

# **VIRGINIA INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM**

## **MONTHLY REPORT**

**Including Graphs of Statewide Trends**

**October 2014**

Prepared by:

Virginia Department of Social Services November 24, 2014

# Monthly Report, October 2014

## Table of Contents

Work Participation Estimates (from the VDSS data warehouse) (A work participation estimate percent should not be compared to a percent in a work activity in the main body of the report which includes only clients in VIEW and does not consider the number of hours in a work activity during a month)	i-vi
TANF, TANF-UP Case Activity.....	1
Graphs of Case Activity.....	at end
VIEW Work Activity	
Statewide .....	4
Graphs of Statewide Activity.....	at end
EDD1.....	8
EDD2.....	9
EDD3.....	10
EDD4.....	11
EDD5 & EDD18.....	12
EDD6.....	13
EDD7.....	14
EDD8.....	15
EDD9.....	16
EDD10.....	17
EDD11.....	18
EDD14.....	19
EDD1.....	20
EDD3.....	21
EDD11.....	22
EDD15.....	23
EDD17.....	24
VIEW Year to Date Rankings.....	25-27
VIEW Cumulative Rankings.....	28-30
VIEW Timeliness Measures.....	31-39
Child Support Enforcement.....	40
Diversion.....	41
VIEW Definition Sheet and Endnotes.....	42-44

# Estimated Work Participation Rates for October

*These are estimated locality October 2014 work participation rates that include clients receiving either TANF or VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). The official participation rate will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated October statewide rate is 43% with a numerator of 5,911 and a denominator of 13,738.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	20	56	36%	061	Fauquier	17	43	40%
003	Albemarle	41	69	59%	063	Floyd	7	14	50%
005	Alleghany/Covington	21	36	58%	065	Fluvanna	7	23	30%
007	Amelia	8	15	53%	067	Franklin County	38	79	48%
009	Amherst	21	37	57%	069	Frederick	16	27	59%
011	Appomattox	20	45	44%	071	Giles	4	12	33%
013	Arlington	45	101	45%	073	Gloucester	23	47	49%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	78	171	46%	075	Goochland	4	12	33%
017	Bath	0	0	N/A	077	Grayson	5	15	33%
019	Bedford County/City	42	84	50%	079	Greene	5	26	19%
021	Bland	2	5	40%	081	Greensville/Emporia	42	79	53%
023	Botetourt	1	11	9%	083	Halifax	28	86	33%
025	Brunswick	22	57	39%	085	Hanover	22	61	36%
027	Buchanan	18	52	35%	087	Henrico	239	619	39%
029	Buckingham	13	30	43%	089	Henry/Martinsville	79	202	39%
031	Campbell	53	124	43%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	32	80	40%	093	Isle of Wight	11	39	28%
035	Carroll	25	43	58%	095	James City	20	73	27%
036	Charles City	1	7	14%	097	King and Queen	10	18	56%
037	Charlotte	11	32	34%	099	King George	14	24	58%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	168	367	46%	101	King William	5	20	25%
043	Clarke	1	3	33%	103	Lancaster	6	11	55%
045	Craig	3	9	33%	105	Lee	60	107	56%
047	Culpeper	29	61	48%	107	Loudoun	35	118	30%
049	Cumberland	15	35	43%	109	Louisa	25	51	49%
051	Dickensen	7	21	33%	111	Lunenburg	6	20	30%
053	Dinwiddie	16	50	32%	113	Madison	5	9	56%
057	Essex	20	36	56%	115	Mathews	5	6	83%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	210	500	42%	117	Mecklenburg	16	43	37%

# Estimated Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent
119	Middlesex	19	40	48%	187	Warren	42	67	63%
121	Montgomery	61	97	63%	191	Washington	22	62	35%
125	Nelson	3	14	21%	193	Westmoreland	1	30	3%
127	New Kent	3	26	12%	195	Wise	49	107	46%
131	Northampton	21	48	44%	197	Wythe	17	37	46%
133	Northumberland	8	14	57%	199	York/Poquoson	36	64	56%
135	Nottoway	29	64	45%	510	Alexandria	98	191	51%
137	Orange	18	56	32%	520	Bristol	56	109	51%
139	Page	10	16	63%	540	Charlottesville	76	143	53%
141	Patrick	11	38	29%	550	Chesapeake	224	477	47%
143	Pittsylvania	23	69	33%	590	Danville	94	188	50%
145	Powhatan	6	13	46%	620	Franklin City	17	32	53%
147	Prince Edward	37	79	47%	630	Fredericksburg	46	93	49%
149	Prince George	8	42	19%	640	Galax	11	17	65%
153	Prince William	207	474	44%	650	Hampton	282	617	46%
155	Pulaski	18	51	35%	670	Hopewell	63	159	40%
157	Rappahannock	0	5	0%	680	Lynchburg	94	243	39%
159	Richmond County	0	15	0%	683	Manassas	28	53	53%
161	Roanoke County	43	106	41%	685	Manassas Park	2	8	25%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	15	36	42%	700	Newport News	333	768	43%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	65	112	58%	710	Norfolk	448	929	48%
167	Russell	31	76	41%	720	Norton	8	25	32%
169	Scott	35	74	47%	730	Petersburg	116	273	42%
171	Shenandoah	6	24	25%	740	Portsmouth	230	553	42%
173	Smyth	33	90	37%	750	Radford	12	33	36%
175	Southampton	14	30	47%	760	Richmond City	448	1,205	37%
177	Spotsylvania	102	208	49%	770	Roanoke City	155	438	35%
179	Stafford	51	141	36%	800	Suffolk	60	164	37%
181	Surry	11	19	58%	810	Virginia Beach	200	376	53%
183	Sussex	1	43	2%	830	Williamsburg	10	14	71%
185	Tazewell	33	87	38%	840	Winchester	14	35	40%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF and VTP recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rate presented here is the all families rate, i. e. the overall rate, including VTP..

# Estimated TANF only Work Participation Rates for October

*These are estimated locality October 2014 work participation rates that include only clients receiving TANF. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated October statewide rate is 37% with a numerator of 4,473 and a denominator of 12,197. VTP only estimates are on pages v and vi.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	13	49	27%	061	Fauquier	14	40	35%
003	Albemarle	31	56	55%	063	Floyd	3	10	30%
005	Alleghany/Covington	16	31	52%	065	Fluvanna	4	19	21%
007	Amelia	6	12	50%	067	Franklin County	26	66	39%
009	Amherst	15	31	48%	069	Frederick	9	20	45%
011	Appomattox	12	36	33%	071	Giles	3	11	27%
013	Arlington	30	85	35%	073	Gloucester	22	44	50%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	42	135	31%	075	Goochland	4	12	33%
017	Bath	0	0	N/A	077	Grayson	4	12	33%
019	Bedford County/City	33	75	44%	079	Greene	5	26	19%
021	Bland	1	4	25%	081	Greensville/Emporia	30	66	45%
023	Botetourt	1	11	9%	083	Halifax	23	80	29%
025	Brunswick	18	53	34%	085	Hanover	7	46	15%
027	Buchanan	15	47	32%	087	Henrico	166	544	31%
029	Buckingham	9	26	35%	089	Henry/Martinsville	61	183	33%
031	Campbell	38	109	35%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	25	71	35%	093	Isle of Wight	4	32	13%
035	Carroll	21	39	54%	095	James City	15	67	22%
036	Charles City	1	7	14%	097	King and Queen	10	17	59%
037	Charlotte	8	29	28%	099	King George	11	20	55%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	142	341	42%	101	King William	5	20	25%
043	Clarke	1	3	33%	103	Lancaster	5	10	50%
045	Craig	2	8	25%	105	Lee	53	100	53%
047	Culpeper	20	51	39%	107	Loudoun	17	98	17%
049	Cumberland	13	33	39%	109	Louisa	15	41	37%
051	Dickensen	5	19	26%	111	Lunenburg	4	18	22%
053	Dinwiddie	11	45	24%	113	Madison	5	9	56%
057	Essex	14	29	48%	115	Mathews	4	5	80%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	125	410	30%	117	Mecklenburg	13	39	33%

# Estimated TANF Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	13	34	38%	187	Warren	33	58	57%
121	Montgomery	47	83	57%	191	Washington	20	60	33%
125	Nelson	3	14	21%	193	Westmoreland	0	29	0%
127	New Kent	0	23	0%	195	Wise	36	94	38%
131	Northampton	17	44	39%	197	Wythe	11	31	35%
133	Northumberland	7	13	54%	199	York/Poquoson	27	54	50%
135	Nottoway	20	54	37%	510	Alexandria	65	158	41%
137	Orange	15	52	29%	520	Bristol	44	97	45%
139	Page	5	11	45%	540	Charlottesville	54	119	45%
141	Patrick	10	37	27%	550	Chesapeake	175	425	41%
143	Pittsylvania	21	67	31%	590	Danville	79	171	46%
145	Powhatan	6	13	46%	620	Franklin City	11	25	44%
147	Prince Edward	27	69	39%	630	Fredericksburg	31	78	40%
149	Prince George	5	38	13%	640	Galax	7	13	54%
153	Prince William	120	385	31%	650	Hampton	229	554	41%
155	Pulaski	13	46	28%	670	Hopewell	51	147	35%
157	Rappahannock	0	5	0%	680	Lynchburg	76	225	34%
159	Richmond County	0	12	0%	683	Manassas	13	36	36%
161	Roanoke County	28	91	31%	685	Manassas Park	1	7	14%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	11	32	34%	700	Newport News	256	682	38%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	43	90	48%	710	Norfolk	391	872	45%
167	Russell	31	76	41%	720	Norton	7	24	29%
169	Scott	24	63	38%	730	Petersburg	96	251	38%
171	Shenandoah	5	23	22%	740	Portsmouth	189	509	37%
173	Smyth	30	87	34%	750	Radford	10	31	32%
175	Southampton	10	25	40%	760	Richmond City	370	1,116	33%
177	Spotsylvania	84	187	45%	770	Roanoke City	119	398	30%
179	Stafford	33	123	27%	800	Suffolk	39	140	28%
181	Surry	11	19	58%	810	Virginia Beach	143	316	45%
183	Sussex	0	41	0%	830	Williamsburg	8	12	67%
185	Tazewell	23	77	30%	840	Winchester	10	31	32%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF recipients who are considered to be "work-eligible individuals." The cases containing these client become the "denominator." To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are for the TANF all family rate not including VTP.

# *Estimated VTP only Work Participation Rates for October*

*These are estimated locality October 2014 work participation rates that include only clients receiving VTP. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated October statewide rate is 93% with a numerator of 1,438 and a denominator of 1,541. TANF only estimates are on pages iii and iv.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	<i>Accomack</i>	7	7	100%	061	<i>Fauquier</i>	3	3	100%
003	<i>Albemarle</i>	10	13	77%	063	<i>Floyd</i>	4	4	100%
005	<i>Alleghany/Covington</i>	5	5	100%	065	<i>Fluvanna</i>	3	4	75%
007	<i>Amelia</i>	2	3	67%	067	<i>Franklin County</i>	12	13	92%
009	<i>Amherst</i>	6	6	100%	069	<i>Frederick</i>	7	7	100%
011	<i>Appomattox</i>	8	9	89%	071	<i>Giles</i>	1	1	100%
013	<i>Arlington</i>	15	16	94%	073	<i>Gloucester</i>	1	3	33%
015	<i>Augusta/S'ton/W'boro</i>	36	36	100%	075	<i>Goochland</i>	0	0	N/A
017	<i>Bath</i>	0	0	N/A	077	<i>Grayson</i>	1	3	33%
019	<i>Bedford County/City</i>	9	9	100%	079	<i>Greene</i>	0	0	N/A
021	<i>Bland</i>	1	1	100%	081	<i>Greensville/Emporia</i>	12	13	92%
023	<i>Botetourt</i>	0	0	N/A	083	<i>Halifax</i>	5	6	83%
025	<i>Brunswick</i>	4	4	100%	085	<i>Hanover</i>	15	15	100%
027	<i>Buchanan</i>	3	5	60%	087	<i>Henrico</i>	73	75	97%
029	<i>Buckingham</i>	4	4	100%	089	<i>Henry/Martinsville</i>	18	19	95%
031	<i>Campbell</i>	15	15	100%	091	<i>Highland</i>	0	0	N/A
033	<i>Caroline</i>	7	9	78%	093	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	7	7	100%
035	<i>Carroll</i>	4	4	100%	095	<i>James City</i>	5	6	83%
036	<i>Charles City</i>	0	0	N/A	097	<i>King and Queen</i>	0	1	0%
037	<i>Charlotte</i>	3	3	100%	099	<i>King George</i>	3	4	75%
041	<i>Chesterfield/Col Hgts</i>	26	26	100%	101	<i>King William</i>	0	0	N/A
043	<i>Clarke</i>	0	0	N/A	103	<i>Lancaster</i>	1	1	100%
045	<i>Craig</i>	1	1	100%	105	<i>Lee</i>	7	7	100%
047	<i>Culpeper</i>	9	10	90%	107	<i>Loudoun</i>	18	20	90%
049	<i>Cumberland</i>	2	2	100%	109	<i>Louisa</i>	10	10	100%
051	<i>Dickensen</i>	2	2	100%	111	<i>Lunenburg</i>	2	2	100%
053	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	5	5	100%	113	<i>Madison</i>	0	0	N/A
057	<i>Essex</i>	6	7	86%	115	<i>Mathews</i>	1	1	100%
059	<i>Fairfax/Falls Church</i>	85	90	94%	117	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	3	4	75%

# Estimated VTP Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	6	6	100%	187	Warren	9	9	100%
121	Montgomery	14	14	100%	191	Washington	2	2	100%
125	Nelson	0	0	N/A	193	Westmoreland	1	1	100%
127	New Kent	3	3	100%	195	Wise	13	13	100%
131	Northampton	4	4	100%	197	Wythe	6	6	100%
133	Northumberland	1	1	100%	199	York/Poquoson	9	10	90%
135	Nottoway	9	10	90%	510	Alexandria	33	33	100%
137	Orange	3	4	75%	520	Bristol	12	12	100%
139	Page	5	5	100%	540	Charlottesville	22	24	92%
141	Patrick	1	1	100%	550	Chesapeake	49	52	94%
143	Pittsylvania	2	2	100%	590	Danville	15	17	88%
145	Powhatan	0	0	N/A	620	Franklin City	6	7	86%
147	Prince Edward	10	10	100%	630	Fredericksburg	15	15	100%
149	Prince George	3	4	75%	640	Galax	4	4	100%
153	Prince William	87	89	98%	650	Hampton	53	63	84%
155	Pulaski	5	5	100%	670	Hopewell	12	12	100%
157	Rappahannock	0	0	N/A	680	Lynchburg	18	18	100%
159	Richmond County	0	3	0%	683	Manassas	15	17	88%
161	Roanoke County	15	15	100%	685	Manassas Park	1	1	100%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	4	4	100%	700	Newport News	77	86	90%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	22	22	100%	710	Norfolk	57	57	100%
167	Russell	0	0	N/A	720	Norton	1	1	100%
169	Scott	11	11	100%	730	Petersburg	20	22	91%
171	Shenandoah	1	1	100%	740	Portsmouth	41	44	93%
173	Smyth	3	3	100%	750	Radford	2	2	100%
175	Southampton	4	5	80%	760	Richmond City	78	89	88%
177	Spotsylvania	18	21	86%	770	Roanoke City	36	40	90%
179	Stafford	18	18	100%	800	Suffolk	21	24	88%
181	Surry	0	0	N/A	810	Virginia Beach	57	60	95%
183	Sussex	1	2	50%	830	Williamsburg	2	2	100%
185	Tazewell	10	10	100%	840	Winchester	4	4	100%

All VTP recipients are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” Thus, all VTP cases are in the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, job follow-up entries are reviewed to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. The basic requirement for VTP recipients is employment for at least 30 hours per week. The requirement is contained in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are also sometimes referred to as the rates for the maintenance of effort program caseload. .

