

VIRGINIA INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM

MONTHLY REPORT

Including Graphs of Statewide Trends

July 2015

Prepared by:
Virginia Department of Social Services August 28, 2015

Monthly Report, July 2015

Table of Contents

Work Participation Estimates (from the VDSS data warehouse) (A work participation estimate percent should not be compared to a percent in a work activity in the main body of the report which includes only clients in VIEW and does not consider the number of hours in a work activity during a month)	i-vi
TANF, TANF-UP Case Activity.....	1
Graphs of Case Activity.....	at end
VIEW Work Activity	
Statewide	4
Graphs of Statewide Activity.....	at end
EDD1.....	8
EDD2.....	9
EDD3.....	10
EDD4.....	11
EDD5 & EDD18.....	12
EDD6.....	13
EDD7.....	14
EDD8.....	15
EDD9.....	16
EDD10.....	17
EDD11.....	18
EDD14.....	19
EDD1.....	20
EDD3.....	21
EDD11.....	22
EDD15.....	23
EDD17.....	24
VIEW Year to Date Rankings.....	25-27
VIEW Cumulative Rankings.....	28-30
VIEW Timeliness Measures.....	31-39
Child Support Enforcement.....	40
Diversion.....	41
VIEW Definition Sheet and Endnotes.....	42-44

Estimated Work Participation Rates for July

These are estimated locality July 2015 work participation rates that include clients receiving either TANF or VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). The official participation rate will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated July statewide rate is 44% with a numerator of 5,357 and a denominator of 12,170.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	24	57	42%	061	Fauquier	20	42	48%
003	Albemarle	34	66	52%	063	Floyd	4	13	31%
005	Alleghany/Covington	20	38	53%	065	Fluvanna	4	18	22%
007	Amelia	6	10	60%	067	Franklin County	31	74	42%
009	Amherst	16	32	50%	069	Frederick	23	38	61%
011	Appomattox	20	42	48%	071	Giles	2	14	14%
013	Arlington	47	85	55%	073	Gloucester	23	54	43%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	75	146	51%	075	Goochland	4	9	44%
017	Bath	0	1	0%	077	Grayson	8	15	53%
019	Bedford County/City	32	67	48%	079	Greene	11	25	44%
021	Bland	1	4	25%	081	Greensville/Emporia	31	60	52%
023	Botetourt	3	9	33%	083	Halifax	17	64	27%
025	Brunswick	19	47	40%	085	Hanover	18	33	55%
027	Buchanan	17	52	33%	087	Henrico	201	496	41%
029	Buckingham	18	36	50%	089	Henry/Martinsville	62	184	34%
031	Campbell	49	113	43%	091	Highland	0	2	0%
033	Caroline	36	75	48%	093	Isle of Wight	13	53	25%
035	Carroll	27	47	57%	095	James City	32	85	38%
036	Charles City	2	8	25%	097	King and Queen	0	13	0%
037	Charlotte	9	23	39%	099	King George	10	24	42%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	143	293	49%	101	King William	4	18	22%
043	Clarke	0	1	0%	103	Lancaster	6	20	30%
045	Craig	1	7	14%	105	Lee	44	83	53%
047	Culpeper	16	49	33%	107	Loudoun	38	104	37%
049	Cumberland	11	30	37%	109	Louisa	22	40	55%
051	Dickensen	9	16	56%	111	Lunenburg	4	16	25%
053	Dinwiddie	18	45	40%	113	Madison	1	8	13%
057	Essex	13	29	45%	115	Mathews	3	6	50%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	199	473	42%	117	Mecklenburg	18	49	37%

Estimated Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent
119	Middlesex	14	20	70%	187	Warren	39	58	67%
121	Montgomery	48	91	53%	191	Washington	41	87	47%
125	Nelson	9	21	43%	193	Westmoreland	10	31	32%
127	New Kent	7	17	41%	195	Wise	48	111	43%
131	Northampton	18	31	58%	197	Wythe	11	26	42%
133	Northumberland	5	10	50%	199	York/Poquoson	24	60	40%
135	Nottoway	27	52	52%	510	Alexandria	73	150	49%
137	Orange	18	39	46%	520	Bristol	40	82	49%
139	Page	3	18	17%	540	Charlottesville	77	133	58%
141	Patrick	14	40	35%	550	Chesapeake	178	386	46%
143	Pittsylvania	23	57	40%	590	Danville	72	186	39%
145	Powhatan	4	14	29%	620	Franklin City	19	37	51%
147	Prince Edward	37	66	56%	630	Fredericksburg	44	85	52%
149	Prince George	14	41	34%	640	Galax	9	17	53%
153	Prince William	138	406	34%	650	Hampton	267	519	51%
155	Pulaski	16	39	41%	670	Hopewell	65	148	44%
157	Rappahannock	1	3	33%	680	Lynchburg	117	218	54%
159	Richmond County	3	15	20%	683	Manassas	25	43	58%
161	Roanoke County	45	105	43%	685	Manassas Park	2	11	18%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	4	24	17%	700	Newport News	351	731	48%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	68	116	59%	710	Norfolk	421	839	50%
167	Russell	24	63	38%	720	Norton	6	25	24%
169	Scott	27	66	41%	730	Petersburg	103	240	43%
171	Shenandoah	12	23	52%	740	Portsmouth	194	465	42%
173	Smyth	24	73	33%	750	Radford	16	31	52%
175	Southampton	16	37	43%	760	Richmond City	434	1,060	41%
177	Spotsylvania	10	176	6%	770	Roanoke City	118	341	35%
179	Stafford	61	135	45%	800	Suffolk	75	158	47%
181	Surry	7	13	54%	810	Virginia Beach	182	348	52%
183	Sussex	11	29	38%	830	Williamsburg	11	22	50%
185	Tazewell	42	86	49%	840	Winchester	16	35	46%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF and VTP recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rate presented here is the all families rate, i. e. the overall rate, including VTP..

Estimated TANF only Work Participation Rates for July

These are estimated locality July 2015 work participation rates that include only clients receiving TANF. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated July statewide rate is 37% with a numerator of 3,991 and a denominator of 10,705. VTP only estimates are on pages v and vi.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	14	47	30%	061	Fauquier	12	34	35%
003	Albemarle	22	54	41%	063	Floyd	3	12	25%
005	Alleghany/Covington	16	34	47%	065	Fluvanna	3	15	20%
007	Amelia	1	5	20%	067	Franklin County	21	64	33%
009	Amherst	7	23	30%	069	Frederick	13	27	48%
011	Appomattox	13	35	37%	071	Giles	2	14	14%
013	Arlington	39	77	51%	073	Gloucester	15	45	33%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	51	120	43%	075	Goochland	2	7	29%
017	Bath	0	1	0%	077	Grayson	7	14	50%
019	Bedford County/City	27	62	44%	079	Greene	9	22	41%
021	Bland	0	3	0%	081	Greensville/Emporia	24	53	45%
023	Botetourt	3	9	33%	083	Halifax	16	62	26%
025	Brunswick	13	40	33%	085	Hanover	15	29	52%
027	Buchanan	12	46	26%	087	Henrico	129	422	31%
029	Buckingham	13	30	43%	089	Henry/Martinsville	53	175	30%
031	Campbell	29	92	32%	091	Highland	0	2	0%
033	Caroline	22	58	38%	093	Isle of Wight	10	48	21%
035	Carroll	18	37	49%	095	James City	26	78	33%
036	Charles City	0	6	0%	097	King and Queen	0	13	0%
037	Charlotte	5	19	26%	099	King George	6	20	30%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	102	252	40%	101	King William	4	16	25%
043	Clarke	0	1	0%	103	Lancaster	4	18	22%
045	Craig	1	7	14%	105	Lee	35	74	47%
047	Culpeper	7	40	18%	107	Loudoun	25	89	28%
049	Cumberland	7	26	27%	109	Louisa	15	32	47%
051	Dickensen	5	11	45%	111	Lunenburg	3	15	20%
053	Dinwiddie	14	38	37%	113	Madison	0	7	0%
057	Essex	8	24	33%	115	Mathews	3	5	60%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	132	405	33%	117	Mecklenburg	13	44	30%

Estimated TANF Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	8	14	57%	187	Warren	29	48	60%
121	Montgomery	34	76	45%	191	Washington	37	83	45%
125	Nelson	9	21	43%	193	Westmoreland	10	30	33%
127	New Kent	4	14	29%	195	Wise	36	99	36%
131	Northampton	14	27	52%	197	Wythe	9	24	38%
133	Northumberland	4	9	44%	199	York/Poquoson	17	53	32%
135	Nottoway	22	47	47%	510	Alexandria	38	115	33%
137	Orange	17	37	46%	520	Bristol	37	78	47%
139	Page	2	16	13%	540	Charlottesville	47	103	46%
141	Patrick	11	37	30%	550	Chesapeake	138	343	40%
143	Pittsylvania	22	56	39%	590	Danville	55	169	33%
145	Powhatan	4	14	29%	620	Franklin City	16	32	50%
147	Prince Edward	21	50	42%	630	Fredericksburg	27	68	40%
149	Prince George	8	33	24%	640	Galax	7	14	50%
153	Prince William	82	349	23%	650	Hampton	203	453	45%
155	Pulaski	10	33	30%	670	Hopewell	47	129	36%
157	Rappahannock	1	3	33%	680	Lynchburg	103	204	50%
159	Richmond County	3	14	21%	683	Manassas	13	31	42%
161	Roanoke County	31	91	34%	685	Manassas Park	0	9	0%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	0	20	0%	700	Newport News	273	647	42%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	38	86	44%	710	Norfolk	386	796	48%
167	Russell	19	58	33%	720	Norton	4	22	18%
169	Scott	21	58	36%	730	Petersburg	81	212	38%
171	Shenandoah	6	16	38%	740	Portsmouth	156	424	37%
173	Smyth	18	67	27%	750	Radford	10	25	40%
175	Southampton	12	33	36%	760	Richmond City	364	981	37%
177	Spotsylvania	2	161	1%	770	Roanoke City	84	305	28%
179	Stafford	43	117	37%	800	Suffolk	59	141	42%
181	Surry	5	11	45%	810	Virginia Beach	126	291	43%
183	Sussex	10	27	37%	830	Williamsburg	9	20	45%
185	Tazewell	29	73	40%	840	Winchester	11	30	37%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF recipients who are considered to be "work-eligible individuals." The cases containing these client become the "denominator." To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are for the TANF all family rate not including VTP.

