

VIRGINIA INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM

MONTHLY REPORT

Including Graphs of Statewide Trends

May 2015

Prepared by:
Virginia Department of Social Services June 24, 2015

Monthly Report, May 2015

Table of Contents

Work Participation Estimates (from the VDSS data warehouse) (A work participation estimate percent should not be compared to a percent in a work activity in the main body of the report which includes only clients in VIEW and does not consider the number of hours in a work activity during a month)	i-vi
TANF, TANF-UP Case Activity.....	1
Graphs of Case Activity.....	at end
VIEW Work Activity	
Statewide	4
Graphs of Statewide Activity.....	at end
EDD1.....	8
EDD2.....	9
EDD3.....	10
EDD4.....	11
EDD5 & EDD18.....	12
EDD6.....	13
EDD7.....	14
EDD8.....	15
EDD9.....	16
EDD10.....	17
EDD11.....	18
EDD14.....	19
EDD1.....	20
EDD3.....	21
EDD11.....	22
EDD15.....	23
EDD17.....	24
VIEW Year to Date Rankings.....	25-27
VIEW Cumulative Rankings.....	28-30
VIEW Timeliness Measures.....	31-39
Child Support Enforcement.....	40
Diversion.....	41
VIEW Definition Sheet and Endnotes.....	42-44

Estimated Work Participation Rates for May

These are estimated locality May 2015 work participation rates that include clients receiving either TANF or VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). The official participation rate will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated May statewide rate is 44% with a numerator of 5,366 and a denominator of 12,266.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	21	61	34%	061	Fauquier	17	42	40%
003	Albemarle	29	58	50%	063	Floyd	3	14	21%
005	Alleghany/Covington	19	41	46%	065	Fluvanna	7	24	29%
007	Amelia	4	10	40%	067	Franklin County	33	73	45%
009	Amherst	15	31	48%	069	Frederick	20	37	54%
011	Appomattox	20	43	47%	071	Giles	1	9	11%
013	Arlington	42	81	52%	073	Gloucester	24	51	47%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	80	166	48%	075	Goochland	1	12	8%
017	Bath	0	1	0%	077	Grayson	4	16	25%
019	Bedford County/City	27	68	40%	079	Greene	16	29	55%
021	Bland	1	5	20%	081	Greensville/Emporia	31	65	48%
023	Botetourt	0	9	0%	083	Halifax	19	65	29%
025	Brunswick	18	44	41%	085	Hanover	14	37	38%
027	Buchanan	21	56	38%	087	Henrico	205	522	39%
029	Buckingham	18	31	58%	089	Henry/Martinsville	62	183	34%
031	Campbell	47	112	42%	091	Highland	0	2	0%
033	Caroline	43	74	58%	093	Isle of Wight	18	45	40%
035	Carroll	26	39	67%	095	James City	32	85	38%
036	Charles City	1	7	14%	097	King and Queen	2	11	18%
037	Charlotte	10	28	36%	099	King George	9	19	47%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	145	306	47%	101	King William	7	19	37%
043	Clarke	2	2	100%	103	Lancaster	8	15	53%
045	Craig	2	10	20%	105	Lee	43	94	46%
047	Culpeper	24	48	50%	107	Loudoun	42	104	40%
049	Cumberland	13	27	48%	109	Louisa	24	48	50%
051	Dickensen	9	20	45%	111	Lunenburg	5	17	29%
053	Dinwiddie	22	45	49%	113	Madison	1	8	13%
057	Essex	17	32	53%	115	Mathews	3	6	50%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	174	438	40%	117	Mecklenburg	13	51	25%

Estimated Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent
119	Middlesex	16	21	76%	187	Warren	38	61	62%
121	Montgomery	51	97	53%	191	Washington	41	89	46%
125	Nelson	9	22	41%	193	Westmoreland	11	33	33%
127	New Kent	7	20	35%	195	Wise	42	114	37%
131	Northampton	15	36	42%	197	Wythe	11	29	38%
133	Northumberland	3	9	33%	199	York/Poquoson	30	58	52%
135	Nottoway	25	48	52%	510	Alexandria	71	157	45%
137	Orange	18	47	38%	520	Bristol	38	87	44%
139	Page	6	19	32%	540	Charlottesville	79	133	59%
141	Patrick	9	45	20%	550	Chesapeake	173	370	47%
143	Pittsylvania	17	56	30%	590	Danville	73	176	41%
145	Powhatan	4	13	31%	620	Franklin City	11	35	31%
147	Prince Edward	40	63	63%	630	Fredericksburg	49	84	58%
149	Prince George	12	45	27%	640	Galax	11	20	55%
153	Prince William	165	426	39%	650	Hampton	245	519	47%
155	Pulaski	17	37	46%	670	Hopewell	58	151	38%
157	Rappahannock	1	4	25%	680	Lynchburg	110	231	48%
159	Richmond County	4	16	25%	683	Manassas	28	48	58%
161	Roanoke County	35	105	33%	685	Manassas Park	4	10	40%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	15	31	48%	700	Newport News	332	697	48%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	70	122	57%	710	Norfolk	420	832	50%
167	Russell	29	75	39%	720	Norton	8	19	42%
169	Scott	29	75	39%	730	Petersburg	91	238	38%
171	Shenandoah	10	19	53%	740	Portsmouth	193	475	41%
173	Smyth	23	65	35%	750	Radford	15	34	44%
175	Southampton	15	32	47%	760	Richmond City	413	1,037	40%
177	Spotsylvania	53	174	30%	770	Roanoke City	127	374	34%
179	Stafford	64	152	42%	800	Suffolk	76	143	53%
181	Surry	7	13	54%	810	Virginia Beach	196	341	57%
183	Sussex	11	41	27%	830	Williamsburg	15	20	75%
185	Tazewell	47	90	52%	840	Winchester	16	37	43%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF and VTP recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rate presented here is the all families rate, i. e. the overall rate, including VTP..

Estimated TANF only Work Participation Rates for May

These are estimated locality May 2015 work participation rates that include only clients receiving TANF. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated May statewide rate is 37% with a numerator of 4,023 and a denominator of 10,826. VTP only estimates are on pages v and vi.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	15	55	27%	061	Fauquier	11	35	31%
003	Albemarle	16	45	36%	063	Floyd	2	13	15%
005	Alleghany/Covington	14	36	39%	065	Fluvanna	4	20	20%
007	Amelia	0	6	0%	067	Franklin County	22	62	35%
009	Amherst	10	25	40%	069	Frederick	12	29	41%
011	Appomattox	13	36	36%	071	Giles	1	9	11%
013	Arlington	32	71	45%	073	Gloucester	21	43	49%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	51	136	38%	075	Goochland	1	10	10%
017	Bath	0	1	0%	077	Grayson	3	15	20%
019	Bedford County/City	23	64	36%	079	Greene	15	27	56%
021	Bland	0	4	0%	081	Greensville/Emporia	22	55	40%
023	Botetourt	0	9	0%	083	Halifax	15	61	25%
025	Brunswick	16	41	39%	085	Hanover	9	32	28%
027	Buchanan	17	52	33%	087	Henrico	133	444	30%
029	Buckingham	12	25	48%	089	Henry/Martinsville	46	167	28%
031	Campbell	33	97	34%	091	Highland	0	2	0%
033	Caroline	29	59	49%	093	Isle of Wight	16	41	39%
035	Carroll	21	34	62%	095	James City	24	77	31%
036	Charles City	0	6	0%	097	King and Queen	2	11	18%
037	Charlotte	6	24	25%	099	King George	6	16	38%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	104	263	40%	101	King William	5	16	31%
043	Clarke	1	1	100%	103	Lancaster	7	14	50%
045	Craig	2	9	22%	105	Lee	36	85	42%
047	Culpeper	15	39	38%	107	Loudoun	26	87	30%
049	Cumberland	9	23	39%	109	Louisa	12	36	33%
051	Dickensen	6	16	38%	111	Lunenburg	2	14	14%
053	Dinwiddie	14	37	38%	113	Madison	0	7	0%
057	Essex	14	29	48%	115	Mathews	2	5	40%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	115	376	31%	117	Mecklenburg	11	48	23%

Estimated TANF Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	12	17	71%	187	Warren	30	53	57%
121	Montgomery	35	81	43%	191	Washington	38	86	44%
125	Nelson	8	21	38%	193	Westmoreland	10	32	31%
127	New Kent	3	16	19%	195	Wise	32	104	31%
131	Northampton	11	32	34%	197	Wythe	10	27	37%
133	Northumberland	3	9	33%	199	York/Poquoson	23	50	46%
135	Nottoway	20	43	47%	510	Alexandria	39	124	31%
137	Orange	17	45	38%	520	Bristol	33	82	40%
139	Page	4	17	24%	540	Charlottesville	61	115	53%
141	Patrick	6	42	14%	550	Chesapeake	136	328	41%
143	Pittsylvania	16	55	29%	590	Danville	58	159	36%
145	Powhatan	3	11	27%	620	Franklin City	9	31	29%
147	Prince Edward	25	48	52%	630	Fredericksburg	33	68	49%
149	Prince George	8	36	22%	640	Galax	7	16	44%
153	Prince William	106	364	29%	650	Hampton	182	454	40%
155	Pulaski	9	29	31%	670	Hopewell	37	130	28%
157	Rappahannock	1	4	25%	680	Lynchburg	94	215	44%
159	Richmond County	4	15	27%	683	Manassas	13	32	41%
161	Roanoke County	24	94	26%	685	Manassas Park	3	9	33%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	11	26	42%	700	Newport News	269	630	43%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	42	94	45%	710	Norfolk	374	782	48%
167	Russell	24	70	34%	720	Norton	6	17	35%
169	Scott	19	64	30%	730	Petersburg	67	212	32%
171	Shenandoah	6	14	43%	740	Portsmouth	155	435	36%
173	Smyth	19	61	31%	750	Radford	9	28	32%
175	Southampton	11	28	39%	760	Richmond City	337	949	36%
177	Spotsylvania	42	159	26%	770	Roanoke City	91	336	27%
179	Stafford	46	134	34%	800	Suffolk	57	123	46%
181	Surry	5	11	45%	810	Virginia Beach	146	291	50%
183	Sussex	11	40	28%	830	Williamsburg	14	19	74%
185	Tazewell	34	77	44%	840	Winchester	11	32	34%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF recipients who are considered to be "work-eligible individuals." The cases containing these client become the "denominator." To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are for the TANF all family rate not including VTP.

Estimated VTP only Work Participation Rates for May

These are estimated locality May 2015 work participation rates that include only clients receiving VTP. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated May statewide rate is 93% with a numerator of 1,343 and a denominator of 1,440. TANF only estimates are on pages iii and iv.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	<i>Accomack</i>	6	6	100%	061	<i>Fauquier</i>	6	7	86%
003	<i>Albemarle</i>	13	13	100%	063	<i>Floyd</i>	1	1	100%
005	<i>Alleghany/Covington</i>	5	5	100%	065	<i>Fluvanna</i>	3	4	75%
007	<i>Amelia</i>	4	4	100%	067	<i>Franklin County</i>	11	11	100%
009	<i>Amherst</i>	5	6	83%	069	<i>Frederick</i>	8	8	100%
011	<i>Appomattox</i>	7	7	100%	071	<i>Giles</i>	0	0	N/A
013	<i>Arlington</i>	10	10	100%	073	<i>Gloucester</i>	3	8	38%
015	<i>Augusta/S'ton/W'boro</i>	29	30	97%	075	<i>Goochland</i>	0	2	0%
017	<i>Bath</i>	0	0	N/A	077	<i>Grayson</i>	1	1	100%
019	<i>Bedford County/City</i>	4	4	100%	079	<i>Greene</i>	1	2	50%
021	<i>Bland</i>	1	1	100%	081	<i>Greensville/Emporia</i>	9	10	90%
023	<i>Botetourt</i>	0	0	N/A	083	<i>Halifax</i>	4	4	100%
025	<i>Brunswick</i>	2	3	67%	085	<i>Hanover</i>	5	5	100%
027	<i>Buchanan</i>	4	4	100%	087	<i>Henrico</i>	72	78	92%
029	<i>Buckingham</i>	6	6	100%	089	<i>Henry/Martinsville</i>	16	16	100%
031	<i>Campbell</i>	14	15	93%	091	<i>Highland</i>	0	0	N/A
033	<i>Caroline</i>	14	15	93%	093	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	2	4	50%
035	<i>Carroll</i>	5	5	100%	095	<i>James City</i>	8	8	100%
036	<i>Charles City</i>	1	1	100%	097	<i>King and Queen</i>	0	0	N/A
037	<i>Charlotte</i>	4	4	100%	099	<i>King George</i>	3	3	100%
041	<i>Chesterfield/Col Hgts</i>	41	43	95%	101	<i>King William</i>	2	3	67%
043	<i>Clarke</i>	1	1	100%	103	<i>Lancaster</i>	1	1	100%
045	<i>Craig</i>	0	1	0%	105	<i>Lee</i>	7	9	78%
047	<i>Culpeper</i>	9	9	100%	107	<i>Loudoun</i>	16	17	94%
049	<i>Cumberland</i>	4	4	100%	109	<i>Louisa</i>	12	12	100%
051	<i>Dickensen</i>	3	4	75%	111	<i>Lunenburg</i>	3	3	100%
053	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	8	8	100%	113	<i>Madison</i>	1	1	100%
057	<i>Essex</i>	3	3	100%	115	<i>Mathews</i>	1	1	100%
059	<i>Fairfax/Falls Church</i>	59	62	95%	117	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	2	3	67%

Estimated VTP Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	4	4	100%	187	Warren	8	8	100%
121	Montgomery	16	16	100%	191	Washington	3	3	100%
125	Nelson	1	1	100%	193	Westmoreland	1	1	100%
127	New Kent	4	4	100%	195	Wise	10	10	100%
131	Northampton	4	4	100%	197	Wythe	1	2	50%
133	Northumberland	0	0	N/A	199	York/Poquoson	7	8	88%
135	Nottoway	5	5	100%	510	Alexandria	32	33	97%
137	Orange	1	2	50%	520	Bristol	5	5	100%
139	Page	2	2	100%	540	Charlottesville	18	18	100%
141	Patrick	3	3	100%	550	Chesapeake	37	42	88%
143	Pittsylvania	1	1	100%	590	Danville	15	17	88%
145	Powhatan	1	2	50%	620	Franklin City	2	4	50%
147	Prince Edward	15	15	100%	630	Fredericksburg	16	16	100%
149	Prince George	4	9	44%	640	Galax	4	4	100%
153	Prince William	59	62	95%	650	Hampton	63	65	97%
155	Pulaski	8	8	100%	670	Hopewell	21	21	100%
157	Rappahannock	0	0	N/A	680	Lynchburg	16	16	100%
159	Richmond County	0	1	0%	683	Manassas	15	16	94%
161	Roanoke County	11	11	100%	685	Manassas Park	1	1	100%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	4	5	80%	700	Newport News	63	67	94%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	28	28	100%	710	Norfolk	46	50	92%
167	Russell	5	5	100%	720	Norton	2	2	100%
169	Scott	10	11	91%	730	Petersburg	24	26	92%
171	Shenandoah	4	5	80%	740	Portsmouth	38	40	95%
173	Smyth	4	4	100%	750	Radford	6	6	100%
175	Southampton	4	4	100%	760	Richmond City	76	88	86%
177	Spotsylvania	11	15	73%	770	Roanoke City	36	38	95%
179	Stafford	18	18	100%	800	Suffolk	19	20	95%
181	Surry	2	2	100%	810	Virginia Beach	50	50	100%
183	Sussex	0	1	0%	830	Williamsburg	1	1	100%
185	Tazewell	13	13	100%	840	Winchester	5	5	100%

All VTP recipients are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” Thus, all VTP cases are in the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, job follow-up entries are reviewed to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. The basic requirement for VTP recipients is employment for at least 30 hours per week. The requirement is contained in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are also sometimes referred to as the rates for the maintenance of effort program caseload. .

