

# **VIRGINIA INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM**

## **MONTHLY REPORT**

**Including Graphs of Statewide Trends**

**August 2014**

# Monthly Report, August 2014

## Table of Contents

Work Participation Estimates (from the VDSS data warehouse) (A work participation estimate percent should not be compared to a percent in a work activity in the main body of the report which includes only clients in VIEW and does not consider the number of hours in a work activity during a month)	i-vi
TANF, TANF-UP Case Activity.....	1
Graphs of Case Activity.....	at end
VIEW Work Activity	
Statewide .....	4
Graphs of Statewide Activity.....	at end
EDD1.....	8
EDD2.....	9
EDD3.....	10
EDD4.....	11
EDD5 & EDD18.....	12
EDD6.....	13
EDD7.....	14
EDD8.....	15
EDD9.....	16
EDD10.....	17
EDD11.....	18
EDD14.....	19
EDD1.....	20
EDD3.....	21
EDD11.....	22
EDD15.....	23
EDD17.....	24
VIEW Year to Date Rankings.....	25-27
VIEW Cumulative Rankings.....	28-30
VIEW Timeliness Measures.....	31-39
Child Support Enforcement.....	40
Diversion.....	41
VIEW Definition Sheet and Endnotes.....	42-44

# Estimated Work Participation Rates for August

*These are estimated locality August 2014 work participation rates that include clients receiving either TANF or VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). The official participation rate will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated August statewide rate is 42% with a numerator of 5,832 and a denominator of 13,733.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	19	48	40%	061	Fauquier	9	35	26%
003	Albemarle	31	75	41%	063	Floyd	7	14	50%
005	Alleghany/Covington	19	41	46%	065	Fluvanna	9	20	45%
007	Amelia	11	15	73%	067	Franklin County	31	74	42%
009	Amherst	16	35	46%	069	Frederick	15	29	52%
011	Appomattox	24	51	47%	071	Giles	4	11	36%
013	Arlington	53	104	51%	073	Gloucester	13	38	34%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	80	168	48%	075	Goochland	0	10	0%
017	Bath	0	0	N/A	077	Grayson	5	13	38%
019	Bedford County/City	37	94	39%	079	Greene	5	21	24%
021	Bland	1	2	50%	081	Greensville/Emporia	39	75	52%
023	Botetourt	2	10	20%	083	Halifax	26	86	30%
025	Brunswick	19	60	32%	085	Hanover	25	63	40%
027	Buchanan	18	44	41%	087	Henrico	181	620	29%
029	Buckingham	12	26	46%	089	Henry/Martinsville	70	197	36%
031	Campbell	50	116	43%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	28	80	35%	093	Isle of Wight	12	32	38%
035	Carroll	22	39	56%	095	James City	23	66	35%
036	Charles City	0	8	0%	097	King and Queen	5	19	26%
037	Charlotte	12	32	38%	099	King George	10	21	48%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	160	377	42%	101	King William	4	21	19%
043	Clarke	2	5	40%	103	Lancaster	5	12	42%
045	Craig	2	9	22%	105	Lee	42	99	42%
047	Culpeper	35	65	54%	107	Loudoun	36	121	30%
049	Cumberland	11	27	41%	109	Louisa	21	45	47%
051	Dickensen	10	19	53%	111	Lunenburg	8	21	38%
053	Dinwiddie	16	44	36%	113	Madison	3	8	38%
057	Essex	16	35	46%	115	Mathews	5	9	56%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	225	498	45%	117	Mecklenburg	21	46	46%

# Estimated Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent
119	Middlesex	20	37	54%	187	Warren	40	55	73%
121	Montgomery	44	111	40%	191	Washington	20	72	28%
125	Nelson	5	12	42%	193	Westmoreland	11	27	41%
127	New Kent	9	27	33%	195	Wise	38	121	31%
131	Northampton	18	45	40%	197	Wythe	19	44	43%
133	Northumberland	5	13	38%	199	York/Poquoson	39	77	51%
135	Nottoway	23	66	35%	510	Alexandria	84	186	45%
137	Orange	20	55	36%	520	Bristol	58	107	54%
139	Page	14	20	70%	540	Charlottesville	68	137	50%
141	Patrick	10	44	23%	550	Chesapeake	227	506	45%
143	Pittsylvania	22	71	31%	590	Danville	79	199	40%
145	Powhatan	5	16	31%	620	Franklin City	14	41	34%
147	Prince Edward	35	78	45%	630	Fredericksburg	43	102	42%
149	Prince George	15	41	37%	640	Galax	10	21	48%
153	Prince William	226	503	45%	650	Hampton	290	597	49%
155	Pulaski	16	51	31%	670	Hopewell	59	170	35%
157	Rappahannock	0	2	0%	680	Lynchburg	83	237	35%
159	Richmond County	0	16	0%	683	Manassas	32	61	52%
161	Roanoke County	41	111	37%	685	Manassas Park	2	8	25%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	17	35	49%	700	Newport News	339	753	45%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	67	107	63%	710	Norfolk	532	961	55%
167	Russell	29	82	35%	720	Norton	7	27	26%
169	Scott	34	72	47%	730	Petersburg	130	297	44%
171	Shenandoah	3	17	18%	740	Portsmouth	204	509	40%
173	Smyth	39	90	43%	750	Radford	13	36	36%
175	Southampton	12	34	35%	760	Richmond City	439	1,152	38%
177	Spotsylvania	95	207	46%	770	Roanoke City	146	427	34%
179	Stafford	55	131	42%	800	Suffolk	71	165	43%
181	Surry	11	21	52%	810	Virginia Beach	205	389	53%
183	Sussex	12	42	29%	830	Williamsburg	7	10	70%
185	Tazewell	40	93	43%	840	Winchester	16	36	44%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF and VTP recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rate presented here is the all families rate, i. e. the overall rate, including VTP..

# *Estimated TANF only Work Participation Rates for August*

*These are estimated locality August 2014 work participation rates that include only clients receiving TANF. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated August statewide rate is 36% with a numerator of 4,400 and a denominator of 12,214. VTP only estimates are on pages v and vi.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	<i>Accomack</i>	13	42	31%	061	<i>Fauquier</i>	8	34	24%
003	<i>Albemarle</i>	16	59	27%	063	<i>Floyd</i>	3	10	30%
005	<i>Alleghany/Covington</i>	14	35	40%	065	<i>Fluvanna</i>	7	18	39%
007	<i>Amelia</i>	7	11	64%	067	<i>Franklin County</i>	19	60	32%
009	<i>Amherst</i>	11	30	37%	069	<i>Frederick</i>	10	23	43%
011	<i>Appomattox</i>	16	43	37%	071	<i>Giles</i>	3	10	30%
013	<i>Arlington</i>	30	81	37%	073	<i>Gloucester</i>	12	36	33%
015	<i>Augusta/S'ton/W'boro</i>	45	133	34%	075	<i>Goochland</i>	0	10	0%
017	<i>Bath</i>	0	0	N/A	077	<i>Grayson</i>	2	10	20%
019	<i>Bedford County/City</i>	29	86	34%	079	<i>Greene</i>	5	21	24%
021	<i>Bland</i>	0	1	0%	081	<i>Greensville/Emporia</i>	28	64	44%
023	<i>Botetourt</i>	2	10	20%	083	<i>Halifax</i>	19	79	24%
025	<i>Brunswick</i>	17	57	30%	085	<i>Hanover</i>	12	48	25%
027	<i>Buchanan</i>	17	42	40%	087	<i>Henrico</i>	127	562	23%
029	<i>Buckingham</i>	8	21	38%	089	<i>Henry/Martinsville</i>	46	172	27%
031	<i>Campbell</i>	33	98	34%	091	<i>Highland</i>	0	0	N/A
033	<i>Caroline</i>	22	69	32%	093	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	5	25	20%
035	<i>Carroll</i>	19	36	53%	095	<i>James City</i>	17	60	28%
036	<i>Charles City</i>	0	8	0%	097	<i>King and Queen</i>	5	19	26%
037	<i>Charlotte</i>	9	29	31%	099	<i>King George</i>	6	17	35%
041	<i>Chesterfield/Col Hgts</i>	132	347	38%	101	<i>King William</i>	4	18	22%
043	<i>Clarke</i>	1	4	25%	103	<i>Lancaster</i>	5	12	42%
045	<i>Craig</i>	1	8	13%	105	<i>Lee</i>	37	94	39%
047	<i>Culpeper</i>	28	58	48%	107	<i>Loudoun</i>	16	99	16%
049	<i>Cumberland</i>	10	26	38%	109	<i>Louisa</i>	12	35	34%
051	<i>Dickensen</i>	8	17	47%	111	<i>Lunenburg</i>	5	17	29%
053	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	12	40	30%	113	<i>Madison</i>	3	8	38%
057	<i>Essex</i>	13	32	41%	115	<i>Mathews</i>	4	7	57%
059	<i>Fairfax/Falls Church</i>	139	410	34%	117	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	16	41	39%

# Estimated TANF Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	14	31	45%	187	Warren	32	47	68%
121	Montgomery	28	94	30%	191	Washington	17	69	25%
125	Nelson	5	12	42%	193	Westmoreland	11	27	41%
127	New Kent	5	23	22%	195	Wise	27	110	25%
131	Northampton	16	43	37%	197	Wythe	15	40	38%
133	Northumberland	3	11	27%	199	York/Poquoson	28	65	43%
135	Nottoway	14	57	25%	510	Alexandria	61	161	38%
137	Orange	18	53	34%	520	Bristol	51	100	51%
139	Page	9	15	60%	540	Charlottesville	48	116	41%
141	Patrick	8	42	19%	550	Chesapeake	186	465	40%
143	Pittsylvania	20	69	29%	590	Danville	62	182	34%
145	Powhatan	4	15	27%	620	Franklin City	8	35	23%
147	Prince Edward	27	68	40%	630	Fredericksburg	28	86	33%
149	Prince George	12	38	32%	640	Galax	6	17	35%
153	Prince William	138	407	34%	650	Hampton	231	533	43%
155	Pulaski	10	45	22%	670	Hopewell	50	161	31%
157	Rappahannock	0	2	0%	680	Lynchburg	70	224	31%
159	Richmond County	0	13	0%	683	Manassas	17	45	38%
161	Roanoke County	28	98	29%	685	Manassas Park	0	6	0%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	14	32	44%	700	Newport News	254	659	39%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	43	83	52%	710	Norfolk	473	901	52%
167	Russell	28	80	35%	720	Norton	5	25	20%
169	Scott	24	62	39%	730	Petersburg	108	273	40%
171	Shenandoah	2	16	13%	740	Portsmouth	159	464	34%
173	Smyth	34	85	40%	750	Radford	11	33	33%
175	Southampton	8	29	28%	760	Richmond City	356	1,063	33%
177	Spotsylvania	80	190	42%	770	Roanoke City	103	383	27%
179	Stafford	39	115	34%	800	Suffolk	51	141	36%
181	Surry	10	20	50%	810	Virginia Beach	145	329	44%
183	Sussex	9	39	23%	830	Williamsburg	5	8	63%
185	Tazewell	34	87	39%	840	Winchester	10	30	33%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF recipients who are considered to be "work-eligible individuals." The cases containing these client become the "denominator." To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are for the TANF all family rate not including VTP.

## *Estimated VTP only Work Participation Rates for August*

*These are estimated locality August 2014 work participation rates that include only clients receiving VTP. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated August statewide rate is 94% with a numerator of 1,432 and a denominator of 1,519. TANF only estimates are on pages iii and iv.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	<i>Accomack</i>	6	6	100%	061	<i>Fauquier</i>	1	1	100%
003	<i>Albemarle</i>	15	16	94%	063	<i>Floyd</i>	4	4	100%
005	<i>Alleghany/Covington</i>	5	6	83%	065	<i>Fluvanna</i>	2	2	100%
007	<i>Amelia</i>	4	4	100%	067	<i>Franklin County</i>	12	14	86%
009	<i>Amherst</i>	5	5	100%	069	<i>Frederick</i>	5	6	83%
011	<i>Appomattox</i>	8	8	100%	071	<i>Giles</i>	1	1	100%
013	<i>Arlington</i>	23	23	100%	073	<i>Gloucester</i>	1	2	50%
015	<i>Augusta/S'ton/W'boro</i>	35	35	100%	075	<i>Goochland</i>	0	0	N/A
017	<i>Bath</i>	0	0	N/A	077	<i>Grayson</i>	3	3	100%
019	<i>Bedford County/City</i>	8	8	100%	079	<i>Greene</i>	0	0	N/A
021	<i>Bland</i>	1	1	100%	081	<i>Greensville/Emporia</i>	11	11	100%
023	<i>Botetourt</i>	0	0	N/A	083	<i>Halifax</i>	7	7	100%
025	<i>Brunswick</i>	2	3	67%	085	<i>Hanover</i>	13	15	87%
027	<i>Buchanan</i>	1	2	50%	087	<i>Henrico</i>	54	58	93%
029	<i>Buckingham</i>	4	5	80%	089	<i>Henry/Martinsville</i>	24	25	96%
031	<i>Campbell</i>	17	18	94%	091	<i>Highland</i>	0	0	N/A
033	<i>Caroline</i>	6	11	55%	093	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	7	7	100%
035	<i>Carroll</i>	3	3	100%	095	<i>James City</i>	6	6	100%
036	<i>Charles City</i>	0	0	N/A	097	<i>King and Queen</i>	0	0	N/A
037	<i>Charlotte</i>	3	3	100%	099	<i>King George</i>	4	4	100%
041	<i>Chesterfield/Col Hgts</i>	28	30	93%	101	<i>King William</i>	0	3	0%
043	<i>Clarke</i>	1	1	100%	103	<i>Lancaster</i>	0	0	N/A
045	<i>Craig</i>	1	1	100%	105	<i>Lee</i>	5	5	100%
047	<i>Culpeper</i>	7	7	100%	107	<i>Loudoun</i>	20	22	91%
049	<i>Cumberland</i>	1	1	100%	109	<i>Louisa</i>	9	10	90%
051	<i>Dickensen</i>	2	2	100%	111	<i>Lunenburg</i>	3	4	75%
053	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	4	4	100%	113	<i>Madison</i>	0	0	N/A
057	<i>Essex</i>	3	3	100%	115	<i>Mathews</i>	1	2	50%
059	<i>Fairfax/Falls Church</i>	86	88	98%	117	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	5	5	100%