Estimated VTP only Work Participation Rates for July

These are estimated locality July 2015 work participation rates that include only clients receiving VTP. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated July statewide rate is 93% with a numerator of 1,366 and a denominator of 1,465. TANF only estimates are on pages iii and iv.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	<i>Accomack</i>	10	10	100%	061	<i>Fauquier</i>	8	8	100%
003	<i>Albemarle</i>	12	12	100%	063	<i>Floyd</i>	1	1	100%
005	<i>Alleghany/Covington</i>	4	4	100%	065	<i>Fluvanna</i>	1	3	33%
007	<i>Amelia</i>	5	5	100%	067	<i>Franklin County</i>	10	10	100%
009	<i>Amherst</i>	9	9	100%	069	<i>Frederick</i>	10	11	91%
011	<i>Appomattox</i>	7	7	100%	071	<i>Giles</i>	0	0	N/A
013	<i>Arlington</i>	8	8	100%	073	<i>Gloucester</i>	8	9	89%
015	<i>Augusta/S'ton/W'boro</i>	24	26	92%	075	<i>Goochland</i>	2	2	100%
017	<i>Bath</i>	0	0	N/A	077	<i>Grayson</i>	1	1	100%
019	<i>Bedford County/City</i>	5	5	100%	079	<i>Greene</i>	2	3	67%
021	<i>Bland</i>	1	1	100%	081	<i>Greensville/Emporia</i>	7	7	100%
023	<i>Botetourt</i>	0	0	N/A	083	<i>Halifax</i>	1	2	50%
025	<i>Brunswick</i>	6	7	86%	085	<i>Hanover</i>	3	4	75%
027	<i>Buchanan</i>	5	6	83%	087	<i>Henrico</i>	72	74	97%
029	<i>Buckingham</i>	5	6	83%	089	<i>Henry/Martinsville</i>	9	9	100%
031	<i>Campbell</i>	20	21	95%	091	<i>Highland</i>	0	0	N/A
033	<i>Caroline</i>	14	17	82%	093	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	3	5	60%
035	<i>Carroll</i>	9	10	90%	095	<i>James City</i>	6	7	86%
036	<i>Charles City</i>	2	2	100%	097	<i>King and Queen</i>	0	0	N/A
037	<i>Charlotte</i>	4	4	100%	099	<i>King George</i>	4	4	100%
041	<i>Chesterfield/Col Hgts</i>	41	41	100%	101	<i>King William</i>	0	2	0%
043	<i>Clarke</i>	0	0	N/A	103	<i>Lancaster</i>	2	2	100%
045	<i>Craig</i>	0	0	N/A	105	<i>Lee</i>	9	9	100%
047	<i>Culpeper</i>	9	9	100%	107	<i>Loudoun</i>	13	15	87%
049	<i>Cumberland</i>	4	4	100%	109	<i>Louisa</i>	7	8	88%
051	<i>Dickensen</i>	4	5	80%	111	<i>Lunenburg</i>	1	1	100%
053	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	4	7	57%	113	<i>Madison</i>	1	1	100%
057	<i>Essex</i>	5	5	100%	115	<i>Mathews</i>	0	1	0%
059	<i>Fairfax/Falls Church</i>	67	68	99%	117	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	5	5	100%

Estimated VTP Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	6	6	100%	187	Warren	10	10	100%
121	Montgomery	14	15	93%	191	Washington	4	4	100%
125	Nelson	0	0	N/A	193	Westmoreland	0	1	0%
127	New Kent	3	3	100%	195	Wise	12	12	100%
131	Northampton	4	4	100%	197	Wythe	2	2	100%
133	Northumberland	1	1	100%	199	York/Poquoson	7	7	100%
135	Nottoway	5	5	100%	510	Alexandria	35	35	100%
137	Orange	1	2	50%	520	Bristol	3	4	75%
139	Page	1	2	50%	540	Charlottesville	30	30	100%
141	Patrick	3	3	100%	550	Chesapeake	40	43	93%
143	Pittsylvania	1	1	100%	590	Danville	17	17	100%
145	Powhatan	0	0	N/A	620	Franklin City	3	5	60%
147	Prince Edward	16	16	100%	630	Fredericksburg	17	17	100%
149	Prince George	6	8	75%	640	Galax	2	3	67%
153	Prince William	56	57	98%	650	Hampton	64	66	97%
155	Pulaski	6	6	100%	670	Hopewell	18	19	95%
157	Rappahannock	0	0	N/A	680	Lynchburg	14	14	100%
159	Richmond County	0	1	0%	683	Manassas	12	12	100%
161	Roanoke County	14	14	100%	685	Manassas Park	2	2	100%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	4	4	100%	700	Newport News	78	84	93%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	30	30	100%	710	Norfolk	35	43	81%
167	Russell	5	5	100%	720	Norton	2	3	67%
169	Scott	6	8	75%	730	Petersburg	22	28	79%
171	Shenandoah	6	7	86%	740	Portsmouth	38	41	93%
173	Smyth	6	6	100%	750	Radford	6	6	100%
175	Southampton	4	4	100%	760	Richmond City	70	79	89%
177	Spotsylvania	8	15	53%	770	Roanoke City	34	36	94%
179	Stafford	18	18	100%	800	Suffolk	16	17	94%
181	Surry	2	2	100%	810	Virginia Beach	56	57	98%
183	Sussex	1	2	50%	830	Williamsburg	2	2	100%
185	Tazewell	13	13	100%	840	Winchester	5	5	100%

All VTP recipients are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” Thus, all VTP cases are in the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, job follow-up entries are reviewed to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. The basic requirement for VTP recipients is employment for at least 30 hours per week. The requirement is contained in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are also sometimes referred to as the rates for the maintenance of effort program caseload. .

TANF

TANF Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	Jul-15	Jul-14	Change from Jul-14	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	5,295	6,030	-12.2%	4,807	10%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	3,295	4,041	-18.5%	1,658	99%
Applications Approved	1,611	1,881	-14%	2,820	-43%
Cases Closed *	1,817	2,069	-12%	4,476	-59%
Cases at End of Month	22,885	25,217	-9%	67,995	-66%
Cases Paid During Month ²	23,305	25,922	-10%	70,403	-67%

•The database indicates that of the 1,817 closed cases, 722 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 457 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 161 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 104 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

TANF-UP

TANF-UP Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	Jul-15	Jul-14	Change from Jul-14	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	361	588	-39%	338	7%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	327	422	-23%	232	41%
Applications Approved	183	185	-1%	81	126%
Cases Closed *	174	197	-12%	107	63%
Cases at End of Month	1,244	1,395	-11%	321	288%
Cases Paid During Month ²	1,285	1,463	-12%	394	226%

•The database indicates that of the 174 closed cases, 85 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 58 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 15 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 14 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

Statewide - Status through July 31, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/15 and Work Activity as of 7/31/15

Current Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	24,129	1,267	765	1,862	606	314	2,637	296	553	922	1,198	722	3,708	912	360	2,602	952	4,283	170
Total Enrolled in VIEW	8,813	359	257	547	188	125	1,115	98	266	393	330	292	1,313	399	114	1,042	379	1,526	70
Part. in a Work Activity	7,026	293	217	396	153	95	917	78	224	299	253	226	1,023	316	83	864	311	1,227	51
% of Enrolled	80%	82%	84%	72%	81%	76%	82%	80%	84%	76%	77%	77%	78%	79%	73%	83%	82%	80%	73%
Part. Assigned to Inactive	80	0	2	9	0	4	7	4	4	3	0	2	6	3	3	17	7	9	0
Participants Employed	5,207	200	150	323	137	79	594	70	167	225	170	157	744	254	70	658	226	941	42
% of Enrolled	59%	56%	58%	59%	73%	63%	53%	71%	63%	57%	52%	54%	57%	64%	61%	63%	60%	62%	60%
Full Time	4,182	163	124	270	122	71	458	58	116	156	145	124	562	200	64	535	175	812	27
Part Time	1,025	37	26	53	15	8	136	12	51	69	25	33	182	54	6	123	51	129	15
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.66	\$7.97	\$7.64	\$8.42	\$8.59	\$8.16	\$10.44	\$8.61	\$8.81	\$8.26	\$8.02	\$8.05	\$8.77	\$8.73	\$8.37	\$8.41	\$8.57	\$8.40	\$8.49
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,180	\$1,068	\$1,038	\$1,182	\$1,226	\$1,128	\$1,429	\$1,148	\$1,131	\$1,054	\$1,143	\$1,112	\$1,194	\$1,178	\$1,140	\$1,143	\$1,176	\$1,145	\$1,163

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – July 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	2,004	83	57	175	48	25	273	23	48	73	83	54	285	72	26	256	65	338	20
Total Enrolled in VIEW	9,406	391	267	580	191	140	1,193	104	290	425	364	305	1,401	436	130	1,127	410	1,578	74
Part. in a Work Activity	7,730	343	238	457	163	113	989	85	260	361	282	246	1,104	347	93	935	354	1,305	55
% of Total Enrolled	82%	88%	89%	79%	85%	81%	83%	82%	90%	85%	77%	81%	79%	80%	72%	83%	86%	83%	74%
Participants Employed	5,520	212	160	343	140	91	635	74	179	245	179	162	776	273	78	694	254	979	46
% of Total Enrolled	59%	54%	60%	59%	73%	65%	53%	71%	62%	58%	49%	53%	55%	63%	60%	62%	62%	62%	62%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.68	\$7.96	\$7.67	\$8.41	\$8.56	\$8.12	\$10.48	\$8.62	\$8.89	\$8.29	\$8.01	\$8.04	\$8.77	\$8.89	\$8.36	\$8.41	\$8.50	\$8.41	\$8.54
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,129	\$1,029	\$998	\$1,153	\$1,120	\$1,074	\$1,338	\$1,128	\$1,068	\$985	\$1,059	\$1,025	\$1,157	\$1,126	\$1,113	\$1,102	\$1,144	\$1,108	\$1,077
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	71%	60%	68%	68%	67%	76%	75%	76%	74%	65%	70%	73%	77%	70%	72%	65%	74%	71%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	315,033	18,800	11,714	23,427	9,276	6,083	39,919	4,474	6,958	11,868	14,290	9,122	41,754	10,642	4,215	30,492	10,687	58,473	2,839
Total Enrolled in VIEW ⁵	244,190	14,609	9,888	18,241	7,262	4,596	30,718	3,403	5,466	9,874	11,472	7,199	32,946	7,985	3,181	23,368	8,384	43,456	2,142
Part. in a Work Activity	233,445	14,110	9,511	17,345	7,090	4,346	29,536	3,273	5,343	9,321	11,118	6,916	31,577	7,543	2,998	22,220	7,987	41,147	2,064
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	96%	95%	98%	95%	96%	96%	98%	94%	97%	96%	96%	94%	94%	95%	95%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	164,209	9,084	6,343	12,253	5,073	3,003	21,328	2,288	4,038	6,745	6,958	4,429	22,351	5,256	2,012	16,145	5,707	29,986	1,210
% of Total Enrolled	67%	62%	64%	67%	70%	65%	69%	67%	74%	68%	61%	62%	68%	66%	63%	69%	68%	69%	56%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.39	\$6.42	\$6.53	\$7.28	\$7.38	\$7.54	\$8.95	\$7.69	\$7.61	\$6.86	\$6.59	\$7.05	\$7.58	\$8.17	\$7.21	\$7.26	\$7.26	\$7.06	\$7.01
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$968	\$842	\$878	\$972	\$978	\$1,003	\$1,179	\$1,009	\$958	\$878	\$871	\$905	\$1,002	\$1,037	\$913	\$940	\$978	\$917	\$879
Three Months Employment ⁹	66%	67%	61%	60%	67%	63%	71%	65%	64%	64%	63%	65%	69%	65%	58%	66%	65%	67%	72%

EDD1 - Southwest Region: Status through July 31, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/15 and Work Activity as of 7/31/15

