52%	57%	60%	48%	65%	66%	70%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.96	\$7.58	\$6.40	\$7.17	\$7.40	\$7.50	\$6.95	\$6.70	\$6.73	\$7.01	\$6.91
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$893	\$968	\$837	\$960	\$928	\$967	\$840	\$828	\$852	\$917	\$902
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	65%	61%	67%	59%	59%	57%	64%	61%	66%	69%	68%

## EDD12 - Richmond Region: Status through July 31, 2014

### TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/14 and Work Activity as of 7/31/14

Current Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	4,194	794	23	101	1,154	43	2,079
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,645	304	6	62	577	16	680
Participants in a Work Activity	1,217	241	3	43	397	13	520
% of Enrolled	74%	79%	50%	69%	69%	81%	76%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	9	0	0	0	3	2	4
Participants Employed	863	176	2	37	299	9	340
% of Enrolled	52%	58%	33%	60%	52%	56%	50%
Full Time	625	143	1	26	183	7	265
Part Time	238	33	1	11	116	2	75
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.56	\$8.93	\$7.50	\$8.62	\$8.74	\$8.87	\$8.20
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,122	\$1,231	\$907	\$1,073	\$1,109	\$1,228	\$1,081

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – July 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	292	61	1	12	79	6	133
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,762	315	6	64	604	16	757
Participants in a Work Activity	1,328	258	3	45	418	14	590
% of Total Enrolled	75%	82%	50%	70%	69%	88%	78%
Participants Employed	906	182	2	39	305	10	368
% of Total Enrolled	51%	58%	33%	61%	50%	63%	49%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.55	\$8.92	\$7.50	\$8.58	\$8.73	\$8.78	\$8.22
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,099	\$1,202	\$900	\$1,048	\$1,081	\$1,215	\$1,067
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	71%	79%	100%	85%	70%	41%	69%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	40,364	8,512	309	1,449	10,228	405	19,461
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	31,824	6,491	237	1,033	8,389	301	15,373
Participants in a Work Activity	30,511	6,264	224	956	7,900	284	14,883
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	95%	93%	94%	94%	97%
Participants Employed	21,523	4,095	156	669	5,673	169	10,761
% of Total Enrolled	68%	63%	66%	65%	68%	56%	70%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.51	\$7.82	\$7.38	\$8.34	\$7.82	\$7.86	\$7.23
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$996	\$1,045	\$943	\$1,056	\$1,025	\$991	\$966
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	69%	69%	65%	66%	66%	62%	71%

## EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: Status through July 31, 2014

### TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/14 and Work Activity as of 7/31/14

<b>Current Data</b>	<b>Total EDD13</b>	<b>Caroline</b>	<b>King George</b>	<b>Spotsylvania</b>	<b>Stafford</b>	<b>Fredericksburg</b>
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	960	146	38	341	269	166
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	457	75	14	159	112	97
Participants in a Work Activity	349	55	10	131	83	70
% of Enrolled	76%	73%	71%	82%	74%	72%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	9	3	1	2	0	3
Participants Employed	254	47	8	87	58	54
% of Enrolled	56%	63%	57%	55%	52%	56%
Full Time	200	35	5	78	44	38
Part Time	54	12	3	9	14	16
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.65	\$8.56	\$9.56	\$8.40	\$9.10	\$8.50
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,141	\$1,112	\$1,076	\$1,133	\$1,217	\$1,108

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – July 31, 2014, Year to Date

<b>State Fiscal Year to Date</b>	<b>Total EDD13</b>	<b>Caroline</b>	<b>King George</b>	<b>Spotsylvania</b>	<b>Stafford</b>	<b>Fredericksburg</b>
Individuals Referred	80	6	4	32	20	18
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	483	77	14	172	121	99
Participants in a Work Activity	375	57	12	139	87	80
% of Total Enrolled	78%	74%	86%	81%	72%	81%
Participants Employed	270	49	9	95	61	56
% of Total Enrolled	56%	64%	64%	55%	50%	57%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.72	\$8.51	\$9.39	\$8.46	\$9.43	\$8.47
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,091	\$1,067	\$1,000	\$1,087	\$1,199	\$1,016
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	73%	79%	75%	67%	76%	72%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

<b>Cumulative Data</b>	<b>Total EDD13</b>	<b>Caroline</b>	<b>King George</b>	<b>Spotsylvania</b>	<b>Stafford</b>	<b>Fredericksburg</b>
Individuals Referred	10,005	1,490	595	3,085	2,893	1,942
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	7,498	1,073	421	2,401	1,950	1,653
Participants in a Work Activity	7,067	1,020	399	2,221	1,856	1,571
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	95%	93%	95%	95%
Participants Employed	4,936	663	248	1,643	1,155	1,227
% of Total Enrolled	66%	62%	59%	68%	59%	74%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.10	\$7.74	\$7.60	\$8.08	\$8.76	\$7.89
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,030	\$977	\$930	\$1,022	\$1,144	\$997
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	65%	59%	59%	67%	68%	65%

## EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: Status through July 31, 2014

### TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/14 and Work Activity as of 7/31/14

Current Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	372	72	39	35	40	17	51	29	24	65
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	146	24	15	15	9	9	27	6	18	23
Participants in a Work Activity	114	18	8	13	6	9	26	3	11	20
% of Enrolled	78%	75%	53%	87%	67%	100%	96%	50%	61%	87%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	91	14	6	7	5	7	23	2	10	17
% of Enrolled	62%	58%	40%	47%	56%	78%	85%	33%	56%	74%
Full Time	72	13	4	6	5	4	22	1	8	9
Part Time	19	1	2	1	0	3	1	1	2	8
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.32	\$9.57	\$9.57	\$8.86	\$7.91	\$8.07	\$7.60	\$7.25	\$8.42	\$7.88
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,114	\$1,306	\$1,234	\$1,325	\$1,123	\$967	\$1,122	\$910	\$1,099	\$907

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – July 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	31	5	5	1	3	2	6	3	2	4
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	157	28	16	16	10	9	28	6	19	25
Participants in a Work Activity	129	25	9	13	9	9	27	4	12	21
% of Total Enrolled	82%	89%	56%	81%	90%	100%	96%	67%	63%	84%
Participants Employed	95	14	7	7	6	7	24	2	10	18
% of Total Enrolled	61%	50%	44%	44%	60%	78%	86%	33%	53%	72%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.30	\$9.57	\$9.31	\$8.86	\$8.11	\$8.07	\$7.59	\$7.25	\$8.42	\$7.85
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,043	\$1,105	\$1,214	\$1,272	\$1,034	\$870	\$1,043	\$904	\$1,145	\$867
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	68%	62%	57%	44%	36%	83%	82%	60%	100%	76%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	4,049	621	301	364	439	225	499	360	371	869
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	3,050	499	203	300	346	157	376	293	229	647
Participants in a Work Activity	2,868	477	192	286	328	150	353	282	213	587
% of Total Enrolled	94%	96%	95%	95%	95%	96%	94%	96%	93%	91%
Participants Employed	1,925	329	106	180	232	105	260	162	137	414
% of Total Enrolled	63%	66%	52%	60%	67%	67%	69%	55%	60%	64%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.16	\$7.17	\$7.19	\$7.61	\$6.94	\$7.12	\$7.17	\$6.87	\$7.15	\$7.17
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$907	\$883	\$890	\$999	\$867	\$910	\$912	\$862	\$939	\$924
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	58%	49%	47%	58%	50%	71%	73%	50%	43%	65%

## EDD15 - Peninsula Region: Status through July 31, 2014

### TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/14 and Work Activity as of 7/31/14

Current Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	NewKent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	2,776	19	112	126	45	109	999	1,355	11
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,097	1	35	45	30	72	421	483	10
Participants in a Work Activity	897	1	28	31	15	54	346	412	10
% of Enrolled	82%	100%	80%	69%	50%	75%	82%	85%	100%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	14	0	1	4	0	3	6	0	0
Participants Employed	646	1	24	25	11	35	235	307	8
% of Enrolled	59%	100%	69%	56%	37%	49%	56%	64%	80%
Full Time	519	0	21	20	10	17	181	265	5
Part Time	127	1	3	5	1	18	54	42	3
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.29	\$10.00	\$7.27	\$8.23	\$9.61	\$8.66	\$8.12	\$8.39	\$8.85
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,123	\$1,083	\$883	\$1,076	\$1,438	\$1,011	\$1,085	\$1,175	\$1,119