TANF

TANF Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	May-15	May-14	Change from May-14	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	4,621	5,180	-10.8%	4,807	-4%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	3,389	3,206	5.7%	1,658	104%
Applications Approved	1,496	1,594	-6%	2,820	-47%
Cases Closed *	2,086	2,068	1%	4,476	-53%
Cases at End of Month	22,874	25,835	-11%	67,995	-66%
Cases Paid During Month ²	23,637	26,503	-11%	70,403	-66%

•The database indicates that of the 2,086 closed cases, 820 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 521 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 137 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 105 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

TANF-UP

TANF-UP Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	May-15	May-14	Change from May-14	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	317	492	-36%	338	-6%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	302	322	-6%	232	30%
Applications Approved	148	174	-15%	81	83%
Cases Closed *	186	199	-7%	107	74%
Cases at End of Month	1,248	1,508	-17%	321	289%
Cases Paid During Month ²	1,313	1,542	-15%	394	233%

•The database indicates that of the 186 closed cases, 89 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 64 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 12 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, all closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

Statewide - Status through May 31, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/15 and Work Activity as of 5/29/15

Current Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	24,122	1,302	777	1,854	640	322	2,583	299	569	938	1,152	735	3,605	929	366	2,598	985	4,282	186
Total Enrolled in VIEW	8,876	417	259	552	206	133	1,089	103	290	387	306	302	1,281	446	120	1,013	385	1,512	75
Part. in a Work Activity	7,184	325	219	421	180	103	886	81	251	304	241	260	1,026	367	97	830	305	1,231	57
% of Enrolled	81%	78%	85%	76%	87%	77%	81%	79%	87%	79%	79%	86%	80%	82%	81%	82%	79%	81%	76%
Part. Assigned to Inactive	66	2	1	4	2	2	1	3	3	2	0	2	10	4	0	18	5	7	0
Participants Employed	5,251	212	158	334	148	90	622	63	185	222	155	161	726	271	78	616	229	939	42
% of Enrolled	59%	51%	61%	61%	72%	68%	57%	61%	64%	57%	51%	53%	57%	61%	65%	61%	59%	62%	56%
Full Time	4,112	167	129	273	127	77	469	50	127	145	122	118	545	206	66	504	182	781	24
Part Time	1,139	45	29	61	21	13	153	13	58	77	33	43	181	65	12	112	47	158	18
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.64	\$8.02	\$7.82	\$8.30	\$8.44	\$8.17	\$10.54	\$8.73	\$8.88	\$8.29	\$8.03	\$8.03	\$8.66	\$8.79	\$8.16	\$8.32	\$8.33	\$8.38	\$8.30
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,159	\$1,086	\$1,056	\$1,132	\$1,199	\$1,105	\$1,399	\$1,165	\$1,143	\$1,058	\$1,061	\$1,066	\$1,158	\$1,158	\$1,124	\$1,131	\$1,148	\$1,128	\$1,083

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – May 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	19,812	937	648	1,551	551	277	2,468	221	488	777	863	626	2,708	865	271	2,259	750	3,383	169
Total Enrolled in VIEW	23,265	1,088	794	1,629	619	341	2,723	266	596	966	935	755	3,361	1,047	316	2,693	941	4,001	194
Part. in a Work Activity	21,108	1,041	730	1,447	586	310	2,438	231	569	860	854	708	2,975	956	294	2,428	851	3,653	177
% of Total Enrolled	91%	96%	92%	89%	95%	91%	90%	87%	95%	89%	91%	94%	89%	91%	93%	90%	90%	91%	91%
Participants Employed	13,062	553	435	877	419	217	1,526	170	353	544	427	408	1,909	611	185	1,515	531	2,281	101
% of Total Enrolled	56%	51%	55%	54%	68%	64%	56%	64%	59%	56%	46%	54%	57%	58%	59%	56%	56%	57%	52%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.75	\$8.12	\$7.87	\$8.40	\$8.56	\$8.45	\$10.61	\$8.94	\$8.87	\$8.34	\$8.05	\$8.47	\$8.85	\$8.96	\$8.21	\$8.43	\$8.74	\$8.43	\$8.31
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,140	\$1,062	\$1,032	\$1,130	\$1,149	\$1,132	\$1,378	\$1,207	\$1,057	\$1,066	\$1,054	\$1,120	\$1,149	\$1,134	\$1,042	\$1,086	\$1,203	\$1,096	\$1,041
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	71%	60%	67%	68%	63%	75%	70%	79%	69%	61%	70%	74%	75%	74%	70%	68%	73%	73%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	313,278	18,732	11,670	23,295	9,227	6,047	39,644	4,446	6,918	11,805	14,204	9,085	41,533	10,557	4,185	30,295	10,628	58,179	2,828
Total Enrolled in VIEW ⁵	242,754	14,551	9,839	18,125	7,224	4,566	30,520	3,380	5,423	9,813	11,403	7,164	32,767	7,929	3,158	23,211	8,324	43,229	2,128
Part. in a Work Activity	232,120	14,053	9,454	17,250	7,057	4,320	29,345	3,253	5,302	9,261	11,053	6,881	31,415	7,494	2,979	22,067	7,928	40,956	2,052
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	96%	95%	98%	95%	96%	96%	98%	94%	97%	96%	96%	95%	94%	95%	95%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	163,181	9,038	6,315	12,185	5,040	2,981	21,213	2,262	4,007	6,702	6,921	4,397	22,202	5,212	1,996	16,025	5,659	29,829	1,197
% of Total Enrolled	67%	62%	64%	67%	70%	65%	70%	67%	74%	68%	61%	61%	68%	66%	63%	69%	68%	69%	56%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.38	\$6.41	\$6.53	\$7.27	\$7.37	\$7.54	\$8.94	\$7.68	\$7.60	\$6.85	\$6.58	\$7.04	\$7.57	\$8.16	\$7.20	\$7.25	\$7.25	\$7.06	\$6.95
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$967	\$841	\$876	\$971	\$977	\$1,002	\$1,177	\$1,008	\$957	\$877	\$870	\$904	\$1,001	\$1,035	\$912	\$939	\$976	\$916	\$866
Three Months Employment ⁹	66%	67%	61%	60%	67%	63%	71%	65%	64%	64%	63%	65%	69%	65%	58%	66%	65%	67%	71%

EDD1 - Southwest Region: Status through May 31, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/15 and Work Activity as of 5/29/15

Current Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,302	145	60	237	164	143	194	318	41
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	417	31	13	103	49	47	57	104	13
Participants in a Work Activity	325	26	8	92	42	40	49	58	10
% of Enrolled	78%	84%	62%	89%	86%	85%	86%	56%	77%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
Participants Employed	212	17	6	49	19	25	35	53	8
% of Enrolled	51%	55%	46%	48%	39%	53%	61%	51%	62%
Full Time	167	12	5	36	13	17	31	46	7
Part Time	45	5	1	13	6	8	4	7	1
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.02	\$7.95	\$7.77	\$8.16	\$7.99	\$8.36	\$7.52	\$8.04	\$8.71
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,086	\$1,076	\$1,075	\$1,127	\$1,046	\$1,063	\$974	\$1,109	\$1,360

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – May 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	937	86	39	165	101	111	163	245	27
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,088	95	38	232	132	139	172	246	34
Participants in a Work Activity	1,041	95	36	228	121	134	166	228	33
% of Total Enrolled	96%	100%	95%	98%	92%	96%	97%	93%	97%
Participants Employed	553	48	22	118	44	77	96	123	25
% of Total Enrolled	51%	51%	58%	51%	33%	55%	56%	50%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.12	\$8.34	\$7.72	\$8.23	\$8.62	\$8.04	\$8.07	\$7.95	\$7.84
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,062	\$1,104	\$1,047	\$1,074	\$1,139	\$1,014	\$1,029	\$1,084	\$930
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	75%	75%	77%	79%	64%	73%	65%	69%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	18,732	1,810	1,259	2,655	2,576	1,858	3,559	4,405	610
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	14,551	1,380	983	2,070	2,088	1,395	2,718	3,433	484
Participants in a Work Activity	14,053	1,302	927	2,059	1,991	1,372	2,630	3,325	447
% of Total Enrolled	97%	94%	94%	99%	95%	98%	97%	97%	92%
Participants Employed	9,038	741	591	1,350	1,240	816	1,807	2,138	355
% of Total Enrolled	62%	54%	60%	65%	59%	58%	66%	62%	73%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.41	\$6.58	\$6.40	\$6.26	\$6.56	\$6.73	\$6.28	\$6.41	\$6.21
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$841	\$857	\$851	\$814	\$866	\$895	\$826	\$844	\$762
Three Months Employment ⁹	67%	65%	55%	72%	66%	76%	66%	66%	71%

EDD2 – Bristol-Galax Region: Status through May 31, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/15 and Work Activity as of 5/29/15

Current Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	777	8	79	34	168	188	88	172	40
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	259	2	36	3	36	72	21	78	11
Participants in a Work Activity	219	2	35	3	30	60	16	63	10
% of Enrolled	85%	N/A	97%	100%	83%	83%	76%	81%	91%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Participants Employed	158	2	30	2	28	38	14	39	5
% of Enrolled	61%	N/A	83%	67%	78%	53%	67%	50%	45%
Full Time	129	2	28	2	25	25	13	31	3
Part Time	29	0	2	0	3	13	1	8	2
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.82	\$11.93	\$8.07	\$9.13	\$6.77	\$7.64	\$7.93	\$8.18	\$8.20
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,056	\$1,906	\$1,165	\$1,423	\$951	\$956	\$1,114	\$1,060	\$1,070

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – May 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	648	11	63	27	130	136	75	187	19
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	794	11	82	24	175	150	68	254	30
Participants in a Work Activity	730	11	81	24	164	133	66	228	23
% of Total Enrolled	92%	100%	99%	100%	94%	89%	97%	90%	77%
Participants Employed	435	5	58	14	101	73	38	132	14
% of Total Enrolled	55%	45%	71%	58%	58%	49%	56%	52%	47%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.87	\$10.47	\$8.02	\$8.10	\$7.53	\$7.79	\$7.79	\$7.97	\$8.06
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,032	\$1,660	\$1,111	\$1,064	\$1,015	\$962	\$1,077	\$1,018	\$966
Three Months Employment ⁸	60%	75%	81%	45%	63%	58%	57%	54%	80%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	11,670	294	1,525	720	2,062	2,079	1,690	2,528	772
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	9,839	219	1,267	587	1,752	1,733	1,337	2,265	679
Participants in a Work Activity	9,454	207	1,177	551	1,697	1,686	1,314	2,180	642
% of Total Enrolled	96%	95%	93%	94%	97%	97%	98%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	6,315	128	853	379	1,031	1,073	892	1,536	423
% of Total Enrolled	64%	58%	67%	65%	59%	62%	67%	68%	62%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.53	\$6.55	\$6.33	\$6.48	\$6.43	\$6.75	\$6.47	\$6.62	\$6.41
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$876	\$905	\$847	\$865	\$882	\$902	\$857	\$883	\$887
Three Months Employment ⁹	61%	56%	55%	60%	62%	58%	67%	62%	69%

EDD3 – Roanoke Region: Status through May 31, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/15 and Work Activity as of 5/29/15

Current Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,854	77	29	16	45	177	41	216	129	221	63	840
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	552	37	7	9	8	57	2	69	16	77	26	244
Participants in a Work Activity	421	30	4	5	7	47	2	59	14	62	18	173
% of Enrolled	76%	81%	57%	56%	88%	82%	100%	86%	88%	81%	69%	71%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0
Participants Employed	334	21	1	3	3	28	2	54	12	45	14	151
% of Enrolled	61%	57%	14%	33%	38%	49%	100%	78%	75%	58%	54%	62%
Full Time	273	18	0	1	3	21	2	51	12	35	14	116
Part Time	61	3	1	2	0	7	0	3	0	10	0	35
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.30	\$8.07	\$7.25	\$8.92	\$8.75	\$8.61	\$13.75	\$8.27	\$7.73	\$8.22	\$8.64	\$8.25
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,132	\$1,104	\$785	\$888	\$1,267	\$1,158	\$1,820	\$1,197	\$1,027	\$1,086	\$1,289	\$1,110

