

VIRGINIA INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM

MONTHLY REPORT

Including Graphs of Statewide Trends

January 2015

Monthly Report, January 2015

Table of Contents

Work Participation Estimates (from the VDSS data warehouse) (A work participation estimate percent should not be compared to a percent in a work activity in the main body of the report which includes only clients in VIEW and does not consider the number of hours in a work activity during a month)	i-vi
TANF, TANF-UP Case Activity.....	1
Graphs of Case Activity.....	at end
VIEW Work Activity	
Statewide	4
Graphs of Statewide Activity.....	at end
EDD1.....	8
EDD2.....	9
EDD3.....	10
EDD4.....	11
EDD5 & EDD18.....	12
EDD6.....	13
EDD7.....	14
EDD8.....	15
EDD9.....	16
EDD10.....	17
EDD11.....	18
EDD14.....	19
EDD1.....	20
EDD3.....	21
EDD11.....	22
EDD15.....	23
EDD17.....	24
VIEW Year to Date Rankings.....	25-27
VIEW Cumulative Rankings.....	28-30
VIEW Timeliness Measures.....	31-39
Child Support Enforcement.....	40
Diversion.....	41
VIEW Definition Sheet and Endnotes.....	42-44

Estimated Work Participation Rates for January

These are estimated locality January 2015 work participation rates that include clients receiving either TANF or VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). The official participation rate will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated January statewide rate is 43% with a numerator of 5,661 and a denominator of 13,194.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	20	45	44%	061	Fauquier	19	42	45%
003	Albemarle	36	66	55%	063	Floyd	5	14	36%
005	Alleghany/Covington	13	30	43%	065	Fluvanna	6	24	25%
007	Amelia	6	19	32%	067	Franklin County	40	86	47%
009	Amherst	16	33	48%	069	Frederick	20	30	67%
011	Appomattox	19	43	44%	071	Giles	4	11	36%
013	Arlington	39	90	43%	073	Gloucester	18	48	38%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	77	160	48%	075	Goochland	2	8	25%
017	Bath	0	0	N/A	077	Grayson	4	11	36%
019	Bedford County/City	31	75	41%	079	Greene	6	22	27%
021	Bland	2	5	40%	081	Greensville/Emporia	33	73	45%
023	Botetourt	0	10	0%	083	Halifax	22	69	32%
025	Brunswick	15	53	28%	085	Hanover	21	58	36%
027	Buchanan	21	57	37%	087	Henrico	244	576	42%
029	Buckingham	14	30	47%	089	Henry/Martinsville	58	213	27%
031	Campbell	47	121	39%	091	Highland	0	1	0%
033	Caroline	38	77	49%	093	Isle of Wight	18	45	40%
035	Carroll	24	44	55%	095	James City	24	76	32%
036	Charles City	3	8	38%	097	King and Queen	5	14	36%
037	Charlotte	9	26	35%	099	King George	10	22	45%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	166	344	48%	101	King William	5	18	28%
043	Clarke	0	3	0%	103	Lancaster	6	13	46%
045	Craig	1	10	10%	105	Lee	53	97	55%
047	Culpeper	24	51	47%	107	Loudoun	30	118	25%
049	Cumberland	15	24	63%	109	Louisa	24	41	59%
051	Dickensen	8	18	44%	111	Lunenburg	7	22	32%
053	Dinwiddie	16	46	35%	113	Madison	0	10	0%
057	Essex	20	36	56%	115	Mathews	4	7	57%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	196	466	42%	117	Mecklenburg	16	54	30%

Estimated Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent
119	Middlesex	20	39	51%	187	Warren	41	62	66%
121	Montgomery	53	94	56%	191	Washington	28	75	37%
125	Nelson	5	15	33%	193	Westmoreland	7	41	17%
127	New Kent	7	22	32%	195	Wise	42	102	41%
131	Northampton	16	49	33%	197	Wythe	17	39	44%
133	Northumberland	4	14	29%	199	York/Poquoson	37	63	59%
135	Nottoway	26	59	44%	510	Alexandria	83	185	45%
137	Orange	17	49	35%	520	Bristol	62	103	60%
139	Page	8	14	57%	540	Charlottesville	65	134	49%
141	Patrick	7	40	18%	550	Chesapeake	203	450	45%
143	Pittsylvania	23	75	31%	590	Danville	80	185	43%
145	Powhatan	8	18	44%	620	Franklin City	14	34	41%
147	Prince Edward	37	76	49%	630	Fredericksburg	51	91	56%
149	Prince George	5	45	11%	640	Galax	13	21	62%
153	Prince William	209	437	48%	650	Hampton	259	580	45%
155	Pulaski	17	44	39%	670	Hopewell	71	151	47%
157	Rappahannock	1	4	25%	680	Lynchburg	106	247	43%
159	Richmond County	0	19	0%	683	Manassas	28	51	55%
161	Roanoke County	40	104	38%	685	Manassas Park	2	9	22%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	14	34	41%	700	Newport News	343	753	46%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	75	129	58%	710	Norfolk	459	912	50%
167	Russell	30	73	41%	720	Norton	9	23	39%
169	Scott	28	76	37%	730	Petersburg	114	247	46%
171	Shenandoah	9	29	31%	740	Portsmouth	205	515	40%
173	Smyth	25	78	32%	750	Radford	11	33	33%
175	Southampton	19	32	59%	760	Richmond City	434	1,155	38%
177	Spotsylvania	64	193	33%	770	Roanoke City	137	376	36%
179	Stafford	52	156	33%	800	Suffolk	77	161	48%
181	Surry	12	17	71%	810	Virginia Beach	184	368	50%
183	Sussex	6	39	15%	830	Williamsburg	10	21	48%
185	Tazewell	40	82	49%	840	Winchester	12	39	31%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF and VTP recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rate presented here is the all families rate, i. e. the overall rate, including VTP..

Estimated TANF only Work Participation Rates for January

These are estimated locality January 2015 work participation rates that include only clients receiving TANF. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated January statewide rate is 36% with a numerator of 4,212 and a denominator of 11,634. VTP only estimates are on pages v and vi.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	<i>Accomack</i>	13	38	34%	061	<i>Fauquier</i>	13	36	36%
003	<i>Albemarle</i>	25	54	46%	063	<i>Floyd</i>	2	11	18%
005	<i>Alleghany/Covington</i>	8	24	33%	065	<i>Fluvanna</i>	2	20	10%
007	<i>Amelia</i>	4	16	25%	067	<i>Franklin County</i>	28	74	38%
009	<i>Amherst</i>	10	26	38%	069	<i>Frederick</i>	10	20	50%
011	<i>Appomattox</i>	13	36	36%	071	<i>Giles</i>	3	9	33%
013	<i>Arlington</i>	30	80	38%	073	<i>Gloucester</i>	16	44	36%
015	<i>Augusta/S'ton/W'boro</i>	47	127	37%	075	<i>Goochland</i>	2	8	25%
017	<i>Bath</i>	0	0	N/A	077	<i>Grayson</i>	3	10	30%
019	<i>Bedford County/City</i>	24	68	35%	079	<i>Greene</i>	6	20	30%
021	<i>Bland</i>	1	4	25%	081	<i>Greensville/Emporia</i>	25	65	38%
023	<i>Botetourt</i>	0	10	0%	083	<i>Halifax</i>	16	63	25%
025	<i>Brunswick</i>	7	45	16%	085	<i>Hanover</i>	10	45	22%
027	<i>Buchanan</i>	17	52	33%	087	<i>Henrico</i>	156	481	32%
029	<i>Buckingham</i>	11	27	41%	089	<i>Henry/Martinsville</i>	41	192	21%
031	<i>Campbell</i>	28	101	28%	091	<i>Highland</i>	0	1	0%
033	<i>Caroline</i>	27	64	42%	093	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	12	39	31%
035	<i>Carroll</i>	19	39	49%	095	<i>James City</i>	19	71	27%
036	<i>Charles City</i>	2	7	29%	097	<i>King and Queen</i>	5	14	36%
037	<i>Charlotte</i>	6	23	26%	099	<i>King George</i>	7	19	37%
041	<i>Chesterfield/Col Hgts</i>	127	305	42%	101	<i>King William</i>	4	17	24%
043	<i>Clarke</i>	0	3	0%	103	<i>Lancaster</i>	4	11	36%
045	<i>Craig</i>	1	9	11%	105	<i>Lee</i>	45	89	51%
047	<i>Culpeper</i>	14	41	34%	107	<i>Loudoun</i>	20	107	19%
049	<i>Cumberland</i>	11	20	55%	109	<i>Louisa</i>	15	32	47%
051	<i>Dickensen</i>	7	17	41%	111	<i>Lunenburg</i>	5	20	25%
053	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	5	34	15%	113	<i>Madison</i>	0	10	0%
057	<i>Essex</i>	17	32	53%	115	<i>Mathews</i>	3	6	50%
059	<i>Fairfax/Falls Church</i>	119	386	31%	117	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	11	48	23%

Estimated TANF Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	13	31	42%	187	Warren	31	52	60%
121	Montgomery	35	76	46%	191	Washington	25	72	35%
125	Nelson	4	14	29%	193	Westmoreland	6	40	15%
127	New Kent	4	17	24%	195	Wise	29	89	33%
131	Northampton	12	45	27%	197	Wythe	12	34	35%
133	Northumberland	3	13	23%	199	York/Poquoson	30	56	54%
135	Nottoway	20	53	38%	510	Alexandria	55	153	36%
137	Orange	14	46	30%	520	Bristol	50	91	55%
139	Page	6	12	50%	540	Charlottesville	46	115	40%
141	Patrick	6	39	15%	550	Chesapeake	157	402	39%
143	Pittsylvania	21	73	29%	590	Danville	61	165	37%
145	Powhatan	7	17	41%	620	Franklin City	8	28	29%
147	Prince Edward	22	60	37%	630	Fredericksburg	38	78	49%
149	Prince George	2	41	5%	640	Galax	8	16	50%
153	Prince William	130	352	37%	650	Hampton	196	506	39%
155	Pulaski	13	39	33%	670	Hopewell	48	127	38%
157	Rappahannock	1	4	25%	680	Lynchburg	90	231	39%
159	Richmond County	0	16	0%	683	Manassas	14	35	40%
161	Roanoke County	25	89	28%	685	Manassas Park	0	7	0%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	9	29	31%	700	Newport News	279	684	41%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	44	97	45%	710	Norfolk	409	860	48%
167	Russell	28	71	39%	720	Norton	8	22	36%
169	Scott	21	69	30%	730	Petersburg	91	223	41%
171	Shenandoah	6	26	23%	740	Portsmouth	165	473	35%
173	Smyth	21	74	28%	750	Radford	9	31	29%
175	Southampton	12	25	48%	760	Richmond City	356	1,064	33%
177	Spotsylvania	52	177	29%	770	Roanoke City	97	335	29%
179	Stafford	30	132	23%	800	Suffolk	56	138	41%
181	Surry	10	15	67%	810	Virginia Beach	137	321	43%
183	Sussex	6	37	16%	830	Williamsburg	9	20	45%
185	Tazewell	30	71	42%	840	Winchester	9	36	25%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are for the TANF all family rate not including VTP.

Estimated VTP only Work Participation Rates for January

These are estimated locality January 2015 work participation rates that include only clients receiving VTP. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated January statewide rate is 93% with a numerator of 1,449 and a denominator of 1,560. TANF only estimates are on pages iii and iv.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	<i>Accomack</i>	7	7	100%	061	<i>Fauquier</i>	6	6	100%
003	<i>Albemarle</i>	11	12	92%	063	<i>Floyd</i>	3	3	100%
005	<i>Alleghany/Covington</i>	5	6	83%	065	<i>Fluvanna</i>	4	4	100%
007	<i>Amelia</i>	2	3	67%	067	<i>Franklin County</i>	12	12	100%
009	<i>Amherst</i>	6	7	86%	069	<i>Frederick</i>	10	10	100%
011	<i>Appomattox</i>	6	7	86%	071	<i>Giles</i>	1	2	50%
013	<i>Arlington</i>	9	10	90%	073	<i>Gloucester</i>	2	4	50%
015	<i>Augusta/S'ton/W'boro</i>	30	33	91%	075	<i>Goochland</i>	0	0	N/A
017	<i>Bath</i>	0	0	N/A	077	<i>Grayson</i>	1	1	100%
019	<i>Bedford County/City</i>	7	7	100%	079	<i>Greene</i>	0	2	0%
021	<i>Bland</i>	1	1	100%	081	<i>Greensville/Emporia</i>	8	8	100%
023	<i>Botetourt</i>	0	0	N/A	083	<i>Halifax</i>	6	6	100%
025	<i>Brunswick</i>	8	8	100%	085	<i>Hanover</i>	11	13	85%
027	<i>Buchanan</i>	4	5	80%	087	<i>Henrico</i>	88	95	93%
029	<i>Buckingham</i>	3	3	100%	089	<i>Henry/Martinsville</i>	17	21	81%
031	<i>Campbell</i>	19	20	95%	091	<i>Highland</i>	0	0	N/A
033	<i>Caroline</i>	11	13	85%	093	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	6	6	100%
035	<i>Carroll</i>	5	5	100%	095	<i>James City</i>	5	5	100%
036	<i>Charles City</i>	1	1	100%	097	<i>King and Queen</i>	0	0	N/A
037	<i>Charlotte</i>	3	3	100%	099	<i>King George</i>	3	3	100%
041	<i>Chesterfield/Col Hgts</i>	39	39	100%	101	<i>King William</i>	1	1	100%
043	<i>Clarke</i>	0	0	N/A	103	<i>Lancaster</i>	2	2	100%
045	<i>Craig</i>	0	1	0%	105	<i>Lee</i>	8	8	100%
047	<i>Culpeper</i>	10	10	100%	107	<i>Loudoun</i>	10	11	91%
049	<i>Cumberland</i>	4	4	100%	109	<i>Louisa</i>	9	9	100%
051	<i>Dickensen</i>	1	1	100%	111	<i>Lunenburg</i>	2	2	100%
053	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	11	12	92%	113	<i>Madison</i>	0	0	N/A
057	<i>Essex</i>	3	4	75%	115	<i>Mathews</i>	1	1	100%
059	<i>Fairfax/Falls Church</i>	77	80	96%	117	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	5	6	83%

