

VIRGINIA INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM

MONTHLY REPORT

Including Graphs of Statewide Trends

April 2015

Prepared by:
Virginia Department of Social Services May 22, 2015

Monthly Report, April 2015

Table of Contents

Work Participation Estimates (from the VDSS data warehouse) (A work participation estimate percent should not be compared to a percent in a work activity in the main body of the report which includes only clients in VIEW and does not consider the number of hours in a work activity during a month)	i-vi
TANF, TANF-UP Case Activity.....	1
Graphs of Case Activity.....	at end
VIEW Work Activity	
Statewide	4
Graphs of Statewide Activity.....	at end
EDD1.....	8
EDD2.....	9
EDD3.....	10
EDD4.....	11
EDD5 & EDD18.....	12
EDD6.....	13
EDD7.....	14
EDD8.....	15
EDD9.....	16
EDD10.....	17
EDD11.....	18
EDD14.....	19
EDD1.....	20
EDD3.....	21
EDD11.....	22
EDD15.....	23
EDD17.....	24
VIEW Year to Date Rankings.....	25-27
VIEW Cumulative Rankings.....	28-30
VIEW Timeliness Measures.....	31-39
Child Support Enforcement.....	40
Diversion.....	41
VIEW Definition Sheet and Endnotes.....	42-44

Estimated Work Participation Rates for April

These are estimated locality April 2015 work participation rates that include clients receiving either TANF or VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). The official participation rate will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated April statewide rate is 44% with a numerator of 5,396 and a denominator of 12,198.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	19	55	35%	061	Fauquier	20	49	41%
003	Albemarle	31	58	53%	063	Floyd	3	11	27%
005	Alleghany/Covington	15	41	37%	065	Fluvanna	4	26	15%
007	Amelia	6	13	46%	067	Franklin County	37	79	47%
009	Amherst	13	30	43%	069	Frederick	17	38	45%
011	Appomattox	15	44	34%	071	Giles	2	9	22%
013	Arlington	37	78	47%	073	Gloucester	20	44	45%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	74	161	46%	075	Goochland	1	14	7%
017	Bath	0	0	N/A	077	Grayson	6	16	38%
019	Bedford County/City	30	65	46%	079	Greene	15	31	48%
021	Bland	1	4	25%	081	Greensville/Emporia	31	72	43%
023	Botetourt	1	11	9%	083	Halifax	19	64	30%
025	Brunswick	18	44	41%	085	Hanover	17	39	44%
027	Buchanan	20	54	37%	087	Henrico	219	520	42%
029	Buckingham	16	29	55%	089	Henry/Martinsville	59	182	32%
031	Campbell	52	115	45%	091	Highland	0	1	0%
033	Caroline	36	73	49%	093	Isle of Wight	18	44	41%
035	Carroll	28	45	62%	095	James City	31	85	36%
036	Charles City	2	6	33%	097	King and Queen	2	9	22%
037	Charlotte	7	29	24%	099	King George	11	17	65%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	150	300	50%	101	King William	5	20	25%
043	Clarke	1	3	33%	103	Lancaster	9	15	60%
045	Craig	2	10	20%	105	Lee	46	90	51%
047	Culpeper	24	47	51%	107	Loudoun	42	111	38%
049	Cumberland	15	28	54%	109	Louisa	25	50	50%
051	Dickensen	7	17	41%	111	Lunenburg	5	16	31%
053	Dinwiddie	17	40	43%	113	Madison	2	5	40%
057	Essex	16	33	48%	115	Mathews	1	7	14%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	183	414	44%	117	Mecklenburg	15	56	27%

Estimated Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent
119	Middlesex	14	28	50%	187	Warren	39	59	66%
121	Montgomery	45	89	51%	191	Washington	40	89	45%
125	Nelson	7	19	37%	193	Westmoreland	14	34	41%
127	New Kent	8	18	44%	195	Wise	44	108	41%
131	Northampton	13	36	36%	197	Wythe	13	29	45%
133	Northumberland	4	13	31%	199	York/Poquoson	30	57	53%
135	Nottoway	26	50	52%	510	Alexandria	79	165	48%
137	Orange	19	49	39%	520	Bristol	44	84	52%
139	Page	6	16	38%	540	Charlottesville	72	136	53%
141	Patrick	11	43	26%	550	Chesapeake	172	387	44%
143	Pittsylvania	15	49	31%	590	Danville	78	173	45%
145	Powhatan	7	15	47%	620	Franklin City	13	28	46%
147	Prince Edward	36	65	55%	630	Fredericksburg	54	81	67%
149	Prince George	13	46	28%	640	Galax	11	20	55%
153	Prince William	171	438	39%	650	Hampton	264	524	50%
155	Pulaski	15	33	45%	670	Hopewell	71	149	48%
157	Rappahannock	2	4	50%	680	Lynchburg	94	223	42%
159	Richmond County	4	12	33%	683	Manassas	26	47	55%
161	Roanoke County	42	109	39%	685	Manassas Park	2	9	22%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	16	30	53%	700	Newport News	325	685	47%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	72	121	60%	710	Norfolk	439	810	54%
167	Russell	29	75	39%	720	Norton	7	18	39%
169	Scott	28	69	41%	730	Petersburg	90	235	38%
171	Shenandoah	12	25	48%	740	Portsmouth	183	465	39%
173	Smyth	27	67	40%	750	Radford	16	34	47%
175	Southampton	13	33	39%	760	Richmond City	408	1,020	40%
177	Spotsylvania	71	187	38%	770	Roanoke City	121	374	32%
179	Stafford	59	148	40%	800	Suffolk	76	143	53%
181	Surry	5	13	38%	810	Virginia Beach	185	354	52%
183	Sussex	0	43	0%	830	Williamsburg	12	21	57%
185	Tazewell	48	91	53%	840	Winchester	18	36	50%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF and VTP recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rate presented here is the all families rate, i. e. the overall rate, including VTP..

Estimated TANF only Work Participation Rates for April

These are estimated locality April 2015 work participation rates that include only clients receiving TANF. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated April statewide rate is 38% with a numerator of 4,040 and a denominator of 10,733. VTP only estimates are on pages v and vi.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	14	50	28%	061	Fauquier	11	38	29%
003	Albemarle	20	47	43%	063	Floyd	2	10	20%
005	Alleghany/Covington	11	37	30%	065	Fluvanna	3	21	14%
007	Amelia	2	9	22%	067	Franklin County	25	67	37%
009	Amherst	9	26	35%	069	Frederick	9	30	30%
011	Appomattox	11	40	28%	071	Giles	2	9	22%
013	Arlington	28	69	41%	073	Gloucester	17	37	46%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	47	133	35%	075	Goochland	1	12	8%
017	Bath	0	0	N/A	077	Grayson	4	14	29%
019	Bedford County/City	25	60	42%	079	Greene	15	29	52%
021	Bland	0	3	0%	081	Greensville/Emporia	21	61	34%
023	Botetourt	1	11	9%	083	Halifax	15	59	25%
025	Brunswick	15	41	37%	085	Hanover	11	33	33%
027	Buchanan	17	51	33%	087	Henrico	138	433	32%
029	Buckingham	12	25	48%	089	Henry/Martinsville	43	166	26%
031	Campbell	34	97	35%	091	Highland	0	1	0%
033	Caroline	23	60	38%	093	Isle of Wight	16	40	40%
035	Carroll	22	39	56%	095	James City	24	78	31%
036	Charles City	1	5	20%	097	King and Queen	2	9	22%
037	Charlotte	3	25	12%	099	King George	9	15	60%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	112	261	43%	101	King William	3	17	18%
043	Clarke	0	2	0%	103	Lancaster	8	14	57%
045	Craig	2	9	22%	105	Lee	37	81	46%
047	Culpeper	15	38	39%	107	Loudoun	29	96	30%
049	Cumberland	11	24	46%	109	Louisa	14	38	37%
051	Dickensen	5	15	33%	111	Lunenburg	1	12	8%
053	Dinwiddie	9	32	28%	113	Madison	1	4	25%
057	Essex	14	31	45%	115	Mathews	1	6	17%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	129	359	36%	117	Mecklenburg	10	51	20%

Estimated TANF Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numer-ator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numer-ator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent
119	Middlesex	8	21	38%	187	Warren	30	50	60%
121	Montgomery	29	73	40%	191	Washington	38	87	44%
125	Nelson	6	18	33%	193	Westmoreland	14	34	41%
127	New Kent	4	14	29%	195	Wise	33	97	34%
131	Northampton	10	32	31%	197	Wythe	11	27	41%
133	Northumberland	3	12	25%	199	York/Poquoson	23	50	46%
135	Nottoway	21	45	47%	510	Alexandria	47	132	36%
137	Orange	18	47	38%	520	Bristol	37	77	48%
139	Page	4	14	29%	540	Charlottesville	51	115	44%
141	Patrick	9	41	22%	550	Chesapeake	132	342	39%
143	Pittsylvania	14	48	29%	590	Danville	62	154	40%
145	Powhatan	6	14	43%	620	Franklin City	10	24	42%
147	Prince Edward	23	52	44%	630	Fredericksburg	39	66	59%
149	Prince George	9	40	23%	640	Galax	7	16	44%
153	Prince William	108	372	29%	650	Hampton	198	453	44%
155	Pulaski	8	26	31%	670	Hopewell	50	124	40%
157	Rappahannock	2	4	50%	680	Lynchburg	79	208	38%
159	Richmond County	4	11	36%	683	Manassas	12	33	36%
161	Roanoke County	26	92	28%	685	Manassas Park	1	8	13%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	11	25	44%	700	Newport News	261	617	42%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	44	93	47%	710	Norfolk	391	757	52%
167	Russell	24	70	34%	720	Norton	5	16	31%
169	Scott	19	59	32%	730	Petersburg	66	205	32%
171	Shenandoah	6	19	32%	740	Portsmouth	146	424	34%
173	Smyth	21	61	34%	750	Radford	9	27	33%
175	Southampton	8	28	29%	760	Richmond City	337	934	36%
177	Spotsylvania	59	169	35%	770	Roanoke City	82	334	25%
179	Stafford	41	130	32%	800	Suffolk	60	125	48%
181	Surry	3	11	27%	810	Virginia Beach	139	308	45%
183	Sussex	0	40	0%	830	Williamsburg	10	19	53%
185	Tazewell	35	78	45%	840	Winchester	13	31	42%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are for the TANF all family rate not including VTP.

Estimated VTP only Work Participation Rates for April

These are estimated locality April 2015 work participation rates that include only clients receiving VTP. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated April statewide rate is 93% with a numerator of 1,356 and a denominator of 1,465. TANF only estimates are on pages iii and iv.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	<i>Accomack</i>	5	5	100%	061	<i>Fauquier</i>	9	11	82%
003	<i>Albemarle</i>	11	11	100%	063	<i>Floyd</i>	1	1	100%
005	<i>Alleghany/Covington</i>	4	4	100%	065	<i>Fluvanna</i>	1	5	20%
007	<i>Amelia</i>	4	4	100%	067	<i>Franklin County</i>	12	12	100%
009	<i>Amherst</i>	4	4	100%	069	<i>Frederick</i>	8	8	100%
011	<i>Appomattox</i>	4	4	100%	071	<i>Giles</i>	0	0	N/A
013	<i>Arlington</i>	9	9	100%	073	<i>Gloucester</i>	3	7	43%
015	<i>Augusta/S'ton/W'boro</i>	27	28	96%	075	<i>Goochland</i>	0	2	0%
017	<i>Bath</i>	0	0	N/A	077	<i>Grayson</i>	2	2	100%
019	<i>Bedford County/City</i>	5	5	100%	079	<i>Greene</i>	0	2	0%
021	<i>Bland</i>	1	1	100%	081	<i>Greensville/Emporia</i>	10	11	91%
023	<i>Botetourt</i>	0	0	N/A	083	<i>Halifax</i>	4	5	80%
025	<i>Brunswick</i>	3	3	100%	085	<i>Hanover</i>	6	6	100%
027	<i>Buchanan</i>	3	3	100%	087	<i>Henrico</i>	81	87	93%
029	<i>Buckingham</i>	4	4	100%	089	<i>Henry/Martinsville</i>	16	16	100%
031	<i>Campbell</i>	18	18	100%	091	<i>Highland</i>	0	0	N/A
033	<i>Caroline</i>	13	13	100%	093	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	2	4	50%
035	<i>Carroll</i>	6	6	100%	095	<i>James City</i>	7	7	100%
036	<i>Charles City</i>	1	1	100%	097	<i>King and Queen</i>	0	0	N/A
037	<i>Charlotte</i>	4	4	100%	099	<i>King George</i>	2	2	100%
041	<i>Chesterfield/Col Hgts</i>	38	39	97%	101	<i>King William</i>	2	3	67%
043	<i>Clarke</i>	1	1	100%	103	<i>Lancaster</i>	1	1	100%
045	<i>Craig</i>	0	1	0%	105	<i>Lee</i>	9	9	100%
047	<i>Culpeper</i>	9	9	100%	107	<i>Loudoun</i>	13	15	87%
049	<i>Cumberland</i>	4	4	100%	109	<i>Louisa</i>	11	12	92%
051	<i>Dickensen</i>	2	2	100%	111	<i>Lunenburg</i>	4	4	100%
053	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	8	8	100%	113	<i>Madison</i>	1	1	100%
057	<i>Essex</i>	2	2	100%	115	<i>Mathews</i>	0	1	0%
059	<i>Fairfax/Falls Church</i>	54	55	98%	117	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	5	5	100%

Estimated VTP Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	6	7	86%	187	Warren	9	9	100%
121	Montgomery	16	16	100%	191	Washington	2	2	100%
125	Nelson	1	1	100%	193	Westmoreland	0	0	N/A
127	New Kent	4	4	100%	195	Wise	11	11	100%
131	Northampton	3	4	75%	197	Wythe	2	2	100%
133	Northumberland	1	1	100%	199	York/Poquoson	7	7	100%
135	Nottoway	5	5	100%	510	Alexandria	32	33	97%
137	Orange	1	2	50%	520	Bristol	7	7	100%
139	Page	2	2	100%	540	Charlottesville	21	21	100%
141	Patrick	2	2	100%	550	Chesapeake	40	45	89%
143	Pittsylvania	1	1	100%	590	Danville	16	19	84%
145	Powhatan	1	1	100%	620	Franklin City	3	4	75%
147	Prince Edward	13	13	100%	630	Fredericksburg	15	15	100%
149	Prince George	4	6	67%	640	Galax	4	4	100%
153	Prince William	63	66	95%	650	Hampton	66	71	93%
155	Pulaski	7	7	100%	670	Hopewell	21	25	84%
157	Rappahannock	0	0	N/A	680	Lynchburg	15	15	100%
159	Richmond County	0	1	0%	683	Manassas	14	14	100%
161	Roanoke County	16	17	94%	685	Manassas Park	1	1	100%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	5	5	100%	700	Newport News	64	68	94%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	28	28	100%	710	Norfolk	48	53	91%
167	Russell	5	5	100%	720	Norton	2	2	100%
169	Scott	9	10	90%	730	Petersburg	24	30	80%
171	Shenandoah	6	6	100%	740	Portsmouth	37	41	90%
173	Smyth	6	6	100%	750	Radford	7	7	100%
175	Southampton	5	5	100%	760	Richmond City	71	86	83%
177	Spotsylvania	12	18	67%	770	Roanoke City	39	40	98%
179	Stafford	18	18	100%	800	Suffolk	16	18	89%
181	Surry	2	2	100%	810	Virginia Beach	46	46	100%
183	Sussex	0	3	0%	830	Williamsburg	2	2	100%
185	Tazewell	13	13	100%	840	Winchester	5	5	100%

All VTP recipients are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” Thus, all VTP cases are in the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, job follow-up entries are reviewed to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. The basic requirement for VTP recipients is employment for at least 30 hours per week. The requirement is contained in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are also sometimes referred to as the rates for the maintenance of effort program caseload. .