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – July 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	282	2	14	9	6	10	95	144	2
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,209	1	37	50	30	75	461	544	11
Participants in a Work Activity	1,000	1	33	38	16	63	378	460	11
% of Total Enrolled	83%	100%	89%	76%	53%	84%	82%	85%	100%
Participants Employed	688	1	27	27	11	37	247	329	9
% of Total Enrolled	57%	100%	73%	54%	37%	49%	54%	60%	82%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.26	\$10.00	\$7.27	\$8.19	\$9.61	\$8.61	\$8.08	\$8.37	\$8.97
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,071	\$1,075	\$856	\$981	\$1,187	\$970	\$1,028	\$1,137	\$1,024
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	72%	100%	68%	58%	68%	83%	76%	70%	78%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	29,240	173	1,192	1,299	399	1,252	10,131	14,353	441
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	22,333	125	860	1,031	294	973	7,603	11,112	335
Participants in a Work Activity	21,241	119	795	958	280	929	7,393	10,455	312
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	92%	93%	95%	95%	97%	94%	93%
Participants Employed	15,402	74	558	714	163	599	5,295	7,767	232
% of Total Enrolled	69%	59%	65%	69%	55%	62%	70%	70%	69%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.20	\$7.21	\$6.95	\$7.64	\$7.68	\$7.48	\$7.19	\$7.14	\$7.39
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$934	\$961	\$853	\$927	\$1,032	\$899	\$928	\$944	\$916
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	66%	52%	57%	58%	72%	60%	64%	69%	65%

## EDD16 - Petersburg Region: Status through July 31, 2014

### TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/14 and Work Activity as of 7/31/14

Current Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,054	116	78	37	66	285	472
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	450	18	30	17	39	145	201
Participants in a Work Activity	313	17	17	12	24	92	151
% of Enrolled	70%	94%	57%	71%	62%	63%	75%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	0	1	0	0	2	1
Participants Employed	230	11	17	9	19	59	115
% of Enrolled	51%	61%	57%	53%	49%	41%	57%
Full Time	189	9	14	8	15	38	105
Part Time	41	2	3	1	4	21	10
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.44	\$8.80	\$8.46	\$8.67	\$8.18	\$8.42	\$8.44
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,172	\$1,292	\$1,159	\$1,186	\$1,147	\$1,096	\$1,205

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – July 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	91	11	14	2	8	23	33
Total Enrolled in VIEW	468	18	33	19	40	150	208
Participants in a Work Activity	334	17	19	14	25	97	162
% of Total Enrolled	71%	94%	58%	74%	63%	65%	78%
Participants Employed	240	11	18	11	19	61	120
% of Total Enrolled	51%	61%	55%	58%	48%	41%	58%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.46	\$8.80	\$8.54	\$8.56	\$8.18	\$8.39	\$8.49
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,164	\$1,190	\$1,136	\$1,121	\$1,138	\$1,123	\$1,196
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	65%	48%	77%	82%	61%	59%	68%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	10,278	1,326	940	415	804	2,572	4,221
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	8,051	868	707	343	652	2,095	3,386
Participants in a Work Activity	7,644	865	610	325	621	1,982	3,241
% of Total Enrolled	95%	100%	86%	95%	95%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	5,456	568	422	240	426	1,421	2,379
% of Total Enrolled	68%	65%	60%	70%	65%	68%	70%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.18	\$7.06	\$7.65	\$7.43	\$7.11	\$7.07	\$7.18
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$968	\$986	\$1,012	\$898	\$969	\$945	\$977
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	65%	58%	59%	57%	63%	63%	70%

## EDD17 - Tidewater Region: Status through July 31, 2014

### TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/14 and Work Activity as of 7/31/14

Current Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	4,773	87	66	834	75	1,671	929	346	765
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,793	21	26	349	24	680	334	91	268
Participants in a Work Activity	1,410	18	18	279	24	546	252	66	207
% of Enrolled	79%	86%	69%	80%	100%	80%	75%	73%	77%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	16	2	0	0	0	1	10	0	3
Participants Employed	1,029	12	15	225	15	414	154	48	146
% of Enrolled	57%	57%	58%	64%	63%	61%	46%	53%	54%
Full Time	848	12	10	185	11	364	112	40	114
Part Time	181	0	5	40	4	50	42	8	32
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.18	\$8.23	\$8.07	\$8.32	\$7.76	\$8.15	\$8.04	\$8.03	\$8.27
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,096	\$1,187	\$1,083	\$1,138	\$1,067	\$1,083	\$1,064	\$1,142	\$1,084

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2013 – July 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	408	4	4	73	15	117	81	30	84
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,880	22	31	370	36	682	358	101	280
Participants in a Work Activity	1,518	19	22	296	36	558	283	79	225
% of Total Enrolled	81%	86%	71%	80%	100%	82%	79%	78%	80%
Participants Employed	1,074	12	17	233	20	417	170	54	151
% of Total Enrolled	57%	55%	55%	63%	56%	61%	47%	53%	54%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.19	\$8.23	\$7.97	\$8.33	\$7.65	\$8.16	\$8.09	\$8.20	\$8.25
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,054	\$1,160	\$991	\$1,092	\$875	\$1,059	\$1,035	\$1,096	\$1,015
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	73%	80%	68%	78%	62%	76%	68%	58%	71%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	56,674	1,291	1,058	9,189	909	18,197	9,123	3,569	13,338
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	42,023	937	854	6,829	736	13,386	7,274	2,803	9,204
Participants in a Work Activity	39,746	902	816	6,522	725	12,638	6,839	2,768	8,536
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	96%	96%	99%	94%	94%	99%	93%
Participants Employed	29,003	632	498	4,889	477	9,348	5,127	2,045	5,987
% of Total Enrolled	69%	67%	58%	72%	65%	70%	70%	73%	65%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.01	\$6.98	\$6.75	\$7.20	\$6.72	\$6.90	\$6.87	\$6.84	\$7.32
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$911	\$919	\$841	\$945	\$841	\$878	\$912	\$889	\$964
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	67%	59%	54%	64%	64%	68%	69%	68%	69%