Estimated VTP Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	7	8	88%	187	Warren	10	10	100%
121	Montgomery	18	18	100%	191	Washington	3	3	100%
125	Nelson	1	1	100%	193	Westmoreland	1	1	100%
127	New Kent	3	5	60%	195	Wise	13	13	100%
131	Northampton	4	4	100%	197	Wythe	5	5	100%
133	Northumberland	1	1	100%	199	York/Poquoson	7	7	100%
135	Nottoway	6	6	100%	510	Alexandria	28	32	88%
137	Orange	3	3	100%	520	Bristol	12	12	100%
139	Page	2	2	100%	540	Charlottesville	19	19	100%
141	Patrick	1	1	100%	550	Chesapeake	46	48	96%
143	Pittsylvania	2	2	100%	590	Danville	19	20	95%
145	Powhatan	1	1	100%	620	Franklin City	6	6	100%
147	Prince Edward	15	16	94%	630	Fredericksburg	13	13	100%
149	Prince George	3	4	75%	640	Galax	5	5	100%
153	Prince William	79	85	93%	650	Hampton	63	74	85%
155	Pulaski	4	5	80%	670	Hopewell	23	24	96%
157	Rappahannock	0	0	N/A	680	Lynchburg	16	16	100%
159	Richmond County	0	3	0%	683	Manassas	14	16	88%
161	Roanoke County	15	15	100%	685	Manassas Park	2	2	100%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	5	5	100%	700	Newport News	64	69	93%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	31	32	97%	710	Norfolk	50	52	96%
167	Russell	2	2	100%	720	Norton	1	1	100%
169	Scott	7	7	100%	730	Petersburg	23	24	96%
171	Shenandoah	3	3	100%	740	Portsmouth	40	42	95%
173	Smyth	4	4	100%	750	Radford	2	2	100%
175	Southampton	7	7	100%	760	Richmond City	78	91	86%
177	Spotsylvania	12	16	75%	770	Roanoke City	40	41	98%
179	Stafford	22	24	92%	800	Suffolk	21	23	91%
181	Surry	2	2	100%	810	Virginia Beach	47	47	100%
183	Sussex	0	2	0%	830	Williamsburg	1	1	100%
185	Tazewell	10	11	91%	840	Winchester	3	3	100%

All VTP recipients are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” Thus, all VTP cases are in the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, job follow-up entries are reviewed to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. The basic requirement for VTP recipients is employment for at least 30 hours per week. The requirement is contained in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are also sometimes referred to as the rates for the maintenance of effort program caseload. .

TANF

TANF Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	Jan-15	Jan-14	Change from Jan-14	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	5,123	5,411	-5.3%	4,807	7%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	2,727	2,581	5.7%	1,658	64%
Applications Approved	1,279	1,488	-14%	2,820	-55%
Cases Closed *	2,419	2,049	18%	4,476	-46%
Cases at End of Month	24,209	27,413	-12%	67,995	-64%
Cases Paid During Month ²	25,425	28,325	-10%	70,403	-64%

•The database indicates that of the 2,419 closed cases, 885 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 534 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 171 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 123 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

TANF-UP

TANF-UP Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	Jan-15	Jan-14	Change from Jan-14	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	461	657	-30%	338	36%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	264	305	-13%	232	14%
Applications Approved	159	201	-21%	81	96%
Cases Closed *	203	209	-3%	107	90%
Cases at End of Month	1,409	1,552	-9%	321	339%
Cases Paid During Month ²	1,444	1,517	-5%	394	266%

•The database indicates that of the 203 closed cases, 111 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 69 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 18 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those 15 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

Statewide - Status through January 31, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/15 and Work Activity as of 1/30/15

Current Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	25,618	1,363	841	1,959	678	345	2,752	329	566	1,024	1,215	796	3,908	959	375	2,687	1,019	4,600	202
Total Enrolled in VIEW	9,909	448	310	603	239	139	1,175	105	288	433	348	332	1,549	454	139	1,116	407	1,751	73
Part. in a Work Activity	7,749	375	266	425	195	108	950	76	243	303	260	265	1,197	335	107	902	305	1,386	51
% of Enrolled	78%	84%	86%	70%	82%	78%	81%	72%	84%	70%	75%	80%	77%	74%	77%	81%	75%	79%	70%
Part. Assigned to Inactive	68	3	2	6	0	2	0	3	3	1	2	2	8	7	5	10	4	9	1
Participants Employed	5,536	226	171	337	164	87	629	65	162	225	176	161	889	253	75	643	232	1,004	37
% of Enrolled	56%	50%	55%	56%	69%	63%	54%	62%	56%	52%	51%	48%	57%	56%	54%	58%	57%	57%	51%
Full Time	4,319	180	134	276	140	72	459	51	107	155	139	107	683	186	64	507	190	849	20
Part Time	1,217	46	37	61	24	15	170	14	55	70	37	54	206	67	11	136	42	155	17
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.57	\$7.94	\$7.60	\$8.24	\$8.32	\$8.29	\$9.94	\$8.43	\$8.69	\$8.08	\$7.97	\$8.10	\$8.76	\$8.82	\$8.08	\$8.42	\$8.49	\$8.38	\$8.24
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,146	\$1,081	\$1,007	\$1,123	\$1,156	\$1,065	\$1,302	\$1,125	\$1,100	\$1,024	\$1,090	\$1,054	\$1,204	\$1,134	\$1,086	\$1,121	\$1,163	\$1,134	\$1,063

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – January 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	14,346	681	470	1,133	387	192	1,701	171	334	576	641	462	1,998	598	184	1,634	528	2,535	121
Total Enrolled in VIEW	19,295	899	669	1,339	488	278	2,223	223	481	814	766	622	2,865	828	259	2,214	789	3,384	154
Part. in a Work Activity	17,192	847	614	1,155	454	252	1,966	189	452	713	681	563	2,490	730	235	1,990	697	3,031	133
% of Total Enrolled	89%	94%	92%	86%	93%	91%	88%	85%	94%	88%	89%	91%	87%	88%	91%	90%	88%	90%	86%
Participants Employed	10,997	469	373	749	339	183	1,248	145	280	460	366	349	1,650	480	157	1,272	462	1,931	84
% of Total Enrolled	57%	52%	56%	56%	69%	66%	56%	65%	58%	57%	48%	56%	58%	58%	61%	57%	59%	57%	55%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.68	\$8.09	\$7.75	\$8.37	\$8.52	\$8.39	\$10.37	\$8.82	\$8.80	\$8.26	\$8.04	\$8.32	\$8.81	\$8.97	\$8.30	\$8.41	\$8.79	\$8.38	\$8.20
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,128	\$1,059	\$1,010	\$1,135	\$1,144	\$1,114	\$1,337	\$1,188	\$1,033	\$1,054	\$1,059	\$1,097	\$1,141	\$1,136	\$1,046	\$1,082	\$1,217	\$1,086	\$1,010
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	67%	63%	67%	74%	67%	77%	74%	73%	67%	62%	71%	75%	74%	69%	73%	68%	74%	78%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	309,885	18,593	11,563	23,064	9,120	5,989	39,073	4,408	6,814	11,681	14,083	8,995	41,099	10,351	4,124	29,922	10,499	57,708	2,799
Total Enrolled in VIEW ⁵	240,123	14,429	9,754	17,948	7,134	4,521	30,126	3,347	5,338	9,711	11,309	7,088	32,448	7,759	3,112	22,910	8,228	42,856	2,105
Part. in a Work Activity	229,524	13,926	9,380	17,071	6,962	4,279	28,972	3,221	5,217	9,169	10,955	6,803	31,103	7,315	2,933	21,792	7,829	40,567	2,030
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	96%	95%	98%	95%	96%	96%	98%	94%	97%	96%	96%	94%	94%	95%	95%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	161,295	8,956	6,257	12,068	4,973	2,945	20,939	2,238	3,929	6,627	6,872	4,335	21,986	5,087	1,967	15,802	5,591	29,539	1,184
% of Total Enrolled	67%	62%	64%	67%	70%	65%	70%	67%	74%	68%	61%	61%	68%	66%	63%	69%	68%	69%	56%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.36	\$6.39	\$6.51	\$7.26	\$7.36	\$7.52	\$8.90	\$7.66	\$7.58	\$6.82	\$6.57	\$7.01	\$7.55	\$8.14	\$7.19	\$7.23	\$7.23	\$7.04	\$6.92
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$965	\$839	\$875	\$970	\$975	\$1,001	\$1,173	\$1,005	\$955	\$874	\$869	\$900	\$999	\$1,034	\$910	\$937	\$974	\$914	\$863
Three Months Employment ⁹	66%	67%	61%	60%	67%	63%	71%	65%	63%	64%	63%	65%	69%	65%	58%	66%	65%	67%	71%

EDD1 - Southwest Region: Status through January 31, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/15 and Work Activity as of 1/30/15

Current Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,363	140	69	243	179	158	209	317	48
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	448	35	14	116	66	52	58	90	17
Participants in a Work Activity	375	33	12	104	52	45	48	67	14
% of Enrolled	84%	94%	86%	90%	79%	87%	83%	74%	82%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0
Participants Employed	226	20	12	55	13	28	32	53	13
% of Enrolled	50%	57%	86%	47%	20%	54%	55%	59%	76%
Full Time	180	15	11	40	11	20	26	48	9
Part Time	46	5	1	15	2	8	6	5	4
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.94	\$7.95	\$7.75	\$7.95	\$8.69	\$8.10	\$7.74	\$7.80	\$8.05
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,081	\$1,100	\$1,127	\$1,035	\$1,217	\$1,055	\$1,054	\$1,113	\$1,054

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – January 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	681	58	25	123	80	86	126	164	19
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	899	76	29	198	118	117	146	185	30
Participants in a Work Activity	847	76	27	191	104	111	141	169	28
% of Total Enrolled	94%	100%	93%	96%	88%	95%	97%	91%	93%
Participants Employed	469	43	21	104	35	61	81	100	24
% of Total Enrolled	52%	57%	72%	53%	30%	52%	55%	54%	80%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.09	\$8.40	\$7.66	\$8.27	\$8.79	\$7.92	\$7.99	\$7.84	\$7.82
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,059	\$1,126	\$1,048	\$1,075	\$1,185	\$964	\$1,043	\$1,070	\$908
Three Months Employment ⁸	67%	72%	50%	74%	67%	61%	73%	58%	85%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	18,593	1,793	1,252	2,633	2,569	1,844	3,539	4,359	604
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	14,429	1,366	978	2,052	2,079	1,381	2,700	3,392	481
Participants in a Work Activity	13,926	1,288	922	2,039	1,980	1,356	2,614	3,284	443
% of Total Enrolled	97%	94%	94%	99%	95%	98%	97%	97%	92%
Participants Employed	8,956	737	589	1,334	1,231	803	1,794	2,114	354
% of Total Enrolled	62%	54%	60%	65%	59%	58%	66%	62%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.39	\$6.57	\$6.40	\$6.24	\$6.55	\$6.70	\$6.26	\$6.40	\$6.20
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$839	\$857	\$850	\$812	\$865	\$891	\$825	\$842	\$761
Three Months Employment ⁹	67%	64%	55%	72%	67%	76%	66%	66%	71%

EDD2 – Bristol-Galax Region: Status through January 31, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/15 and Work Activity as of 1/30/15

Current Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	841	13	106	34	186	186	87	192	37
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	310	6	38	4	64	56	29	103	10
Participants in a Work Activity	266	6	37	3	53	47	21	90	9
% of Enrolled	86%	N/A	97%	75%	83%	84%	72%	87%	90%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
Participants Employed	171	2	34	3	40	26	13	48	5
% of Enrolled	55%	N/A	89%	75%	63%	46%	45%	47%	50%
Full Time	134	2	32	3	33	11	11	40	2
Part Time	37	0	2	0	7	15	2	8	3
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.60	\$8.68	\$7.48	\$7.97	\$7.05	\$7.47	\$7.68	\$8.01	\$8.60
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,007	\$1,343	\$1,074	\$1,223	\$1,005	\$790	\$998	\$1,052	\$1,029

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – January 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	470	10	46	17	102	90	54	140	11
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	669	11	70	16	157	116	55	221	23
Participants in a Work Activity	614	11	68	15	147	104	51	200	18
% of Total Enrolled	92%	100%	97%	94%	94%	90%	93%	90%	78%
Participants Employed	373	3	50	10	90	56	34	117	13
% of Total Enrolled	56%	27%	71%	63%	57%	48%	62%	53%	57%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.75	\$8.78	\$7.59	\$8.16	\$7.46	\$7.80	\$7.76	\$7.89	\$8.06
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,010	\$1,276	\$1,043	\$1,091	\$1,008	\$934	\$1,071	\$1,007	\$961
Three Months Employment ⁸	63%	100%	81%	57%	61%	52%	68%	62%	50%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	11,563	292	1,518	713	2,048	2,050	1,676	2,498	768
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	9,754	219	1,258	581	1,744	1,708	1,331	2,239	674
Participants in a Work Activity	9,380	207	1,167	544	1,690	1,667	1,308	2,159	638
% of Total Enrolled	96%	95%	93%	94%	97%	98%	98%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	6,257	126	846	375	1,023	1,059	886	1,520	422
% of Total Enrolled	64%	58%	67%	65%	59%	62%	67%	68%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.51	\$6.49	\$6.30	\$6.46	\$6.41	\$6.73	\$6.46	\$6.60	\$6.41
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$875	\$892	\$843	\$864	\$881	\$901	\$856	\$881	\$887
Three Months Employment ⁹	61%	56%	54%	61%	62%	58%	67%	62%	69%

EDD3 – Roanoke Region: Status through January 31, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/15 and Work Activity as of 1/30/15

Current Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,959	76	32	15	42	188	47	219	151	234	62	893
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	603	29	6	8	11	69	3	68	28	96	32	253
Participants in a Work Activity	425	20	2	2	7	55	3	53	22	69	23	169
% of Enrolled	70%	69%	33%	25%	64%	80%	100%	78%	79%	72%	72%	67%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	2	0
Participants Employed	337	13	1	2	5	26	3	50	17	52	19	149
% of Enrolled	56%	45%	17%	25%	45%	38%	100%	74%	61%	54%	59%	59%
Full Time	276	10	0	1	5	19	3	47	16	40	16	119
Part Time	61	3	1	1	0	7	0	3	1	12	3	30
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.24	\$8.49	\$7.25	\$8.38	\$8.02	\$8.32	\$11.83	\$8.23	\$7.91	\$8.33	\$8.27	\$8.14
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,123	\$1,048	\$785	\$1,115	\$1,127	\$1,089	\$1,593	\$1,203	\$1,127	\$1,090	\$1,101	\$1,114