## TANF

TANF Case Activity	Total Statewide				
	Oct-14	Oct-13	Change from Oct-13	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received <sup>1</sup>	5,738	5,409	6%	4,807	19%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	4,253	4,072	4%	1,658	157%
Applications Approved	2,103	2,032	3%	2,820	-25%
Cases Closed *	2,041	2,256	-10%	4,476	-54%
Cases at End of Month	25,251	28,280	-11%	67,995	-63%
Cases Paid During Month <sup>2</sup>	25,998	29,138	-11%	70,403	-63%

•The database indicates that of the 2,041 closed cases, 817 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 491 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 176 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 130 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

## TANF-UP

TANF-UP Case Activity	Total Statewide				
	Oct-14	Oct-13	Change from Oct-13	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received <sup>1</sup>	475	593	-20%	338	41%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	421	444	-5%	232	81%
Applications Approved	220	218	1%	81	172%
Cases Closed *	188	199	-6%	107	76%
Cases at End of Month	1,441	1,470	-2%	321	349%
Cases Paid During Month <sup>2</sup>	1,482	1,559	-5%	394	276%

•The database indicates that of the 188 closed cases, 102 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 66 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 14 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those 11 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

## Statewide - Status through October 31, 2014

### TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/14 and Work Activity as of 10/31/14

Current Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	26,692	1,423	886	2,061	677	331	2,908	333	591	1,021	1,244	838	4,236	961	376	2,763	1,075	4,763	205
Total Enrolled in VIEW	10,254	486	326	625	233	132	1,189	121	282	434	363	345	1,692	448	147	1,141	440	1,771	79
Part. in a Work Activity	8,029	385	273	467	185	113	956	95	241	312	289	288	1,252	350	118	915	347	1,391	52
% of Enrolled	78%	79%	84%	75%	79%	86%	80%	79%	85%	72%	80%	83%	74%	78%	80%	80%	79%	79%	66%
Part. Assigned to Inactive	82	0	1	5	0	1	5	8	4	2	0	6	10	2	7	12	2	17	0
Participants Employed	5,755	236	190	383	169	91	623	74	164	231	185	178	917	266	86	653	247	1,021	41
% of Enrolled	56%	49%	58%	61%	73%	69%	52%	61%	58%	53%	51%	52%	54%	59%	59%	57%	56%	58%	52%
Full Time	4,477	172	150	314	147	77	472	63	119	159	156	118	672	214	66	500	208	845	25
Part Time	1,278	64	40	69	22	14	151	11	45	72	29	60	245	52	20	153	39	176	16
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.51	\$7.95	\$7.80	\$8.30	\$8.33	\$8.23	\$9.81	\$8.57	\$8.77	\$8.27	\$7.91	\$8.04	\$8.66	\$8.83	\$8.20	\$8.34	\$8.68	\$8.22	\$8.18
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,142	\$1,049	\$1,053	\$1,146	\$1,173	\$1,107	\$1,311	\$1,134	\$1,133	\$1,067	\$1,129	\$1,043	\$1,149	\$1,169	\$1,096	\$1,113	\$1,233	\$1,104	\$1,047

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – October 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	9,188	452	303	712	236	114	1,094	114	226	373	413	308	1,270	347	120	1,073	350	1,608	75
Total Enrolled in VIEW	15,574	727	542	1,036	375	215	1,797	187	381	665	594	507	2,428	655	221	1,761	650	2,714	119
Part. in a Work Activity	13,531	670	487	894	333	193	1,553	159	349	575	520	455	2,025	567	197	1,527	561	2,363	103
% of Total Enrolled	87%	92%	90%	86%	89%	90%	86%	85%	92%	86%	88%	90%	83%	87%	89%	87%	86%	87%	87%
Participants Employed	8,786	371	306	615	265	143	973	121	221	370	305	277	1,331	381	134	993	364	1,546	70
% of Total Enrolled	56%	51%	56%	59%	71%	67%	54%	65%	58%	56%	51%	55%	55%	58%	61%	56%	56%	57%	59%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.60	\$8.07	\$7.79	\$8.34	\$8.43	\$8.33	\$10.16	\$8.78	\$8.81	\$8.26	\$8.01	\$8.16	\$8.69	\$8.89	\$8.29	\$8.35	\$8.74	\$8.31	\$8.23
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,117	\$1,050	\$1,022	\$1,133	\$1,137	\$1,123	\$1,310	\$1,186	\$1,039	\$1,060	\$1,064	\$1,054	\$1,108	\$1,132	\$1,042	\$1,076	\$1,212	\$1,077	\$1,029
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	70%	67%	64%	64%	67%	66%	75%	70%	73%	61%	58%	72%	71%	75%	68%	71%	67%	72%	76%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	307,143	18,467	11,487	22,856	9,040	5,946	38,665	4,363	6,753	11,573	13,971	8,934	40,773	10,173	4,094	29,628	10,410	57,231	2,779
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>5</sup>	237,813	14,321	9,687	17,770	7,062	4,478	29,788	3,320	5,271	9,629	11,218	7,030	32,175	7,627	3,090	22,639	8,158	42,465	2,085
Part. in a Work Activity	227,272	13,819	9,307	16,916	6,886	4,238	28,663	3,200	5,152	9,087	10,867	6,747	30,827	7,196	2,908	21,512	7,763	40,171	2,013
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	96%	95%	98%	95%	96%	96%	98%	94%	97%	96%	96%	94%	94%	95%	95%	95%	97%
Participants Employed	159,789	8,884	6,217	11,979	4,918	2,917	20,729	2,222	3,889	6,572	6,833	4,290	21,782	5,008	1,954	15,599	5,530	29,293	1,173
% of Total Enrolled	67%	62%	64%	67%	70%	65%	70%	67%	74%	68%	61%	61%	68%	66%	63%	69%	68%	69%	56%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.35	\$6.38	\$6.50	\$7.25	\$7.34	\$7.51	\$8.88	\$7.65	\$7.57	\$6.81	\$6.55	\$6.99	\$7.54	\$8.12	\$7.17	\$7.21	\$7.20	\$7.02	\$6.91
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$963	\$838	\$874	\$969	\$974	\$1,000	\$1,172	\$1,004	\$955	\$873	\$868	\$896	\$998	\$1,032	\$909	\$935	\$971	\$913	\$863
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	66%	67%	61%	60%	67%	63%	71%	64%	63%	64%	63%	65%	69%	65%	58%	66%	65%	67%	72%

## EDDI - Southwest Region: Status through October 31, 2014

### TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/14 and Work Activity as of 10/31/14

Current Data	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,423	151	69	257	192	153	218	327	56
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	486	44	19	121	67	68	69	82	16
Participants in a Work Activity	385	38	15	108	57	45	54	55	13
% of Enrolled	79%	86%	79%	89%	85%	66%	78%	67%	81%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	236	20	13	58	21	35	38	42	9
% of Enrolled	49%	45%	68%	48%	31%	51%	55%	51%	56%
Full Time	172	9	10	41	17	20	35	33	7
Part Time	64	11	3	17	4	15	3	9	2
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.95	\$8.10	\$7.54	\$8.00	\$8.25	\$7.98	\$8.00	\$7.67	\$8.22
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,049	\$985	\$1,069	\$1,035	\$1,076	\$986	\$1,140	\$1,045	\$1,054

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – October 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	452	36	19	83	48	60	85	109	12
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	727	60	25	169	95	97	119	138	24
Participants in a Work Activity	670	60	23	163	84	87	112	119	22
% of Total Enrolled	92%	100%	92%	96%	88%	90%	94%	86%	92%
Participants Employed	371	32	17	86	31	49	70	70	16
% of Total Enrolled	51%	53%	68%	51%	33%	51%	59%	51%	67%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.07	\$8.19	\$7.52	\$8.21	\$8.97	\$7.91	\$8.00	\$7.78	\$7.93
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,050	\$1,041	\$1,034	\$1,062	\$1,232	\$952	\$1,059	\$1,045	\$934
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	67%	58%	69%	72%	74%	61%	66%	61%	90%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	18,467	1,781	1,247	2,618	2,553	1,830	3,517	4,323	598
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	14,321	1,358	975	2,038	2,064	1,369	2,682	3,360	475
Participants in a Work Activity	13,819	1,280	920	2,026	1,969	1,343	2,594	3,250	437
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	94%	99%	95%	98%	97%	97%	92%
Participants Employed	8,884	728	586	1,323	1,228	795	1,784	2,093	347
% of Total Enrolled	62%	54%	60%	65%	59%	58%	67%	62%	73%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.38	\$6.55	\$6.38	\$6.22	\$6.54	\$6.69	\$6.26	\$6.38	\$6.18
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$838	\$852	\$848	\$810	\$864	\$891	\$825	\$840	\$760
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	67%	64%	55%	72%	67%	76%	66%	66%	71%

## EDD2 – Bristol-Galax Region: Status through October 31, 2014

### TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/14 and Work Activity as of 10/31/14

Current Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	886	11	102	34	201	187	101	213	37
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	326	3	48	3	83	49	17	112	11
Participants in a Work Activity	273	3	42	3	71	36	13	95	10
% of Enrolled	84%	N/A	88%	100%	86%	73%	76%	85%	91%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Participants Employed	190	0	37	3	53	24	13	55	5
% of Enrolled	58%	N/A	77%	100%	64%	49%	76%	49%	45%
Full Time	150	0	35	3	37	15	11	46	3
Part Time	40	0	2	0	16	9	2	9	2
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.80	\$0.00	\$7.53	\$9.38	\$7.53	\$7.89	\$7.60	\$8.11	\$8.25
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,053	\$0	\$1,064	\$1,469	\$1,023	\$1,002	\$1,029	\$1,082	\$1,027

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – October 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	303	5	38	12	72	53	28	89	6
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	542	5	66	12	127	92	35	184	21
Participants in a Work Activity	487	5	59	12	117	76	34	167	17
% of Total Enrolled	90%	100%	89%	100%	92%	83%	97%	91%	81%
Participants Employed	306	1	43	7	71	43	27	103	11
% of Total Enrolled	56%	20%	65%	58%	56%	47%	77%	56%	52%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.79	\$9.00	\$7.56	\$8.08	\$7.62	\$7.90	\$7.81	\$7.90	\$7.98
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,022	\$1,161	\$1,038	\$1,097	\$1,027	\$972	\$1,100	\$1,012	\$930
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	64%	100%	80%	100%	58%	59%	70%	60%	67%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	11,487	288	1,511	711	2,032	2,034	1,666	2,480	765
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	9,687	213	1,255	579	1,726	1,695	1,325	2,222	672
Participants in a Work Activity	9,307	201	1,161	543	1,672	1,649	1,302	2,142	637
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	93%	94%	97%	97%	98%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	6,217	124	841	374	1,012	1,051	883	1,511	421
% of Total Enrolled	64%	58%	67%	65%	59%	62%	67%	68%	63%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.50	\$6.46	\$6.29	\$6.45	\$6.40	\$6.73	\$6.45	\$6.59	\$6.40
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$874	\$888	\$842	\$863	\$880	\$902	\$855	\$881	\$886
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	61%	55%	55%	61%	62%	58%	67%	62%	69%

## EDD3 – Roanoke Region: Status through October 31, 2014

### TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/14 and Work Activity as of 10/31/14

Current Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	2,061	81	34	15	49	189	45	237	153	225	66	967
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	625	31	5	5	11	55	5	77	28	74	29	305
Participants in a Work Activity	467	27	2	4	9	45	4	67	23	58	24	204
% of Enrolled	75%	87%	40%	80%	82%	82%	80%	87%	82%	78%	83%	67%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	1	0	0
Participants Employed	383	18	2	2	6	20	4	63	19	46	23	180
% of Enrolled	61%	58%	40%	40%	55%	36%	80%	82%	68%	62%	79%	59%
Full Time	314	15	1	2	6	15	4	58	17	36	17	143
Part Time	69	3	1	0	0	5	0	5	2	10	6	37
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.30	\$8.22	\$7.63	\$8.38	\$8.31	\$7.87	\$10.69	\$8.04	\$8.31	\$8.72	\$8.00	\$8.33
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,146	\$1,135	\$865	\$1,283	\$1,308	\$1,050	\$1,469	\$1,157	\$1,230	\$1,126	\$1,083	\$1,147

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – October 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	712	26	8	6	9	73	10	79	42	74	24	361
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,036	47	9	7	18	97	12	131	52	112	43	508
Participants in a Work Activity	894	45	6	4	17	81	11	115	43	94	39	439
% of Total Enrolled	86%	96%	67%	57%	94%	84%	92%	88%	83%	84%	91%	86%
Participants Employed	615	26	3	3	10	43	8	103	30	69	34	286
% of Total Enrolled	59%	55%	33%	43%	56%	44%	67%	79%	58%	62%	79%	56%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.34	\$8.34	\$7.50	\$8.17	\$7.99	\$8.10	\$9.28	\$8.12	\$8.13	\$8.73	\$8.18	\$8.40
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,133	\$1,132	\$913	\$1,194	\$1,065	\$1,098	\$1,311	\$1,145	\$1,098	\$1,175	\$1,107	\$1,131
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	64%	63%	33%	67%	54%	73%	50%	70%	60%	59%	54%	65%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	22,856	1,244	390	110	591	2,035	580	3,210	1,969	2,545	909	9,273
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	17,770	960	249	63	440	1,584	424	2,641	1,569	2,053	713	7,074
Participants in a Work Activity	16,916	929	217	61	423	1,430	415	2,598	1,504	1,923	680	6,736
% of Total Enrolled	95%	97%	87%	97%	96%	90%	98%	98%	96%	94%	95%	95%
Participants Employed	11,979	669	112	30	285	980	245	2,063	991	1,516	499	4,589
% of Total Enrolled	67%	70%	45%	48%	65%	62%	58%	78%	63%	74%	70%	65%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.25	\$7.01	\$7.54	\$7.34	\$7.16	\$7.18	\$7.18	\$7.10	\$6.91	\$7.75	\$7.13	\$7.32
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$969	\$855	\$960	\$1,042	\$956	\$964	\$963	\$956	\$955	\$1,040	\$921	\$982
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	60%	58%	54%	62%	54%	58%	52%	63%	53%	58%	62%	62%

## EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: Status through October 31, 2014

### TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/14 and Work Activity as of 10/31/14

Current Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	677	375	3	4	62	233
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	233	105	0	0	25	103
Participants in a Work Activity	185	73	N/A	N/A	20	92
% of Enrolled	79%	70%	N/A	N/A	80%	89%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	N/A	N/A	0	0
Participants Employed	169	62	N/A	N/A	20	87
% of Enrolled	73%	59%	N/A	N/A	80%	84%
Full Time	147	47	N/A	N/A	19	81
Part Time	22	15	N/A	N/A	1	6
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.33	\$8.17	N/A	N/A	\$8.36	\$8.44
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,173	\$1,103	N/A	N/A	\$1,177	\$1,222

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – October 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	236	127	0	0	19	90
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	375	192	0	0	37	146
Participants in a Work Activity	333	168	N/A	N/A	31	134
% of Total Enrolled	89%	88%	N/A	N/A	84%	92%
Participants Employed	265	116	N/A	N/A	27	122
% of Total Enrolled	71%	60%	N/A	N/A	73%	84%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.43	\$8.24	N/A	N/A	\$8.96	\$8.50
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,137	\$1,093	N/A	N/A	\$1,134	\$1,179
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	67%	61%	N/A	N/A	63%	72%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	9,040	4,818	80	23	786	3,333
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	7,062	3,831	52	8	590	2,581
Participants in a Work Activity	6,886	3,760	52	8	545	2,521
% of Total Enrolled	98%	98%	100%	100%	92%	98%
Participants Employed	4,918	2,559	32	6	417	1,904
% of Total Enrolled	70%	67%	62%	75%	71%	74%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.34	\$7.32	\$6.56	\$7.79	\$6.90	\$7.47
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$974	\$973	\$717	\$784	\$878	\$999
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	67%	66%	61%	83%	68%	68%

# VIEW Work Activity

## EDDS 5 & 18 – Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: Status through October 31, 2014

### TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/14 and Work Activity as of 10/31/14

Current Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	331	15	64	38	35	106	73	205	132	73
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	132	2	21	16	17	46	30	79	34	45
Participants in a Work Activity	113	1	16	12	17	43	24	52	27	25
% of Enrolled	86%	1	76%	75%	100%	93%	80%	66%	79%	56%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Participants Employed	91	1	13	10	15	34	18	41	18	23
% of Enrolled	69%	1	62%	63%	88%	74%	60%	52%	53%	51%
Full Time	77	1	10	10	13	34	9	25	13	12
Part Time	14	0	3	0	2	0	9	16	5	11
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.23	\$7.25	\$8.33	\$8.15	\$8.90	\$7.81	\$8.49	\$8.18	\$8.26	\$8.11
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,107	\$942	\$1,111	\$1,159	\$1,215	\$1,077	\$1,050	\$1,047	\$1,177	\$946

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – October 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	114	4	13	19	15	34	29	75	58	17
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	215	3	34	34	28	73	43	119	60	59
Participants in a Work Activity	193	3	32	31	25	68	34	103	54	49
% of Total Enrolled	90%	100%	94%	91%	89%	93%	79%	87%	90%	83%
Participants Employed	143	2	22	22	20	54	23	70	36	34
% of Total Enrolled	67%	67%	65%	65%	71%	74%	53%	59%	60%	58%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.33	\$11.13	\$8.51	\$8.38	\$9.01	\$7.82	\$8.44	\$8.23	\$8.20	\$8.26
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,123	\$1,758	\$1,109	\$1,180	\$1,229	\$1,066	\$1,070	\$1,029	\$1,030	\$1,029
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	66%	25%	63%	56%	79%	79%	58%	76%	69%	83%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	5,946	229	1,305	891	725	1,429	1,367	2,779	1,787	992
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	4,478	138	983	727	452	1,094	1,084	2,085	1,311	774
Participants in a Work Activity	4,238	128	922	711	438	1,016	1,023	2,013	1,275	738
% of Total Enrolled	95%	93%	94%	98%	97%	93%	94%	97%	97%	95%
Participants Employed	2,917	80	574	505	268	744	746	1,173	667	506
% of Total Enrolled	65%	58%	58%	69%	59%	68%	69%	56%	51%	65%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.51	\$7.66	\$7.91	\$7.42	\$6.98	\$7.53	\$7.45	\$6.91	\$6.97	\$6.85
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,000	\$1,022	\$1,031	\$1,003	\$957	\$1,015	\$977	\$863	\$868	\$857
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	63%	58%	61%	60%	69%	70%	58%	72%	65%	80%

## EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: Status through October 31, 2014

### TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/14 and Work Activity as of 10/31/14

Current Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	2,908	193	1,066	209	896	398	118	28
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,189	75	410	144	339	187	30	4
Participants in a Work Activity	956	56	358	105	260	153	21	3
% of Enrolled	80%	75%	87%	73%	77%	82%	70%	75%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	1	0	2	1	1	0	0
Participants Employed	623	37	210	70	195	94	15	2
% of Enrolled	52%	49%	51%	49%	58%	50%	50%	50%
Full Time	472	20	154	49	156	80	11	2
Part Time	151	17	56	21	39	14	4	0
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$9.81	\$9.44	\$9.76	\$10.82	\$9.57	\$9.75	\$10.55	\$9.67
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,311	\$1,103	\$1,286	\$1,426	\$1,316	\$1,315	\$1,513	\$1,437

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – October 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	1,094	68	378	116	286	197	39	10
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,797	117	666	179	511	262	53	9
Participants in a Work Activity	1,553	99	596	153	433	220	46	6
% of Total Enrolled	86%	85%	89%	85%	85%	84%	87%	67%
Participants Employed	973	56	355	93	311	126	28	4
% of Total Enrolled	54%	48%	53%	52%	61%	48%	53%	44%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$10.16	\$9.71	\$10.24	\$11.06	\$9.81	\$10.38	\$10.17	\$9.52
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,310	\$1,075	\$1,309	\$1,363	\$1,296	\$1,373	\$1,479	\$1,165
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	75%	55%	73%	73%	79%	87%	68%	86%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	38,665	3,138	13,805	2,838	12,276	4,456	1,660	492
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	29,788	2,476	10,434	2,252	9,512	3,481	1,272	361
Participants in a Work Activity	28,663	2,453	10,038	2,138	9,129	3,308	1,239	358
% of Total Enrolled	96%	99%	96%	95%	96%	95%	97%	99%
Participants Employed	20,729	1,763	6,979	1,704	6,824	2,402	814	243
% of Total Enrolled	70%	71%	67%	76%	72%	69%	64%	67%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.88	\$8.43	\$8.97	\$9.49	\$8.92	\$8.58	\$8.42	\$8.31
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,172	\$1,077	\$1,178	\$1,223	\$1,203	\$1,100	\$1,127	\$1,104
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	71%	69%	69%	72%	70%	78%	70%	77%

## EDD7 - Culpeper Region: Status through October 31, 2014

### TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/14 and Work Activity as of 10/31/14

Current Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	333	127	71	27	96	12
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	121	51	28	7	31	4
Participants in a Work Activity	95	39	23	7	24	2
% of Enrolled	79%	76%	82%	100%	77%	50%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	8	3	3	0	1	1
Participants Employed	74	35	19	3	16	1
% of Enrolled	61%	69%	68%	43%	52%	25%
Full Time	63	32	14	3	14	0
Part Time	11	3	5	0	2	1
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.57	\$8.37	\$8.56	\$9.08	\$8.92	\$9.00
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,134	\$1,135	\$1,072	\$1,267	\$1,190	\$974

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – October 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	114	44	30	11	26	3
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	187	79	45	16	43	4
Participants in a Work Activity	159	67	38	14	37	3
% of Total Enrolled	85%	85%	84%	88%	86%	75%
Participants Employed	121	55	32	6	27	1
% of Total Enrolled	65%	70%	71%	38%	63%	25%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.78	\$8.66	\$8.77	\$8.50	\$9.11	\$9.00
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,186	\$1,183	\$1,180	\$1,052	\$1,236	\$968
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	70%	70%	58%	40%	88%	100%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	4,363	1,703	1,263	400	880	117
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	3,320	1,257	975	301	710	77
Participants in a Work Activity	3,200	1,212	958	293	664	73
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	98%	97%	94%	95%
Participants Employed	2,222	817	679	177	504	45
% of Total Enrolled	67%	65%	70%	59%	71%	58%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.65	\$7.66	\$7.99	\$7.25	\$7.33	\$7.48
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,004	\$990	\$1,044	\$1,005	\$973	\$973
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	64%	66%	68%	59%	61%	50%

## EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: Status through October 31, 2014

### TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/14 and Work Activity as of 10/31/14

Current Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	591	130	55	45	94	42	225
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	282	67	16	17	38	11	133
Participants in a Work Activity	241	63	13	13	27	10	115
% of Enrolled	85%	94%	81%	76%	71%	91%	86%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
Participants Employed	164	39	9	13	17	8	78
% of Enrolled	58%	58%	56%	76%	45%	73%	59%
Full Time	119	32	3	5	16	5	58
Part Time	45	7	6	8	1	3	20
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.77	\$8.91	\$7.62	\$8.65	\$8.50	\$9.72	\$8.82
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,133	\$1,221	\$786	\$1,020	\$1,236	\$1,273	\$1,111

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – October 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	226	58	13	20	34	13	88
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	381	87	24	19	54	17	180
Participants in a Work Activity	349	84	22	17	45	15	166
% of Total Enrolled	92%	97%	92%	89%	83%	88%	92%
Participants Employed	221	50	11	15	26	8	111
% of Total Enrolled	58%	57%	46%	79%	48%	47%	62%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.81	\$9.16	\$8.08	\$8.54	\$8.38	\$10.08	\$8.75
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,039	\$1,117	\$937	\$968	\$955	\$1,098	\$1,038
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	73%	87%	100%	67%	75%	88%	65%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	6,753	1,636	320	522	1,063	359	2,853
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	5,271	1,203	236	372	804	259	2,397
Participants in a Work Activity	5,152	1,176	230	353	777	257	2,359
% of Total Enrolled	98%	98%	97%	95%	97%	99%	98%
Participants Employed	3,889	850	149	277	505	155	1,953
% of Total Enrolled	74%	71%	63%	74%	63%	60%	81%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.57	\$7.99	\$8.02	\$7.45	\$7.78	\$7.94	\$7.39
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$955	\$1,024	\$1,066	\$919	\$991	\$1,015	\$927
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	63%	58%	62%	56%	63%	64%	66%

## EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: Status through October 31, 2014

### TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/14 and Work Activity as of 10/31/14

Current Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,021	89	74	173	220	465
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	434	26	35	76	124	173
Participants in a Work Activity	312	23	33	62	76	118
% of Enrolled	72%	88%	94%	82%	61%	68%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	0	1	1	0
Participants Employed	231	20	16	40	53	102
% of Enrolled	53%	77%	46%	53%	43%	59%
Full Time	159	17	12	31	36	63
Part Time	72	3	4	9	17	39
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.27	\$8.68	\$8.15	\$8.47	\$8.48	\$8.01
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,067	\$1,240	\$1,083	\$1,116	\$1,113	\$988

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – October 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	373	22	28	57	88	178
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	665	38	59	110	170	288
Participants in a Work Activity	575	35	56	97	141	246
% of Total Enrolled	86%	92%	95%	88%	83%	85%
Participants Employed	370	27	32	63	81	167
% of Total Enrolled	56%	71%	54%	57%	48%	58%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.26	\$8.66	\$8.19	\$8.58	\$8.40	\$8.01
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,060	\$1,323	\$1,129	\$1,138	\$1,081	\$958
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	61%	84%	45%	67%	63%	59%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	11,573	1,068	906	2,340	2,699	4,560
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	9,629	855	798	1,882	2,322	3,772
Participants in a Work Activity	9,087	812	786	1,746	2,183	3,560
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	98%	93%	94%	94%
Participants Employed	6,572	564	559	1,222	1,591	2,636
% of Total Enrolled	68%	66%	70%	65%	69%	70%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.81	\$7.02	\$6.52	\$6.89	\$7.00	\$6.70
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$873	\$899	\$892	\$877	\$912	\$842
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	64%	60%	55%	60%	63%	68%

## EDD10 - Danville Region: Status through October 31, 2014

### TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/14 and Work Activity as of 10/31/14

<b>Current Data</b>	<b>Total EDD10</b>	<b>Halifax</b>	<b>Henry/ Martinsville</b>	<b>Patrick</b>	<b>Pittsylvania</b>	<b>Danville</b>
<b>TANF Cases End of Month<sup>10</sup></b>	1,244	186	405	82	190	381
<b>Total Enrolled in VIEW<sup>3</sup></b>	363	55	130	40	27	111
<b>Participants in a Work Activity</b>	289	27	111	32	22	97
<b>% of Enrolled</b>	80%	49%	85%	80%	81%	87%
<b>Participants Assigned to Inactive</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Participants Employed</b>	185	23	72	19	14	57
<b>% of Enrolled</b>	51%	42%	55%	48%	52%	51%
Full Time	156	19	60	13	12	52
Part Time	29	4	12	6	2	5
<b>Average Wage<sup>6</sup></b>	\$7.91	\$8.15	\$8.13	\$7.30	\$7.68	\$7.80
<b>Average Monthly Earnings<sup>7</sup></b>	\$1,129	\$1,171	\$1,182	\$958	\$1,029	\$1,127

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – October 31, 2014, Year to Date

<b>State Fiscal Year to Date</b>	<b>Total EDD10</b>	<b>Halifax</b>	<b>Henry/ Martinsville</b>	<b>Patrick</b>	<b>Pittsylvania</b>	<b>Danville</b>
<b>Individuals Referred</b>	413	54	127	41	51	140
<b>Total Enrolled in VIEW<sup>3</sup></b>	594	75	196	68	65	190
<b>Participants in a Work Activity</b>	520	62	170	58	62	168
<b>% of Total Enrolled</b>	88%	83%	87%	85%	95%	88%
<b>Participants Employed</b>	305	33	115	35	32	90
<b>% of Total Enrolled</b>	51%	44%	59%	51%	49%	47%
<b>Average Wage<sup>6</sup></b>	\$8.01	\$8.29	\$8.21	\$7.56	\$7.98	\$7.83
<b>Average Monthly Earnings<sup>7</sup></b>	\$1,064	\$1,015	\$1,126	\$968	\$1,004	\$1,062
<b>Three Months Employment<sup>8</sup></b>	58%	59%	56%	58%	50%	61%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/96