# Estimated VTP Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	6	6	100%	187	Warren	8	8	100%
121	Montgomery	16	17	94%	191	Washington	3	3	100%
125	Nelson	0	0	N/A	193	Westmoreland	0	0	N/A
127	New Kent	4	4	100%	195	Wise	11	11	100%
131	Northampton	2	2	100%	197	Wythe	4	4	100%
133	Northumberland	2	2	100%	199	York/Poquoson	11	12	92%
135	Nottoway	9	9	100%	510	Alexandria	23	25	92%
137	Orange	2	2	100%	520	Bristol	7	7	100%
139	Page	5	5	100%	540	Charlottesville	20	21	95%
141	Patrick	2	2	100%	550	Chesapeake	41	41	100%
143	Pittsylvania	2	2	100%	590	Danville	17	17	100%
145	Powhatan	1	1	100%	620	Franklin City	6	6	100%
147	Prince Edward	8	10	80%	630	Fredericksburg	15	16	94%
149	Prince George	3	3	100%	640	Galax	4	4	100%
153	Prince William	88	96	92%	650	Hampton	59	64	92%
155	Pulaski	6	6	100%	670	Hopewell	9	9	100%
157	Rappahannock	0	0	N/A	680	Lynchburg	13	13	100%
159	Richmond County	0	3	0%	683	Manassas	15	16	94%
161	Roanoke County	13	13	100%	685	Manassas Park	2	2	100%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	3	3	100%	700	Newport News	85	94	90%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	24	24	100%	710	Norfolk	59	60	98%
167	Russell	1	2	50%	720	Norton	2	2	100%
169	Scott	10	10	100%	730	Petersburg	22	24	92%
171	Shenandoah	1	1	100%	740	Portsmouth	45	45	100%
173	Smyth	5	5	100%	750	Radford	2	3	67%
175	Southampton	4	5	80%	760	Richmond City	83	89	93%
177	Spotsylvania	15	17	88%	770	Roanoke City	43	44	98%
179	Stafford	16	16	100%	800	Suffolk	20	24	83%
181	Surry	1	1	100%	810	Virginia Beach	60	60	100%
183	Sussex	3	3	100%	830	Williamsburg	2	2	100%
185	Tazewell	6	6	100%	840	Winchester	6	6	100%

All VTP recipients are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” Thus, all VTP cases are in the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, job follow-up entries are reviewed to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. The basic requirement for VTP recipients is employment for at least 30 hours per week. The requirement is contained in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are also sometimes referred to as the rates for the maintenance of effort program caseload. .

## TANF

TANF Case Activity	Total Statewide				
	Aug-14	Aug-13	Change from Aug-13	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received <sup>1</sup>	5,764	6,579	-12.4%	4,807	20%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	3,976	4,364	-8.9%	1,658	140%
Applications Approved	1,896	2,189	-13%	2,820	-33%
Cases Closed *	2,104	2,396	-12%	4,476	-53%
Cases at End of Month	25,142	28,592	-12%	67,995	-63%
Cases Paid During Month <sup>2</sup>	25,871	28,870	-10%	70,403	-63%

•The database indicates that of the 2,104 closed cases, 752 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 506 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 193 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 141 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

## TANF-UP

TANF-UP Case Activity	Total Statewide				
	Aug-14	Aug-13	Change from Aug-13	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received <sup>1</sup>	499	636	-22%	338	48%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	364	417	-13%	232	57%
Applications Approved	184	221	-17%	81	127%
Cases Closed *	164	227	-28%	107	53%
Cases at End of Month	1,410	1,546	-9%	321	339%
Cases Paid During Month <sup>2</sup>	1,460	1,535	-5%	394	271%

•The database indicates that of the 164 closed cases, 79 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 58 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, twelve were closed after 24 months participation, and of those nine closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

## Statewide - Status through August 31, 2014

### TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/14 and Work Activity as of 8/29/14

Current Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	26,552	1,378	864	2,075	666	337	2,888	347	560	1,034	1,253	832	4,220	934	379	2,763	1,058	4,755	209
Total Enrolled in VIEW	10,067	470	356	630	231	135	1,194	123	260	408	342	343	1,638	470	142	1,032	442	1,775	76
Part. in a Work Activity	7,862	376	289	441	190	111	960	95	221	317	251	272	1,243	352	115	854	333	1,390	52
% of Enrolled	78%	80%	81%	70%	82%	82%	80%	77%	85%	78%	73%	79%	76%	75%	81%	83%	75%	78%	68%
Part. Assigned to Inactive	73	3	0	8	0	1	9	1	3	2	0	2	7	9	2	7	6	13	0
Participants Employed	5,646	254	191	371	172	95	614	82	156	221	172	179	889	266	90	609	237	1,000	48
% of Enrolled	56%	54%	54%	59%	74%	70%	51%	67%	60%	54%	50%	52%	54%	57%	63%	59%	54%	56%	63%
Full Time	4,450	197	148	311	152	89	468	68	112	163	147	115	649	212	68	491	193	831	36
Part Time	1,196	57	43	60	20	6	146	14	44	58	25	64	240	54	22	118	44	169	12
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.49	\$8.10	\$7.78	\$8.25	\$8.21	\$8.29	\$9.89	\$8.65	\$8.81	\$8.12	\$7.89	\$8.04	\$8.61	\$8.79	\$8.13	\$8.28	\$8.55	\$8.18	\$8.19
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,142	\$1,109	\$1,059	\$1,144	\$1,152	\$1,135	\$1,316	\$1,187	\$1,133	\$1,068	\$1,128	\$1,032	\$1,144	\$1,168	\$1,062	\$1,119	\$1,187	\$1,099	\$1,106

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – August 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	4,626	227	158	377	112	57	540	62	121	194	220	165	651	162	70	509	184	789	28
Total Enrolled in VIEW	12,425	564	441	819	291	174	1,462	144	302	531	457	414	1,970	548	178	1,342	530	2,168	90
Part. in a Work Activity	10,387	491	378	664	258	155	1,238	113	269	444	375	345	1,576	441	152	1,164	427	1,818	79
% of Total Enrolled	84%	87%	86%	81%	89%	89%	85%	78%	89%	84%	82%	83%	80%	80%	85%	87%	81%	84%	88%
Participants Employed	6,907	305	241	476	217	121	754	94	174	289	237	218	1,056	305	111	760	277	1,212	60
% of Total Enrolled	56%	54%	55%	58%	75%	70%	52%	65%	58%	54%	52%	53%	54%	56%	62%	57%	52%	56%	67%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.51	\$8.11	\$7.75	\$8.22	\$8.33	\$8.33	\$10.03	\$8.77	\$8.81	\$8.12	\$7.91	\$8.09	\$8.61	\$8.79	\$8.30	\$8.26	\$8.57	\$8.21	\$8.17
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,101	\$1,063	\$1,008	\$1,115	\$1,133	\$1,132	\$1,277	\$1,183	\$1,026	\$1,015	\$1,053	\$1,016	\$1,104	\$1,111	\$1,035	\$1,065	\$1,178	\$1,062	\$1,034
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	70%	65%	67%	64%	68%	68%	75%	69%	70%	63%	60%	72%	71%	73%	70%	71%	65%	72%	75%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	304,832	18,351	11,399	22,723	8,974	5,909	38,304	4,331	6,690	11,496	13,898	8,874	40,508	10,050	4,067	29,356	10,320	56,831	2,751
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>5</sup>	234,230	14,232	9,660	17,475	6,026	4,456	29,579	3,297	5,222	9,373	10,861	6,859	31,819	7,550	3,064	22,384	8,091	42,212	2,070
Part. in a Work Activity	223,804	13,730	9,290	16,610	5,875	4,214	28,485	3,174	5,102	8,838	10,513	6,578	30,481	7,108	2,882	21,296	7,693	39,930	2,005
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	96%	95%	97%	95%	96%	96%	98%	94%	97%	96%	96%	94%	94%	95%	95%	95%	97%
Participants Employed	157,161	8,845	6,180	11,744	4,133	2,901	20,580	2,205	3,863	6,379	6,554	4,181	21,515	4,960	1,940	15,433	5,478	29,102	1,168
% of Total Enrolled	67%	62%	64%	67%	69%	65%	70%	67%	74%	68%	60%	61%	68%	66%	63%	69%	68%	69%	56%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.33	\$6.37	\$6.49	\$7.24	\$7.33	\$7.51	\$8.87	\$7.64	\$7.56	\$6.79	\$6.54	\$6.97	\$7.52	\$8.11	\$7.16	\$7.20	\$7.19	\$7.01	\$6.90
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$962	\$837	\$873	\$967	\$973	\$1,000	\$1,170	\$1,002	\$955	\$871	\$866	\$894	\$997	\$1,031	\$907	\$934	\$969	\$911	\$862
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	66%	67%	61%	60%	66%	63%	71%	64%	63%	63%	63%	65%	69%	65%	58%	66%	65%	67%	71%

## EDD1 - Southwest Region: Status through August 31, 2014

### TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/14 and Work Activity as of 8/29/14

Current Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,378	136	68	253	198	145	215	313	50
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	470	38	17	115	63	57	83	84	13
Participants in a Work Activity	376	35	11	102	50	46	70	52	10
% of Enrolled	80%	92%	65%	89%	79%	81%	84%	62%	77%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0
Participants Employed	254	24	10	59	23	32	53	45	8
% of Enrolled	54%	63%	59%	51%	37%	56%	64%	54%	62%
Full Time	197	12	8	45	18	23	45	41	5
Part Time	57	12	2	14	5	9	8	4	3
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.10	\$8.06	\$7.58	\$8.37	\$8.68	\$8.00	\$7.94	\$7.86	\$8.15
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,109	\$1,035	\$1,128	\$1,157	\$1,134	\$1,086	\$1,089	\$1,134	\$967

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – August 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	227	16	10	43	27	29	44	52	6
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	564	44	20	137	78	66	97	104	18
Participants in a Work Activity	491	42	16	130	60	55	86	87	15
% of Total Enrolled	87%	95%	80%	95%	77%	83%	89%	84%	83%
Participants Employed	305	28	15	71	27	38	61	53	12
% of Total Enrolled	54%	64%	75%	52%	35%	58%	63%	51%	67%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.11	\$8.20	\$7.52	\$8.31	\$9.06	\$7.99	\$7.93	\$7.81	\$7.94
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,063	\$1,055	\$1,076	\$1,085	\$1,249	\$981	\$1,040	\$1,059	\$893
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	65%	58%	56%	67%	65%	62%	66%	64%	100%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	18,351	1,766	1,244	2,603	2,546	1,811	3,493	4,294	594
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	14,232	1,347	973	2,026	2,056	1,350	2,668	3,341	471
Participants in a Work Activity	13,730	1,268	917	2,014	1,958	1,327	2,578	3,235	433
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	94%	99%	95%	98%	97%	97%	92%
Participants Employed	8,845	727	585	1,317	1,225	788	1,779	2,081	343
% of Total Enrolled	62%	54%	60%	65%	60%	58%	67%	62%	73%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.37	\$6.54	\$6.38	\$6.21	\$6.54	\$6.68	\$6.25	\$6.38	\$6.17
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$837	\$852	\$848	\$809	\$864	\$891	\$824	\$839	\$758
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	67%	64%	55%	72%	66%	76%	66%	66%	71%

## EDD2 – Bristol-Galax Region: Status through August 31, 2014

### TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/14 and Work Activity as of 8/29/14

Current Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	864	10	96	40	199	179	99	204	37
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	356	0	47	3	84	51	26	131	14
Participants in a Work Activity	289	N/A	41	3	69	40	19	107	10
% of Enrolled	81%	N/A	87%	100%	82%	78%	73%	82%	71%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	191	N/A	31	1	49	22	17	65	6
% of Enrolled	54%	N/A	66%	33%	58%	43%	65%	50%	43%
Full Time	148	N/A	30	1	38	13	15	47	4
Part Time	43	N/A	1	0	11	9	2	18	2
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.78	N/A	\$7.47	\$8.00	\$7.56	\$7.88	\$8.04	\$7.97	\$8.13
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,059	N/A	\$1,063	\$1,247	\$1,078	\$991	\$1,134	\$1,052	\$960

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – August 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	158	0	24	10	35	24	12	48	5
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	441	2	54	8	102	69	29	156	21
Participants in a Work Activity	378	2	50	8	86	57	28	131	16
% of Total Enrolled	86%	100%	93%	100%	84%	83%	97%	84%	76%
Participants Employed	241	1	35	3	54	34	23	81	10
% of Total Enrolled	55%	50%	65%	38%	53%	49%	79%	52%	48%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.75	\$9.00	\$7.50	\$7.50	\$7.56	\$7.83	\$7.89	\$7.86	\$7.98
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,008	\$1,161	\$1,027	\$1,019	\$1,005	\$931	\$1,111	\$1,013	\$886
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	67%	100%	67%	100%	66%	68%	64%	68%	50%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	11,399	283	1,503	709	2,015	2,010	1,653	2,462	764
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	9,660	211	1,275	576	1,716	1,677	1,326	2,207	672
Participants in a Work Activity	9,290	199	1,186	540	1,660	1,639	1,302	2,127	637
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	93%	94%	97%	98%	98%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	6,180	124	836	370	1,002	1,045	880	1,502	421
% of Total Enrolled	64%	59%	66%	64%	58%	62%	66%	68%	63%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.49	\$6.46	\$6.28	\$6.44	\$6.39	\$6.72	\$6.45	\$6.58	\$6.39
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$873	\$888	\$841	\$861	\$878	\$901	\$855	\$880	\$885
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	61%	55%	54%	61%	63%	58%	67%	62%	69%

## EDD3 – Roanoke Region: Status through August 31, 2014

### TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/14 and Work Activity as of 8/29/14

Current Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	2,075	83	34	15	48	183	44	242	150	223	65	988
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	630	30	3	5	9	54	5	83	22	77	30	312
Participants in a Work Activity	441	22	0	4	7	41	4	68	17	53	23	202
% of Enrolled	70%	73%	0%	80%	78%	76%	80%	82%	77%	69%	77%	65%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	1	3	0	0
Participants Employed	371	19	0	3	5	24	4	64	16	40	19	177
% of Enrolled	59%	63%	0%	60%	56%	44%	80%	77%	73%	52%	63%	57%
Full Time	311	15	0	3	5	16	4	59	16	37	14	142
Part Time	60	4	0	0	0	8	0	5	0	3	5	35
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.25	\$8.41	\$0.00	\$8.17	\$7.75	\$8.03	\$7.80	\$7.97	\$8.03	\$8.32	\$7.94	\$8.42
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,144	\$1,196	\$0	\$1,202	\$1,078	\$1,042	\$1,093	\$1,136	\$1,185	\$1,230	\$1,083	\$1,141