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date

*This section lists the relative state fiscal year to date rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Wage, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	64.9%	5.17	24	\$8.08	1.95	75	68.6%	6.86	57	4.66	47
003	Albemarle	70.0%	5.88	20	\$9.00	4.12	18	78.3%	7.83	26	5.94	8
005	Alleghany/Covington	61.3%	4.68	34	\$8.48	2.89	43	60.6%	6.06	93	4.54	52
007	Amelia	60.0%	4.50	39	\$8.61	3.20	31	55.6%	5.56	106	4.42	61
009	Amherst	40.7%	1.85	109	\$8.18	2.19	61	75.0%	7.50	37	3.85	94
011	Appomattox	50.0%	3.13	83	\$7.98	1.72	84	47.5%	4.75	112	3.20	112
013	Arlington	42.9%	2.14	107	\$9.22	4.64	14	68.4%	6.84	61	4.54	53
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	72.4%	6.21	14	\$8.14	2.09	68	63.7%	6.37	82	4.89	36
017	Bath	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
019	Bedford County/City	50.6%	3.21	79	\$8.53	3.01	39	66.7%	6.67	69	4.30	71
021	Bland	50.0%	3.13	84	\$9.00	4.12	19	66.7%	6.67	70	4.64	49
023	Botetourt	28.6%	0.18	117	\$7.38	0.31	115	80.0%	8.00	20	2.83	115
025	Brunswick	40.5%	1.82	111	\$8.02	1.81	82	82.6%	8.26	16	3.97	88
027	Buchanan	63.4%	4.97	29	\$8.02	1.81	83	62.2%	6.22	85	4.33	69
029	Buckingham	55.0%	3.81	62	\$8.16	2.14	65	75.0%	7.50	38	4.48	54
031	Campbell	47.5%	2.78	100	\$8.31	2.49	52	67.1%	6.71	68	3.99	87
033	Caroline	63.6%	5.00	28	\$8.51	2.96	40	79.3%	7.93	23	5.30	26
035	Carroll	68.2%	5.63	22	\$7.43	0.42	114	61.8%	6.18	87	4.07	83
036	Charles City	100.0%	10.00	1	\$10.00	6.47	4	100.0%	10.00	1	8.82	1
037	Charlotte	56.3%	3.98	54	\$7.98	1.72	85	91.7%	9.17	7	4.96	34
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	57.8%	4.19	48	\$8.92	3.93	22	78.8%	7.88	24	5.33	23
043	Clarke	50.0%	3.13	85	\$7.75	1.18	100	33.3%	3.33	117	2.54	116
045	Craig	50.0%	3.13	86	\$8.17	2.16	64	100.0%	10.00	2	5.10	30
047	Culpeper	74.5%	6.50	9	\$8.55	3.06	37	64.9%	6.49	75	5.35	22
049	Cumberland	41.4%	1.94	108	\$7.92	1.58	92	60.0%	6.00	94	3.17	113
051	Dickensen	75.0%	6.56	7	\$7.55	0.71	111	57.1%	5.71	104	4.33	70
053	Dinwiddie	61.1%	4.65	36	\$8.80	3.65	25	47.8%	4.78	111	4.36	68
057	Essex	50.0%	3.13	87	\$9.57	5.46	7	61.9%	6.19	86	4.92	35
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	48.8%	2.95	95	\$9.80	6.00	5	72.8%	7.28	43	5.41	19
061	Fauquier	82.6%	7.61	3	\$8.75	3.53	27	59.4%	5.94	97	5.69	14
063	Floyd	64.3%	5.09	26	\$7.69	1.04	104	61.5%	6.15	89	4.09	81
065	Fluvanna	44.4%	2.36	102	\$8.29	2.45	54	85.7%	8.57	9	4.46	57
067	Franklin County	48.4%	2.90	97	\$8.11	2.02	71	71.4%	7.14	47	4.02	85
069	Frederick	71.4%	6.07	17	\$8.69	3.39	30	67.7%	6.77	66	5.41	20
071	Giles	55.6%	3.89	56	\$7.69	1.04	105	42.9%	4.29	114	3.07	114
073	Gloucester	73.0%	6.28	13	\$7.27	0.05	117	67.9%	6.79	64	4.37	65
075	Goochland	33.3%	0.83	113	\$7.50	0.59	113	100.0%	10.00	3	3.81	96
077	Grayson	60.0%	4.50	40	\$7.58	0.78	108	75.0%	7.50	39	4.26	73

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	50.0%	3.13	88	\$9.16	4.49	15	50.0%	5.00	109	4.21	74
081	Greensville/Emporia	48.9%	2.98	93	\$7.53	0.66	112	69.2%	6.92	55	3.52	102
083	Halifax	43.1%	2.18	106	\$8.05	1.88	80	60.0%	6.00	95	3.35	108
085	Hanover	60.9%	4.63	37	\$8.58	3.13	33	85.0%	8.50	11	5.42	17
087	Henrico	50.5%	3.19	80	\$8.73	3.48	28	70.0%	7.00	51	4.56	51
089	Henry/Martinsville	60.0%	4.50	41	\$8.06	1.91	78	60.8%	6.08	92	4.16	77
091	Highland	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
093	Isle of Wight	54.5%	3.75	64	\$8.23	2.31	56	80.0%	8.00	21	4.69	44
095	James City	54.0%	3.68	67	\$8.19	2.21	59	57.9%	5.79	101	3.89	90
097	King and Queen	43.8%	2.27	104	\$9.31	4.85	13	57.1%	5.71	105	4.28	72
099	King George	64.3%	5.09	27	\$9.39	5.04	11	75.0%	7.50	40	5.87	9
101	King William	43.8%	2.27	105	\$8.86	3.79	24	44.4%	4.44	113	3.50	103
103	Lancaster	60.0%	4.50	42	\$8.11	2.02	72	35.7%	3.57	116	3.36	107
105	Lee	53.3%	3.58	73	\$8.19	2.21	60	65.5%	6.55	72	4.12	79
107	Loudoun	44.3%	2.35	103	\$11.39	9.74	2	71.4%	7.14	48	6.41	4
109	Louisa	54.3%	3.71	66	\$8.50	2.94	41	74.1%	7.41	41	4.69	43
111	Lunenburg	27.3%	0.00	118	\$8.09	1.98	73	77.8%	7.78	27	3.25	110
113	Madison	50.0%	3.13	89	\$8.13	2.07	69	0.0%	0.00	118	1.73	118
115	Mathews	77.8%	6.94	6	\$8.07	1.93	77	83.3%	8.33	14	5.74	12
117	Mecklenburg	62.1%	4.78	33	\$7.84	1.39	95	50.0%	5.00	110	3.72	98
119	Middlesex	85.7%	8.04	2	\$7.59	0.80	107	82.1%	8.21	18	5.68	15
121	Montgomery	73.9%	6.41	10	\$8.05	1.88	81	72.2%	7.22	46	5.17	28
125	Nelson	62.5%	4.84	31	\$11.50	10.00	1	77.8%	7.78	28	7.54	2
127	New Kent	36.7%	1.29	112	\$9.61	5.55	6	68.4%	6.84	62	4.56	50
131	Northampton	48.9%	2.98	94	\$8.15	2.12	67	80.0%	8.00	22	4.37	67
133	Northumberland	33.3%	0.83	114	\$7.25	0.00	118	60.0%	6.00	96	2.28	117
135	Nottoway	57.7%	4.18	49	\$8.18	2.19	62	76.3%	7.63	35	4.67	46
137	Orange	65.6%	5.27	23	\$9.47	5.22	9	85.7%	8.57	10	6.36	5
139	Page	59.3%	4.40	43	\$8.72	3.46	29	82.4%	8.24	17	5.36	21
141	Patrick	53.8%	3.65	69	\$7.38	0.31	116	64.5%	6.45	78	3.47	105
143	Pittsylvania	51.2%	3.29	77	\$7.56	0.73	110	58.6%	5.86	99	3.29	109
145	Powhatan	62.5%	4.84	32	\$8.78	3.60	26	41.2%	4.12	115	4.19	76
147	Prince Edward	58.1%	4.23	46	\$7.93	1.60	91	72.7%	7.27	44	4.37	66
149	Prince George	54.5%	3.75	65	\$8.54	3.04	38	77.3%	7.73	30	4.84	38
153	Prince William	56.1%	3.97	55	\$9.51	5.32	8	77.2%	7.72	31	5.67	16
155	Pulaski	64.7%	5.15	25	\$7.96	1.67	89	65.2%	6.52	74	4.45	59
157	Rappahannock	33.3%	0.83	115	\$9.00	4.12	20	100.0%	10.00	4	4.98	33
159	Richmond County	52.6%	3.49	74	\$8.42	2.75	46	100.0%	10.00	5	5.41	18
161	Roanoke County	55.4%	3.87	58	\$8.12	2.05	70	63.3%	6.33	83	4.08	82
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	73.1%	6.30	11	\$8.30	2.47	53	87.5%	8.75	8	5.84	11
165	Rockingham/H'burg	82.2%	7.56	4	\$8.37	2.64	48	69.8%	6.98	53	5.73	13
167	Russell	33.3%	0.83	116	\$9.09	4.33	17	68.6%	6.86	58	4.01	86
169	Scott	57.4%	4.14	51	\$8.06	1.91	79	65.4%	6.54	73	4.20	75
171	Shenandoah	75.0%	6.56	8	\$9.14	4.45	16	70.0%	7.00	52	6.00	7