TANF

TANF Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	Apr-15	Apr-14	Change from Apr-14	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	4,962	5,008	-0.9%	4,807	3%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	3,365	3,353	0.4%	1,658	103%
Applications Approved	1,540	1,709	-10%	2,820	-45%
Cases Closed *	1,995	2,050	-3%	4,476	-55%
Cases at End of Month	23,114	26,184	-12%	67,995	-66%
Cases Paid During Month ²	23,669	27,043	-12%	70,403	-66%

•The database indicates that of the 1,995 closed cases, 717 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 447 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 139 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 96 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

TANF-UP

TANF-UP Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	Apr-15	Apr-14	Change from Apr-14	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	345	507	-32%	338	2%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	324	360	-10%	232	40%
Applications Approved	160	199	-20%	81	98%
Cases Closed *	189	214	-12%	107	77%
Cases at End of Month	1,262	1,531	-18%	321	293%
Cases Paid During Month ²	1,319	1,598	-17%	394	235%

•The database indicates that of the 189 closed cases, 98 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 67 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 17 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 16 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

Statewide - Status through April 30, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 4/30/15 and Work Activity as of 4/30/15

Current Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	24,376	1,320	809	1,876	646	329	2,583	297	586	955	1,172	756	3,605	932	366	2,621	980	4,358	185
Total Enrolled in VIEW	8,975	409	267	556	211	129	1,121	106	303	386	302	310	1,318	460	129	984	374	1,537	73
Part. in a Work Activity	7,313	343	232	411	173	105	917	79	253	296	240	246	1,077	383	105	821	301	1,279	52
% of Enrolled	81%	84%	87%	74%	82%	81%	82%	75%	83%	77%	79%	79%	82%	83%	81%	83%	80%	83%	71%
Part. Assigned to Inactive	67	0	0	3	0	4	7	2	3	3	0	2	13	6	2	8	4	10	0
Participants Employed	5,265	202	163	326	156	86	613	67	176	225	152	154	764	282	76	609	216	957	41
% of Enrolled	59%	49%	61%	59%	74%	67%	55%	63%	58%	58%	50%	50%	58%	61%	59%	62%	58%	62%	56%
Full Time	4,058	151	127	250	135	70	456	54	118	152	119	112	578	214	65	482	166	783	26
Part Time	1,207	51	36	76	21	16	157	13	58	73	33	42	186	68	11	127	50	174	15
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.65	\$8.07	\$7.69	\$8.33	\$8.50	\$8.29	\$10.42	\$8.69	\$8.83	\$8.19	\$7.96	\$8.54	\$8.71	\$8.77	\$7.98	\$8.31	\$8.47	\$8.40	\$8.37
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,155	\$1,077	\$1,006	\$1,114	\$1,206	\$1,115	\$1,397	\$1,170	\$1,119	\$1,046	\$1,040	\$1,160	\$1,173	\$1,160	\$1,101	\$1,112	\$1,146	\$1,119	\$1,094

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – April 30, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	18,631	876	605	1,453	526	259	2,317	216	466	744	815	583	2,534	813	261	2,124	696	3,186	157
Total Enrolled in VIEW	22,284	1,035	756	1,557	594	320	2,614	261	572	916	895	720	3,243	1,011	307	2,553	893	3,853	184
Part. in a Work Activity	20,142	998	698	1,370	552	292	2,334	222	541	820	814	664	2,847	915	283	2,314	804	3,511	163
% of Total Enrolled	90%	96%	92%	88%	93%	91%	89%	85%	95%	90%	91%	92%	88%	91%	92%	91%	90%	91%	89%
Participants Employed	12,887	541	428	873	413	214	1,490	167	345	541	422	402	1,892	604	180	1,494	527	2,255	99
% of Total Enrolled	58%	52%	57%	56%	70%	67%	57%	64%	60%	59%	47%	56%	58%	60%	59%	59%	59%	59%	54%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.73	\$8.12	\$7.82	\$8.40	\$8.54	\$8.43	\$10.51	\$8.90	\$8.88	\$8.31	\$8.03	\$8.47	\$8.85	\$8.96	\$8.16	\$8.43	\$8.76	\$8.42	\$8.32
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,135	\$1,060	\$1,021	\$1,132	\$1,141	\$1,124	\$1,365	\$1,198	\$1,051	\$1,062	\$1,047	\$1,120	\$1,148	\$1,136	\$1,029	\$1,080	\$1,203	\$1,091	\$1,047
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	71%	61%	67%	69%	63%	74%	72%	80%	70%	59%	70%	74%	75%	76%	71%	67%	73%	77%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	312,412	18,699	11,634	23,225	9,209	6,031	39,509	4,441	6,898	11,782	14,174	9,058	41,402	10,506	4,178	30,195	10,591	58,060	2,820
Total Enrolled in VIEW ⁵	242,107	14,518	9,811	18,082	7,207	4,548	30,435	3,377	5,408	9,783	11,382	7,141	32,687	7,899	3,152	23,120	8,294	43,142	2,121
Part. in a Work Activity	231,471	14,027	9,435	17,198	7,032	4,302	29,265	3,246	5,283	9,238	11,031	6,856	31,324	7,460	2,972	21,992	7,897	40,870	2,043
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	96%	95%	98%	95%	96%	96%	98%	94%	97%	96%	96%	94%	94%	95%	95%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	162,712	9,010	6,300	12,148	5,028	2,970	21,151	2,256	3,982	6,686	6,913	4,375	22,159	5,193	1,987	15,965	5,634	29,763	1,192
% of Total Enrolled	67%	62%	64%	67%	70%	65%	69%	67%	74%	68%	61%	61%	68%	66%	63%	69%	68%	69%	56%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.38	\$6.41	\$6.52	\$7.27	\$7.37	\$7.53	\$8.92	\$7.68	\$7.60	\$6.84	\$6.58	\$7.03	\$7.57	\$8.16	\$7.19	\$7.24	\$7.24	\$7.05	\$6.94
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$966	\$840	\$876	\$971	\$976	\$1,002	\$1,176	\$1,007	\$957	\$876	\$869	\$903	\$1,001	\$1,035	\$910	\$938	\$975	\$916	\$866
Three Months Employment ⁹	66%	67%	61%	60%	67%	63%	71%	65%	64%	64%	63%	65%	69%	65%	58%	66%	65%	67%	71%

EDD1 - Southwest Region: Status through April 30, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 4/30/15 and Work Activity as of 4/30/15

Current Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,320	147	61	235	173	145	209	313	37
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	409	28	12	108	48	45	58	96	14
Participants in a Work Activity	343	27	9	101	43	41	52	58	12
% of Enrolled	84%	96%	75%	94%	90%	91%	90%	60%	86%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	202	17	6	47	17	21	36	50	8
% of Enrolled	49%	61%	50%	44%	35%	47%	62%	52%	57%
Full Time	151	11	5	33	10	15	30	42	5
Part Time	51	6	1	14	7	6	6	8	3
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.07	\$7.99	\$7.87	\$8.15	\$7.82	\$8.33	\$8.18	\$7.88	\$8.49
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,077	\$1,035	\$1,142	\$1,124	\$994	\$1,071	\$1,036	\$1,078	\$1,208

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – April 30, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	876	85	36	155	93	107	153	223	24
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,035	89	35	225	128	132	165	227	34
Participants in a Work Activity	998	89	32	219	119	129	161	216	33
% of Total Enrolled	96%	100%	91%	97%	93%	98%	98%	95%	97%
Participants Employed	541	48	22	115	44	70	96	121	25
% of Total Enrolled	52%	54%	63%	51%	34%	53%	58%	53%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.12	\$8.35	\$7.73	\$8.25	\$8.66	\$8.03	\$8.10	\$7.90	\$7.80
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,060	\$1,104	\$1,050	\$1,083	\$1,147	\$1,000	\$1,033	\$1,071	\$906
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	84%	67%	75%	72%	64%	75%	63%	73%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	18,699	1,810	1,258	2,649	2,574	1,855	3,551	4,394	608
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	14,518	1,374	982	2,068	2,086	1,391	2,713	3,420	484
Participants in a Work Activity	14,027	1,296	925	2,055	1,990	1,370	2,627	3,317	447
% of Total Enrolled	97%	94%	94%	99%	95%	98%	97%	97%	92%
Participants Employed	9,010	740	590	1,344	1,237	810	1,804	2,130	355
% of Total Enrolled	62%	54%	60%	65%	59%	58%	66%	62%	73%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.41	\$6.58	\$6.40	\$6.25	\$6.56	\$6.72	\$6.28	\$6.41	\$6.20
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$840	\$857	\$850	\$814	\$866	\$894	\$826	\$843	\$761
Three Months Employment ⁹	67%	65%	55%	72%	66%	76%	66%	66%	71%

EDD2 – Bristol-Galax Region: Status through April 30, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 4/30/15 and Work Activity as of 4/30/15

Current Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	809	8	88	39	172	199	92	172	39
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	267	2	38	6	45	67	20	78	11
Participants in a Work Activity	232	2	33	6	40	56	16	71	8
% of Enrolled	87%	N/A	87%	100%	89%	84%	80%	91%	73%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	163	2	30	5	31	34	12	44	5
% of Enrolled	61%	N/A	79%	83%	69%	51%	60%	56%	45%
Full Time	127	2	27	4	26	19	12	34	3
Part Time	36	0	3	1	5	15	0	10	2
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.69	\$11.93	\$7.70	\$7.58	\$6.63	\$7.55	\$8.05	\$8.20	\$8.20
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,006	\$1,906	\$1,094	\$913	\$880	\$849	\$1,167	\$1,074	\$1,063

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – April 30, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	605	11	60	25	122	129	66	174	18
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	756	11	82	20	170	138	64	242	29
Participants in a Work Activity	698	11	78	20	162	124	62	220	21
% of Total Enrolled	92%	100%	95%	100%	95%	90%	97%	91%	72%
Participants Employed	428	5	57	13	100	70	37	132	14
% of Total Enrolled	57%	45%	70%	65%	59%	51%	58%	55%	48%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.82	\$10.47	\$7.88	\$7.93	\$7.45	\$7.76	\$7.82	\$7.95	\$8.06
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,021	\$1,660	\$1,086	\$1,014	\$996	\$932	\$1,083	\$1,023	\$966
Three Months Employment ⁸	61%	67%	81%	44%	61%	56%	58%	56%	80%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	11,634	293	1,524	720	2,056	2,072	1,681	2,516	772
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	9,811	219	1,267	584	1,749	1,723	1,336	2,255	678
Participants in a Work Activity	9,435	207	1,175	548	1,697	1,681	1,312	2,174	641
% of Total Enrolled	96%	95%	93%	94%	97%	98%	98%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	6,300	128	852	377	1,030	1,071	888	1,531	423
% of Total Enrolled	64%	58%	67%	65%	59%	62%	66%	68%	62%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.52	\$6.55	\$6.32	\$6.47	\$6.42	\$6.74	\$6.46	\$6.61	\$6.41
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$876	\$905	\$846	\$863	\$880	\$900	\$856	\$883	\$887
Three Months Employment ⁹	61%	55%	54%	60%	62%	58%	67%	62%	69%

EDD3 – Roanoke Region: Status through April 30, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 4/30/15 and Work Activity as of 4/30/15

Current Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,876	81	30	18	42	180	42	213	129	231	64	846
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	556	35	9	10	6	64	2	64	16	76	27	247
Participants in a Work Activity	411	27	4	5	6	56	2	53	12	56	23	167
% of Enrolled	74%	77%	44%	50%	100%	88%	100%	83%	75%	74%	85%	68%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Participants Employed	326	21	1	3	3	29	2	47	11	41	19	149
% of Enrolled	59%	60%	11%	30%	50%	45%	100%	73%	69%	54%	70%	60%
Full Time	250	15	0	1	3	20	2	44	10	28	15	112
Part Time	76	6	1	2	0	9	0	3	1	13	4	37
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.33	\$8.10	\$7.25	\$8.92	\$8.75	\$8.49	\$13.75	\$8.12	\$8.09	\$8.44	\$8.65	\$8.27
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,114	\$1,060	\$785	\$888	\$1,267	\$1,077	\$1,820	\$1,170	\$1,098	\$1,087	\$1,203	\$1,102