<b>Cumulative Data</b>	<b>Total EDD10</b>	<b>Halifax</b>	<b>Henry/ Martinsville</b>	<b>Patrick</b>	<b>Pittsylvania</b>	<b>Danville</b>
<b>Individuals Referred</b>	13,971	2,144	3,779	1,366	2,312	4,370
<b>Total Enrolled in VIEW<sup>3,5</sup></b>	11,218	1,769	2,928	1,178	1,762	3,581
<b>Participants in a Work Activity</b>	10,867	1,707	2,812	1,110	1,738	3,500
<b>% of Total Enrolled</b>	97%	96%	96%	94%	99%	98%
<b>Participants Employed</b>	6,833	980	1,876	792	941	2,244
<b>% of Total Enrolled</b>	61%	55%	64%	67%	53%	63%
<b>Average Wage<sup>6</sup></b>	\$6.55	\$6.52	\$6.97	\$6.45	\$6.55	\$6.28
<b>Average Monthly Earnings<sup>7</sup></b>	\$868	\$857	\$944	\$835	\$878	\$818
<b>Three Months Employment<sup>9</sup></b>	63%	58%	64%	74%	59%	61%

## EDD11 - Southside Region: Status through October 31, 2014

### TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/14 and Work Activity as of 10/31/14

Current Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	838	40	92	62	55	57	123	43	142	107	117
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	345	18	41	16	28	28	50	21	31	51	61
Participants in a Work Activity	288	15	35	15	23	26	43	14	29	34	54
% of Enrolled	83%	83%	85%	94%	82%	93%	86%	67%	94%	67%	89%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	6	2	0	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	0
Participants Employed	178	10	16	9	13	11	30	8	20	27	34
% of Enrolled	52%	56%	39%	56%	46%	39%	60%	38%	65%	53%	56%
Full Time	118	8	7	8	11	9	16	5	15	14	25
Part Time	60	2	9	1	2	2	14	3	5	13	9
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.04	\$8.40	\$7.76	\$7.50	\$8.04	\$8.31	\$8.20	\$8.12	\$7.88	\$8.34	\$7.80
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,043	\$1,110	\$907	\$952	\$1,119	\$1,045	\$1,076	\$1,060	\$1,060	\$1,088	\$1,001

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – October 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	308	13	45	22	25	15	57	10	29	46	46
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	507	23	61	32	49	36	74	25	48	74	85
Participants in a Work Activity	455	20	56	30	45	32	65	18	44	67	78
% of Total Enrolled	90%	87%	92%	94%	92%	89%	88%	72%	92%	91%	92%
Participants Employed	277	15	30	16	26	16	41	10	27	44	52
% of Total Enrolled	55%	65%	49%	50%	53%	44%	55%	40%	56%	59%	61%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.16	\$8.97	\$8.07	\$8.06	\$8.08	\$8.22	\$8.15	\$8.28	\$7.86	\$8.41	\$8.01
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,054	\$1,231	\$980	\$1,055	\$1,065	\$1,028	\$1,034	\$997	\$986	\$1,126	\$1,049
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	72%	73%	79%	61%	84%	56%	76%	83%	72%	76%	65%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	8,934	567	1,081	843	783	603	1,056	422	1,287	1,099	1,193
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	7,030	421	868	653	597	452	838	338	1,018	858	987
Participants in a Work Activity	6,747	399	865	620	558	429	807	333	979	795	962
% of Total Enrolled	96%	95%	100%	95%	93%	95%	96%	99%	96%	93%	97%
Participants Employed	4,290	259	496	372	309	260	504	166	663	570	691
% of Total Enrolled	61%	62%	57%	57%	52%	58%	60%	49%	65%	66%	70%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.99	\$7.61	\$6.43	\$7.18	\$7.42	\$7.52	\$6.99	\$6.73	\$6.74	\$7.04	\$6.93
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$896	\$974	\$838	\$960	\$935	\$968	\$847	\$832	\$853	\$922	\$903
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	65%	61%	67%	60%	60%	57%	65%	61%	66%	70%	68%

## EDD12 - Richmond Region: Status through October 31, 2014

### TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/14 and Work Activity as of 10/31/14

Current Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	4,236	782	28	105	1,115	41	2,165
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,692	293	12	60	562	15	750
Participants in a Work Activity	1,252	220	11	39	396	11	575
% of Enrolled	74%	75%	92%	65%	70%	73%	77%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	10	2	0	0	1	1	6
Participants Employed	917	166	6	35	302	9	399
% of Enrolled	54%	57%	50%	58%	54%	60%	53%
Full Time	672	135	2	27	199	8	301
Part Time	245	31	4	8	103	1	98
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.66	\$9.36	\$9.60	\$8.50	\$8.74	\$8.40	\$8.31
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,149	\$1,315	\$1,110	\$1,145	\$1,130	\$1,237	\$1,093

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – October 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	1,270	251	11	36	352	11	609
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,428	426	15	80	830	20	1,057
Participants in a Work Activity	2,025	381	13	67	662	19	883
% of Total Enrolled	83%	89%	87%	84%	80%	95%	84%
Participants Employed	1,331	246	6	52	463	12	552
% of Total Enrolled	55%	58%	40%	65%	56%	60%	52%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.69	\$9.25	\$9.60	\$8.63	\$8.84	\$8.50	\$8.32
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,108	\$1,232	\$1,102	\$1,090	\$1,088	\$1,177	\$1,072
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	71%	76%	100%	83%	71%	60%	67%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	40,773	8,603	315	1,466	10,342	408	19,639
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	32,175	6,561	243	1,044	8,515	304	15,508
Participants in a Work Activity	30,827	6,339	231	968	8,014	286	14,989
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	95%	93%	94%	94%	97%
Participants Employed	21,782	4,142	160	679	5,769	171	10,861
% of Total Enrolled	68%	63%	66%	65%	68%	56%	70%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.54	\$7.85	\$7.43	\$8.35	\$7.85	\$7.86	\$7.25
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$998	\$1,047	\$946	\$1,058	\$1,025	\$991	\$967
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	69%	69%	66%	67%	66%	62%	71%

## EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: Status through October 31, 2014

### TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/14 and Work Activity as of 10/31/14

Current Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	961	141	46	344	274	156
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	448	69	25	154	108	92
Participants in a Work Activity	350	56	17	135	72	70
% of Enrolled	78%	81%	68%	88%	67%	76%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	0	0	0	2
Participants Employed	266	44	13	96	58	55
% of Enrolled	59%	64%	52%	62%	54%	60%
Full Time	214	35	10	80	47	42
Part Time	52	9	3	16	11	13
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.83	\$9.01	\$8.98	\$8.62	\$9.22	\$8.61
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,169	\$1,179	\$1,361	\$1,140	\$1,218	\$1,116

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – October 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	347	33	26	129	87	72
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	655	94	32	242	149	138
Participants in a Work Activity	567	76	29	221	121	120
% of Total Enrolled	87%	81%	91%	91%	81%	87%
Participants Employed	381	57	18	148	81	77
% of Total Enrolled	58%	61%	56%	61%	54%	56%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.89	\$8.84	\$9.23	\$8.66	\$9.48	\$8.65
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,132	\$1,077	\$1,230	\$1,111	\$1,254	\$1,057
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	75%	77%	80%	72%	85%	65%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	10,173	1,509	610	3,135	2,939	1,980
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	7,627	1,087	433	2,449	1,969	1,689
Participants in a Work Activity	7,196	1,033	410	2,274	1,876	1,603
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	95%	93%	95%	95%
Participants Employed	5,008	666	252	1,676	1,171	1,243
% of Total Enrolled	66%	61%	58%	68%	59%	74%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.12	\$7.77	\$7.64	\$8.10	\$8.78	\$7.91
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,032	\$978	\$940	\$1,024	\$1,147	\$998
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	65%	59%	58%	67%	68%	65%

## EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: Status through October 31, 2014

### TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/14 and Work Activity as of 10/31/14

Current Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	376	69	38	32	35	17	56	34	27	68
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	147	29	14	12	7	7	29	12	19	18
Participants in a Work Activity	118	23	13	9	6	5	25	10	11	16
% of Enrolled	80%	79%	93%	75%	86%	71%	86%	83%	58%	89%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	7	1	1	3	0	0	0	2	0	0
Participants Employed	86	18	9	5	4	5	18	5	10	12
% of Enrolled	59%	62%	64%	42%	57%	71%	62%	42%	53%	67%
Full Time	66	14	5	4	4	4	15	2	9	9
Part Time	20	4	4	1	0	1	3	3	1	3
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.20	\$7.78	\$8.73	\$9.31	\$8.86	\$8.20	\$7.95	\$7.94	\$8.81	\$7.76
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,096	\$1,032	\$1,037	\$1,438	\$1,364	\$1,128	\$1,113	\$841	\$1,212	\$978

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – October 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	120	23	15	8	6	4	19	14	11	20
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	221	42	26	22	13	13	40	15	21	29
Participants in a Work Activity	197	37	22	18	12	13	40	12	15	28
% of Total Enrolled	89%	88%	85%	82%	92%	100%	100%	80%	71%	97%
Participants Employed	134	25	16	9	7	10	29	5	11	22
% of Total Enrolled	61%	60%	62%	41%	54%	77%	73%	33%	52%	76%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.29	\$8.85	\$8.62	\$9.12	\$8.52	\$8.25	\$7.75	\$7.94	\$8.61	\$7.81
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,042	\$1,041	\$1,011	\$1,363	\$1,157	\$874	\$1,058	\$910	\$1,213	\$894
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	68%	72%	63%	63%	25%	67%	83%	83%	67%	67%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	4,094	625	311	369	440	225	505	364	376	879
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	3,090	505	213	304	347	161	383	297	230	650
Participants in a Work Activity	2,908	483	201	289	329	154	361	287	214	590
% of Total Enrolled	94%	96%	94%	95%	95%	96%	94%	97%	93%	91%
Participants Employed	1,954	337	114	181	233	107	264	165	138	415
% of Total Enrolled	63%	67%	54%	60%	67%	66%	69%	56%	60%	64%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.17	\$7.19	\$7.24	\$7.63	\$6.95	\$7.15	\$7.19	\$6.89	\$7.17	\$7.17
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$909	\$884	\$886	\$1,003	\$870	\$909	\$916	\$862	\$942	\$925
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	58%	50%	47%	58%	50%	71%	73%	50%	43%	65%

## EDD15 - Peninsula Region: Status through October 31, 2014

### TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/14 and Work Activity as of 10/31/14

Current Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	NewKent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	2,763	19	119	144	46	110	961	1,347	17
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,141	2	47	61	27	66	421	503	14
Participants in a Work Activity	915	1	39	40	12	48	365	398	12
% of Enrolled	80%	50%	83%	66%	44%	73%	87%	79%	86%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	12	0	1	5	0	0	4	2	0
Participants Employed	653	1	28	28	12	29	248	298	9
% of Enrolled	57%	50%	60%	46%	44%	44%	59%	59%	64%
Full Time	500	0	24	22	11	16	182	240	5
Part Time	153	1	4	6	1	13	66	58	4
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.34	\$10.00	\$7.52	\$8.48	\$10.18	\$8.69	\$8.16	\$8.41	\$9.10
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,113	\$1,083	\$863	\$1,032	\$1,546	\$1,023	\$1,085	\$1,159	\$1,112

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – October 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	1,073	6	45	52	14	42	347	555	12
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,761	3	62	83	31	96	631	835	20
Participants in a Work Activity	1,527	3	58	62	22	83	569	712	18
% of Total Enrolled	87%	100%	94%	75%	71%	86%	90%	85%	90%
Participants Employed	993	1	40	40	14	47	369	468	14
% of Total Enrolled	56%	33%	65%	48%	45%	49%	58%	56%	70%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.35	\$10.00	\$7.53	\$8.42	\$9.96	\$8.87	\$8.21	\$8.42	\$8.84
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,076	\$1,075	\$860	\$1,014	\$1,311	\$1,009	\$1,048	\$1,127	\$944
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	71%	100%	65%	58%	47%	84%	75%	69%	62%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	29,628	175	1,209	1,329	407	1,271	10,241	14,547	449
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	22,639	127	874	1,058	296	989	7,693	11,260	342
Participants in a Work Activity	21,512	121	810	976	282	942	7,488	10,576	317
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	93%	92%	95%	95%	97%	94%	93%
Participants Employed	15,599	74	566	723	167	608	5,368	7,857	236
% of Total Enrolled	69%	58%	65%	68%	56%	61%	70%	70%	69%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.21	\$7.21	\$6.96	\$7.66	\$7.74	\$7.51	\$7.21	\$7.16	\$7.41
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$935	\$961	\$853	\$929	\$1,043	\$901	\$930	\$946	\$914
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	66%	52%	57%	58%	71%	60%	64%	69%	65%

## EDD16 - Petersburg Region: Status through October 31, 2014

### TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/14 and Work Activity as of 10/31/14

Current Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,075	124	86	33	82	274	476
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	440	21	30	20	40	133	196
Participants in a Work Activity	347	19	25	15	20	110	158
% of Enrolled	79%	90%	83%	75%	50%	83%	81%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	0	1	0	1	0
Participants Employed	247	14	17	12	16	67	121
% of Enrolled	56%	67%	57%	60%	40%	50%	62%
Full Time	208	11	14	10	13	47	113
Part Time	39	3	3	2	3	20	8
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.68	\$9.65	\$9.05	\$9.98	\$8.15	\$8.75	\$8.42
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,233	\$1,420	\$1,267	\$1,406	\$1,177	\$1,160	\$1,236

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – October 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	350	33	39	9	36	91	142
Total Enrolled in VIEW	650	30	56	25	56	196	287
Participants in a Work Activity	561	29	49	21	46	164	252
% of Total Enrolled	86%	97%	88%	84%	82%	84%	88%
Participants Employed	364	20	27	16	23	105	173
% of Total Enrolled	56%	67%	48%	64%	41%	54%	60%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.74	\$9.52	\$9.09	\$9.53	\$8.30	\$8.86	\$8.49
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,212	\$1,390	\$1,241	\$1,290	\$1,143	\$1,186	\$1,204
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	67%	62%	85%	67%	46%	63%	72%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	10,410	1,342	956	417	819	2,607	4,269
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	8,158	878	725	346	657	2,125	3,427
Participants in a Work Activity	7,763	875	629	328	628	2,016	3,287
% of Total Enrolled	95%	100%	87%	95%	96%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	5,530	577	428	243	427	1,447	2,408
% of Total Enrolled	68%	66%	59%	70%	65%	68%	70%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.20	\$7.09	\$7.69	\$7.46	\$7.12	\$7.12	\$7.20
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$971	\$992	\$1,018	\$902	\$969	\$950	\$979
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	65%	58%	59%	56%	62%	63%	70%