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – August 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	377	16	5	4	2	36	3	48	21	37	12	193
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	819	39	8	7	14	76	10	109	37	90	37	392
Participants in a Work Activity	664	31	4	4	13	58	9	93	29	70	31	322
% of Total Enrolled	81%	79%	50%	57%	93%	76%	90%	85%	78%	78%	84%	82%
Participants Employed	476	22	2	3	9	36	6	84	24	49	25	216
% of Total Enrolled	58%	56%	25%	43%	64%	47%	60%	77%	65%	54%	68%	55%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.22	\$8.31	\$7.38	\$8.17	\$7.69	\$8.10	\$7.74	\$8.11	\$7.93	\$8.25	\$8.01	\$8.36
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,115	\$1,121	\$967	\$1,194	\$967	\$1,066	\$1,010	\$1,158	\$1,029	\$1,184	\$1,052	\$1,115
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	64%	58%	60%	75%	55%	72%	38%	71%	63%	63%	62%	62%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	22,723	1,238	387	108	586	2,021	577	3,197	1,956	2,520	903	9,230
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	17,475	766	248	63	436	1,571	424	2,632	1,558	2,037	710	7,030
Participants in a Work Activity	16,610	733	215	61	419	1,414	416	2,586	1,494	1,905	677	6,690
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	87%	97%	96%	90%	98%	98%	96%	94%	95%	95%
Participants Employed	11,744	523	111	30	284	973	244	2,052	985	1,499	493	4,550
% of Total Enrolled	67%	68%	45%	48%	65%	62%	58%	78%	63%	74%	69%	65%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.24	\$7.30	\$7.54	\$7.34	\$7.15	\$7.17	\$7.15	\$7.09	\$6.90	\$7.73	\$7.12	\$7.31
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$967	\$881	\$962	\$1,042	\$954	\$963	\$957	\$955	\$954	\$1,039	\$919	\$981
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	60%	57%	55%	62%	54%	58%	52%	63%	53%	58%	62%	62%

## EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: Status through August 31, 2014

### TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/14 and Work Activity as of 8/29/14

Current Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	666	372	2	4	62	226
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	231	98	0	0	23	110
Participants in a Work Activity	190	75	N/A	N/A	21	94
% of Enrolled	82%	77%	N/A	N/A	91%	85%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	N/A	N/A	0	0
Participants Employed	172	66	N/A	N/A	18	88
% of Enrolled	74%	67%	N/A	N/A	78%	80%
Full Time	152	55	N/A	N/A	16	81
Part Time	20	11	N/A	N/A	2	7
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.21	\$7.97	N/A	N/A	\$8.06	\$8.43
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,152	\$1,083	N/A	N/A	\$1,132	\$1,208

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – August 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	112	64	0	0	8	40
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	291	138	0	0	30	123
Participants in a Work Activity	258	119	N/A	N/A	28	111
% of Total Enrolled	89%	86%	N/A	N/A	93%	90%
Participants Employed	217	93	N/A	N/A	22	102
% of Total Enrolled	75%	67%	N/A	N/A	73%	83%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.33	\$8.18	N/A	N/A	\$8.36	\$8.46
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,133	\$1,092	N/A	N/A	\$1,080	\$1,181
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	68%	65%	N/A	N/A	63%	72%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	8,974	4,788	80	23	778	3,305
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	6,026	3,229	52	8	490	2,247
Participants in a Work Activity	5,875	3,165	52	8	449	2,201
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	100%	100%	92%	98%
Participants Employed	4,133	2,097	32	6	331	1,667
% of Total Enrolled	69%	65%	62%	75%	68%	74%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.33	\$7.62	\$6.56	\$7.79	\$7.13	\$7.58
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$973	\$1,008	\$717	\$784	\$914	\$1,008
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	66%	67%	61%	83%	67%	66%

# VIEW Work Activity

## EDDS 5 & 18 – Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: Status through August 31, 2014

### TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/14 and Work Activity as of 8/29/14

Current Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	337	12	69	39	40	106	71	209	133	76
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	135	2	22	23	14	45	29	76	33	43
Participants in a Work Activity	111	2	16	18	12	44	19	52	24	28
% of Enrolled	82%	2	73%	78%	86%	98%	66%	68%	73%	65%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	95	1	15	13	11	40	15	48	23	25
% of Enrolled	70%	1	68%	57%	79%	89%	52%	63%	70%	58%
Full Time	89	1	12	13	11	39	13	36	18	18
Part Time	6	0	3	0	0	1	2	12	5	7
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.29	\$7.25	\$8.20	\$8.17	\$9.34	\$7.82	\$9.06	\$8.19	\$8.11	\$8.26
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,135	\$942	\$1,065	\$1,127	\$1,350	\$1,070	\$1,240	\$1,106	\$1,119	\$1,093

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – August 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	57	1	10	14	5	12	15	28	23	5
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	174	3	28	31	22	58	32	90	41	49
Participants in a Work Activity	155	3	26	28	19	55	24	79	38	41
% of Total Enrolled	89%	100%	93%	90%	86%	95%	75%	88%	93%	84%
Participants Employed	121	1	18	20	15	49	18	60	30	30
% of Total Enrolled	70%	33%	64%	65%	68%	84%	56%	67%	73%	61%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.33	\$7.25	\$8.54	\$8.48	\$9.14	\$7.78	\$8.76	\$8.17	\$8.08	\$8.26
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,132	\$935	\$1,099	\$1,165	\$1,283	\$1,057	\$1,205	\$1,034	\$1,024	\$1,045
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	68%	0%	60%	71%	75%	85%	61%	75%	68%	83%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	5,909	227	1,301	888	717	1,416	1,360	2,751	1,765	986
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	4,456	138	982	724	448	1,085	1,079	2,070	1,302	768
Participants in a Work Activity	4,214	128	920	708	434	1,007	1,017	2,005	1,270	735
% of Total Enrolled	95%	93%	94%	98%	97%	93%	94%	97%	98%	96%
Participants Employed	2,901	80	570	505	264	741	741	1,168	663	505
% of Total Enrolled	65%	58%	58%	70%	59%	68%	69%	56%	51%	66%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.51	\$7.60	\$7.91	\$7.42	\$6.95	\$7.53	\$7.45	\$6.90	\$6.95	\$6.84
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,000	\$1,010	\$1,030	\$1,002	\$955	\$1,015	\$978	\$862	\$866	\$856
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	63%	57%	61%	60%	69%	70%	58%	71%	65%	80%

## EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: Status through August 31, 2014

### TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/14 and Work Activity as of 8/29/14

Current Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	2,888	187	1,069	192	894	389	124	33
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,194	68	427	125	358	173	37	6
Participants in a Work Activity	960	57	370	91	272	135	32	3
% of Enrolled	80%	84%	87%	73%	76%	78%	86%	50%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	9	1	2	3	3	0	0	0
Participants Employed	614	32	219	54	199	88	19	3
% of Enrolled	51%	47%	51%	43%	56%	51%	51%	50%
Full Time	468	21	158	34	163	74	16	2
Part Time	146	11	61	20	36	14	3	1
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$9.89	\$9.57	\$10.06	\$11.15	\$9.60	\$9.54	\$9.79	\$8.90
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,316	\$1,152	\$1,327	\$1,406	\$1,309	\$1,297	\$1,416	\$966

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – August 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	540	32	184	57	128	115	18	6
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,462	86	554	134	430	206	45	7
Participants in a Work Activity	1,238	75	484	114	352	170	40	3
% of Total Enrolled	85%	87%	87%	85%	82%	83%	89%	43%
Participants Employed	754	39	277	63	250	100	22	3
% of Total Enrolled	52%	45%	50%	47%	58%	49%	49%	43%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$10.03	\$9.57	\$10.02	\$11.25	\$9.76	\$10.33	\$9.60	\$8.90
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,277	\$1,068	\$1,262	\$1,346	\$1,263	\$1,378	\$1,395	\$960
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	75%	63%	73%	74%	78%	86%	72%	63%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	38,304	3,122	13,680	2,791	12,176	4,403	1,643	489
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	29,579	2,469	10,348	2,213	9,474	3,451	1,266	358
Participants in a Work Activity	28,485	2,451	9,957	2,106	9,102	3,281	1,234	354
% of Total Enrolled	96%	99%	96%	95%	96%	95%	97%	99%
Participants Employed	20,580	1,754	6,920	1,684	6,788	2,383	810	241
% of Total Enrolled	70%	71%	67%	76%	72%	69%	64%	67%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.87	\$8.42	\$8.96	\$9.47	\$8.91	\$8.57	\$8.40	\$8.30
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,170	\$1,077	\$1,176	\$1,221	\$1,202	\$1,098	\$1,123	\$1,103
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	71%	69%	69%	72%	70%	78%	70%	77%

## EDD7 - Culpeper Region: Status through August 31, 2014

### TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/14 and Work Activity as of 8/29/14

Current Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	347	134	69	28	105	11
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	123	55	24	9	32	3
Participants in a Work Activity	95	45	18	7	22	3
% of Enrolled	77%	82%	75%	78%	69%	100%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	1	0	0	0
Participants Employed	82	41	17	4	19	1
% of Enrolled	67%	75%	71%	44%	59%	33%
Full Time	68	35	14	3	16	0
Part Time	14	6	3	1	3	1
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.65	\$8.31	\$8.93	\$8.31	\$9.17	\$9.00
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,187	\$1,139	\$1,184	\$1,050	\$1,331	\$974

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – August 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	62	31	11	7	13	0
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	144	64	27	13	37	3
Participants in a Work Activity	113	50	23	9	28	3
% of Total Enrolled	78%	78%	85%	69%	76%	100%
Participants Employed	94	45	20	5	23	1
% of Total Enrolled	65%	70%	74%	38%	62%	33%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.77	\$8.53	\$8.80	\$8.20	\$9.34	\$9.00
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,183	\$1,143	\$1,182	\$968	\$1,317	\$968
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	69%	71%	58%	20%	86%	100%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	4,331	1,695	1,255	399	868	114
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	3,297	1,251	965	299	705	77
Participants in a Work Activity	3,174	1,204	950	290	657	73
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	98%	97%	93%	95%
Participants Employed	2,205	811	673	176	500	45
% of Total Enrolled	67%	65%	70%	59%	71%	58%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.64	\$7.64	\$7.98	\$7.25	\$7.33	\$7.48
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,002	\$987	\$1,042	\$1,003	\$974	\$973
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	64%	66%	68%	58%	60%	50%

## EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: Status through August 31, 2014

### TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/14 and Work Activity as of 8/29/14

Current Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	560	125	46	38	92	36	223
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	260	51	17	17	34	11	130
Participants in a Work Activity	221	45	14	12	25	10	115
% of Enrolled	85%	88%	82%	71%	74%	91%	88%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
Participants Employed	156	36	10	11	17	6	76
% of Enrolled	60%	71%	59%	65%	50%	55%	58%
Full Time	112	28	4	2	16	3	59
Part Time	44	8	6	9	1	3	17
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.81	\$9.19	\$7.93	\$8.90	\$8.61	\$11.29	\$8.59
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,133	\$1,265	\$889	\$987	\$1,307	\$1,092	\$1,089

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – August 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	121	28	5	7	23	9	49
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	302	56	19	17	42	13	155
Participants in a Work Activity	269	52	17	13	34	11	142
% of Total Enrolled	89%	93%	89%	76%	81%	85%	92%
Participants Employed	174	38	10	11	20	6	89
% of Total Enrolled	58%	68%	53%	65%	48%	46%	57%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.81	\$9.22	\$7.93	\$8.90	\$8.48	\$11.29	\$8.64
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,026	\$1,098	\$879	\$978	\$975	\$1,238	\$1,015
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	70%	74%	83%	60%	75%	71%	66%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	6,690	1,614	317	515	1,057	356	2,831
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	5,222	1,178	232	372	795	257	2,388
Participants in a Work Activity	5,102	1,150	226	351	770	254	2,351
% of Total Enrolled	98%	98%	97%	94%	97%	99%	98%
Participants Employed	3,863	841	148	275	500	154	1,945
% of Total Enrolled	74%	71%	64%	74%	63%	60%	81%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.56	\$7.98	\$8.01	\$7.45	\$7.78	\$7.94	\$7.38
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$955	\$1,022	\$1,064	\$919	\$992	\$1,018	\$926
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	63%	58%	62%	56%	63%	63%	66%

## EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: Status through August 31, 2014

### TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/14 and Work Activity as of 8/29/14

Current Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,034	86	81	172	218	477
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	408	26	36	72	111	163
Participants in a Work Activity	317	23	34	58	74	128
% of Enrolled	78%	88%	94%	81%	67%	79%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	0	1	1	0
Participants Employed	221	19	24	39	38	101
% of Enrolled	54%	73%	67%	54%	34%	62%
Full Time	163	14	21	29	29	70
Part Time	58	5	3	10	9	31
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.12	\$8.21	\$8.08	\$8.59	\$8.24	\$7.88
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,068	\$1,104	\$1,145	\$1,124	\$1,105	\$1,008

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – August 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	194	16	15	28	51	84
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	531	32	46	88	139	226
Participants in a Work Activity	444	29	43	75	113	184
% of Total Enrolled	84%	91%	93%	85%	81%	81%
Participants Employed	289	19	28	51	62	129
% of Total Enrolled	54%	59%	61%	58%	45%	57%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.12	\$8.16	\$8.05	\$8.70	\$8.28	\$7.83
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,015	\$1,086	\$1,119	\$1,113	\$1,051	\$922
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	63%	74%	48%	70%	63%	63%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	11,496	1,065	904	2,326	2,677	4,524
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	9,373	850	791	1,691	2,300	3,741
Participants in a Work Activity	8,838	808	779	1,552	2,165	3,534
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	98%	92%	94%	94%
Participants Employed	6,379	558	558	1,067	1,581	2,615
% of Total Enrolled	68%	66%	71%	63%	69%	70%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.79	\$6.98	\$6.51	\$7.16	\$6.98	\$6.68
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$871	\$888	\$891	\$912	\$910	\$840
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	63%	59%	55%	59%	63%	68%