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – May 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	1,551	57	25	11	24	154	18	177	102	168	60	755
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,629	74	15	12	24	160	18	204	91	191	71	769
Participants in a Work Activity	1,447	68	11	7	22	146	18	180	81	160	64	690
% of Total Enrolled	89%	92%	73%	58%	92%	91%	100%	88%	89%	84%	90%	90%
Participants Employed	877	35	3	4	12	75	9	137	45	110	50	397
% of Total Enrolled	54%	47%	20%	33%	50%	47%	50%	67%	49%	58%	70%	52%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.40	\$8.25	\$7.50	\$8.63	\$8.17	\$8.26	\$9.36	\$8.28	\$8.10	\$8.73	\$8.42	\$8.41
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,130	\$1,093	\$913	\$1,003	\$1,113	\$1,087	\$1,356	\$1,173	\$1,090	\$1,139	\$1,141	\$1,124
Three Months Employment ⁸	67%	68%	33%	100%	33%	69%	83%	72%	52%	73%	70%	65%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	23,295	1,257	404	111	598	2,088	585	3,258	1,996	2,618	929	9,451
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	18,125	974	255	66	443	1,629	428	2,686	1,589	2,116	730	7,209
Participants in a Work Activity	17,250	941	222	64	425	1,475	420	2,635	1,524	1,977	697	6,870
% of Total Enrolled	95%	97%	87%	97%	96%	91%	98%	98%	96%	93%	95%	95%
Participants Employed	12,185	675	112	31	286	1,006	246	2,088	1,003	1,560	511	4,667
% of Total Enrolled	67%	69%	44%	47%	65%	62%	57%	78%	63%	74%	70%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.27	\$7.03	\$7.54	\$7.41	\$7.17	\$7.21	\$7.19	\$7.12	\$6.92	\$7.78	\$7.17	\$7.34
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$971	\$858	\$960	\$1,025	\$958	\$965	\$964	\$959	\$956	\$1,040	\$927	\$984
Three Months Employment ⁹	60%	59%	53%	62%	54%	58%	52%	63%	53%	58%	62%	62%

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: Status through May 31, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/15 and Work Activity as of 5/29/15

Current Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	640	358	5	5	53	219
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	206	101	1	0	21	83
Participants in a Work Activity	180	87	0	N/A	20	73
% of Enrolled	87%	86%	N/A	N/A	95%	88%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	1	N/A	0	1
Participants Employed	148	69	0	N/A	16	63
% of Enrolled	72%	68%	N/A	N/A	76%	76%
Full Time	127	58	N/A	N/A	13	56
Part Time	21	11	N/A	N/A	3	7
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.44	\$8.48	N/A	N/A	\$8.02	\$8.51
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,199	\$1,183	N/A	N/A	\$1,168	\$1,226

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – May 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	551	316	1	3	30	201
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	619	332	1	0	46	240
Participants in a Work Activity	586	312	0	N/A	43	231
% of Total Enrolled	95%	94%	0	N/A	93%	96%
Participants Employed	419	201	0	N/A	32	186
% of Total Enrolled	68%	61%	0	N/A	70%	78%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.56	\$8.46	N/A	N/A	\$8.62	\$8.66
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,149	\$1,086	N/A	N/A	\$1,090	\$1,226
Three Months Employment ⁸	68%	63%	N/A	N/A	65%	70%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	9,227	4,920	81	26	793	3,407
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	7,224	3,912	53	8	598	2,653
Participants in a Work Activity	7,057	3,848	52	8	555	2,594
% of Total Enrolled	98%	98%	98%	100%	93%	98%
Participants Employed	5,040	2,627	32	6	423	1,952
% of Total Enrolled	70%	67%	60%	75%	71%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.37	\$7.35	\$6.56	\$7.79	\$6.91	\$7.51
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$977	\$975	\$717	\$784	\$879	\$1,005
Three Months Employment ⁹	67%	66%	61%	83%	68%	68%

VIEW Work Activity

EDDS 5 & 18 – Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: Status through May 31, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/15 and Work Activity as of 5/29/15

Current Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	322	12	67	28	38	104	73	186	123	63
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	133	3	15	17	18	47	33	75	39	36
Participants in a Work Activity	103	2	13	12	14	40	22	57	30	27
% of Enrolled	77%	2	87%	71%	78%	85%	67%	76%	77%	75%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
Participants Employed	90	2	12	7	11	37	21	42	20	22
% of Enrolled	68%	2	80%	41%	61%	79%	64%	56%	51%	61%
Full Time	77	2	10	6	11	36	12	24	15	9
Part Time	13	0	2	1	0	1	9	18	5	13
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.17	\$8.13	\$8.66	\$8.14	\$8.95	\$7.79	\$8.16	\$8.30	\$8.36	\$8.26
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,105	\$1,055	\$1,167	\$1,118	\$1,297	\$1,102	\$975	\$1,083	\$1,163	\$1,011

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – May 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	277	7	45	44	43	73	65	169	124	45
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	341	6	49	53	51	109	73	194	112	82
Participants in a Work Activity	310	5	45	50	47	105	58	177	105	72
% of Total Enrolled	91%	83%	92%	94%	92%	96%	79%	91%	94%	88%
Participants Employed	217	4	31	30	31	79	42	101	57	44
% of Total Enrolled	64%	67%	63%	57%	61%	72%	58%	52%	51%	54%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.45	\$11.15	\$8.71	\$8.27	\$8.78	\$8.07	\$8.57	\$8.31	\$8.36	\$8.25
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,132	\$1,567	\$1,148	\$1,141	\$1,178	\$1,100	\$1,098	\$1,041	\$1,071	\$1,004
Three Months Employment ⁸	63%	67%	50%	36%	80%	71%	70%	73%	67%	82%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	6,047	232	1,326	903	750	1,452	1,384	2,828	1,824	1,004
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	4,566	141	994	741	474	1,116	1,100	2,128	1,341	787
Participants in a Work Activity	4,320	130	932	725	459	1,039	1,035	2,052	1,304	748
% of Total Enrolled	95%	92%	94%	98%	97%	93%	94%	96%	97%	95%
Participants Employed	2,981	82	584	510	280	767	758	1,197	684	513
% of Total Enrolled	65%	58%	59%	69%	59%	69%	69%	56%	51%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.54	\$7.74	\$7.92	\$7.43	\$7.03	\$7.55	\$7.47	\$6.95	\$7.01	\$6.87
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,002	\$1,030	\$1,032	\$1,004	\$960	\$1,018	\$979	\$866	\$874	\$858
Three Months Employment ⁹	63%	58%	60%	59%	70%	70%	59%	71%	65%	80%

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: Status through May 31, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/15 and Work Activity as of 5/29/15

Current Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	2,583	165	903	188	833	361	107	26
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,089	47	430	116	283	168	40	5
Participants in a Work Activity	886	44	379	87	221	124	27	4
% of Enrolled	81%	94%	88%	75%	78%	74%	68%	80%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Participants Employed	622	29	233	73	180	84	21	2
% of Enrolled	57%	62%	54%	63%	64%	50%	53%	40%
Full Time	469	18	173	44	149	67	16	2
Part Time	153	11	60	29	31	17	5	0
Average Wage ⁶	\$10.54	\$9.97	\$10.62	\$10.81	\$10.13	\$11.18	\$10.39	\$10.67
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,399	\$1,148	\$1,402	\$1,385	\$1,360	\$1,561	\$1,424	\$1,688

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – May 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	2,468	135	879	246	684	401	103	20
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,723	167	1,019	264	758	396	103	16
Participants in a Work Activity	2,438	162	935	240	654	340	95	12
% of Total Enrolled	90%	97%	92%	91%	86%	86%	92%	75%
Participants Employed	1,526	90	543	162	472	196	58	5
% of Total Enrolled	56%	54%	53%	61%	62%	49%	56%	31%
Average Wage ⁶	\$10.61	\$10.01	\$10.61	\$11.31	\$10.14	\$11.53	\$10.39	\$10.26
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,378	\$1,103	\$1,364	\$1,444	\$1,331	\$1,566	\$1,495	\$1,382
Three Months Employment ⁸	75%	64%	73%	79%	73%	86%	77%	50%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	39,644	3,184	14,138	2,943	12,558	4,613	1,706	502
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	30,520	2,512	10,704	2,323	9,707	3,594	1,312	368
Participants in a Work Activity	29,345	2,496	10,294	2,210	9,296	3,408	1,277	364
% of Total Enrolled	96%	99%	96%	95%	96%	95%	97%	99%
Participants Employed	21,213	1,786	7,147	1,764	6,961	2,466	844	245
% of Total Enrolled	70%	71%	67%	76%	72%	69%	64%	67%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.94	\$8.46	\$9.03	\$9.55	\$8.95	\$8.69	\$8.48	\$8.33
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,177	\$1,077	\$1,183	\$1,230	\$1,205	\$1,115	\$1,137	\$1,108
Three Months Employment ⁹	71%	69%	70%	72%	70%	78%	70%	77%

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: Status through May 31, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/15 and Work Activity as of 5/29/15

Current Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	299	103	65	27	96	8
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	103	39	27	5	30	2
Participants in a Work Activity	81	30	22	3	24	2
% of Enrolled	79%	77%	81%	60%	80%	100%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	2	0	1	0
Participants Employed	63	25	17	3	17	1
% of Enrolled	61%	64%	63%	60%	57%	50%
Full Time	50	22	12	3	13	0
Part Time	13	3	5	0	4	1
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.73	\$8.39	\$8.59	\$9.76	\$9.18	\$9.00
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,165	\$1,155	\$1,171	\$1,371	\$1,148	\$974

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – May 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	221	77	64	20	53	7
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	266	102	76	21	62	5
Participants in a Work Activity	231	87	65	19	56	4
% of Total Enrolled	87%	85%	86%	90%	90%	80%
Participants Employed	170	67	49	11	41	2
% of Total Enrolled	64%	66%	64%	52%	66%	40%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.94	\$8.62	\$9.20	\$8.73	\$9.13	\$9.50
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,207	\$1,189	\$1,217	\$1,111	\$1,252	\$1,129
Three Months Employment ⁸	70%	76%	70%	33%	71%	N/A

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	4,446	1,731	1,290	409	896	120
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	3,380	1,275	999	306	722	78
Participants in a Work Activity	3,253	1,227	978	298	676	74
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	98%	97%	94%	95%
Participants Employed	2,262	826	693	181	516	46
% of Total Enrolled	67%	65%	69%	59%	71%	59%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.68	\$7.67	\$8.04	\$7.29	\$7.37	\$7.52
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,008	\$993	\$1,049	\$1,007	\$978	\$977
Three Months Employment ⁹	65%	66%	68%	58%	61%	50%

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: Status through May 31, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/15 and Work Activity as of 5/29/15

Current Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	Cville
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	569	114	52	49	89	52	213
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	290	71	16	25	31	17	130
Participants in a Work Activity	251	66	10	19	25	15	116
% of Enrolled	87%	93%	63%	76%	81%	88%	89%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
Participants Employed	185	46	7	17	16	13	86
% of Enrolled	64%	65%	44%	68%	52%	76%	66%
Full Time	127	32	5	8	15	7	60
Part Time	58	14	2	9	1	6	26
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.88	\$8.76	\$7.85	\$8.24	\$8.14	\$8.29	\$9.38
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,143	\$1,093	\$996	\$1,083	\$1,233	\$1,089	\$1,184

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – May 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	Cville
Individuals Referred	488	119	25	44	84	27	189
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	596	136	38	40	89	31	262
Participants in a Work Activity	569	136	36	37	83	28	249
% of Total Enrolled	95%	100%	95%	93%	93%	90%	95%
Participants Employed	353	84	14	24	45	19	167
% of Total Enrolled	59%	62%	37%	60%	51%	61%	64%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.87	\$9.19	\$7.95	\$8.52	\$8.43	\$9.04	\$8.94
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,057	\$1,106	\$921	\$1,003	\$988	\$1,107	\$1,064
Three Months Employment ⁸	79%	79%	88%	86%	83%	83%	76%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	Cville
Individuals Referred	6,918	1,678	329	538	1,097	369	2,907
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	5,423	1,242	244	385	830	268	2,454
Participants in a Work Activity	5,302	1,216	238	365	804	265	2,414
% of Total Enrolled	98%	98%	98%	95%	97%	99%	98%
Participants Employed	4,007	885	152	285	523	163	1,999
% of Total Enrolled	74%	71%	62%	74%	63%	61%	81%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.60	\$8.04	\$8.01	\$7.48	\$7.81	\$7.97	\$7.43
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$957	\$1,025	\$1,059	\$921	\$991	\$1,018	\$929
Three Months Employment ⁹	64%	58%	63%	57%	64%	65%	66%

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: Status through May 31, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/15 and Work Activity as of 5/29/15

Current Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	938	80	64	152	208	434
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	387	24	23	52	109	179
Participants in a Work Activity	304	17	21	47	86	133
% of Enrolled	79%	71%	91%	90%	79%	74%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	0	2	0	0
Participants Employed	222	15	17	35	50	105
% of Enrolled	57%	63%	74%	67%	46%	59%
Full Time	145	12	12	25	30	66
Part Time	77	3	5	10	20	39
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.29	\$8.61	\$7.85	\$8.59	\$8.71	\$8.02
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,058	\$1,286	\$1,001	\$1,104	\$1,097	\$1,001

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – May 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	777	60	51	111	177	378
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	966	63	76	148	249	430
Participants in a Work Activity	860	55	72	131	225	377
% of Total Enrolled	89%	87%	95%	89%	90%	88%
Participants Employed	544	41	49	84	129	241
% of Total Enrolled	56%	65%	64%	57%	52%	56%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.34	\$8.58	\$8.25	\$8.66	\$8.69	\$8.01
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,066	\$1,274	\$1,136	\$1,112	\$1,127	\$961
Three Months Employment ⁸	69%	73%	70%	84%	65%	66%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	11,805	1,095	920	2,370	2,756	4,664
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	9,813	872	808	1,909	2,370	3,854
Participants in a Work Activity	9,261	827	795	1,770	2,236	3,633
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	98%	93%	94%	94%
Participants Employed	6,702	575	570	1,243	1,623	2,691
% of Total Enrolled	68%	66%	71%	65%	68%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.85	\$7.06	\$6.55	\$6.93	\$7.05	\$6.73
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$877	\$907	\$896	\$880	\$917	\$844
Three Months Employment ⁹	64%	60%	55%	60%	63%	68%