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – January 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	1,133	40	19	9	16	121	11	122	76	126	37	556
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,339	60	11	10	21	132	15	162	78	160	59	631
Participants in a Work Activity	1,155	52	8	5	19	117	15	140	68	126	53	552
% of Total Enrolled	86%	87%	73%	50%	90%	89%	100%	86%	87%	79%	90%	87%
Participants Employed	749	27	3	3	11	59	9	119	38	95	43	342
% of Total Enrolled	56%	45%	27%	30%	52%	45%	60%	73%	49%	59%	73%	54%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.37	\$8.33	\$7.50	\$8.17	\$8.02	\$8.26	\$9.36	\$8.25	\$8.10	\$8.74	\$8.32	\$8.37
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,135	\$1,079	\$913	\$1,194	\$1,066	\$1,098	\$1,356	\$1,172	\$1,076	\$1,177	\$1,130	\$1,126
Three Months Employment ⁸	67%	74%	25%	67%	55%	79%	64%	68%	55%	64%	68%	68%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	23,064	1,252	398	110	593	2,065	580	3,233	1,984	2,582	914	9,353
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	17,948	969	251	64	440	1,610	426	2,660	1,583	2,093	722	7,130
Participants in a Work Activity	17,071	934	219	62	423	1,455	418	2,611	1,518	1,949	689	6,793
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	87%	97%	96%	90%	98%	98%	96%	93%	95%	95%
Participants Employed	12,068	670	112	30	286	991	246	2,072	996	1,537	506	4,622
% of Total Enrolled	67%	69%	45%	47%	65%	62%	58%	78%	63%	73%	70%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.26	\$7.02	\$7.54	\$7.34	\$7.16	\$7.19	\$7.19	\$7.11	\$6.92	\$7.76	\$7.15	\$7.33
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$970	\$856	\$960	\$1,042	\$956	\$965	\$964	\$958	\$956	\$1,041	\$924	\$983
Three Months Employment ⁹	60%	59%	53%	62%	54%	58%	52%	63%	53%	58%	62%	62%

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: Status through January 31, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/15 and Work Activity as of 1/30/15

Current Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	678	384	4	5	61	224
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	239	110	0	0	22	107
Participants in a Work Activity	195	83	N/A	N/A	18	94
% of Enrolled	82%	75%	N/A	N/A	82%	88%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	N/A	N/A	0	0
Participants Employed	164	68	N/A	N/A	17	79
% of Enrolled	69%	62%	N/A	N/A	77%	74%
Full Time	140	53	N/A	N/A	16	71
Part Time	24	15	N/A	N/A	1	8
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.32	\$8.12	N/A	N/A	\$8.53	\$8.46
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,156	\$1,082	N/A	N/A	\$1,237	\$1,203

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – January 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	387	219	0	1	21	146
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	488	258	0	0	40	190
Participants in a Work Activity	454	239	N/A	N/A	35	180
% of Total Enrolled	93%	93%	N/A	N/A	88%	95%
Participants Employed	339	158	N/A	N/A	28	153
% of Total Enrolled	69%	61%	N/A	N/A	70%	81%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.52	\$8.37	N/A	N/A	\$8.94	\$8.60
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,144	\$1,096	N/A	N/A	\$1,111	\$1,201
Three Months Employment ⁸	74%	62%	N/A	N/A	63%	83%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	9,120	4,860	80	24	787	3,369
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	7,134	3,866	52	8	593	2,615
Participants in a Work Activity	6,962	3,800	52	8	548	2,554
% of Total Enrolled	98%	98%	100%	100%	92%	98%
Participants Employed	4,973	2,590	32	6	418	1,927
% of Total Enrolled	70%	67%	62%	75%	70%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.36	\$7.34	\$6.56	\$7.79	\$6.91	\$7.49
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$975	\$974	\$717	\$784	\$877	\$1,001
Three Months Employment ⁹	67%	66%	61%	83%	68%	68%

EDDS 5 & 18 – Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: Status through January 31, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/15 and Work Activity as of 1/30/15

Current Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	345	14	60	35	47	111	78	202	129	73
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	139	4	20	17	21	43	34	73	32	41
Participants in a Work Activity	108	2	15	12	18	40	21	51	28	23
% of Enrolled	78%	2	75%	71%	86%	93%	62%	70%	88%	56%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1
Participants Employed	87	2	12	8	12	35	18	37	18	19
% of Enrolled	63%	2	60%	47%	57%	81%	53%	51%	56%	46%
Full Time	72	2	10	7	8	34	11	20	11	9
Part Time	15	0	2	1	4	1	7	17	7	10
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.29	\$8.13	\$8.23	\$7.84	\$8.52	\$8.05	\$8.87	\$8.24	\$8.28	\$8.21
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,065	\$1,055	\$1,075	\$978	\$902	\$1,103	\$1,132	\$1,063	\$1,159	\$972

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – January 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	192	7	29	31	30	46	49	121	87	34
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	278	6	42	45	39	88	58	154	82	72
Participants in a Work Activity	252	5	39	41	35	86	46	133	78	55
% of Total Enrolled	91%	83%	93%	91%	90%	98%	79%	86%	95%	76%
Participants Employed	183	4	29	25	25	71	29	84	46	38
% of Total Enrolled	66%	67%	69%	56%	64%	81%	50%	55%	56%	53%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.39	\$11.15	\$8.40	\$8.32	\$8.75	\$8.00	\$8.54	\$8.20	\$8.18	\$8.22
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,114	\$1,567	\$1,086	\$1,143	\$1,128	\$1,094	\$1,081	\$1,010	\$1,009	\$1,011
Three Months Employment ⁸	67%	33%	54%	44%	82%	80%	74%	78%	72%	84%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	5,989	232	1,313	895	738	1,435	1,376	2,799	1,800	999
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	4,521	141	988	734	463	1,101	1,094	2,105	1,325	780
Participants in a Work Activity	4,279	130	927	718	448	1,026	1,030	2,030	1,290	740
% of Total Enrolled	95%	92%	94%	98%	97%	93%	94%	96%	97%	95%
Participants Employed	2,945	82	579	506	273	756	749	1,184	676	508
% of Total Enrolled	65%	58%	59%	69%	59%	69%	68%	56%	51%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.52	\$7.74	\$7.91	\$7.43	\$6.99	\$7.54	\$7.46	\$6.92	\$6.98	\$6.85
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,001	\$1,030	\$1,030	\$1,003	\$953	\$1,017	\$977	\$863	\$868	\$856
Three Months Employment ⁹	63%	58%	61%	60%	70%	70%	59%	71%	65%	80%

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: Status through January 31, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/15 and Work Activity as of 1/30/15

Current Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	2,752	175	990	206	844	397	114	26
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,175	73	422	142	313	185	38	2
Participants in a Work Activity	950	67	368	93	244	152	24	2
% of Enrolled	81%	92%	87%	65%	78%	82%	63%	100%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	629	49	196	68	192	106	17	1
% of Enrolled	54%	67%	46%	48%	61%	57%	45%	50%
Full Time	459	26	131	44	153	88	16	1
Part Time	170	23	65	24	39	18	1	0
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.94	\$9.75	\$9.90	\$11.37	\$9.45	\$10.07	\$9.99	\$7.33
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,302	\$1,091	\$1,263	\$1,469	\$1,271	\$1,388	\$1,524	\$952

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – January 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	1,701	97	616	168	456	292	60	12
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,223	138	833	219	630	323	69	11
Participants in a Work Activity	1,966	131	758	191	539	272	67	8
% of Total Enrolled	88%	95%	91%	87%	86%	84%	97%	73%
Participants Employed	1,248	78	429	126	405	165	40	5
% of Total Enrolled	56%	57%	52%	58%	64%	51%	58%	45%
Average Wage ⁶	\$10.37	\$9.96	\$10.38	\$11.18	\$9.91	\$11.12	\$10.42	\$9.52
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,337	\$1,065	\$1,317	\$1,404	\$1,308	\$1,502	\$1,497	\$1,177
Three Months Employment ⁸	77%	66%	74%	78%	80%	86%	85%	50%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	39,073	3,157	13,949	2,873	12,391	4,533	1,676	494
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	30,126	2,492	10,565	2,283	9,606	3,532	1,285	363
Participants in a Work Activity	28,972	2,473	10,161	2,170	9,204	3,350	1,254	360
% of Total Enrolled	96%	99%	96%	95%	96%	95%	98%	99%
Participants Employed	20,939	1,776	7,039	1,732	6,892	2,432	824	244
% of Total Enrolled	70%	71%	67%	76%	72%	69%	64%	67%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.90	\$8.45	\$8.99	\$9.51	\$8.93	\$8.64	\$8.45	\$8.31
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,173	\$1,076	\$1,179	\$1,226	\$1,204	\$1,108	\$1,130	\$1,104
Three Months Employment ⁹	71%	69%	70%	72%	70%	78%	70%	76%

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: Status through January 31, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/15 and Work Activity as of 1/30/15

Current Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	329	120	73	23	104	9
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	105	35	28	5	34	3
Participants in a Work Activity	76	26	24	4	21	1
% of Enrolled	72%	74%	86%	80%	62%	33%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	1	2	0	0	0
Participants Employed	65	25	19	3	17	1
% of Enrolled	62%	71%	68%	60%	50%	33%
Full Time	51	22	14	0	15	0
Part Time	14	3	5	3	2	1
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.43	\$8.09	\$8.82	\$7.50	\$8.63	\$9.00
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,125	\$1,128	\$1,177	\$671	\$1,151	\$974

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – January 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	171	58	52	14	43	4
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	223	87	63	18	51	4
Participants in a Work Activity	189	73	55	16	42	3
% of Total Enrolled	85%	84%	87%	89%	82%	75%
Participants Employed	145	62	42	8	32	1
% of Total Enrolled	65%	71%	67%	44%	63%	25%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.82	\$8.55	\$9.13	\$8.25	\$9.05	\$9.00
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,188	\$1,171	\$1,226	\$992	\$1,219	\$968
Three Months Employment ⁸	74%	78%	64%	38%	88%	100%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	4,408	1,715	1,280	403	893	117
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	3,347	1,264	986	303	717	77
Participants in a Work Activity	3,221	1,216	968	295	669	73
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	98%	97%	93%	95%
Participants Employed	2,238	821	685	178	509	45
% of Total Enrolled	67%	65%	69%	59%	71%	58%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.66	\$7.66	\$8.02	\$7.26	\$7.34	\$7.48
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,005	\$991	\$1,047	\$1,003	\$973	\$973
Three Months Employment ⁹	65%	66%	68%	58%	62%	50%

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: Status through January 31, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/15 and Work Activity as of 1/30/15

Current Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	Cville
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	566	115	57	45	95	38	216
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	288	70	19	21	28	13	137
Participants in a Work Activity	243	68	15	12	24	11	113
% of Enrolled	84%	97%	79%	57%	86%	85%	82%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	0	0	0	1	2
Participants Employed	162	46	6	10	14	10	76
% of Enrolled	56%	66%	32%	48%	50%	77%	55%
Full Time	107	30	4	4	11	5	53
Part Time	55	16	2	6	3	5	23
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.69	\$8.51	\$7.91	\$8.05	\$8.19	\$8.44	\$9.07
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,100	\$1,054	\$1,002	\$1,003	\$1,191	\$1,065	\$1,137

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – January 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	Cville
Individuals Referred	334	83	19	29	56	16	131
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	481	109	32	30	69	22	219
Participants in a Work Activity	452	108	29	27	62	20	206
% of Total Enrolled	94%	99%	91%	90%	90%	91%	94%
Participants Employed	280	71	11	18	34	13	133
% of Total Enrolled	58%	65%	34%	60%	49%	59%	61%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.80	\$9.04	\$8.08	\$8.50	\$8.32	\$9.32	\$8.82
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,033	\$1,093	\$982	\$953	\$939	\$1,113	\$1,030
Three Months Employment ⁸	73%	86%	90%	75%	82%	75%	64%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	Cville
Individuals Referred	6,814	1,650	323	529	1,078	362	2,872
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	5,338	1,220	239	379	816	263	2,421
Participants in a Work Activity	5,217	1,194	233	359	790	260	2,381
% of Total Enrolled	98%	98%	97%	95%	97%	99%	98%
Participants Employed	3,929	869	149	278	511	158	1,964
% of Total Enrolled	74%	71%	62%	73%	63%	60%	81%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.58	\$8.01	\$8.02	\$7.46	\$7.79	\$7.95	\$7.40
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$955	\$1,024	\$1,067	\$918	\$989	\$1,017	\$927
Three Months Employment ⁹	63%	58%	63%	56%	63%	64%	66%

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: Status through January 31, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/15 and Work Activity as of 1/30/15

Current Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,024	89	72	165	216	482
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	433	28	23	68	129	185
Participants in a Work Activity	303	18	17	55	76	137
% of Enrolled	70%	64%	74%	81%	59%	74%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	0	0	1
Participants Employed	225	13	17	39	49	107
% of Enrolled	52%	46%	74%	57%	38%	58%
Full Time	155	10	11	26	35	73
Part Time	70	3	6	13	14	34
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.08	\$8.46	\$7.74	\$8.49	\$8.08	\$7.93
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,024	\$1,102	\$938	\$1,077	\$1,034	\$1,005

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – January 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	576	45	38	83	133	277
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	814	54	66	128	208	358
Participants in a Work Activity	713	44	63	112	182	312
% of Total Enrolled	88%	81%	95%	88%	88%	87%
Participants Employed	460	30	43	75	106	206
% of Total Enrolled	57%	56%	65%	59%	51%	58%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.26	\$8.47	\$8.20	\$8.67	\$8.42	\$8.01
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,054	\$1,263	\$1,113	\$1,114	\$1,103	\$960
Three Months Employment ⁸	67%	82%	52%	87%	63%	61%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	11,681	1,083	911	2,353	2,722	4,612
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	9,711	864	801	1,894	2,342	3,810
Participants in a Work Activity	9,169	817	789	1,756	2,208	3,599
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	99%	93%	94%	94%
Participants Employed	6,627	567	565	1,230	1,604	2,661
% of Total Enrolled	68%	66%	71%	65%	68%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.82	\$7.02	\$6.53	\$6.91	\$7.01	\$6.71
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$874	\$900	\$893	\$878	\$914	\$843
Three Months Employment ⁹	64%	60%	55%	60%	63%	68%