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	59.0%	4.37	<b>44</b>	\$7.57	0.75	<b>109</b>	64.5%	6.45	<b>79</b>	3.86	<b>93</b>
175	<i>Southampton</i>	54.8%	3.79	<b>63</b>	\$7.97	1.69	<b>86</b>	68.2%	6.82	<b>63</b>	4.10	<b>80</b>
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	55.2%	3.84	<b>60</b>	\$8.46	2.85	<b>45</b>	67.5%	6.75	<b>67</b>	4.48	<b>55</b>
179	<i>Stafford</i>	50.4%	3.18	<b>81</b>	\$9.43	5.13	<b>10</b>	76.3%	7.63	<b>34</b>	5.32	<b>24</b>
181	<i>Surry</i>	57.9%	4.21	<b>47</b>	\$8.56	3.08	<b>35</b>	81.8%	8.18	<b>19</b>	5.16	<b>29</b>
183	<i>Sussex</i>	47.5%	2.78	<b>98</b>	\$8.18	2.19	<b>63</b>	61.1%	6.11	<b>91</b>	3.69	<b>100</b>
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	71.6%	6.10	<b>16</b>	\$7.87	1.46	<b>93</b>	64.6%	6.46	<b>77</b>	4.67	<b>45</b>
187	<i>Warren</i>	73.1%	6.30	<b>12</b>	\$7.75	1.18	<b>101</b>	84.4%	8.44	<b>13</b>	5.30	<b>25</b>
191	<i>Washington</i>	53.4%	3.60	<b>72</b>	\$7.76	1.20	<b>98</b>	69.2%	6.92	<b>56</b>	3.91	<b>89</b>
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	72.0%	6.15	<b>15</b>	\$7.85	1.41	<b>94</b>	76.2%	7.62	<b>36</b>	5.06	<b>32</b>
195	<i>Wise</i>	52.4%	3.46	<b>76</b>	\$7.70	1.06	<b>102</b>	66.3%	6.63	<b>71</b>	3.71	<b>99</b>
197	<i>Wythe</i>	70.4%	5.93	<b>19</b>	\$7.97	1.69	<b>87</b>	64.7%	6.47	<b>76</b>	4.70	<b>42</b>
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	49.3%	3.03	<b>92</b>	\$8.61	3.20	<b>32</b>	82.9%	8.29	<b>15</b>	4.84	<b>37</b>
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	49.4%	3.05	<b>91</b>	\$10.27	7.11	<b>3</b>	84.7%	8.47	<b>12</b>	6.21	<b>6</b>
520	<i>Bristol</i>	50.4%	3.18	<b>82</b>	\$7.78	1.25	<b>97</b>	69.6%	6.96	<b>54</b>	3.80	<b>97</b>
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	55.3%	3.85	<b>59</b>	\$8.56	3.08	<b>36</b>	64.5%	6.45	<b>80</b>	4.46	<b>56</b>
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	63.0%	4.91	<b>30</b>	\$8.33	2.54	<b>51</b>	78.4%	7.84	<b>25</b>	5.09	<b>31</b>
590	<i>Danville</i>	47.4%	2.76	<b>101</b>	\$7.76	1.20	<b>99</b>	57.8%	5.78	<b>102</b>	3.25	<b>111</b>
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	55.6%	3.89	<b>57</b>	\$7.65	0.94	<b>106</b>	61.8%	6.18	<b>88</b>	3.67	<b>101</b>
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	56.6%	4.03	<b>53</b>	\$8.47	2.87	<b>44</b>	72.4%	7.24	<b>45</b>	4.71	<b>41</b>
640	<i>Galax</i>	52.6%	3.49	<b>75</b>	\$7.70	1.06	<b>103</b>	55.6%	5.56	<b>107</b>	3.37	<b>106</b>
650	<i>Hampton</i>	53.6%	3.62	<b>70</b>	\$8.08	1.95	<b>76</b>	76.4%	7.64	<b>33</b>	4.40	<b>62</b>
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	40.7%	1.84	<b>110</b>	\$8.39	2.68	<b>47</b>	59.3%	5.93	<b>98</b>	3.48	<b>104</b>
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	55.1%	3.83	<b>61</b>	\$7.84	1.39	<b>96</b>	63.9%	6.39	<b>81</b>	3.87	<b>92</b>
683	<i>Manassas</i>	51.2%	3.29	<b>78</b>	\$9.36	4.96	<b>12</b>	73.8%	7.38	<b>42</b>	5.21	<b>27</b>
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	50.0%	3.13	<b>90</b>	\$8.90	3.88	<b>23</b>	54.6%	5.46	<b>108</b>	4.15	<b>78</b>
700	<i>Newport News</i>	60.5%	4.57	<b>38</b>	\$8.37	2.64	<b>49</b>	70.2%	7.02	<b>50</b>	4.74	<b>40</b>
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	61.1%	4.66	<b>35</b>	\$8.16	2.14	<b>66</b>	76.4%	7.64	<b>32</b>	4.81	<b>39</b>
720	<i>Norton</i>	70.6%	5.96	<b>18</b>	\$7.94	1.62	<b>90</b>	100.0%	10.00	<b>6</b>	5.86	<b>10</b>
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	57.7%	4.18	<b>50</b>	\$8.49	2.92	<b>42</b>	68.5%	6.85	<b>60</b>	4.65	<b>48</b>
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	47.5%	2.78	<b>99</b>	\$8.09	1.98	<b>74</b>	67.8%	6.78	<b>65</b>	3.85	<b>95</b>
750	<i>Radford</i>	69.0%	5.73	<b>21</b>	\$7.97	1.69	<b>88</b>	58.3%	5.83	<b>100</b>	4.42	<b>60</b>
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	48.6%	2.93	<b>96</b>	\$8.22	2.28	<b>57</b>	68.6%	6.86	<b>59</b>	4.02	<b>84</b>
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	58.2%	4.25	<b>45</b>	\$8.37	2.64	<b>50</b>	63.0%	6.30	<b>84</b>	4.40	<b>63</b>
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	53.5%	3.60	<b>71</b>	\$8.20	2.24	<b>58</b>	57.7%	5.77	<b>103</b>	3.87	<b>91</b>
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	53.9%	3.67	<b>68</b>	\$8.25	2.35	<b>55</b>	71.1%	7.11	<b>49</b>	4.38	<b>64</b>
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	81.8%	7.50	<b>5</b>	\$8.97	4.05	<b>21</b>	77.8%	7.78	<b>29</b>	6.44	<b>3</b>
840	<i>Winchester</i>	57.1%	4.11	<b>52</b>	\$8.57	3.11	<b>34</b>	61.4%	6.14	<b>90</b>	4.45	<b>58</b>

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores is done to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception of VIEW

*This section lists the relative cumulative rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Monthly Earnings, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	50.7%	1.56	117	\$866	2.97	94	65.0%	5.49	50	3.34	110
003	Albemarle	71.4%	7.18	13	\$1,021	6.04	19	57.8%	3.71	98	5.64	29
005	Alleghany/Covington	69.9%	6.78	23	\$854	2.72	101	58.5%	3.87	89	4.46	77
007	Amelia	61.5%	4.50	84	\$968	4.98	41	61.0%	4.50	73	4.66	69
009	Amherst	65.6%	5.62	55	\$888	3.39	84	59.3%	4.08	81	4.36	81
011	Appomattox	70.2%	6.85	19	\$889	3.42	81	54.9%	2.99	106	4.42	79
013	Arlington	71.2%	7.12	15	\$1,077	7.15	8	69.0%	6.47	22	6.91	6
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	67.1%	6.02	45	\$972	5.07	39	66.4%	5.83	39	5.64	30
017	Bath	61.5%	4.50	85	\$717	0.00	120	61.3%	4.57	72	3.02	114
019	Bedford County/City	64.6%	5.34	68	\$874	3.11	92	59.7%	4.18	79	4.21	88
021	Bland	59.0%	3.83	97	\$888	3.39	83	55.4%	3.11	105	3.44	108
023	Botetourt	44.9%	0.00	120	\$962	4.87	46	53.9%	2.74	110	2.53	117
025	Brunswick	56.6%	3.15	108	\$837	2.38	112	67.0%	5.97	35	3.84	98
027	Buchanan	53.9%	2.43	113	\$850	2.64	104	64.3%	5.32	52	3.46	107
029	Buckingham	56.9%	3.25	107	\$960	4.83	48	59.0%	4.01	84	4.03	92
031	Campbell	68.8%	6.47	35	\$910	3.82	74	63.0%	5.00	61	5.10	56
033	Caroline	61.8%	4.57	82	\$977	5.16	35	58.9%	3.97	86	4.57	73
035	Carroll	67.0%	5.98	47	\$840	2.44	109	54.0%	2.78	108	3.74	101
036	Charles City	59.2%	3.87	95	\$961	4.84	47	51.7%	2.20	114	3.64	102
037	Charlotte	52.2%	1.96	116	\$928	4.19	62	59.5%	4.12	80	3.42	109
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	63.1%	4.93	76	\$1,045	6.51	11	69.0%	6.46	25	5.96	23
043	Clarke	57.7%	3.45	104	\$1,011	5.84	23	57.1%	3.55	100	4.28	85
045	Craig	48.4%	0.94	118	\$1,042	6.45	13	59.3%	4.07	82	3.82	99
047	Culpeper	64.8%	5.39	65	\$987	5.36	31	65.9%	5.70	45	5.48	37
049	Cumberland	57.4%	3.37	106	\$967	4.97	42	56.9%	3.48	103	3.94	95
051	Dickenson	60.0%	4.08	89	\$847	2.59	105	54.7%	2.95	107	3.20	111
053	Dinwiddie	65.4%	5.56	56	\$986	5.35	32	57.6%	3.67	99	4.86	62
057	Essex	65.9%	5.70	52	\$883	3.30	86	48.6%	1.44	118	3.48	106
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	66.9%	5.96	48	\$1,174	9.08	3	69.4%	6.58	19	7.20	5
061	Fauquier	69.7%	6.72	27	\$1,043	6.47	12	68.3%	6.30	27	6.50	9
063	Floyd	65.1%	5.48	59	\$954	4.70	53	54.0%	2.77	109	4.32	84
065	Fluvanna	63.2%	4.96	74	\$1,069	6.99	9	62.1%	4.76	67	5.57	33
067	Franklin County	61.9%	4.59	81	\$962	4.87	45	58.3%	3.84	93	4.43	78
069	Frederick	58.4%	3.64	101	\$1,030	6.22	16	61.5%	4.62	70	4.83	64
071	Giles	57.6%	3.43	105	\$957	4.77	49	51.9%	2.24	113	3.48	105
073	Gloucester	64.9%	5.41	63	\$853	2.71	102	57.1%	3.53	102	3.88	97
075	Goochland	65.8%	5.67	54	\$943	4.49	57	65.3%	5.55	49	5.24	45
077	Grayson	64.5%	5.30	69	\$861	2.87	97	61.0%	4.50	74	4.22	87