EDD10 - Danville Region: Status through May 31, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/15 and Work Activity as of 5/29/15

Current Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,152	168	380	73	159	372
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	306	45	113	27	22	99
Participants in a Work Activity	241	24	93	25	17	82
% of Enrolled	79%	53%	82%	93%	77%	83%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	155	21	55	17	15	47
% of Enrolled	51%	47%	49%	63%	68%	47%
Full Time	122	18	39	15	14	36
Part Time	33	3	16	2	1	11
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.03	\$8.22	\$8.31	\$7.87	\$7.64	\$7.81
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,061	\$1,150	\$1,072	\$1,174	\$989	\$989

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – May 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	863	102	274	81	119	287
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	935	111	324	92	113	295
Participants in a Work Activity	854	103	289	84	108	270
% of Total Enrolled	91%	93%	89%	91%	96%	92%
Participants Employed	427	47	164	46	43	127
% of Total Enrolled	46%	42%	51%	50%	38%	43%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.05	\$8.49	\$8.19	\$7.76	\$7.88	\$7.86
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,054	\$1,085	\$1,075	\$1,045	\$988	\$1,039
Three Months Employment ⁸	61%	72%	63%	55%	48%	59%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	14,204	2,168	3,838	1,382	2,361	4,455
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	11,403	1,789	2,979	1,190	1,802	3,643
Participants in a Work Activity	11,053	1,731	2,864	1,125	1,775	3,558
% of Total Enrolled	97%	97%	96%	95%	99%	98%
Participants Employed	6,921	989	1,907	799	951	2,275
% of Total Enrolled	61%	55%	64%	67%	53%	62%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.58	\$6.54	\$7.00	\$6.47	\$6.56	\$6.30
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$870	\$861	\$945	\$840	\$878	\$820
Three Months Employment ⁹	63%	58%	64%	74%	58%	61%

EDD11 - Southside Region: Status through May 31, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/15 and Work Activity as of 5/29/15

Current Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	735	27	85	59	49	55	126	28	124	81	101
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	302	2	40	19	20	24	55	17	37	41	47
Participants in a Work Activity	260	2	31	18	17	23	51	8	32	36	42
% of Enrolled	86%	100%	78%	95%	85%	96%	93%	47%	86%	88%	89%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Participants Employed	161	1	17	12	5	14	25	4	19	29	35
% of Enrolled	53%	50%	43%	63%	25%	58%	45%	24%	51%	71%	74%
Full Time	118	1	11	9	3	8	16	4	16	24	26
Part Time	43	0	6	3	2	6	9	0	3	5	9
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.03	\$7.25	\$8.30	\$8.04	\$7.95	\$8.61	\$7.90	\$9.04	\$7.80	\$8.03	\$7.79
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,066	\$942	\$1,070	\$944	\$873	\$1,028	\$1,039	\$1,485	\$1,100	\$1,130	\$1,054

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – May 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	626	26	85	50	55	31	103	33	79	81	83
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	755	34	94	57	72	49	115	41	82	91	120
Participants in a Work Activity	708	31	90	54	71	45	108	33	76	87	113
% of Total Enrolled	94%	91%	96%	95%	99%	92%	94%	80%	93%	96%	94%
Participants Employed	408	23	47	27	36	28	57	14	39	58	79
% of Total Enrolled	54%	68%	50%	47%	50%	57%	50%	34%	48%	64%	66%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.47	\$9.21	\$8.28	\$10.49	\$8.36	\$8.67	\$8.12	\$8.75	\$7.99	\$8.50	\$8.06
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,120	\$1,231	\$1,046	\$1,460	\$1,112	\$1,080	\$1,056	\$1,197	\$1,049	\$1,148	\$1,076
Three Months Employment ⁸	70%	47%	61%	53%	77%	67%	68%	43%	73%	77%	83%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	9,085	574	1,096	858	799	615	1,074	434	1,309	1,114	1,212
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	7,164	427	882	666	611	461	864	345	1,036	866	1,006
Participants in a Work Activity	6,881	405	878	632	575	438	832	340	993	806	982
% of Total Enrolled	96%	95%	100%	95%	94%	95%	96%	99%	96%	93%	98%
Participants Employed	4,397	262	508	384	318	267	523	170	675	579	711
% of Total Enrolled	61%	61%	58%	58%	52%	58%	61%	49%	65%	67%	71%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.04	\$7.66	\$6.50	\$7.34	\$7.46	\$7.58	\$7.03	\$6.80	\$6.76	\$7.08	\$6.97
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$904	\$979	\$846	\$984	\$941	\$973	\$853	\$847	\$857	\$928	\$908
Three Months Employment ⁹	65%	61%	66%	59%	59%	57%	64%	60%	66%	69%	69%

EDD12 - Richmond Region: Status through May 31, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/15 and Work Activity as of 5/29/15

Current Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	3,605	637	24	89	951	37	1,867
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,281	216	9	45	398	8	605
Participants in a Work Activity	1,026	175	7	36	308	5	495
% of Enrolled	80%	81%	78%	80%	77%	63%	82%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	10	1	0	0	0	1	8
Participants Employed	726	133	4	28	231	5	325
% of Enrolled	57%	62%	44%	62%	58%	63%	54%
Full Time	545	110	2	23	160	3	247
Part Time	181	23	2	5	71	2	78
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.66	\$8.91	\$8.94	\$8.73	\$8.85	\$9.33	\$8.40
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,158	\$1,221	\$1,116	\$1,232	\$1,158	\$1,170	\$1,125

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – May 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	2,708	533	25	79	772	23	1,276
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	3,361	606	22	107	1,094	31	1,501
Participants in a Work Activity	2,975	566	20	95	946	31	1,317
% of Total Enrolled	89%	93%	91%	89%	86%	100%	88%
Participants Employed	1,909	355	10	72	645	21	806
% of Total Enrolled	57%	59%	45%	67%	59%	68%	54%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.85	\$9.36	\$8.99	\$9.17	\$8.98	\$9.06	\$8.47
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,149	\$1,261	\$1,091	\$1,224	\$1,125	\$1,267	\$1,110
Three Months Employment ⁸	74%	78%	44%	88%	71%	79%	73%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	41,533	8,762	322	1,498	10,592	416	19,943
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	32,767	6,683	249	1,070	8,723	311	15,731
Participants in a Work Activity	31,415	6,469	237	993	8,203	294	15,219
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	95%	93%	94%	95%	97%
Participants Employed	22,202	4,222	163	699	5,920	178	11,020
% of Total Enrolled	68%	63%	65%	65%	68%	57%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.57	\$7.89	\$7.45	\$8.39	\$7.89	\$7.94	\$7.27
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,001	\$1,053	\$948	\$1,066	\$1,028	\$1,006	\$969
Three Months Employment ⁹	69%	69%	64%	67%	66%	62%	71%

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: Status through May 31, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/15 and Work Activity as of 5/29/15

Current Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	929	133	47	331	279	139
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	446	67	22	143	127	87
Participants in a Work Activity	367	51	17	112	118	69
% of Enrolled	82%	76%	77%	78%	93%	79%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	1	0	0	0	3
Participants Employed	271	42	13	85	70	61
% of Enrolled	61%	63%	59%	59%	55%	70%
Full Time	206	35	10	67	51	43
Part Time	65	7	3	18	19	18
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.79	\$9.11	\$8.52	\$8.56	\$8.98	\$8.73
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,158	\$1,188	\$1,268	\$1,113	\$1,149	\$1,187

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – May 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	865	94	50	315	247	159
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,047	144	51	353	289	210
Participants in a Work Activity	956	126	49	330	262	189
% of Total Enrolled	91%	88%	96%	93%	91%	90%
Participants Employed	611	89	30	213	151	128
% of Total Enrolled	58%	62%	59%	60%	52%	61%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.96	\$8.83	\$9.31	\$8.67	\$9.49	\$8.81
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,134	\$1,120	\$1,152	\$1,089	\$1,201	\$1,133
Three Months Employment ⁸	75%	69%	72%	69%	83%	79%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	10,557	1,540	633	3,263	3,072	2,049
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	7,929	1,119	450	2,527	2,082	1,751
Participants in a Work Activity	7,494	1,064	427	2,353	1,988	1,662
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	95%	93%	95%	95%
Participants Employed	5,212	695	264	1,724	1,234	1,295
% of Total Enrolled	66%	62%	59%	68%	59%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.16	\$7.82	\$7.71	\$8.12	\$8.82	\$7.94
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,035	\$986	\$944	\$1,023	\$1,145	\$1,004
Three Months Employment ⁹	65%	59%	58%	66%	68%	66%

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: Status through May 31, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/15 and Work Activity as of 5/29/15

Current Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	366	63	28	27	41	21	44	32	34	76
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	120	18	4	9	10	6	17	5	20	31
Participants in a Work Activity	97	16	3	8	8	6	17	5	11	23
% of Enrolled	81%	89%	75%	89%	80%	100%	100%	100%	55%	74%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	78	16	1	5	6	6	16	4	6	18
% of Enrolled	65%	89%	25%	56%	60%	100%	94%	80%	30%	58%
Full Time	66	14	0	4	5	5	14	4	6	14
Part Time	12	2	1	1	1	1	2	0	0	4
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.16	\$8.26	\$7.50	\$8.10	\$8.50	\$7.92	\$8.38	\$7.85	\$7.75	\$8.13
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,124	\$1,276	\$650	\$989	\$1,198	\$1,032	\$1,100	\$1,202	\$1,151	\$1,055

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – May 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	271	37	28	21	20	10	42	24	34	55
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	316	56	36	28	21	14	47	24	29	61
Participants in a Work Activity	294	50	33	27	19	14	47	20	24	60
% of Total Enrolled	93%	89%	92%	96%	90%	100%	100%	83%	83%	98%
Participants Employed	185	39	19	13	12	13	38	12	9	30
% of Total Enrolled	59%	70%	53%	46%	57%	93%	81%	50%	31%	49%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.21	\$8.66	\$8.37	\$8.84	\$8.39	\$8.04	\$7.91	\$7.80	\$8.17	\$7.93
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,042	\$1,099	\$920	\$1,288	\$1,127	\$868	\$1,043	\$1,029	\$1,101	\$964
Three Months Employment ⁸	74%	87%	44%	78%	60%	60%	77%	57%	50%	87%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	4,185	631	321	376	445	229	518	373	394	898
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	3,158	511	222	308	351	162	387	305	241	671
Participants in a Work Activity	2,979	490	211	294	332	155	365	294	225	613
% of Total Enrolled	94%	96%	95%	95%	95%	96%	94%	96%	93%	91%
Participants Employed	1,996	345	118	183	235	110	271	172	139	423
% of Total Enrolled	63%	68%	53%	59%	67%	68%	70%	56%	58%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.20	\$7.23	\$7.24	\$7.64	\$6.98	\$7.16	\$7.23	\$6.91	\$7.18	\$7.20
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$912	\$891	\$877	\$1,005	\$874	\$907	\$918	\$868	\$944	\$927
Three Months Employment ⁹	58%	50%	45%	59%	50%	72%	72%	50%	43%	65%

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: Status through May 31, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/15 and Work Activity as of 5/29/15

Current Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	NewKent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	2,598	18	105	144	43	88	872	1,304	24
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,013	3	37	59	16	58	335	486	19
Participants in a Work Activity	830	0	30	39	11	43	283	407	17
% of Enrolled	82%	0%	81%	66%	69%	74%	84%	84%	89%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	18	0	2	3	2	3	6	1	1
Participants Employed	616	0	28	29	10	27	202	307	13
% of Enrolled	61%	0%	76%	49%	63%	47%	60%	63%	68%
Full Time	504	N/A	25	24	6	16	155	267	11
Part Time	112	N/A	3	5	4	11	47	40	2
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.32	N/A	\$7.45	\$8.36	\$8.20	\$8.69	\$8.21	\$8.42	\$8.91
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,131	N/A	\$917	\$1,106	\$1,070	\$1,119	\$1,072	\$1,193	\$1,185

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – May 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	2,259	12	91	124	22	86	716	1,174	34
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,693	10	100	137	39	135	918	1,317	37
Participants in a Work Activity	2,428	10	89	115	33	123	838	1,184	36
% of Total Enrolled	90%	100%	89%	84%	85%	91%	91%	90%	97%
Participants Employed	1,515	5	62	77	15	73	540	718	25
% of Total Enrolled	56%	50%	62%	56%	38%	54%	59%	55%	68%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.43	\$8.95	\$7.51	\$8.73	\$9.19	\$9.05	\$8.38	\$8.42	\$8.99
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,086	\$1,290	\$874	\$1,055	\$1,231	\$1,057	\$1,056	\$1,129	\$1,039
Three Months Employment ⁸	70%	100%	75%	73%	57%	90%	71%	67%	80%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	30,295	181	1,247	1,380	411	1,302	10,440	14,867	467
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	23,211	132	905	1,097	303	1016	7,861	11,540	357
Participants in a Work Activity	22,067	126	837	1,015	290	970	7,644	10,852	333
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	92%	93%	96%	95%	97%	94%	93%
Participants Employed	16,025	76	589	756	172	629	5,497	8,058	248
% of Total Enrolled	69%	58%	65%	69%	57%	62%	70%	70%	69%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.25	\$7.26	\$6.98	\$7.71	\$7.74	\$7.57	\$7.25	\$7.19	\$7.49
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$939	\$973	\$853	\$934	\$1,042	\$908	\$932	\$949	\$921
Three Months Employment ⁹	66%	52%	58%	58%	71%	60%	64%	69%	65%

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: Status through May 31, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/15 and Work Activity as of 5/29/15

Current Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	985	103	86	29	73	260	434
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	385	21	42	12	35	132	143
Participants in a Work Activity	305	21	27	8	20	115	114
% of Enrolled	79%	100%	64%	67%	57%	87%	80%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	0	0	2	0	2	1
Participants Employed	229	16	24	7	13	67	102
% of Enrolled	59%	76%	57%	58%	37%	51%	71%
Full Time	182	15	20	5	8	42	92
Part Time	47	1	4	2	5	25	10
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.33	\$8.14	\$9.01	\$8.54	\$8.21	\$8.31	\$8.21
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,148	\$1,244	\$1,302	\$1,109	\$928	\$1,062	\$1,183