## EDD10 - Danville Region: Status through August 31, 2014

### TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/14 and Work Activity as of 8/29/14

Current Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,253	183	400	95	181	394
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	342	47	116	45	28	106
Participants in a Work Activity	251	27	89	34	17	84
% of Enrolled	73%	57%	77%	76%	61%	79%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	172	23	61	18	13	57
% of Enrolled	50%	49%	53%	40%	46%	54%
Full Time	147	13	54	14	12	54
Part Time	25	10	7	4	1	3
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.89	\$8.19	\$8.09	\$7.41	\$7.54	\$7.79
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,128	\$1,015	\$1,165	\$1,045	\$1,039	\$1,181

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – August 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	220	35	60	24	30	71
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	457	57	149	57	52	142
Participants in a Work Activity	375	45	123	47	42	118
% of Total Enrolled	82%	79%	83%	82%	81%	83%
Participants Employed	237	29	86	29	26	67
% of Total Enrolled	52%	51%	58%	51%	50%	47%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.91	\$8.13	\$8.15	\$7.53	\$7.66	\$7.80
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,053	\$945	\$1,131	\$982	\$964	\$1,071
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	60%	58%	61%	62%	57%	61%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	13,898	2,131	3,756	1,359	2,303	4,349
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	10,861	1,753	2,616	1,173	1,756	3,563
Participants in a Work Activity	10,513	1,694	2,505	1,106	1,727	3,481
% of Total Enrolled	97%	97%	96%	94%	98%	98%
Participants Employed	6,554	978	1,622	790	937	2,227
% of Total Enrolled	60%	56%	62%	67%	53%	63%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.54	\$6.51	\$7.18	\$6.44	\$6.53	\$6.27
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$866	\$855	\$962	\$835	\$876	\$817
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	63%	58%	63%	74%	59%	61%

## EDD11 - Southside Region: Status through August 31, 2014

### TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/14 and Work Activity as of 8/29/14

Current Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	832	34	89	55	58	63	124	41	139	112	117
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	343	14	40	17	32	25	46	22	37	49	61
Participants in a Work Activity	272	13	29	13	27	23	37	15	32	35	48
% of Enrolled	79%	93%	73%	76%	84%	92%	80%	68%	86%	71%	79%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
Participants Employed	179	11	19	10	16	10	24	7	23	25	34
% of Enrolled	52%	79%	48%	59%	50%	40%	52%	32%	62%	51%	56%
Full Time	115	8	11	10	10	9	13	4	13	14	23
Part Time	64	3	8	0	6	1	11	3	10	11	11
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.04	\$8.95	\$8.08	\$7.91	\$8.34	\$7.86	\$7.93	\$8.08	\$7.90	\$8.10	\$7.81
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,032	\$1,198	\$1,002	\$1,113	\$1,083	\$1,045	\$927	\$1,020	\$957	\$1,064	\$1,049

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – August 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	165	6	27	9	14	6	30	7	17	22	27
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	414	18	49	23	37	32	58	23	43	63	68
Participants in a Work Activity	345	16	37	18	32	26	47	15	36	54	64
% of Total Enrolled	83%	89%	76%	78%	86%	81%	81%	65%	84%	86%	94%
Participants Employed	218	12	22	12	20	13	28	7	25	36	43
% of Total Enrolled	53%	67%	45%	52%	54%	41%	48%	30%	58%	57%	63%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.09	\$8.81	\$8.14	\$8.28	\$8.08	\$7.87	\$7.86	\$8.08	\$7.87	\$8.37	\$7.91
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,016	\$1,137	\$988	\$1,139	\$1,004	\$967	\$937	\$955	\$955	\$1,098	\$1,011
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	72%	56%	86%	72%	91%	54%	79%	82%	57%	75%	67%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	8,874	563	1,076	842	774	601	1,046	421	1,279	1,091	1,181
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	6,859	417	865	652	585	450	710	337	1,014	854	975
Participants in a Work Activity	6,578	397	860	617	546	428	681	332	973	791	953
% of Total Enrolled	96%	95%	99%	95%	93%	95%	96%	99%	96%	93%	98%
Participants Employed	4,181	258	491	370	304	258	427	163	662	564	684
% of Total Enrolled	61%	62%	57%	57%	52%	57%	60%	48%	65%	66%	70%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.97	\$7.59	\$6.42	\$7.17	\$7.41	\$7.50	\$7.14	\$6.71	\$6.73	\$7.02	\$6.92
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$894	\$970	\$838	\$961	\$931	\$966	\$849	\$830	\$852	\$920	\$901
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	65%	61%	67%	59%	59%	57%	64%	61%	66%	69%	68%

## EDD12 - Richmond Region: Status through August 31, 2014

### TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/14 and Work Activity as of 8/29/14

Current Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	4,220	814	25	105	1,147	41	2,088
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,638	293	9	70	570	17	679
Participants in a Work Activity	1,243	228	4	48	415	13	535
% of Enrolled	76%	78%	44%	69%	73%	76%	79%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	7	2	1	0	0	0	4
Participants Employed	889	171	2	40	313	10	353
% of Enrolled	54%	58%	22%	57%	55%	59%	52%
Full Time	649	142	1	29	191	8	278
Part Time	240	29	1	11	122	2	75
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.61	\$9.16	\$7.50	\$8.46	\$8.74	\$8.78	\$8.26
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,144	\$1,282	\$907	\$1,062	\$1,132	\$1,251	\$1,096

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – August 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	651	129	7	21	181	7	306
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,970	351	9	77	677	17	839
Participants in a Work Activity	1,576	305	4	61	510	16	680
% of Total Enrolled	80%	87%	44%	79%	75%	94%	81%
Participants Employed	1,056	203	2	48	364	10	429
% of Total Enrolled	54%	58%	22%	62%	54%	59%	51%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.61	\$9.08	\$7.50	\$8.49	\$8.77	\$8.71	\$8.27
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,104	\$1,221	\$900	\$1,050	\$1,081	\$1,230	\$1,073
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	71%	78%	100%	88%	71%	46%	68%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	40,508	8,545	313	1,454	10,266	406	19,524
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	31,819	6,371	240	1,043	8,429	302	15,434
Participants in a Work Activity	30,481	6,155	225	965	7,937	284	14,915
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	94%	93%	94%	94%	97%
Participants Employed	21,515	4,003	156	676	5,711	169	10,800
% of Total Enrolled	68%	63%	65%	65%	68%	56%	70%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.52	\$7.87	\$7.38	\$8.34	\$7.83	\$7.86	\$7.24
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$997	\$1,051	\$943	\$1,056	\$1,025	\$992	\$966
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	69%	69%	66%	67%	66%	62%	71%

## EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: Status through August 31, 2014

### TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/14 and Work Activity as of 8/29/14

Current Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	934	140	40	333	253	168
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	470	75	13	165	123	94
Participants in a Work Activity	352	55	8	134	87	68
% of Enrolled	75%	73%	62%	81%	71%	72%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	9	3	0	1	0	5
Participants Employed	266	47	5	97	67	50
% of Enrolled	57%	63%	38%	59%	54%	53%
Full Time	212	38	3	85	50	36
Part Time	54	9	2	12	17	14
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.79	\$8.75	\$8.60	\$8.57	\$9.33	\$8.53
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,168	\$1,160	\$963	\$1,168	\$1,227	\$1,117

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – August 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	162	12	11	64	41	34
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	548	81	18	198	140	111
Participants in a Work Activity	441	60	17	166	108	90
% of Total Enrolled	80%	74%	94%	84%	77%	81%
Participants Employed	305	50	9	113	72	61
% of Total Enrolled	56%	62%	50%	57%	51%	55%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.79	\$8.61	\$9.02	\$8.59	\$9.44	\$8.52
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,111	\$1,085	\$956	\$1,114	\$1,216	\$1,024
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	73%	80%	71%	70%	77%	66%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	10,050	1,494	602	3,096	2,907	1,951
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	7,550	1,078	424	2,421	1,962	1,665
Participants in a Work Activity	7,108	1,023	402	2,236	1,868	1,579
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	95%	92%	95%	95%
Participants Employed	4,960	664	248	1,653	1,163	1,232
% of Total Enrolled	66%	62%	58%	68%	59%	74%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.11	\$7.74	\$7.60	\$8.09	\$8.76	\$7.90
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,031	\$978	\$929	\$1,023	\$1,145	\$997
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	65%	59%	58%	66%	68%	65%

## EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: Status through August 31, 2014

### TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/14 and Work Activity as of 8/29/14

Current Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	379	66	40	33	40	19	55	34	26	66
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	142	27	15	12	9	8	28	6	18	19
Participants in a Work Activity	115	22	11	9	6	8	25	6	11	17
% of Enrolled	81%	81%	73%	75%	67%	100%	89%	100%	61%	89%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	90	16	9	4	5	8	20	4	9	15
% of Enrolled	63%	59%	60%	33%	56%	100%	71%	67%	50%	79%
Full Time	68	15	6	3	5	4	18	2	8	7
Part Time	22	1	3	1	0	4	2	2	1	8
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.13	\$8.40	\$9.13	\$8.44	\$7.91	\$8.16	\$7.47	\$8.11	\$8.69	\$7.77
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,062	\$1,173	\$1,133	\$1,281	\$1,123	\$899	\$1,066	\$900	\$1,169	\$886

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – August 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	70	10	9	2	6	5	15	7	5	11
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	178	34	19	18	11	9	34	7	20	26
Participants in a Work Activity	152	30	13	14	10	9	33	7	13	23
% of Total Enrolled	85%	88%	68%	78%	91%	100%	97%	100%	65%	88%
Participants Employed	111	20	10	7	6	9	26	4	10	19
% of Total Enrolled	62%	59%	53%	39%	55%	100%	76%	57%	50%	73%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.30	\$9.27	\$9.00	\$8.86	\$8.11	\$8.06	\$7.55	\$8.11	\$8.62	\$7.86
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,035	\$1,115	\$1,127	\$1,298	\$1,034	\$818	\$1,031	\$917	\$1,202	\$851
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	70%	56%	57%	43%	33%	100%	82%	80%	100%	77%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	4,067	624	305	364	440	225	502	362	372	873
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	3,064	503	206	300	346	157	381	294	229	648
Participants in a Work Activity	2,882	480	195	286	328	150	358	284	213	588
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	95%	95%	95%	96%	94%	97%	93%	91%
Participants Employed	1,940	334	109	180	232	106	263	164	137	415
% of Total Enrolled	63%	66%	53%	60%	67%	68%	69%	56%	60%	64%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.16	\$7.19	\$7.21	\$7.61	\$6.94	\$7.13	\$7.16	\$6.89	\$7.16	\$7.17
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$907	\$885	\$890	\$999	\$867	\$906	\$911	\$862	\$940	\$924
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	58%	49%	47%	58%	50%	71%	73%	50%	43%	65%

## EDD15 - Peninsula Region: Status through August 31, 2014

### TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/14 and Work Activity as of 8/29/14

Current Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	NewKent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	2,763	19	117	128	47	105	981	1,355	11
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,032	1	41	44	26	69	387	457	7
Participants in a Work Activity	854	1	32	27	11	50	338	389	6
% of Enrolled	83%	100%	78%	61%	42%	72%	87%	85%	86%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	7	0	2	2	0	2	1	0	0
Participants Employed	609	1	28	22	8	35	224	286	5
% of Enrolled	59%	100%	68%	50%	31%	51%	58%	63%	71%
Full Time	491	0	25	17	8	19	175	243	4
Part Time	118	1	3	5	0	16	49	43	1
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.28	\$10.00	\$7.28	\$8.43	\$10.00	\$8.92	\$8.12	\$8.35	\$9.10
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,119	\$1,083	\$887	\$1,053	\$1,511	\$1,093	\$1,091	\$1,159	\$1,206

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – August 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	509	3	24	25	8	16	171	259	3
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,342	1	49	58	29	78	502	613	12
Participants in a Work Activity	1,164	1	43	44	21	66	446	532	11
% of Total Enrolled	87%	100%	88%	76%	72%	85%	89%	87%	92%
Participants Employed	760	1	33	32	10	41	276	357	10
% of Total Enrolled	57%	100%	67%	55%	34%	53%	55%	58%	83%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.26	\$10.00	\$7.30	\$8.34	\$9.73	\$8.82	\$8.11	\$8.32	\$8.80
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,065	\$1,075	\$870	\$995	\$1,193	\$1,014	\$1,027	\$1,121	\$1,015
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	71%	100%	66%	55%	61%	81%	76%	69%	64%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	29,356	173	1,196	1,311	401	1,257	10,170	14,407	441
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	22,384	125	869	1,037	295	950	7,631	11,142	335
Participants in a Work Activity	21,296	119	802	962	282	905	7,428	10,486	312
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	92%	93%	96%	95%	97%	94%	93%
Participants Employed	15,433	74	562	717	163	589	5,311	7,785	232
% of Total Enrolled	69%	59%	65%	69%	55%	62%	70%	70%	69%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.20	\$7.21	\$6.95	\$7.65	\$7.70	\$7.53	\$7.20	\$7.15	\$7.39
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$934	\$961	\$854	\$928	\$1,034	\$906	\$928	\$944	\$916
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	66%	52%	57%	58%	72%	61%	64%	69%	65%

## EDD16 - Petersburg Region: Status through August 31, 2014

### TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/14 and Work Activity as of 8/29/14

Current Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,058	113	77	38	73	282	475
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	442	17	40	19	35	139	192
Participants in a Work Activity	333	15	33	14	24	103	144
% of Enrolled	75%	88%	83%	74%	69%	74%	75%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	6	0	0	0	0	4	2
Participants Employed	237	11	16	10	13	67	120
% of Enrolled	54%	65%	40%	53%	37%	48%	63%
Full Time	193	8	14	8	10	45	108
Part Time	44	3	2	2	3	22	12
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.55	\$9.55	\$9.43	\$8.80	\$8.04	\$8.59	\$8.36
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,187	\$1,355	\$1,406	\$1,162	\$1,168	\$1,119	\$1,185