## EDD17 - Tidewater Region: Status through October 31, 2014

### TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/14 and Work Activity as of 10/31/14

Current Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South -ampton	Chesa-peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	4,763	92	63	816	66	1,646	983	334	763
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,771	14	20	306	25	677	371	91	267
Participants in a Work Activity	1,391	11	15	258	23	515	294	66	209
% of Enrolled	79%	79%	75%	84%	92%	76%	79%	73%	78%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	17	0	1	1	0	0	14	0	1
Participants Employed	1,021	7	11	195	16	388	187	52	165
% of Enrolled	58%	50%	55%	64%	64%	57%	50%	57%	62%
Full Time	845	5	10	159	14	347	145	41	124
Part Time	176	2	1	36	2	41	42	11	41
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.22	\$7.50	\$8.03	\$8.23	\$7.54	\$8.17	\$8.14	\$8.20	\$8.53
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,104	\$848	\$1,113	\$1,125	\$1,123	\$1,089	\$1,099	\$1,106	\$1,129

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – October 31, 2014, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South -ampton	Chesa-peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	1,608	24	24	300	37	467	326	134	296
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,714	30	41	521	53	941	534	166	428
Participants in a Work Activity	2,363	28	33	442	52	845	459	148	356
% of Total Enrolled	87%	93%	80%	85%	98%	90%	86%	89%	83%
Participants Employed	1,546	16	21	323	28	547	281	89	241
% of Total Enrolled	57%	53%	51%	62%	53%	58%	53%	54%	56%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.31	\$8.22	\$8.52	\$8.39	\$8.23	\$8.24	\$8.19	\$8.31	\$8.47
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,077	\$1,101	\$1,143	\$1,106	\$979	\$1,071	\$1,050	\$1,123	\$1,070
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	72%	78%	79%	76%	43%	76%	68%	58%	71%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South -ampton	Chesa-peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	57,231	1,300	1,072	9,302	916	18,340	9,234	3,619	13,448
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	42,465	941	862	6,912	744	13,512	7,359	2,842	9,293
Participants in a Work Activity	40,171	907	825	6,596	733	12,758	6,930	2,807	8,615
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	96%	95%	99%	94%	94%	99%	93%
Participants Employed	29,293	633	502	4,944	480	9,430	5,186	2,070	6,048
% of Total Enrolled	69%	67%	58%	72%	65%	70%	70%	73%	65%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.02	\$6.99	\$6.77	\$7.21	\$6.76	\$6.92	\$6.89	\$6.86	\$7.34
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$913	\$919	\$846	\$947	\$844	\$880	\$914	\$891	\$965
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	67%	59%	54%	64%	63%	68%	69%	68%	69%

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date

*This section lists the relative state fiscal year to date rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Wage, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	60.0%	6.29	39	\$8.20	1.93	80	68.8%	5.83	57	4.68	67
003	Albemarle	57.5%	5.90	52	\$9.16	4.57	19	86.7%	8.22	11	6.23	14
005	Alleghany/Covington	55.3%	5.56	65	\$8.34	2.31	64	62.5%	5.00	79	4.29	84
007	Amelia	65.2%	7.11	21	\$8.97	4.05	26	73.3%	6.44	42	5.87	22
009	Amherst	71.1%	8.03	13	\$8.66	3.20	38	84.2%	7.89	15	6.37	9
011	Appomattox	54.2%	5.39	67	\$8.19	1.90	81	44.7%	2.63	113	3.31	110
013	Arlington	47.9%	4.38	96	\$9.71	6.09	10	54.8%	3.98	106	4.82	59
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	60.4%	6.36	37	\$8.24	2.04	72	60.7%	4.76	88	4.39	78
017	Bath	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
019	Bedford County/City	57.3%	5.86	53	\$8.58	2.98	45	66.7%	5.56	61	4.80	61
021	Bland	20.0%	0.00	118	\$9.00	4.13	24	100.0%	10.00	1	4.71	66
023	Botetourt	33.3%	2.10	113	\$7.50	0.00	118	33.3%	1.11	116	1.07	118
025	Brunswick	49.2%	4.59	90	\$8.07	1.57	93	78.6%	7.14	29	4.43	76
027	Buchanan	53.3%	5.24	72	\$8.19	1.90	82	58.1%	4.41	99	3.85	97
029	Buckingham	50.0%	4.72	88	\$8.06	1.54	94	61.1%	4.81	86	3.69	101
031	Campbell	47.6%	4.35	97	\$8.40	2.48	59	62.9%	5.05	78	3.96	95
033	Caroline	60.6%	6.39	36	\$8.84	3.69	32	77.1%	6.94	32	5.68	27
035	Carroll	65.2%	7.10	22	\$7.56	0.17	114	80.0%	7.33	24	4.87	57
036	Charles City	33.3%	2.10	114	\$10.00	6.89	7	100.0%	10.00	2	6.33	11
037	Charlotte	53.1%	5.20	75	\$8.08	1.60	90	84.2%	7.89	16	4.90	55
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	57.7%	5.94	49	\$9.25	4.82	17	76.0%	6.79	35	5.85	23
043	Clarke	66.7%	7.34	17	\$11.13	10.00	1	25.0%	0.00	117	5.78	25
045	Craig	42.9%	3.60	107	\$8.17	1.85	85	66.7%	5.56	62	3.67	102
047	Culpeper	69.6%	7.81	15	\$8.66	3.20	39	69.8%	5.97	53	5.66	28
049	Cumberland	44.4%	3.85	103	\$8.22	1.98	75	56.3%	4.17	104	3.33	109
051	Dickensen	68.0%	7.55	16	\$7.52	0.06	117	68.8%	5.83	58	4.48	75
053	Dinwiddie	66.7%	7.34	18	\$9.52	5.56	13	61.9%	4.92	82	5.94	18
057	Essex	59.5%	6.22	41	\$8.85	3.72	31	72.2%	6.30	47	5.41	35
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	53.3%	5.24	74	\$10.24	7.55	4	73.4%	6.45	41	6.41	8
061	Fauquier	71.1%	8.04	12	\$8.77	3.50	35	58.3%	4.44	96	5.33	38
063	Floyd	55.6%	5.59	63	\$7.99	1.35	98	53.9%	3.85	108	3.60	103
065	Fluvanna	45.8%	4.06	101	\$8.08	1.60	91	100.0%	10.00	3	5.22	42
067	Franklin County	44.3%	3.83	105	\$8.10	1.65	89	73.1%	6.41	43	3.96	94
069	Frederick	64.7%	7.03	24	\$8.51	2.78	49	63.3%	5.11	76	4.98	50
071	Giles	66.7%	7.34	19	\$9.28	4.90	16	50.0%	3.33	109	5.19	43
073	Gloucester	64.5%	7.00	26	\$7.53	0.08	116	64.7%	5.29	74	4.13	88
075	Goochland	40.0%	3.15	110	\$9.60	5.79	11	100.0%	10.00	4	6.31	12
077	Grayson	58.3%	6.03	46	\$8.08	1.60	92	100.0%	10.00	5	5.88	21

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	78.9%	9.27	3	\$8.54	2.87	46	66.7%	5.56	63	5.90	20
081	Greensville/Emporia	55.4%	5.57	64	\$8.15	1.79	86	76.2%	6.83	34	4.73	63
083	Halifax	44.0%	3.78	106	\$8.29	2.18	68	59.5%	4.59	92	3.52	105
085	Hanover	65.0%	7.08	23	\$8.63	3.11	42	83.3%	7.78	18	5.99	17
087	Henrico	55.8%	5.63	62	\$8.84	3.69	33	71.3%	6.18	51	5.17	44
089	Henry/Martinsville	58.7%	6.08	44	\$8.21	1.96	77	56.4%	4.18	103	4.07	91
091	Highland	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
093	Isle of Wight	53.3%	5.24	73	\$8.22	1.98	76	77.8%	7.04	31	4.76	62
095	James City	48.2%	4.44	93	\$8.42	2.53	56	58.1%	4.42	98	3.80	98
097	King and Queen	61.5%	6.54	32	\$8.62	3.09	43	62.5%	5.00	80	4.87	56
099	King George	56.3%	5.70	56	\$9.23	4.77	18	80.0%	7.33	25	5.93	19
101	King William	40.9%	3.29	109	\$9.12	4.46	20	62.5%	5.00	81	4.25	86
103	Lancaster	53.8%	5.32	68	\$8.52	2.81	47	25.0%	0.00	118	2.71	116
105	Lee	50.9%	4.86	85	\$8.21	1.96	78	72.4%	6.32	45	4.38	79
107	Loudoun	52.0%	5.03	82	\$11.06	9.81	2	72.7%	6.36	44	7.07	1
109	Louisa	48.1%	4.43	94	\$8.38	2.42	62	75.0%	6.67	39	4.51	73
111	Lunenburg	40.0%	3.15	111	\$8.28	2.15	69	83.3%	7.78	19	4.36	80
113	Madison	37.5%	2.75	112	\$8.50	2.75	50	40.0%	2.00	115	2.50	117
115	Mathews	76.9%	8.96	6	\$8.25	2.07	71	66.7%	5.56	64	5.53	33
117	Mecklenburg	56.3%	5.70	57	\$7.86	0.99	106	72.0%	6.27	50	4.32	81
119	Middlesex	72.5%	8.26	10	\$7.75	0.69	112	82.6%	7.68	22	5.54	31
121	Montgomery	78.6%	9.22	4	\$8.12	1.71	88	69.7%	5.96	54	5.63	29
125	Nelson	47.1%	4.26	99	\$10.08	7.11	6	87.5%	8.33	8	6.57	5
127	New Kent	45.2%	3.96	102	\$9.96	6.78	8	46.7%	2.89	111	4.54	71
131	Northampton	57.6%	5.92	51	\$8.26	2.09	70	82.9%	7.71	21	5.24	41
133	Northumberland	33.3%	2.10	115	\$7.94	1.21	101	83.3%	7.78	20	3.70	100
135	Nottoway	59.5%	6.21	42	\$8.41	2.51	58	75.6%	6.75	37	5.15	45
137	Orange	62.8%	6.73	28	\$9.11	4.44	21	87.5%	8.33	9	6.50	6
139	Page	64.7%	7.03	25	\$8.38	2.42	63	56.0%	4.13	105	4.53	72
141	Patrick	51.5%	4.95	83	\$7.56	0.17	115	58.3%	4.44	97	3.19	113
143	Pittsylvania	49.2%	4.60	89	\$7.98	1.32	99	50.0%	3.33	110	3.08	114
145	Powhatan	60.0%	6.29	40	\$8.50	2.75	51	60.0%	4.67	89	4.57	69
147	Prince Edward	61.2%	6.48	33	\$8.01	1.40	95	65.3%	5.37	72	4.42	77
149	Prince George	48.2%	4.44	92	\$9.09	4.38	22	85.0%	8.00	13	5.61	30
153	Prince William	60.9%	6.43	35	\$9.81	6.36	9	79.4%	7.25	26	6.68	3
155	Pulaski	57.7%	5.93	50	\$8.13	1.74	87	60.0%	4.67	90	4.11	89
157	Rappahannock	25.0%	0.79	117	\$9.00	4.13	25	100.0%	10.00	6	4.97	51
159	Richmond County	52.4%	5.09	79	\$8.61	3.06	44	66.7%	5.56	65	4.57	70
161	Roanoke County	61.6%	6.55	31	\$8.73	3.39	37	58.8%	4.51	95	4.81	60
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	73.0%	8.33	9	\$8.96	4.02	28	82.6%	7.68	23	6.68	4
165	Rockingham/H'burg	83.6%	10.00	1	\$8.50	2.75	52	72.2%	6.30	48	6.35	10
167	Russell	32.6%	1.99	116	\$8.97	4.05	27	74.3%	6.57	40	4.20	87
169	Scott	50.5%	4.80	87	\$7.91	1.13	103	60.8%	4.77	87	3.57	104
171	Shenandoah	71.4%	8.09	11	\$9.01	4.16	23	78.6%	7.14	30	6.46	7

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	55.9%	5.65	<b>60</b>	\$7.62	0.33	<b>113</b>	57.9%	4.39	<b>100</b>	3.45	<b>107</b>
175	<i>Southampton</i>	51.2%	4.91	<b>84</b>	\$8.52	2.81	<b>48</b>	79.0%	7.19	<b>28</b>	4.97	<b>52</b>
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	61.2%	6.48	<b>34</b>	\$8.66	3.20	<b>40</b>	72.4%	6.31	<b>46</b>	5.33	<b>37</b>
179	<i>Stafford</i>	54.4%	5.41	<b>66</b>	\$9.48	5.45	<b>15</b>	84.7%	7.96	<b>14</b>	6.27	<b>13</b>
181	<i>Surry</i>	64.0%	6.92	<b>27</b>	\$9.53	5.59	<b>12</b>	66.7%	5.56	<b>66</b>	6.02	<b>16</b>
183	<i>Sussex</i>	41.1%	3.32	<b>108</b>	\$8.30	2.20	<b>67</b>	46.2%	2.82	<b>112</b>	2.78	<b>115</b>
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	58.8%	6.11	<b>43</b>	\$8.00	1.38	<b>97</b>	65.9%	5.45	<b>70</b>	4.31	<b>82</b>
187	<i>Warren</i>	74.0%	8.49	<b>8</b>	\$7.82	0.88	<b>108</b>	79.1%	7.21	<b>27</b>	5.53	<b>32</b>
191	<i>Washington</i>	46.7%	4.21	<b>100</b>	\$7.90	1.10	<b>104</b>	59.0%	4.53	<b>94</b>	3.28	<b>111</b>
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	75.9%	8.79	<b>7</b>	\$7.81	0.85	<b>109</b>	66.7%	5.56	<b>67</b>	5.07	<b>48</b>
195	<i>Wise</i>	50.7%	4.83	<b>86</b>	\$7.78	0.77	<b>111</b>	61.3%	4.84	<b>84</b>	3.48	<b>106</b>
197	<i>Wythe</i>	77.1%	8.99	<b>5</b>	\$7.81	0.85	<b>110</b>	69.7%	5.96	<b>55</b>	5.27	<b>40</b>
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	49.0%	4.56	<b>91</b>	\$8.87	3.77	<b>29</b>	83.8%	7.84	<b>17</b>	5.39	<b>36</b>
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	48.1%	4.42	<b>95</b>	\$10.38	7.93	<b>3</b>	87.2%	8.29	<b>10</b>	6.88	<b>2</b>
520	<i>Bristol</i>	56.0%	5.66	<b>59</b>	\$7.90	1.10	<b>105</b>	59.6%	4.61	<b>91</b>	3.79	<b>99</b>
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	61.7%	6.56	<b>30</b>	\$8.75	3.44	<b>36</b>	64.9%	5.31	<b>73</b>	5.10	<b>47</b>
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	62.0%	6.61	<b>29</b>	\$8.39	2.45	<b>61</b>	76.3%	6.84	<b>33</b>	5.30	<b>39</b>
590	<i>Danville</i>	47.4%	4.31	<b>98</b>	\$7.83	0.91	<b>107</b>	61.3%	4.83	<b>85</b>	3.35	<b>108</b>
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	52.8%	5.17	<b>76</b>	\$8.23	2.01	<b>74</b>	43.3%	2.44	<b>114</b>	3.21	<b>112</b>
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	55.8%	5.63	<b>61</b>	\$8.65	3.17	<b>41</b>	65.4%	5.38	<b>71</b>	4.73	<b>64</b>
640	<i>Galax</i>	52.4%	5.09	<b>80</b>	\$7.98	1.32	<b>100</b>	66.7%	5.56	<b>68</b>	3.99	<b>92</b>
650	<i>Hampton</i>	58.5%	6.05	<b>45</b>	\$8.21	1.96	<b>79</b>	75.3%	6.71	<b>38</b>	4.91	<b>54</b>
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	53.6%	5.28	<b>70</b>	\$8.86	3.75	<b>30</b>	63.3%	5.11	<b>77</b>	4.71	<b>65</b>
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	58.0%	5.98	<b>48</b>	\$8.01	1.40	<b>96</b>	59.3%	4.58	<b>93</b>	3.99	<b>93</b>
683	<i>Manassas</i>	52.8%	5.17	<b>77</b>	\$10.17	7.36	<b>5</b>	67.6%	5.68	<b>60</b>	6.07	<b>15</b>
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	44.4%	3.85	<b>104</b>	\$9.52	5.56	<b>14</b>	85.7%	8.09	<b>12</b>	5.84	<b>24</b>
700	<i>Newport News</i>	56.0%	5.67	<b>58</b>	\$8.42	2.53	<b>57</b>	68.8%	5.84	<b>56</b>	4.68	<b>68</b>
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	58.1%	6.00	<b>47</b>	\$8.24	2.04	<b>73</b>	75.9%	6.79	<b>36</b>	4.94	<b>53</b>
720	<i>Norton</i>	66.7%	7.34	<b>20</b>	\$7.93	1.18	<b>102</b>	90.0%	8.67	<b>7</b>	5.73	<b>26</b>
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	60.3%	6.34	<b>38</b>	\$8.49	2.73	<b>53</b>	72.1%	6.29	<b>49</b>	5.12	<b>46</b>
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	52.6%	5.13	<b>78</b>	\$8.19	1.90	<b>83</b>	68.4%	5.78	<b>59</b>	4.27	<b>85</b>
750	<i>Radford</i>	79.1%	9.29	<b>2</b>	\$8.18	1.87	<b>84</b>	54.2%	3.89	<b>107</b>	5.02	<b>49</b>
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	52.2%	5.07	<b>81</b>	\$8.32	2.26	<b>65</b>	66.7%	5.56	<b>69</b>	4.29	<b>83</b>
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	56.3%	5.71	<b>55</b>	\$8.40	2.48	<b>60</b>	64.7%	5.29	<b>75</b>	4.49	<b>74</b>
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	53.6%	5.29	<b>69</b>	\$8.31	2.23	<b>66</b>	57.7%	4.35	<b>102</b>	3.96	<b>96</b>
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	56.3%	5.71	<b>54</b>	\$8.47	2.67	<b>54</b>	70.7%	6.09	<b>52</b>	4.83	<b>58</b>
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	70.0%	7.87	<b>14</b>	\$8.84	3.69	<b>34</b>	61.5%	4.87	<b>83</b>	5.48	<b>34</b>
840	<i>Winchester</i>	53.5%	5.27	<b>71</b>	\$8.44	2.59	<b>55</b>	57.9%	4.39	<b>101</b>	4.08	<b>90</b>