EDD10 - Danville Region: Status through January 31, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/15 and Work Activity as of 1/30/15

Current Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,215	171	409	86	183	366
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	348	44	132	40	37	95
Participants in a Work Activity	260	24	109	23	24	80
% of Enrolled	75%	55%	83%	58%	65%	84%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	0	0	2	0
Participants Employed	176	23	72	16	17	48
% of Enrolled	51%	52%	55%	40%	46%	51%
Full Time	139	18	51	10	16	44
Part Time	37	5	21	6	1	4
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.97	\$8.33	\$8.03	\$8.05	\$7.60	\$7.82
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,090	\$1,110	\$1,095	\$1,089	\$997	\$1,108

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – January 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	641	79	201	63	92	206
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	766	92	259	83	99	233
Participants in a Work Activity	681	78	228	73	91	211
% of Total Enrolled	89%	85%	88%	88%	92%	91%
Participants Employed	366	41	138	39	39	109
% of Total Enrolled	48%	45%	53%	47%	39%	47%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.04	\$8.46	\$8.17	\$7.74	\$7.90	\$7.86
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,059	\$1,035	\$1,091	\$1,001	\$997	\$1,068
Three Months Employment ⁸	62%	70%	63%	58%	47%	63%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	14,083	2,155	3,806	1,375	2,342	4,405
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	11,309	1,778	2,953	1,185	1,790	3,603
Participants in a Work Activity	10,955	1,716	2,839	1,117	1,761	3,522
% of Total Enrolled	97%	97%	96%	94%	98%	98%
Participants Employed	6,872	985	1,888	793	947	2,259
% of Total Enrolled	61%	55%	64%	67%	53%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.57	\$6.53	\$6.98	\$6.46	\$6.56	\$6.28
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$869	\$858	\$944	\$837	\$878	\$820
Three Months Employment ⁹	63%	59%	64%	74%	59%	61%

EDD11 - Southside Region: Status through January 31, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/15 and Work Activity as of 1/30/15

Current Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	796	36	89	58	52	51	123	31	147	99	110
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	332	15	39	19	29	23	48	25	35	44	55
Participants in a Work Activity	265	13	25	17	23	22	45	16	26	36	42
% of Enrolled	80%	87%	64%	89%	79%	96%	94%	64%	74%	82%	76%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Participants Employed	161	8	14	12	8	11	26	7	17	28	30
% of Enrolled	48%	53%	36%	63%	28%	48%	54%	28%	49%	64%	55%
Full Time	107	7	8	11	6	8	15	4	11	17	20
Part Time	54	1	6	1	2	3	11	3	6	11	10
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.10	\$8.88	\$8.19	\$7.33	\$10.39	\$9.10	\$8.00	\$7.64	\$7.48	\$8.09	\$7.73
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,054	\$1,321	\$1,003	\$953	\$1,497	\$1,133	\$1,019	\$932	\$965	\$1,073	\$993

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – January 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	462	23	70	33	43	15	74	23	56	63	62
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	622	31	77	41	62	39	88	34	66	83	101
Participants in a Work Activity	563	28	68	39	58	35	83	26	58	77	91
% of Total Enrolled	91%	90%	88%	95%	94%	90%	94%	76%	88%	93%	90%
Participants Employed	349	20	39	23	31	21	49	13	34	54	65
% of Total Enrolled	56%	65%	51%	56%	50%	54%	56%	38%	52%	65%	64%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.32	\$9.33	\$8.28	\$7.96	\$8.49	\$8.70	\$8.21	\$8.35	\$7.96	\$8.54	\$8.00
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,097	\$1,254	\$1,033	\$1,061	\$1,148	\$1,133	\$1,056	\$1,083	\$1,014	\$1,170	\$1,071
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	64%	70%	64%	86%	68%	70%	73%	71%	74%	67%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	8,995	572	1,091	851	790	603	1,060	426	1,297	1,104	1,201
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	7,088	425	875	658	605	453	844	341	1,028	862	997
Participants in a Work Activity	6,803	403	870	625	566	430	814	336	985	801	973
% of Total Enrolled	96%	95%	99%	95%	94%	95%	96%	99%	96%	93%	98%
Participants Employed	4,335	261	501	377	314	262	510	168	668	574	700
% of Total Enrolled	61%	61%	57%	57%	52%	58%	60%	49%	65%	67%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.01	\$7.65	\$6.46	\$7.18	\$7.45	\$7.55	\$7.01	\$6.76	\$6.75	\$7.06	\$6.95
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$900	\$979	\$842	\$961	\$941	\$974	\$850	\$838	\$855	\$927	\$906
Three Months Employment ⁹	65%	61%	66%	59%	59%	58%	65%	60%	66%	69%	68%

EDD12 - Richmond Region: Status through January 31, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/15 and Work Activity as of 1/30/15

Current Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	3,908	728	26	101	1,051	41	1,961
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,549	267	6	57	466	16	737
Participants in a Work Activity	1,197	209	6	40	350	14	578
% of Enrolled	77%	78%	100%	70%	75%	88%	78%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	8	3	0	1	1	0	3
Participants Employed	889	161	3	37	261	11	416
% of Enrolled	57%	60%	50%	65%	56%	69%	56%
Full Time	683	136	1	30	185	6	325
Part Time	206	25	2	7	76	5	91
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.76	\$9.27	\$8.94	\$8.90	\$8.92	\$8.79	\$8.46
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,204	\$1,352	\$1,156	\$1,227	\$1,214	\$1,148	\$1,141

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – January 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	1,998	395	18	60	551	19	955
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,865	522	17	96	926	27	1,277
Participants in a Work Activity	2,490	473	17	77	790	27	1,106
% of Total Enrolled	87%	91%	100%	80%	85%	100%	87%
Participants Employed	1,650	299	8	63	554	18	708
% of Total Enrolled	58%	57%	47%	66%	60%	67%	55%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.81	\$9.33	\$9.01	\$8.99	\$8.95	\$9.01	\$8.45
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,141	\$1,255	\$1,158	\$1,175	\$1,122	\$1,223	\$1,102
Three Months Employment ⁸	75%	80%	60%	81%	76%	67%	73%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	41,099	8,673	316	1,483	10,439	414	19,774
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	32,448	6,618	245	1,061	8,602	309	15,613
Participants in a Work Activity	31,103	6,400	235	978	8,093	292	15,105
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	96%	92%	94%	94%	97%
Participants Employed	21,986	4,177	162	691	5,832	175	10,949
% of Total Enrolled	68%	63%	66%	65%	68%	57%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.55	\$7.87	\$7.43	\$8.37	\$7.87	\$7.92	\$7.26
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$999	\$1,050	\$949	\$1,062	\$1,027	\$999	\$968
Three Months Employment ⁹	69%	69%	65%	67%	66%	62%	72%

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: Status through January 31, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/15 and Work Activity as of 1/30/15

Current Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	959	132	54	344	275	154
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	454	73	21	142	124	94
Participants in a Work Activity	335	48	20	111	87	69
% of Enrolled	74%	66%	95%	78%	70%	73%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	7	6	0	0	0	1
Participants Employed	253	40	14	91	56	52
% of Enrolled	56%	55%	67%	64%	45%	55%
Full Time	186	30	9	71	41	35
Part Time	67	10	5	20	15	17
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.82	\$9.24	\$8.75	\$8.68	\$9.03	\$8.54
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,134	\$1,198	\$1,177	\$1,105	\$1,162	\$1,094

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – January 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	598	62	35	221	160	120
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	828	119	37	294	203	175
Participants in a Work Activity	730	102	36	269	174	149
% of Total Enrolled	88%	86%	97%	91%	86%	85%
Participants Employed	480	72	24	183	105	96
% of Total Enrolled	58%	61%	65%	62%	52%	55%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.97	\$8.97	\$9.29	\$8.69	\$9.70	\$8.62
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,136	\$1,132	\$1,145	\$1,091	\$1,288	\$1,053
Three Months Employment ⁸	74%	77%	64%	72%	89%	62%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	10,351	1,523	618	3,197	2,997	2,016
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	7,759	1,105	437	2,484	2,012	1,721
Participants in a Work Activity	7,315	1,050	415	2,307	1,915	1,628
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	95%	93%	95%	95%
Participants Employed	5,087	677	258	1,700	1,190	1,262
% of Total Enrolled	66%	61%	59%	68%	59%	73%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.14	\$7.80	\$7.67	\$8.11	\$8.80	\$7.92
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,034	\$984	\$939	\$1,023	\$1,150	\$998
Three Months Employment ⁹	65%	59%	58%	66%	68%	65%

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: Status through January 31, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/15 and Work Activity as of 1/30/15

Current Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	375	67	29	25	38	20	50	38	31	77
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	139	29	11	12	8	4	17	8	22	28
Participants in a Work Activity	107	23	7	11	6	4	16	7	11	22
% of Enrolled	77%	79%	64%	92%	75%	100%	94%	88%	50%	79%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	75	16	4	4	5	4	16	2	10	14
% of Enrolled	54%	55%	36%	33%	63%	100%	94%	25%	45%	50%
Full Time	64	14	3	4	4	4	14	1	9	11
Part Time	11	2	1	0	1	0	2	1	1	3
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.08	\$7.66	\$7.25	\$8.88	\$8.29	\$8.13	\$8.06	\$8.03	\$8.65	\$8.08
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,086	\$1,020	\$863	\$1,316	\$1,093	\$1,191	\$1,091	\$1,205	\$1,199	\$1,022

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – January 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	184	31	18	15	13	6	27	20	22	32
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	259	50	31	24	16	13	42	18	26	39
Participants in a Work Activity	235	45	26	22	15	13	42	14	20	38
% of Total Enrolled	91%	90%	84%	92%	94%	100%	100%	78%	77%	97%
Participants Employed	157	32	17	10	10	12	34	6	12	24
% of Total Enrolled	61%	64%	55%	42%	63%	92%	81%	33%	46%	62%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.30	\$8.75	\$8.61	\$9.19	\$8.28	\$8.13	\$7.84	\$7.82	\$8.56	\$7.96
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,046	\$1,058	\$984	\$1,357	\$1,113	\$881	\$1,054	\$904	\$1,204	\$923
Three Months Employment ⁸	69%	74%	46%	75%	50%	67%	77%	75%	67%	72%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	4,124	626	313	371	442	225	509	370	384	884
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	3,112	506	217	305	348	161	384	300	234	657
Participants in a Work Activity	2,933	486	205	291	330	154	362	289	218	598
% of Total Enrolled	94%	96%	94%	95%	95%	96%	94%	96%	93%	91%
Participants Employed	1,967	339	115	182	234	109	267	166	139	416
% of Total Enrolled	63%	67%	53%	60%	67%	68%	70%	55%	59%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.19	\$7.21	\$7.25	\$7.64	\$6.96	\$7.15	\$7.21	\$6.89	\$7.18	\$7.18
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$910	\$886	\$884	\$1,005	\$871	\$908	\$917	\$862	\$943	\$925
Three Months Employment ⁹	58%	50%	46%	59%	50%	71%	73%	50%	43%	65%

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: Status through January 31, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/15 and Work Activity as of 1/30/15

Current Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	NewKent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	2,687	16	113	138	42	93	926	1,335	24
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,116	6	44	66	17	65	370	532	16
Participants in a Work Activity	902	4	37	48	9	51	316	425	12
% of Enrolled	81%	67%	84%	73%	53%	78%	85%	80%	75%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	10	0	1	1	0	1	7	0	0
Participants Employed	643	4	31	33	6	33	223	303	10
% of Enrolled	58%	67%	70%	50%	35%	51%	60%	57%	63%
Full Time	507	3	27	25	5	19	165	257	6
Part Time	136	1	4	8	1	14	58	46	4
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.42	\$8.69	\$7.35	\$9.09	\$9.17	\$8.79	\$8.27	\$8.48	\$9.12
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,121	\$1,251	\$909	\$1,142	\$1,268	\$1,006	\$1,080	\$1,178	\$1,125

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – January 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	1,634	10	69	81	17	62	524	850	21
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,214	8	79	110	33	111	765	1,080	28
Participants in a Work Activity	1,990	7	73	92	27	99	703	962	27
% of Total Enrolled	90%	88%	92%	84%	82%	89%	92%	89%	96%
Participants Employed	1,272	5	53	60	15	60	466	596	17
% of Total Enrolled	57%	63%	67%	55%	45%	54%	61%	55%	61%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.41	\$8.95	\$7.52	\$8.76	\$9.89	\$8.97	\$8.30	\$8.43	\$8.92
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,082	\$1,290	\$864	\$1,057	\$1,314	\$1,019	\$1,058	\$1,126	\$979
Three Months Employment ⁸	73%	100%	68%	67%	50%	90%	75%	71%	62%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	29,922	179	1,227	1,350	409	1,284	10,334	14,685	454
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	22,910	130	887	1,078	298	999	7,770	11,400	348
Participants in a Work Activity	21,792	123	823	999	286	953	7,564	10,720	324
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	93%	93%	96%	95%	97%	94%	93%
Participants Employed	15,802	76	578	741	168	617	5,437	7,947	238
% of Total Enrolled	69%	58%	65%	69%	56%	62%	70%	70%	68%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.23	\$7.26	\$6.97	\$7.69	\$7.75	\$7.54	\$7.23	\$7.17	\$7.44
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$937	\$973	\$853	\$932	\$1,044	\$903	\$931	\$947	\$916
Three Months Employment ⁹	66%	52%	58%	58%	71%	61%	64%	69%	65%

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: Status through January 31, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/15 and Work Activity as of 1/30/15

Current Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,019	108	82	32	69	270	458
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	407	12	34	18	46	119	178
Participants in a Work Activity	305	12	26	16	18	94	139
% of Enrolled	75%	100%	76%	89%	39%	79%	78%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	0	0	1	0	1	2
Participants Employed	232	7	22	10	14	60	119
% of Enrolled	57%	58%	65%	56%	30%	50%	67%
Full Time	190	5	17	6	10	44	108
Part Time	42	2	5	4	4	16	11
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.49	\$8.43	\$8.88	\$8.63	\$8.30	\$8.56	\$8.41
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,163	\$1,131	\$1,181	\$1,049	\$1,062	\$1,123	\$1,203