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – April 30, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	1,453	53	25	11	22	145	16	160	97	163	53	708
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,557	70	15	12	22	151	18	195	87	184	68	735
Participants in a Work Activity	1,370	64	10	7	21	139	18	169	77	150	62	653
% of Total Enrolled	88%	91%	67%	58%	95%	92%	100%	87%	89%	82%	91%	89%
Participants Employed	873	35	3	4	12	75	9	136	45	110	50	394
% of Total Enrolled	56%	50%	20%	33%	55%	50%	50%	70%	52%	60%	74%	54%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.40	\$8.28	\$7.50	\$8.63	\$8.17	\$8.27	\$9.36	\$8.23	\$8.13	\$8.82	\$8.42	\$8.40
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,132	\$1,095	\$913	\$1,003	\$1,113	\$1,094	\$1,356	\$1,169	\$1,095	\$1,158	\$1,137	\$1,125
Three Months Employment ⁸	67%	75%	25%	67%	33%	69%	71%	69%	45%	74%	63%	66%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	23,225	1,256	404	111	595	2,080	583	3,251	1,993	2,608	922	9,422
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	18,082	972	255	66	441	1,622	428	2,681	1,588	2,112	728	7,189
Participants in a Work Activity	17,198	939	221	64	424	1,471	420	2,627	1,523	1,969	695	6,845
% of Total Enrolled	95%	97%	87%	97%	96%	91%	98%	98%	96%	93%	95%	95%
Participants Employed	12,148	675	112	31	286	1,003	246	2,081	1,001	1,550	511	4,652
% of Total Enrolled	67%	69%	44%	47%	65%	62%	57%	78%	63%	73%	70%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.27	\$7.03	\$7.54	\$7.41	\$7.17	\$7.21	\$7.19	\$7.11	\$6.92	\$7.78	\$7.17	\$7.34
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$971	\$858	\$960	\$1,025	\$958	\$966	\$964	\$958	\$956	\$1,041	\$927	\$984
Three Months Employment ⁹	60%	58%	53%	62%	54%	58%	52%	63%	53%	58%	62%	62%

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: Status through April 30, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 4/30/15 and Work Activity as of 4/30/15

Current Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	646	362	5	5	59	215
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	211	104	0	0	20	87
Participants in a Work Activity	173	82	N/A	N/A	14	77
% of Enrolled	82%	79%	N/A	N/A	70%	89%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	N/A	N/A	0	0
Participants Employed	156	72	N/A	N/A	14	70
% of Enrolled	74%	69%	N/A	N/A	70%	80%
Full Time	135	62	N/A	N/A	13	60
Part Time	21	10	N/A	N/A	1	10
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.50	\$8.48	N/A	N/A	\$8.26	\$8.57
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,206	\$1,187	N/A	N/A	\$1,244	\$1,217

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – April 30, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	526	300	1	2	28	195
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	594	316	0	0	45	233
Participants in a Work Activity	552	291	N/A	N/A	40	221
% of Total Enrolled	93%	92%	N/A	N/A	89%	95%
Participants Employed	413	199	N/A	N/A	31	183
% of Total Enrolled	70%	63%	N/A	N/A	69%	79%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.54	\$8.45	N/A	N/A	\$8.66	\$8.63
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,141	\$1,082	N/A	N/A	\$1,080	\$1,214
Three Months Employment ⁸	69%	63%	N/A	N/A	64%	72%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	9,209	4,911	81	25	792	3,400
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	7,207	3,903	52	8	597	2,647
Participants in a Work Activity	7,032	3,833	52	8	553	2,586
% of Total Enrolled	98%	98%	100%	100%	93%	98%
Participants Employed	5,028	2,620	32	6	421	1,949
% of Total Enrolled	70%	67%	62%	75%	71%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.37	\$7.35	\$6.56	\$7.79	\$6.91	\$7.50
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$976	\$974	\$717	\$784	\$878	\$1,004
Three Months Employment ⁹	67%	66%	61%	83%	68%	68%

VIEW Work Activity

EDDS 5 & 18 – Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: Status through April 30, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 4/30/15 and Work Activity as of 4/30/15

Current Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	329	11	64	31	40	111	72	185	122	63
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	129	3	15	13	20	47	31	73	37	36
Participants in a Work Activity	105	2	13	11	12	43	24	52	28	24
% of Enrolled	81%	2	87%	85%	60%	91%	77%	71%	76%	67%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	0	0	0	2	1	1	0	0	0
Participants Employed	86	2	11	7	10	36	20	41	22	19
% of Enrolled	67%	2	73%	54%	50%	77%	65%	56%	59%	53%
Full Time	70	2	9	6	10	33	10	26	17	9
Part Time	16	0	2	1	0	3	10	15	5	10
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.29	\$8.13	\$8.78	\$8.04	\$8.99	\$7.83	\$8.60	\$8.37	\$8.35	\$8.41
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,115	\$1,055	\$1,187	\$1,064	\$1,372	\$1,070	\$1,052	\$1,094	\$1,172	\$1,003

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – April 30, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	259	7	39	40	43	70	60	157	117	40
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	320	6	46	47	48	103	70	184	104	80
Participants in a Work Activity	292	5	42	45	43	99	58	163	93	70
% of Total Enrolled	91%	83%	91%	96%	90%	96%	83%	89%	89%	88%
Participants Employed	214	4	31	30	30	79	40	99	56	43
% of Total Enrolled	67%	67%	67%	64%	63%	77%	57%	54%	54%	54%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.43	\$11.15	\$8.61	\$8.28	\$8.75	\$8.04	\$8.57	\$8.32	\$8.35	\$8.29
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,124	\$1,567	\$1,119	\$1,135	\$1,178	\$1,095	\$1,085	\$1,047	\$1,064	\$1,025
Three Months Employment ⁸	63%	67%	48%	36%	74%	72%	74%	77%	66%	89%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	6,031	232	1,320	900	750	1,449	1,380	2,820	1,818	1,002
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	4,548	141	991	735	471	1,111	1,099	2,121	1,335	786
Participants in a Work Activity	4,302	130	929	719	455	1,034	1,035	2,043	1,296	747
% of Total Enrolled	95%	92%	94%	98%	97%	93%	94%	96%	97%	95%
Participants Employed	2,970	82	582	510	278	762	756	1,192	681	511
% of Total Enrolled	65%	58%	59%	69%	59%	69%	69%	56%	51%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.53	\$7.74	\$7.92	\$7.43	\$7.02	\$7.55	\$7.47	\$6.94	\$7.01	\$6.87
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,002	\$1,030	\$1,031	\$1,003	\$959	\$1,017	\$978	\$866	\$873	\$858
Three Months Employment ⁹	63%	58%	60%	59%	70%	70%	59%	71%	65%	80%

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: Status through April 30, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 4/30/15 and Work Activity as of 4/30/15

Current Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	2,583	170	915	193	815	358	108	24
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,121	58	427	138	287	165	41	5
Participants in a Work Activity	917	44	370	106	236	126	32	3
% of Enrolled	82%	76%	87%	77%	82%	76%	78%	60%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	7	0	4	1	0	1	1	0
Participants Employed	613	31	228	73	180	81	19	1
% of Enrolled	55%	53%	53%	53%	63%	49%	46%	20%
Full Time	456	21	164	43	143	68	16	1
Part Time	157	10	64	30	37	13	3	0
Average Wage ⁶	\$10.42	\$10.17	\$10.09	\$11.52	\$10.11	\$11.30	\$9.98	\$7.33
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,397	\$1,205	\$1,339	\$1,535	\$1,337	\$1,630	\$1,480	\$952

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – April 30, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	2,317	131	821	230	634	382	98	21
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,614	164	971	255	726	385	97	16
Participants in a Work Activity	2,334	148	888	232	638	327	90	11
% of Total Enrolled	89%	90%	91%	91%	88%	85%	93%	69%
Participants Employed	1,490	88	531	152	468	191	55	5
% of Total Enrolled	57%	54%	55%	60%	64%	50%	57%	31%
Average Wage ⁶	\$10.51	\$10.04	\$10.40	\$11.32	\$10.14	\$11.38	\$10.23	\$9.52
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,365	\$1,100	\$1,333	\$1,463	\$1,331	\$1,545	\$1,488	\$1,177
Three Months Employment ⁸	74%	59%	73%	80%	74%	84%	78%	50%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	39,509	3,179	14,089	2,926	12,514	4,598	1,701	502
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	30,435	2,511	10,668	2,315	9,681	3,585	1,307	368
Participants in a Work Activity	29,265	2,487	10,260	2,204	9,282	3,396	1,273	363
% of Total Enrolled	96%	99%	96%	95%	96%	95%	97%	99%
Participants Employed	21,151	1,783	7,127	1,753	6,947	2,458	839	244
% of Total Enrolled	69%	71%	67%	76%	72%	69%	64%	66%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.92	\$8.46	\$9.01	\$9.54	\$8.94	\$8.67	\$8.47	\$8.31
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,176	\$1,077	\$1,181	\$1,230	\$1,205	\$1,113	\$1,135	\$1,104
Three Months Employment ⁹	71%	69%	70%	72%	70%	78%	70%	77%

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: Status through April 30, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 4/30/15 and Work Activity as of 4/30/15

Current Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	297	101	64	25	96	11
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	106	38	27	6	32	3
Participants in a Work Activity	79	30	19	4	24	2
% of Enrolled	75%	79%	70%	67%	75%	67%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	2	0	0	0
Participants Employed	67	25	18	3	19	2
% of Enrolled	63%	66%	67%	50%	59%	67%
Full Time	54	23	12	3	15	1
Part Time	13	2	6	0	4	1
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.69	\$8.43	\$8.48	\$9.59	\$9.00	\$9.50
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,170	\$1,192	\$1,142	\$1,348	\$1,144	\$1,137

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – April 30, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	216	76	62	19	52	7
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	261	100	74	21	61	5
Participants in a Work Activity	222	83	62	19	54	4
% of Total Enrolled	85%	83%	84%	90%	89%	80%
Participants Employed	167	66	49	10	40	2
% of Total Enrolled	64%	66%	66%	48%	66%	40%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.90	\$8.58	\$9.15	\$8.62	\$9.11	\$9.50
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,198	\$1,186	\$1,199	\$1,087	\$1,251	\$1,129
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	79%	68%	38%	78%	N/A

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	4,441	1,729	1,288	408	896	120
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	3,377	1,274	997	306	722	78
Participants in a Work Activity	3,246	1,224	975	298	675	74
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	98%	97%	93%	95%
Participants Employed	2,256	823	692	180	515	46
% of Total Enrolled	67%	65%	69%	59%	71%	59%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.68	\$7.67	\$8.03	\$7.28	\$7.37	\$7.52
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,007	\$992	\$1,047	\$1,006	\$978	\$977
Three Months Employment ⁹	65%	66%	68%	58%	61%	50%

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: Status through April 30, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 4/30/15 and Work Activity as of 4/30/15

Current Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	Cville
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	586	110	53	51	96	48	228
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	303	68	22	23	35	15	140
Participants in a Work Activity	253	68	11	15	26	12	121
% of Enrolled	83%	100%	50%	65%	74%	80%	86%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	0	0	0	1	2
Participants Employed	176	44	8	13	18	12	81
% of Enrolled	58%	65%	36%	57%	51%	80%	58%
Full Time	118	30	6	9	13	6	54
Part Time	58	14	2	4	5	6	27
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.83	\$8.50	\$7.81	\$8.12	\$8.00	\$8.59	\$9.45
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,119	\$1,032	\$963	\$1,181	\$1,117	\$1,110	\$1,174

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – April 30, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	Cville
Individuals Referred	466	109	25	43	83	25	181
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	572	130	38	37	84	27	256
Participants in a Work Activity	541	130	35	34	76	25	241
% of Total Enrolled	95%	100%	92%	92%	90%	93%	94%
Participants Employed	345	84	14	22	44	18	163
% of Total Enrolled	60%	65%	37%	59%	52%	67%	64%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.88	\$9.09	\$7.98	\$8.54	\$8.40	\$9.15	\$8.98
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,051	\$1,081	\$919	\$1,030	\$960	\$1,128	\$1,063
Three Months Employment ⁸	80%	81%	88%	88%	81%	82%	77%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	Cville
Individuals Referred	6,898	1,668	328	536	1,097	367	2,902
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	5,408	1,237	244	382	828	266	2,451
Participants in a Work Activity	5,283	1,211	237	362	801	263	2,409
% of Total Enrolled	98%	98%	97%	95%	97%	99%	98%
Participants Employed	3,982	879	152	281	521	162	1,987
% of Total Enrolled	74%	71%	62%	74%	63%	61%	81%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.60	\$8.03	\$8.01	\$7.47	\$7.80	\$7.97	\$7.42
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$957	\$1,023	\$1,060	\$922	\$989	\$1,020	\$929
Three Months Employment ⁹	64%	58%	63%	56%	64%	65%	66%

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: Status through April 30, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 4/30/15 and Work Activity as of 4/30/15

Current Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	955	79	65	155	209	447
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	386	29	25	57	109	166
Participants in a Work Activity	296	23	23	46	76	128
% of Enrolled	77%	79%	92%	81%	70%	77%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	0	1	1	1
Participants Employed	225	21	20	32	48	104
% of Enrolled	58%	72%	80%	56%	44%	63%
Full Time	152	16	16	23	28	69
Part Time	73	5	4	9	20	35
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.19	\$8.40	\$8.15	\$8.27	\$8.51	\$7.99
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,046	\$1,138	\$1,115	\$1,057	\$1,087	\$992

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – April 30, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	744	55	47	106	169	367
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	916	60	75	142	241	398
Participants in a Work Activity	820	53	71	122	217	357
% of Total Enrolled	90%	88%	95%	86%	90%	90%
Participants Employed	541	41	49	83	128	240
% of Total Enrolled	59%	68%	65%	58%	53%	60%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.31	\$8.55	\$8.25	\$8.59	\$8.62	\$8.01
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,062	\$1,249	\$1,139	\$1,091	\$1,131	\$961
Three Months Employment ⁸	70%	76%	71%	88%	64%	66%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	11,782	1,090	917	2,368	2,749	4,658
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	9,783	869	808	1,903	2,367	3,836
Participants in a Work Activity	9,238	825	795	1,763	2,232	3,623
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	98%	93%	94%	94%
Participants Employed	6,686	575	570	1,236	1,620	2,685
% of Total Enrolled	68%	66%	71%	65%	68%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.84	\$7.05	\$6.55	\$6.91	\$7.04	\$6.72
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$876	\$905	\$896	\$878	\$917	\$844
Three Months Employment ⁹	64%	60%	55%	60%	63%	68%

EDD10 - Danville Region: Status through April 30, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 4/30/15 and Work Activity as of 4/30/15