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	74.3%	7.96	5	\$919	4.02	66	55.5%	3.14	104	5.04	57
081	Greensville/Emporia	60.3%	4.17	86	\$840	2.45	108	64.3%	5.31	53	3.98	94
083	Halifax	55.6%	2.90	110	\$855	2.74	99	58.4%	3.85	92	3.16	112
085	Hanover	64.8%	5.38	67	\$1,056	6.73	10	66.3%	5.80	41	5.97	21
087	Henrico	67.6%	6.16	41	\$1,025	6.11	17	65.9%	5.71	44	5.99	19
089	Henry/Martinsville	64.0%	5.18	71	\$942	4.46	58	63.8%	5.19	57	4.94	59
091	Highland	75.0%	8.16	4	\$784	1.32	118	83.3%	10.00	1	6.49	11
093	Isle of Wight	67.4%	6.11	43	\$919	4.01	67	59.1%	4.03	83	4.71	68
095	James City	69.3%	6.60	32	\$927	4.17	63	58.1%	3.77	95	4.85	63
097	King and Queen	52.2%	1.97	115	\$890	3.43	80	47.2%	1.10	119	2.17	120
099	King George	58.9%	3.79	98	\$930	4.24	60	58.6%	3.91	88	3.98	93
101	King William	60.0%	4.09	88	\$999	5.60	26	58.3%	3.84	94	4.51	75
103	Lancaster	67.1%	6.00	46	\$867	2.98	93	50.2%	1.85	115	3.61	103
105	Lee	65.1%	5.48	60	\$807	1.79	117	71.8%	7.17	9	4.81	66
107	Loudoun	76.1%	8.47	3	\$1,221	10.00	1	71.9%	7.17	8	8.55	1
109	Louisa	63.2%	4.96	73	\$992	5.46	29	63.3%	5.06	60	5.16	51
111	Lunenburg	48.1%	0.85	119	\$828	2.20	114	60.6%	4.40	76	2.48	119
113	Madison	59.3%	3.90	93	\$1,003	5.68	24	58.4%	3.87	91	4.48	76
115	Mathews	66.9%	5.95	49	\$910	3.83	73	71.1%	6.99	13	5.59	32
117	Mecklenburg	65.0%	5.46	61	\$852	2.69	103	66.1%	5.75	42	4.63	71
119	Middlesex	69.1%	6.57	34	\$912	3.87	72	73.2%	7.50	7	5.98	20
121	Montgomery	77.9%	8.94	2	\$954	4.72	51	63.4%	5.08	59	6.25	16
125	Nelson	60.2%	4.15	87	\$1,017	5.95	20	64.0%	5.25	55	5.12	54
127	New Kent	55.4%	2.85	111	\$1,032	6.25	15	71.6%	7.11	10	5.40	40
131	Northampton	65.2%	5.51	58	\$855	2.75	98	79.7%	9.10	2	5.78	25
133	Northumberland	55.3%	2.81	112	\$862	2.88	96	50.0%	1.79	116	2.49	118
135	Nottoway	65.8%	5.67	53	\$917	3.97	69	69.5%	6.58	18	5.41	39
137	Orange	71.2%	7.12	14	\$974	5.11	37	60.9%	4.47	75	5.57	34
139	Page	69.5%	6.67	29	\$1,002	5.66	25	60.0%	4.24	78	5.53	36
141	Patrick	67.4%	6.09	44	\$834	2.33	113	74.0%	7.71	6	5.38	41
143	Pittsylvania	53.3%	2.27	114	\$876	3.16	90	59.0%	4.01	85	3.14	113
145	Powhatan	56.1%	3.04	109	\$991	5.43	30	62.0%	4.74	68	4.40	80
147	Prince Edward	70.1%	6.82	20	\$902	3.67	75	67.9%	6.20	30	5.56	35
149	Prince George	59.7%	4.00	91	\$1,012	5.87	22	58.6%	3.91	87	4.59	72
153	Prince William	71.6%	7.25	11	\$1,202	9.63	2	70.4%	6.81	14	7.90	2
155	Pulaski	63.2%	4.96	75	\$954	4.71	52	53.4%	2.63	112	4.10	90
157	Rappahannock	58.4%	3.66	100	\$973	5.08	38	50.0%	1.79	117	3.51	104
159	Richmond County	59.8%	4.04	90	\$939	4.40	59	42.7%	0.00	120	2.81	116
161	Roanoke County	73.7%	7.79	8	\$1,038	6.38	14	57.9%	3.74	97	5.97	22
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	70.8%	7.03	16	\$875	3.13	91	67.4%	6.08	34	5.41	38
165	Rockingham/H'burg	73.7%	7.80	7	\$996	5.55	28	67.7%	6.14	32	6.50	10
167	Russell	59.5%	3.96	92	\$863	2.90	95	66.6%	5.89	36	4.25	86
169	Scott	58.3%	3.63	102	\$891	3.45	79	76.3%	8.27	5	5.12	53
171	Shenandoah	59.1%	3.84	96	\$955	4.73	50	69.0%	6.46	23	5.01	58