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – January 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	528	51	57	15	43	141	221
Total Enrolled in VIEW	789	37	67	31	65	239	350
Participants in a Work Activity	697	36	62	29	55	208	307
% of Total Enrolled	88%	97%	93%	94%	85%	87%	88%
Participants Employed	462	27	35	19	24	136	221
% of Total Enrolled	59%	73%	52%	61%	37%	57%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.79	\$9.47	\$9.12	\$9.38	\$8.41	\$8.85	\$8.60
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,217	\$1,410	\$1,223	\$1,187	\$1,113	\$1,210	\$1,214
Three Months Employment ⁸	68%	75%	87%	50%	54%	63%	72%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	10,499	1,355	965	421	825	2,632	4,301
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	8,228	882	730	350	665	2,146	3,455
Participants in a Work Activity	7,829	879	636	333	636	2,036	3,309
% of Total Enrolled	95%	100%	87%	95%	96%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	5,591	582	436	244	428	1,464	2,437
% of Total Enrolled	68%	66%	60%	70%	64%	68%	71%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.23	\$7.11	\$7.71	\$7.49	\$7.13	\$7.14	\$7.22
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$974	\$996	\$1,020	\$904	\$969	\$955	\$982
Three Months Employment ⁹	65%	58%	59%	55%	62%	63%	70%

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: Status through January 31, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/15 and Work Activity as of 1/30/15

Current Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South -ampton	Chesa-peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	4,600	109	54	778	67	1,562	938	333	759
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,751	23	25	302	20	666	363	92	260
Participants in a Work Activity	1,386	20	19	249	16	509	297	70	206
% of Enrolled	79%	87%	76%	82%	80%	76%	82%	76%	79%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	9	1	0	0	0	0	6	0	2
Participants Employed	1,004	17	17	185	10	379	182	56	158
% of Enrolled	57%	74%	68%	61%	50%	57%	50%	61%	61%
Full Time	849	15	12	160	7	331	152	46	126
Part Time	155	2	5	25	3	48	30	10	32
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.38	\$7.90	\$8.48	\$8.62	\$7.48	\$8.39	\$8.16	\$8.40	\$8.44
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,134	\$988	\$1,155	\$1,226	\$991	\$1,109	\$1,117	\$1,159	\$1,116

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – January 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South -ampton	Chesa-peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	2,535	53	36	452	52	794	486	199	463
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	3,384	43	53	643	67	1,157	672	219	530
Participants in a Work Activity	3,031	42	47	560	66	1,050	598	206	462
% of Total Enrolled	90%	98%	89%	87%	99%	91%	89%	94%	87%
Participants Employed	1,931	27	30	390	33	676	356	117	302
% of Total Enrolled	57%	63%	57%	61%	49%	58%	53%	53%	57%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.38	\$8.18	\$8.62	\$8.57	\$8.17	\$8.32	\$8.24	\$8.35	\$8.51
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,086	\$1,076	\$1,166	\$1,132	\$958	\$1,071	\$1,065	\$1,143	\$1,076
Three Months Employment ⁸	74%	84%	95%	73%	44%	79%	69%	70%	73%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South -ampton	Chesa-peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	57,708	1,320	1,080	9,384	921	18,482	9,306	3,654	13,561
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	42,856	950	870	6,989	750	13,636	7,428	2,874	9,359
Participants in a Work Activity	40,567	916	833	6,666	739	12,877	7,005	2,844	8,687
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	96%	95%	99%	94%	94%	99%	93%
Participants Employed	29,539	641	508	4,987	484	9,505	5,227	2,091	6,096
% of Total Enrolled	69%	67%	58%	71%	65%	70%	70%	73%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.04	\$7.00	\$6.80	\$7.23	\$6.77	\$6.94	\$6.90	\$6.87	\$7.35
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$914	\$920	\$850	\$949	\$844	\$882	\$915	\$893	\$966
Three Months Employment ⁹	67%	59%	54%	64%	64%	68%	69%	68%	69%

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date

This section lists the relative state fiscal year to date rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Wage, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	56.1%	4.62	62	\$8.18	1.94	85	71.9%	6.25	55	4.27	70
003	Albemarle	65.1%	5.96	20	\$9.04	4.25	22	86.1%	8.14	13	6.12	10
005	Alleghany/Covington	45.0%	2.97	104	\$8.33	2.34	69	74.2%	6.56	42	3.96	85
007	Amelia	64.5%	5.87	23	\$9.33	5.03	14	64.3%	5.24	78	5.38	29
009	Amherst	55.6%	4.54	65	\$8.47	2.72	57	82.1%	7.62	21	4.96	42
011	Appomattox	65.2%	5.97	19	\$8.20	1.99	84	51.5%	3.54	105	3.83	91
013	Arlington	56.5%	4.68	60	\$9.96	6.72	6	66.1%	5.48	77	5.63	22
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	61.2%	5.38	38	\$8.37	2.45	65	61.7%	4.89	90	4.24	72
017	Bath	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
019	Bedford County/City	58.6%	4.99	49	\$8.67	3.25	43	87.3%	8.31	10	5.52	26
021	Bland	27.3%	0.34	116	\$8.78	3.55	36	100.0%	10.00	1	4.63	55
023	Botetourt	27.3%	0.34	117	\$7.50	0.11	117	25.0%	0.00	118	0.15	118
025	Brunswick	50.6%	3.81	91	\$8.28	2.20	75	69.6%	5.94	62	3.99	83
027	Buchanan	56.6%	4.69	59	\$8.40	2.53	63	71.9%	6.25	56	4.49	61
029	Buckingham	56.1%	4.62	63	\$7.96	1.34	100	64.3%	5.24	79	3.73	93
031	Campbell	51.0%	3.86	90	\$8.42	2.58	61	63.2%	5.10	86	3.85	90
033	Caroline	60.5%	5.27	43	\$8.97	4.06	27	76.6%	6.88	33	5.40	28
035	Carroll	71.4%	6.90	10	\$7.59	0.35	115	81.4%	7.52	23	4.92	43
036	Charles City	62.5%	5.57	31	\$8.95	4.01	29	100.0%	10.00	2	6.53	5
037	Charlotte	50.0%	3.71	92	\$8.49	2.77	56	85.7%	8.09	14	4.86	46
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	57.3%	4.80	55	\$9.33	5.03	15	79.7%	7.30	26	5.71	17
043	Clarke	66.7%	6.19	15	\$11.15	9.92	2	33.3%	1.11	117	5.74	15
045	Craig	30.0%	0.74	114	\$8.17	1.91	87	66.7%	5.56	70	2.74	113
047	Culpeper	71.3%	6.87	11	\$8.55	2.93	51	77.6%	7.01	30	5.60	23
049	Cumberland	53.8%	4.29	76	\$8.70	3.33	41	68.4%	5.79	64	4.47	63
051	Dickensen	72.4%	7.04	9	\$7.66	0.54	114	50.0%	3.33	106	3.64	97
053	Dinwiddie	73.0%	7.13	7	\$9.47	5.40	11	75.0%	6.67	36	6.40	6
057	Essex	64.0%	5.79	27	\$8.75	3.47	38	73.7%	6.49	45	5.25	34
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	51.5%	3.94	88	\$10.38	7.85	5	73.9%	6.52	44	6.10	11
061	Fauquier	66.7%	6.19	16	\$9.13	4.49	19	64.3%	5.24	80	5.31	32
063	Floyd	52.4%	4.07	83	\$8.02	1.51	95	54.6%	3.94	101	3.17	106
065	Fluvanna	34.4%	1.39	112	\$8.08	1.67	93	90.0%	8.67	5	3.91	87
067	Franklin County	44.7%	2.93	105	\$8.26	2.15	78	79.3%	7.23	28	4.10	78
069	Frederick	69.0%	6.54	13	\$8.40	2.53	64	53.6%	3.81	103	4.29	68
071	Giles	60.0%	5.20	44	\$9.36	5.11	13	63.6%	5.15	84	5.15	35
073	Gloucester	67.1%	6.25	14	\$7.52	0.16	116	68.4%	5.79	65	4.07	79
075	Goochland	47.1%	3.28	98	\$9.01	4.17	23	60.0%	4.67	96	4.04	80
077	Grayson	62.5%	5.57	32	\$8.16	1.88	90	57.1%	4.29	99	3.91	86

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	60.0%	5.20	45	\$8.50	2.80	55	75.0%	6.67	37	4.89	44
081	Greensville/Emporia	55.7%	4.56	64	\$8.21	2.02	83	70.2%	6.03	59	4.20	73
083	Halifax	44.6%	2.91	106	\$8.46	2.69	58	69.7%	5.96	60	3.85	89
085	Hanover	65.6%	6.04	18	\$8.99	4.11	26	81.4%	7.52	24	5.89	13
087	Henrico	59.8%	5.17	46	\$8.95	4.01	30	76.1%	6.81	34	5.33	31
089	Henry/Martinsville	53.3%	4.20	78	\$8.17	1.91	88	63.1%	5.08	87	3.73	94
091	Highland	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
093	Isle of Wight	62.8%	5.61	29	\$8.18	1.94	86	84.2%	7.89	18	5.15	36
095	James City	54.5%	4.39	72	\$8.76	3.49	37	66.7%	5.56	71	4.48	62
097	King and Queen	54.8%	4.43	71	\$8.61	3.09	46	46.2%	2.82	113	3.45	99
099	King George	64.9%	5.92	22	\$9.29	4.92	17	64.3%	5.24	81	5.36	30
101	King William	41.7%	2.48	108	\$9.19	4.65	18	75.0%	6.67	38	4.60	56
103	Lancaster	62.5%	5.57	33	\$8.28	2.20	76	50.0%	3.33	107	3.70	95
105	Lee	52.5%	4.09	82	\$8.27	2.18	77	73.7%	6.49	46	4.25	71
107	Loudoun	57.5%	4.83	53	\$11.18	10.00	1	77.6%	7.01	31	7.28	2
109	Louisa	49.3%	3.61	94	\$8.32	2.31	70	81.8%	7.58	22	4.50	60
111	Lunenburg	38.2%	1.97	110	\$8.35	2.39	67	73.3%	6.44	48	3.60	98
113	Madison	44.4%	2.89	107	\$8.25	2.12	79	37.5%	1.67	116	2.23	116
115	Mathews	92.3%	10.00	1	\$8.13	1.80	91	66.7%	5.56	72	5.79	14
117	Mecklenburg	51.5%	3.94	87	\$7.96	1.34	101	70.8%	6.11	58	3.80	92
119	Middlesex	81.0%	8.31	2	\$7.84	1.02	107	76.9%	6.92	32	5.42	27
121	Montgomery	73.5%	7.20	6	\$8.25	2.12	80	67.9%	5.72	66	5.01	40
125	Nelson	59.1%	5.06	48	\$9.32	5.00	16	75.0%	6.67	39	5.58	25
127	New Kent	45.5%	3.04	102	\$9.89	6.53	8	50.0%	3.33	108	4.30	67
131	Northampton	52.8%	4.13	81	\$8.22	2.04	82	84.4%	7.92	17	4.70	52
133	Northumberland	33.3%	1.24	113	\$7.82	0.97	109	75.0%	6.67	40	2.96	110
135	Nottoway	65.1%	5.95	21	\$8.54	2.90	52	74.0%	6.53	43	5.13	37
137	Orange	62.7%	5.61	30	\$9.05	4.27	21	87.5%	8.33	8	6.07	12
139	Page	55.6%	4.54	66	\$8.32	2.31	71	44.4%	2.59	114	3.15	107
141	Patrick	47.0%	3.27	99	\$7.74	0.75	113	57.6%	4.34	97	2.79	111
143	Pittsylvania	39.4%	2.14	109	\$7.90	1.18	104	47.2%	2.96	112	2.09	117
145	Powhatan	66.7%	6.19	17	\$9.01	4.17	24	66.7%	5.56	73	5.30	33
147	Prince Edward	64.4%	5.85	24	\$8.00	1.45	97	66.7%	5.56	74	4.28	69
149	Prince George	52.2%	4.05	84	\$9.12	4.46	20	87.0%	8.26	11	5.59	24
153	Prince William	64.3%	5.84	25	\$9.91	6.59	7	79.9%	7.32	25	6.58	4
155	Pulaski	48.7%	3.52	96	\$8.10	1.72	92	54.8%	3.98	100	3.07	109
157	Rappahannock	25.0%	0.00	118	\$9.00	4.14	25	100.0%	10.00	3	4.71	49
159	Richmond County	46.2%	3.14	101	\$8.56	2.96	50	66.7%	5.56	75	3.89	88
161	Roanoke County	59.4%	5.11	47	\$8.74	3.44	40	64.1%	5.21	82	4.59	57
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	70.0%	6.69	12	\$8.94	3.98	31	87.5%	8.33	9	6.33	8
165	Rockingham/H'burg	80.5%	8.25	4	\$8.60	3.06	47	83.3%	7.78	19	6.36	7
167	Russell	29.7%	0.69	115	\$8.79	3.58	35	66.7%	5.56	76	3.27	102
169	Scott	52.1%	4.03	85	\$7.92	1.24	103	60.8%	4.77	93	3.35	101
171	Shenandoah	64.1%	5.81	26	\$8.75	3.47	39	82.4%	7.65	20	5.64	21