Current Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,267	134	52	229	162	134	197	314	45
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	359	26	8	89	36	40	65	80	15
Participants in a Work Activity	293	22	7	81	31	31	51	60	10
% of Enrolled	82%	85%	88%	91%	86%	78%	78%	75%	67%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	200	14	6	44	15	25	34	52	10
% of Enrolled	56%	54%	75%	49%	42%	63%	52%	65%	67%
Full Time	163	10	6	32	11	18	29	48	9
Part Time	37	4	0	12	4	7	5	4	1
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.97	\$7.93	\$7.70	\$8.12	\$8.02	\$8.12	\$7.75	\$7.98	\$7.68
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,068	\$1,077	\$1,067	\$1,054	\$1,088	\$1,007	\$986	\$1,146	\$1,116

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – July 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	83	8	2	15	8	8	13	25	4
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	391	26	9	96	39	42	65	99	15
Participants in a Work Activity	343	22	7	92	33	37	59	82	11
% of Total Enrolled	88%	85%	78%	96%	85%	88%	91%	83%	73%
Participants Employed	212	14	6	48	15	26	35	58	10
% of Total Enrolled	54%	54%	67%	50%	38%	62%	54%	59%	67%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.96	\$7.93	\$7.70	\$8.14	\$8.02	\$8.08	\$7.74	\$7.97	\$7.68
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,029	\$1,010	\$1,031	\$1,051	\$1,014	\$907	\$967	\$1,111	\$1,021
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	81%	81%	79%	78%	59%	67%	69%	67%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	18,800	1,817	1,261	2,663	2,584	1,864	3,572	4,424	615
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	14,609	1,385	985	2,080	2,091	1,402	2,731	3,447	488
Participants in a Work Activity	14,110	1,306	929	2,069	1,993	1,376	2,644	3,344	449
% of Total Enrolled	97%	94%	94%	99%	95%	98%	97%	97%	92%
Participants Employed	9,084	742	592	1,362	1,243	823	1,811	2,151	360
% of Total Enrolled	62%	54%	60%	65%	59%	59%	66%	62%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.42	\$6.59	\$6.42	\$6.27	\$6.56	\$6.75	\$6.28	\$6.43	\$6.22
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$842	\$858	\$851	\$815	\$866	\$896	\$826	\$847	\$763
Three Months Employment ⁹	67%	65%	55%	72%	66%	76%	66%	66%	71%

EDD2 – Bristol-Galax Region: Status through July 31, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/15 and Work Activity as of 7/31/15

Current Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	765	9	78	34	163	186	78	181	36
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	257	2	33	7	42	58	21	84	10
Participants in a Work Activity	217	2	31	7	34	48	15	71	9
% of Enrolled	84%	N/A	94%	100%	81%	83%	71%	85%	90%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
Participants Employed	150	0	27	3	24	35	13	42	6
% of Enrolled	58%	N/A	82%	43%	57%	60%	62%	50%	60%
Full Time	124	N/A	24	3	19	30	12	33	3
Part Time	26	N/A	3	0	5	5	1	9	3
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.64	N/A	\$7.58	\$7.75	\$6.63	\$7.74	\$8.13	\$7.96	\$8.06
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,038	N/A	\$1,060	\$1,063	\$913	\$1,040	\$1,169	\$1,047	\$1,082

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – July 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	57	0	6	0	16	11	8	15	1
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	267	2	34	7	45	59	22	87	11
Participants in a Work Activity	238	2	32	7	40	53	20	74	10
% of Total Enrolled	89%	100%	94%	100%	89%	90%	91%	85%	91%
Participants Employed	160	0	28	3	25	38	15	43	8
% of Total Enrolled	60%	0%	82%	43%	56%	64%	68%	49%	73%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.67	N/A	\$7.60	\$7.75	\$6.66	\$7.72	\$8.28	\$7.96	\$8.13
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$998	N/A	\$1,066	\$754	\$840	\$948	\$1,153	\$1,025	\$1,125
Three Months Employment ⁸	60%	75%	81%	27%	57%	60%	60%	55%	80%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	11,714	295	1,529	722	2,070	2,088	1,696	2,541	773
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	9,888	221	1,271	589	1,762	1,744	1,343	2,279	679
Participants in a Work Activity	9,511	209	1,181	553	1,708	1,702	1,320	2,196	642
% of Total Enrolled	96%	95%	93%	94%	97%	98%	98%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	6,343	128	857	379	1,033	1,082	894	1,545	425
% of Total Enrolled	64%	58%	67%	64%	59%	62%	67%	68%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.53	\$6.55	\$6.34	\$6.49	\$6.43	\$6.76	\$6.48	\$6.63	\$6.42
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$878	\$905	\$849	\$866	\$882	\$903	\$859	\$884	\$888
Three Months Employment ⁹	61%	56%	55%	60%	62%	58%	67%	62%	69%

EDD3 – Roanoke Region: Status through July 31, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/15 and Work Activity as of 7/31/15

Current Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,862	76	24	15	45	177	43	233	129	219	54	847
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	547	32	4	9	7	54	7	76	26	78	21	233
Participants in a Work Activity	396	26	3	4	5	44	4	61	18	57	15	159
% of Enrolled	72%	81%	75%	44%	71%	81%	57%	80%	69%	73%	71%	68%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	9	0	0	2	0	1	2	2	0	1	1	0
Participants Employed	323	17	0	2	3	28	2	55	14	46	14	142
% of Enrolled	59%	53%	0%	22%	43%	52%	29%	72%	54%	59%	67%	61%
Full Time	270	14	N/A	1	3	20	2	53	13	34	11	119
Part Time	53	3	N/A	1	0	8	0	2	1	12	3	23
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.42	\$8.21	N/A	\$8.88	\$7.92	\$9.16	\$13.75	\$8.49	\$7.82	\$8.27	\$8.40	\$8.30
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,182	\$1,083	N/A	\$985	\$1,028	\$1,254	\$1,820	\$1,265	\$1,090	\$1,094	\$1,122	\$1,187

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – July 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	175	9	2	0	2	14	5	22	10	19	5	87
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	580	34	5	9	8	57	7	79	28	80	25	248
Participants in a Work Activity	457	28	4	4	7	47	4	66	19	63	17	198
% of Total Enrolled	79%	82%	80%	44%	88%	82%	57%	84%	68%	79%	68%	80%
Participants Employed	343	18	0	2	3	28	2	58	15	50	15	152
% of Total Enrolled	59%	53%	0%	22%	38%	49%	29%	73%	54%	63%	60%	61%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.41	\$8.14	N/A	\$8.88	\$7.92	\$9.10	\$13.75	\$8.49	\$7.94	\$8.20	\$8.64	\$8.30
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,153	\$1,075	N/A	\$715	\$1,086	\$1,198	\$2,198	\$1,283	\$1,049	\$1,029	\$1,195	\$1,144
Three Months Employment ⁸	68%	59%	50%	100%	75%	64%	67%	73%	75%	76%	69%	64%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	23,427	1,260	407	111	600	2,107	592	3,275	2,003	2,629	933	9,510
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	18,241	976	258	67	445	1,647	432	2,702	1,596	2,133	734	7,251
Participants in a Work Activity	17,345	942	225	65	428	1,490	421	2,648	1,531	1,987	700	6,908
% of Total Enrolled	95%	97%	87%	97%	96%	90%	97%	98%	96%	93%	95%	95%
Participants Employed	12,253	678	112	31	287	1,016	246	2,096	1,005	1,567	515	4,700
% of Total Enrolled	67%	69%	43%	46%	64%	62%	57%	78%	63%	73%	70%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.28	\$7.06	\$7.54	\$7.41	\$7.17	\$7.23	\$7.19	\$7.13	\$6.93	\$7.78	\$7.18	\$7.35
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$972	\$860	\$960	\$1,025	\$958	\$966	\$964	\$961	\$957	\$1,039	\$927	\$985
Three Months Employment ⁹	60%	58%	53%	63%	54%	58%	52%	63%	53%	58%	62%	62%

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: Status through July 31, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/15 and Work Activity as of 7/31/15

Current Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	606	352	4	5	51	194
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	188	98	0	0	12	78
Participants in a Work Activity	153	77	N/A	N/A	11	65
% of Enrolled	81%	79%	N/A	N/A	92%	83%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	N/A	N/A	0	0
Participants Employed	137	69	N/A	N/A	11	57
% of Enrolled	73%	70%	N/A	N/A	92%	73%
Full Time	122	59	N/A	N/A	8	55
Part Time	15	10	N/A	N/A	3	2
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.59	\$8.63	N/A	N/A	\$8.53	\$8.55
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,226	\$1,212	N/A	N/A	\$1,122	\$1,263

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – July 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	48	28	0	1	2	17
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	191	99	0	0	13	79
Participants in a Work Activity	163	82	N/A	N/A	11	70
% of Total Enrolled	85%	83%	N/A	N/A	85%	89%
Participants Employed	140	69	N/A	N/A	11	60
% of Total Enrolled	73%	70%	N/A	N/A	85%	76%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.56	\$8.63	N/A	N/A	\$8.53	\$8.48
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,120	\$1,067	N/A	N/A	\$1,074	\$1,188
Three Months Employment ⁸	68%	67%	N/A	N/A	74%	70%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	9,276	4,942	81	26	795	3,432
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	7,262	3,932	53	8	599	2,670
Participants in a Work Activity	7,090	3,862	52	8	557	2,611
% of Total Enrolled	98%	98%	98%	100%	93%	98%
Participants Employed	5,073	2,641	32	6	425	1,969
% of Total Enrolled	70%	67%	60%	75%	71%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.38	\$7.36	\$6.56	\$7.79	\$6.92	\$7.52
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$978	\$976	\$717	\$784	\$879	\$1,007
Three Months Employment ⁹	67%	66%	61%	83%	68%	68%

VIEW Work Activity

EDDS 5 & 18 – Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: Status through July 31, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/15 and Work Activity as of 7/31/15

Current Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	314	9	62	25	39	104	75	170	122	48
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	125	0	22	11	11	45	36	70	37	33
Participants in a Work Activity	95	N/A	14	6	10	43	22	51	25	26
% of Enrolled	76%	N/A	64%	55%	91%	96%	61%	73%	68%	79%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	N/A	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	0
Participants Employed	79	N/A	11	4	10	36	18	42	22	20
% of Enrolled	63%	N/A	50%	36%	91%	80%	50%	60%	59%	61%
Full Time	71	N/A	9	3	9	35	15	27	15	12
Part Time	8	N/A	2	1	1	1	3	15	7	8
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.16	N/A	\$8.27	\$8.88	\$8.79	\$7.84	\$8.22	\$8.49	\$8.56	\$8.41
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,128	N/A	\$1,118	\$1,173	\$1,281	\$1,116	\$1,063	\$1,163	\$1,234	\$1,084