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – May 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	750	70	81	19	58	203	319
Total Enrolled in VIEW	941	51	79	35	75	290	411
Participants in a Work Activity	851	50	74	32	67	261	367
% of Total Enrolled	90%	98%	94%	91%	89%	90%	89%
Participants Employed	531	37	40	20	31	156	247
% of Total Enrolled	56%	73%	51%	57%	41%	54%	60%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.74	\$8.91	\$9.30	\$9.31	\$8.32	\$8.85	\$8.54
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,203	\$1,342	\$1,266	\$1,174	\$1,086	\$1,182	\$1,206
Three Months Employment ⁸	68%	76%	77%	60%	80%	63%	68%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	10,628	1,369	986	422	837	2,664	4,350
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	8,324	892	741	351	673	2,179	3,488
Participants in a Work Activity	7,928	889	647	334	644	2,071	3,343
% of Total Enrolled	95%	100%	87%	95%	96%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	5,659	590	441	246	434	1,483	2,465
% of Total Enrolled	68%	66%	60%	70%	64%	68%	71%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.25	\$7.12	\$7.74	\$7.51	\$7.14	\$7.17	\$7.24
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$976	\$998	\$1,024	\$906	\$969	\$956	\$984
Three Months Employment ⁹	65%	58%	59%	55%	62%	63%	70%

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: Status through May 31, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/15 and Work Activity as of 5/29/15

Current Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	4,282	101	57	710	61	1,435	906	306	706
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,512	33	21	230	27	562	309	91	239
Participants in a Work Activity	1,231	25	18	206	20	437	252	75	198
% of Enrolled	81%	76%	86%	90%	74%	78%	82%	82%	83%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	7	0	1	0	0	0	5	0	1
Participants Employed	939	18	13	166	13	351	174	59	145
% of Enrolled	62%	55%	62%	72%	48%	62%	56%	65%	61%
Full Time	781	14	9	132	8	310	137	52	119
Part Time	158	4	4	34	5	41	37	7	26
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.38	\$8.09	\$9.57	\$8.38	\$7.93	\$8.31	\$8.40	\$8.17	\$8.61
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,128	\$989	\$1,296	\$1,151	\$1,043	\$1,095	\$1,166	\$1,132	\$1,147

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – May 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	3,383	70	47	605	68	1,060	632	261	640
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	4,001	67	64	732	78	1,353	779	266	662
Participants in a Work Activity	3,653	65	55	660	76	1,253	700	253	591
% of Total Enrolled	91%	97%	86%	90%	97%	93%	90%	95%	89%
Participants Employed	2,281	37	35	442	38	800	419	144	366
% of Total Enrolled	57%	55%	55%	60%	49%	59%	54%	54%	55%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.43	\$8.19	\$8.74	\$8.65	\$8.12	\$8.30	\$8.40	\$8.36	\$8.61
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,096	\$1,081	\$1,179	\$1,140	\$929	\$1,073	\$1,086	\$1,141	\$1,108
Three Months Employment ⁸	73%	85%	90%	71%	36%	78%	68%	67%	75%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	58,179	1,331	1,089	9,471	932	18,604	9,383	3,692	13,677
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	43,229	967	878	7,047	758	13,736	7,489	2,900	9,454
Participants in a Work Activity	40,956	932	838	6,734	747	12,984	7,064	2,871	8,786
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	95%	96%	99%	95%	94%	99%	93%
Participants Employed	29,829	647	513	5,035	490	9,596	5,288	2,111	6,149
% of Total Enrolled	69%	67%	58%	71%	65%	70%	71%	73%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.06	\$7.01	\$6.82	\$7.25	\$6.78	\$6.95	\$6.92	\$6.88	\$7.36
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$916	\$921	\$853	\$951	\$843	\$884	\$917	\$895	\$968
Three Months Employment ⁹	67%	59%	55%	64%	63%	68%	69%	67%	69%

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date

This section lists the relative state fiscal year to date rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Wage, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	50.9%	5.48	78	\$8.36	2.13	72	66.7%	5.00	77	4.21	87
003	Albemarle	61.8%	6.65	29	\$9.19	4.19	20	79.2%	6.88	26	5.91	17
005	Alleghany/Covington	47.3%	5.09	100	\$8.25	1.86	82	68.2%	5.23	73	4.06	92
007	Amelia	67.6%	7.29	12	\$9.21	4.24	18	47.4%	2.11	108	4.54	69
009	Amherst	65.1%	7.01	20	\$8.58	2.68	56	73.3%	6.00	47	5.23	40
011	Appomattox	64.5%	6.94	21	\$8.25	1.86	83	69.7%	5.46	66	4.75	60
013	Arlington	53.9%	5.80	66	\$10.01	6.23	10	64.3%	4.64	85	5.56	27
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	60.5%	6.52	34	\$8.46	2.38	63	62.7%	4.41	89	4.44	75
017	Bath	0.0%	0.00	119	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.00	119
019	Bedford County/City	56.8%	6.11	52	\$8.66	2.88	47	84.3%	7.65	12	5.55	29
021	Bland	45.5%	4.90	104	\$10.47	7.37	6	75.0%	6.25	43	6.17	9
023	Botetourt	20.0%	2.15	118	\$7.50	0.00	118	33.3%	0.00	115	0.72	118
025	Brunswick	50.0%	5.38	84	\$8.28	1.94	78	61.3%	4.19	91	3.84	98
027	Buchanan	50.5%	5.44	83	\$8.34	2.08	75	75.0%	6.25	44	4.59	68
029	Buckingham	47.4%	5.10	99	\$10.49	7.42	5	53.3%	3.00	102	5.17	41
031	Campbell	51.8%	5.58	76	\$8.69	2.95	44	65.4%	4.81	83	4.45	74
033	Caroline	61.8%	6.66	28	\$8.83	3.30	35	68.6%	5.29	71	5.08	46
035	Carroll	70.7%	7.62	7	\$8.02	1.29	100	80.7%	7.10	20	5.34	36
036	Charles City	50.0%	5.38	85	\$8.95	3.60	30	100.0%	10.00	1	6.33	8
037	Charlotte	50.0%	5.38	86	\$8.36	2.13	73	77.3%	6.59	33	4.70	65
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	58.6%	6.31	43	\$9.36	4.62	13	78.0%	6.69	31	5.87	19
043	Clarke	66.7%	7.18	16	\$11.15	9.06	3	66.7%	5.00	78	7.08	3
045	Craig	33.3%	3.59	114	\$8.63	2.80	51	100.0%	10.00	2	5.46	33
047	Culpeper	65.7%	7.07	19	\$8.62	2.78	52	76.3%	6.45	39	5.43	35
049	Cumberland	57.1%	6.15	49	\$8.67	2.90	45	66.7%	5.00	79	4.69	66
051	Dickensen	57.9%	6.23	45	\$7.72	0.55	115	75.0%	6.25	45	4.34	80
053	Dinwiddie	72.5%	7.81	5	\$8.91	3.50	32	76.0%	6.40	41	5.90	18
057	Essex	69.6%	7.50	9	\$8.66	2.88	48	87.0%	8.04	7	6.14	10
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	53.3%	5.74	71	\$10.61	7.72	4	72.7%	5.90	53	6.45	6
061	Fauquier	64.5%	6.94	22	\$9.20	4.22	19	69.7%	5.46	67	5.54	31
063	Floyd	50.0%	5.38	87	\$8.17	1.66	88	33.3%	0.00	116	2.35	117
065	Fluvanna	36.8%	3.97	112	\$7.95	1.12	104	87.5%	8.13	6	4.40	79
067	Franklin County	46.9%	5.05	101	\$8.26	1.89	81	69.2%	5.38	69	4.11	89
069	Frederick	63.3%	6.81	25	\$8.71	3.00	43	50.0%	2.50	104	4.11	90
071	Giles	50.0%	5.38	88	\$9.36	4.62	14	83.3%	7.50	14	5.83	20
073	Gloucester	62.0%	6.68	27	\$7.51	0.02	117	75.0%	6.25	46	4.32	82
075	Goochland	45.5%	4.90	105	\$8.99	3.70	27	44.4%	1.67	110	3.42	102
077	Grayson	58.3%	6.28	44	\$8.10	1.49	92	45.5%	1.82	109	3.20	107

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	60.0%	6.46	38	\$8.52	2.53	59	85.7%	7.86	10	5.62	23
081	Greensville/Emporia	49.6%	5.34	92	\$8.12	1.54	90	67.7%	5.15	75	4.01	94
083	Halifax	42.3%	4.56	107	\$8.49	2.46	61	71.9%	5.78	57	4.27	84
085	Hanover	67.3%	7.25	14	\$9.17	4.14	22	87.8%	8.17	5	6.52	5
087	Henrico	59.0%	6.35	40	\$8.98	3.67	29	71.4%	5.71	58	5.25	39
089	Henry/Martinsville	50.6%	5.45	81	\$8.19	1.71	86	63.4%	4.51	87	3.89	97
091	Highland	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
093	Isle of Wight	55.2%	5.95	61	\$8.19	1.71	87	84.6%	7.69	11	5.12	43
095	James City	56.2%	6.05	55	\$8.73	3.05	40	72.6%	5.88	54	5.00	52
097	King and Queen	52.8%	5.68	72	\$8.37	2.16	71	44.4%	1.67	111	3.17	108
099	King George	58.8%	6.33	41	\$9.31	4.49	15	72.2%	5.83	55	5.55	28
101	King William	46.4%	5.00	103	\$8.84	3.33	34	77.8%	6.67	32	5.00	51
103	Lancaster	57.1%	6.15	50	\$8.39	2.21	69	60.0%	4.00	92	4.12	88
105	Lee	50.9%	5.48	79	\$8.23	1.81	85	76.8%	6.52	37	4.60	67
107	Loudoun	61.4%	6.61	30	\$11.31	9.45	2	79.1%	6.86	27	7.64	2
109	Louisa	50.6%	5.45	82	\$8.43	2.31	64	83.3%	7.50	15	5.08	45
111	Lunenburg	34.1%	3.68	113	\$8.75	3.10	38	42.9%	1.43	112	2.74	113
113	Madison	52.4%	5.64	73	\$8.73	3.05	41	33.3%	0.00	117	2.90	111
115	Mathews	92.9%	10.00	1	\$8.04	1.34	98	60.0%	4.00	93	5.11	44
117	Mecklenburg	47.6%	5.12	98	\$7.99	1.22	102	73.1%	5.96	49	4.10	91
119	Middlesex	80.9%	8.71	2	\$7.91	1.02	107	77.3%	6.59	34	5.44	34
121	Montgomery	67.2%	7.23	15	\$8.28	1.94	79	72.1%	5.81	56	4.99	53
125	Nelson	61.3%	6.60	31	\$9.04	3.82	26	83.3%	7.50	16	5.97	15
127	New Kent	38.5%	4.14	110	\$9.19	4.19	21	57.1%	3.57	97	3.97	95
131	Northampton	53.7%	5.78	70	\$8.25	1.86	84	81.8%	7.27	19	4.97	55
133	Northumberland	50.0%	5.38	89	\$7.80	0.74	111	57.1%	3.57	98	3.23	104
135	Nottoway	63.7%	6.86	24	\$8.50	2.48	60	76.9%	6.54	36	5.29	38
137	Orange	66.1%	7.12	17	\$9.13	4.04	23	71.4%	5.71	59	5.63	22
139	Page	56.6%	6.10	53	\$8.27	1.91	80	36.4%	0.45	113	2.82	112
141	Patrick	50.0%	5.38	90	\$7.76	0.65	114	54.6%	3.18	100	3.07	110
143	Pittsylvania	38.1%	4.10	111	\$7.88	0.94	108	48.5%	2.27	107	2.44	115
145	Powhatan	67.7%	7.30	11	\$9.06	3.87	24	78.6%	6.79	29	5.98	14
147	Prince Edward	65.8%	7.09	18	\$8.06	1.39	96	83.3%	7.50	17	5.33	37
149	Prince George	50.6%	5.45	80	\$9.30	4.47	17	77.3%	6.59	35	5.50	32
153	Prince William	62.3%	6.71	26	\$10.14	6.55	9	73.1%	5.96	50	6.41	7
155	Pulaski	49.5%	5.33	94	\$8.10	1.49	93	52.0%	2.80	103	3.20	106
157	Rappahannock	40.0%	4.31	109	\$9.50	4.96	11	N/A	N/A	N/A	4.31	83
159	Richmond County	31.0%	3.34	117	\$8.17	1.66	89	50.0%	2.50	105	2.50	114
161	Roanoke County	57.6%	6.20	47	\$8.73	3.05	42	72.9%	5.93	52	5.06	47
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	69.6%	7.49	10	\$8.62	2.78	53	84.2%	7.63	13	5.97	16
165	Rockingham/H'burg	77.5%	8.35	3	\$8.66	2.88	49	70.4%	5.56	63	5.59	25
167	Russell	33.3%	3.59	115	\$8.62	2.78	54	79.3%	6.90	25	4.42	76
169	Scott	55.4%	5.97	59	\$8.04	1.34	99	63.6%	4.55	86	3.95	96
171	Shenandoah	60.8%	6.55	33	\$8.78	3.18	37	80.0%	7.00	21	5.57	26