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	57.3%	4.80	54	\$7.46	0.00	118	60.6%	4.75	95	3.18	105
175	<i>Southampton</i>	56.6%	4.70	58	\$8.62	3.12	44	95.0%	9.33	4	5.72	16
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	62.2%	5.53	34	\$8.69	3.31	42	72.3%	6.31	52	5.05	38
179	<i>Stafford</i>	51.7%	3.97	86	\$9.70	6.02	9	88.5%	8.47	7	6.15	9
181	<i>Surry</i>	61.3%	5.39	37	\$9.38	5.16	12	50.0%	3.33	109	4.63	54
183	<i>Sussex</i>	36.9%	1.77	111	\$8.41	2.55	62	54.2%	3.89	102	2.74	112
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	55.5%	4.53	67	\$7.99	1.42	99	73.5%	6.46	47	4.14	77
187	<i>Warren</i>	80.7%	8.27	3	\$8.00	1.45	98	79.6%	7.27	27	5.67	20
191	<i>Washington</i>	48.3%	3.46	97	\$7.80	0.91	111	52.3%	3.64	104	2.67	115
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	61.5%	5.43	36	\$7.96	1.34	102	72.2%	6.30	53	4.36	66
195	<i>Wise</i>	54.1%	4.32	74	\$7.84	1.02	108	57.5%	4.34	98	3.23	103
197	<i>Wythe</i>	61.8%	5.47	35	\$7.76	0.81	112	67.9%	5.71	67	4.00	82
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	54.1%	4.32	75	\$8.97	4.06	28	89.7%	8.63	6	5.67	19
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	51.1%	3.88	89	\$11.12	9.84	3	86.2%	8.16	12	7.29	1
520	<i>Bristol</i>	52.9%	4.15	80	\$7.89	1.16	105	61.5%	4.87	91	3.39	100
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	60.7%	5.31	40	\$8.82	3.66	34	63.8%	5.17	83	4.71	50
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	60.7%	5.30	42	\$8.57	2.98	49	72.7%	6.36	51	4.88	45
590	<i>Danville</i>	46.8%	3.24	100	\$7.86	1.08	106	63.4%	5.13	85	3.15	108
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	49.3%	3.60	95	\$8.17	1.91	89	44.4%	2.59	115	2.70	114
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	54.9%	4.44	70	\$8.62	3.12	45	62.0%	4.94	89	4.16	75
640	<i>Galax</i>	56.5%	4.68	61	\$8.06	1.61	94	50.0%	3.33	110	3.21	104
650	<i>Hampton</i>	60.9%	5.34	39	\$8.30	2.26	74	75.2%	6.70	35	4.76	48
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	56.9%	4.74	57	\$8.85	3.74	33	63.0%	5.06	88	4.51	59
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	57.5%	4.83	52	\$8.01	1.48	96	60.8%	4.77	94	3.69	96
683	<i>Manassas</i>	58.0%	4.90	51	\$10.42	7.96	4	84.9%	7.98	15	6.95	3
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	45.5%	3.04	103	\$9.52	5.54	10	50.0%	3.33	111	3.97	84
700	<i>Newport News</i>	55.2%	4.48	69	\$8.43	2.61	60	71.5%	6.20	57	4.43	64
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	58.4%	4.97	50	\$8.32	2.31	72	79.2%	7.23	29	4.83	47
720	<i>Norton</i>	80.0%	8.17	5	\$7.82	0.97	110	84.6%	7.95	16	5.70	18
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	63.1%	5.67	28	\$8.60	3.06	48	72.0%	6.26	54	5.00	41
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	53.0%	4.16	79	\$8.24	2.10	81	68.7%	5.83	63	4.03	81
750	<i>Radford</i>	72.9%	7.11	8	\$8.32	2.31	73	67.7%	5.70	68	5.04	39
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	55.4%	4.52	68	\$8.45	2.66	59	73.2%	6.42	49	4.53	58
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	54.2%	4.34	73	\$8.37	2.45	66	67.6%	5.68	69	4.15	76
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	53.4%	4.22	77	\$8.35	2.39	68	69.7%	5.95	61	4.19	74
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	57.0%	4.75	56	\$8.51	2.82	54	73.1%	6.41	50	4.66	53
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	60.7%	5.31	41	\$8.92	3.92	32	61.5%	4.87	92	4.70	51
840	<i>Winchester</i>	50.0%	3.71	93	\$8.54	2.90	53	74.3%	6.57	41	4.40	65

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores is done to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

VIEW Rankings, From Inception of VIEW

This section lists the relative cumulative rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Monthly Earnings, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	51.0%	1.75	117	\$868	2.98	94	64.9%	5.40	50	3.38	109
003	Albemarle	71.2%	7.29	14	\$1,024	6.03	19	58.1%	3.72	93	5.68	29
005	Alleghany/Covington	69.1%	6.72	30	\$856	2.73	101	58.6%	3.82	90	4.42	80
007	Amelia	61.4%	4.60	84	\$979	5.15	36	61.1%	4.46	74	4.74	69
009	Amherst	65.6%	5.75	56	\$900	3.60	79	59.8%	4.14	80	4.50	76
011	Appomattox	70.5%	7.10	16	\$893	3.47	80	54.6%	2.83	107	4.47	79
013	Arlington	71.3%	7.30	13	\$1,076	7.06	8	68.9%	6.40	25	6.92	6
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	67.0%	6.13	48	\$974	5.05	38	66.3%	5.76	40	5.65	32
017	Bath	61.5%	4.63	83	\$717	0.00	120	61.3%	4.51	72	3.05	115
019	Bedford County/City	64.9%	5.57	65	\$878	3.16	91	60.2%	4.22	78	4.32	86
021	Bland	57.5%	3.54	106	\$892	3.44	82	55.8%	3.12	104	3.37	110
023	Botetourt	44.6%	0.00	120	\$960	4.78	49	53.3%	2.50	112	2.43	119
025	Brunswick	57.3%	3.46	108	\$842	2.46	111	66.4%	5.77	39	3.90	99
027	Buchanan	54.0%	2.56	113	\$857	2.75	99	64.5%	5.30	53	3.53	106
029	Buckingham	57.3%	3.47	107	\$961	4.80	48	59.0%	3.93	85	4.07	93
031	Campbell	68.5%	6.54	35	\$914	3.87	73	63.0%	4.92	61	5.11	54
033	Caroline	61.3%	4.56	85	\$984	5.24	33	59.0%	3.94	84	4.58	72
035	Carroll	67.2%	6.20	44	\$843	2.48	109	54.4%	2.79	108	3.83	100
036	Charles City	58.5%	3.79	99	\$973	5.02	42	51.7%	2.11	114	3.64	104
037	Charlotte	51.9%	1.99	116	\$941	4.40	60	59.3%	4.02	82	3.47	108
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	63.1%	5.07	73	\$1,050	6.55	11	69.0%	6.43	22	6.01	22
043	Clarke	58.2%	3.71	102	\$1,030	6.15	17	57.8%	3.62	99	4.50	77
045	Craig	46.9%	0.62	119	\$1,042	6.38	14	62.1%	4.70	67	3.90	98
047	Culpeper	65.0%	5.57	64	\$991	5.38	31	66.1%	5.71	42	5.55	36
049	Cumberland	57.8%	3.62	104	\$974	5.05	39	57.6%	3.60	101	4.09	91
051	Dickenson	60.2%	4.27	87	\$850	2.61	105	54.7%	2.85	106	3.25	111
053	Dinwiddie	66.0%	5.85	55	\$996	5.48	30	57.9%	3.67	97	5.00	59
057	Essex	67.0%	6.13	47	\$886	3.33	85	49.8%	1.65	117	3.70	102
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	66.6%	6.03	50	\$1,179	9.08	3	69.6%	6.57	18	7.23	5
061	Fauquier	69.5%	6.81	29	\$1,047	6.50	12	68.0%	6.17	29	6.49	11
063	Floyd	65.0%	5.58	62	\$956	4.70	51	53.9%	2.67	110	4.32	85
065	Fluvanna	62.3%	4.85	78	\$1,067	6.88	9	62.5%	4.81	63	5.51	37
067	Franklin County	61.6%	4.64	82	\$965	4.87	46	58.3%	3.75	92	4.42	81
069	Frederick	58.6%	3.83	98	\$1,030	6.16	16	60.7%	4.35	75	4.78	66
071	Giles	57.7%	3.60	105	\$964	4.87	47	52.1%	2.20	113	3.55	105
073	Gloucester	65.2%	5.63	57	\$853	2.67	104	57.8%	3.64	98	3.98	95
075	Goochland	66.1%	5.89	54	\$949	4.56	56	65.1%	5.45	48	5.30	45
077	Grayson	64.5%	5.46	67	\$864	2.89	96	60.6%	4.32	77	4.22	89

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	73.4%	7.87	8	\$918	3.96	69	56.4%	3.27	103	5.03	57
081	Greensville/Emporia	60.4%	4.33	86	\$850	2.61	107	64.8%	5.37	51	4.10	90
083	Halifax	55.4%	2.95	111	\$858	2.78	98	58.6%	3.83	89	3.19	112
085	Hanover	65.1%	5.62	60	\$1,062	6.78	10	66.9%	5.90	35	6.10	19
087	Henrico	67.8%	6.35	41	\$1,027	6.10	18	66.1%	5.70	44	6.05	20
089	Henry/Martinsville	63.9%	5.29	71	\$944	4.46	58	63.8%	5.13	57	4.96	60
091	Highland	75.0%	8.32	4	\$784	1.31	118	83.3%	10.00	1	6.54	10
093	Isle of Wight	67.5%	6.26	43	\$920	3.99	68	59.1%	3.96	83	4.74	68
095	James City	68.7%	6.61	33	\$932	4.22	62	58.0%	3.69	95	4.84	65
097	King and Queen	53.0%	2.29	114	\$884	3.28	86	46.0%	0.69	119	2.09	120
099	King George	59.0%	3.95	94	\$939	4.38	61	57.7%	3.60	100	3.98	96
101	King William	59.7%	4.12	90	\$1,005	5.67	24	58.7%	3.86	87	4.55	74
103	Lancaster	67.2%	6.20	45	\$871	3.03	93	50.5%	1.81	115	3.68	103
105	Lee	65.0%	5.59	61	\$812	1.87	117	72.1%	7.19	8	4.88	61
107	Loudoun	75.9%	8.56	3	\$1,226	10.00	1	71.9%	7.16	9	8.57	1
109	Louisa	62.6%	4.93	76	\$989	5.36	32	63.5%	5.05	59	5.11	53
111	Lunenburg	49.3%	1.27	118	\$838	2.38	113	59.9%	4.15	79	2.60	117
113	Madison	58.7%	3.87	96	\$1,003	5.62	26	58.0%	3.68	96	4.39	82
115	Mathews	67.7%	6.32	42	\$908	3.76	74	71.3%	7.00	12	5.70	28
117	Mecklenburg	65.0%	5.58	63	\$855	2.71	103	66.0%	5.69	45	4.66	70
119	Middlesex	69.5%	6.82	28	\$917	3.94	70	72.5%	7.30	7	6.02	21
121	Montgomery	77.9%	9.12	2	\$958	4.73	50	63.2%	4.99	60	6.28	16
125	Nelson	60.1%	4.23	88	\$1,017	5.90	23	64.3%	5.27	54	5.13	52
127	New Kent	56.4%	3.22	110	\$1,044	6.42	13	70.8%	6.88	13	5.51	38
131	Northampton	65.1%	5.62	59	\$856	2.74	100	79.9%	9.15	2	5.84	25
133	Northumberland	55.3%	2.93	112	\$862	2.85	97	49.7%	1.61	118	2.46	118
135	Nottoway	66.6%	6.02	51	\$927	4.13	64	69.4%	6.53	20	5.56	35
137	Orange	71.0%	7.22	15	\$973	5.04	40	61.6%	4.58	71	5.62	34
139	Page	68.9%	6.66	31	\$1,003	5.63	25	59.5%	4.07	81	5.45	39
141	Patrick	66.9%	6.11	49	\$837	2.36	114	73.7%	7.61	6	5.36	43
143	Pittsylvania	52.9%	2.27	115	\$878	3.17	90	58.5%	3.82	91	3.09	113
145	Powhatan	56.6%	3.29	109	\$999	5.55	28	62.3%	4.75	65	4.53	75
147	Prince Edward	70.2%	7.01	20	\$906	3.72	75	68.2%	6.23	28	5.65	31
149	Prince George	59.7%	4.14	89	\$1,020	5.95	21	58.6%	3.84	88	4.64	71
153	Prince William	71.7%	7.43	11	\$1,204	9.58	2	70.3%	6.76	14	7.92	2
155	Pulaski	62.9%	5.01	74	\$956	4.69	52	53.4%	2.54	111	4.08	92
157	Rappahannock	58.4%	3.79	100	\$973	5.03	41	50.0%	1.69	116	3.50	107
159	Richmond County	59.4%	4.05	91	\$943	4.44	59	43.2%	0.00	120	2.83	116
161	Roanoke County	73.4%	7.89	7	\$1,041	6.37	15	58.1%	3.71	94	5.99	23
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	70.5%	7.09	18	\$877	3.16	92	67.7%	6.11	32	5.45	40
165	Rockingham/H'burg	73.7%	7.96	5	\$1,001	5.59	27	67.9%	6.15	31	6.57	9
167	Russell	59.2%	4.00	92	\$865	2.90	95	66.6%	5.82	37	4.24	87
169	Scott	58.1%	3.71	103	\$891	3.43	83	75.9%	8.14	5	5.09	55
171	Shenandoah	59.0%	3.93	95	\$953	4.65	54	69.6%	6.57	19	5.05	56