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – July 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	25	0	6	4	2	8	5	20	15	5
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	140	0	23	13	12	54	38	74	40	34
Participants in a Work Activity	113	N/A	18	9	11	52	23	55	26	29
% of Total Enrolled	81%	N/A	78%	69%	92%	96%	61%	74%	65%	85%
Participants Employed	91	N/A	11	6	11	43	20	46	23	23
% of Total Enrolled	65%	N/A	48%	46%	92%	80%	53%	62%	58%	68%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.12	N/A	\$8.27	\$8.33	\$8.90	\$7.81	\$8.21	\$8.54	\$8.68	\$8.40
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,074	N/A	\$1,059	\$1,036	\$1,217	\$1,061	\$1,041	\$1,077	\$1,204	\$951
Three Months Employment ⁸	67%	75%	47%	47%	75%	75%	75%	71%	70%	74%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	6,083	233	1,339	906	754	1,459	1,392	2,839	1,832	1,007
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	4,596	141	1,004	744	475	1,122	1,110	2,142	1,352	790
Participants in a Work Activity	4,346	130	939	728	461	1,044	1,044	2,064	1,312	752
% of Total Enrolled	95%	92%	94%	98%	97%	93%	94%	96%	97%	95%
Participants Employed	3,003	82	587	515	285	773	761	1,210	692	518
% of Total Enrolled	65%	58%	58%	69%	60%	69%	69%	56%	51%	66%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.54	\$7.74	\$7.93	\$7.44	\$7.05	\$7.56	\$7.48	\$7.01	\$7.11	\$6.89
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,003	\$1,030	\$1,032	\$1,004	\$963	\$1,019	\$980	\$879	\$897	\$858
Three Months Employment ⁹	63%	58%	60%	59%	70%	70%	59%	72%	65%	80%

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: Status through July 31, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/15 and Work Activity as of 7/31/15

Current Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	2,637	171	971	182	815	370	105	23
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,115	66	472	108	254	172	39	4
Participants in a Work Activity	917	55	416	84	197	136	26	3
% of Enrolled	82%	83%	88%	78%	78%	79%	67%	75%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	7	0	2	2	1	2	0	0
Participants Employed	594	30	245	65	150	80	23	1
% of Enrolled	53%	45%	52%	60%	59%	47%	59%	25%
Full Time	458	20	187	43	129	59	19	1
Part Time	136	10	58	22	21	21	4	0
Average Wage ⁶	\$10.44	\$9.56	\$10.85	\$10.39	\$10.01	\$10.48	\$10.22	\$7.33
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,429	\$1,148	\$1,489	\$1,423	\$1,390	\$1,431	\$1,437	\$952

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – July 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	273	12	103	22	75	51	10	0
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,193	74	506	112	277	180	40	4
Participants in a Work Activity	989	63	441	92	223	141	26	3
% of Total Enrolled	83%	85%	87%	82%	81%	78%	65%	75%
Participants Employed	635	34	256	69	167	85	23	1
% of Total Enrolled	53%	46%	51%	62%	60%	47%	58%	25%
Average Wage ⁶	\$10.48	\$9.56	\$10.90	\$10.34	\$10.05	\$10.65	\$10.22	\$7.33
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,338	\$1,120	\$1,372	\$1,250	\$1,325	\$1,410	\$1,374	\$946
Three Months Employment ⁸	76%	62%	77%	75%	74%	84%	71%	33%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	39,919	3,201	14,256	2,971	12,624	4,651	1,713	503
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	30,718	2,527	10,794	2,343	9,752	3,619	1,315	368
Participants in a Work Activity	29,536	2,506	10,382	2,230	9,342	3,429	1,283	364
% of Total Enrolled	96%	99%	96%	95%	96%	95%	98%	99%
Participants Employed	21,328	1,793	7,199	1,776	6,986	2,480	849	245
% of Total Enrolled	69%	71%	67%	76%	72%	69%	65%	67%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.95	\$8.47	\$9.06	\$9.56	\$8.95	\$8.71	\$8.49	\$8.33
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,179	\$1,077	\$1,187	\$1,231	\$1,206	\$1,119	\$1,136	\$1,108
Three Months Employment ⁹	71%	69%	70%	72%	70%	78%	70%	77%

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: Status through July 31, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/15 and Work Activity as of 7/31/15

Current Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	296	104	67	24	94	7
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	98	37	26	5	28	2
Participants in a Work Activity	78	29	19	5	23	2
% of Enrolled	80%	78%	73%	100%	82%	100%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	1	3	0	0	0
Participants Employed	70	26	16	5	22	1
% of Enrolled	71%	70%	62%	100%	79%	50%
Full Time	58	20	12	4	21	1
Part Time	12	6	4	1	1	0
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.61	\$8.60	\$7.74	\$9.21	\$9.10	\$9.00
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,148	\$1,109	\$1,087	\$1,236	\$1,219	\$1,169

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – July 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	23	10	7	0	6	0
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	104	39	28	5	30	2
Participants in a Work Activity	85	32	21	5	25	2
% of Total Enrolled	82%	82%	75%	100%	83%	100%
Participants Employed	74	28	17	5	23	1
% of Total Enrolled	71%	72%	61%	100%	77%	50%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.62	\$8.63	\$7.86	\$9.21	\$9.02	\$9.00
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,128	\$1,153	\$1,021	\$1,289	\$1,148	\$968
Three Months Employment ⁸	75%	84%	78%	43%	70%	0%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	4,474	1,739	1,300	411	904	120
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	3,403	1,283	1,007	307	728	78
Participants in a Work Activity	3,273	1,232	986	299	682	74
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	98%	97%	94%	95%
Participants Employed	2,288	835	700	182	525	46
% of Total Enrolled	67%	65%	70%	59%	72%	59%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.69	\$7.68	\$8.04	\$7.30	\$7.40	\$7.52
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,009	\$993	\$1,050	\$1,008	\$981	\$977
Three Months Employment ⁹	65%	66%	68%	58%	61%	49%

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: Status through July 31, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/15 and Work Activity as of 7/31/15

Current Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	553	114	50	48	88	49	204
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	266	72	13	23	26	17	115
Participants in a Work Activity	224	66	10	19	21	12	96
% of Enrolled	84%	92%	77%	83%	81%	71%	83%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	0	0	0	0	1	3
Participants Employed	167	40	6	16	18	12	75
% of Enrolled	63%	56%	46%	70%	69%	71%	65%
Full Time	116	30	6	8	15	6	51
Part Time	51	10	0	8	3	6	24
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.81	\$8.47	\$7.99	\$8.20	\$8.58	\$8.71	\$9.25
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,131	\$1,077	\$1,213	\$1,051	\$1,184	\$972	\$1,184

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – July 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	48	15	1	6	3	4	19
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	290	80	14	24	34	18	120
Participants in a Work Activity	260	76	11	20	29	14	110
% of Total Enrolled	90%	95%	79%	83%	85%	78%	92%
Participants Employed	179	43	6	16	21	13	80
% of Total Enrolled	62%	54%	43%	67%	62%	72%	67%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.89	\$8.56	\$7.99	\$8.20	\$8.37	\$8.83	\$9.42
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,068	\$995	\$1,097	\$1,049	\$1,051	\$1,023	\$1,120
Three Months Employment ⁸	76%	77%	86%	93%	83%	69%	71%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	6,958	1,693	331	542	1,101	374	2,917
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	5,466	1,260	246	391	833	273	2,463
Participants in a Work Activity	5,343	1,234	240	370	807	268	2,424
% of Total Enrolled	98%	98%	98%	95%	97%	98%	98%
Participants Employed	4,038	894	155	290	527	166	2,006
% of Total Enrolled	74%	71%	63%	74%	63%	61%	81%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.61	\$8.05	\$8.02	\$7.49	\$7.81	\$7.98	\$7.43
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$958	\$1,026	\$1,061	\$922	\$992	\$1,010	\$930
Three Months Employment ⁹	64%	58%	63%	57%	64%	65%	66%

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: Status through July 31, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/15 and Work Activity as of 7/31/15

Current Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	922	74	67	161	201	419
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	393	20	27	60	112	174
Participants in a Work Activity	299	16	26	56	77	124
% of Enrolled	76%	80%	96%	93%	69%	71%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	2	0	0	1	0
Participants Employed	225	9	20	35	49	112
% of Enrolled	57%	45%	74%	58%	44%	64%
Full Time	156	6	15	25	26	84
Part Time	69	3	5	10	23	28
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.26	\$8.44	\$8.27	\$8.53	\$8.44	\$8.08
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,054	\$1,147	\$1,105	\$1,087	\$1,003	\$1,050

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – July 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	73	7	5	10	21	30
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	425	20	28	61	119	197
Participants in a Work Activity	361	18	28	56	99	160
% of Total Enrolled	85%	90%	100%	92%	83%	81%
Participants Employed	245	10	21	40	52	122
% of Total Enrolled	58%	50%	75%	66%	44%	62%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.29	\$8.49	\$8.22	\$8.73	\$8.43	\$8.09
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$985	\$1,099	\$1,059	\$1,103	\$888	\$965
Three Months Employment ⁸	74%	71%	76%	85%	74%	71%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	11,868	1,100	926	2,383	2,766	4,693
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	9,874	877	814	1,919	2,385	3,879
Participants in a Work Activity	9,321	832	802	1,781	2,246	3,660
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	99%	93%	94%	94%
Participants Employed	6,745	576	575	1,253	1,632	2,709
% of Total Enrolled	68%	66%	71%	65%	68%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.86	\$7.07	\$6.57	\$6.94	\$7.05	\$6.74
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$878	\$909	\$897	\$882	\$917	\$845
Three Months Employment ⁹	64%	60%	55%	60%	63%	68%

EDD10 - Danville Region: Status through July 31, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/15 and Work Activity as of 7/31/15

Current Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,198	175	402	75	155	391
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	330	43	127	36	25	99
Participants in a Work Activity	253	24	101	26	19	83
% of Enrolled	77%	56%	80%	72%	76%	84%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	170	19	67	20	19	45
% of Enrolled	52%	44%	53%	56%	76%	45%
Full Time	145	18	52	18	18	39
Part Time	25	1	15	2	1	6
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.02	\$8.16	\$8.16	\$7.88	\$7.73	\$7.93
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,143	\$1,295	\$1,142	\$1,207	\$1,078	\$1,079

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – July 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	83	10	29	8	11	25
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	364	44	148	36	28	108
Participants in a Work Activity	282	30	110	26	26	90
% of Total Enrolled	77%	68%	74%	72%	93%	83%
Participants Employed	179	19	73	20	20	47
% of Total Enrolled	49%	43%	49%	56%	71%	44%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.01	\$8.16	\$8.15	\$7.88	\$7.71	\$7.90
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,059	\$1,155	\$1,070	\$1,122	\$1,026	\$989
Three Months Employment ⁸	65%	75%	70%	57%	56%	60%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	14,290	2,177	3,864	1,392	2,375	4,482
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	11,472	1,799	3,004	1,197	1,812	3,660
Participants in a Work Activity	11,118	1,738	2,886	1,130	1,787	3,577
% of Total Enrolled	97%	97%	96%	94%	99%	98%
Participants Employed	6,958	995	1,920	802	956	2,285
% of Total Enrolled	61%	55%	64%	67%	53%	62%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.59	\$6.55	\$7.01	\$6.48	\$6.57	\$6.30
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$871	\$862	\$946	\$841	\$879	\$821
Three Months Employment ⁹	63%	58%	64%	74%	58%	61%

EDD11 - Southside Region: Status through July 31, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/15 and Work Activity as of 7/31/15

Current Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	722	32	73	54	50	52	114	31	134	84	98
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	292	1	35	20	23	21	47	13	37	44	51
Participants in a Work Activity	226	1	18	18	16	19	41	5	29	33	46
% of Enrolled	77%	100%	51%	90%	70%	90%	87%	38%	78%	75%	90%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	157	1	17	14	6	11	24	4	19	28	33
% of Enrolled	54%	100%	49%	70%	26%	52%	51%	31%	51%	64%	65%
Full Time	124	1	13	12	4	6	19	4	13	23	29
Part Time	33	0	4	2	2	5	5	0	6	5	4
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.05	\$7.25	\$8.35	\$8.10	\$7.38	\$8.59	\$7.68	\$7.81	\$7.92	\$8.20	\$8.08
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,112	\$1,162	\$1,106	\$1,066	\$945	\$1,040	\$1,076	\$1,196	\$1,129	\$1,132	\$1,176