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – August 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	184	15	26	5	23	48	67
Total Enrolled in VIEW	530	20	47	21	47	167	228
Participants in a Work Activity	427	19	36	18	33	129	192
% of Total Enrolled	81%	95%	77%	86%	70%	77%	84%
Participants Employed	277	14	19	13	17	79	135
% of Total Enrolled	52%	70%	40%	62%	36%	47%	59%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.57	\$9.22	\$9.05	\$8.66	\$8.42	\$8.53	\$8.45
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,178	\$1,264	\$1,271	\$1,121	\$1,188	\$1,126	\$1,189
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	65%	48%	89%	69%	52%	61%	69%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	10,320	1,329	947	417	809	2,582	4,236
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	8,091	871	718	344	654	2,108	3,396
Participants in a Work Activity	7,693	868	620	326	624	1,997	3,258
% of Total Enrolled	95%	100%	86%	95%	95%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	5,478	573	423	241	426	1,430	2,385
% of Total Enrolled	68%	66%	59%	70%	65%	68%	70%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.19	\$7.07	\$7.67	\$7.44	\$7.12	\$7.08	\$7.18
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$969	\$987	\$1,017	\$899	\$969	\$946	\$977
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	65%	58%	59%	56%	62%	63%	70%

## EDD17 - Tidewater Region: Status through August 31, 2014

### TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/14 and Work Activity as of 8/29/14

Current Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South -ampton	Chesa-peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	4,755	92	63	832	76	1,655	938	342	757
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,775	15	23	336	26	672	354	85	264
Participants in a Work Activity	1,390	14	19	277	26	507	274	58	215
% of Enrolled	78%	93%	83%	82%	100%	75%	77%	68%	81%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	13	1	0	0	0	0	8	0	4
Participants Employed	1,000	8	15	220	14	382	168	47	146
% of Enrolled	56%	53%	65%	65%	54%	57%	47%	55%	55%
Full Time	831	6	11	187	12	336	124	41	114
Part Time	169	2	4	33	2	46	44	6	32
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.18	\$7.56	\$7.89	\$8.30	\$7.71	\$8.17	\$8.07	\$8.04	\$8.34
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,099	\$928	\$1,056	\$1,143	\$1,205	\$1,083	\$1,079	\$1,143	\$1,086

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – August 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South -ampton	Chesa-peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	789	10	10	148	21	232	166	63	139
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,168	24	31	424	42	772	427	123	325
Participants in a Work Activity	1,818	22	24	353	42	655	345	103	274
% of Total Enrolled	84%	92%	77%	83%	100%	85%	81%	84%	84%
Participants Employed	1,212	14	18	268	22	448	208	63	171
% of Total Enrolled	56%	58%	58%	63%	52%	58%	49%	51%	53%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.21	\$8.15	\$8.13	\$8.37	\$7.67	\$8.17	\$8.12	\$8.17	\$8.28
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,062	\$1,125	\$1,038	\$1,104	\$925	\$1,058	\$1,045	\$1,101	\$1,033
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	72%	80%	70%	78%	63%	75%	70%	59%	71%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South -ampton	Chesa-peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	56,831	1,296	1,062	9,222	913	18,242	9,157	3,582	13,357
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	42,212	938	854	6,866	741	13,443	7,308	2,833	9,229
Participants in a Work Activity	39,930	904	817	6,554	730	12,694	6,872	2,790	8,569
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	96%	95%	99%	94%	94%	98%	93%
Participants Employed	29,102	633	499	4,914	478	9,376	5,144	2,055	6,003
% of Total Enrolled	69%	67%	58%	72%	65%	70%	70%	73%	65%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.01	\$6.98	\$6.75	\$7.20	\$6.73	\$6.91	\$6.88	\$6.84	\$7.32
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$911	\$919	\$842	\$946	\$842	\$879	\$913	\$888	\$964
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	67%	59%	54%	64%	64%	68%	69%	68%	69%

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date

*This section lists the relative state fiscal year to date rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Wage, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	73.2%	6.55	12	\$8.08	2.05	81	67.7%	6.77	62	5.12	37
003	Albemarle	67.9%	5.87	17	\$9.22	4.88	13	74.1%	7.41	37	6.05	8
005	Alleghany/Covington	56.4%	4.40	59	\$8.31	2.62	55	58.1%	5.81	98	4.28	81
007	Amelia	66.7%	5.71	21	\$8.81	3.86	27	55.6%	5.56	104	5.04	42
009	Amherst	59.4%	4.78	40	\$8.16	2.25	69	73.7%	7.37	39	4.80	54
011	Appomattox	60.9%	4.97	38	\$8.05	1.98	85	47.5%	4.75	112	3.90	99
013	Arlington	45.3%	2.97	101	\$9.57	5.74	9	62.5%	6.25	79	4.99	44
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	67.4%	5.81	19	\$8.18	2.30	65	64.5%	6.45	72	4.85	52
017	Bath	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
019	Bedford County/City	58.0%	4.59	50	\$8.70	3.59	33	70.0%	7.00	53	5.06	41
021	Bland	50.0%	3.57	84	\$9.00	4.33	20	100.0%	10.00	1	5.97	12
023	Botetourt	25.0%	0.36	117	\$7.38	0.32	116	60.0%	6.00	92	2.23	116
025	Brunswick	44.9%	2.92	102	\$8.14	2.20	72	85.7%	8.57	13	4.56	66
027	Buchanan	63.6%	5.32	29	\$8.20	2.35	63	58.3%	5.83	96	4.50	70
029	Buckingham	52.2%	3.85	75	\$8.28	2.55	57	72.2%	7.22	41	4.54	68
031	Campbell	44.6%	2.88	103	\$8.28	2.55	58	62.5%	6.25	80	3.89	101
033	Caroline	61.7%	5.08	36	\$8.61	3.37	37	79.7%	7.97	23	5.47	23
035	Carroll	64.8%	5.48	24	\$7.50	0.62	113	66.7%	6.67	64	4.25	82
036	Charles City	100.0%	10.00	1	\$10.00	6.81	5	100.0%	10.00	2	8.94	1
037	Charlotte	54.1%	4.09	67	\$8.08	2.05	82	90.9%	9.09	9	5.08	40
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	57.8%	4.58	51	\$9.08	4.53	16	78.1%	7.81	25	5.64	19
043	Clarke	33.3%	1.43	114	\$7.25	0.00	118	0.0%	0.00	118	0.48	118
045	Craig	42.9%	2.65	104	\$8.17	2.28	66	75.0%	7.50	32	4.14	91
047	Culpeper	70.3%	6.18	14	\$8.53	3.17	40	70.9%	7.09	48	5.48	22
049	Cumberland	40.6%	2.37	106	\$7.87	1.53	95	53.9%	5.39	108	3.10	115
051	Dickensen	75.0%	6.79	9	\$7.52	0.67	112	55.6%	5.56	105	4.34	76
053	Dinwiddie	70.0%	6.14	15	\$9.22	4.88	14	47.8%	4.78	111	5.27	29
057	Essex	58.8%	4.71	42	\$9.27	5.00	12	56.3%	5.63	103	5.11	38
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	50.0%	3.57	85	\$10.02	6.86	4	73.2%	7.32	40	5.92	14
061	Fauquier	74.1%	6.67	10	\$8.80	3.84	28	57.7%	5.77	99	5.42	24
063	Floyd	64.3%	5.41	27	\$7.69	1.09	106	54.6%	5.46	107	3.98	95
065	Fluvanna	52.6%	3.91	70	\$7.93	1.68	90	83.3%	8.33	16	4.64	60
067	Franklin County	47.4%	3.23	96	\$8.10	2.10	80	71.7%	7.17	44	4.17	89
069	Frederick	64.3%	5.41	28	\$8.54	3.19	39	60.0%	6.00	93	4.87	51
071	Giles	60.0%	4.86	39	\$7.74	1.21	105	38.5%	3.85	115	3.31	113
073	Gloucester	67.3%	5.80	20	\$7.30	0.12	117	65.5%	6.55	70	4.16	90
075	Goochland	22.2%	0.00	118	\$7.50	0.62	114	100.0%	10.00	3	3.54	107
077	Grayson	37.5%	1.96	110	\$7.50	0.62	115	100.0%	10.00	4	4.19	84

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	64.7%	5.46	25	\$8.90	4.08	23	60.0%	6.00	94	5.18	34
081	Greensville/Emporia	48.3%	3.35	93	\$7.86	1.51	97	78.6%	7.86	24	4.24	83
083	Halifax	50.9%	3.68	82	\$8.13	2.18	73	58.3%	5.83	97	3.90	100
085	Hanover	62.3%	5.16	33	\$8.49	3.07	43	87.5%	8.75	11	5.66	17
087	Henrico	53.8%	4.06	68	\$8.77	3.76	30	70.6%	7.06	51	4.96	45
089	Henry/Martinsville	57.7%	4.56	52	\$8.15	2.23	70	61.2%	6.12	88	4.30	79
091	Highland	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
093	Isle of Wight	58.3%	4.64	44	\$8.15	2.23	71	80.0%	8.00	21	4.96	46
095	James City	55.2%	4.24	61	\$8.34	2.70	53	54.7%	5.47	106	4.14	92
097	King and Queen	52.6%	3.91	71	\$9.00	4.33	21	57.1%	5.71	100	4.65	59
099	King George	50.0%	3.57	86	\$9.02	4.38	19	71.4%	7.14	45	5.03	43
101	King William	38.9%	2.14	108	\$8.86	3.99	25	42.9%	4.29	114	3.47	109
103	Lancaster	54.5%	4.16	65	\$8.11	2.13	76	33.3%	3.33	116	3.21	114
105	Lee	51.8%	3.81	77	\$8.31	2.62	56	66.7%	6.67	65	4.37	74
107	Loudoun	47.0%	3.19	99	\$11.25	9.90	2	73.9%	7.39	38	6.82	3
109	Louisa	47.6%	3.27	94	\$8.48	3.04	44	75.0%	7.50	33	4.60	64
111	Lunenburg	30.4%	1.06	116	\$8.08	2.05	83	81.8%	8.18	19	3.76	103
113	Madison	38.5%	2.09	109	\$8.20	2.35	64	20.0%	2.00	117	2.15	117
115	Mathews	100.0%	10.00	2	\$8.06	2.00	84	100.0%	10.00	5	7.33	2
117	Mecklenburg	58.1%	4.62	46	\$7.87	1.53	96	57.1%	5.71	101	3.96	97
119	Middlesex	76.5%	6.97	8	\$7.55	0.74	110	82.1%	8.21	18	5.31	26
121	Montgomery	77.1%	7.05	7	\$8.11	2.13	77	70.7%	7.07	49	5.42	25
125	Nelson	46.2%	3.08	100	\$11.29	10.00	1	71.4%	7.14	46	6.74	4
127	New Kent	34.5%	1.58	113	\$9.73	6.14	7	61.1%	6.11	89	4.61	63
131	Northampton	61.2%	5.01	37	\$8.26	2.50	61	82.8%	8.28	17	5.26	30
133	Northumberland	57.1%	4.49	55	\$8.11	2.13	78	80.0%	8.00	22	4.87	50
135	Nottoway	57.1%	4.49	56	\$8.37	2.77	49	75.0%	7.50	34	4.92	48
137	Orange	62.2%	5.14	34	\$9.34	5.17	11	86.4%	8.64	12	6.31	6
139	Page	64.5%	5.44	26	\$8.48	3.04	45	70.6%	7.06	50	5.18	35
141	Patrick	50.9%	3.68	83	\$7.53	0.69	111	62.2%	6.22	84	3.53	108
143	Pittsylvania	50.0%	3.57	87	\$7.66	1.01	108	56.7%	5.67	102	3.42	110
145	Powhatan	58.8%	4.71	43	\$8.71	3.61	32	46.2%	4.62	113	4.31	78
147	Prince Edward	63.2%	5.27	30	\$7.91	1.63	93	67.4%	6.74	63	4.55	67
149	Prince George	40.4%	2.34	107	\$9.05	4.46	18	88.9%	8.89	10	5.23	33
153	Prince William	58.1%	4.62	47	\$9.76	6.21	6	77.9%	7.79	26	6.21	7
155	Pulaski	64.9%	5.48	23	\$7.93	1.68	91	63.4%	6.34	76	4.50	71
157	Rappahannock	33.3%	1.43	115	\$9.00	4.33	22	100.0%	10.00	6	5.25	31
159	Richmond County	50.0%	3.57	88	\$8.62	3.39	36	100.0%	10.00	7	5.65	18
161	Roanoke County	54.4%	4.14	66	\$8.25	2.48	62	62.7%	6.27	78	4.30	80
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	73.3%	6.57	11	\$8.36	2.75	51	77.8%	7.78	27	5.70	16
165	Rockingham/H'burg	82.9%	7.80	5	\$8.46	3.00	46	72.2%	7.22	42	6.01	11
167	Russell	34.6%	1.59	112	\$9.06	4.48	17	64.9%	6.49	71	4.19	85
169	Scott	57.6%	4.55	53	\$7.99	1.83	87	61.5%	6.15	85	4.18	86
171	Shenandoah	68.2%	5.91	16	\$9.14	4.68	15	75.0%	7.50	35	6.03	10