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	58.5%	3.69	<b>99</b>	\$877	3.19	<b>89</b>	62.4%	4.85	<b>64</b>	3.91	<b>96</b>
175	<i>Southampton</i>	58.3%	3.63	<b>103</b>	\$841	2.47	<b>106</b>	53.7%	2.71	<b>111</b>	2.93	<b>115</b>
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	68.4%	6.37	<b>37</b>	\$1,022	6.05	<b>18</b>	66.5%	5.86	<b>38</b>	6.09	<b>18</b>
179	<i>Stafford</i>	59.2%	3.88	<b>94</b>	\$1,144	8.48	<b>4</b>	68.1%	6.25	<b>29</b>	6.20	<b>17</b>
181	<i>Surry</i>	70.0%	6.79	<b>22</b>	\$898	3.60	<b>78</b>	57.1%	3.55	<b>101</b>	4.65	<b>70</b>
183	<i>Sussex</i>	65.3%	5.54	<b>57</b>	\$969	5.00	<b>40</b>	62.8%	4.95	<b>62</b>	5.16	<b>50</b>
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	66.9%	5.95	<b>50</b>	\$824	2.12	<b>115</b>	65.6%	5.63	<b>46</b>	4.56	<b>74</b>
187	<i>Warren</i>	67.7%	6.17	<b>40</b>	\$1,014	5.91	<b>21</b>	69.9%	6.69	<b>17</b>	6.26	<b>15</b>
191	<i>Washington</i>	62.3%	4.72	<b>79</b>	\$901	3.65	<b>76</b>	57.9%	3.74	<b>96</b>	4.04	<b>91</b>
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	64.0%	5.17	<b>72</b>	\$924	4.12	<b>65</b>	65.0%	5.48	<b>51</b>	4.92	<b>60</b>
195	<i>Wise</i>	62.3%	4.72	<b>80</b>	\$838	2.41	<b>111</b>	66.4%	5.83	<b>40</b>	4.32	<b>83</b>
197	<i>Wythe</i>	66.5%	5.84	<b>51</b>	\$854	2.73	<b>100</b>	66.6%	5.89	<b>37</b>	4.82	<b>65</b>
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	61.6%	4.51	<b>83</b>	\$899	3.62	<b>77</b>	60.4%	4.35	<b>77</b>	4.16	<b>89</b>
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	69.2%	6.58	<b>33</b>	\$1,097	7.54	<b>7</b>	77.6%	8.59	<b>3</b>	7.57	<b>3</b>
520	<i>Bristol</i>	67.9%	6.24	<b>38</b>	\$879	3.22	<b>87</b>	62.3%	4.81	<b>65</b>	4.76	<b>67</b>
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	81.8%	10.00	<b>1</b>	\$925	4.14	<b>64</b>	66.0%	5.72	<b>43</b>	6.62	<b>8</b>
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	71.6%	7.23	<b>12</b>	\$945	4.53	<b>54</b>	64.1%	5.25	<b>54</b>	5.67	<b>28</b>
590	<i>Danville</i>	62.5%	4.78	<b>77</b>	\$816	1.97	<b>116</b>	61.4%	4.60	<b>71</b>	3.78	<b>100</b>
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	64.8%	5.39	<b>64</b>	\$841	2.45	<b>107</b>	63.7%	5.16	<b>58</b>	4.34	<b>82</b>
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	74.2%	7.95	<b>6</b>	\$997	5.56	<b>27</b>	65.3%	5.56	<b>48</b>	6.36	<b>12</b>
640	<i>Galax</i>	62.5%	4.77	<b>78</b>	\$886	3.35	<b>85</b>	69.1%	6.48	<b>21</b>	4.87	<b>61</b>
650	<i>Hampton</i>	69.6%	6.70	<b>28</b>	\$928	4.19	<b>61</b>	63.8%	5.19	<b>56</b>	5.36	<b>43</b>
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	67.8%	6.21	<b>39</b>	\$945	4.53	<b>55</b>	62.6%	4.89	<b>63</b>	5.21	<b>46</b>
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	69.9%	6.77	<b>25</b>	\$840	2.44	<b>110</b>	68.3%	6.28	<b>28</b>	5.16	<b>49</b>
683	<i>Manassas</i>	64.1%	5.19	<b>70</b>	\$1,122	8.05	<b>5</b>	70.0%	6.71	<b>16</b>	6.65	<b>7</b>
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	67.5%	6.12	<b>42</b>	\$1,103	7.67	<b>6</b>	77.1%	8.46	<b>4</b>	7.42	<b>4</b>
700	<i>Newport News</i>	69.9%	6.77	<b>24</b>	\$944	4.51	<b>56</b>	68.9%	6.45	<b>26</b>	5.91	<b>24</b>
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	69.8%	6.76	<b>26</b>	\$878	3.21	<b>88</b>	67.6%	6.12	<b>33</b>	5.36	<b>44</b>
720	<i>Norton</i>	73.1%	7.65	<b>9</b>	\$758	0.82	<b>119</b>	71.4%	7.06	<b>11</b>	5.18	<b>47</b>
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	70.3%	6.87	<b>18</b>	\$977	5.15	<b>36</b>	70.1%	6.75	<b>15</b>	6.26	<b>14</b>
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	70.5%	6.93	<b>17</b>	\$912	3.88	<b>71</b>	69.2%	6.53	<b>20</b>	5.78	<b>26</b>
750	<i>Radford</i>	69.5%	6.67	<b>30</b>	\$918	3.99	<b>68</b>	61.6%	4.66	<b>69</b>	5.10	<b>55</b>
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	70.0%	6.80	<b>21</b>	\$966	4.94	<b>43</b>	71.4%	7.06	<b>12</b>	6.27	<b>13</b>
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	64.8%	5.38	<b>66</b>	\$981	5.24	<b>33</b>	62.2%	4.79	<b>66</b>	5.14	<b>52</b>
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	73.0%	7.60	<b>10</b>	\$889	3.41	<b>82</b>	67.7%	6.14	<b>31</b>	5.72	<b>27</b>
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	65.0%	5.46	<b>62</b>	\$964	4.90	<b>44</b>	69.0%	6.46	<b>24</b>	5.61	<b>31</b>
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	69.3%	6.60	<b>31</b>	\$916	3.95	<b>70</b>	65.4%	5.58	<b>47</b>	5.37	<b>42</b>
840	<i>Winchester</i>	68.7%	6.46	<b>36</b>	\$978	5.18	<b>34</b>	58.5%	3.87	<b>90</b>	5.17	<b>48</b>

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores was begun in May 1999 to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

## State Summary July 1, 2013 – July 31, 2014

	State	ED 1	ED 2	ED 3	ED 4	ED 5	ED 6	ED 7	ED 8	ED 9	ED 10	ED 11	ED 12	ED 13	ED 14	ED 15	ED 16	ED 17	ED 18
<b><u>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></u></b>																			
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></u></b>																			
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></u></b>																			
# Over 30 Days	84	0	1	2	0	1	30	7	0	0	1	2	11	12	1	1	14	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	35	0	7	96	0	15	27	97	0	0	6	6	35	21	110	5	35	15	0

## EDD1 – Southwest Region: July 1, 2013 - July 31, 2014

	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
<b><u>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></u></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></u></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></u></b>									
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD2 - Bristol-Galax Region: July 1, 2013 - July 31, 2014

	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>									
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	7	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0

## EDD3 - Roanoke Region: July 1, 2013 - July 31, 2014

	Total EDD3	Alleghny/ Covington	Bote- tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont- gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>												
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>												
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>												
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	96	0	0	96	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: July 1, 2013 - July 31, 2014

	<i>Total EDD4</i>	<i>Aug/S'ton /W'boro</i>	<i>Bath</i>	<i>Highland</i>	<i>Rockbridge/ BV/Lex</i>	<i>Rockingham/ HBurg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD5 & EDD18 - Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: July 1, 2013 - July 31, 2014

	<i>Total EDD5</i>	<i>Clarke</i>	<i>Frederick</i>	<i>Page</i>	<i>Shen.</i>	<i>Warren</i>	<i>Winchester</i>	<i>Total EDD18</i>	<i>Accomack</i>	<i>Nhampton</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>										
# Over 30 Days	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	15	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: July 1, 2013 - July 31, 2014

	<i>Total EDD6</i>	<i>Arlington</i>	<i>Fairfax</i>	<i>Loudoun</i>	<i>Prince William</i>	<i>Alexandria</i>	<i>Manassas</i>	<i>Manassas Park</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>								
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>								
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>								
# Over 30 Days	30	0	0	2	20	5	2	1
Average # of Days Over 30	27	0	0	16	35	4	14	23

## EDD7 - Culpeper Region: July 1, 2013 - July 31, 2014

	<i>Total EDD7</i>	<i>Culpeper</i>	<i>Fauquier</i>	<i>Madison</i>	<i>Orange</i>	<i>Rapp.</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	7	0	0	0	7	0
Average # of Days Over 30	97	0	0	0	97	0

## EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: July 1, 2013 - July 31, 2014

	<i>Total EDD8</i>	<i>Albemarle</i>	<i>Fluvanna</i>	<i>Greene</i>	<i>Louisa</i>	<i>Nelson</i>	<i>Cville</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: July 1, 2013 - July 31, 2014

	<i>Total EDD9</i>	<i>Amherst</i>	<i>Appom.</i>	<i>Bedford</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Lynchburg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD10 - Danville Region: July 1, 2013 - July 31, 2014

	<i>Total EDD10</i>	<i>Halifax</i>	<i>Henry/ Martinsville</i>	<i>Patrick</i>	<i>Pittsylvania</i>	<i>Danville</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	1	1	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	6	6	0	0	0	0