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – July 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	54	0	6	8	3	4	5	5	10	3	10
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	305	1	42	20	23	22	50	14	37	44	52
Participants in a Work Activity	246	1	29	19	17	20	43	5	29	35	48
% of Total Enrolled	81%	100%	69%	95%	74%	91%	86%	36%	78%	80%	92%
Participants Employed	162	1	18	15	6	11	25	4	19	30	33
% of Total Enrolled	53%	100%	43%	75%	26%	50%	50%	29%	51%	68%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.04	\$7.25	\$8.28	\$8.10	\$7.38	\$8.59	\$7.66	\$7.81	\$7.92	\$8.22	\$8.08
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,025	\$1,153	\$1,049	\$1,046	\$835	\$980	\$909	\$1,188	\$1,044	\$1,102	\$1,034
Three Months Employment ⁸	70%	41%	65%	61%	79%	74%	67%	33%	64%	82%	83%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	9,122	574	1,098	862	800	616	1,079	436	1,317	1,116	1,224
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	7,199	427	885	666	613	463	869	345	1,043	870	1,018
Participants in a Work Activity	6,916	405	881	633	577	440	838	340	1,000	810	992
% of Total Enrolled	96%	95%	100%	95%	94%	95%	96%	99%	96%	93%	97%
Participants Employed	4,429	263	512	386	319	268	529	172	679	584	717
% of Total Enrolled	62%	62%	58%	58%	52%	58%	61%	50%	65%	67%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.05	\$7.66	\$6.51	\$7.35	\$7.46	\$7.58	\$7.04	\$6.81	\$6.77	\$7.09	\$6.98
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$905	\$980	\$849	\$985	\$940	\$972	\$854	\$851	\$859	\$928	\$910
Three Months Employment ⁹	65%	61%	66%	59%	59%	58%	65%	59%	66%	69%	69%

EDD12 - Richmond Region: Status through July 31, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/15 and Work Activity as of 7/31/15

Current Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	3,708	673	22	92	971	35	1,915
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,313	202	7	45	417	8	634
Participants in a Work Activity	1,023	155	4	35	312	5	512
% of Enrolled	78%	77%	57%	78%	75%	63%	81%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	6	1	0	0	2	1	2
Participants Employed	744	121	1	32	234	4	352
% of Enrolled	57%	60%	14%	71%	56%	50%	56%
Full Time	562	94	1	29	169	4	265
Part Time	182	27	0	3	65	0	87
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.77	\$9.15	\$8.50	\$9.15	\$8.84	\$9.19	\$8.55
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,194	\$1,263	\$1,251	\$1,286	\$1,196	\$1,501	\$1,156

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – July 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	285	55	3	6	83	5	133
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,401	214	10	45	453	11	668
Participants in a Work Activity	1,104	169	6	35	342	8	544
% of Total Enrolled	79%	79%	60%	78%	75%	73%	81%
Participants Employed	776	127	2	32	245	6	364
% of Total Enrolled	55%	59%	20%	71%	54%	55%	54%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.77	\$9.11	\$8.75	\$9.15	\$8.83	\$9.19	\$8.57
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,157	\$1,221	\$780	\$1,187	\$1,146	\$1,337	\$1,140
Three Months Employment ⁸	73%	73%	33%	78%	69%	69%	76%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	41,754	8,803	328	1,501	10,657	422	20,043
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	32,946	6,719	254	1,074	8,785	316	15,798
Participants in a Work Activity	31,577	6,501	239	997	8,266	298	15,276
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	94%	93%	94%	94%	97%
Participants Employed	22,351	4,255	163	704	5,967	179	11,083
% of Total Enrolled	68%	63%	64%	66%	68%	57%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.58	\$7.90	\$7.45	\$8.42	\$7.90	\$7.95	\$7.28
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,002	\$1,054	\$948	\$1,069	\$1,029	\$1,008	\$970
Three Months Employment ⁹	69%	69%	64%	66%	66%	62%	71%

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: Status through July 31, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/15 and Work Activity as of 7/31/15

Current Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	912	119	49	328	279	137
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	399	65	18	128	112	76
Participants in a Work Activity	316	45	13	89	104	65
% of Enrolled	79%	69%	72%	70%	93%	86%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	1	1	0	0	1
Participants Employed	254	39	9	79	74	53
% of Enrolled	64%	60%	50%	62%	66%	70%
Full Time	200	29	8	65	56	42
Part Time	54	10	1	14	18	11
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.73	\$8.96	\$8.64	\$8.50	\$9.10	\$8.40
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,178	\$1,152	\$1,455	\$1,128	\$1,219	\$1,168

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – July 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	72	4	3	27	21	17
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	436	73	19	133	124	87
Participants in a Work Activity	347	51	14	93	112	77
% of Total Enrolled	80%	70%	74%	70%	90%	89%
Participants Employed	273	43	9	82	77	62
% of Total Enrolled	63%	59%	47%	62%	62%	71%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.89	\$9.39	\$8.64	\$8.48	\$9.03	\$8.93
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,126	\$1,118	\$1,367	\$1,070	\$1,107	\$1,192
Three Months Employment ⁸	77%	77%	76%	72%	79%	83%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	10,642	1,549	635	3,290	3,100	2,068
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	7,985	1,129	453	2,529	2,107	1,767
Participants in a Work Activity	7,543	1,071	429	2,356	2,009	1,678
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	95%	93%	95%	95%
Participants Employed	5,256	699	266	1,729	1,253	1,309
% of Total Enrolled	66%	62%	59%	68%	59%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.17	\$7.84	\$7.72	\$8.13	\$8.83	\$7.96
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,037	\$986	\$949	\$1,024	\$1,145	\$1,006
Three Months Employment ⁹	65%	59%	58%	66%	68%	66%

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: Status through July 31, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/15 and Work Activity as of 7/31/15

Current Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	360	64	30	29	46	19	39	26	34	73
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	114	19	4	6	10	6	17	6	22	24
Participants in a Work Activity	83	14	1	5	4	6	15	4	13	21
% of Enrolled	73%	74%	25%	83%	40%	100%	88%	67%	59%	88%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Participants Employed	70	14	1	4	4	6	15	4	5	17
% of Enrolled	61%	74%	25%	67%	40%	100%	88%	67%	23%	71%
Full Time	64	13	0	4	4	5	15	4	5	14
Part Time	6	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	3
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.37	\$8.33	\$7.50	\$8.31	\$8.19	\$7.92	\$8.58	\$7.85	\$7.95	\$8.74
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,140	\$1,205	\$650	\$1,157	\$1,216	\$1,032	\$1,140	\$1,202	\$1,078	\$1,137

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – July 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	26	7	1	3	4	1	0	0	4	6
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	130	20	4	8	10	6	23	6	23	30
Participants in a Work Activity	93	14	1	5	5	6	18	5	14	25
% of Total Enrolled	72%	70%	25%	63%	50%	100%	78%	83%	61%	83%
Participants Employed	78	14	1	4	4	6	18	4	6	21
% of Total Enrolled	60%	70%	25%	50%	40%	100%	78%	67%	26%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.36	\$8.30	\$7.50	\$8.31	\$8.19	\$7.92	\$8.51	\$7.85	\$8.29	\$8.60
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,113	\$1,174	\$645	\$1,181	\$1,223	\$880	\$1,137	\$1,234	\$1,236	\$1,049
Three Months Employment ⁸	70%	71%	50%	57%	50%	100%	76%	63%	40%	93%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	4,215	637	323	379	452	230	519	374	397	904
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	3,181	516	222	310	355	162	393	306	243	674
Participants in a Work Activity	2,998	493	211	295	335	155	371	295	227	616
% of Total Enrolled	94%	96%	95%	95%	94%	96%	94%	96%	93%	91%
Participants Employed	2,012	349	118	183	238	110	273	172	141	428
% of Total Enrolled	63%	68%	53%	59%	67%	68%	69%	56%	58%	64%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.21	\$7.24	\$7.24	\$7.64	\$6.99	\$7.16	\$7.24	\$6.91	\$7.18	\$7.22
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$913	\$894	\$877	\$1,005	\$875	\$907	\$919	\$868	\$946	\$929
Three Months Employment ⁹	58%	49%	45%	59%	50%	72%	72%	50%	44%	65%

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: Status through July 31, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/15 and Work Activity as of 7/31/15

Current Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	NewKent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	2,602	18	101	130	43	96	872	1,318	24
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,042	7	38	56	18	54	342	512	15
Participants in a Work Activity	864	4	35	43	13	42	287	427	13
% of Enrolled	83%	57%	92%	77%	72%	78%	84%	83%	87%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	17	0	0	1	2	4	9	1	0
Participants Employed	658	2	29	38	11	19	210	337	12
% of Enrolled	63%	29%	76%	68%	61%	35%	61%	66%	80%
Full Time	535	2	23	24	9	6	163	300	8
Part Time	123	0	6	14	2	13	47	37	4
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.41	\$8.00	\$7.54	\$8.31	\$8.25	\$8.54	\$8.50	\$8.43	\$8.85
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,143	\$1,039	\$892	\$997	\$1,119	\$912	\$1,137	\$1,199	\$1,130

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – July 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	256	1	15	7	1	7	80	141	4
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,127	7	41	58	19	54	373	554	21
Participants in a Work Activity	935	4	38	46	13	44	312	462	16
% of Total Enrolled	83%	57%	93%	79%	68%	81%	84%	83%	76%
Participants Employed	694	2	32	40	11	19	223	353	14
% of Total Enrolled	62%	29%	78%	69%	58%	35%	60%	64%	67%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.41	\$8.00	\$7.52	\$8.26	\$8.25	\$8.54	\$8.49	\$8.43	\$8.89
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,102	\$1,032	\$885	\$966	\$1,098	\$983	\$1,070	\$1,164	\$1,135
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	100%	84%	66%	71%	94%	72%	70%	80%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	30,492	183	1,259	1,389	412	1,311	10,501	14,961	476
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	23,368	134	912	1,107	305	1,026	7,905	11,615	364
Participants in a Work Activity	22,220	127	845	1,026	293	980	7,686	10,924	339
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	93%	93%	96%	96%	97%	94%	93%
Participants Employed	16,145	77	594	769	175	632	5,527	8,120	251
% of Total Enrolled	69%	57%	65%	69%	57%	62%	70%	70%	69%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.26	\$7.28	\$6.98	\$7.73	\$7.74	\$7.58	\$7.27	\$7.20	\$7.51
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$940	\$973	\$854	\$935	\$1,042	\$909	\$935	\$951	\$922
Three Months Employment ⁹	66%	52%	58%	57%	71%	61%	64%	69%	65%

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: Status through July 31, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/15 and Work Activity as of 7/31/15

Current Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	952	105	80	30	68	240	429
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	379	22	30	14	33	132	148
Participants in a Work Activity	311	22	23	10	26	112	118
% of Enrolled	82%	100%	77%	71%	79%	85%	80%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	7	0	1	0	0	1	5
Participants Employed	226	17	19	10	23	58	99
% of Enrolled	60%	77%	63%	71%	70%	44%	67%
Full Time	175	12	11	8	18	37	89
Part Time	51	5	8	2	5	21	10
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.57	\$8.04	\$8.77	\$8.75	\$8.75	\$8.83	\$8.41
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,176	\$1,126	\$1,134	\$1,111	\$1,198	\$1,187	\$1,188