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	57.7%	6.22	46	\$7.53	0.07	116	62.5%	4.38	90	3.56	100
175	<i>Southampton</i>	54.7%	5.89	62	\$8.74	3.08	39	90.0%	8.50	4	5.82	21
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	60.3%	6.50	36	\$8.67	2.90	46	69.4%	5.41	68	4.94	56
179	<i>Stafford</i>	52.2%	5.63	74	\$9.49	4.94	12	82.6%	7.39	18	5.99	13
181	<i>Surry</i>	57.1%	6.15	51	\$9.31	4.49	16	60.0%	4.00	94	4.88	57
183	<i>Sussex</i>	41.3%	4.45	108	\$8.32	2.03	76	80.0%	7.00	22	4.50	71
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	55.8%	6.01	58	\$8.07	1.41	94	73.3%	6.00	48	4.47	72
187	<i>Warren</i>	72.5%	7.81	6	\$8.07	1.41	95	71.4%	5.71	60	4.98	54
191	<i>Washington</i>	48.7%	5.24	97	\$7.79	0.72	112	57.9%	3.68	96	3.21	105
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	49.2%	5.30	95	\$7.93	1.07	106	86.7%	8.00	8	4.79	59
195	<i>Wise</i>	50.0%	5.38	91	\$7.95	1.12	105	64.6%	4.68	84	3.73	99
197	<i>Wythe</i>	55.9%	6.02	57	\$7.79	0.72	113	57.1%	3.57	99	3.44	101
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	54.1%	5.82	65	\$9.05	3.85	25	90.3%	8.55	3	6.07	11
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	49.5%	5.33	93	\$11.53	10.00	1	86.2%	7.93	9	7.75	1
520	<i>Bristol</i>	52.0%	5.60	75	\$7.97	1.17	103	53.6%	3.04	101	3.27	103
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	63.7%	6.86	23	\$8.94	3.57	31	76.0%	6.41	40	5.61	24
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	60.4%	6.50	35	\$8.65	2.85	50	71.0%	5.65	62	5.00	49
590	<i>Danville</i>	43.1%	4.64	106	\$7.86	0.89	109	59.0%	3.86	95	3.13	109
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	48.7%	5.25	96	\$8.12	1.54	91	36.0%	0.40	114	2.40	116
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	61.0%	6.56	32	\$8.81	3.25	36	78.8%	6.81	28	5.54	30
640	<i>Galax</i>	46.7%	5.03	102	\$8.06	1.39	97	80.0%	7.00	23	4.47	73
650	<i>Hampton</i>	58.8%	6.33	42	\$8.38	2.18	70	71.2%	5.67	61	4.73	62
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	53.8%	5.79	67	\$8.85	3.35	33	63.0%	4.45	88	4.53	70
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	56.0%	6.04	56	\$8.01	1.27	101	65.8%	4.87	81	4.06	93
683	<i>Manassas</i>	56.3%	6.06	54	\$10.39	7.17	7	76.7%	6.50	38	6.58	4
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	31.3%	3.37	116	\$10.26	6.85	8	50.0%	2.50	106	4.24	85
700	<i>Newport News</i>	54.5%	5.87	63	\$8.42	2.28	65	67.2%	5.07	76	4.41	78
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	59.1%	6.37	39	\$8.30	1.99	77	78.0%	6.70	30	5.02	48
720	<i>Norton</i>	73.5%	7.92	4	\$7.84	0.84	110	69.2%	5.38	70	4.72	63
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	60.1%	6.47	37	\$8.54	2.58	58	67.8%	5.16	74	4.74	61
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	53.8%	5.79	68	\$8.40	2.23	68	68.3%	5.24	72	4.42	77
750	<i>Radford</i>	70.4%	7.58	8	\$8.42	2.28	66	70.3%	5.54	65	5.14	42
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	53.7%	5.78	69	\$8.47	2.41	62	73.0%	5.95	51	4.71	64
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	51.6%	5.56	77	\$8.41	2.26	67	65.5%	4.82	82	4.21	86
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	54.1%	5.83	64	\$8.36	2.13	74	66.7%	5.00	80	4.32	81
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	55.3%	5.95	60	\$8.61	2.75	55	75.3%	6.30	42	5.00	50
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	67.6%	7.28	13	\$8.99	3.70	28	80.0%	7.00	24	5.99	12
840	<i>Winchester</i>	57.5%	6.20	48	\$8.57	2.66	57	70.4%	5.56	64	4.80	58

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores is done to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

VIEW Rankings, From Inception of VIEW

This section lists the relative cumulative rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Monthly Earnings, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	51.0%	1.89	117	\$874	3.05	93	65.0%	5.41	50	3.45	110
003	Albemarle	71.3%	7.28	14	\$1,025	6.00	19	58.5%	3.78	90	5.69	30
005	Alleghany/Covington	69.3%	6.76	31	\$858	2.75	99	58.5%	3.80	89	4.44	80
007	Amelia	61.4%	4.65	84	\$979	5.11	37	60.7%	4.35	74	4.70	68
009	Amherst	65.9%	5.87	55	\$907	3.70	76	59.8%	4.12	80	4.56	74
011	Appomattox	70.5%	7.09	20	\$896	3.49	81	55.1%	2.94	106	4.51	79
013	Arlington	71.1%	7.24	15	\$1,077	7.01	8	68.9%	6.39	23	6.88	6
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	67.2%	6.19	45	\$975	5.02	41	66.3%	5.74	40	5.65	33
017	Bath	60.4%	4.38	87	\$717	0.00	120	61.3%	4.49	71	2.96	115
019	Bedford County/City	65.1%	5.65	61	\$880	3.17	89	60.2%	4.21	78	4.34	84
021	Bland	58.4%	3.87	100	\$905	3.66	79	56.0%	3.18	104	3.57	105
023	Botetourt	43.9%	0.00	120	\$960	4.73	50	53.3%	2.48	111	2.41	119
025	Brunswick	57.6%	3.64	106	\$846	2.51	110	66.4%	5.77	37	3.97	96
027	Buchanan	53.7%	2.60	113	\$857	2.73	101	64.5%	5.30	52	3.54	107
029	Buckingham	57.7%	3.66	105	\$984	5.20	34	58.9%	3.90	85	4.25	88
031	Campbell	68.5%	6.54	37	\$917	3.90	73	62.8%	4.86	62	5.10	57
033	Caroline	62.1%	4.85	80	\$986	5.24	33	59.0%	3.91	83	4.66	71
035	Carroll	67.3%	6.23	44	\$847	2.54	108	54.5%	2.80	108	3.86	99
036	Charles City	57.6%	3.64	107	\$973	4.98	43	51.7%	2.09	114	3.57	106
037	Charlotte	52.0%	2.16	116	\$941	4.36	61	58.8%	3.88	86	3.47	109
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	63.2%	5.13	72	\$1,053	6.54	11	68.8%	6.38	24	6.01	22
043	Clarke	58.2%	3.79	102	\$1,030	6.10	16	58.3%	3.75	92	4.55	77
045	Craig	47.0%	0.81	119	\$1,025	6.00	18	62.1%	4.69	67	3.83	100
047	Culpeper	64.8%	5.56	64	\$993	5.37	31	66.1%	5.70	42	5.54	37
049	Cumberland	57.9%	3.73	103	\$973	4.98	42	57.4%	3.52	102	4.07	93
051	Dickenson	60.1%	4.32	88	\$851	2.60	107	54.9%	2.90	107	3.27	111
053	Dinwiddie	66.1%	5.92	54	\$998	5.47	30	58.0%	3.67	97	5.02	58
057	Essex	67.5%	6.29	43	\$891	3.39	84	49.5%	1.54	118	3.74	102
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	66.8%	6.09	50	\$1,183	9.07	3	69.7%	6.58	17	7.25	5
061	Fauquier	69.4%	6.78	30	\$1,049	6.46	12	68.4%	6.27	28	6.50	11
063	Floyd	64.6%	5.50	68	\$958	4.69	52	53.7%	2.60	110	4.26	87
065	Fluvanna	62.3%	4.89	78	\$1,059	6.67	10	62.8%	4.87	61	5.48	39
067	Franklin County	61.8%	4.75	83	\$965	4.84	47	58.1%	3.70	96	4.43	81
069	Frederick	58.8%	3.95	97	\$1,032	6.14	15	60.4%	4.27	77	4.79	66
071	Giles	57.5%	3.61	108	\$964	4.82	48	52.1%	2.18	113	3.54	108
073	Gloucester	65.1%	5.64	62	\$853	2.65	105	57.7%	3.60	99	3.96	98
075	Goochland	65.5%	5.74	56	\$948	4.51	57	63.8%	5.11	56	5.12	55
077	Grayson	64.6%	5.50	67	\$865	2.89	97	60.5%	4.29	75	4.23	90

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	74.0%	8.02	5	\$921	3.98	68	56.6%	3.33	103	5.11	56
081	Greensville/Emporia	60.5%	4.43	86	\$853	2.66	104	64.5%	5.29	53	4.12	91
083	Halifax	55.3%	3.03	112	\$861	2.80	98	58.4%	3.77	91	3.20	112
085	Hanover	65.3%	5.70	57	\$1,066	6.80	9	66.6%	5.81	36	6.10	18
087	Henrico	67.9%	6.38	41	\$1,028	6.06	17	65.9%	5.64	45	6.03	20
089	Henry/Martinsville	64.0%	5.35	71	\$945	4.43	58	63.8%	5.12	55	4.97	60
091	Highland	75.0%	8.28	4	\$784	1.30	118	83.3%	10.00	1	6.53	9
093	Isle of Wight	66.9%	6.12	48	\$921	3.97	70	59.3%	3.99	81	4.69	69
095	James City	68.9%	6.66	32	\$934	4.22	62	57.9%	3.64	98	4.84	65
097	King and Queen	53.2%	2.46	114	\$877	3.12	92	44.6%	0.31	119	1.96	120
099	King George	58.7%	3.93	98	\$944	4.42	60	58.2%	3.71	95	4.02	94
101	King William	59.4%	4.13	90	\$1,005	5.61	26	59.0%	3.91	84	4.55	76
103	Lancaster	67.0%	6.14	47	\$874	3.05	94	50.2%	1.73	115	3.64	103
105	Lee	65.2%	5.67	58	\$814	1.89	117	72.0%	7.17	9	4.91	61
107	Loudoun	75.9%	8.53	3	\$1,230	10.00	1	72.0%	7.18	8	8.57	1
109	Louisa	63.0%	5.09	75	\$991	5.33	32	64.1%	5.20	54	5.20	48
111	Lunenburg	49.3%	1.43	118	\$847	2.53	109	59.9%	4.13	79	2.70	116
113	Madison	59.2%	4.06	93	\$1,007	5.65	24	57.7%	3.58	101	4.43	82
115	Mathews	67.9%	6.39	40	\$907	3.69	77	71.6%	7.06	10	5.71	29
117	Mecklenburg	65.2%	5.66	60	\$857	2.72	102	66.0%	5.68	44	4.69	70
119	Middlesex	70.0%	6.95	23	\$918	3.91	71	72.1%	7.18	7	6.02	21
121	Montgomery	77.7%	9.01	2	\$959	4.71	51	63.1%	4.94	60	6.22	16
125	Nelson	60.8%	4.50	85	\$1,018	5.87	22	65.3%	5.50	49	5.29	46
127	New Kent	56.8%	3.42	110	\$1,042	6.34	13	70.8%	6.87	13	5.54	36
131	Northampton	65.2%	5.66	59	\$858	2.74	100	79.8%	9.13	2	5.84	25
133	Northumberland	56.4%	3.32	111	\$868	2.94	95	49.7%	1.59	117	2.61	118
135	Nottoway	66.9%	6.11	49	\$928	4.11	65	69.4%	6.51	20	5.58	35
137	Orange	71.5%	7.34	12	\$978	5.09	39	61.0%	4.42	73	5.62	34
139	Page	68.8%	6.63	34	\$1,004	5.59	28	59.3%	3.98	82	5.40	43
141	Patrick	67.1%	6.19	46	\$840	2.39	114	73.8%	7.63	6	5.40	44
143	Pittsylvania	52.8%	2.36	115	\$878	3.14	91	58.3%	3.73	93	3.08	114
145	Powhatan	57.2%	3.55	109	\$1,006	5.63	25	62.2%	4.72	65	4.63	73
147	Prince Edward	70.7%	7.13	17	\$908	3.73	74	68.6%	6.32	27	5.73	28
149	Prince George	59.5%	4.15	89	\$1,024	5.98	20	58.7%	3.83	88	4.66	72
153	Prince William	71.7%	7.40	11	\$1,205	9.51	2	70.3%	6.74	14	7.88	2
155	Pulaski	63.1%	5.11	73	\$956	4.66	53	53.2%	2.47	112	4.08	92
157	Rappahannock	59.0%	4.01	95	\$977	5.06	40	50.0%	1.67	116	3.58	104
159	Richmond County	57.7%	3.66	104	\$944	4.43	59	43.3%	0.00	120	2.70	117
161	Roanoke County	73.7%	7.94	7	\$1,040	6.29	14	58.2%	3.72	94	5.98	23
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	70.7%	7.14	16	\$879	3.15	90	68.0%	6.16	30	5.48	38
165	Rockingham/H'burg	73.6%	7.90	8	\$1,005	5.61	27	67.6%	6.07	33	6.52	10
167	Russell	59.4%	4.12	91	\$866	2.90	96	66.3%	5.74	39	4.25	89
169	Scott	58.5%	3.88	99	\$895	3.47	83	75.7%	8.10	5	5.15	53
171	Shenandoah	59.1%	4.04	94	\$960	4.74	49	69.7%	6.58	18	5.12	54