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	52.9%	3.95	<b>69</b>	\$7.56	0.77	<b>109</b>	65.6%	6.56	<b>68</b>	3.76	<b>104</b>
175	<i>Southampton</i>	58.1%	4.61	<b>48</b>	\$8.13	2.18	<b>74</b>	69.6%	6.96	<b>55</b>	4.58	<b>65</b>
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	57.1%	4.48	<b>58</b>	\$8.59	3.32	<b>38</b>	70.2%	7.02	<b>52</b>	4.94	<b>47</b>
179	<i>Stafford</i>	51.4%	3.76	<b>78</b>	\$9.44	5.42	<b>10</b>	77.3%	7.73	<b>29</b>	5.64	<b>20</b>
181	<i>Surry</i>	61.9%	5.10	<b>35</b>	\$8.66	3.49	<b>34</b>	69.2%	6.92	<b>56</b>	5.17	<b>36</b>
183	<i>Sussex</i>	36.2%	1.79	<b>111</b>	\$8.42	2.90	<b>48</b>	52.4%	5.24	<b>109</b>	3.31	<b>112</b>
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	62.9%	5.23	<b>32</b>	\$7.93	1.68	<b>92</b>	65.6%	6.56	<b>69</b>	4.49	<b>72</b>
187	<i>Warren</i>	84.5%	8.00	<b>3</b>	\$7.78	1.31	<b>104</b>	85.3%	8.53	<b>15</b>	5.95	<b>13</b>
191	<i>Washington</i>	49.3%	3.48	<b>89</b>	\$7.83	1.44	<b>100</b>	68.1%	6.81	<b>60</b>	3.91	<b>98</b>
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	73.1%	6.54	<b>13</b>	\$7.86	1.51	<b>98</b>	76.9%	7.69	<b>30</b>	5.25	<b>32</b>
195	<i>Wise</i>	51.0%	3.70	<b>81</b>	\$7.81	1.39	<b>102</b>	63.9%	6.39	<b>74</b>	3.82	<b>102</b>
197	<i>Wythe</i>	79.3%	7.34	<b>6</b>	\$7.89	1.58	<b>94</b>	63.6%	6.36	<b>75</b>	5.10	<b>39</b>
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	52.6%	3.90	<b>73</b>	\$8.82	3.89	<b>26</b>	81.0%	8.10	<b>20</b>	5.29	<b>27</b>
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	48.5%	3.38	<b>92</b>	\$10.33	7.62	<b>3</b>	85.6%	8.56	<b>14</b>	6.52	<b>5</b>
520	<i>Bristol</i>	51.9%	3.82	<b>76</b>	\$7.86	1.51	<b>99</b>	68.1%	6.81	<b>59</b>	4.05	<b>94</b>
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	57.4%	4.53	<b>54</b>	\$8.64	3.44	<b>35</b>	65.9%	6.59	<b>67</b>	4.85	<b>53</b>
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	63.2%	5.27	<b>31</b>	\$8.37	2.77	<b>50</b>	77.6%	7.76	<b>28</b>	5.27	<b>28</b>
590	<i>Danville</i>	47.2%	3.21	<b>98</b>	\$7.80	1.36	<b>103</b>	60.7%	6.07	<b>91</b>	3.55	<b>106</b>
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	52.4%	3.88	<b>74</b>	\$7.67	1.04	<b>107</b>	62.5%	6.25	<b>81</b>	3.72	<b>105</b>
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	55.0%	4.21	<b>64</b>	\$8.52	3.14	<b>42</b>	66.3%	6.63	<b>66</b>	4.66	<b>58</b>
640	<i>Galax</i>	47.6%	3.27	<b>95</b>	\$7.98	1.81	<b>88</b>	50.0%	5.00	<b>110</b>	3.36	<b>111</b>
650	<i>Hampton</i>	55.0%	4.21	<b>63</b>	\$8.11	2.13	<b>79</b>	75.7%	7.57	<b>31</b>	4.64	<b>61</b>
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	47.3%	3.22	<b>97</b>	\$8.53	3.17	<b>41</b>	61.4%	6.14	<b>87</b>	4.18	<b>87</b>
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	57.1%	4.48	<b>57</b>	\$7.83	1.44	<b>101</b>	62.8%	6.28	<b>77</b>	4.06	<b>93</b>
683	<i>Manassas</i>	48.9%	3.43	<b>90</b>	\$9.60	5.82	<b>8</b>	72.1%	7.21	<b>43</b>	5.48	<b>21</b>
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	42.9%	2.65	<b>105</b>	\$8.90	4.08	<b>24</b>	62.5%	6.25	<b>82</b>	4.33	<b>77</b>
700	<i>Newport News</i>	58.2%	4.63	<b>45</b>	\$8.32	2.65	<b>54</b>	68.8%	6.88	<b>58</b>	4.72	<b>57</b>
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	58.0%	4.60	<b>49</b>	\$8.17	2.28	<b>67</b>	74.6%	7.46	<b>36</b>	4.78	<b>55</b>
720	<i>Norton</i>	66.7%	5.71	<b>22</b>	\$7.94	1.71	<b>89</b>	100.0%	10.00	<b>8</b>	5.81	<b>15</b>
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	59.2%	4.76	<b>41</b>	\$8.45	2.97	<b>47</b>	69.2%	6.92	<b>57</b>	4.88	<b>49</b>
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	48.7%	3.41	<b>91</b>	\$8.12	2.15	<b>75</b>	69.7%	6.97	<b>54</b>	4.18	<b>88</b>
750	<i>Radford</i>	67.6%	5.83	<b>18</b>	\$8.01	1.88	<b>86</b>	61.5%	6.15	<b>86</b>	4.62	<b>62</b>
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	51.1%	3.72	<b>80</b>	\$8.27	2.52	<b>60</b>	68.1%	6.81	<b>61</b>	4.35	<b>75</b>
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	55.1%	4.23	<b>62</b>	\$8.36	2.75	<b>52</b>	62.4%	6.24	<b>83</b>	4.40	<b>73</b>
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	51.2%	3.73	<b>79</b>	\$8.17	2.28	<b>68</b>	59.2%	5.92	<b>95</b>	3.98	<b>96</b>
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	52.6%	3.91	<b>72</b>	\$8.28	2.55	<b>59</b>	71.0%	7.10	<b>47</b>	4.52	<b>69</b>
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	83.3%	7.86	<b>4</b>	\$8.80	3.84	<b>29</b>	64.3%	6.43	<b>73</b>	6.04	<b>9</b>
840	<i>Winchester</i>	56.3%	4.38	<b>60</b>	\$8.76	3.74	<b>31</b>	60.9%	6.09	<b>90</b>	4.73	<b>56</b>

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores is done to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception of VIEW

*This section lists the relative cumulative rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Monthly Earnings, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	50.9%	1.68	117	\$866	2.96	95	65.0%	5.48	51	3.37	110
003	Albemarle	71.4%	7.26	13	\$1,022	6.05	19	58.0%	3.76	95	5.69	29
005	Alleghany/Covington	68.3%	6.41	37	\$881	3.25	89	57.0%	3.50	101	4.39	81
007	Amelia	61.9%	4.66	83	\$970	5.01	40	61.0%	4.50	74	4.72	69
009	Amherst	65.6%	5.69	54	\$888	3.40	85	59.4%	4.10	80	4.40	80
011	Appomattox	70.5%	7.03	16	\$891	3.44	82	54.9%	3.01	106	4.49	76
013	Arlington	71.0%	7.16	14	\$1,077	7.13	8	68.9%	6.44	23	6.91	6
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	64.9%	5.50	62	\$1,008	5.78	24	67.3%	6.06	34	5.78	27
017	Bath	61.5%	4.57	85	\$717	0.00	120	61.3%	4.57	72	3.05	114
019	Bedford County/City	63.1%	5.00	73	\$912	3.87	73	58.7%	3.93	85	4.27	87
021	Bland	58.8%	3.82	97	\$888	3.38	86	55.4%	3.11	105	3.44	108
023	Botetourt	44.8%	0.00	120	\$962	4.86	47	54.6%	2.91	108	2.59	117
025	Brunswick	56.8%	3.27	107	\$838	2.39	112	67.0%	5.97	35	3.88	99
027	Buchanan	54.0%	2.51	113	\$852	2.67	104	64.4%	5.33	52	3.50	106
029	Buckingham	56.7%	3.27	108	\$961	4.84	48	59.4%	4.10	82	4.07	91
031	Campbell	68.7%	6.54	33	\$910	3.82	75	62.8%	4.95	60	5.10	55
033	Caroline	61.6%	4.59	84	\$978	5.17	36	58.9%	3.98	84	4.58	72
035	Carroll	65.6%	5.67	55	\$841	2.46	109	54.2%	2.81	109	3.65	103
036	Charles City	59.2%	3.94	93	\$961	4.83	49	51.7%	2.20	114	3.66	101
037	Charlotte	52.0%	1.96	116	\$931	4.24	60	59.4%	4.10	81	3.44	109
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	62.8%	4.93	75	\$1,051	6.63	11	68.7%	6.40	26	5.98	22
043	Clarke	58.0%	3.60	104	\$1,010	5.81	23	57.1%	3.55	99	4.32	85
045	Craig	47.6%	0.78	119	\$1,042	6.43	13	62.1%	4.76	68	3.99	93
047	Culpeper	64.8%	5.47	63	\$987	5.36	32	65.9%	5.70	44	5.51	36
049	Cumberland	57.3%	3.43	106	\$966	4.94	42	56.6%	3.40	102	3.93	97
051	Dickenson	60.1%	4.19	87	\$848	2.60	106	54.6%	2.92	107	3.24	111
053	Dinwiddie	65.8%	5.73	52	\$987	5.36	33	57.6%	3.66	98	4.92	60
057	Essex	66.4%	5.90	49	\$885	3.33	87	48.6%	1.44	118	3.56	104
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	66.9%	6.03	47	\$1,176	9.10	3	69.5%	6.59	18	7.24	5
061	Fauquier	69.7%	6.81	26	\$1,042	6.45	12	68.0%	6.22	29	6.49	10
063	Floyd	65.1%	5.55	57	\$954	4.69	54	54.0%	2.77	110	4.34	84
065	Fluvanna	63.8%	5.19	71	\$1,064	6.88	9	62.1%	4.76	69	5.61	33
067	Franklin County	61.9%	4.68	82	\$963	4.87	45	58.2%	3.81	93	4.45	78
069	Frederick	58.0%	3.62	103	\$1,030	6.21	16	61.1%	4.52	73	4.78	67
071	Giles	57.5%	3.49	105	\$957	4.76	50	51.9%	2.24	113	3.50	107
073	Gloucester	64.7%	5.43	66	\$854	2.71	102	57.1%	3.55	100	3.90	98
075	Goochland	65.0%	5.52	61	\$943	4.49	58	65.7%	5.66	45	5.22	46
077	Grayson	64.2%	5.31	68	\$861	2.86	98	61.0%	4.50	75	4.22	89

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	73.9%	7.95	7	\$919	4.00	67	55.7%	3.18	104	5.04	56
081	Greensville/Emporia	60.1%	4.19	86	\$849	2.62	105	63.6%	5.14	56	3.98	94
083	Halifax	55.8%	3.01	110	\$855	2.74	100	58.2%	3.82	92	3.19	112
085	Hanover	64.8%	5.47	64	\$1,056	6.73	10	66.6%	5.87	37	6.02	20
087	Henrico	67.8%	6.27	40	\$1,025	6.10	17	65.9%	5.71	43	6.03	19
089	Henry/Martinsville	62.0%	4.70	80	\$962	4.86	46	63.1%	5.02	58	4.86	62
091	Highland	75.0%	8.24	4	\$784	1.32	118	83.3%	10.00	1	6.52	9
093	Isle of Wight	67.5%	6.19	43	\$919	4.00	68	59.1%	4.03	83	4.74	68
095	James City	69.1%	6.65	30	\$928	4.18	63	57.9%	3.73	96	4.85	63
097	King and Queen	52.9%	2.22	115	\$890	3.43	83	46.7%	0.97	119	2.21	120
099	King George	58.5%	3.74	98	\$929	4.20	61	58.3%	3.84	90	3.93	96
101	King William	60.0%	4.15	88	\$999	5.60	28	58.3%	3.84	91	4.53	75
103	Lancaster	67.1%	6.08	46	\$867	2.98	94	50.5%	1.91	115	3.65	102
105	Lee	65.0%	5.52	60	\$809	1.82	117	71.9%	7.19	8	4.84	64
107	Loudoun	76.1%	8.54	3	\$1,221	10.00	1	71.9%	7.18	9	8.57	1
109	Louisa	62.9%	4.94	74	\$992	5.45	30	62.9%	4.97	59	5.12	53
111	Lunenburg	48.4%	0.98	118	\$830	2.23	114	60.6%	4.40	77	2.54	119
113	Madison	58.9%	3.84	96	\$1,003	5.67	26	58.4%	3.87	88	4.46	77
115	Mathews	67.5%	6.20	42	\$906	3.74	77	71.4%	7.07	11	5.67	31
117	Mecklenburg	65.3%	5.59	56	\$852	2.68	103	66.1%	5.75	41	4.67	70
119	Middlesex	69.0%	6.61	32	\$911	3.84	74	72.9%	7.42	7	5.96	23
121	Montgomery	78.0%	9.05	2	\$955	4.72	52	63.4%	5.08	57	6.29	15
125	Nelson	59.9%	4.13	89	\$1,018	5.96	20	62.8%	4.93	61	5.01	57
127	New Kent	55.3%	2.86	112	\$1,034	6.29	15	71.6%	7.11	10	5.42	39
131	Northampton	65.8%	5.72	53	\$856	2.77	99	79.6%	9.09	2	5.86	25
133	Northumberland	55.8%	3.00	111	\$862	2.88	97	50.0%	1.79	116	2.56	118
135	Nottoway	66.0%	5.80	51	\$920	4.02	66	69.5%	6.58	19	5.47	38
137	Orange	70.9%	7.13	15	\$974	5.10	38	60.1%	4.27	79	5.50	37
139	Page	69.8%	6.81	24	\$1,002	5.66	27	60.3%	4.33	78	5.60	34
141	Patrick	67.3%	6.16	44	\$835	2.34	113	73.8%	7.64	6	5.38	41
143	Pittsylvania	53.4%	2.34	114	\$876	3.16	93	58.5%	3.89	87	3.13	113
145	Powhatan	56.0%	3.05	109	\$992	5.45	31	62.2%	4.79	66	4.43	79
147	Prince Edward	70.2%	6.92	19	\$901	3.65	78	67.7%	6.14	30	5.57	35
149	Prince George	58.9%	3.86	95	\$1,017	5.95	21	58.7%	3.92	86	4.58	73
153	Prince William	71.6%	7.33	11	\$1,202	9.62	2	70.4%	6.81	14	7.92	2
155	Pulaski	63.2%	5.03	72	\$954	4.70	53	53.4%	2.62	112	4.12	90
157	Rappahannock	58.4%	3.73	99	\$973	5.07	39	50.0%	1.79	117	3.53	105
159	Richmond County	59.8%	4.11	90	\$940	4.41	59	42.7%	0.00	120	2.84	116
161	Roanoke County	73.6%	7.86	8	\$1,039	6.38	14	58.1%	3.78	94	6.01	21
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	67.6%	6.21	41	\$914	3.91	71	67.4%	6.06	33	5.39	40
165	Rockingham/H'burg	74.2%	8.02	5	\$1,008	5.76	25	65.7%	5.66	46	6.48	11
167	Russell	59.6%	4.04	91	\$864	2.91	96	66.5%	5.85	38	4.27	88
169	Scott	58.4%	3.71	102	\$891	3.46	81	76.1%	8.22	5	5.13	51
171	Shenandoah	58.9%	3.86	94	\$955	4.73	51	68.8%	6.43	24	5.01	58