Current Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
TANF Cases End of Month¹⁰	1,172	175	379	77	165	376
Total Enrolled in VIEW³	302	40	111	31	18	102
Participants in a Work Activity	240	24	89	30	13	84
% of Enrolled	79%	60%	80%	97%	72%	82%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	152	21	57	14	13	47
% of Enrolled	50%	53%	51%	45%	72%	46%
Full Time	119	17	41	12	13	36
Part Time	33	4	16	2	0	11
Average Wage⁶	\$7.96	\$8.06	\$8.16	\$7.63	\$7.56	\$7.87
Average Monthly Earnings⁷	\$1,040	\$1,118	\$1,049	\$1,135	\$1,015	\$974

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – April 30, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	815	101	250	80	112	272
Total Enrolled in VIEW³	895	107	307	92	109	280
Participants in a Work Activity	814	97	272	84	107	254
% of Total Enrolled	91%	91%	89%	91%	98%	91%
Participants Employed	422	46	161	45	43	127
% of Total Enrolled	47%	43%	52%	49%	39%	45%
Average Wage⁶	\$8.03	\$8.44	\$8.16	\$7.70	\$7.89	\$7.86
Average Monthly Earnings⁷	\$1,047	\$1,067	\$1,068	\$1,029	\$999	\$1,035
Three Months Employment⁸	59%	71%	61%	54%	47%	58%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	14,174	2,167	3,826	1,382	2,354	4,445
Total Enrolled in VIEW^{3,5}	11,382	1,788	2,973	1,190	1,798	3,633
Participants in a Work Activity	11,031	1,727	2,858	1,125	1,774	3,547
% of Total Enrolled	97%	97%	96%	95%	99%	98%
Participants Employed	6,913	987	1,904	797	951	2,274
% of Total Enrolled	61%	55%	64%	67%	53%	63%
Average Wage⁶	\$6.58	\$6.54	\$7.00	\$6.47	\$6.56	\$6.29
Average Monthly Earnings⁷	\$869	\$860	\$944	\$839	\$878	\$820
Three Months Employment⁹	63%	58%	64%	74%	58%	61%

EDD11 - Southside Region: Status through April 30, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 4/30/15 and Work Activity as of 4/30/15

Current Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	756	28	85	54	47	52	124	30	141	89	106
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	310	7	38	17	25	24	53	14	38	43	51
Participants in a Work Activity	246	5	29	16	18	22	44	7	28	36	41
% of Enrolled	79%	71%	76%	94%	72%	92%	83%	50%	74%	84%	80%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Participants Employed	154	4	16	11	7	14	24	3	14	28	33
% of Enrolled	50%	57%	42%	65%	28%	58%	45%	21%	37%	65%	65%
Full Time	112	4	11	9	4	7	15	2	13	22	25
Part Time	42	0	5	2	3	7	9	1	1	6	8
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.54	\$8.53	\$7.98	\$15.14	\$7.89	\$8.32	\$8.18	\$7.55	\$7.50	\$8.03	\$8.10
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,160	\$1,303	\$1,062	\$2,283	\$827	\$1,009	\$1,030	\$1,158	\$1,081	\$1,125	\$1,110

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – April 30, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	583	25	81	44	51	29	93	32	74	76	78
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	720	34	90	51	70	46	109	38	77	90	115
Participants in a Work Activity	664	30	86	49	67	42	99	30	70	85	106
% of Total Enrolled	92%	88%	96%	96%	96%	91%	91%	79%	91%	94%	92%
Participants Employed	402	23	47	27	36	28	57	14	37	58	75
% of Total Enrolled	56%	68%	52%	53%	51%	61%	52%	37%	48%	64%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.47	\$9.28	\$8.20	\$10.77	\$8.38	\$8.54	\$8.18	\$8.43	\$7.93	\$8.51	\$8.11
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,120	\$1,242	\$1,051	\$1,529	\$1,108	\$1,046	\$1,052	\$1,114	\$1,030	\$1,152	\$1,091
Three Months Employment ⁸	70%	53%	63%	58%	82%	65%	69%	60%	74%	77%	76%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	9,058	573	1,094	855	796	613	1,070	433	1,305	1,111	1,208
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	7,141	427	880	663	610	458	859	343	1,033	865	1,003
Participants in a Work Activity	6,856	404	877	630	574	435	825	338	990	804	979
% of Total Enrolled	96%	95%	100%	95%	94%	95%	96%	99%	96%	93%	98%
Participants Employed	4,375	262	508	380	318	266	517	169	671	578	706
% of Total Enrolled	61%	61%	58%	57%	52%	58%	60%	49%	65%	67%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.03	\$7.66	\$6.49	\$7.33	\$7.46	\$7.56	\$7.02	\$6.77	\$6.75	\$7.08	\$6.96
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$903	\$980	\$846	\$984	\$940	\$970	\$852	\$841	\$855	\$928	\$909
Three Months Employment ⁹	65%	61%	67%	59%	59%	58%	64%	60%	66%	70%	69%

EDD12 - Richmond Region: Status through April 30, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 4/30/15 and Work Activity as of 4/30/15

Current Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	3,605	636	24	99	957	36	1,853
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,318	235	5	51	395	12	620
Participants in a Work Activity	1,077	195	4	40	304	11	523
% of Enrolled	82%	83%	80%	78%	77%	92%	84%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	13	3	0	0	1	0	9
Participants Employed	764	154	3	33	230	10	334
% of Enrolled	58%	66%	60%	65%	58%	83%	54%
Full Time	578	128	2	28	161	8	251
Part Time	186	26	1	5	69	2	83
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.71	\$9.02	\$9.08	\$9.08	\$8.79	\$9.44	\$8.45
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,173	\$1,271	\$1,341	\$1,293	\$1,153	\$1,384	\$1,122

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – April 30, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	2,534	494	24	78	725	22	1,191
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	3,243	585	20	103	1,056	31	1,448
Participants in a Work Activity	2,847	540	18	91	896	31	1,271
% of Total Enrolled	88%	92%	90%	88%	85%	100%	88%
Participants Employed	1,892	351	10	72	641	21	797
% of Total Enrolled	58%	60%	50%	70%	61%	68%	55%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.85	\$9.35	\$9.03	\$9.22	\$8.97	\$9.06	\$8.49
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,148	\$1,262	\$1,150	\$1,229	\$1,120	\$1,267	\$1,110
Three Months Employment ⁸	74%	80%	43%	93%	72%	86%	72%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	41,402	8,731	321	1,495	10,553	415	19,887
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	32,687	6,666	247	1,067	8,693	311	15,703
Participants in a Work Activity	31,324	6,449	235	990	8,169	294	15,187
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	95%	93%	94%	95%	97%
Participants Employed	22,159	4,215	163	698	5,900	178	11,005
% of Total Enrolled	68%	63%	66%	65%	68%	57%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.57	\$7.88	\$7.45	\$8.39	\$7.88	\$7.94	\$7.27
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,001	\$1,052	\$950	\$1,066	\$1,028	\$1,006	\$969
Three Months Employment ⁹	69%	69%	64%	67%	66%	63%	71%

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: Status through April 30, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 4/30/15 and Work Activity as of 4/30/15

Current Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	932	131	49	326	282	144
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	460	73	20	151	131	85
Participants in a Work Activity	383	58	15	122	117	71
% of Enrolled	83%	79%	75%	81%	89%	84%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	6	4	0	0	0	2
Participants Employed	282	48	13	90	70	61
% of Enrolled	61%	66%	65%	60%	53%	72%
Full Time	214	38	11	75	48	42
Part Time	68	10	2	15	22	19
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.77	\$9.08	\$8.48	\$8.57	\$9.03	\$8.59
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,160	\$1,213	\$1,263	\$1,145	\$1,133	\$1,152

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – April 30, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	813	87	45	300	231	150
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,011	140	44	348	274	205
Participants in a Work Activity	915	122	42	322	244	185
% of Total Enrolled	91%	87%	95%	93%	89%	90%
Participants Employed	604	89	28	212	147	128
% of Total Enrolled	60%	64%	64%	61%	54%	62%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.96	\$8.84	\$9.47	\$8.66	\$9.53	\$8.73
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,136	\$1,123	\$1,152	\$1,092	\$1,222	\$1,113
Three Months Employment ⁸	75%	69%	74%	71%	88%	72%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	10,506	1,535	627	3,250	3,053	2,041
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	7,899	1,116	443	2,524	2,070	1,746
Participants in a Work Activity	7,460	1,061	420	2,348	1,973	1,658
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	95%	93%	95%	95%
Participants Employed	5,193	693	261	1,722	1,226	1,291
% of Total Enrolled	66%	62%	59%	68%	59%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.16	\$7.81	\$7.70	\$8.12	\$8.82	\$7.94
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,035	\$985	\$942	\$1,023	\$1,147	\$1,002
Three Months Employment ⁹	65%	59%	58%	66%	68%	65%

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: Status through April 30, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 4/30/15 and Work Activity as of 4/30/15

Current Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	366	69	27	28	39	22	45	32	33	71
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	129	22	7	13	11	6	15	7	21	27
Participants in a Work Activity	105	18	3	9	8	6	15	6	15	25
% of Enrolled	81%	82%	43%	69%	73%	100%	100%	86%	71%	93%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	76	16	3	5	7	6	14	3	6	16
% of Enrolled	59%	73%	43%	38%	64%	100%	93%	43%	29%	59%
Full Time	65	14	1	5	6	5	12	3	6	13
Part Time	11	2	2	0	1	1	2	0	0	3
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.98	\$7.78	\$6.92	\$8.10	\$8.70	\$7.92	\$8.18	\$8.02	\$7.75	\$7.97
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,101	\$1,109	\$782	\$1,140	\$1,211	\$1,059	\$1,077	\$1,263	\$1,151	\$1,083

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – April 30, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	261	36	25	21	20	10	41	24	34	50
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	307	55	36	28	21	14	45	24	29	55
Participants in a Work Activity	283	49	32	27	18	14	45	20	24	54
% of Total Enrolled	92%	89%	89%	96%	86%	100%	100%	83%	83%	98%
Participants Employed	180	37	19	13	12	13	37	10	9	30
% of Total Enrolled	59%	67%	53%	46%	57%	93%	82%	42%	31%	55%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.16	\$8.56	\$8.42	\$8.84	\$8.39	\$8.04	\$7.85	\$7.74	\$8.17	\$7.80
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,029	\$1,055	\$934	\$1,288	\$1,127	\$868	\$1,037	\$1,012	\$1,101	\$938
Three Months Employment ⁸	76%	91%	50%	88%	60%	71%	75%	67%	67%	83%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	4,178	630	319	376	444	229	518	373	394	895
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	3,152	510	222	308	351	162	386	305	241	667
Participants in a Work Activity	2,972	489	210	294	332	155	364	294	225	609
% of Total Enrolled	94%	96%	95%	95%	95%	96%	94%	96%	93%	91%
Participants Employed	1,987	342	117	183	235	110	270	170	139	421
% of Total Enrolled	63%	67%	53%	59%	67%	68%	70%	56%	58%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.19	\$7.21	\$7.24	\$7.64	\$6.98	\$7.16	\$7.22	\$6.90	\$7.18	\$7.19
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$910	\$887	\$879	\$1,005	\$874	\$907	\$917	\$866	\$944	\$926
Three Months Employment ⁹	58%	50%	46%	59%	50%	72%	73%	50%	44%	65%

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: Status through April 30, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 4/30/15 and Work Activity as of 4/30/15

Current Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	NewKent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	2,621	16	104	136	42	99	884	1,315	25
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	984	4	39	57	15	62	321	470	16
Participants in a Work Activity	821	3	31	42	9	50	267	405	14
% of Enrolled	83%	75%	79%	74%	60%	81%	83%	86%	88%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	8	0	2	2	0	0	4	0	0
Participants Employed	609	1	29	32	6	32	203	294	12
% of Enrolled	62%	25%	74%	56%	40%	52%	63%	63%	75%
Full Time	482	1	27	24	5	19	148	249	9
Part Time	127	0	2	8	1	13	55	45	3
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.31	\$7.76	\$7.45	\$8.51	\$9.25	\$8.54	\$8.22	\$8.38	\$8.78
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,112	\$1,344	\$935	\$1,070	\$1,248	\$1,097	\$1,045	\$1,179	\$1,111

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – April 30, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	2,124	12	83	108	19	83	675	1,112	32
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,553	10	96	127	35	129	869	1,253	34
Participants in a Work Activity	2,314	10	86	110	29	118	792	1,137	32
% of Total Enrolled	91%	100%	90%	87%	83%	91%	91%	91%	94%
Participants Employed	1,494	5	62	76	15	72	534	706	24
% of Total Enrolled	59%	50%	65%	60%	43%	56%	61%	56%	71%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.43	\$8.95	\$7.52	\$8.77	\$9.78	\$9.09	\$8.36	\$8.40	\$9.00
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,080	\$1,290	\$875	\$1,065	\$1,274	\$1,065	\$1,046	\$1,124	\$1,018
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	100%	74%	73%	63%	89%	72%	69%	64%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	30,195	181	1,239	1,371	409	1,296	10,413	14,821	465
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	23,120	132	902	1,091	300	1012	7,832	11,497	354
Participants in a Work Activity	21,992	126	836	1,011	288	967	7,616	10,819	329
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	93%	93%	96%	96%	97%	94%	93%
Participants Employed	15,965	76	587	752	168	626	5,483	8,028	245
% of Total Enrolled	69%	58%	65%	69%	56%	62%	70%	70%	69%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.24	\$7.26	\$6.98	\$7.71	\$7.75	\$7.57	\$7.24	\$7.19	\$7.48
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$938	\$973	\$853	\$934	\$1,042	\$908	\$931	\$949	\$919
Three Months Employment ⁹	66%	52%	58%	58%	71%	60%	64%	69%	65%

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: Status through April 30, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 4/30/15 and Work Activity as of 4/30/15

Current Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	980	101	85	30	75	260	429
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	374	17	41	12	41	124	139
Participants in a Work Activity	301	16	34	11	17	111	112
% of Enrolled	80%	94%	83%	92%	41%	90%	81%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	0	0	1	0	2	1
Participants Employed	216	13	23	7	10	68	95
% of Enrolled	58%	76%	56%	58%	24%	55%	68%
Full Time	166	12	18	4	6	42	84
Part Time	50	1	5	3	4	26	11
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.47	\$8.27	\$8.96	\$8.82	\$8.15	\$8.58	\$8.31
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,146	\$1,246	\$1,254	\$1,062	\$934	\$1,062	\$1,194