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores is done to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception of VIEW

*This section lists the relative cumulative rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Monthly Earnings, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	50.9%	1.62	117	\$868	2.99	94	65.1%	5.51	49	3.37	110
003	Albemarle	70.7%	7.04	16	\$1,024	6.07	18	57.9%	3.73	96	5.61	31
005	Alleghany/Covington	69.7%	6.77	28	\$855	2.73	101	58.4%	3.85	91	4.45	79
007	Amelia	61.5%	4.53	83	\$974	5.08	37	61.2%	4.55	74	4.72	68
009	Amherst	66.0%	5.75	54	\$899	3.61	79	59.7%	4.19	79	4.52	75
011	Appomattox	70.1%	6.87	20	\$892	3.46	80	54.6%	2.93	106	4.42	82
013	Arlington	71.2%	7.19	13	\$1,077	7.11	8	68.9%	6.45	23	6.92	6
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	66.8%	5.98	48	\$973	5.06	38	66.4%	5.82	40	5.62	30
017	Bath	61.5%	4.54	82	\$717	0.00	120	61.3%	4.57	73	3.04	114
019	Bedford County/City	64.9%	5.47	63	\$877	3.16	92	59.5%	4.13	81	4.25	87
021	Bland	58.2%	3.63	101	\$888	3.38	83	55.4%	3.11	105	3.37	109
023	Botetourt	45.0%	0.00	120	\$960	4.80	49	53.9%	2.74	110	2.51	119
025	Brunswick	57.1%	3.33	107	\$838	2.40	112	66.6%	5.88	38	3.87	99
027	Buchanan	53.6%	2.36	113	\$852	2.67	104	64.5%	5.35	53	3.46	107
029	Buckingham	57.0%	3.28	108	\$960	4.81	48	59.5%	4.13	82	4.08	91
031	Campbell	68.5%	6.45	35	\$912	3.85	73	62.9%	4.98	61	5.09	54
033	Caroline	61.3%	4.46	85	\$978	5.17	35	59.0%	4.00	85	4.55	74
035	Carroll	67.0%	6.04	46	\$842	2.47	109	54.5%	2.90	107	3.80	101
036	Charles City	58.3%	3.64	99	\$961	4.82	47	51.7%	2.20	114	3.55	105
037	Charlotte	51.8%	1.86	116	\$935	4.30	61	59.6%	4.14	80	3.43	108
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	63.1%	4.97	75	\$1,047	6.53	11	68.9%	6.45	24	5.98	23
043	Clarke	58.0%	3.56	104	\$1,022	6.03	20	57.8%	3.70	100	4.43	81
045	Craig	47.6%	0.72	119	\$1,042	6.42	14	62.1%	4.76	67	3.97	94
047	Culpeper	65.0%	5.48	61	\$990	5.40	32	65.8%	5.68	45	5.52	36
049	Cumberland	57.5%	3.44	106	\$968	4.97	42	56.9%	3.49	102	3.96	95
051	Dickenson	60.1%	4.14	87	\$848	2.60	105	54.5%	2.90	108	3.21	111
053	Dinwiddie	65.7%	5.68	56	\$992	5.45	29	57.9%	3.73	97	4.95	60
057	Essex	66.7%	5.96	49	\$884	3.31	86	49.7%	1.70	118	3.66	103
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	66.9%	6.00	47	\$1,178	9.11	3	69.5%	6.59	19	7.23	5
061	Fauquier	69.6%	6.76	29	\$1,044	6.47	12	67.8%	6.17	30	6.47	11
063	Floyd	64.8%	5.42	66	\$956	4.72	51	53.8%	2.71	111	4.29	85
065	Fluvanna	63.1%	4.97	74	\$1,066	6.90	9	62.4%	4.84	65	5.57	34
067	Franklin County	61.9%	4.63	81	\$964	4.88	45	58.3%	3.84	93	4.45	80
069	Frederick	58.4%	3.68	98	\$1,031	6.20	16	60.9%	4.48	76	4.78	65
071	Giles	57.8%	3.51	105	\$963	4.86	46	52.1%	2.30	113	3.56	104
073	Gloucester	64.8%	5.42	67	\$853	2.69	102	57.2%	3.56	101	3.89	98
075	Goochland	65.8%	5.72	55	\$946	4.54	56	65.7%	5.66	46	5.31	44
077	Grayson	64.6%	5.37	68	\$863	2.89	96	61.0%	4.50	75	4.25	88

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	74.5%	8.08	5	\$919	3.99	68	55.8%	3.22	103	5.10	53
081	Greensville/Emporia	60.1%	4.15	86	\$847	2.57	106	64.6%	5.38	52	4.03	92
083	Halifax	55.4%	2.85	112	\$857	2.77	98	58.4%	3.85	92	3.16	112
085	Hanover	65.0%	5.50	60	\$1,058	6.74	10	66.8%	5.93	35	6.06	19
087	Henrico	67.8%	6.24	41	\$1,025	6.10	17	66.0%	5.74	43	6.02	21
089	Henry/Martinsville	64.1%	5.23	70	\$944	4.49	58	63.6%	5.14	57	4.95	59
091	Highland	75.0%	8.23	4	\$784	1.32	118	83.3%	10.00	1	6.51	10
093	Isle of Wight	67.3%	6.11	43	\$919	3.99	69	59.2%	4.05	84	4.71	69
095	James City	68.3%	6.40	37	\$929	4.18	63	57.8%	3.70	99	4.76	66
097	King and Queen	53.5%	2.34	114	\$886	3.34	85	46.7%	0.99	119	2.22	120
099	King George	58.2%	3.62	102	\$940	4.41	60	58.1%	3.77	95	3.94	97
101	King William	59.5%	3.99	90	\$1,003	5.66	26	58.5%	3.88	89	4.51	76
103	Lancaster	67.1%	6.07	45	\$870	3.02	93	50.5%	1.91	115	3.67	102
105	Lee	64.9%	5.46	64	\$810	1.83	117	71.9%	7.19	9	4.83	64
107	Loudoun	75.7%	8.41	3	\$1,223	10.00	1	72.0%	7.21	8	8.54	1
109	Louisa	62.8%	4.89	76	\$991	5.42	31	63.5%	5.10	59	5.14	52
111	Lunenburg	49.1%	1.13	118	\$832	2.28	114	60.9%	4.47	77	2.63	117
113	Madison	58.8%	3.79	95	\$1,005	5.69	24	58.7%	3.93	87	4.47	77
115	Mathews	66.5%	5.89	52	\$909	3.79	74	70.7%	6.89	13	5.52	37
117	Mecklenburg	65.1%	5.52	58	\$853	2.69	103	66.0%	5.73	44	4.65	70
119	Middlesex	68.9%	6.56	33	\$916	3.93	70	73.3%	7.52	7	6.00	22
121	Montgomery	78.1%	9.08	2	\$956	4.72	52	63.3%	5.05	60	6.28	16
125	Nelson	59.8%	4.07	89	\$1,015	5.89	23	64.1%	5.26	54	5.07	56
127	New Kent	56.4%	3.13	109	\$1,043	6.44	13	71.2%	7.00	12	5.52	35
131	Northampton	65.4%	5.59	57	\$857	2.76	99	79.8%	9.14	2	5.83	25
133	Northumberland	55.6%	2.90	111	\$862	2.87	97	50.3%	1.87	116	2.55	118
135	Nottoway	66.4%	5.88	53	\$922	4.06	66	69.6%	6.62	18	5.52	38
137	Orange	71.0%	7.13	14	\$973	5.06	39	61.3%	4.57	72	5.59	32
139	Page	69.5%	6.71	30	\$1,003	5.67	25	59.5%	4.13	83	5.50	39
141	Patrick	67.2%	6.10	44	\$835	2.34	113	73.8%	7.64	6	5.36	43
143	Pittsylvania	53.4%	2.31	115	\$878	3.18	91	58.9%	3.97	86	3.15	113
145	Powhatan	56.3%	3.09	110	\$991	5.43	30	62.4%	4.85	63	4.45	78
147	Prince Edward	70.0%	6.86	22	\$903	3.69	75	67.9%	6.19	29	5.58	33
149	Prince George	59.0%	3.85	94	\$1,018	5.96	21	58.6%	3.91	88	4.57	72
153	Prince William	71.7%	7.33	11	\$1,203	9.62	2	70.4%	6.81	14	7.92	2
155	Pulaski	63.2%	4.98	73	\$955	4.72	53	53.4%	2.62	112	4.11	90
157	Rappahannock	58.4%	3.69	97	\$973	5.05	40	50.0%	1.79	117	3.51	106
159	Richmond County	60.0%	4.12	88	\$942	4.46	59	42.7%	0.00	120	2.86	116
161	Roanoke County	73.8%	7.91	6	\$1,040	6.38	15	58.1%	3.79	94	6.03	20
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	70.7%	7.04	15	\$878	3.18	90	67.7%	6.14	33	5.45	40
165	Rockingham/H'burg	73.8%	7.89	7	\$999	5.57	27	67.7%	6.14	32	6.53	9
167	Russell	59.5%	3.98	91	\$864	2.91	95	66.7%	5.90	37	4.26	86
169	Scott	58.1%	3.59	103	\$891	3.43	82	76.2%	8.25	5	5.09	55
171	Shenandoah	59.3%	3.92	93	\$957	4.74	50	69.1%	6.49	21	5.05	58