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	58.4%	3.72	<b>101</b>	\$878	3.20	<b>92</b>	62.5%	4.88	<b>63</b>	3.93	<b>95</b>
175	<i>Southampton</i>	58.4%	3.73	<b>100</b>	\$842	2.49	<b>107</b>	53.7%	2.70	<b>111</b>	2.97	<b>115</b>
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	68.3%	6.41	<b>36</b>	\$1,023	6.07	<b>18</b>	66.4%	5.83	<b>39</b>	6.10	<b>18</b>
179	<i>Stafford</i>	59.3%	3.96	<b>92</b>	\$1,145	8.48	<b>4</b>	68.2%	6.28	<b>27</b>	6.24	<b>17</b>
181	<i>Surry</i>	70.1%	6.90	<b>20</b>	\$899	3.60	<b>80</b>	55.9%	3.24	<b>103</b>	4.58	<b>71</b>
183	<i>Sussex</i>	65.1%	5.55	<b>58</b>	\$969	5.01	<b>41</b>	62.3%	4.83	<b>64</b>	5.13	<b>52</b>
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	66.7%	5.97	<b>48</b>	\$824	2.12	<b>115</b>	65.6%	5.63	<b>47</b>	4.57	<b>74</b>
187	<i>Warren</i>	68.3%	6.41	<b>35</b>	\$1,015	5.91	<b>22</b>	70.0%	6.71	<b>16</b>	6.34	<b>12</b>
191	<i>Washington</i>	62.3%	4.78	<b>78</b>	\$901	3.64	<b>79</b>	57.8%	3.71	<b>97</b>	4.04	<b>92</b>
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	64.0%	5.26	<b>69</b>	\$924	4.10	<b>65</b>	65.4%	5.57	<b>48</b>	4.98	<b>59</b>
195	<i>Wise</i>	62.3%	4.78	<b>79</b>	\$839	2.42	<b>111</b>	66.4%	5.82	<b>40</b>	4.34	<b>82</b>
197	<i>Wythe</i>	66.4%	5.89	<b>50</b>	\$855	2.73	<b>101</b>	66.7%	5.90	<b>36</b>	4.84	<b>65</b>
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	62.0%	4.70	<b>81</b>	\$906	3.74	<b>76</b>	60.8%	4.46	<b>76</b>	4.30	<b>86</b>
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	69.1%	6.62	<b>31</b>	\$1,098	7.56	<b>7</b>	77.7%	8.60	<b>3</b>	7.59	<b>3</b>
520	<i>Bristol</i>	68.1%	6.35	<b>38</b>	\$880	3.23	<b>90</b>	62.2%	4.80	<b>65</b>	4.79	<b>66</b>
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	81.4%	10.00	<b>1</b>	\$926	4.15	<b>64</b>	66.0%	5.72	<b>42</b>	6.62	<b>8</b>
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	71.6%	7.31	<b>12</b>	\$946	4.54	<b>56</b>	63.9%	5.22	<b>53</b>	5.69	<b>30</b>
590	<i>Danville</i>	62.5%	4.84	<b>77</b>	\$817	1.98	<b>116</b>	61.5%	4.61	<b>71</b>	3.81	<b>100</b>
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	64.5%	5.38	<b>67</b>	\$842	2.48	<b>108</b>	63.7%	5.16	<b>55</b>	4.34	<b>83</b>
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	74.0%	7.97	<b>6</b>	\$997	5.55	<b>29</b>	65.0%	5.49	<b>50</b>	6.34	<b>13</b>
640	<i>Galax</i>	62.6%	4.88	<b>76</b>	\$885	3.32	<b>88</b>	68.7%	6.41	<b>25</b>	4.87	<b>61</b>
650	<i>Hampton</i>	69.6%	6.77	<b>27</b>	\$928	4.19	<b>62</b>	63.8%	5.18	<b>54</b>	5.38	<b>42</b>
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	67.8%	6.29	<b>39</b>	\$946	4.54	<b>55</b>	62.6%	4.90	<b>62</b>	5.24	<b>45</b>
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	69.9%	6.85	<b>22</b>	\$840	2.44	<b>110</b>	68.2%	6.28	<b>28</b>	5.19	<b>47</b>
683	<i>Manassas</i>	64.0%	5.24	<b>70</b>	\$1,123	8.05	<b>5</b>	69.7%	6.64	<b>17</b>	6.64	<b>7</b>
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	67.3%	6.15	<b>45</b>	\$1,103	7.66	<b>6</b>	77.1%	8.46	<b>4</b>	7.42	<b>4</b>
700	<i>Newport News</i>	69.9%	6.84	<b>23</b>	\$944	4.51	<b>57</b>	68.9%	6.45	<b>22</b>	5.93	<b>24</b>
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	69.7%	6.81	<b>25</b>	\$879	3.21	<b>91</b>	67.6%	6.11	<b>32</b>	5.38	<b>43</b>
720	<i>Norton</i>	72.8%	7.65	<b>9</b>	\$758	0.82	<b>119</b>	71.3%	7.03	<b>13</b>	5.16	<b>49</b>
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	70.2%	6.94	<b>18</b>	\$977	5.15	<b>37</b>	70.1%	6.74	<b>15</b>	6.28	<b>16</b>
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	70.4%	6.99	<b>17</b>	\$913	3.88	<b>72</b>	69.2%	6.53	<b>20</b>	5.80	<b>26</b>
750	<i>Radford</i>	69.4%	6.73	<b>28</b>	\$919	4.00	<b>69</b>	61.5%	4.63	<b>70</b>	5.12	<b>54</b>
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	70.0%	6.87	<b>21</b>	\$966	4.93	<b>43</b>	71.4%	7.06	<b>12</b>	6.29	<b>14</b>
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	64.7%	5.44	<b>65</b>	\$981	5.23	<b>34</b>	62.1%	4.78	<b>67</b>	5.15	<b>50</b>
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	72.5%	7.57	<b>10</b>	\$888	3.40	<b>84</b>	67.6%	6.12	<b>31</b>	5.70	<b>28</b>
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	65.0%	5.53	<b>59</b>	\$964	4.89	<b>44</b>	69.0%	6.46	<b>21</b>	5.63	<b>32</b>
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	69.3%	6.68	<b>29</b>	\$916	3.94	<b>70</b>	65.1%	5.51	<b>49</b>	5.37	<b>44</b>
840	<i>Winchester</i>	68.7%	6.52	<b>34</b>	\$978	5.18	<b>35</b>	58.4%	3.86	<b>89</b>	5.19	<b>48</b>

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores was begun in May 1999 to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

## State Summary July 1, 2014 – August 31, 2014

	State	ED 1	ED 2	ED 3	ED 4	ED 5	ED 6	ED 7	ED 8	ED 9	ED 10	ED 11	ED 12	ED 13	ED 14	ED 15	ED 16	ED 17	ED 18
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>																			
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	1,861	84	76	146	50	29	207	22	52	77	92	77	247	69	23	208	69	322	11
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	93%	99%	100%	94%	100%	93%	82%	91%	83%	99%	97%	88%	90%	91%	87%	99%	93%	93%	####
% Timely in August 2014	93%	99%	100%	94%	100%	93%	82%	91%	83%	99%	97%	88%	90%	91%	87%	99%	93%	93%	####
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>																			
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>																			
# Over 30 Days	100	0	0	2	0	0	44	6	8	0	1	4	19	4	4	4	2	2	0
Average # of Days Over 30	35	0	0	121	0	0	31	104	7	0	8	22	29	55	37	16	48	25	0

## EDD1 – Southwest Region: July 1, 2014 - August 31, 2014

	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2014		84	9	5	17	9	5	17	20
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014		99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	94%	100%
% Timely in August 2014		99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	94%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2014		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>									
# Over 30 Days		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD2 - Bristol-Galax Region: July 1, 2014 - August 31, 2014

	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	76	0	16	4	18	7	4	25	2
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
% Timely in August 2014	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>									
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD3 - Roanoke Region: July 1, 2014 - August 31, 2014

	Total EDD3	Allegheny/Covington	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>												
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	146	6	2	2	1	14	2	20	8	10	8	73
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	94%	100%	100%	50%	100%	93%	100%	100%	100%	100%	63%	95%
% Timely in August 2014	94%	100%	100%	50%	100%	93%	100%	100%	100%	100%	63%	95%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>												
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>												
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	121	0	0	121	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: July 1, 2014 - August 31, 2014

	<i>Total EDD4</i>	<i>Aug/S'ton /W'boro</i>	<i>Bath</i>	<i>Highland</i>	<i>Rockbridge/ BV/Lex</i>	<i>Rockingham/ HBurg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	50	30	0	0	3	17
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	100%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	100%
% Timely in August 2014	100%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD5 & EDD18 - Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: July 1, 2014 - August 31, 2014

	<i>Total EDD5</i>	<i>Clarke</i>	<i>Frederick</i>	<i>Page</i>	<i>Shen.</i>	<i>Warren</i>	<i>Winchester</i>	<i>Total EDD18</i>	<i>Accomack</i>	<i>Nhampton</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	29	1	3	11	1	6	7	11	10	1
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	93%	100%	100%	100%	100%	67%	100%	100%	100%	100%
% Timely in August 2014	93%	100%	100%	100%	100%	67%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>										
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: July 1, 2014 - August 31, 2014

	<i>Total EDD6</i>	<i>Arlington</i>	<i>Fairfax</i>	<i>Loudoun</i>	<i>Prince William</i>	<i>Alexandria</i>	<i>Manassas</i>	<i>Manassas Park</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>								
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	207	14	84	17	55	29	5	3
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	82%	93%	96%	94%	47%	97%	80%	33%
% Timely in August 2014	82%	93%	96%	94%	47%	97%	80%	33%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>								
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>								
# Over 30 Days	44	0	0	1	38	2	1	2
Average # of Days Over 30	31	0	0	9	34	23	11	9

## EDD7 - Culpeper Region: July 1, 2014 - August 31, 2014

	<i>Total EDD7</i>	<i>Culpeper</i>	<i>Fauquier</i>	<i>Madison</i>	<i>Orange</i>	<i>Rapp.</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	22	12	2	1	7	0
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	91%	100%	100%	100%	71%	N/A
% Timely in August 2014	91%	100%	100%	100%	71%	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	6	0	0	0	6	0
Average # of Days Over 30	104	0	0	0	104	0

## EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: July 1, 2014 - August 31, 2014

	<i>Total EDD8</i>	<i>Albemarle</i>	<i>Fluvanna</i>	<i>Greene</i>	<i>Louisa</i>	<i>Nelson</i>	<i>Cville</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	52	11	4	2	9	2	24
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	83%	36%	100%	50%	100%	100%	96%
% Timely in August 2014	83%	36%	100%	50%	100%	100%	96%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	8	7	0	0	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	7	8	0	0	0	0	2

## EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: July 1, 2014 - August 31, 2014

	<i>Total EDD9</i>	<i>Amherst</i>	<i>Appom.</i>	<i>Bedford</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Lynchburg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	77	10	7	8	18	34
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	97%
% Timely in August 2014	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	97%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD10 - Danville Region: July 1, 2014 - August 31, 2014

	<i>Total EDD10</i>	<i>Halifax</i>	<i>Henry/ Martinsville</i>	<i>Patrick</i>	<i>Pittsylvania</i>	<i>Danville</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	92	13	32	8	12	27
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	97%	92%	97%	100%	92%	100%
% Timely in August 2014	97%	92%	97%	100%	92%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	1	1	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	8	8	0	0	0	0

## EDD11 – Southside Region: July 1, 2014 - August 31, 2014

	<i>Total EDD11</i>	<i>Amelia</i>	<i>Brunswick</i>	<i>Buckingham</i>	<i>Charlotte</i>	<i>Cumberland</i>	<i>Gr'nsville Emporia</i>	<i>Lunenburg</i>	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	<i>Nottoway</i>	<i>Pr. Edward</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>											
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	77	4	12	4	8	1	12	4	8	12	12
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	88%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	25%	100%	100%	100%	100%
% Timely in August 2014	88%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	25%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>											
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>											
# Over 30 Days	4	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	22	0	0	0	0	0	22	0	0	0	0

## EDD12 - Richmond Region: July 1, 2014 - August 31, 2014

	<i>Total EDD12</i>	<i>Chesterfield/ Col Hgts</i>	<i>Goochland</i>	<i>Hanover</i>	<i>Henrico</i>	<i>Powhatan</i>	<i>Richmond City</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	247	47	3	11	84	5	97
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	90%	89%	100%	100%	86%	100%	93%
% Timely in August 2014	90%	89%	100%	100%	86%	100%	93%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	19	6	0	0	7	0	6
Average # of Days Over 30	29	17	0	0	53	0	14

## EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: July 1, 2014 - August 31, 2014

	<i>Total EDD13</i>	<i>Caroline</i>	<i>King George</i>	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	<i>Stafford</i>	<i>Fredericksburg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	69	7	5	22	17	18
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	91%	71%	100%	95%	82%	100%
% Timely in August 2014	91%	71%	100%	95%	82%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	4	1	0	1	2	0
Average # of Days Over 30	55	36	0	95	45	0

## EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: July 1, 2014 - August 31, 2014

	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North- umberland	Richmond County	West- moreland
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	23	5	3	2	1	2	5	2	1	2
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	87%	100%	100%	100%	100%	0%	100%	100%	100%	50%
% Timely in August 2014	87%	100%	100%	100%	100%	0%	100%	100%	100%	50%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>										
# Over 30 Days	4	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	37	0	140	0	0	2	0	0	0	2

## EDD15 - Peninsula Region: July 1, 2014 - August 31, 2014

	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	208	2	11	5	3	5	72	109	1
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	99%	0%	91%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
% Timely in August 2014	99%	0%	91%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>									
# Over 30 Days	4	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	16	20	3	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD16 - Petersburg Region: July 1, 2014 - August 31, 2014

	<i>Total EDD16</i>	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	<i>Prince George</i>	<i>Surry</i>	<i>Sussex</i>	<i>Hopewell</i>	<i>Petersburg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	69	4	14	2	6	17	26
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	93%	100%	100%	100%	100%	94%	85%
% Timely in August 2014	93%	100%	100%	100%	100%	94%	85%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
Average # of Days Over 30	48	0	0	0	0	0	48

## EDD17 - Tidewater Region: July 1, 2014 - August 31, 2014

	<i>Total EDD17</i>	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	<i>South- hampton</i>	<i>Chesapeake</i>	<i>Franklin City</i>	<i>Norfolk</i>	<i>Portsmouth</i>	<i>Suffolk</i>	<i>VA Beach</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	322	1	1	65	11	91	63	26	64
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	93%	100%	100%	94%	100%	88%	94%	88%	100%
% Timely in August 2014	93%	100%	100%	94%	100%	88%	94%	88%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>									
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	25	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	45