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – April 30, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	696	66	80	18	55	181	296
Total Enrolled in VIEW	893	47	79	33	73	275	386
Participants in a Work Activity	804	46	74	31	64	244	345
% of Total Enrolled	90%	98%	94%	94%	88%	89%	89%
Participants Employed	527	37	40	20	30	156	244
% of Total Enrolled	59%	79%	51%	61%	41%	57%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.76	\$9.06	\$9.28	\$9.33	\$8.35	\$8.84	\$8.56
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,203	\$1,359	\$1,265	\$1,168	\$1,078	\$1,181	\$1,205
Three Months Employment ⁸	67%	74%	76%	47%	68%	65%	69%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	10,591	1,365	984	422	833	2,651	4,336
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	8,294	889	741	351	672	2,167	3,474
Participants in a Work Activity	7,897	886	647	334	643	2,059	3,328
% of Total Enrolled	95%	100%	87%	95%	96%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	5,634	589	440	245	432	1,477	2,451
% of Total Enrolled	68%	66%	59%	70%	64%	68%	71%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.24	\$7.12	\$7.74	\$7.51	\$7.13	\$7.17	\$7.23
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$975	\$997	\$1,024	\$904	\$968	\$955	\$983
Three Months Employment ⁹	65%	58%	58%	55%	62%	63%	70%

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: Status through April 30, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 4/30/15 and Work Activity as of 4/30/15

Current Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	4,358	109	57	705	62	1,481	903	305	736
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,537	32	23	236	21	590	300	80	255
Participants in a Work Activity	1,279	24	19	207	15	492	242	72	208
% of Enrolled	83%	75%	83%	88%	71%	83%	81%	90%	82%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	10	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	3
Participants Employed	957	18	15	161	9	375	168	56	155
% of Enrolled	62%	56%	65%	68%	43%	64%	56%	70%	61%
Full Time	783	14	10	127	6	327	125	47	127
Part Time	174	4	5	34	3	48	43	9	28
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.40	\$8.11	\$8.74	\$8.59	\$7.81	\$8.29	\$8.37	\$8.20	\$8.62
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,119	\$952	\$1,130	\$1,155	\$1,006	\$1,086	\$1,136	\$1,131	\$1,167

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – April 30, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	3,186	68	44	574	61	987	599	247	606
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	3,853	63	62	711	73	1,308	748	253	635
Participants in a Work Activity	3,511	61	53	638	72	1,212	670	244	561
% of Total Enrolled	91%	97%	85%	90%	99%	93%	90%	96%	88%
Participants Employed	2,255	35	35	440	38	792	416	141	358
% of Total Enrolled	59%	56%	56%	62%	52%	61%	56%	56%	56%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.42	\$8.24	\$8.58	\$8.64	\$8.12	\$8.30	\$8.37	\$8.37	\$8.58
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,091	\$1,085	\$1,144	\$1,137	\$913	\$1,070	\$1,078	\$1,140	\$1,101
Three Months Employment ⁸	73%	83%	91%	70%	40%	78%	68%	66%	74%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	58,060	1,330	1,085	9,453	926	18,571	9,361	3,681	13,653
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	43,142	964	876	7,036	754	13,714	7,469	2,892	9,437
Participants in a Work Activity	40,870	929	837	6,724	743	12,964	7,044	2,865	8,764
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	96%	96%	99%	95%	94%	99%	93%
Participants Employed	29,763	645	512	5,025	487	9,580	5,270	2,107	6,137
% of Total Enrolled	69%	67%	58%	71%	65%	70%	71%	73%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.05	\$7.01	\$6.81	\$7.25	\$6.78	\$6.95	\$6.92	\$6.88	\$7.36
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$916	\$921	\$851	\$950	\$842	\$883	\$916	\$895	\$967
Three Months Employment ⁹	67%	59%	54%	64%	63%	68%	69%	68%	69%

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date

This section lists the relative state fiscal year to date rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Wage, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	53.8%	4.65	73	\$8.35	2.29	74	65.6%	5.42	82	4.12	83
003	Albemarle	64.6%	6.12	26	\$9.09	4.17	24	81.3%	7.50	21	5.93	15
005	Alleghany/Covington	50.0%	4.12	93	\$8.28	2.11	79	75.0%	6.67	36	4.30	75
007	Amelia	67.6%	6.54	15	\$9.28	4.66	18	52.9%	3.73	104	4.97	47
009	Amherst	68.3%	6.63	13	\$8.55	2.80	56	75.9%	6.78	33	5.40	31
011	Appomattox	65.3%	6.22	23	\$8.25	2.04	82	70.6%	6.08	61	4.78	61
013	Arlington	53.7%	4.62	75	\$10.04	6.59	9	59.0%	4.54	97	5.25	34
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	63.0%	5.90	35	\$8.45	2.54	61	63.1%	5.08	90	4.51	69
017	Bath	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
019	Bedford County/City	58.5%	5.28	53	\$8.59	2.90	49	87.5%	8.33	8	5.50	27
021	Bland	45.5%	3.49	104	\$10.47	7.68	5	66.7%	5.56	73	5.58	24
023	Botetourt	20.0%	0.00	118	\$7.50	0.13	117	25.0%	0.00	117	0.04	118
025	Brunswick	52.2%	4.42	86	\$8.20	1.91	86	63.0%	5.06	91	3.80	92
027	Buchanan	53.9%	4.66	72	\$8.35	2.29	75	84.0%	7.87	14	4.94	51
029	Buckingham	52.9%	4.52	81	\$10.77	8.45	4	57.9%	4.39	100	5.78	21
031	Campbell	53.1%	4.54	79	\$8.62	2.98	46	64.2%	5.23	85	4.25	78
033	Caroline	63.6%	5.98	33	\$8.84	3.54	33	69.2%	5.90	66	5.14	41
035	Carroll	69.5%	6.80	11	\$7.88	1.09	106	81.3%	7.50	22	5.13	42
036	Charles City	50.0%	4.12	94	\$8.95	3.82	32	100.0%	10.00	1	5.98	13
037	Charlotte	51.4%	4.31	89	\$8.38	2.37	70	81.8%	7.58	18	4.75	62
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	60.0%	5.49	47	\$9.35	4.83	16	79.6%	7.28	25	5.87	19
043	Clarke	66.7%	6.41	18	\$11.15	9.41	3	66.7%	5.56	74	7.13	3
045	Craig	33.3%	1.83	115	\$8.63	3.00	44	66.7%	5.56	75	3.46	100
047	Culpeper	66.0%	6.31	21	\$8.58	2.88	50	79.1%	7.21	26	5.47	28
049	Cumberland	60.9%	5.61	42	\$8.54	2.77	57	64.7%	5.29	84	4.56	67
051	Dickensen	62.9%	5.88	36	\$7.73	0.71	114	66.7%	5.56	76	4.05	86
053	Dinwiddie	78.7%	8.06	3	\$9.06	4.10	26	73.9%	6.52	44	6.23	8
057	Essex	67.3%	6.49	17	\$8.56	2.82	54	90.9%	8.79	3	6.03	12
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	54.7%	4.76	68	\$10.40	7.51	6	72.8%	6.37	50	6.21	9
061	Fauquier	66.2%	6.34	20	\$9.15	4.33	21	67.7%	5.70	71	5.46	29
063	Floyd	54.5%	4.74	69	\$8.17	1.83	88	33.3%	1.11	116	2.56	116
065	Fluvanna	36.8%	2.31	112	\$7.98	1.35	100	87.5%	8.33	9	4.00	88
067	Franklin County	49.7%	4.07	97	\$8.27	2.09	81	69.1%	5.87	68	4.01	87
069	Frederick	67.4%	6.50	16	\$8.61	2.95	48	47.6%	3.02	107	4.16	81
071	Giles	50.0%	4.12	95	\$9.36	4.86	15	71.4%	6.19	58	5.06	46
073	Gloucester	64.6%	6.12	27	\$7.52	0.18	116	74.3%	6.57	39	4.29	76
075	Goochland	50.0%	4.12	96	\$9.03	4.02	28	42.9%	2.38	112	3.51	99
077	Grayson	65.0%	6.18	25	\$7.93	1.22	102	44.4%	2.59	111	3.33	105

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	59.5%	5.42	51	\$8.54	2.77	58	87.5%	8.33	10	5.51	26
081	Greensville/Emporia	52.3%	4.43	85	\$8.18	1.86	87	69.2%	5.90	67	4.06	84
083	Halifax	43.0%	3.16	106	\$8.44	2.52	62	70.6%	6.08	62	3.92	90
085	Hanover	69.9%	6.85	9	\$9.22	4.50	20	92.9%	9.05	2	6.80	4
087	Henrico	60.7%	5.59	43	\$8.97	3.87	31	72.2%	6.29	53	5.25	35
089	Henry/Martinsville	52.4%	4.45	83	\$8.16	1.81	90	60.7%	4.76	94	3.67	95
091	Highland	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
093	Isle of Wight	55.6%	4.88	66	\$8.24	2.01	84	83.3%	7.78	16	4.89	55
095	James City	59.8%	5.47	48	\$8.77	3.36	37	72.9%	6.39	49	5.07	45
097	King and Queen	52.8%	4.50	82	\$8.42	2.47	64	50.0%	3.33	105	3.43	101
099	King George	63.6%	5.99	32	\$9.47	5.14	14	73.7%	6.49	46	5.87	18
101	King William	46.4%	3.63	103	\$8.84	3.54	34	87.5%	8.33	11	5.17	39
103	Lancaster	57.1%	5.10	56	\$8.39	2.39	69	60.0%	4.67	95	4.05	85
105	Lee	51.1%	4.27	90	\$8.25	2.04	83	75.3%	6.71	35	4.34	73
107	Loudoun	59.6%	5.44	50	\$11.32	9.85	2	79.6%	7.28	24	7.52	1
109	Louisa	52.4%	4.44	84	\$8.40	2.42	66	81.5%	7.53	20	4.80	60
111	Lunenburg	36.8%	2.31	113	\$8.43	2.49	63	60.0%	4.67	96	3.16	107
113	Madison	47.6%	3.79	102	\$8.62	2.98	47	37.5%	1.67	114	2.81	113
115	Mathews	92.9%	10.00	1	\$8.04	1.50	96	71.4%	6.19	59	5.90	16
117	Mecklenburg	48.1%	3.85	101	\$7.93	1.22	103	74.1%	6.54	41	3.87	91
119	Middlesex	82.2%	8.54	2	\$7.85	1.02	108	75.0%	6.67	37	5.41	30
121	Montgomery	69.7%	6.83	10	\$8.23	1.98	85	69.4%	5.92	64	4.91	53
125	Nelson	66.7%	6.41	19	\$9.15	4.33	22	81.8%	7.58	19	6.10	10
127	New Kent	42.9%	3.14	107	\$9.78	5.93	10	62.5%	5.00	92	4.69	64
131	Northampton	53.8%	4.63	74	\$8.29	2.14	78	89.3%	8.57	6	5.11	43
133	Northumberland	41.7%	2.97	108	\$7.74	0.74	113	66.7%	5.56	77	3.09	108
135	Nottoway	64.4%	6.10	29	\$8.51	2.70	59	77.3%	6.97	31	5.26	33
137	Orange	65.6%	6.26	22	\$9.11	4.22	23	77.8%	7.04	28	5.84	20
139	Page	63.8%	6.02	30	\$8.28	2.11	80	36.4%	1.51	115	3.21	106
141	Patrick	48.9%	3.97	99	\$7.70	0.64	115	53.6%	3.81	103	2.80	114
143	Pittsylvania	39.4%	2.67	111	\$7.89	1.12	105	47.2%	2.96	108	2.25	117
145	Powhatan	67.7%	6.55	14	\$9.06	4.10	27	85.7%	8.09	12	6.25	7
147	Prince Edward	65.2%	6.21	24	\$8.11	1.68	93	75.5%	6.73	34	4.87	56
149	Prince George	50.6%	4.20	92	\$9.28	4.66	19	76.2%	6.83	32	5.23	36
153	Prince William	64.5%	6.10	28	\$10.14	6.84	8	73.8%	6.50	45	6.48	5
155	Pulaski	51.7%	4.35	88	\$8.13	1.73	91	45.5%	2.73	110	2.94	112
157	Rappahannock	40.0%	2.75	110	\$9.50	5.22	13	N/A	N/A	N/A	3.98	89
159	Richmond County	31.0%	1.51	117	\$8.17	1.83	89	66.7%	5.56	78	2.97	111
161	Roanoke County	59.8%	5.46	49	\$8.82	3.49	36	74.0%	6.53	43	5.16	40
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	68.9%	6.71	12	\$8.66	3.08	40	85.7%	8.09	13	5.96	14
165	Rockingham/H'burg	78.5%	8.04	4	\$8.63	3.00	45	72.2%	6.30	52	5.78	22
167	Russell	34.4%	1.97	114	\$8.66	3.08	41	72.0%	6.27	54	3.77	93
169	Scott	53.0%	4.53	80	\$8.03	1.48	98	63.8%	5.18	86	3.73	94
171	Shenandoah	62.5%	5.83	37	\$8.75	3.31	38	73.7%	6.49	47	5.21	37