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	Smyth	58.8%	3.98	96	\$882	3.21	88	62.3%	4.73	64	3.97	97
175	Southampton	58.4%	3.86	101	\$853	2.64	106	54.5%	2.79	109	3.10	113
177	Spotsylvania	68.2%	6.47	38	\$1,023	5.96	21	66.1%	5.68	43	6.04	19
179	Stafford	59.3%	4.09	92	\$1,145	8.33	4	68.2%	6.23	29	6.22	17
181	Surry	70.1%	6.97	21	\$906	3.68	78	55.3%	2.98	105	4.54	78
183	Sussex	64.5%	5.48	69	\$969	4.91	45	62.0%	4.66	68	5.02	59
185	Tazewell	66.5%	6.01	53	\$826	2.12	115	65.5%	5.55	47	4.56	75
187	Warren	68.7%	6.61	35	\$1,018	5.86	23	69.6%	6.58	19	6.35	13
191	Washington	61.9%	4.79	81	\$902	3.60	80	57.7%	3.59	100	3.99	95
193	Westmoreland	63.0%	5.09	74	\$927	4.09	67	65.0%	5.41	51	4.86	63
195	Wise	62.3%	4.89	79	\$844	2.48	111	66.3%	5.75	38	4.37	83
197	Wythe	66.7%	6.07	51	\$857	2.72	103	66.7%	5.84	35	4.88	62
199	York/Poquoson	61.9%	4.79	82	\$908	3.71	75	60.5%	4.29	76	4.26	86
510	Alexandria	68.6%	6.58	36	\$1,115	7.76	6	78.0%	8.66	3	7.67	3
520	Bristol	67.8%	6.37	42	\$883	3.23	87	62.0%	4.66	69	4.75	67
540	Charlottesville	81.5%	10.00	1	\$929	4.13	64	66.2%	5.72	41	6.62	8
550	Chesapeake	71.4%	7.33	13	\$951	4.56	55	63.7%	5.10	58	5.66	31
590	Danville	62.4%	4.94	76	\$820	2.01	116	61.3%	4.49	72	3.81	101
620	Franklin City	64.6%	5.52	66	\$843	2.46	113	63.3%	5.00	59	4.33	85
630	Fredericksburg	74.0%	8.00	6	\$1,004	5.59	29	65.6%	5.58	46	6.39	12
640	Galax	62.3%	4.90	77	\$887	3.31	85	68.7%	6.33	26	4.84	64
650	Hampton	69.9%	6.93	25	\$932	4.19	63	63.7%	5.10	57	5.41	42
670	Hopewell	68.1%	6.43	39	\$956	4.66	54	62.6%	4.82	63	5.30	45
680	Lynchburg	69.8%	6.90	28	\$844	2.47	112	67.8%	6.12	31	5.16	51
683	Manassas	64.3%	5.44	70	\$1,137	8.17	5	69.7%	6.58	16	6.73	7
685	Manassas Park	66.6%	6.04	52	\$1,108	7.62	7	76.5%	8.30	4	7.32	4
700	Newport News	69.8%	6.90	27	\$949	4.53	56	68.8%	6.36	25	5.93	24
710	Norfolk	69.9%	6.91	26	\$884	3.25	86	67.6%	6.08	32	5.41	41
720	Norton	73.3%	7.84	9	\$762	0.88	119	70.9%	6.89	12	5.20	49
730	Petersburg	70.7%	7.13	18	\$984	5.20	35	69.9%	6.63	15	6.32	14
740	Portsmouth	70.6%	7.11	19	\$917	3.90	72	69.0%	6.41	22	5.81	26
750	Radford	70.0%	6.95	24	\$927	4.09	66	61.6%	4.56	70	5.20	50
760	Richmond City	70.1%	6.96	22	\$969	4.91	44	71.4%	7.02	11	6.30	15
770	Roanoke City	64.7%	5.55	65	\$984	5.20	36	62.2%	4.71	66	5.15	52
800	Suffolk	72.8%	7.69	10	\$895	3.48	82	67.5%	6.04	34	5.73	27
810	Virginia Beach	65.0%	5.63	63	\$968	4.89	46	69.1%	6.44	21	5.65	32
830	Williamsburg	69.5%	6.81	29	\$921	3.97	69	65.4%	5.52	48	5.43	40
840	Winchester	68.9%	6.66	33	\$979	5.10	38	58.8%	3.86	87	5.21	47

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores was begun in May 1999 to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

State Summary July 1, 2014 – May 31, 2015

	State	ED 1	ED 2	ED 3	ED 4	ED 5	ED 6	ED 7	ED 8	ED 9	ED 10	ED 11	ED 12	ED 13	ED 14	ED 15	ED 16	ED 17	ED 18
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>																			
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	15,052	741	520	1,165	452	218	1,675	172	403	627	659	524	2,012	641	203	1,836	562	2,512	130
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	92%	97%	97%	96%	96%	92%	83%	90%	96%	98%	95%	89%	90%	84%	87%	97%	89%	92%	92%
% Timely in May 2015	94%	97%	100%	98%	100%	92%	86%	93%	92%	100%	98%	90%	94%	75%	93%	98%	85%	97%	91%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>																			
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	11,067	571	390	823	344	157	1,189	117	292	457	483	414	1,524	470	147	1,293	383	1,914	99
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	95%	100%	98%	96%	98%	98%	92%	91%	98%	96%	97%	97%	92%	96%	95%	94%	95%	95%	90%
Percent in May 2015	95%	100%	100%	96%	95%	100%	91%	81%	100%	100%	96%	100%	93%	98%	100%	96%	100%	93%	85%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>																			
# Over 30 Days	134	1	0	0	0	0	71	6	4	0	0	1	15	16	4	0	13	3	0
Average # of Days Over 30	66	7	0	0	0	0	91	128	20	0	0	7	45	23	25	0	26	14	0

EDD1 – Southwest Region: July 1, 2014 - May 31, 2015

	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2014		741	66	25	143	81	97	114	20
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014		97%	94%	100%	99%	98%	97%	95%	85%
% Timely in May 2015		97%	86%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	50%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014		571	48	18	114	68	74	92	13
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014		100%	100%	100%	100%	99%	100%	100%	92%
Percent in May 2015		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>									
# Over 30 Days		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30		7	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

EDD2 - Bristol-Galax Region: July 1, 2014 - May 31, 2015

	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	520	9	59	22	107	98	45	164	16
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	97%	100%	98%	100%	100%	94%	87%	98%	94%
% Timely in May 2015	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	390	9	45	14	90	68	36	121	7
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	98%	100%	98%	100%	97%	100%	100%	99%	86%
Percent in May 2015	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD3 - Roanoke Region: July 1, 2014 - May 31, 2015

	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covington	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹												
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	1,165	56	12	6	12	122	12	129	72	137	52	555
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	96%	100%	100%	100%	100%	97%	100%	98%	100%	100%	90%	93%
% Timely in May 2015	98%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	96%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²												
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	823	39	7	6	11	92	8	91	52	85	39	393
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	96%	100%	100%	33%	100%	93%	100%	99%	98%	93%	95%	97%
Percent in May 2015	96%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	83%	95%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴												
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: July 1, 2014 - May 31, 2015

	<i>Total EDD4</i>	<i>Aug/S'ton /W'boro</i>	<i>Bath</i>	<i>Highland</i>	<i>Rockbridge/ BV/Lex</i>	<i>Rockingham/ HBurg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	452	267	1	0	21	163
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	96%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	88%
% Timely in May 2015	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	344	205	0	0	17	122
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	98%	99%	N/A	N/A	82%	99%
Percent in May 2015	95%	96%	N/A	N/A	50%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD5 & EDD18 - Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: July 1, 2014 - May 31, 2015

	<i>Total EDD5</i>	<i>Clarke</i>	<i>Frederick</i>	<i>Page</i>	<i>Shen.</i>	<i>Warren</i>	<i>Winchester</i>	<i>Total EDD18</i>	<i>Accomack</i>	<i>Nhampton</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹										
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	218	5	28	41	33	55	56	130	93	37
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	92%	100%	96%	95%	82%	89%	95%	92%	94%	86%
% Timely in May 2015	92%	N/A	100%	75%	100%	100%	100%	91%	89%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	157	4	21	31	21	40	40	99	70	29
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	93%	90%	96%	76%
Percent in May 2015	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	85%	88%	80%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴										
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: July 1, 2014 - May 31, 2015

	<i>Total EDD6</i>	<i>Arlington</i>	<i>Fairfax</i>	<i>Loudoun</i>	<i>Prince William</i>	<i>Alexandria</i>	<i>Manassas</i>	<i>Manassas Park</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹								
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	1,675	110	603	164	454	268	64	12
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	83%	96%	97%	91%	50%	98%	84%	58%
% Timely in May 2015	86%	100%	98%	100%	57%	93%	100%	0%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²								
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	1,189	81	448	127	295	185	45	8
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	92%	100%	96%	94%	83%	88%	96%	100%
Percent in May 2015	91%	100%	95%	88%	88%	81%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴								
# Over 30 Days	71	0	0	0	68	2	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	91	0	0	0	94	5	0	1

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: July 1, 2014 - May 31, 2015

	<i>Total EDD7</i>	<i>Culpeper</i>	<i>Fauquier</i>	<i>Madison</i>	<i>Orange</i>	<i>Rapp.</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	172	59	58	14	39	2
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	90%	97%	93%	100%	72%	50%
% Timely in May 2015	93%	100%	100%	N/A	N/A	0%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	117	37	41	13	26	0
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	91%	100%	100%	100%	58%	N/A
Percent in May 2015	81%	100%	100%	100%	40%	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	6	0	0	0	5	1
Average # of Days Over 30	128	0	0	0	149	23

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: July 1, 2014 - May 31, 2015

	<i>Total EDD8</i>	<i>Albemarle</i>	<i>Fluvanna</i>	<i>Greene</i>	<i>Louisa</i>	<i>Nelson</i>	<i>Cville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	403	93	23	30	68	25	164
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	96%	98%	70%	87%	97%	100%	98%
% Timely in May 2015	92%	100%	100%	60%	88%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	292	74	18	17	48	19	116
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	98%	99%	94%	94%	100%	95%	99%
Percent in May 2015	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	4	0	0	3	1	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	20	0	0	19	21	0	0

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: July 1, 2014 - May 31, 2015

	<i>Total EDD9</i>	<i>Amherst</i>	<i>Appom.</i>	<i>Bedford</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Lynchburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	627	47	45	81	152	302
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	98%	98%	91%	98%	100%	97%
% Timely in May 2015	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	457	33	36	58	114	216
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	96%	97%	100%	97%	91%	97%
Percent in May 2015	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD10 - Danville Region: July 1, 2014 - May 31, 2015

	<i>Total EDD10</i>	<i>Halifax</i>	<i>Henry/ Martinsville</i>	<i>Patrick</i>	<i>Pittsylvania</i>	<i>Danville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	659	78	227	59	85	210
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	95%	88%	91%	97%	99%	100%
% Timely in May 2015	98%	88%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	483	63	165	48	60	147
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	97%	98%	97%	98%	100%	94%
Percent in May 2015	96%	83%	100%	100%	100%	95%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD11 – Southside Region: July 1, 2014 - May 31, 2015

	<i>Total EDD11</i>	<i>Amelia</i>	<i>Brunswick</i>	<i>Buckingham</i>	<i>Charlotte</i>	<i>Cumberland</i>	<i>Gr'nsville Emporia</i>	<i>Lunenburg</i>	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	<i>Nottoway</i>	<i>Pr. Edward</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹											
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	524	23	82	40	49	22	83	26	65	58	76
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	89%	100%	94%	100%	96%	100%	42%	92%	95%	100%	100%
% Timely in May 2015	90%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	50%	100%	89%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²											
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	414	19	66	30	45	14	63	20	49	49	59
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	93%	89%	95%	98%	98%	98%
Percent in May 2015	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴											
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	7	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0

EDD12 - Richmond Region: July 1, 2014 - May 31, 2015

	<i>Total EDD12</i>	<i>Chesterfield/ Col Hgts</i>	<i>Goochland</i>	<i>Hanover</i>	<i>Henrico</i>	<i>Powhatan</i>	<i>Richmond City</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	2,012	362	21	56	642	23	908
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	90%	95%	100%	91%	85%	100%	91%
% Timely in May 2015	94%	97%	100%	100%	97%	N/A	90%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	1,524	288	17	43	472	20	684
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	92%	94%	100%	86%	89%	95%	94%
Percent in May 2015	93%	86%	100%	100%	93%	100%	94%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	15	0	0	0	1	0	14
Average # of Days Over 30	45	0	0	0	9	0	47

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: July 1, 2014 - May 31, 2015

	<i>Total EDD13</i>	<i>Caroline</i>	<i>King George</i>	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	<i>Stafford</i>	<i>Fredericksburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	641	75	42	210	172	142
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	84%	83%	100%	80%	76%	94%
% Timely in May 2015	75%	100%	100%	36%	92%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	470	55	28	154	122	111
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	96%	98%	96%	96%	96%	95%
Percent in May 2015	98%	100%	100%	100%	95%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	16	2	0	14	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	23	102	0	12	0	0

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: July 1, 2014 - May 31, 2015

	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North- umberland	Richmond County	West- moreland
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹										
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	203	42	20	18	15	6	28	20	17	37
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	87%	100%	55%	94%	93%	100%	93%	95%	82%	76%
% Timely in May 2015	93%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	78%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	147	31	18	13	9	6	24	13	8	25
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	95%	100%	83%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	88%	84%
Percent in May 2015	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴										
# Over 30 Days	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0
Average # of Days Over 30	25	0	0	0	0	0	36	0	14	0

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: July 1, 2014 - May 31, 2015

	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	1,836	9	72	90	12	68	572	984	29
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	97%	78%	92%	99%	67%	93%	99%	97%	100%
% Timely in May 2015	98%	100%	80%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	97%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	1,293	7	52	65	11	46	398	694	20
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	94%	100%	98%	83%	45%	96%	97%	94%	100%
Percent in May 2015	96%	N/A	100%	86%	N/A	100%	97%	95%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: July 1, 2014 - May 31, 2015

	<i>Total EDD16</i>	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	<i>Prince George</i>	<i>Surry</i>	<i>Sussex</i>	<i>Hopewell</i>	<i>Petersburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	562	36	65	17	39	158	247
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	89%	97%	89%	94%	95%	89%	86%
% Timely in May 2015	85%	100%	67%	100%	100%	94%	82%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	383	24	45	14	28	111	161
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	95%	100%	100%	100%	93%	96%	91%
Percent in May 2015	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	13	0	6	0	0	0	7
Average # of Days Over 30	26	0	16	0	0	0	34

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: July 1, 2014 - May 31, 2015

	<i>Total EDD17</i>	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	<i>South- hampton</i>	<i>Chesapeake</i>	<i>Franklin City</i>	<i>Norfolk</i>	<i>Portsmouth</i>	<i>Suffolk</i>	<i>VA Beach</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2014	2,512	52	33	447	66	766	499	199	450
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2014	92%	94%	100%	91%	100%	87%	95%	93%	96%
% Timely in May 2015	97%	75%	100%	98%	100%	93%	98%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2014	1,914	42	26	334	55	604	377	156	320
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2014	95%	98%	100%	97%	100%	92%	97%	99%	96%
Percent in May 2015	93%	100%	100%	90%	100%	88%	94%	100%	93%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	3	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	14	11	0	0	0	16	0	0	0