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	58.6%	3.74	<b>96</b>	\$880	3.23	<b>88</b>	62.4%	4.85	<b>64</b>	3.94	<b>96</b>
175	<i>Southampton</i>	58.2%	3.63	<b>100</b>	\$846	2.55	<b>107</b>	53.9%	2.75	<b>109</b>	2.98	<b>115</b>
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	68.4%	6.43	<b>36</b>	\$1,024	6.06	<b>19</b>	66.6%	5.87	<b>39</b>	6.12	<b>18</b>
179	<i>Stafford</i>	59.5%	3.97	<b>92</b>	\$1,147	8.50	<b>4</b>	68.4%	6.32	<b>27</b>	6.27	<b>17</b>
181	<i>Surry</i>	70.2%	6.92	<b>19</b>	\$902	3.66	<b>76</b>	55.6%	3.17	<b>104</b>	4.58	<b>71</b>
183	<i>Sussex</i>	65.0%	5.48	<b>62</b>	\$969	4.99	<b>41</b>	61.8%	4.68	<b>69</b>	5.05	<b>57</b>
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	66.5%	5.90	<b>51</b>	\$825	2.13	<b>115</b>	65.6%	5.63	<b>47</b>	4.55	<b>73</b>
187	<i>Warren</i>	68.0%	6.31	<b>39</b>	\$1,015	5.90	<b>22</b>	69.7%	6.65	<b>17</b>	6.29	<b>15</b>
191	<i>Washington</i>	62.0%	4.67	<b>80</b>	\$902	3.65	<b>77</b>	57.8%	3.71	<b>98</b>	4.01	<b>93</b>
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	63.8%	5.17	<b>72</b>	\$925	4.11	<b>65</b>	64.7%	5.41	<b>51</b>	4.89	<b>61</b>
195	<i>Wise</i>	62.3%	4.74	<b>79</b>	\$840	2.43	<b>111</b>	66.3%	5.80	<b>41</b>	4.32	<b>84</b>
197	<i>Wythe</i>	66.6%	5.94	<b>50</b>	\$855	2.73	<b>100</b>	66.8%	5.92	<b>36</b>	4.86	<b>62</b>
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	61.5%	4.52	<b>84</b>	\$901	3.64	<b>78</b>	60.3%	4.33	<b>78</b>	4.16	<b>89</b>
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	69.0%	6.58	<b>32</b>	\$1,100	7.57	<b>7</b>	77.7%	8.61	<b>3</b>	7.59	<b>3</b>
520	<i>Bristol</i>	68.0%	6.31	<b>40</b>	\$881	3.24	<b>87</b>	62.0%	4.74	<b>68</b>	4.76	<b>67</b>
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	81.5%	10.00	<b>1</b>	\$927	4.15	<b>64</b>	66.1%	5.75	<b>42</b>	6.63	<b>8</b>
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	71.5%	7.27	<b>12</b>	\$947	4.55	<b>55</b>	63.9%	5.22	<b>55</b>	5.68	<b>28</b>
590	<i>Danville</i>	62.7%	4.85	<b>77</b>	\$818	2.00	<b>116</b>	61.4%	4.61	<b>71</b>	3.82	<b>100</b>
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	64.5%	5.35	<b>69</b>	\$844	2.51	<b>108</b>	63.5%	5.11	<b>58</b>	4.32	<b>83</b>
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	73.6%	7.84	<b>8</b>	\$998	5.56	<b>28</b>	65.1%	5.51	<b>48</b>	6.30	<b>12</b>
640	<i>Galax</i>	62.6%	4.84	<b>78</b>	\$886	3.34	<b>84</b>	68.7%	6.41	<b>26</b>	4.86	<b>63</b>
650	<i>Hampton</i>	69.8%	6.79	<b>27</b>	\$930	4.21	<b>62</b>	63.8%	5.18	<b>56</b>	5.39	<b>41</b>
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	68.1%	6.33	<b>38</b>	\$950	4.61	<b>54</b>	62.6%	4.90	<b>62</b>	5.28	<b>46</b>
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	69.9%	6.82	<b>24</b>	\$842	2.47	<b>110</b>	68.0%	6.21	<b>28</b>	5.17	<b>50</b>
683	<i>Manassas</i>	64.0%	5.21	<b>71</b>	\$1,127	8.11	<b>5</b>	69.8%	6.65	<b>16</b>	6.66	<b>7</b>
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	67.3%	6.12	<b>42</b>	\$1,104	7.65	<b>6</b>	77.1%	8.46	<b>4</b>	7.41	<b>4</b>
700	<i>Newport News</i>	69.8%	6.79	<b>26</b>	\$946	4.52	<b>57</b>	68.9%	6.44	<b>25</b>	5.92	<b>24</b>
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	69.8%	6.80	<b>25</b>	\$880	3.23	<b>89</b>	67.6%	6.13	<b>34</b>	5.38	<b>42</b>
720	<i>Norton</i>	73.1%	7.69	<b>9</b>	\$760	0.85	<b>119</b>	71.4%	7.07	<b>10</b>	5.20	<b>47</b>
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	70.3%	6.93	<b>18</b>	\$979	5.19	<b>34</b>	70.1%	6.75	<b>15</b>	6.29	<b>14</b>
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	70.5%	6.98	<b>17</b>	\$914	3.89	<b>72</b>	69.3%	6.53	<b>20</b>	5.80	<b>26</b>
750	<i>Radford</i>	70.0%	6.85	<b>23</b>	\$921	4.04	<b>67</b>	61.5%	4.63	<b>70</b>	5.17	<b>49</b>
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	70.0%	6.86	<b>21</b>	\$967	4.95	<b>43</b>	71.4%	7.07	<b>11</b>	6.29	<b>13</b>
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	64.9%	5.45	<b>65</b>	\$982	5.25	<b>33</b>	62.2%	4.80	<b>66</b>	5.17	<b>51</b>
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	72.8%	7.63	<b>10</b>	\$891	3.44	<b>81</b>	67.7%	6.15	<b>31</b>	5.74	<b>27</b>
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	65.1%	5.51	<b>59</b>	\$965	4.91	<b>44</b>	68.9%	6.45	<b>22</b>	5.62	<b>29</b>
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	69.0%	6.58	<b>31</b>	\$914	3.89	<b>71</b>	64.8%	5.44	<b>50</b>	5.30	<b>45</b>
840	<i>Winchester</i>	68.8%	6.53	<b>34</b>	\$977	5.14	<b>36</b>	58.5%	3.87	<b>90</b>	5.18	<b>48</b>

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores was begun in May 1999 to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

## State Summary July 1, 2014 – October 31, 2014

	State	ED 1	ED 2	ED 3	ED 4	ED 5	ED 6	ED 7	ED 8	ED 9	ED 10	ED 11	ED 12	ED 13	ED 14	ED 15	ED 16	ED 17	ED 18
<b><u>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></u></b>																			
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	5,258	266	202	400	130	74	539	79	133	236	239	207	743	199	72	609	202	890	38
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	92%	98%	99%	95%	99%	93%	81%	87%	95%	98%	97%	90%	87%	88%	92%	98%	91%	93%	95%
% Timely in October 2014	92%	98%	96%	96%	100%	94%	80%	86%	95%	98%	97%	87%	87%	85%	88%	97%	88%	95%	####
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></u></b>																			
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	1,362	64	50	103	34	19	152	10	32	62	67	55	182	57	15	150	49	252	9
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	95%	100%	100%	97%	100%	100%	93%	100%	97%	100%	96%	100%	92%	89%	100%	95%	98%	94%	89%
Percent in October 2014	95%	100%	100%	97%	100%	100%	93%	100%	97%	100%	96%	100%	92%	89%	100%	95%	98%	94%	89%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></u></b>																			
# Over 30 Days	139	0	2	5	0	1	55	6	2	2	0	9	31	14	1	3	5	3	0
Average # of Days Over 30	35	0	6	83	0	9	45	40	14	10	0	20	28	30	5	26	12	23	0

## EDD1 – Southwest Region: July 1, 2014 - October 31, 2014

	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton	
<b><u>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></u></b>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2014		266	22	9	57	33	34	41	63	7
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014		98%	100%	100%	100%	97%	100%	95%	100%	86%
% Timely in October 2014		98%	100%	100%	100%	92%	100%	91%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></u></b>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014		64	5	2	14	7	4	12	18	2
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Percent in October 2014		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></u></b>										
# Over 30 Days		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD2 - Bristol-Galax Region: July 1, 2014 - October 31, 2014

	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	202	3	30	8	51	32	12	61	5
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	83%	98%	100%
% Timely in October 2014	96%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	60%	94%	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	50	0	12	1	14	5	4	13	1
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Percent in October 2014	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>									
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	6	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0

## EDD3 - Roanoke Region: July 1, 2014 - October 31, 2014

	Total EDD3	Alleghny/ Covington	Bote- tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont- gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>												
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	400	21	4	4	4	43	5	48	21	40	19	191
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	95%	100%	100%	25%	100%	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	79%	93%
% Timely in October 2014	96%	100%	100%	0%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	86%	95%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>												
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	103	3	0	0	1	11	1	18	4	6	5	54
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	97%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	100%	100%	94%	100%	100%	80%	98%
Percent in October 2014	97%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	100%	100%	94%	100%	100%	80%	98%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>												
# Over 30 Days	5	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	83	0	0	104	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0

## EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: July 1, 2014 - October 31, 2014

	<i>Total EDD4</i>	<i>Aug/S'ton /W'boro</i>	<i>Bath</i>	<i>Highland</i>	<i>Rockbridge/ BV/Lex</i>	<i>Rockingham/ HBurg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	130	81	0	0	8	41
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	99%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	98%
% Timely in October 2014	100%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	34	18	0	0	2	14
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	100%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	100%
Percent in October 2014	100%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD5 & EDD18 - Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: July 1, 2014 - October 31, 2014

	<i>Total EDD5</i>	<i>Clarke</i>	<i>Frederick</i>	<i>Page</i>	<i>Shen.</i>	<i>Warren</i>	<i>Winchester</i>	<i>Total EDD18</i>	<i>Accomack</i>	<i>Nhampton</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	74	2	9	15	9	19	20	38	28	10
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	93%	100%	100%	100%	78%	84%	100%	95%	93%	100%
% Timely in October 2014	94%	100%	100%	100%	80%	92%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	19	1	3	5	0	6	4	9	9	0
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	89%	89%	N/A
Percent in October 2014	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	89%	89%	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>										
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	9	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: July 1, 2014 - October 31, 2014

	<i>Total EDD6</i>	<i>Arlington</i>	<i>Fairfax</i>	<i>Loudoun</i>	<i>Prince William</i>	<i>Alexandria</i>	<i>Manassas</i>	<i>Manassas Park</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>								
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	539	42	184	60	147	85	17	4
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	81%	90%	98%	85%	50%	98%	53%	100%
% Timely in October 2014	80%	82%	98%	88%	58%	96%	30%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>								
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	152	14	63	15	33	23	3	1
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	93%	100%	94%	93%	85%	96%	100%	100%
Percent in October 2014	93%	100%	94%	93%	85%	96%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>								
# Over 30 Days	55	1	0	1	46	1	6	0
Average # of Days Over 30	45	5	0	8	51	15	16	0

## EDD7 - Culpeper Region: July 1, 2014 - October 31, 2014

	<i>Total EDD7</i>	<i>Culpeper</i>	<i>Fauquier</i>	<i>Madison</i>	<i>Orange</i>	<i>Rapp.</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	79	33	20	8	18	0
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	87%	94%	90%	100%	67%	N/A
% Timely in October 2014	86%	90%	100%	100%	50%	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	10	7	2	0	1	0
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	N/A
Percent in October 2014	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	6	0	0	0	6	0
Average # of Days Over 30	40	0	0	0	40	0

## EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: July 1, 2014 - October 31, 2014

	<i>Total EDD8</i>	<i>Albemarle</i>	<i>Fluvanna</i>	<i>Greene</i>	<i>Louisa</i>	<i>Nelson</i>	<i>Cville</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	133	34	9	7	18	8	57
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	95%	94%	89%	71%	100%	100%	98%
% Timely in October 2014	95%	100%	75%	0%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	32	2	4	2	7	2	15
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	97%	100%	100%	50%	100%	100%	100%
Percent in October 2014	97%	100%	100%	50%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	2	0	1	1	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	14	0	5	23	0	0	0

## EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: July 1, 2014 - October 31, 2014

	<i>Total EDD9</i>	<i>Amherst</i>	<i>Appom.</i>	<i>Bedford</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Lynchburg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	236	18	17	34	62	105
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	98%	94%	88%	100%	100%	98%
% Timely in October 2014	98%	100%	0%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	62	8	6	5	16	27
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Percent in October 2014	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	2	0	2	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	10	0	10	0	0	0

## EDD10 - Danville Region: July 1, 2014 - October 31, 2014

	<i>Total EDD10</i>	<i>Halifax</i>	<i>Henry/ Martinsville</i>	<i>Patrick</i>	<i>Pittsylvania</i>	<i>Danville</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	239	29	72	24	31	83
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	97%	90%	94%	100%	97%	100%
% Timely in October 2014	97%	100%	91%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	67	11	26	7	8	15
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	96%	100%	96%	100%	100%	87%
Percent in October 2014	96%	100%	96%	100%	100%	87%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD11 – Southside Region: July 1, 2014 - October 31, 2014

	<i>Total EDD11</i>	<i>Amelia</i>	<i>Brunswick</i>	<i>Buckingham</i>	<i>Charlotte</i>	<i>Cumberland</i>	<i>Gr'nsville Emporia</i>	<i>Lunenburg</i>	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	<i>Nottoway</i>	<i>Pr. Edward</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>											
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	207	10	31	17	19	9	37	8	19	26	31
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	90%	100%	100%	94%	95%	100%	49%	100%	100%	100%	100%
% Timely in October 2014	87%	100%	100%	91%	80%	100%	50%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>											
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	55	1	11	4	8	1	8	4	2	7	9
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Percent in October 2014	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>											
# Over 30 Days	9	0	0	1	0	0	8	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	20	0	0	1	0	0	22	0	0	0	0

## EDD12 - Richmond Region: July 1, 2014 - October 31, 2014

	<i>Total EDD12</i>	<i>Chesterfield/ Col Hgts</i>	<i>Goochland</i>	<i>Hanover</i>	<i>Henrico</i>	<i>Powhatan</i>	<i>Richmond City</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	743	137	10	21	241	7	327
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	87%	88%	90%	90%	79%	100%	92%
% Timely in October 2014	87%	86%	50%	33%	82%	100%	92%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	182	40	2	9	65	5	61
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	92%	93%	100%	89%	89%	100%	93%
Percent in October 2014	92%	93%	100%	89%	89%	100%	93%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	31	13	1	2	8	0	7
Average # of Days Over 30	28	49	5	2	12	0	20

## EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: July 1, 2014 - October 31, 2014

	<i>Total EDD13</i>	<i>Caroline</i>	<i>King George</i>	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	<i>Stafford</i>	<i>Fredericksburg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	199	18	19	69	42	51
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	88%	83%	100%	94%	67%	96%
% Timely in October 2014	85%	100%	100%	91%	47%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	57	6	3	14	16	18
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	89%	100%	100%	79%	94%	89%
Percent in October 2014	89%	100%	100%	79%	94%	89%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	14	1	0	1	12	0
Average # of Days Over 30	30	97	0	9	26	0

## EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: July 1, 2014 - October 31, 2014

	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	72	16	10	6	3	4	14	10	2	7
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	92%	100%	80%	83%	67%	100%	100%	90%	100%	86%
% Timely in October 2014	88%	100%	100%	75%	N/A	N/A	100%	75%	100%	75%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	15	4	4	1	0	0	3	1	1	1
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%
Percent in October 2014	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>										
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5

## EDD15 - Peninsula Region: July 1, 2014 - October 31, 2014

	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	609	1	29	30	8	21	197	315	8
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	98%	0%	93%	97%	63%	100%	99%	98%	100%
% Timely in October 2014	97%	N/A	100%	91%	33%	100%	99%	98%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	150	1	6	4	3	4	53	78	1
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	95%	100%	100%	75%	33%	100%	96%	96%	100%
Percent in October 2014	95%	100%	100%	75%	33%	100%	96%	96%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>									
# Over 30 Days	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	26	0	0	0	26	0	0	0	0

## EDD16 - Petersburg Region: July 1, 2014 - October 31, 2014

	<i>Total EDD16</i>	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	<i>Prince George</i>	<i>Surry</i>	<i>Sussex</i>	<i>Hopewell</i>	<i>Petersburg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	202	12	31	5	20	50	84
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	91%	100%	90%	100%	90%	90%	89%
% Timely in October 2014	88%	100%	67%	N/A	60%	88%	97%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	49	3	7	2	5	11	21
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	98%	100%	100%	100%	80%	100%	100%
Percent in October 2014	98%	100%	100%	100%	80%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	5	0	3	0	2	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	12	0	11	0	15	0	0

## EDD17 - Tidewater Region: July 1, 2014 - October 31, 2014

	<i>Total EDD17</i>	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	<i>South- hampton</i>	<i>Chesapeake</i>	<i>Franklin City</i>	<i>Norfolk</i>	<i>Portsmouth</i>	<i>Suffolk</i>	<i>VA Beach</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	890	9	9	166	25	262	193	71	155
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	93%	100%	100%	93%	100%	89%	95%	90%	95%
% Timely in October 2014	95%	100%	100%	95%	100%	91%	100%	91%	96%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	252	1	1	44	11	82	49	21	43
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	94%	100%	100%	100%	100%	89%	92%	100%	95%
Percent in October 2014	94%	100%	100%	100%	100%	89%	92%	100%	95%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>									
# Over 30 Days	3	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	23	0	0	13	0	0	34	23	0