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – July 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	65	4	10	2	4	17	28
Total Enrolled in VIEW	410	22	38	14	36	138	162
Participants in a Work Activity	354	22	33	11	32	123	133
% of Total Enrolled	86%	100%	87%	79%	89%	89%	82%
Participants Employed	254	19	21	10	26	68	110
% of Total Enrolled	62%	86%	55%	71%	72%	49%	68%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.50	\$8.03	\$8.80	\$8.75	\$8.64	\$8.69	\$8.35
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,144	\$1,176	\$1,058	\$986	\$1,155	\$1,140	\$1,169
Three Months Employment ⁸	65%	75%	76%	50%	86%	58%	64%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	10,687	1,376	994	423	843	2,674	4,377
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	8,384	896	747	352	678	2,192	3,519
Participants in a Work Activity	7,987	893	653	335	649	2,085	3,372
% of Total Enrolled	95%	100%	87%	95%	96%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	5,707	595	446	247	442	1,493	2,484
% of Total Enrolled	68%	66%	60%	70%	65%	68%	71%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.26	\$7.13	\$7.75	\$7.52	\$7.18	\$7.20	\$7.25
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$978	\$999	\$1,023	\$905	\$973	\$959	\$986
Three Months Employment ⁹	65%	58%	58%	55%	62%	63%	70%

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: Status through July 31, 2015

TANF Cases as of the end of 7/31/15 and Work Activity as of 7/31/15

Current Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	4,283	107	67	689	58	1,479	887	296	700
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,526	33	23	240	28	592	295	97	218
Participants in a Work Activity	1,227	24	20	204	22	453	249	81	174
% of Enrolled	80%	73%	87%	85%	79%	77%	84%	84%	80%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	9	0	1	0	1	0	5	0	2
Participants Employed	941	16	15	156	16	349	181	67	141
% of Enrolled	62%	48%	65%	65%	57%	59%	61%	69%	65%
Full Time	812	15	8	137	9	318	142	62	121
Part Time	129	1	7	19	7	31	39	5	20
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.40	\$8.30	\$8.62	\$8.40	\$8.32	\$8.40	\$8.29	\$8.18	\$8.61
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,145	\$1,097	\$1,104	\$1,186	\$1,142	\$1,125	\$1,161	\$1,147	\$1,135

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – July 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	338	4	8	61	3	122	56	31	53
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,578	35	23	266	29	593	303	98	231
Participants in a Work Activity	1,305	25	21	233	25	463	264	83	191
% of Total Enrolled	83%	71%	91%	88%	86%	78%	87%	85%	83%
Participants Employed	979	17	15	174	16	353	190	68	146
% of Total Enrolled	62%	49%	65%	65%	55%	60%	63%	69%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.41	\$8.24	\$8.62	\$8.47	\$8.32	\$8.38	\$8.30	\$8.17	\$8.68
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,108	\$1,080	\$1,156	\$1,165	\$998	\$1,101	\$1,073	\$1,094	\$1,116
Three Months Employment ⁸	74%	85%	90%	70%	52%	77%	68%	69%	77%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	58,473	1,339	1,095	9,531	933	18,698	9,422	3,716	13,739
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	43,456	974	880	7,089	760	13,801	7,530	2,920	9,502
Participants in a Work Activity	41,147	937	840	6,774	749	13,030	7,103	2,890	8,824
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	95%	96%	99%	94%	94%	99%	93%
Participants Employed	29,986	652	514	5,069	492	9,636	5,321	2,124	6,178
% of Total Enrolled	69%	67%	58%	72%	65%	70%	71%	73%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.06	\$7.02	\$6.82	\$7.26	\$6.80	\$6.96	\$6.93	\$6.89	\$7.37
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$917	\$921	\$853	\$952	\$844	\$885	\$918	\$897	\$969
Three Months Employment ⁹	67%	59%	54%	64%	63%	68%	69%	67%	69%

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date

This section lists the relative state fiscal year to date rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Wage, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	57.5%	5.75	64	\$8.68	2.85	29	69.7%	6.97	70	5.19	60
003	Albemarle	53.8%	5.38	75	\$8.56	2.68	40	77.1%	7.71	31	5.25	53
005	Alleghany/Covington	52.9%	5.29	77	\$8.14	2.09	78	59.1%	5.91	96	4.43	95
007	Amelia	100.0%	10.00	1	\$7.25	0.83	114	41.2%	4.12	112	4.98	74
009	Amherst	50.0%	5.00	81	\$8.49	2.58	44	71.4%	7.14	59	4.91	82
011	Appomattox	75.0%	7.50	13	\$8.22	2.20	69	75.9%	7.59	39	5.76	30
013	Arlington	45.9%	4.59	96	\$9.56	4.09	7	61.9%	6.19	91	4.96	76
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	69.7%	6.97	26	\$8.63	2.78	34	66.9%	6.69	79	5.48	42
017	Bath	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
019	Bedford County/City	65.6%	6.56	39	\$8.73	2.92	27	85.1%	8.51	11	6.00	19
021	Bland	0.0%	0.00	116	N/A	#####	N/A	75.0%	7.50	41	3.75	108
023	Botetourt	0.0%	0.00	117	N/A	#####	N/A	50.0%	5.00	105	2.50	117
025	Brunswick	42.9%	4.29	100	\$8.28	2.28	63	64.7%	6.47	84	4.35	99
027	Buchanan	53.8%	5.38	73	\$7.93	1.79	92	80.8%	8.08	20	5.08	67
029	Buckingham	75.0%	7.50	14	\$8.10	2.03	81	61.1%	6.11	92	5.21	57
031	Campbell	43.7%	4.37	97	\$8.43	2.50	50	73.8%	7.38	51	4.75	86
033	Caroline	58.9%	5.89	61	\$9.39	3.85	9	76.8%	7.68	33	5.81	28
035	Carroll	82.4%	8.24	7	\$7.60	1.33	109	80.7%	8.07	21	5.88	24
036	Charles City	28.6%	2.86	107	\$8.00	1.89	87	100.0%	10.00	1	4.92	79
037	Charlotte	26.1%	2.61	110	\$7.38	1.02	112	79.0%	7.90	24	3.84	107
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	59.3%	5.93	60	\$9.11	3.46	13	73.4%	7.34	56	5.58	38
043	Clarke	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	75.0%	7.50	42	7.50	1
045	Craig	22.2%	2.22	114	\$8.88	3.13	21	100.0%	10.00	2	5.12	65
047	Culpeper	71.8%	7.18	19	\$8.63	2.78	35	84.4%	8.44	13	6.13	12
049	Cumberland	50.0%	5.00	82	\$8.59	2.72	38	73.7%	7.37	53	5.03	72
051	Dickensen	66.7%	6.67	33	\$7.70	1.47	106	81.3%	8.13	19	5.42	43
053	Dinwiddie	86.4%	8.64	5	\$8.03	1.93	85	75.0%	7.50	43	6.02	16
057	Essex	70.0%	7.00	24	\$8.30	2.31	59	71.4%	7.14	60	5.49	41
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	50.6%	5.06	80	\$10.90	5.98	2	77.5%	7.75	30	6.26	8
061	Fauquier	60.7%	6.07	55	\$7.86	1.69	98	77.8%	7.78	28	5.18	61
063	Floyd	37.5%	3.75	105	\$7.92	1.78	93	75.0%	7.50	44	4.34	101
065	Fluvanna	42.9%	4.29	101	\$7.99	1.88	88	85.7%	8.57	8	4.91	81
067	Franklin County	49.1%	4.91	90	\$9.10	3.44	14	63.8%	6.38	88	4.91	80
069	Frederick	47.8%	4.78	92	\$8.27	2.27	65	47.1%	4.71	110	3.92	105
071	Giles	28.6%	2.86	108	\$13.75	10.00	1	66.7%	6.67	80	6.51	4
073	Gloucester	78.0%	7.80	10	\$7.52	1.21	110	84.4%	8.44	14	5.82	26
075	Goochland	20.0%	2.00	115	\$8.75	2.95	25	33.3%	3.33	114	2.76	115
077	Grayson	42.9%	4.29	102	\$7.75	1.54	102	27.3%	2.73	117	2.85	113

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	66.7%	6.67	34	\$8.20	2.17	72	93.3%	9.33	5	6.06	15
081	Greensville/Emporia	50.0%	5.00	83	\$7.66	1.41	108	66.7%	6.67	81	4.36	97
083	Halifax	43.2%	4.32	99	\$8.16	2.12	76	75.0%	7.50	45	4.64	91
085	Hanover	71.1%	7.11	23	\$9.15	3.51	12	78.1%	7.81	27	6.15	11
087	Henrico	54.1%	5.41	72	\$8.83	3.06	22	69.0%	6.90	74	5.12	64
089	Henry/Martinsville	49.3%	4.93	88	\$8.15	2.10	77	69.7%	6.97	69	4.67	89
091	Highland	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
093	Isle of Wight	48.6%	4.86	91	\$8.24	2.23	68	85.2%	8.52	10	5.20	58
095	James City	69.0%	6.90	28	\$8.26	2.26	66	66.1%	6.61	83	5.25	54
097	King and Queen	25.0%	2.50	112	\$7.50	1.18	111	50.0%	5.00	106	2.89	112
099	King George	47.4%	4.74	93	\$8.64	2.79	31	76.2%	7.62	36	5.05	69
101	King William	50.0%	5.00	84	\$8.31	2.33	58	57.1%	5.71	99	4.35	100
103	Lancaster	40.0%	4.00	103	\$8.19	2.16	74	50.0%	5.00	107	3.72	110
105	Lee	50.0%	5.00	85	\$8.14	2.09	79	78.7%	7.87	26	4.99	73
107	Loudoun	61.6%	6.16	53	\$10.34	5.19	4	74.5%	7.45	50	6.27	6
109	Louisa	61.8%	6.18	51	\$8.37	2.41	54	82.6%	8.26	17	5.62	36
111	Lunenburg	28.6%	2.86	109	\$7.81	1.62	100	33.3%	3.33	115	2.60	116
113	Madison	100.0%	10.00	2	\$9.21	3.60	10	42.9%	4.29	111	5.96	20
115	Mathews	100.0%	10.00	3	\$7.92	1.78	94	100.0%	10.00	3	7.26	2
117	Mecklenburg	51.4%	5.14	79	\$7.92	1.78	95	63.6%	6.36	89	4.43	96
119	Middlesex	78.3%	7.83	9	\$8.51	2.61	43	76.2%	7.62	37	6.02	17
121	Montgomery	73.4%	7.34	15	\$8.49	2.58	45	73.5%	7.35	55	5.76	31
125	Nelson	72.2%	7.22	17	\$8.83	3.06	23	69.2%	6.92	72	5.74	33
127	New Kent	57.9%	5.79	63	\$8.25	2.24	67	71.4%	7.14	61	5.06	68
131	Northampton	67.6%	6.76	32	\$8.40	2.45	52	73.7%	7.37	54	5.53	40
133	Northumberland	66.7%	6.67	35	\$7.85	1.68	99	62.5%	6.25	90	4.87	85
135	Nottoway	68.2%	6.82	29	\$8.22	2.20	70	82.4%	8.24	18	5.75	32
137	Orange	76.7%	7.67	11	\$9.02	3.33	16	70.0%	7.00	68	6.00	18
139	Page	46.2%	4.62	95	\$8.33	2.36	56	47.4%	4.74	109	3.90	106
141	Patrick	55.6%	5.56	66	\$7.88	1.72	97	56.5%	5.65	101	4.31	102
143	Pittsylvania	71.4%	7.14	20	\$7.71	1.48	105	56.0%	5.60	102	4.74	87
145	Powhatan	54.5%	5.45	70	\$9.19	3.57	11	69.2%	6.92	73	5.32	49
147	Prince Edward	63.5%	6.35	44	\$8.08	2.00	83	83.0%	8.30	15	5.55	39
149	Prince George	55.3%	5.53	68	\$8.80	3.02	24	76.0%	7.60	38	5.38	44
153	Prince William	60.3%	6.03	56	\$10.05	4.78	6	73.8%	7.38	52	6.06	14
155	Pulaski	53.6%	5.36	76	\$7.94	1.81	91	75.0%	7.50	46	4.89	83
157	Rappahannock	50.0%	5.00	86	\$9.00	3.30	17	0.0%	0.00	118	2.77	114
159	Richmond County	26.1%	2.61	111	\$8.29	2.30	62	40.0%	4.00	113	2.97	111
161	Roanoke County	62.5%	6.25	47	\$8.20	2.17	73	76.4%	7.64	35	5.35	47
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	84.6%	8.46	6	\$8.53	2.64	42	64.3%	6.43	85	5.84	25
165	Rockingham/H'burg	75.9%	7.59	12	\$8.48	2.57	47	70.4%	7.04	66	5.73	34
167	Russell	38.5%	3.85	104	\$8.02	1.92	86	77.8%	7.78	29	4.51	94
169	Scott	61.9%	6.19	50	\$8.08	2.00	84	59.1%	5.91	97	4.70	88
171	Shenandoah	91.7%	9.17	4	\$8.90	3.16	19	75.0%	7.50	47	6.61	3