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	58.7%	3.85	97	\$881	3.22	89	62.4%	4.77	64	3.94	97
175	<i>Southampton</i>	58.4%	3.77	101	\$850	2.61	106	54.3%	2.77	109	3.05	114
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	68.4%	6.52	37	\$1,023	6.02	20	66.5%	5.80	38	6.11	18
179	<i>Stafford</i>	59.1%	3.98	93	\$1,150	8.52	4	68.5%	6.29	27	6.26	17
181	<i>Surry</i>	69.7%	6.87	25	\$904	3.67	76	54.9%	2.90	105	4.48	78
183	<i>Sussex</i>	64.4%	5.41	69	\$969	4.95	43	61.9%	4.64	69	5.00	58
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	66.4%	5.98	53	\$825	2.13	115	65.5%	5.56	46	4.56	73
187	<i>Warren</i>	68.7%	6.59	34	\$1,017	5.90	22	69.6%	6.59	17	6.36	12
191	<i>Washington</i>	62.0%	4.76	80	\$901	3.61	78	57.6%	3.58	102	3.99	94
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	63.3%	5.12	72	\$925	4.10	66	64.7%	5.35	52	4.86	63
195	<i>Wise</i>	62.3%	4.85	79	\$842	2.46	112	66.3%	5.75	41	4.35	84
197	<i>Wythe</i>	66.6%	6.01	52	\$856	2.73	102	66.6%	5.84	36	4.86	64
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	61.8%	4.70	81	\$903	3.66	77	60.6%	4.34	76	4.23	88
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	68.9%	6.64	32	\$1,108	7.69	6	77.9%	8.64	3	7.65	3
520	<i>Bristol</i>	67.9%	6.37	40	\$881	3.23	88	62.0%	4.67	68	4.76	67
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	81.1%	10.00	1	\$927	4.13	65	66.1%	5.70	43	6.61	8
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	71.4%	7.32	12	\$949	4.57	55	63.8%	5.14	56	5.68	30
590	<i>Danville</i>	62.7%	4.95	75	\$820	2.02	116	61.3%	4.50	73	3.82	101
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	64.5%	5.45	68	\$844	2.50	108	63.7%	5.11	58	4.35	83
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	73.3%	7.86	9	\$998	5.53	29	65.2%	5.49	47	6.29	15
640	<i>Galax</i>	62.6%	4.93	77	\$887	3.34	84	68.6%	6.32	26	4.86	62
650	<i>Hampton</i>	70.0%	6.95	23	\$931	4.21	63	63.9%	5.16	55	5.44	41
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	68.2%	6.46	39	\$955	4.67	53	62.7%	4.86	62	5.33	44
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	69.8%	6.91	24	\$843	2.48	110	67.9%	6.15	30	5.18	50
683	<i>Manassas</i>	64.1%	5.34	70	\$1,130	8.13	5	69.9%	6.64	16	6.70	7
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	67.2%	6.19	46	\$1,104	7.62	7	76.5%	8.28	4	7.36	4
700	<i>Newport News</i>	69.7%	6.87	26	\$947	4.53	57	68.9%	6.41	24	5.94	24
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	69.7%	6.87	27	\$882	3.24	87	67.7%	6.11	33	5.41	42
720	<i>Norton</i>	73.6%	7.94	6	\$761	0.86	119	71.4%	7.01	11	5.27	47
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	70.5%	7.10	17	\$982	5.21	35	70.0%	6.68	15	6.33	13
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	70.4%	7.05	19	\$915	3.90	72	69.1%	6.46	21	5.80	26
750	<i>Radford</i>	70.1%	6.98	22	\$924	4.07	67	61.8%	4.64	70	5.23	48
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	70.1%	6.99	21	\$968	4.94	44	71.5%	7.06	10	6.33	14
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	64.8%	5.53	66	\$983	5.23	34	62.2%	4.72	66	5.16	51
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	72.8%	7.71	10	\$893	3.47	81	67.7%	6.09	34	5.76	27
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	65.1%	5.62	58	\$966	4.90	45	69.0%	6.42	23	5.65	33
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	68.4%	6.51	38	\$916	3.91	71	65.0%	5.43	49	5.28	46
840	<i>Winchester</i>	68.5%	6.53	36	\$977	5.12	37	58.8%	3.89	86	5.18	49

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores was begun in May 1999 to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

State Summary July 1, 2014 – January 31, 2015

	State	ED 1	ED 2	ED 3	ED 4	ED 5	ED 6	ED 7	ED 8	ED 9	ED 10	ED 11	ED 12	ED 13	ED 14	ED 15	ED 16	ED 17	ED 18
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>																			
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	9,927	478	357	762	285	142	1,094	115	251	411	438	350	1,379	399	131	1,199	365	1,688	83
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	91%	97%	96%	95%	96%	92%	80%	86%	94%	98%	95%	89%	88%	82%	81%	97%	90%	92%	93%
% Timely in January 2015	85%	93%	78%	91%	96%	95%	73%	62%	84%	96%	91%	78%	82%	54%	81%	97%	87%	89%	####
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>																			
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	6,110	320	227	429	181	79	634	71	157	262	265	232	850	234	79	730	228	1,086	46
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	95%	99%	98%	98%	98%	100%	91%	92%	97%	95%	96%	99%	92%	96%	97%	94%	94%	95%	87%
Percent in January 2015	94%	100%	94%	100%	100%	100%	91%	87%	97%	90%	95%	100%	91%	###	93%	93%	91%	95%	71%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>																			
# Over 30 Days	204	1	2	7	2	0	87	8	4	0	1	10	36	24	8	3	2	9	0
Average # of Days Over 30	45	10	12	106	25	0	59	41	35	0	1	23	38	18	43	67	22	18	0

EDD1 – Southwest Region: July 1, 2014 - January 31, 2015

	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton	
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2014		478	42	13	94	57	66	78	114	14
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014		97%	93%	100%	100%	96%	100%	94%	98%	79%
% Timely in January 2015		93%	88%	100%	100%	91%	100%	100%	89%	50%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014		320	27	10	66	35	45	53	76	8
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014		99%	100%	100%	100%	97%	100%	100%	100%	88%
Percent in January 2015		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>										
# Over 30 Days		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30		10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10

EDD2 - Bristol-Galax Region: July 1, 2014 - January 31, 2015

	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	357	6	41	12	81	59	33	116	9
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	96%	100%	98%	100%	100%	92%	79%	98%	100%
% Timely in January 2015	78%	100%	50%	N/A	100%	55%	62%	93%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	227	3	33	9	55	39	15	70	3
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	98%	100%	97%	100%	96%	100%	100%	99%	67%
Percent in January 2015	94%	N/A	86%	100%	91%	100%	100%	94%	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	12	0	0	0	0	0	12	0	0

EDD3 - Roanoke Region: July 1, 2014 - January 31, 2015

	Total EDD3	Alleghny/ Covington	Bote- tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont- gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹												
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	762	34	8	8	9	90	8	83	50	88	31	353
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	95%	100%	100%	50%	100%	98%	100%	99%	100%	100%	84%	93%
% Timely in January 2015	91%	100%	100%	0%	100%	100%	N/A	93%	100%	100%	100%	86%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²												
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	429	21	3	2	5	44	7	56	20	37	21	213
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	98%	100%	100%	0%	100%	100%	100%	98%	100%	95%	95%	99%
Percent in January 2015	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴												
# Over 30 Days	7	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Average # of Days Over 30	106	0	0	139	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	22

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: July 1, 2014 - January 31, 2015

	<i>Total EDD4</i>	<i>Aug/S'ton /W'boro</i>	<i>Bath</i>	<i>Highland</i>	<i>Rockbridge/ BV/Lex</i>	<i>Rockingham/ HBurg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	285	168	0	1	14	102
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	96%	100%	N/A	0%	100%	89%
% Timely in January 2015	96%	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A	91%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	181	101	0	0	13	67
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	98%	99%	N/A	N/A	85%	100%
Percent in January 2015	100%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	1	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	25	0	0	48	0	1

EDD5 & EDD18 - Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: July 1, 2014 - January 31, 2015

	<i>Total EDD5</i>	<i>Clarke</i>	<i>Frederick</i>	<i>Page</i>	<i>Shen.</i>	<i>Warren</i>	<i>Winchester</i>	<i>Total EDD18</i>	<i>Accomack</i>	<i>Nhampton</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹										
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	142	5	18	26	18	36	39	83	59	24
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	92%	100%	100%	100%	78%	86%	95%	93%	95%	88%
% Timely in January 2015	95%	100%	100%	100%	80%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	79	3	9	16	9	25	17	46	33	13
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	87%	94%	69%
Percent in January 2015	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	71%	89%	40%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴										
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: July 1, 2014 - January 31, 2015

	<i>Total EDD6</i>	<i>Arlington</i>	<i>Fairfax</i>	<i>Loudoun</i>	<i>Prince William</i>	<i>Alexandria</i>	<i>Manassas</i>	<i>Manassas Park</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹								
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	1,094	74	392	111	315	163	32	7
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	80%	93%	97%	86%	44%	99%	84%	86%
% Timely in January 2015	73%	92%	93%	88%	29%	95%	71%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²								
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	634	53	235	69	161	95	17	4
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	91%	100%	95%	93%	82%	91%	100%	100%
Percent in January 2015	91%	100%	97%	94%	79%	90%	100%	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴								
# Over 30 Days	87	1	0	1	81	1	2	1
Average # of Days Over 30	59	1	0	20	62	21	18	36

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: July 1, 2014 - January 31, 2015

	<i>Total EDD7</i>	<i>Culpeper</i>	<i>Fauquier</i>	<i>Madison</i>	<i>Orange</i>	<i>Rapp.</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	115	35	40	11	29	0
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	86%	94%	93%	100%	62%	N/A
% Timely in January 2015	62%	N/A	80%	100%	43%	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	71	27	20	9	15	0
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	92%	100%	100%	100%	60%	N/A
Percent in January 2015	87%	100%	100%	100%	50%	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	8	0	0	0	8	0
Average # of Days Over 30	41	0	0	0	41	0

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: July 1, 2014 - January 31, 2015

	<i>Total EDD8</i>	<i>Albemarle</i>	<i>Fluvanna</i>	<i>Greene</i>	<i>Louisa</i>	<i>Nelson</i>	<i>Cville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	251	55	18	18	41	15	104
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	94%	96%	61%	78%	98%	100%	98%
% Timely in January 2015	84%	100%	0%	80%	86%	100%	94%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	157	44	11	8	24	11	59
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	97%	98%	91%	88%	100%	91%	98%
Percent in January 2015	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	67%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	4	0	1	3	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	35	0	10	44	0	0	0

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: July 1, 2014 - January 31, 2015

	<i>Total EDD9</i>	<i>Amherst</i>	<i>Appom.</i>	<i>Bedford</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Lynchburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	411	31	28	55	107	190
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	98%	97%	96%	96%	100%	98%
% Timely in January 2015	96%	100%	N/A	82%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	262	17	21	37	67	120
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	95%	100%	100%	97%	90%	96%
Percent in January 2015	90%	100%	100%	88%	85%	91%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD10 - Danville Region: July 1, 2014 - January 31, 2015

	<i>Total EDD10</i>	<i>Halifax</i>	<i>Henry/ Martinsville</i>	<i>Patrick</i>	<i>Pittsylvania</i>	<i>Danville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	438	50	147	41	65	135
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	95%	90%	90%	100%	98%	100%
% Timely in January 2015	91%	67%	88%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	265	35	93	25	26	86
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	96%	100%	96%	100%	100%	93%
Percent in January 2015	95%	100%	96%	100%	100%	90%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	1	0	1	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	1	0	1	0	0	0

EDD11 – Southside Region: July 1, 2014 - January 31, 2015

	<i>Total EDD11</i>	<i>Amelia</i>	<i>Brunswick</i>	<i>Buckingham</i>	<i>Charlotte</i>	<i>Cumberland</i>	<i>Gr'nsville Emporia</i>	<i>Lunenburg</i>	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	<i>Nottoway</i>	<i>Pr. Edward</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹											
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	350	20	50	25	37	12	53	19	40	43	51
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	89%	100%	90%	96%	97%	100%	43%	95%	95%	100%	100%
% Timely in January 2015	78%	100%	43%	0%	100%	N/A	20%	100%	90%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²											
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	232	10	35	18	24	11	37	9	20	33	35
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	91%	100%	100%	100%	97%	100%
Percent in January 2015	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴											
# Over 30 Days	10	0	2	1	0	0	7	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	23	0	15	2	0	0	29	0	0	0	0

EDD12 - Richmond Region: July 1, 2014 - January 31, 2015

	<i>Total EDD12</i>	<i>Chesterfield/ Col Hgts</i>	<i>Goochland</i>	<i>Hanover</i>	<i>Henrico</i>	<i>Powhatan</i>	<i>Richmond City</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	1,379	249	15	40	436	15	624
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	88%	94%	100%	83%	80%	100%	91%
% Timely in January 2015	82%	98%	100%	67%	69%	100%	81%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	850	151	10	25	274	8	382
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	92%	94%	100%	92%	89%	100%	94%
Percent in January 2015	91%	97%	100%	100%	86%	100%	90%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	36	4	0	3	9	0	20
Average # of Days Over 30	38	116	0	29	23	0	30

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: July 1, 2014 - January 31, 2015

	<i>Total EDD13</i>	<i>Caroline</i>	<i>King George</i>	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	<i>Stafford</i>	<i>Fredericksburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	399	47	27	134	102	89
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	82%	77%	100%	86%	66%	94%
% Timely in January 2015	54%	60%	100%	50%	32%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	234	23	21	83	53	54
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	96%	100%	95%	96%	94%	94%
Percent in January 2015	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	24	3	0	5	16	0
Average # of Days Over 30	18	41	0	20	12	0

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: July 1, 2014 - January 31, 2015

	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North- umberland	Richmond County	West- moreland
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹										
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	131	31	15	13	7	5	19	13	8	20
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	81%	100%	60%	92%	86%	80%	100%	92%	88%	30%
% Timely in January 2015	81%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	0%	100%	100%	67%	0%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	79	20	11	7	2	4	15	8	5	7
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	71%
Percent in January 2015	93%	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	N/A	100%	0%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴										
# Over 30 Days	8	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	6
Average # of Days Over 30	43	0	0	0	0	14	0	0	13	53

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: July 1, 2014 - January 31, 2015

	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	1,199	5	50	61	11	41	380	634	17
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	97%	60%	90%	98%	55%	95%	99%	97%	100%
% Timely in January 2015	97%	N/A	100%	100%	0%	80%	98%	98%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	730	4	32	35	7	26	238	379	9
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	94%	100%	97%	86%	57%	96%	97%	93%	100%
Percent in January 2015	93%	100%	100%	87%	N/A	100%	94%	91%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	67	0	0	0	67	0	0	0	0

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: July 1, 2014 - January 31, 2015

	<i>Total EDD16</i>	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	<i>Prince George</i>	<i>Surry</i>	<i>Sussex</i>	<i>Hopewell</i>	<i>Petersburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	365	21	44	14	27	103	156
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	90%	95%	98%	100%	93%	88%	88%
% Timely in January 2015	87%	75%	100%	100%	100%	93%	80%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	228	15	33	6	20	58	96
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	94%	100%	100%	100%	90%	93%	93%
Percent in January 2015	91%	100%	100%	100%	100%	92%	86%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
Average # of Days Over 30	22	0	0	0	0	0	22

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: July 1, 2014 - January 31, 2015