## EDD11 – Southside Region: July 1, 2013 - July 31, 2014

	<i>Total EDD11</i>	<i>Amelia</i>	<i>Brunswick</i>	<i>Buckingham</i>	<i>Charlotte</i>	<i>Cumberland</i>	<i>Gr'nsville Emporia</i>	<i>Lunenburg</i>	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	<i>Nottoway</i>	<i>Pr. Edward</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>											
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>											
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>											
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	6	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0

## EDD12 - Richmond Region: July 1, 2013 - July 31, 2014

	<i>Total EDD12</i>	<i>Chesterfield/ Col Hgts</i>	<i>Goochland</i>	<i>Hanover</i>	<i>Henrico</i>	<i>Powhatan</i>	<i>Richmond City</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	11	2	0	0	7	0	2
Average # of Days Over 30	35	6	0	0	51	0	6

## EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: July 1, 2013 - July 31, 2014

	<i>Total EDD13</i>	<i>Caroline</i>	<i>King George</i>	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	<i>Stafford</i>	<i>Fredericksburg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	12	6	0	2	4	0
Average # of Days Over 30	21	19	0	33	17	0

## EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: July 1, 2013 - July 31, 2014

	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>										
# Over 30 Days	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	110	0	110	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD15 - Peninsula Region: July 1, 2013 - July 31, 2014

	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>									
# Over 30 Days	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD16 - Petersburg Region: July 1, 2013 - July 31, 2014

	<i>Total EDD16</i>	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	<i>Prince George</i>	<i>Surry</i>	<i>Sussex</i>	<i>Hopewell</i>	<i>Petersburg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	14	0	6	0	1	0	7
Average # of Days Over 30	35	0	49	0	1	0	29

## EDD17 - Tidewater Region: July 1, 2013 - July 31, 2014

	<i>Total EDD17</i>	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	<i>South- hampton</i>	<i>Chesapeake</i>	<i>Franklin City</i>	<i>Norfolk</i>	<i>Portsmouth</i>	<i>Suffolk</i>	<i>VA Beach</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>									
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15

## **Child Support Enforcement - Statewide Totals**

### ***Collections***

	<b><i>Jul-13</i></b>	<b><i>Jul-14</i></b>	<b><i>% Change</i></b>
TANF Cases Collected	15,031	14,602	-2.9%
NTANF Cases Collected	132,477	133,104	0.5%
Total Cases Collected	147,508	147,706	0.1%
TANF Collections	\$2,783,677	\$2,532,249	-9.0%
NTANF Collections	\$52,529,103.00	\$51,403,764	-2.1%
Total Collections	\$55,312,780	\$53,936,013	-2.5%
SFY YTD Collections	\$55,312,780	\$53,936,013	-2.5%
SFY YTD Average Collection	\$375.00	\$365.16	-2.6%

### ***Paternities Established***

	<b><i>Jul-14</i></b>
TANF Paternities	178
NTANF Paternities	360
Combined Paternities	538

# *Diversionsary Assistance*

## *July Diversionsary Assistance Cases*

<b>Locality</b>	<b>Number of Cases</b>		<b>Locality</b>	<b>Number of Cases</b>
Albemarle	1		Rockingham/ H'burg	2
Augusta / Staunt'n / W'boro	5		Russell	1
Bedford City	2		Shenandoah	6
Botetourt	1		Spotsylvania	7
Brunswick	1		Surry	1
Chesterfield/Colonial Hgts	4		Warren	3
Clarke	1		York / Poquoson	1
Culpeper	1		Bristol	6
Cumberland	2		Charlottesville	2
Fauquier	2		Chesapeake	5
Franklin County	1		Franklin City	1
Gloucester	1		Fredericksburg	1
Greene	2		Hampton	5
Halifax	1		Hopewell	1
Hanover	3		Lynchburg	3
Henrico	4		Newport News	13
Henry / Martinsville	3		Norfolk	9
King and Queen	1		Petersburg	3
King William	1		Portsmouth	1
Loudoun	3		Richmond City	3
Mathews	1		Roanoke City	9
Nelson	1		Suffolk	7
Prince Edward	1		Virginia Beach	5
Prince William	2		Winchester	2
Roanoke County	5		Statewide	147

## *Definitions of Selected Terms Contained in Report*

**Average Monthly Earnings.** This is the average monthly earnings of the unsubsidized jobs that participants obtained. The value is calculated by multiplying the hourly wage by the weekly hours worked by 4.3 average weeks per month. For the cumulative figures the initial wage and initial hours are used. For the current figures, the initial wage and most current hours are used, except for the November 2001 report which used initial hours. Earlier than June 1999, wage changes that were entered overwrote the initial wage, so prior to that date, the cumulative figures used the initial hours and the last wage entered. With the advent of the VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) program in October 2006 the end of month average monthly earnings might be expected to decline somewhat because prior to VTP, cases with high earnings might remain open for job follow-up, but after the implementation of VTP the VIEW case would be closed when the TANF case was closed and a VTP case opened. VTP cases are not included in this report except for estimating the participation rate.

**Average Wage.** The average of the wages reported for each occurrence of unsubsidized employment. After June 1999 the wage is the wage at hiring, that is, the initial wage. Prior to June 1999, that wage may have been updated if changed during employment. After the implementation of the VIEW Transitional Payment program the end of month point in time average wage might be expected to decline some for the same reason mentioned in the explanation of possible decline in Average Monthly earnings, above. See note 6 on page 44.

**Cases Closed with Employment.** These are TANF or TANF-UP cases that are closed with an indication in the data base of employment at the date of closure. It does not mean that the case necessarily closed because of employment. For example, a TANF case may have an indication of closing at the client's request, along with indication of existing employment in VIEW. That case is counted as closed with employment.

**EDD.** This stands for Economic Development District. EDDs were developed during the administration of Governor Allen. They generally are no longer in use. This report continues to refer to them because VIEW was phased in by EDD and their use provides consistency over time.

**Enrolled.** Unduplicated number by locality of TANF recipients who were interviewed, received an individual assessment, signed the Agreement of Personal Responsibility, and enrolled in the VIEW program. Because the count is by locality, a recipient may be counted once in more than one locality. The fiscal year to date numbers include those who were enrolled at the beginning of the fiscal year as well as those enrolled during the year. There are clients enrolled in VIEW who have had their TANF case closed because VIEW policy requires that the enrollment remain open for job follow-up after employment unless the employment meets federal work participation guidelines, in which case the VIEW case is closed and a VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) case is opened.

**Full Time Employment.** Employment at 30 hours a week or greater.

**Participants Assigned to Inactive.** Inactive is a status assigned to participants who cannot participate in the VIEW program for reasons other than being exempt. Examples are unavailability of child care, unavailability of transportation, and mental or medical problems that make participation impractical. Inactive participants are due to be reviewed each month. While in inactive status, the 24 month time limit for TANF benefits is suspended.

**Participants Employed.** Total number of participants by locality who obtained an unsubsidized job, either full time or part time. If employed more than one time, they are still only counted once, but may be counted in more than one locality. Also, these participants may have left their job and not gotten another one. For the year to date numbers, those employed at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first became employed in the fiscal year.

**Participants in a Work Activity.** Unduplicated count by locality of persons who have been in any of the following components: unsubsidized employment, full employment program (FEP), on-the-job training (OJT), community work experience program (CWEP), public service program (PSP), vocational education and training, and job search/job readiness. For example, a person who was in community work experience and then employed would be counted only once.

For the year to date numbers, those in a work activity at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first entered a work activity in the fiscal year. Note that this is a revised definition of work activity from reports through October 2006. The revised definition captures the activities that count toward the first 20 hours of federal participation requirements – the core work activities. Clients who are counted as being in a core work activity must meet additional participation rate requirements in order to be included in the work participation rate, and only VIEW clients are counted here, not all work eligible TANF clients **Thus, these percentages in a work activity are quite different from the percentages in the TANF work participation rate.**

**Part Time Employment.** Employment at fewer than 30 weekly hours.

**Referred.** Unduplicated number of TANF recipients by locality who were initially evaluated as eligible for VIEW and had their names referred to the automated VIEW list for participation.

**Relationship Between TANF and VIEW Participation.** On the chart at page 5a, the column heading “Total Adults in the Case” includes adults who are not considered to be part of the assistance unit. For example, a mother receiving SSI may be in the case, but because she receives SSI is not considered eligible for TANF and thus is not part of the assistance unit. Persons such as she who are not in the assistance unit are not eligible to participate in VIEW. Also included are adults who are part of the assistance unit but exempt from VIEW

**Five Months Employment.** In earlier reports, this represented the percent of all participants that were employed 150 days after their first employment. Participants who were employed in any job 150 days after their first employment were counted in this measure.. This measure became unavailable after July 1, 2011. See note 9 on page 44.