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	55.6%	5.56	67	\$6.66	0.00	115	56.9%	5.69	100	3.75	109
175	<i>Southampton</i>	65.2%	6.52	41	\$8.62	2.76	36	90.0%	9.00	7	6.10	13
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	61.7%	6.17	52	\$8.48	2.57	48	72.2%	7.22	58	5.32	48
179	<i>Stafford</i>	62.1%	6.21	48	\$9.03	3.34	15	78.9%	7.89	25	5.81	27
181	<i>Surry</i>	71.4%	7.14	21	\$8.75	2.95	26	50.0%	5.00	108	5.03	71
183	<i>Sussex</i>	72.2%	7.22	18	\$8.64	2.79	32	85.7%	8.57	9	6.20	10
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	53.8%	5.38	74	\$7.74	1.52	103	67.4%	6.74	78	4.55	92
187	<i>Warren</i>	79.6%	7.96	8	\$7.81	1.62	101	75.0%	7.50	48	5.69	35
191	<i>Washington</i>	64.4%	6.44	42	\$7.72	1.50	104	60.0%	6.00	93	4.65	90
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	70.0%	7.00	25	\$8.60	2.74	37	93.3%	9.33	6	6.36	5
195	<i>Wise</i>	58.6%	5.86	62	\$7.97	1.85	89	69.0%	6.90	75	4.87	84
197	<i>Wythe</i>	68.2%	6.82	30	\$8.28	2.28	64	60.0%	6.00	94	5.03	70
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	35.2%	3.52	106	\$8.54	2.65	41	93.6%	9.36	4	5.18	62
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	47.2%	4.72	94	\$10.65	5.63	3	84.4%	8.44	12	6.26	7
520	<i>Bristol</i>	49.4%	4.94	87	\$7.96	1.83	90	55.3%	5.53	103	4.10	103
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	66.7%	6.67	36	\$9.42	3.89	8	71.4%	7.14	62	5.90	23
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	65.4%	6.54	40	\$8.47	2.55	49	70.4%	7.04	65	5.38	45
590	<i>Danville</i>	43.5%	4.35	98	\$7.90	1.75	96	60.0%	6.00	95	4.03	104
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	55.2%	5.52	69	\$8.32	2.34	57	52.2%	5.22	104	4.36	98
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	71.3%	7.13	22	\$8.93	3.20	18	82.7%	8.27	16	6.20	9
640	<i>Galax</i>	72.7%	7.27	16	\$8.13	2.07	80	80.0%	8.00	22	5.78	29
650	<i>Hampton</i>	59.8%	5.98	58	\$8.49	2.58	46	72.2%	7.22	57	5.26	52
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	49.3%	4.93	89	\$8.69	2.86	28	57.9%	5.79	98	4.53	93
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	61.9%	6.19	49	\$8.09	2.02	82	71.4%	7.14	63	5.12	66
683	<i>Manassas</i>	57.5%	5.75	65	\$10.22	5.02	5	71.1%	7.11	64	5.96	21
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	25.0%	2.50	113	\$7.33	0.94	113	33.3%	3.33	116	2.26	118
700	<i>Newport News</i>	63.7%	6.37	43	\$8.43	2.50	51	70.4%	7.04	67	5.30	51
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	59.5%	5.95	59	\$8.38	2.43	53	77.1%	7.71	32	5.36	46
720	<i>Norton</i>	66.7%	6.67	37	\$7.68	1.44	107	66.7%	6.67	82	4.92	78
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	67.9%	6.79	31	\$8.35	2.38	55	64.0%	6.40	87	5.19	59
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	62.7%	6.27	46	\$8.30	2.31	60	68.2%	6.82	77	5.13	63
750	<i>Radford</i>	60.0%	6.00	57	\$8.64	2.79	33	69.4%	6.94	71	5.25	55
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	54.5%	5.45	71	\$8.57	2.69	39	75.7%	7.57	40	5.24	56
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	61.3%	6.13	54	\$8.30	2.31	61	64.1%	6.41	86	4.95	77
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	69.4%	6.94	27	\$8.17	2.13	75	68.7%	6.87	76	5.31	50
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	63.2%	6.32	45	\$8.68	2.85	30	76.7%	7.67	34	5.61	37
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	66.7%	6.67	38	\$8.89	3.15	20	80.0%	8.00	23	5.94	22
840	<i>Winchester</i>	52.6%	5.26	78	\$8.21	2.19	71	75.0%	7.50	49	4.98	75

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores is done to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

VIEW Rankings, From Inception of VIEW

This section lists the relative cumulative rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Monthly Earnings, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	51.2%	2.04	117	\$897	3.49	82	65.1%	5.40	50	3.64	103
003	Albemarle	71.0%	7.24	15	\$1,026	6.01	18	58.0%	3.59	96	5.62	35
005	Alleghany/Covington	69.5%	6.85	29	\$860	2.79	99	58.5%	3.71	88	4.45	81
007	Amelia	61.6%	4.78	84	\$980	5.11	39	60.7%	4.28	75	4.72	68
009	Amherst	65.7%	5.85	55	\$909	3.73	76	59.9%	4.08	79	4.55	74
011	Appomattox	70.6%	7.16	18	\$897	3.51	81	55.3%	2.90	105	4.52	78
013	Arlington	71.0%	7.24	14	\$1,077	6.99	8	68.9%	6.34	23	6.86	6
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	67.2%	6.25	45	\$976	5.03	41	66.3%	5.69	38	5.66	33
017	Bath	60.4%	4.46	87	\$717	0.00	120	61.3%	4.42	72	2.96	115
019	Bedford County/City	65.3%	5.75	59	\$882	3.20	90	60.1%	4.13	77	4.36	84
021	Bland	57.9%	3.81	104	\$905	3.66	79	56.0%	3.09	104	3.52	107
023	Botetourt	43.4%	0.00	120	\$960	4.73	51	53.3%	2.39	112	2.37	119
025	Brunswick	57.9%	3.80	106	\$849	2.56	110	66.5%	5.73	36	4.03	95
027	Buchanan	53.6%	2.67	113	\$858	2.74	103	64.7%	5.28	53	3.56	105
029	Buckingham	58.0%	3.82	103	\$985	5.21	35	59.2%	3.91	83	4.31	86
031	Campbell	68.4%	6.58	37	\$917	3.90	73	62.9%	4.84	63	5.10	57
033	Caroline	61.9%	4.86	81	\$986	5.23	34	59.2%	3.89	85	4.66	71
035	Carroll	67.4%	6.31	44	\$849	2.57	109	54.6%	2.72	108	3.87	100
036	Charles City	57.5%	3.69	107	\$973	4.98	43	51.7%	1.99	114	3.55	106
037	Charlotte	52.0%	2.27	116	\$940	4.33	61	59.0%	3.84	86	3.48	109
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	63.3%	5.24	73	\$1,054	6.55	11	68.8%	6.31	25	6.03	20
043	Clarke	58.2%	3.88	101	\$1,030	6.09	16	57.5%	3.47	101	4.48	80
045	Craig	46.3%	0.75	119	\$1,025	5.99	19	63.3%	4.94	60	3.89	99
047	Culpeper	65.1%	5.70	63	\$993	5.38	31	66.1%	5.65	41	5.57	38
049	Cumberland	57.9%	3.81	105	\$972	4.96	44	58.1%	3.62	95	4.13	92
051	Dickenson	60.1%	4.39	88	\$851	2.62	107	54.9%	2.81	107	3.27	111
053	Dinwiddie	66.4%	6.05	53	\$999	5.48	30	58.2%	3.64	94	5.06	58
057	Essex	67.6%	6.37	43	\$894	3.45	85	49.2%	1.36	117	3.73	102
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	66.7%	6.12	50	\$1,187	9.13	3	69.8%	6.57	15	7.28	5
061	Fauquier	69.5%	6.86	28	\$1,050	6.48	12	68.5%	6.24	28	6.53	11
063	Floyd	64.5%	5.54	68	\$958	4.68	53	53.7%	2.51	110	4.24	89
065	Fluvanna	63.0%	5.15	75	\$1,061	6.70	10	63.1%	4.88	62	5.58	37
067	Franklin County	61.7%	4.81	82	\$966	4.85	47	57.9%	3.56	99	4.40	82
069	Frederick	58.5%	3.96	99	\$1,032	6.13	15	60.4%	4.19	76	4.76	66
071	Giles	56.9%	3.56	109	\$964	4.81	48	52.1%	2.09	113	3.49	108
073	Gloucester	65.1%	5.71	61	\$854	2.66	105	57.9%	3.57	98	3.98	97
075	Goochland	64.2%	5.46	70	\$948	4.50	58	63.8%	5.05	56	5.00	59
077	Grayson	64.3%	5.50	69	\$866	2.89	97	60.1%	4.13	78	4.18	91