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	58.8%	5.33	52	\$7.45	0.00	118	61.3%	4.84	93	3.39	102
175	<i>Southampton</i>	56.5%	5.00	60	\$8.58	2.88	51	90.9%	8.79	4	5.56	25
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	60.9%	5.62	41	\$8.66	3.08	42	70.9%	6.12	60	4.94	52
179	<i>Stafford</i>	53.6%	4.62	76	\$9.53	5.29	11	87.7%	8.35	7	6.09	11
181	<i>Surry</i>	60.6%	5.57	44	\$9.33	4.78	17	46.7%	2.89	109	4.42	72
183	<i>Sussex</i>	41.1%	2.90	109	\$8.35	2.29	76	68.0%	5.73	70	3.64	96
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	58.2%	5.24	54	\$8.10	1.65	94	75.0%	6.67	38	4.52	68
187	<i>Warren</i>	76.7%	7.78	5	\$8.04	1.50	97	71.7%	6.23	56	5.17	38
191	<i>Washington</i>	50.7%	4.22	91	\$7.76	0.79	112	56.1%	4.15	101	3.05	109
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	54.5%	4.74	70	\$7.80	0.89	110	83.3%	7.78	17	4.47	70
195	<i>Wise</i>	53.3%	4.57	78	\$7.90	1.15	104	63.4%	5.12	88	3.61	97
197	<i>Wythe</i>	57.8%	5.19	55	\$7.82	0.94	109	58.3%	4.44	98	3.53	98
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	55.8%	4.92	63	\$9.09	4.17	25	89.5%	8.60	5	5.89	17
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	49.6%	4.06	98	\$11.38	10.00	1	83.9%	7.85	15	7.30	2
520	<i>Bristol</i>	54.5%	4.74	71	\$7.95	1.27	101	55.6%	4.07	102	3.36	104
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	63.7%	5.99	31	\$8.98	3.89	30	77.3%	6.98	30	5.62	23
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	61.9%	5.75	39	\$8.64	3.03	43	70.4%	6.05	63	4.94	50
590	<i>Danville</i>	45.4%	3.48	105	\$7.86	1.04	107	58.0%	4.40	99	2.98	110
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	52.1%	4.40	87	\$8.12	1.70	92	40.0%	2.00	113	2.70	115
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	62.4%	5.82	38	\$8.73	3.26	39	71.6%	6.21	57	5.10	44
640	<i>Galax</i>	48.3%	3.88	100	\$8.06	1.55	95	80.0%	7.33	23	4.26	77
650	<i>Hampton</i>	61.4%	5.69	40	\$8.36	2.32	73	71.8%	6.24	55	4.75	63
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	56.7%	5.04	58	\$8.84	3.54	35	65.5%	5.39	83	4.66	65
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	60.3%	5.53	46	\$8.01	1.42	99	65.6%	5.42	81	4.13	82
683	<i>Manassas</i>	56.7%	5.04	59	\$10.23	7.07	7	77.8%	7.04	29	6.38	6
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	31.3%	1.54	116	\$9.52	5.27	12	50.0%	3.33	106	3.38	103
700	<i>Newport News</i>	56.3%	4.99	62	\$8.40	2.42	67	69.3%	5.91	65	4.44	71
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	60.6%	5.57	45	\$8.30	2.16	77	78.4%	7.11	27	4.95	49
720	<i>Norton</i>	73.5%	7.35	6	\$7.80	0.89	111	73.3%	6.44	48	4.89	54
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	63.2%	5.93	34	\$8.56	2.82	55	68.6%	5.81	69	4.85	57
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	55.6%	4.89	65	\$8.37	2.34	71	67.5%	5.67	72	4.30	74
750	<i>Radford</i>	73.5%	7.35	7	\$8.42	2.47	65	63.2%	5.09	89	4.97	48
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	55.0%	4.81	67	\$8.49	2.65	60	72.5%	6.33	51	4.60	66
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	53.6%	4.61	77	\$8.40	2.42	68	66.2%	5.49	79	4.17	80
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	55.7%	4.90	64	\$8.37	2.34	72	65.9%	5.45	80	4.23	79
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	56.4%	4.99	61	\$8.58	2.88	52	74.0%	6.54	42	4.80	59
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	70.6%	6.94	8	\$9.00	3.94	29	63.6%	5.15	87	5.35	32
840	<i>Winchester</i>	57.1%	5.10	57	\$8.57	2.85	53	74.2%	6.56	40	4.84	58

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores is done to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

VIEW Rankings, From Inception of VIEW

This section lists the relative cumulative rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Monthly Earnings, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	51.0%	1.91	117	\$873	3.03	94	64.9%	5.35	49	3.43	110
003	Albemarle	71.1%	7.31	14	\$1,023	5.96	21	58.4%	3.70	93	5.65	31
005	Alleghany/Covington	69.4%	6.87	29	\$858	2.74	100	58.5%	3.73	88	4.45	80
007	Amelia	61.4%	4.69	85	\$980	5.11	37	60.8%	4.32	74	4.71	68
009	Amherst	66.2%	5.99	55	\$905	3.65	78	59.7%	4.03	80	4.56	75
011	Appomattox	70.5%	7.17	18	\$896	3.49	81	54.7%	2.79	106	4.48	79
013	Arlington	71.0%	7.29	15	\$1,077	7.01	8	68.9%	6.35	24	6.88	6
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	67.1%	6.25	44	\$974	5.01	41	66.3%	5.69	41	5.65	33
017	Bath	61.5%	4.74	84	\$717	0.00	120	61.3%	4.44	72	3.06	115
019	Bedford County/City	65.0%	5.66	63	\$878	3.13	92	60.1%	4.14	78	4.31	85
021	Bland	58.4%	3.91	99	\$905	3.66	77	55.3%	2.92	104	3.50	108
023	Botetourt	43.9%	0.00	120	\$960	4.73	49	53.3%	2.41	111	2.38	119
025	Brunswick	57.7%	3.72	104	\$846	2.51	109	66.5%	5.76	37	3.99	96
027	Buchanan	53.9%	2.67	113	\$857	2.73	101	64.5%	5.25	52	3.55	106
029	Buckingham	57.3%	3.61	108	\$984	5.20	34	59.1%	3.89	83	4.23	89
031	Campbell	68.4%	6.60	37	\$917	3.90	71	62.7%	4.79	61	5.10	56
033	Caroline	62.1%	4.89	81	\$985	5.22	33	59.0%	3.86	84	4.66	70
035	Carroll	67.2%	6.28	43	\$846	2.51	108	54.5%	2.72	108	3.84	99
036	Charles City	57.6%	3.68	106	\$973	4.98	42	51.7%	2.01	114	3.55	105
037	Charlotte	52.1%	2.21	116	\$940	4.35	61	58.9%	3.84	86	3.46	109
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	63.2%	5.20	72	\$1,052	6.53	11	68.9%	6.36	23	6.03	22
043	Clarke	58.2%	3.83	102	\$1,030	6.10	16	58.3%	3.69	94	4.54	77
045	Craig	47.0%	0.82	119	\$1,025	6.00	18	62.1%	4.64	68	3.82	100
047	Culpeper	64.6%	5.57	66	\$992	5.36	31	66.2%	5.67	43	5.53	36
049	Cumberland	58.1%	3.81	103	\$970	4.93	43	57.6%	3.52	101	4.09	91
051	Dickenson	60.1%	4.35	88	\$850	2.60	107	54.7%	2.79	107	3.24	111
053	Dinwiddie	66.3%	6.01	54	\$997	5.46	30	58.1%	3.63	98	5.03	58
057	Essex	67.1%	6.23	45	\$887	3.32	84	50.0%	1.59	116	3.71	102
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	66.8%	6.16	50	\$1,181	9.03	3	69.6%	6.54	18	7.24	5
061	Fauquier	69.4%	6.86	30	\$1,047	6.44	12	68.2%	6.19	29	6.50	11
063	Floyd	64.9%	5.63	64	\$958	4.69	52	53.7%	2.53	110	4.28	86
065	Fluvanna	62.3%	4.95	78	\$1,060	6.68	10	62.5%	4.74	63	5.46	40
067	Franklin County	61.8%	4.82	83	\$966	4.84	47	58.2%	3.66	95	4.44	81
069	Frederick	58.7%	3.99	98	\$1,031	6.12	15	60.5%	4.23	76	4.78	66
071	Giles	57.5%	3.65	107	\$964	4.82	48	52.1%	2.11	113	3.53	107
073	Gloucester	65.1%	5.70	58	\$853	2.66	104	57.7%	3.54	99	3.96	97
075	Goochland	66.0%	5.94	56	\$950	4.55	55	64.2%	5.17	54	5.22	49
077	Grayson	64.6%	5.55	68	\$863	2.85	97	60.4%	4.21	77	4.20	90

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	73.6%	7.98	7	\$922	3.99	68	56.3%	3.18	103	5.05	57
081	Greensville/Emporia	60.2%	4.38	87	\$852	2.62	105	64.4%	5.22	53	4.07	92
083	Halifax	55.2%	3.04	112	\$860	2.78	98	58.4%	3.71	92	3.18	112
085	Hanover	65.4%	5.79	57	\$1,066	6.80	9	66.9%	5.85	35	6.15	18
087	Henrico	67.9%	6.45	42	\$1,028	6.05	17	65.9%	5.60	44	6.03	21
089	Henry/Martinsville	64.0%	5.42	71	\$944	4.42	59	63.8%	5.07	57	4.97	60
091	Highland	75.0%	8.37	4	\$784	1.30	118	83.3%	10.00	1	6.55	9
093	Isle of Wight	66.9%	6.19	48	\$921	3.97	69	59.2%	3.91	82	4.69	69
095	James City	68.9%	6.73	33	\$934	4.23	62	58.1%	3.63	96	4.87	62
097	King and Queen	52.7%	2.36	115	\$879	3.15	89	46.0%	0.58	119	2.03	120
099	King George	58.9%	4.04	95	\$942	4.38	60	58.4%	3.71	91	4.04	94
101	King William	59.4%	4.17	89	\$1,005	5.61	26	59.0%	3.85	85	4.54	76
103	Lancaster	67.0%	6.20	47	\$874	3.05	93	50.5%	1.71	115	3.65	103
105	Lee	65.0%	5.67	61	\$814	1.88	117	71.8%	7.10	9	4.88	61
107	Loudoun	75.7%	8.56	3	\$1,230	10.00	1	72.0%	7.15	8	8.57	1
109	Louisa	62.9%	5.12	75	\$989	5.30	32	63.9%	5.09	55	5.17	52
111	Lunenburg	49.3%	1.44	118	\$841	2.42	113	59.9%	4.08	79	2.65	117
113	Madison	58.8%	4.01	97	\$1,006	5.63	25	57.7%	3.52	100	4.39	82
115	Mathews	67.9%	6.46	40	\$907	3.69	76	71.6%	7.03	10	5.73	28
117	Mecklenburg	65.0%	5.66	62	\$855	2.70	103	65.9%	5.60	45	4.65	72
119	Middlesex	69.9%	7.01	25	\$917	3.89	72	72.6%	7.30	7	6.06	20
121	Montgomery	77.6%	9.07	2	\$958	4.70	51	63.2%	4.92	60	6.23	17
125	Nelson	60.9%	4.57	86	\$1,020	5.89	22	64.8%	5.33	50	5.27	46
127	New Kent	56.0%	3.25	110	\$1,042	6.33	13	70.8%	6.84	13	5.47	37
131	Northampton	65.0%	5.68	60	\$858	2.75	99	79.8%	9.12	2	5.85	25
133	Northumberland	55.7%	3.18	111	\$866	2.90	95	49.7%	1.50	118	2.53	118
135	Nottoway	66.8%	6.16	49	\$928	4.11	65	69.6%	6.53	20	5.60	35
137	Orange	71.3%	7.38	13	\$978	5.08	39	61.3%	4.45	71	5.64	34
139	Page	69.4%	6.86	31	\$1,003	5.58	28	59.3%	3.94	81	5.46	38
141	Patrick	67.0%	6.21	46	\$839	2.37	114	73.7%	7.58	6	5.38	43
143	Pittsylvania	52.9%	2.41	114	\$878	3.14	90	58.5%	3.73	89	3.09	113
145	Powhatan	57.2%	3.58	109	\$1,006	5.63	24	62.5%	4.74	64	4.65	71
147	Prince Edward	70.4%	7.12	20	\$909	3.73	74	68.6%	6.29	26	5.71	29
149	Prince George	59.4%	4.16	90	\$1,024	5.98	19	58.4%	3.71	90	4.62	73
153	Prince William	71.8%	7.49	11	\$1,205	9.51	2	70.3%	6.71	14	7.91	2
155	Pulaski	63.0%	5.15	74	\$956	4.66	53	53.2%	2.39	112	4.07	93
157	Rappahannock	59.0%	4.05	94	\$977	5.06	40	50.0%	1.59	117	3.57	104
159	Richmond County	57.7%	3.70	105	\$944	4.43	58	43.7%	0.00	120	2.71	116
161	Roanoke County	73.4%	7.93	8	\$1,041	6.31	14	58.1%	3.63	97	5.96	23
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	70.5%	7.16	19	\$878	3.13	91	67.8%	6.08	30	5.46	39
165	Rockingham/H'burg	73.6%	8.00	6	\$1,004	5.58	27	67.7%	6.06	32	6.55	10
167	Russell	59.3%	4.14	91	\$866	2.89	96	66.4%	5.73	38	4.25	88
169	Scott	58.2%	3.85	101	\$894	3.44	83	75.7%	8.07	5	5.12	54
171	Shenandoah	59.0%	4.07	93	\$959	4.72	50	69.7%	6.56	17	5.12	55