**Three Months Employment.** This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 90 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 90 days after their first employment are counted in this measure. For year to date percents, the calculations are based on the first employment that began one year before the end of the report month. That employment may not have been the first ever for the participant. The year to date percents were calculated differently in reports before September 2004. Also for year to date percents, transferred cases were not counted for months after the January 2002 report.

**TANF / TANF-UP Payment Cases.** Up to November 2003 these counts were taken from a monthly report with no adjustment. After that, the monthly counts included some cases that were being paid because child support payments had been received and were being passed on. These counts were adjusted to mostly eliminate those additional cases. Further adjustment may be appropriate. It should also be noted that the counts include both strictly TANF cases and MOE cases for some years. With the end of waiver provisions in July 2003, a substantial part of the caseload was placed in a state program and funded with MOE funds. This change in July 2003 was a change in funding, not a change in eligibility requirements or case payment amounts. It ended in September 2006 when VIEW transitional payments (VTP) were introduced. Adjustments have been made to take out VTP cases. Also, TANF-UP cases are currently funded with only state monies.

**VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP).** Beginning in October 2006, VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) were introduced. These are payments of \$50 per client per month for one year to VIEW clients that leave “regular” TANF with employment sufficient to meet Federal work participation requirements. The employment must be maintained to be eligible for VTP. Previously, VTP clients normally would have remained VIEW clients for five or six months of job-follow-up. Thus, the VTP program has had a diminishing effect on some of the statistics in this report such as end of month percent employed and average wage. See graph on page 5a.

**Time That Data Represent.** The data in the top sections of page 4 and pages 8 – 24 are point in time data as of the date indicated. The year to date section represents state fiscal year to date data, with the exception of three month retention (see above). The bottom section is cumulative from when the locality began VIEW.

**General Statement About Accuracy.** The report is based on an extract from the Employment Services automated system. The extract is normally made the night of the first work day after the end of the report month. Data that staff of local social services agencies enter into the automated system after the time of the extract are not included in the report, even though the activity reflected actually occurred in the report month. However, that data, and the activity reflected, are included in the reports for subsequent months. Further, because the data are entered by staff in the 120 localities, the accuracy of the data in the data base is, of course, subject to inadvertent error.


- <sup>1</sup> Applications are not necessarily acted upon in the month that they are received. Also, applications may be transferred from regular TANF to TANF-UP and vice versa as they are processed. November applications are being reviewed for accuracy.
- <sup>2</sup> These TANF cases paid counts are prior to possible adjustment. See definition of payment cases. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes.
- <sup>3</sup> VIEW enrolled counts do not include VTP clients
- <sup>4</sup> A case may be open in more than one locality during the time period.
- <sup>5</sup> Prior to June 1999, when individuals were transferred from one locality to another, their history was transferred as well. This may have an effect on a locality's cumulative VIEW statistics.
- <sup>6</sup> The minimum wage rose from \$6.55 to \$7.25 an hour on 7/24/09. The report reflects initial wages so some effect on wages in the report was delayed. The average wage includes full and part time, unless otherwise indicated. See the definition of average wage on page 42.
- <sup>7</sup> Includes full and part time earnings.
- <sup>8</sup> This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed three months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed three months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous. See definition on page 43 for the revised method of calculating the year to date percentages.
- <sup>9</sup> Until the July 2011 report, this was employed after five months. However, on July 1, 2011 the number of required job follow-ups was reduced to three. Thus, data for five month job retention became unavailable.
- <sup>10</sup> Number includes TANF-UP and represents cases carried over to the next month. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes, but with the advent of VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) such occurrences were reduced from earlier months.
- <sup>11</sup> Enrollment is timely if done within 30 days of referral in previous month of the state fiscal year. The monthly percent includes evaluation of clients waiting in the queue. The year to date does not.
- <sup>12</sup> Placement in a work activity on the 95th day after referral was a measure of timeliness before Oct 1, 2006. The revised definition of work activity has increased the percentage from reports before November 2006. Clients whose cases have closed before the 95<sup>th</sup> day are excluded, so 100% is an attainable mark.
- <sup>14</sup> As of the morning of August 4, 2014. Some referrals to the waiting list are not appropriate; there may be considerable lag time in correcting them.

This report is available on the Department of Social Services web site at:  
[http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial\\_assistance/tanf.cgi](http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial_assistance/tanf.cgi)

The scores in the VIEW Rankings are determined by placing a locality on a scale of zero to ten in the same relative position as its place in the difference between the high and low of the measure in question. This converts all measures to a similar scale and provides a more equitable way to combine the ratings in each measure to determine a combined rank. (Questions, please call 804 726-7618.)

**Comments on the format or content of the report and requests for Excel files for portions of current and past reports may be sent by e-mail to: [william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov](mailto:william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov).**

## TANF and TANF-UP Cases Paid at Six Month Intervals, except last month June 1995 through July 2014




This graph illustrates the dramatic decline of the TANF caseload as VIEW was implemented. There was some sign of slowing in the rate of decline after June 1998. Nevertheless, wide community involvement, a strong economy, and the effect of the 24 month rule allowed the caseload to continue to fall until it was near the 30,000 level. There was little net change in the caseload from June 2000 to June 2002, but some upward movement was seen after June 2002, until 2005. The more recent trends are presumed to be a result of economic conditions. The caseload leveled off after recession spurred growth and now is declining.

Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

## Applications Received with Approval Percentage

Six Month Asymmetrical Moving Averages by Quarter, July 1995 through July 2014




This graph of application activity is presented as six month moving averages to produce smoother lines from which trends may be seen and in recognition that applications are not always acted on in the month received. There seems to have been a general decline in the number of applications received until the July 2001 data. The decline in applications received after December 2004 and the more recent changes appear to be reflected in the case load trends. While no reason has been determined for the general decline in percent approved, an apparent reason for the more recent decline is the availability of applications on line that offers ready access for applying to multiple assistance programs.

Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

## TANF Cases, VIEW Enrolled, and Employed VIEW Participants


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide  
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. July is the last month



This graph shows that the number enrolled in VIEW increased during early implementation and then generally tracked the number of TANF cases. The effects of recent economic conditions are apparent. A VIEW case may remain open for some months after the TANF case closes, if job follow-up is being done and the case has not been converted to the VIEW Transitional Payment program. It is unlikely that the number employed will ever equal the number enrolled because there are always new enrollees without employment, and there are some enrollees in work activities, other than employment, that meet VIEW requirements. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months. It includes TANF-UP

## Chart Displaying the Relationships Between TANF and VIEW Participation


July 31, 2014



This chart illustrates that there are a large number of adults who are exempt from VIEW and that many of the VIEW enrollees have had their TANF cases closed. They are now in a job follow-up phase of VIEW. They have not had their VIEW case closed and become enrolled in the VIEW Transitional Payment program. The source of this information is a monthly TANF extract from ADAPT and the monthly extract from ESPAS, the VIEW automated data system. The extracts were made at the beginning of August and represent end of July data. TANF-UP is included.

## VIEW Percent Employed and Average Wage at End of Month


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide  
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. July is the last month.



This graph shows that the average wage has seen a general increase as the percent employed has varied somewhat. Besides employment, VIEW participants may be in various other activities or initial assessment and job search, and economic conditions (one slight recession and one large one) apparently had expected effects. The wages shown are generally the initial wages. When the average wage was calculated at about the end of July it was \$8.56 rather than the \$8.45 initial wages used to calculate wages shown on this graph. See page 42 of the report for an explanation of why the VIEW average wage might decline after October 2006 and note 6 on page 44 for the effect of the increase in minimum wage. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.

# Percent of Jobs Found During a Month That Were Full Time and Percent Part Time

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide  
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. July is the last month



This graph illustrates an initial trend of VIEW participants obtaining a greater percent of full time jobs than part time. After the initial months, the trend seems to be fairly level with an increase in the percent full time after TANF reauthorization which probably reflects increased emphasis on meeting the required TANF work participation rate. The more recent changes are presumed to be a result of economic developments and increased use of other work activities to help meet the required work participation hours. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.