## *Child Support Enforcement - Statewide Totals*

### *Collections*

	<i>Aug-13</i>	<i>Aug-14</i>	<i>% Change</i>
TANF Cases Collected	15,090	14,229	-5.7%
NTANF Cases Collected	130,304	130,140	-0.1%
Total Cases Collected	145,394	144,369	-0.7%
TANF Collections	\$2,599,369	\$2,454,876	-5.6%
NTANF Collections	\$50,451,478.00	\$47,829,145	-5.2%
Total Collections	\$53,050,847	\$50,284,021	-5.2%
SFY YTD Collections	\$108,363,627	\$104,220,034	-3.8%
SFY YTD Average Collection	\$370.00	\$356.83	-3.6%

### *Paternities Established*

	<i>Aug-14</i>
TANF Paternities	168
NTANF Paternities	307
Combined Paternities	475

# *Diversionsary Assistance*

## *August Diversionsary Assistance Cases*

<b>Locality</b>	<b>Number of Cases</b>		<b>Locality</b>	<b>Number of Cases</b>
Alleghany / Covington	1		Spotsylvania	6
Amherst	1		Warren	7
Augusta / Staunt'n / W'boro	4		Washington	1
Bedford City	1		York / Poquoson	2
Chesterfield/Colonial Hgts	6		Bristol	5
Craig	1		Charlottesville	4
Culpeper	4		Chesapeake	1
Fairfax / Falls Church	2		Danville	1
Fauquier	1		Fredericksburg	1
Franklin County	1		Hampton	4
Greene	5		Hopewell	5
Halifax	1		Manassas Park	1
Hanover	2		Newport News	14
Henrico	4		Norfolk	7
James City	1		Petersburg	2
Loudoun	2		Portsmouth	1
Orange	1		Richmond City	2
Prince William	1		Roanoke City	6
Roanoke County	5		Suffolk	3
Rockbridge / BV / Lexington	1		Virginia Beach	4
Rockingham / H'burg	1		Williamsburg	1
Shenandoah	9		Winchester	1
Southampton	1		Statewide	135

## *Definitions of Selected Terms Contained in Report*

**Average Monthly Earnings.** This is the average monthly earnings of the unsubsidized jobs that participants obtained. The value is calculated by multiplying the hourly wage by the weekly hours worked by 4.3 average weeks per month. For the cumulative figures the initial wage and initial hours are used. For the current figures, the initial wage and most current hours are used, except for the November 2001 report which used initial hours. Earlier than June 1999, wage changes that were entered overwrote the initial wage, so prior to that date, the cumulative figures used the initial hours and the last wage entered. With the advent of the VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) program in October 2006 the end of month average monthly earnings might be expected to decline somewhat because prior to VTP, cases with high earnings might remain open for job follow-up, but after the implementation of VTP the VIEW case would be closed when the TANF case was closed and a VTP case opened. VTP cases are not included in this report except for estimating the participation rate.

**Average Wage.** The average of the wages reported for each occurrence of unsubsidized employment. After June 1999 the wage is the wage at hiring, that is, the initial wage. Prior to June 1999, that wage may have been updated if changed during employment. After the implementation of the VIEW Transitional Payment program the end of month point in time average wage might be expected to decline some for the same reason mentioned in the explanation of possible decline in Average Monthly earnings, above. See note 6 on page 44.

**Cases Closed with Employment.** These are TANF or TANF-UP cases that are closed with an indication in the data base of employment at the date of closure. It does not mean that the case necessarily closed because of employment. For example, a TANF case may have an indication of closing at the client's request, along with indication of existing employment in VIEW. That case is counted as closed with employment.

**EDD.** This stands for Economic Development District. EDDs were developed during the administration of Governor Allen. They generally are no longer in use. This report continues to refer to them because VIEW was phased in by EDD and their use provides consistency over time.

**Enrolled.** Unduplicated number by locality of TANF recipients who were interviewed, received an individual assessment, signed the Agreement of Personal Responsibility, and enrolled in the VIEW program. Because the count is by locality, a recipient may be counted once in more than one locality. The fiscal year to date numbers include those who were enrolled at the beginning of the fiscal year as well as those enrolled during the year. There are clients enrolled in VIEW who have had their TANF case closed because VIEW policy requires that the enrollment remain open for job follow-up after employment unless the employment meets federal work participation guidelines, in which case the VIEW case is closed and a VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) case is opened.

**Full Time Employment.** Employment at 30 hours a week or greater.

**Participants Assigned to Inactive.** Inactive is a status assigned to participants who cannot participate in the VIEW program for reasons other than being exempt. Examples are unavailability of child care, unavailability of transportation, and mental or medical problems that make participation impractical. Inactive participants are due to be reviewed each month. While in inactive status, the 24 month time limit for TANF benefits is suspended.

**Participants Employed.** Total number of participants by locality who obtained an unsubsidized job, either full time or part time. If employed more than one time, they are still only counted once, but may be counted in more than one locality. Also, these participants may have left their job and not gotten another one. For the year to date numbers, those employed at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first became employed in the fiscal year.

**Participants in a Work Activity.** Unduplicated count by locality of persons who have been in any of the following components: unsubsidized employment, full employment program (FEP), on-the-job training (OJT), community work experience program (CWEP), public service program (PSP), vocational education and training, and job search/job readiness. For example, a person who was in community work experience and then employed would be counted only once.

For the year to date numbers, those in a work activity at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first entered a work activity in the fiscal year. Note that this is a revised definition of work activity from reports through October 2006. The revised definition captures the activities that count toward the first 20 hours of federal participation requirements – the core work activities. Clients who are counted as being in a core work activity must meet additional participation rate requirements in order to be included in the work participation rate, and only VIEW clients are counted here, not all work eligible TANF clients **Thus, these percentages in a work activity are quite different from the percentages in the TANF work participation rate.**

**Part Time Employment.** Employment at fewer than 30 weekly hours.

**Referred.** Unduplicated number of TANF recipients by locality who were initially evaluated as eligible for VIEW and had their names referred to the automated VIEW list for participation.

**Relationship Between TANF and VIEW Participation.** On the chart at page 5a, the column heading “Total Adults in the Case” includes adults who are not considered to be part of the assistance unit. For example, a mother receiving SSI may be in the case, but because she receives SSI is not considered eligible for TANF and thus is not part of the assistance unit. Persons such as she who are not in the assistance unit are not eligible to participate in VIEW. Also included are adults who are part of the assistance unit but exempt from VIEW

**Five Months Employment.** In earlier reports, this represented the percent of all participants that were employed 150 days after their first employment. Participants who were employed in any job 150 days after their first employment were counted in this measure.. This measure became unavailable after July 1, 2011. See note 9 on page 44.

**Three Months Employment.** This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 90 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 90 days after their first employment are counted in this measure. For year to date percents, the calculations are based on the first employment that began one year before the end of the report month. That employment may not have been the first ever for the participant. The year to date percents were calculated differently in reports before September 2004. Also for year to date percents, transferred cases were not counted for months after the January 2002 report.

**TANF / TANF-UP Payment Cases.** Up to November 2003 these counts were taken from a monthly report with no adjustment. After that, the monthly counts included some cases that were being paid because child support payments had been received and were being passed on. These counts were adjusted to mostly eliminate those additional cases. Further adjustment may be appropriate. It should also be noted that the counts include both strictly TANF cases and MOE cases for some years. With the end of waiver provisions in July 2003, a substantial part of the caseload was placed in a state program and funded with MOE funds. This change in July 2003 was a change in funding, not a change in eligibility requirements or case payment amounts. It ended in September 2006 when VIEW transitional payments (VTP) were introduced. Adjustments have been made to take out VTP cases. Also, TANF-UP cases are currently funded with only state monies.

**VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP).** Beginning in October 2006, VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) were introduced. These are payments of \$50 per client per month for one year to VIEW clients that leave “regular” TANF with employment sufficient to meet Federal work participation requirements. The employment must be maintained to be eligible for VTP. Previously, VTP clients normally would have remained VIEW clients for five or six months of job-follow-up. Thus, the VTP program has had a diminishing effect on some of the statistics in this report such as end of month percent employed and average wage. See graph on page 5a.

**Time That Data Represent.** The data in the top sections of page 4 and pages 8 – 24 are point in time data as of the date indicated. The year to date section represents state fiscal year to date data, with the exception of three month retention (see above). The bottom section is cumulative from when the locality began VIEW.

**General Statement About Accuracy.** The report is based on an extract from the Employment Services automated system. The extract is normally made the night of the first work day after the end of the report month. Data that staff of local social services agencies enter into the automated system after the time of the extract are not included in the report, even though the activity reflected actually occurred in the report month. However, that data, and the activity reflected, are included in the reports for subsequent months. Further, because the data are entered by staff in the 120 localities, the accuracy of the data in the data base is, of course, subject to inadvertent error.

<sup>1</sup> Applications are not necessarily acted upon in the month that they are received. Also, applications may be transferred from regular TANF to TANF-UP and vice versa as they are processed. November applications are being reviewed for accuracy.

<sup>2</sup> These TANF cases paid counts are prior to possible adjustment. See definition of payment cases. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes.

<sup>3</sup> VIEW enrolled counts do not include VTP clients

<sup>4</sup> A case may be open in more than one locality during the time period.

<sup>5</sup> Prior to June 1999, when individuals were transferred from one locality to another, their history was transferred as well. This may have an effect on a locality's cumulative VIEW statistics.

<sup>6</sup> The minimum wage rose from \$6.55 to \$7.25 an hour on 7/24/09. The report reflects initial wages so some effect on wages in the report was delayed. The average wage includes full and part time, unless otherwise indicated. See the definition of average wage on page 42.

<sup>7</sup> Includes full and part time earnings.

<sup>8</sup> This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed three months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed three months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous. See definition on page 43 for the revised method of calculating the year to date percentages.

<sup>9</sup> Until the July 2011 report, this was employed after five months. However, on July 1, 2011 the number of required job follow-ups was reduced to three. Thus, data for five month job retention became unavailable.

<sup>10</sup> Number includes TANF-UP and represents cases carried over to the next month. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes, but with the advent of VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) such occurrences were reduced from earlier months.

<sup>11</sup> Enrollment is timely if done within 30 days of referral in previous month of the state fiscal year. The monthly percent includes evaluation of clients waiting in the queue. The year to date does not.

<sup>12</sup> Placement in a work activity on the 95th day after referral was a measure of timeliness before Oct 1, 2006. The revised definition of work activity has increased the percentage from reports before November 2006. Clients whose cases have closed before the 95<sup>th</sup> day are excluded, so 100% is an attainable mark.


<sup>14</sup> As of the morning of September 1, 2014. Some referrals to the waiting list are not appropriate; there may be considerable lag time in correcting them.

This report is available on the Department of Social Services web site at:  
[http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial\\_assistance/tanf.cgi](http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial_assistance/tanf.cgi)

The scores in the VIEW Rankings are determined by placing a locality on a scale of zero to ten in the same relative position as its place in the difference between the high and low of the measure in question. This converts all measures to a similar scale and provides a more equitable way to combine the ratings in each measure to determine a combined rank. (Questions, please call 804 726-7618.)

**Comments on the format or content of the report and requests for Excel files for portions of current and past reports may be sent by e-mail to: [william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov](mailto:william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov).**

## TANF and TANF-UP Cases Paid at Six Month Intervals, except last month June 1995 through August 2014




This graph illustrates the dramatic decline of the TANF caseload as VIEW was implemented. There was some sign of slowing in the rate of decline after June 1998. Nevertheless, wide community involvement, a strong economy, and the effect of the 24 month rule allowed the caseload to continue to fall until it was near the 30,000 level. There was little net change in the caseload from June 2000 to June 2002, but some upward movement was seen after June 2002, until 2005. The more recent trends are presumed to be a result of economic conditions. The caseload leveled off after recession spurred growth and now is declining.

Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

# Applications Received with Approval Percentage

Six Month Asymmetrical Moving Averages by Quarter, July 1995 through August 2014




This graph of application activity is presented as six month moving averages to produce smoother lines from which trends may be seen and in recognition that applications are not always acted on in the month received. There seems to have been a general decline in the number of applications received until the July 2001 data. The decline in applications received after December 2004 and the more recent changes appear to be reflected in the case load trends. While no reason has been determined for the general decline in percent approved, an apparent reason for the more recent decline is the availability of applications on line that offers ready access for applying to multiple assistance programs.

Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

## TANF Cases, VIEW Enrolled, and Employed VIEW Participants


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide  
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. August is the last month



This graph shows that the number enrolled in VIEW increased during early implementation and then generally tracked the number of TANF cases. The effects of recent economic conditions are apparent. A VIEW case may remain open for some months after the TANF case closes, if job follow-up is being done and the case has not been converted to the VIEW Transitional Payment program. It is unlikely that the number employed will ever equal the number enrolled because there are always new enrollees without employment, and there are some enrollees in work activities, other than employment, that meet VIEW requirements. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months. It includes TANF-UP

## Chart Displaying the Relationships Between TANF and VIEW Participation


August 31, 2014



This chart illustrates that there are a large number of adults who are exempt from VIEW and that many of the VIEW enrollees have had their TANF cases closed. They are now in a job follow-up phase of VIEW. They have not had their VIEW case closed and become enrolled in the VIEW Transitional Payment program. The source of this information is a monthly TANF extract from ADAPT and the monthly extract from ESPAS, the VIEW automated data system. The extracts were made at the beginning of September and represent end of August data. TANF-UP is included.

## VIEW Percent Employed and Average Wage at End of Month


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide  
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. August is the last month.



This graph shows that the average wage has seen a general increase as the percent employed has varied somewhat. Besides employment, VIEW participants may be in various other activities or initial assessment and job search, and economic conditions (one slight recession and one large one) apparently had expected effects. The wages shown are generally the initial wages. When the average wage was calculated at about the end of August it was \$8.59 rather than the \$8.49 initial wages used to calculate wages shown on this graph. See page 42 of the report for an explanation of why the VIEW average wage might decline after October 2006 and note 6 on page 44 for the effect of the increase in minimum wage. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.

## Percent of Jobs Found During a Month That Were Full Time and Percent Part Time

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide  
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. August is the last month



This graph illustrates an initial trend of VIEW participants obtaining a greater percent of full time jobs than part time. After the initial months, the trend seems to be fairly level with an increase in the percent full time after TANF reauthorization which probably reflects increased emphasis on meeting the required TANF work participation rate. The more recent changes are presumed to be a result of economic developments and increased use of other work activities to help meet the required work participation hours. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.