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	58.9%	4.03	96	\$880	3.18	88	62.2%	4.68	65	3.96	98
175	<i>Southampton</i>	58.4%	3.91	100	\$851	2.60	106	54.4%	2.71	109	3.07	114
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	68.2%	6.54	38	\$1,023	5.97	20	66.4%	5.73	39	6.08	19
179	<i>Stafford</i>	59.2%	4.12	92	\$1,147	8.37	4	68.4%	6.22	28	6.24	16
181	<i>Surry</i>	69.8%	6.97	28	\$904	3.65	79	55.1%	2.87	105	4.50	78
183	<i>Sussex</i>	64.3%	5.48	69	\$968	4.89	45	62.2%	4.67	66	5.02	59
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	66.5%	6.08	51	\$826	2.12	115	65.5%	5.50	46	4.57	74
187	<i>Warren</i>	68.6%	6.64	35	\$1,017	5.85	23	69.6%	6.54	19	6.34	13
191	<i>Washington</i>	62.2%	4.91	80	\$900	3.57	80	57.6%	3.51	102	4.00	95
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	63.1%	5.17	73	\$926	4.06	67	64.7%	5.30	51	4.84	65
195	<i>Wise</i>	62.3%	4.94	79	\$843	2.46	111	66.3%	5.71	40	4.37	83
197	<i>Wythe</i>	66.5%	6.07	52	\$856	2.71	102	66.7%	5.80	36	4.86	63
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	61.9%	4.83	82	\$908	3.72	75	60.5%	4.23	75	4.26	87
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	68.6%	6.63	36	\$1,113	7.71	6	78.0%	8.64	3	7.66	3
520	<i>Bristol</i>	67.9%	6.45	41	\$883	3.22	87	61.9%	4.60	69	4.76	67
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	81.1%	10.00	1	\$929	4.12	64	66.2%	5.68	42	6.60	8
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	71.4%	7.40	12	\$950	4.55	56	63.8%	5.07	56	5.67	30
590	<i>Danville</i>	62.6%	5.03	76	\$820	2.00	116	61.2%	4.42	73	3.82	101
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	64.6%	5.56	67	\$842	2.44	112	63.4%	4.97	59	4.32	84
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	73.9%	8.08	5	\$1,002	5.56	29	65.3%	5.45	47	6.36	12
640	<i>Galax</i>	62.4%	4.97	77	\$887	3.31	85	68.6%	6.28	27	4.85	64
650	<i>Hampton</i>	70.0%	7.02	23	\$931	4.17	63	63.7%	5.04	58	5.41	42
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	68.2%	6.52	39	\$955	4.64	54	62.7%	4.79	62	5.32	45
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	70.0%	7.02	24	\$844	2.47	110	67.8%	6.08	31	5.19	51
683	<i>Manassas</i>	64.2%	5.46	70	\$1,135	8.13	5	69.7%	6.56	16	6.72	7
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	66.3%	6.03	53	\$1,104	7.54	7	76.5%	8.29	4	7.28	4
700	<i>Newport News</i>	69.8%	6.97	27	\$949	4.51	57	68.8%	6.34	25	5.94	24
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	69.9%	6.98	26	\$883	3.24	86	67.7%	6.04	33	5.42	41
720	<i>Norton</i>	73.3%	7.92	9	\$761	0.85	119	71.2%	6.95	12	5.24	47
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	70.6%	7.17	17	\$983	5.18	36	69.9%	6.61	15	6.32	14
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	70.6%	7.17	16	\$916	3.88	73	69.0%	6.39	22	5.81	26
750	<i>Radford</i>	70.2%	7.07	21	\$927	4.09	66	61.6%	4.50	70	5.22	48
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	70.1%	7.04	22	\$969	4.91	44	71.5%	7.01	11	6.32	15
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	64.7%	5.60	65	\$984	5.19	35	62.2%	4.67	67	5.15	53
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	72.9%	7.79	10	\$895	3.47	82	67.6%	6.02	34	5.76	27
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	65.0%	5.68	59	\$967	4.88	46	69.0%	6.39	21	5.65	32
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	69.2%	6.81	32	\$919	3.93	70	65.1%	5.41	48	5.38	44
840	<i>Winchester</i>	68.8%	6.69	34	\$978	5.08	38	58.7%	3.80	87	5.19	50

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores was begun in May 1999 to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

State Summary July 1, 2014 – April 30, 2015

	State	ED 1	ED 2	ED 3	ED 4	ED 5	ED 6	ED 7	ED 8	ED 9	ED 10	ED 11	ED 12	ED 13	ED 14	ED 15	ED 16	ED 17	ED 18
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>																			
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	13,538	664	470	1,057	409	190	1,497	158	362	556	597	484	1,846	574	177	1,624	478	2,276	119
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	92%	97%	96%	95%	95%	92%	83%	89%	96%	97%	95%	88%	89%	84%	86%	97%	90%	92%	92%
% Timely in April 2015	92%	99%	98%	97%	93%	92%	82%	100%	95%	93%	96%	84%	87%	86%	71%	99%	92%	97%	93%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>																			
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	9,928	518	358	739	304	138	1,051	101	249	420	430	360	1,373	411	128	1,170	359	1,733	86
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	95%	100%	98%	96%	98%	98%	92%	92%	98%	95%	97%	97%	92%	96%	94%	94%	94%	96%	91%
Percent in April 2015	97%	100%	97%	98%	100%	100%	97%	91%	100%	95%	100%	97%	93%	94%	100%	95%	97%	97%	####
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>																			
# Over 30 Days	116	1	0	0	1	1	66	6	2	0	0	3	20	8	3	0	5	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	64	6	0	0	8	1	84	114	48	0	0	9	33	30	12	0	23	0	0

EDD1 – Southwest Region: July 1, 2014 - April 30, 2015

	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	664	58	20	130	74	89	105	170	18
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	97%	95%	100%	99%	97%	97%	94%	98%	89%
% Timely in April 2015	99%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	91%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	518	43	14	100	66	70	81	131	13
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	100%	100%	100%	100%	98%	100%	100%	100%	92%
Percent in April 2015	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>									
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	6	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0

EDD2 - Bristol-Galax Region: July 1, 2014 - April 30, 2015

	<i>Total EDD2</i>	<i>Bland</i>	<i>Carroll</i>	<i>Grayson</i>	<i>Smyth</i>	<i>Wash.</i>	<i>Wythe</i>	<i>Bristol</i>	<i>Galax</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	470	9	55	20	101	85	40	147	13
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	96%	100%	98%	100%	100%	93%	85%	98%	92%
% Timely in April 2015	98%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	91%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	358	7	39	12	85	62	33	113	7
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	98%	100%	97%	100%	96%	100%	100%	99%	86%
Percent in April 2015	97%	100%	100%	100%	90%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD3 - Roanoke Region: July 1, 2014 - April 30, 2015

	<i>Total EDD3</i>	<i>Alleghny/ Covington</i>	<i>Bote- tourt</i>	<i>Craig</i>	<i>Floyd</i>	<i>Franklin County</i>	<i>Giles</i>	<i>Mont- gomery</i>	<i>Pulaski</i>	<i>Roanoke County</i>	<i>Radford</i>	<i>Roanoke City</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹												
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	1,057	45	12	6	11	115	11	114	67	125	48	503
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	95%	100%	100%	100%	100%	97%	100%	97%	100%	100%	90%	93%
% Timely in April 2015	97%	100%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	95%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²												
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	739	36	6	4	10	85	8	82	46	77	33	352
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	96%	100%	100%	0%	100%	93%	100%	99%	98%	92%	97%	97%
Percent in April 2015	98%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	83%	N/A	100%	100%	91%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴												
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: July 1, 2014 - April 30, 2015

	<i>Total EDD4</i>	<i>Aug/S'ton /W'boro</i>	<i>Bath</i>	<i>Highland</i>	<i>Rockbridge/ BV/Lex</i>	<i>Rockingham/ HBurg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	409	242	0	1	20	146
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	95%	100%	N/A	0%	100%	88%
% Timely in April 2015	93%	100%	N/A	0%	100%	85%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	304	180	0	0	15	109
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	98%	99%	N/A	N/A	87%	99%
Percent in April 2015	100%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	1	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	8	0	0	8	0	0

EDD5 & EDD18 - Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: July 1, 2014 - April 30, 2015

	<i>Total EDD5</i>	<i>Clarke</i>	<i>Frederick</i>	<i>Page</i>	<i>Shen.</i>	<i>Warren</i>	<i>Winchester</i>	<i>Total EDD18</i>	<i>Accomack</i>	<i>Nhampton</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹										
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	190	5	25	33	28	46	53	119	84	35
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	92%	100%	96%	100%	82%	87%	94%	92%	94%	86%
% Timely in April 2015	92%	N/A	100%	N/A	67%	100%	100%	93%	91%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	138	4	18	27	19	36	34	86	62	24
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	91%	91%	97%	75%
Percent in April 2015	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴										
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: July 1, 2014 - April 30, 2015

	<i>Total EDD6</i>	<i>Arlington</i>	<i>Fairfax</i>	<i>Loudoun</i>	<i>Prince William</i>	<i>Alexandria</i>	<i>Manassas</i>	<i>Manassas Park</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹								
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	1,497	95	539	149	405	238	60	11
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	83%	96%	97%	91%	50%	98%	80%	64%
% Timely in April 2015	82%	100%	96%	100%	52%	96%	73%	50%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²								
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	1,051	76	406	111	259	159	34	6
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	92%	100%	96%	95%	83%	89%	94%	100%
Percent in April 2015	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	89%	83%	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴								
# Over 30 Days	66	0	0	0	62	1	3	0
Average # of Days Over 30	84	0	0	0	89	1	7	0

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: July 1, 2014 - April 30, 2015

	<i>Total EDD7</i>	<i>Culpeper</i>	<i>Fauquier</i>	<i>Madison</i>	<i>Orange</i>	<i>Rapp.</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	158	50	54	14	39	1
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	89%	96%	93%	100%	69%	100%
% Timely in April 2015	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	101	33	36	11	21	0
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	92%	100%	100%	100%	62%	N/A
Percent in April 2015	91%	100%	100%	N/A	50%	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	6	0	0	0	6	0
Average # of Days Over 30	114	0	0	0	114	0

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: July 1, 2014 - April 30, 2015

	<i>Total EDD8</i>	<i>Albemarle</i>	<i>Fluvanna</i>	<i>Greene</i>	<i>Louisa</i>	<i>Nelson</i>	<i>Cville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	362	88	21	26	59	20	148
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	96%	98%	67%	88%	98%	100%	98%
% Timely in April 2015	95%	100%	100%	83%	100%	100%	94%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	249	63	16	16	41	16	97
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	98%	98%	94%	94%	100%	94%	99%
Percent in April 2015	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	2	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	48	0	0	48	0	0	0

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: July 1, 2014 - April 30, 2015

	<i>Total EDD9</i>	<i>Amherst</i>	<i>Appom.</i>	<i>Bedford</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Lynchburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	556	44	39	75	142	256
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	97%	98%	90%	97%	100%	97%
% Timely in April 2015	93%	100%	100%	100%	100%	79%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	420	30	32	53	103	202
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	95%	93%	100%	96%	90%	97%
Percent in April 2015	95%	50%	100%	100%	88%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD10 - Danville Region: July 1, 2014 - April 30, 2015

	<i>Total EDD10</i>	<i>Halifax</i>	<i>Henry/ Martinsville</i>	<i>Patrick</i>	<i>Pittsylvania</i>	<i>Danville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	597	70	202	56	82	187
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	95%	89%	90%	96%	99%	99%
% Timely in April 2015	96%	60%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	430	57	147	43	55	128
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	97%	100%	97%	98%	100%	94%
Percent in April 2015	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD11 – Southside Region: July 1, 2014 - April 30, 2015

	<i>Total EDD11</i>	<i>Amelia</i>	<i>Brunswick</i>	<i>Buckingham</i>	<i>Charlotte</i>	<i>Cumberland</i>	<i>Gr'nsville Emporia</i>	<i>Lunenburg</i>	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	<i>Nottoway</i>	<i>Pr. Edward</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹											
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	484	22	75	38	46	20	78	23	56	57	69
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	88%	100%	93%	100%	96%	100%	41%	96%	96%	100%	100%
% Timely in April 2015	84%	N/A	75%	100%	100%	100%	45%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²											
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	360	17	54	24	42	12	54	18	41	45	53
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	92%	87%	100%	98%	98%	98%
Percent in April 2015	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	80%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴											
# Over 30 Days	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	9	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0

EDD12 - Richmond Region: July 1, 2014 - April 30, 2015

	<i>Total EDD12</i>	<i>Chesterfield/ Col Hgts</i>	<i>Goochland</i>	<i>Hanover</i>	<i>Henrico</i>	<i>Powhatan</i>	<i>Richmond City</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	1,846	331	19	49	585	23	839
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	89%	95%	100%	90%	83%	100%	91%
% Timely in April 2015	87%	96%	100%	100%	85%	100%	83%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	1,373	259	16	41	427	19	611
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	92%	95%	100%	85%	89%	95%	94%
Percent in April 2015	93%	100%	100%	71%	92%	100%	91%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	20	0	0	0	5	0	15
Average # of Days Over 30	33	0	0	0	15	0	39

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: July 1, 2014 - April 30, 2015

	<i>Total EDD13</i>	<i>Caroline</i>	<i>King George</i>	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	<i>Stafford</i>	<i>Fredericksburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	574	67	35	189	150	133
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	84%	81%	100%	84%	73%	94%
% Timely in April 2015	86%	83%	100%	77%	90%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	411	48	27	137	102	97
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	96%	98%	96%	96%	96%	94%
Percent in April 2015	94%	100%	100%	86%	100%	94%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	8	2	0	4	2	0
Average # of Days Over 30	30	71	0	23	5	0

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: July 1, 2014 - April 30, 2015

	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North- umberland	Richmond County	West- moreland
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹										
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	177	37	20	17	13	6	28	19	8	29
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	86%	100%	55%	94%	92%	100%	89%	95%	88%	69%
% Timely in April 2015	71%	100%	0%	100%	100%	N/A	0%	100%	N/A	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	128	29	15	12	6	5	23	11	8	19
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	94%	100%	80%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	88%	79%
Percent in April 2015	100%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴										
# Over 30 Days	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	12	0	0	0	0	0	11.67	0	0	0

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: July 1, 2014 - April 30, 2015

	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	1,624	8	67	83	10	61	498	874	23
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	97%	75%	93%	99%	80%	92%	99%	97%	100%
% Timely in April 2015	99%	100%	100%	100%	N/A	89%	100%	99%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	1,170	7	46	58	9	41	362	630	17
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	94%	100%	98%	83%	56%	95%	97%	93%	100%
Percent in April 2015	95%	100%	100%	88%	N/A	67%	97%	95%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: July 1, 2014 - April 30, 2015

	<i>Total EDD16</i>	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	<i>Prince George</i>	<i>Surry</i>	<i>Sussex</i>	<i>Hopewell</i>	<i>Petersburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	478	29	51	16	33	141	208
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	90%	97%	98%	94%	94%	89%	87%
% Timely in April 2015	92%	100%	100%	0%	100%	100%	83%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	359	22	44	13	28	99	153
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	94%	100%	100%	100%	93%	96%	90%
Percent in April 2015	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	95%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	5	0	0	0	0	0	5
Average # of Days Over 30	23	0	0	0	0	0	23

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: July 1, 2014 - April 30, 2015

	<i>Total EDD17</i>	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	<i>South- hampton</i>	<i>Chesapeake</i>	<i>Franklin City</i>	<i>Norfolk</i>	<i>Portsmouth</i>	<i>Suffolk</i>	<i>VA Beach</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	2,276	47	29	404	60	700	445	182	409
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	92%	96%	100%	90%	100%	87%	95%	93%	96%
% Timely in April 2015	97%	100%	100%	91%	100%	96%	100%	100%	98%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	1,733	31	24	313	50	553	341	141	280
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	96%	97%	100%	97%	100%	92%	97%	99%	96%
Percent in April 2015	97%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	95%	95%	100%	97%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Child Support Enforcement - Statewide Totals