Child Support Enforcement - Statewide Totals

Collections

	<i>May-14</i>	<i>May-15</i>	<i>% Change</i>
TANF Cases Collected	15,722	15,326	-2.5%
NTANF Cases Collected	135,077	134,318	-0.6%
Total Cases Collected	150,799	149,644	-0.8%
TANF Collections	\$4,199,598	\$4,193,366	-0.1%
NTANF Collections	\$54,946,435	\$54,810,982	-0.2%
Total Collections	\$59,146,033	\$59,004,348	-0.2%
SFY YTD Collections	\$609,663,491	\$606,694,000	-0.5%
SFY YTD Average Collection	\$377.00	\$377.36	0.1%

Paternities Established

	<i>May-15</i>
TANF Paternities	127
NTANF Paternities	248
Combined Paternities	375

Diversionsary Assistance

May Diversionsary Assistance Cases

Locality	Number of Cases		Locality	Number of Cases
Amelia	2		Shenandoah	8
Amherst	1		Spotsylvania	4
Augusta / Staunt'n / W'boro	6		Warren	2
Bedford	1		Westmoreland	1
Campbell	1		York / Poquoson	1
Chesterfield/Colonial Hgts	2		Charlottesville	3
Craig	1		Chesapeake	3
Fauquier	1		Franklin City	2
Frederick	2		Fredericksburg	1
Greene	1		Hampton	3
Halifax	1		Hopewell	4
Hanover	2		Lynchburg	1
Henrico	5		Newport News	5
Henry / Martinsville	1		Norfolk	8
Loudoun	1		Portsmouth	1
Louisa	1		Richmond City	1
Lunenburg	1		Roanoke City	4
Nottoway	3		Suffolk	9
Page	1		Virginia Beach	6
Pulaski	1		Winchester	2
Roanoke County	4		Statewide	108

Definitions of Selected Terms Contained in Report

Average Monthly Earnings. This is the average monthly earnings of the unsubsidized jobs that participants obtained. The value is calculated by multiplying the hourly wage by the weekly hours worked by 4.3 average weeks per month. For the cumulative figures the initial wage and initial hours are used. For the current figures, the initial wage and most current hours are used, except for the November 2001 report which used initial hours. Earlier than June 1999, wage changes that were entered overwrote the initial wage, so prior to that date, the cumulative figures used the initial hours and the last wage entered. With the advent of the VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) program in October 2006 the end of month average monthly earnings might be expected to decline somewhat because prior to VTP, cases with high earnings might remain open for job follow-up, but after the implementation of VTP the VIEW case would be closed when the TANF case was closed and a VTP case opened. VTP cases are not included in this report except for estimating the participation rate.

Average Wage. The average of the wages reported for each occurrence of unsubsidized employment. After June 1999 the wage is the wage at hiring, that is, the initial wage. Prior to June 1999, that wage may have been updated if changed during employment. After the implementation of the VIEW Transitional Payment program the end of month point in time average wage might be expected to decline some for the same reason mentioned in the explanation of possible decline in Average Monthly earnings, above. See note 6 on page 44.

Cases Closed with Employment. These are TANF or TANF-UP cases that are closed with an indication in the data base of employment at the date of closure. It does not mean that the case necessarily closed because of employment. For example, a TANF case may have an indication of closing at the client's request, along with indication of existing employment in VIEW. That case is counted as closed with employment.

EDD. This stands for Economic Development District. EDDs were developed during the administration of Governor Allen. They generally are no longer in use. This report continues to refer to them because VIEW was phased in by EDD and their use provides consistency over time.

Enrolled. Unduplicated number by locality of TANF recipients who were interviewed, received an individual assessment, signed the Agreement of Personal Responsibility, and enrolled in the VIEW program. Because the count is by locality, a recipient may be counted once in more than one locality. The fiscal year to date numbers include those who were enrolled at the beginning of the fiscal year as well as those enrolled during the year. There are clients enrolled in VIEW who have had their TANF case closed because VIEW policy requires that the enrollment remain open for job follow-up after employment unless the employment meets federal work participation guidelines, in which case the VIEW case is closed and a VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) case is opened.

Full Time Employment. Employment at 30 hours a week or greater.

Participants Assigned to Inactive. Inactive is a status assigned to participants who cannot participate in the VIEW program for reasons other than being exempt. Examples are unavailability of child care, unavailability of transportation, and mental or medical problems that make participation impractical. Inactive participants are due to be reviewed each month. While in inactive status, the 24 month time limit for TANF benefits is suspended.

Participants Employed. Total number of participants by locality who obtained an unsubsidized job, either full time or part time. If employed more than one time, they are still only counted once, but may be counted in more than one locality. Also, these participants may have left their job and not gotten another one. For the year to date numbers, those employed at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first became employed in the fiscal year.

Participants in a Work Activity. Unduplicated count by locality of persons who have been in any of the following components: unsubsidized employment, full employment program (FEP), on-the-job training (OJT), community work experience program (CWEP), public service program (PSP), vocational education and training, and job search/job readiness. For example, a person who was in community work experience and then employed would be counted only once.

For the year to date numbers, those in a work activity at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first entered a work activity in the fiscal year. Note that this is a revised definition of work activity from reports through October 2006. The revised definition captures the activities that count toward the first 20 hours of federal participation requirements – the core work activities. Clients who are counted as being in a core work activity must meet additional participation rate requirements in order to be included in the work participation rate, and only VIEW clients are counted here, not all work eligible TANF clients **Thus, these percentages in a work activity are quite different from the percentages in the TANF work participation rate.**

Part Time Employment. Employment at fewer than 30 weekly hours.

Referred. Unduplicated number of TANF recipients by locality who were initially evaluated as eligible for VIEW and had their names referred to the automated VIEW list for participation.

Relationship Between TANF and VIEW Participation. On the chart at page 5a, the column heading “Total Adults in the Case” includes adults who are not considered to be part of the assistance unit. For example, a mother receiving SSI may be in the case, but because she receives SSI is not considered eligible for TANF and thus is not part of the assistance unit. Persons such as she who are not in the assistance unit are not eligible to participate in VIEW. Also included are adults who are part of the assistance unit but exempt from VIEW

Five Months Employment. In earlier reports, this represented the percent of all participants that were employed 150 days after their first employment. Participants who were employed in any job 150 days after their first employment were counted in this measure.. This measure became unavailable after July 1, 2011. See note 9 on page 44.

Three Months Employment. This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 90 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 90 days after their first employment are counted in this measure. For year to date percents, the calculations are based on the first employment that began one year before the end of the report month. That employment may not have been the first ever for the participant. The year to date percents were calculated differently in reports before September 2004. Also for year to date percents, transferred cases were not counted for months after the January 2002 report.

TANF / TANF-UP Payment Cases. Up to November 2003 these counts were taken from a monthly report with no adjustment. After that, the monthly counts included some cases that were being paid because child support payments had been received and were being passed on. These counts were adjusted to mostly eliminate those additional cases. Further adjustment may be appropriate. It should also be noted that the counts include both strictly TANF cases and MOE cases for some years. With the end of waiver provisions in July 2003, a substantial part of the caseload was placed in a state program and funded with MOE funds. This change in July 2003 was a change in funding, not a change in eligibility requirements or case payment amounts. It ended in September 2006 when VIEW transitional payments (VTP) were introduced. Adjustments have been made to take out VTP cases. Also, TANF-UP cases are currently funded with only state monies.

VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). Beginning in October 2006, VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) were introduced. These are payments of \$50 per client per month for one year to VIEW clients that leave “regular” TANF with employment sufficient to meet Federal work participation requirements. The employment must be maintained to be eligible for VTP. Previously, VTP clients normally would have remained VIEW clients for five or six months of job-follow-up. Thus, the VTP program has had a diminishing effect on some of the statistics in this report such as end of month percent employed and average wage. See graph on page 5a.

Time That Data Represent. The data in the top sections of page 4 and pages 8 – 24 are point in time data as of the date indicated. The year to date section represents state fiscal year to date data, with the exception of three month retention (see above). The bottom section is cumulative from when the locality began VIEW.

General Statement About Accuracy. The report is based on an extract from the Employment Services automated system. The extract is normally made the night of the first work day after the end of the report month. Data that staff of local social services agencies enter into the automated system after the time of the extract are not included in the report, even though the activity reflected actually occurred in the report month. However, that data, and the activity reflected, are included in the reports for subsequent months. Further, because the data are entered by staff in the 120 localities, the accuracy of the data in the data base is, of course, subject to inadvertent error.


- ¹ Applications are not necessarily acted upon in the month that they are received. Also, applications may be transferred from regular TANF to TANF-UP and vice versa as they are processed. November applications are being reviewed for accuracy.
- ² These TANF cases paid counts are prior to possible adjustment. See definition of payment cases. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes.
- ³ VIEW enrolled counts do not include VTP clients
- ⁴ A case may be open in more than one locality during the time period.
- ⁵ Prior to June 1999, when individuals were transferred from one locality to another, their history was transferred as well. This may have an effect on a locality's cumulative VIEW statistics.
- ⁶ The minimum wage rose from \$6.55 to \$7.25 an hour on 7/24/09. The report reflects initial wages so some effect on wages in the report was delayed. The average wage includes full and part time, unless otherwise indicated. See the definition of average wage on page 42.
- ⁷ Includes full and part time earnings.
- ⁸ This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed three months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed three months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous. See definition on page 43 for the revised method of calculating the year to date percentages.
- ⁹ Until the July 2011 report, this was employed after five months. However, on July 1, 2011 the number of required job follow-ups was reduced to three. Thus, data for five month job retention became unavailable.
- ¹⁰ Number includes TANF-UP and represents cases carried over to the next month. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes, but with the advent of VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) such occurrences were reduced from earlier months.
- ¹¹ Enrollment is timely if done within 30 days of referral in previous month of the state fiscal year. The monthly percent includes evaluation of clients waiting in the queue. The year to date does not.
- ¹² Placement in a work activity on the 95th day after referral was a measure of timeliness before Oct 1, 2006. The revised definition of work activity has increased the percentage from reports before November 2006. Clients whose cases have closed before the 95th day are excluded, so 100% is an attainable mark.
- ¹⁴ As of the morning of May 1, 2015. Some referrals to the waiting list are not appropriate; there may be considerable lag time in correcting them.

This report is available on the Department of Social Services web site at:
http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial_assistance/tanf.cgi

The scores in the VIEW Rankings are determined by placing a locality on a scale of zero to ten in the same relative position as its place in the difference between the high and low of the measure in question. This converts all measures to a similar scale and provides a more equitable way to combine the ratings in each measure to determine a combined rank. (Questions, please call 804 726-7618.)

Comments on the format or content of the report and requests for Excel files for portions of current and past reports may be sent by e-mail to: william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov.

TANF and TANF-UP Cases Paid at Six Month Intervals, except last month June 1995 through May 2015




This graph illustrates the dramatic decline of the TANF caseload as VIEW was implemented. There was some sign of slowing in the rate of decline after June 1998. Nevertheless, wide community involvement, a strong economy, and the effect of the 24 month rule allowed the caseload to continue to fall until it was near the 30,000 level. There was little net change in the caseload from June 2000 to June 2002, but some upward movement was seen after June 2002, until 2005. The more recent trends are presumed to be a result of economic conditions. The caseload leveled off after recession spurred growth and now is declining.

Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

Applications Received with Approval Percentage


Six Month Asymmetrical Moving Averages by Quarter, July 1995 through May 2015



This graph of application activity is presented as six month moving averages to produce smoother lines from which trends may be seen and in recognition that applications are not always acted on in the month received. There seems to have been a general decline in the number of applications received until the July 2001 data. The decline in applications received after December 2004 and the more recent changes appear to be reflected in the case load trends. A reason for the general decrease in percent approved may be the lack of any increase in income threshold for initial eligibility; also, a reason for more recent decline may be the availability of applications on line that offers ready access for applying to multiple assistance programs. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

TANF Cases, VIEW Enrolled, and Employed VIEW Participants


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. May is the last month



This graph shows that the number enrolled in VIEW increased during early implementation and then generally tracked the number of TANF cases. The effects of recent economic conditions are apparent. A VIEW case may remain open for some months after the TANF case closes, if job follow-up is being done and the case has not been converted to the VIEW Transitional Payment program. It is unlikely that the number employed will ever equal the number enrolled because there are always new enrollees without employment, and there are some enrollees in work activities, other than employment, that meet VIEW requirements. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months. It includes TANF-UP.

Chart Displaying the Relationships Between TANF and VIEW Participation


May 31, 2015



This chart illustrates that there are a large number of adults who are exempt from VIEW and that many of the VIEW enrollees have had their TANF cases closed. They are now in a job follow-up phase of VIEW. They have not had their VIEW case closed and become enrolled in the VIEW Transitional Payment program. The source of this information is a monthly TANF extract from ADAPT and the monthly extract from ESPAS, the VIEW automated data system. The extracts were made at the beginning of June and represent end of May data. TANF-UP is included.

VIEW Percent Employed and Average Wage at End of Month


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. May is the last month.



This graph shows that the average wage has seen a general increase as the percent employed has varied somewhat. Besides employment, VIEW participants may be in various other activities or initial assessment and job search, and economic conditions (one slight recession and one large one) apparently had expected effects. The wages shown are generally the initial wages. When the average wage was calculated at about the end of May it was \$8.80 rather than the \$8.64 initial wages used to calculate wages shown on this graph. See page 42 of the report for an explanation of why the VIEW average wage might decline after October 2006 and note 6 on page 44 for the effect of the increase in minimum wage. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.

Percent of Jobs Found During a Month That Were Full Time and Percent Part Time

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. May is the last month.



This graph illustrates an initial trend of VIEW participants obtaining a greater percent of full time jobs than part time. After the initial months, the trend seems to be fairly level with an increase in the percent full time after TANF reauthorization which probably reflects increased emphasis on meeting the required TANF work participation rate. The more recent changes are presumed to be a result of economic developments and increased use of other work activities to help meet the required work participation hours. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.