	<i>Total EDD17</i>	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	<i>South- hampton</i>	<i>Chesapeake</i>	<i>Franklin City</i>	<i>Norfolk</i>	<i>Portsmouth</i>	<i>Suffolk</i>	<i>VA Beach</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	1,688	29	23	306	45	504	360	133	288
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	92%	79%	96%	90%	100%	88%	95%	91%	96%
% Timely in January 2015	89%	56%	80%	83%	100%	90%	94%	100%	91%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	1,086	13	16	196	33	335	225	90	178
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	95%	100%	100%	96%	100%	91%	98%	99%	97%
Percent in January 2015	95%	100%	100%	94%	100%	91%	98%	100%	94%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	9	6	1	0	0	2	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	18	23	3	0	0	13	0	0	0

Child Support Enforcement - Statewide Totals

Collections

	<i>Jan-14</i>	<i>Jan-15</i>	<i>% Change</i>
TANF Cases Collected	14,551	13,629	-6.3%
NTANF Cases Collected	129,386	127,556	-1.4%
Total Cases Collected	143,937	141,185	-1.9%
TANF Collections	\$2,271,613	\$2,137,067	-5.9%
NTANF Collections	\$48,700,349	\$47,185,351	-3.1%
Total Collections	\$50,971,962	\$49,322,418	-3.2%
SFY YTD Collections	\$365,436,929	\$362,463,585	-0.8%
SFY YTD Average Collection	\$359.00	\$357.61	-0.4%

Paternities Established

	<i>Jan-15</i>
TANF Paternities	164
NTANF Paternities	231
Combined Paternities	395

Diversionsary Assistance

January Diversionsary Assistance Cases

Locality	Number of Cases		Locality	Number of Cases
Albemarle	1		Shenandoah	9
Alleghany / Covington	1		Smyth	2
Augusta / Staunt'n / W'boro	4		Spotsylvania	4
Buckingham	1		Warren	4
Charlotte	1		Bristol	4
Chesterfield/Colonial Hgts	3		Charlottesville	2
Dickenson	1		Chesapeake	1
Essex	1		Danville	1
Fauquier	3		Fredericksburg	1
Frederick	1		Hampton	3
Gloucester	2		Hopewell	5
Greene	1		Lynchburg	3
Hanover	1		Newport News	12
Henrico	4		Norfolk	2
Henry / Martinsville	2		Petersburg	1
Loudoun	3		Richmond City	1
Montgomery	1		Roanoke City	5
Prince George	1		Suffolk	2
Prince William	1		Virginia Beach	4
Roanoke County	2		Winchester	1
			Statewide	102

Definitions of Selected Terms Contained in Report

Average Monthly Earnings. This is the average monthly earnings of the unsubsidized jobs that participants obtained. The value is calculated by multiplying the hourly wage by the weekly hours worked by 4.3 average weeks per month. For the cumulative figures the initial wage and initial hours are used. For the current figures, the initial wage and most current hours are used, except for the November 2001 report which used initial hours. Earlier than June 1999, wage changes that were entered overwrote the initial wage, so prior to that date, the cumulative figures used the initial hours and the last wage entered. With the advent of the VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) program in October 2006 the end of month average monthly earnings might be expected to decline somewhat because prior to VTP, cases with high earnings might remain open for job follow-up, but after the implementation of VTP the VIEW case would be closed when the TANF case was closed and a VTP case opened. VTP cases are not included in this report except for estimating the participation rate.

Average Wage. The average of the wages reported for each occurrence of unsubsidized employment. After June 1999 the wage is the wage at hiring, that is, the initial wage. Prior to June 1999, that wage may have been updated if changed during employment. After the implementation of the VIEW Transitional Payment program the end of month point in time average wage might be expected to decline some for the same reason mentioned in the explanation of possible decline in Average Monthly earnings, above. See note 6 on page 44.

Cases Closed with Employment. These are TANF or TANF-UP cases that are closed with an indication in the data base of employment at the date of closure. It does not mean that the case necessarily closed because of employment. For example, a TANF case may have an indication of closing at the client's request, along with indication of existing employment in VIEW. That case is counted as closed with employment.

EDD. This stands for Economic Development District. EDDs were developed during the administration of Governor Allen. They generally are no longer in use. This report continues to refer to them because VIEW was phased in by EDD and their use provides consistency over time.

Enrolled. Unduplicated number by locality of TANF recipients who were interviewed, received an individual assessment, signed the Agreement of Personal Responsibility, and enrolled in the VIEW program. Because the count is by locality, a recipient may be counted once in more than one locality. The fiscal year to date numbers include those who were enrolled at the beginning of the fiscal year as well as those enrolled during the year. There are clients enrolled in VIEW who have had their TANF case closed because VIEW policy requires that the enrollment remain open for job follow-up after employment unless the employment meets federal work participation guidelines, in which case the VIEW case is closed and a VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) case is opened.

Full Time Employment. Employment at 30 hours a week or greater.

Participants Assigned to Inactive. Inactive is a status assigned to participants who cannot participate in the VIEW program for reasons other than being exempt. Examples are unavailability of child care, unavailability of transportation, and mental or medical problems that make participation impractical. Inactive participants are due to be reviewed each month. While in inactive status, the 24 month time limit for TANF benefits is suspended.

Participants Employed. Total number of participants by locality who obtained an unsubsidized job, either full time or part time. If employed more than one time, they are still only counted once, but may be counted in more than one locality. Also, these participants may have left their job and not gotten another one. For the year to date numbers, those employed at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first became employed in the fiscal year.

Participants in a Work Activity. Unduplicated count by locality of persons who have been in any of the following components: unsubsidized employment, full employment program (FEP), on-the-job training (OJT), community work experience program (CWEP), public service program (PSP), vocational education and training, and job search/job readiness. For example, a person who was in community work experience and then employed would be counted only once.

For the year to date numbers, those in a work activity at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first entered a work activity in the fiscal year. Note that this is a revised definition of work activity from reports through October 2006. The revised definition captures the activities that count toward the first 20 hours of federal participation requirements – the core work activities. Clients who are counted as being in a core work activity must meet additional participation rate requirements in order to be included in the work participation rate, and only VIEW clients are counted here, not all work eligible TANF clients **Thus, these percentages in a work activity are quite different from the percentages in the TANF work participation rate.**

Part Time Employment. Employment at fewer than 30 weekly hours.

Referred. Unduplicated number of TANF recipients by locality who were initially evaluated as eligible for VIEW and had their names referred to the automated VIEW list for participation.

Relationship Between TANF and VIEW Participation. On the chart at page 5a, the column heading “Total Adults in the Case” includes adults who are not considered to be part of the assistance unit. For example, a mother receiving SSI may be in the case, but because she receives SSI is not considered eligible for TANF and thus is not part of the assistance unit. Persons such as she who are not in the assistance unit are not eligible to participate in VIEW. Also included are adults who are part of the assistance unit but exempt from VIEW

Five Months Employment. In earlier reports, this represented the percent of all participants that were employed 150 days after their first employment. Participants who were employed in any job 150 days after their first employment were counted in this measure.. This measure became unavailable after July 1, 2011. See note 9 on page 44.

Three Months Employment. This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 90 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 90 days after their first employment are counted in this measure. For year to date percents, the calculations are based on the first employment that began one year before the end of the report month. That employment may not have been the first ever for the participant. The year to date percents were calculated differently in reports before September 2004. Also for year to date percents, transferred cases were not counted for months after the January 2002 report.

TANF / TANF-UP Payment Cases. Up to November 2003 these counts were taken from a monthly report with no adjustment. After that, the monthly counts included some cases that were being paid because child support payments had been received and were being passed on. These counts were adjusted to mostly eliminate those additional cases. Further adjustment may be appropriate. It should also be noted that the counts include both strictly TANF cases and MOE cases for some years. With the end of waiver provisions in July 2003, a substantial part of the caseload was placed in a state program and funded with MOE funds. This change in July 2003 was a change in funding, not a change in eligibility requirements or case payment amounts. It ended in September 2006 when VIEW transitional payments (VTP) were introduced. Adjustments have been made to take out VTP cases. Also, TANF-UP cases are currently funded with only state monies.

VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). Beginning in October 2006, VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) were introduced. These are payments of \$50 per client per month for one year to VIEW clients that leave “regular” TANF with employment sufficient to meet Federal work participation requirements. The employment must be maintained to be eligible for VTP. Previously, VTP clients normally would have remained VIEW clients for five or six months of job-follow-up. Thus, the VTP program has had a diminishing effect on some of the statistics in this report such as end of month percent employed and average wage. See graph on page 5a.

Time That Data Represent. The data in the top sections of page 4 and pages 8 – 24 are point in time data as of the date indicated. The year to date section represents state fiscal year to date data, with the exception of three month retention (see above). The bottom section is cumulative from when the locality began VIEW.

General Statement About Accuracy. The report is based on an extract from the Employment Services automated system. The extract is normally made the night of the first work day after the end of the report month. Data that staff of local social services agencies enter into the automated system after the time of the extract are not included in the report, even though the activity reflected actually occurred in the report month. However, that data, and the activity reflected, are included in the reports for subsequent months. Further, because the data are entered by staff in the 120 localities, the accuracy of the data in the data base is, of course, subject to inadvertent error.

¹ Applications are not necessarily acted upon in the month that they are received. Also, applications may be transferred from regular TANF to TANF-UP and vice versa as they are processed. November applications are being reviewed for accuracy.

² These TANF cases paid counts are prior to possible adjustment. See definition of payment cases. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes.

³ VIEW enrolled counts do not include VTP clients

⁴ A case may be open in more than one locality during the time period.

⁵ Prior to June 1999, when individuals were transferred from one locality to another, their history was transferred as well. This may have an effect on a locality's cumulative VIEW statistics.

⁶ The minimum wage rose from \$6.55 to \$7.25 an hour on 7/24/09. The report reflects initial wages so some effect on wages in the report was delayed. The average wage includes full and part time, unless otherwise indicated. See the definition of average wage on page 42.

⁷ Includes full and part time earnings.

⁸ This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed three months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed three months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous. See definition on page 43 for the revised method of calculating the year to date percentages.

⁹ Until the July 2011 report, this was employed after five months. However, on July 1, 2011 the number of required job follow-ups was reduced to three. Thus, data for five month job retention became unavailable.

¹⁰ Number includes TANF-UP and represents cases carried over to the next month. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes, but with the advent of VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) such occurrences were reduced from earlier months.

¹¹ Enrollment is timely if done within 30 days of referral in previous month of the state fiscal year. The monthly percent includes evaluation of clients waiting in the queue. The year to date does not.

¹² Placement in a work activity on the 95th day after referral was a measure of timeliness before Oct 1, 2006. The revised definition of work activity has increased the percentage from reports before November 2006. Clients whose cases have closed before the 95th day are excluded, so 100% is an attainable mark.


¹⁴ As of the morning of February 2, 2015. Some referrals to the waiting list are not appropriate; there may be considerable lag time in correcting them.

This report is available on the Department of Social Services web site at:
http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial_assistance/tanf.cgi

The scores in the VIEW Rankings are determined by placing a locality on a scale of zero to ten in the same relative position as its place in the difference between the high and low of the measure in question. This converts all measures to a similar scale and provides a more equitable way to combine the ratings in each measure to determine a combined rank. (Questions, please call 804 726-7618.)

Comments on the format or content of the report and requests for Excel files for portions of current and past reports may be sent by e-mail to: william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov.

TANF and TANF-UP Cases Paid at Six Month Intervals, except last month June 1995 through January 2015




This graph illustrates the dramatic decline of the TANF caseload as VIEW was implemented. There was some sign of slowing in the rate of decline after June 1998. Nevertheless, wide community involvement, a strong economy, and the effect of the 24 month rule allowed the caseload to continue to fall until it was near the 30,000 level. There was little net change in the caseload from June 2000 to June 2002, but some upward movement was seen after June 2002, until 2005. The more recent trends are presumed to be a result of economic conditions. The caseload leveled off after recession spurred growth and now is declining.

Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

Applications Received with Approval Percentage


Six Month Asymmetrical Moving Averages by Quarter, July 1995 through January 2015



This graph of application activity is presented as six month moving averages to produce smoother lines from which trends may be seen and in recognition that applications are not always acted on in the month received. There seems to have been a general decline in the number of applications received until the July 2001 data. The decline in applications received after December 2004 and the more recent changes appear to be reflected in the case load trends. A reason for the general decrease in percent approved may be the lack of any increase in income threshold for initial eligibility; an apparent reason for the more recent decline is the availability of applications on line that offers ready access for applying to multiple assistance programs. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

TANF Cases, VIEW Enrolled, and Employed VIEW Participants


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. January is the last month



This graph shows that the number enrolled in VIEW increased during early implementation and then generally tracked the number of TANF cases. The effects of recent economic conditions are apparent. A VIEW case may remain open for some months after the TANF case closes, if job follow-up is being done and the case has not been converted to the VIEW Transitional Payment program. It is unlikely that the number employed will ever equal the number enrolled because there are always new enrollees without employment, and there are some enrollees in work activities, other than employment, that meet VIEW requirements. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months. It includes TANF-UP.

Chart Displaying the Relationships Between TANF and VIEW Participation


January 31, 2015



This chart illustrates that there are a large number of adults who are exempt from VIEW and that many of the VIEW enrollees have had their TANF cases closed. They are now in a job follow-up phase of VIEW. They have not had their VIEW case closed and become enrolled in the VIEW Transitional Payment program. The source of this information is a monthly TANF extract from ADAPT and the monthly extract from ESPAS, the VIEW automated data system. The extracts were made at the beginning of February and represent end of January data. TANF-UP is included.

VIEW Percent Employed and Average Wage at End of Month


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. January is the last month.



This graph shows that the average wage has seen a general increase as the percent employed has varied somewhat. Besides employment, VIEW participants may be in various other activities or initial assessment and job search, and economic conditions (one slight recession and one large one) apparently had expected effects. The wages shown are generally the initial wages. When the average wage was calculated at about the end of January it was \$8.69 rather than the \$8.57 initial wages used to calculate wages shown on this graph. See page 42 of the report for an explanation of why the VIEW average wage might decline after October 2006 and note 6 on page 44 for the effect of the increase in minimum wage. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.

Percent of Jobs Found During a Month That Were Full Time and Percent Part Time

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. January is the last month.



This graph illustrates an initial trend of VIEW participants obtaining a greater percent of full time jobs than part time. After the initial months, the trend seems to be fairly level with an increase in the percent full time after TANF reauthorization which probably reflects increased emphasis on meeting the required TANF work participation rate. The more recent changes are presumed to be a result of economic developments and increased use of other work activities to help meet the required work participation hours. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.