## **Child Support Enforcement - Statewide Totals**

### ***Collections***

	<b><i>Oct-13</i></b>	<b><i>Oct-14</i></b>	<b><i>% Change</i></b>
TANF Cases Collected	15,326	14,228	-7.2%
NTANF Cases Collected	132,775	131,381	-1.0%
Total Cases Collected	148,101	145,609	-1.7%
TANF Collections	\$2,679,517	\$2,390,619	-10.8%
NTANF Collections	\$51,556,460.00	\$50,181,076	-2.7%
Total Collections	\$54,235,977	\$52,571,695	-3.1%
SFY YTD Collections	\$211,805,184	\$210,453,785	-0.6%
SFY YTD Average Collection	\$363.00	\$360.35	-0.7%

### ***Paternities Established***

	<b><i>Oct-14</i></b>
TANF Paternities	129
NTANF Paternities	228
Combined Paternities	357

# *Diversionsary Assistance*

## *October Diversionsary Assistance Cases*

<b>Locality</b>	<b>Number of Cases</b>		<b>Locality</b>	<b>Number of Cases</b>
Alleghany / Covington	1		Patrick	1
Appomattox	2		Prince William	1
Arlington	1		Roanoke County	1
Augusta / Staunt'n / W'boro	2		Shenandoah	16
Chesterfield/Colonial Hgts	2		Spotsylvania	2
Culpeper	2		Warren	7
Cumberland	1		York / Poquoson	1
Dinwiddie	1		Bristol	3
Fairfax/ Falls Church	1		Charlottesville	3
Fauquier	1		Chesapeake	1
Franklin County	1		Franklin City	1
Frederick	2		Hampton	4
Gloucester	1		Hopewell	8
Greene	2		Lynchburg	1
Hanover	2		Newport News	14
Henrico	6		Norfolk	7
Henry / Martinsville	2		Petersburg	1
Isle of Wight	1		Richmond City	1
Loudoun	2		Roanoke City	7
Madison	1		Suffolk	7
Montgomery	2		Virginia Beach	4
New Kent	1		Winchester	1
Orange	2		Statewide	131

## *Definitions of Selected Terms Contained in Report*

**Average Monthly Earnings.** This is the average monthly earnings of the unsubsidized jobs that participants obtained. The value is calculated by multiplying the hourly wage by the weekly hours worked by 4.3 average weeks per month. For the cumulative figures the initial wage and initial hours are used. For the current figures, the initial wage and most current hours are used, except for the November 2001 report which used initial hours. Earlier than June 1999, wage changes that were entered overwrote the initial wage, so prior to that date, the cumulative figures used the initial hours and the last wage entered. With the advent of the VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) program in October 2006 the end of month average monthly earnings might be expected to decline somewhat because prior to VTP, cases with high earnings might remain open for job follow-up, but after the implementation of VTP the VIEW case would be closed when the TANF case was closed and a VTP case opened. VTP cases are not included in this report except for estimating the participation rate.

**Average Wage.** The average of the wages reported for each occurrence of unsubsidized employment. After June 1999 the wage is the wage at hiring, that is, the initial wage. Prior to June 1999, that wage may have been updated if changed during employment. After the implementation of the VIEW Transitional Payment program the end of month point in time average wage might be expected to decline some for the same reason mentioned in the explanation of possible decline in Average Monthly earnings, above. See note 6 on page 44.

**Cases Closed with Employment.** These are TANF or TANF-UP cases that are closed with an indication in the data base of employment at the date of closure. It does not mean that the case necessarily closed because of employment. For example, a TANF case may have an indication of closing at the client's request, along with indication of existing employment in VIEW. That case is counted as closed with employment.

**EDD.** This stands for Economic Development District. EDDs were developed during the administration of Governor Allen. They generally are no longer in use. This report continues to refer to them because VIEW was phased in by EDD and their use provides consistency over time.

**Enrolled.** Unduplicated number by locality of TANF recipients who were interviewed, received an individual assessment, signed the Agreement of Personal Responsibility, and enrolled in the VIEW program. Because the count is by locality, a recipient may be counted once in more than one locality. The fiscal year to date numbers include those who were enrolled at the beginning of the fiscal year as well as those enrolled during the year. There are clients enrolled in VIEW who have had their TANF case closed because VIEW policy requires that the enrollment remain open for job follow-up after employment unless the employment meets federal work participation guidelines, in which case the VIEW case is closed and a VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) case is opened.

**Full Time Employment.** Employment at 30 hours a week or greater.

**Participants Assigned to Inactive.** Inactive is a status assigned to participants who cannot participate in the VIEW program for reasons other than being exempt. Examples are unavailability of child care, unavailability of transportation, and mental or medical problems that make participation impractical. Inactive participants are due to be reviewed each month. While in inactive status, the 24 month time limit for TANF benefits is suspended.

**Participants Employed.** Total number of participants by locality who obtained an unsubsidized job, either full time or part time. If employed more than one time, they are still only counted once, but may be counted in more than one locality. Also, these participants may have left their job and not gotten another one. For the year to date numbers, those employed at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first became employed in the fiscal year.

**Participants in a Work Activity.** Unduplicated count by locality of persons who have been in any of the following components: unsubsidized employment, full employment program (FEP), on-the-job training (OJT), community work experience program (CWEP), public service program (PSP), vocational education and training, and job search/job readiness. For example, a person who was in community work experience and then employed would be counted only once.

For the year to date numbers, those in a work activity at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first entered a work activity in the fiscal year. Note that this is a revised definition of work activity from reports through October 2006. The revised definition captures the activities that count toward the first 20 hours of federal participation requirements – the core work activities. Clients who are counted as being in a core work activity must meet additional participation rate requirements in order to be included in the work participation rate, and only VIEW clients are counted here, not all work eligible TANF clients **Thus, these percentages in a work activity are quite different from the percentages in the TANF work participation rate.**

**Part Time Employment.** Employment at fewer than 30 weekly hours.

**Referred.** Unduplicated number of TANF recipients by locality who were initially evaluated as eligible for VIEW and had their names referred to the automated VIEW list for participation.

**Relationship Between TANF and VIEW Participation.** On the chart at page 5a, the column heading “Total Adults in the Case” includes adults who are not considered to be part of the assistance unit. For example, a mother receiving SSI may be in the case, but because she receives SSI is not considered eligible for TANF and thus is not part of the assistance unit. Persons such as she who are not in the assistance unit are not eligible to participate in VIEW. Also included are adults who are part of the assistance unit but exempt from VIEW

**Five Months Employment.** In earlier reports, this represented the percent of all participants that were employed 150 days after their first employment. Participants who were employed in any job 150 days after their first employment were counted in this measure.. This measure became unavailable after July 1, 2011. See note 9 on page 44.

**Three Months Employment.** This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 90 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 90 days after their first employment are counted in this measure. For year to date percents, the calculations are based on the first employment that began one year before the end of the report month. That employment may not have been the first ever for the participant. The year to date percents were calculated differently in reports before September 2004. Also for year to date percents, transferred cases were not counted for months after the January 2002 report.

**TANF / TANF-UP Payment Cases.** Up to November 2003 these counts were taken from a monthly report with no adjustment. After that, the monthly counts included some cases that were being paid because child support payments had been received and were being passed on. These counts were adjusted to mostly eliminate those additional cases. Further adjustment may be appropriate. It should also be noted that the counts include both strictly TANF cases and MOE cases for some years. With the end of waiver provisions in July 2003, a substantial part of the caseload was placed in a state program and funded with MOE funds. This change in July 2003 was a change in funding, not a change in eligibility requirements or case payment amounts. It ended in September 2006 when VIEW transitional payments (VTP) were introduced. Adjustments have been made to take out VTP cases. Also, TANF-UP cases are currently funded with only state monies.

**VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP).** Beginning in October 2006, VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) were introduced. These are payments of \$50 per client per month for one year to VIEW clients that leave “regular” TANF with employment sufficient to meet Federal work participation requirements. The employment must be maintained to be eligible for VTP. Previously, VTP clients normally would have remained VIEW clients for five or six months of job-follow-up. Thus, the VTP program has had a diminishing effect on some of the statistics in this report such as end of month percent employed and average wage. See graph on page 5a.

**Time That Data Represent.** The data in the top sections of page 4 and pages 8 – 24 are point in time data as of the date indicated. The year to date section represents state fiscal year to date data, with the exception of three month retention (see above). The bottom section is cumulative from when the locality began VIEW.

**General Statement About Accuracy.** The report is based on an extract from the Employment Services automated system. The extract is normally made the night of the first work day after the end of the report month. Data that staff of local social services agencies enter into the automated system after the time of the extract are not included in the report, even though the activity reflected actually occurred in the report month. However, that data, and the activity reflected, are included in the reports for subsequent months. Further, because the data are entered by staff in the 120 localities, the accuracy of the data in the data base is, of course, subject to inadvertent error.

<sup>1</sup> Applications are not necessarily acted upon in the month that they are received. Also, applications may be transferred from regular TANF to TANF-UP and vice versa as they are processed. November applications are being reviewed for accuracy.

<sup>2</sup> These TANF cases paid counts are prior to possible adjustment. See definition of payment cases. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes.

<sup>3</sup> VIEW enrolled counts do not include VTP clients

<sup>4</sup> A case may be open in more than one locality during the time period.

<sup>5</sup> Prior to June 1999, when individuals were transferred from one locality to another, their history was transferred as well. This may have an effect on a locality's cumulative VIEW statistics.

<sup>6</sup> The minimum wage rose from \$6.55 to \$7.25 an hour on 7/24/09. The report reflects initial wages so some effect on wages in the report was delayed. The average wage includes full and part time, unless otherwise indicated. See the definition of average wage on page 42.

<sup>7</sup> Includes full and part time earnings.

<sup>8</sup> This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed three months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed three months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous. See definition on page 43 for the revised method of calculating the year to date percentages.

<sup>9</sup> Until the July 2011 report, this was employed after five months. However, on July 1, 2011 the number of required job follow-ups was reduced to three. Thus, data for five month job retention became unavailable.

<sup>10</sup> Number includes TANF-UP and represents cases carried over to the next month. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes, but with the advent of VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) such occurrences were reduced from earlier months.

<sup>11</sup> Enrollment is timely if done within 30 days of referral in previous month of the state fiscal year. The monthly percent includes evaluation of clients waiting in the queue. The year to date does not.

<sup>12</sup> Placement in a work activity on the 95th day after referral was a measure of timeliness before Oct 1, 2006. The revised definition of work activity has increased the percentage from reports before November 2006. Clients whose cases have closed before the 95<sup>th</sup> day are excluded, so 100% is an attainable mark.


<sup>14</sup> As of the morning of November 3, 2014. Some referrals to the waiting list are not appropriate; there may be considerable lag time in correcting them.

This report is available on the Department of Social Services web site at:  
[http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial\\_assistance/tanf.cgi](http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial_assistance/tanf.cgi)

The scores in the VIEW Rankings are determined by placing a locality on a scale of zero to ten in the same relative position as its place in the difference between the high and low of the measure in question. This converts all measures to a similar scale and provides a more equitable way to combine the ratings in each measure to determine a combined rank. (Questions, please call 804 726-7618.)

**Comments on the format or content of the report and requests for Excel files for portions of current and past reports may be sent by e-mail to: [william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov](mailto:william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov).**

## TANF and TANF-UP Cases Paid at Six Month Intervals, except last month June 1995 through October 2014




This graph illustrates the dramatic decline of the TANF caseload as VIEW was implemented. There was some sign of slowing in the rate of decline after June 1998. Nevertheless, wide community involvement, a strong economy, and the effect of the 24 month rule allowed the caseload to continue to fall until it was near the 30,000 level. There was little net change in the caseload from June 2000 to June 2002, but some upward movement was seen after June 2002, until 2005. The more recent trends are presumed to be a result of economic conditions. The caseload leveled off after recession spurred growth and now is declining.

Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

# Applications Received with Approval Percentage


Six Month Asymmetrical Moving Averages by Quarter, July 1995 through October 2014



This graph of application activity is presented as six month moving averages to produce smoother lines from which trends may be seen and in recognition that applications are not always acted on in the month received. There seems to have been a general decline in the number of applications received until the July 2001 data. The decline in applications received after December 2004 and the more recent changes appear to be reflected in the case load trends. A reason for the general decrease in percent approved may be the lack of any increase in income threshold for initial eligibility; an apparent reason for the more recent decline is the availability of applications on line that offers ready access for applying to multiple assistance programs. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

## TANF Cases, VIEW Enrolled, and Employed VIEW Participants


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide  
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. October is the last month



This graph shows that the number enrolled in VIEW increased during early implementation and then generally tracked the number of TANF cases. The effects of recent economic conditions are apparent. A VIEW case may remain open for some months after the TANF case closes, if job follow-up is being done and the case has not been converted to the VIEW Transitional Payment program. It is unlikely that the number employed will ever equal the number enrolled because there are always new enrollees without employment, and there are some enrollees in work activities, other than employment, that meet VIEW requirements. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months. It includes TANF-UP

## Chart Displaying the Relationships Between TANF and VIEW Participation


October 31, 2014



This chart illustrates that there are a large number of adults who are exempt from VIEW and that many of the VIEW enrollees have had their TANF cases closed. They are now in a job follow-up phase of VIEW. They have not had their VIEW case closed and become enrolled in the VIEW Transitional Payment program. The source of this information is a monthly TANF extract from ADAPT and the monthly extract from ESPAS, the VIEW automated data system. The extracts were made at the beginning of November and represent end of October data. TANF-UP is included.

## VIEW Percent Employed and Average Wage at End of Month


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide  
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. October is the last month.



This graph shows that the average wage has seen a general increase as the percent employed has varied somewhat. Besides employment, VIEW participants may be in various other activities or initial assessment and job search, and economic conditions (one slight recession and one large one) apparently had expected effects. The wages shown are generally the initial wages. When the average wage was calculated at about the end of October it was \$8.63 rather than the \$8.51 initial wages used to calculate wages shown on this graph. See page 42 of the report for an explanation of why the VIEW average wage might decline after October 2006 and note 6 on page 44 for the effect of the increase in minimum wage. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.

# Percent of Jobs Found During a Month That Were Full Time and Percent Part Time

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide  
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. October is the last month



This graph illustrates an initial trend of VIEW participants obtaining a greater percent of full time jobs than part time. After the initial months, the trend seems to be fairly level with an increase in the percent full time after TANF reauthorization which probably reflects increased emphasis on meeting the required TANF work participation rate. The more recent changes are presumed to be a result of economic developments and increased use of other work activities to help meet the required work participation hours. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.