Collections

	<i>Apr-14</i>	<i>Apr-15</i>	<i>% Change</i>
TANF Cases Collected	15,688	15,073	-3.9%
NTANF Cases Collected	136,432	133,939	-1.8%
Total Cases Collected	152,120	149,012	-2.0%
TANF Collections	\$4,299,594	\$3,909,675	-9.1%
NTANF Collections	\$57,215,045	\$54,741,619	-4.3%
Total Collections	\$61,514,639	\$58,651,294	-4.7%
SFY YTD Collections	\$550,517,458	\$547,547,967	-0.5%
SFY YTD Average Collection	\$375.00	\$375.53	0.1%

Paternities Established

	<i>Apr-15</i>
TANF Paternities	183
NTANF Paternities	307
Combined Paternities	490

Diversionsary Assistance

April Diversionsary Assistance Cases

Locality	Number of Cases		Locality	Number of Cases
Albemarle	2		Shenandoah	5
Alleghany / Covington	1		Spotsylvania	6
Augusta / Staunt'n / W'boro	4		Warren	3
Bedford	1		Westmoreland	2
Buckingham	1		Wise	1
Carroll	1		Bristol	1
Chesterfield/Colonial Hgts	7		Charlottesville	2
Culpeper	1		Chesapeake	1
Dickenson	1		Franklin City	1
Dinwiddie	1		Fredericksburg	1
Fauquier	1		Hampton	4
Frederick	1		Hopewell	4
Greene	4		Manassas Park	1
Hanover	1		Newport News	8
Henrico	4		Norfolk	1
Loudoun	1		Petersburg	1
Louisa	1		Portsmouth	1
Madison	1		Richmond City	2
Orange	2		Roanoke City	5
Patrick	4		Suffolk	7
Pulaski	1		Virginia Beach	2
Roanoke County	2		Winchester	1
			Statewide	103

Definitions of Selected Terms Contained in Report

Average Monthly Earnings. This is the average monthly earnings of the unsubsidized jobs that participants obtained. The value is calculated by multiplying the hourly wage by the weekly hours worked by 4.3 average weeks per month. For the cumulative figures the initial wage and initial hours are used. For the current figures, the initial wage and most current hours are used, except for the November 2001 report which used initial hours. Earlier than June 1999, wage changes that were entered overwrote the initial wage, so prior to that date, the cumulative figures used the initial hours and the last wage entered. With the advent of the VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) program in October 2006 the end of month average monthly earnings might be expected to decline somewhat because prior to VTP, cases with high earnings might remain open for job follow-up, but after the implementation of VTP the VIEW case would be closed when the TANF case was closed and a VTP case opened. VTP cases are not included in this report except for estimating the participation rate.

Average Wage. The average of the wages reported for each occurrence of unsubsidized employment. After June 1999 the wage is the wage at hiring, that is, the initial wage. Prior to June 1999, that wage may have been updated if changed during employment. After the implementation of the VIEW Transitional Payment program the end of month point in time average wage might be expected to decline some for the same reason mentioned in the explanation of possible decline in Average Monthly earnings, above. See note 6 on page 44.

Cases Closed with Employment. These are TANF or TANF-UP cases that are closed with an indication in the data base of employment at the date of closure. It does not mean that the case necessarily closed because of employment. For example, a TANF case may have an indication of closing at the client's request, along with indication of existing employment in VIEW. That case is counted as closed with employment.

EDD. This stands for Economic Development District. EDDs were developed during the administration of Governor Allen. They generally are no longer in use. This report continues to refer to them because VIEW was phased in by EDD and their use provides consistency over time.

Enrolled. Unduplicated number by locality of TANF recipients who were interviewed, received an individual assessment, signed the Agreement of Personal Responsibility, and enrolled in the VIEW program. Because the count is by locality, a recipient may be counted once in more than one locality. The fiscal year to date numbers include those who were enrolled at the beginning of the fiscal year as well as those enrolled during the year. There are clients enrolled in VIEW who have had their TANF case closed because VIEW policy requires that the enrollment remain open for job follow-up after employment unless the employment meets federal work participation guidelines, in which case the VIEW case is closed and a VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) case is opened.

Full Time Employment. Employment at 30 hours a week or greater.

Participants Assigned to Inactive. Inactive is a status assigned to participants who cannot participate in the VIEW program for reasons other than being exempt. Examples are unavailability of child care, unavailability of transportation, and mental or medical problems that make participation impractical. Inactive participants are due to be reviewed each month. While in inactive status, the 24 month time limit for TANF benefits is suspended.

Participants Employed. Total number of participants by locality who obtained an unsubsidized job, either full time or part time. If employed more than one time, they are still only counted once, but may be counted in more than one locality. Also, these participants may have left their job and not gotten another one. For the year to date numbers, those employed at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first became employed in the fiscal year.

Participants in a Work Activity. Unduplicated count by locality of persons who have been in any of the following components: unsubsidized employment, full employment program (FEP), on-the-job training (OJT), community work experience program (CWEP), public service program (PSP), vocational education and training, and job search/job readiness. For example, a person who was in community work experience and then employed would be counted only once.

For the year to date numbers, those in a work activity at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first entered a work activity in the fiscal year. Note that this is a revised definition of work activity from reports through October 2006. The revised definition captures the activities that count toward the first 20 hours of federal participation requirements – the core work activities. Clients who are counted as being in a core work activity must meet additional participation rate requirements in order to be included in the work participation rate, and only VIEW clients are counted here, not all work eligible TANF clients **Thus, these percentages in a work activity are quite different from the percentages in the TANF work participation rate.**

Part Time Employment. Employment at fewer than 30 weekly hours.

Referred. Unduplicated number of TANF recipients by locality who were initially evaluated as eligible for VIEW and had their names referred to the automated VIEW list for participation.

Relationship Between TANF and VIEW Participation. On the chart at page 5a, the column heading “Total Adults in the Case” includes adults who are not considered to be part of the assistance unit. For example, a mother receiving SSI may be in the case, but because she receives SSI is not considered eligible for TANF and thus is not part of the assistance unit. Persons such as she who are not in the assistance unit are not eligible to participate in VIEW. Also included are adults who are part of the assistance unit but exempt from VIEW

Five Months Employment. In earlier reports, this represented the percent of all participants that were employed 150 days after their first employment. Participants who were employed in any job 150 days after their first employment were counted in this measure.. This measure became unavailable after July 1, 2011. See note 9 on page 44.

Three Months Employment. This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 90 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 90 days after their first employment are counted in this measure. For year to date percents, the calculations are based on the first employment that began one year before the end of the report month. That employment may not have been the first ever for the participant. The year to date percents were calculated differently in reports before September 2004. Also for year to date percents, transferred cases were not counted for months after the January 2002 report.

TANF / TANF-UP Payment Cases. Up to November 2003 these counts were taken from a monthly report with no adjustment. After that, the monthly counts included some cases that were being paid because child support payments had been received and were being passed on. These counts were adjusted to mostly eliminate those additional cases. Further adjustment may be appropriate. It should also be noted that the counts include both strictly TANF cases and MOE cases for some years. With the end of waiver provisions in July 2003, a substantial part of the caseload was placed in a state program and funded with MOE funds. This change in July 2003 was a change in funding, not a change in eligibility requirements or case payment amounts. It ended in September 2006 when VIEW transitional payments (VTP) were introduced. Adjustments have been made to take out VTP cases. Also, TANF-UP cases are currently funded with only state monies.

VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). Beginning in October 2006, VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) were introduced. These are payments of \$50 per client per month for one year to VIEW clients that leave “regular” TANF with employment sufficient to meet Federal work participation requirements. The employment must be maintained to be eligible for VTP. Previously, VTP clients normally would have remained VIEW clients for five or six months of job-follow-up. Thus, the VTP program has had a diminishing effect on some of the statistics in this report such as end of month percent employed and average wage. See graph on page 5a.

Time That Data Represent. The data in the top sections of page 4 and pages 8 – 24 are point in time data as of the date indicated. The year to date section represents state fiscal year to date data, with the exception of three month retention (see above). The bottom section is cumulative from when the locality began VIEW.

General Statement About Accuracy. The report is based on an extract from the Employment Services automated system. The extract is normally made the night of the first work day after the end of the report month. Data that staff of local social services agencies enter into the automated system after the time of the extract are not included in the report, even though the activity reflected actually occurred in the report month. However, that data, and the activity reflected, are included in the reports for subsequent months. Further, because the data are entered by staff in the 120 localities, the accuracy of the data in the data base is, of course, subject to inadvertent error.

¹ Applications are not necessarily acted upon in the month that they are received. Also, applications may be transferred from regular TANF to TANF-UP and vice versa as they are processed. November applications are being reviewed for accuracy.

² These TANF cases paid counts are prior to possible adjustment. See definition of payment cases. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes.

³ VIEW enrolled counts do not include VTP clients

⁴ A case may be open in more than one locality during the time period.

⁵ Prior to June 1999, when individuals were transferred from one locality to another, their history was transferred as well. This may have an effect on a locality's cumulative VIEW statistics.

⁶ The minimum wage rose from \$6.55 to \$7.25 an hour on 7/24/09. The report reflects initial wages so some effect on wages in the report was delayed. The average wage includes full and part time, unless otherwise indicated. See the definition of average wage on page 42.

⁷ Includes full and part time earnings.

⁸ This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed three months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed three months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous. See definition on page 43 for the revised method of calculating the year to date percentages.

⁹ Until the July 2011 report, this was employed after five months. However, on July 1, 2011 the number of required job follow-ups was reduced to three. Thus, data for five month job retention became unavailable.

¹⁰ Number includes TANF-UP and represents cases carried over to the next month. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes, but with the advent of VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) such occurrences were reduced from earlier months.

¹¹ Enrollment is timely if done within 30 days of referral in previous month of the state fiscal year. The monthly percent includes evaluation of clients waiting in the queue. The year to date does not.

¹² Placement in a work activity on the 95th day after referral was a measure of timeliness before Oct 1, 2006. The revised definition of work activity has increased the percentage from reports before November 2006. Clients whose cases have closed before the 95th day are excluded, so 100% is an attainable mark.


¹⁴ As of the morning of May 1, 2015. Some referrals to the waiting list are not appropriate; there may be considerable lag time in correcting them.

This report is available on the Department of Social Services web site at:
http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial_assistance/tanf.cgi

The scores in the VIEW Rankings are determined by placing a locality on a scale of zero to ten in the same relative position as its place in the difference between the high and low of the measure in question. This converts all measures to a similar scale and provides a more equitable way to combine the ratings in each measure to determine a combined rank. (Questions, please call 804 726-7618.)

Comments on the format or content of the report and requests for Excel files for portions of current and past reports may be sent by e-mail to: william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov.

TANF and TANF-UP Cases Paid at Six Month Intervals, except last month June 1995 through April 2015




This graph illustrates the dramatic decline of the TANF caseload as VIEW was implemented. There was some sign of slowing in the rate of decline after June 1998. Nevertheless, wide community involvement, a strong economy, and the effect of the 24 month rule allowed the caseload to continue to fall until it was near the 30,000 level. There was little net change in the caseload from June 2000 to June 2002, but some upward movement was seen after June 2002, until 2005. The more recent trends are presumed to be a result of economic conditions. The caseload leveled off after recession spurred growth and now is declining.

Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

Applications Received with Approval Percentage


Six Month Asymmetrical Moving Averages by Quarter, July 1995 through April 2015



This graph of application activity is presented as six month moving averages to produce smoother lines from which trends may be seen and in recognition that applications are not always acted on in the month received. There seems to have been a general decline in the number of applications received until the July 2001 data. The decline in applications received after December 2004 and the more recent changes appear to be reflected in the case load trends. A reason for the general decrease in percent approved may be the lack of any increase in income threshold for initial eligibility; also, a reason for more recent decline may be the availability of applications on line that offers ready access for applying to multiple assistance programs. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

TANF Cases, VIEW Enrolled, and Employed VIEW Participants


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. April is the last month



This graph shows that the number enrolled in VIEW increased during early implementation and then generally tracked the number of TANF cases. The effects of recent economic conditions are apparent. A VIEW case may remain open for some months after the TANF case closes, if job follow-up is being done and the case has not been converted to the VIEW Transitional Payment program. It is unlikely that the number employed will ever equal the number enrolled because there are always new enrollees without employment, and there are some enrollees in work activities, other than employment, that meet VIEW requirements. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months. It includes TANF-UP.

Chart Displaying the Relationships Between TANF and VIEW Participation


April 30, 2015



This chart illustrates that there are a large number of adults who are exempt from VIEW and that many of the VIEW enrollees have had their TANF cases closed. They are now in a job follow-up phase of VIEW. They have not had their VIEW case closed and become enrolled in the VIEW Transitional Payment program. The source of this information is a monthly TANF extract from ADAPT and the monthly extract from ESPAS, the VIEW automated data system. The extracts were made at the beginning of May and represent end of April data. TANF-UP is included.

VIEW Percent Employed and Average Wage at End of Month


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. April is the last month.



This graph shows that the average wage has seen a general increase as the percent employed has varied somewhat. Besides employment, VIEW participants may be in various other activities or initial assessment and job search, and economic conditions (one slight recession and one large one) apparently had expected effects. The wages shown are generally the initial wages. When the average wage was calculated at about the end of April it was \$8.76 rather than the \$8.66 initial wages used to calculate wages shown on this graph. See page 42 of the report for an explanation of why the VIEW average wage might decline after October 2006 and note 6 on page 44 for the effect of the increase in minimum wage. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.

Percent of Jobs Found During a Month That Were Full Time and Percent Part Time

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. April is the last month.



This graph illustrates an initial trend of VIEW participants obtaining a greater percent of full time jobs than part time. After the initial months, the trend seems to be fairly level with an increase in the percent full time after TANF reauthorization which probably reflects increased emphasis on meeting the required TANF work participation rate. The more recent changes are presumed to be a result of economic developments and increased use of other work activities to help meet the required work participation hours. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.