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	74.2%	8.09	5	\$922	3.98	69	57.1%	3.37	103	5.15	55
081	Greensville/Emporia	60.9%	4.59	85	\$854	2.67	104	64.7%	5.28	52	4.18	90
083	Halifax	55.3%	3.13	112	\$862	2.83	98	58.4%	3.70	90	3.22	112
085	Hanover	65.5%	5.82	57	\$1,069	6.86	9	66.1%	5.65	42	6.11	18
087	Henrico	67.9%	6.44	40	\$1,029	6.07	17	65.8%	5.57	45	6.03	21
089	Henry/Martinsville	63.9%	5.39	71	\$946	4.46	59	63.9%	5.07	55	4.98	60
091	Highland	75.0%	8.31	4	\$784	1.29	118	83.3%	10.00	1	6.53	10
093	Isle of Wight	66.9%	6.19	49	\$921	3.98	70	59.3%	3.91	82	4.69	70
095	James City	69.5%	6.85	30	\$935	4.24	62	57.5%	3.45	102	4.85	65
097	King and Queen	53.2%	2.56	114	\$877	3.12	93	44.7%	0.22	119	1.97	120
099	King George	58.7%	4.02	96	\$949	4.51	57	58.0%	3.59	97	4.04	94
101	King William	59.0%	4.11	94	\$1,005	5.60	28	59.2%	3.90	84	4.53	76
103	Lancaster	67.0%	6.21	47	\$875	3.08	94	50.0%	1.57	116	3.62	104
105	Lee	65.5%	5.80	58	\$815	1.92	117	72.1%	7.15	8	4.95	61
107	Loudoun	75.8%	8.52	3	\$1,231	10.00	1	71.9%	7.12	9	8.54	1
109	Louisa	63.3%	5.22	74	\$992	5.34	32	64.0%	5.11	54	5.22	49
111	Lunenburg	49.9%	1.69	118	\$851	2.60	108	59.4%	3.96	80	2.75	117
113	Madison	59.3%	4.17	93	\$1,008	5.66	25	58.4%	3.69	91	4.51	79
115	Mathews	67.9%	6.44	41	\$907	3.69	77	71.8%	7.09	10	5.74	27
117	Mecklenburg	65.1%	5.70	62	\$859	2.77	100	66.1%	5.63	44	4.70	69
119	Middlesex	69.5%	6.85	31	\$919	3.93	71	72.2%	7.17	7	5.98	22
121	Montgomery	77.6%	8.98	2	\$961	4.75	50	63.1%	4.89	61	6.21	17
125	Nelson	60.8%	4.57	86	\$1,010	5.71	23	65.3%	5.45	49	5.24	46
127	New Kent	57.4%	3.67	108	\$1,042	6.32	13	71.0%	6.88	12	5.62	34
131	Northampton	65.6%	5.83	56	\$858	2.74	102	79.8%	9.10	2	5.89	25
133	Northumberland	56.2%	3.36	111	\$868	2.93	95	50.3%	1.65	115	2.65	118
135	Nottoway	67.1%	6.24	46	\$928	4.10	66	69.2%	6.44	20	5.59	36
137	Orange	72.1%	7.55	11	\$981	5.14	37	61.2%	4.40	73	5.70	30
139	Page	69.2%	6.79	32	\$1,004	5.57	29	59.3%	3.93	81	5.43	40
141	Patrick	67.0%	6.20	48	\$841	2.42	114	73.9%	7.61	6	5.41	42
143	Pittsylvania	52.8%	2.46	115	\$879	3.14	92	58.4%	3.68	92	3.09	114
145	Powhatan	56.6%	3.48	110	\$1,008	5.66	24	61.9%	4.57	69	4.57	73
147	Prince Edward	70.4%	7.10	20	\$910	3.76	74	68.7%	6.31	26	5.73	28
149	Prince George	59.7%	4.28	90	\$1,023	5.94	21	58.5%	3.71	89	4.64	72
153	Prince William	71.6%	7.42	12	\$1,206	9.52	2	70.3%	6.70	14	7.88	2
155	Pulaski	63.0%	5.14	76	\$957	4.67	54	53.3%	2.41	111	4.07	93
157	Rappahannock	59.0%	4.09	95	\$977	5.06	40	48.9%	1.29	118	3.48	110
159	Richmond County	58.0%	3.84	102	\$946	4.46	60	43.8%	0.00	120	2.77	116
161	Roanoke County	73.5%	7.90	9	\$1,039	6.27	14	58.3%	3.68	93	5.95	24
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	71.0%	7.24	16	\$879	3.15	91	67.6%	6.01	33	5.47	39
165	Rockingham/H'burg	73.7%	7.98	8	\$1,007	5.63	26	67.7%	6.04	31	6.55	9
167	Russell	59.4%	4.22	92	\$866	2.90	96	66.4%	5.70	37	4.27	88
169	Scott	58.7%	4.02	97	\$896	3.48	84	75.6%	8.04	5	5.18	52
171	Shenandoah	60.0%	4.36	89	\$963	4.79	49	69.6%	6.53	17	5.23	48

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	58.6%	4.00	98	\$882	3.21	89	62.1%	4.63	66	3.95	98
175	<i>Southampton</i>	58.4%	3.94	100	\$853	2.64	106	54.5%	2.70	109	3.10	113
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	68.4%	6.56	38	\$1,024	5.96	20	66.1%	5.64	43	6.05	19
179	<i>Stafford</i>	59.5%	4.22	91	\$1,145	8.33	4	68.2%	6.17	29	6.24	16
181	<i>Surry</i>	70.2%	7.04	21	\$905	3.66	78	55.3%	2.90	106	4.53	77
183	<i>Sussex</i>	65.2%	5.73	60	\$973	4.99	42	62.0%	4.61	67	5.11	56
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	66.3%	6.02	54	\$826	2.13	115	65.6%	5.50	47	4.55	75
187	<i>Warren</i>	68.9%	6.70	34	\$1,019	5.87	22	69.6%	6.52	19	6.36	13
191	<i>Washington</i>	62.0%	4.90	80	\$903	3.62	80	57.6%	3.48	100	4.00	96
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	63.5%	5.28	72	\$929	4.12	65	65.0%	5.35	51	4.92	62
195	<i>Wise</i>	62.4%	4.99	79	\$847	2.53	111	66.3%	5.69	39	4.40	83
197	<i>Wythe</i>	66.6%	6.09	52	\$859	2.76	101	66.8%	5.81	35	4.89	64
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	61.6%	4.78	83	\$909	3.73	75	60.9%	4.33	74	4.28	87
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	68.5%	6.60	36	\$1,119	7.81	6	78.0%	8.64	3	7.69	3
520	<i>Bristol</i>	67.8%	6.41	42	\$884	3.25	88	62.0%	4.59	68	4.75	67
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	81.4%	10.00	1	\$930	4.15	64	66.2%	5.66	40	6.60	8
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	71.5%	7.39	13	\$952	4.57	55	63.7%	5.03	57	5.66	31
590	<i>Danville</i>	62.4%	5.00	78	\$821	2.02	116	61.4%	4.44	71	3.82	101
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	64.7%	5.61	66	\$844	2.47	113	63.4%	4.96	59	4.34	85
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	74.1%	8.06	6	\$1,006	5.63	27	65.8%	5.55	46	6.42	12
640	<i>Galax</i>	62.6%	5.04	77	\$888	3.32	86	68.7%	6.30	27	4.89	63
650	<i>Hampton</i>	69.9%	6.97	24	\$935	4.23	63	63.6%	5.00	58	5.40	43
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	68.1%	6.49	39	\$959	4.70	52	62.6%	4.75	64	5.31	45
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	69.8%	6.95	26	\$845	2.50	112	67.9%	6.09	30	5.18	53
683	<i>Manassas</i>	64.6%	5.56	67	\$1,136	8.15	5	69.6%	6.53	18	6.75	7
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	66.6%	6.09	51	\$1,108	7.61	7	76.5%	8.28	4	7.33	4
700	<i>Newport News</i>	69.9%	6.97	25	\$951	4.55	56	68.8%	6.33	24	5.95	23
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	69.8%	6.94	27	\$885	3.26	87	67.7%	6.04	32	5.42	41
720	<i>Norton</i>	73.8%	7.98	7	\$763	0.89	119	70.9%	6.85	13	5.24	47
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	70.6%	7.15	19	\$986	5.23	33	69.8%	6.57	16	6.32	15
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	70.7%	7.17	17	\$918	3.91	72	69.0%	6.37	22	5.81	26
750	<i>Radford</i>	70.2%	7.03	22	\$927	4.09	67	61.7%	4.54	70	5.22	50
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	70.2%	7.03	23	\$970	4.92	45	71.5%	7.00	11	6.32	14
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	64.8%	5.63	65	\$985	5.21	36	62.2%	4.65	65	5.16	54
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	72.7%	7.71	10	\$897	3.49	83	67.4%	5.97	34	5.72	29
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	65.0%	5.68	64	\$969	4.90	46	69.1%	6.40	21	5.66	32
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	69.0%	6.72	33	\$922	3.99	68	65.5%	5.48	48	5.39	44
840	<i>Winchester</i>	68.6%	6.61	35	\$980	5.11	38	59.0%	3.84	87	5.19	51

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores was begun in May 1999 to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

State Summary July 1, 2015 – July 31, 2015

	State	ED 1	ED 2	ED 3	ED 4	ED 5	ED 6	ED 7	ED 8	ED 9	ED 10	ED 11	ED 12	ED 13	ED 14	ED 15	ED 16	ED 17	ED 18
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>																			
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>																			
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>																			
# Over 30 Days	156	1	0	0	0	0	86	2	0	0	0	0	18	41	4	0	0	4	0
Average # of Days Over 30	64	23	0	0	0	0	101	6	0	0	0	0	35	39	33	0	0	17	0

EDD1 – Southwest Region: July 1, 2015 - July 31, 2015

	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
<u>Timely Enrollment¹¹</u>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral¹²</u>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment¹⁴</u>									
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	23

EDD2 - Bristol-Galax Region: July 1, 2015 - July 31, 2015

	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD3 - Roanoke Region: July 1, 2015 - July 31, 2015

	Total EDD3	Alleghny/ Covington	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹												
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²												
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴												
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: July 1, 2015 - July 31, 2015

	<i>Total EDD4</i>	<i>Aug/S'ton /W'boro</i>	<i>Bath</i>	<i>Highland</i>	<i>Rockbridge/ BV/Lex</i>	<i>Rockingham/ HBurg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD5 & EDD18 - Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: July 1, 2015 - July 31, 2015

	<i>Total EDD5</i>	<i>Clarke</i>	<i>Frederick</i>	<i>Page</i>	<i>Shen.</i>	<i>Warren</i>	<i>Winchester</i>	<i>Total EDD18</i>	<i>Accomack</i>	<i>Nhampton</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹										
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴										
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: July 1, 2015 - July 31, 2015

	<i>Total EDD6</i>	<i>Arlington</i>	<i>Fairfax</i>	<i>Loudoun</i>	<i>Prince William</i>	<i>Alexandria</i>	<i>Manassas</i>	<i>Manassas Park</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹								
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²								
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴								
# Over 30 Days	86	0	0	0	85	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	101	0	0	0	102	0	0	1

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: July 1, 2015 - July 31, 2015

	<i>Total EDD7</i>	<i>Culpeper</i>	<i>Fauquier</i>	<i>Madison</i>	<i>Orange</i>	<i>Rapp.</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	0	2	0
Average # of Days Over 30	6	0	0	0	6	0

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: July 1, 2015 - July 31, 2015

	<i>Total EDD8</i>	<i>Albemarle</i>	<i>Fluvanna</i>	<i>Greene</i>	<i>Louisa</i>	<i>Nelson</i>	<i>Cville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: July 1, 2015 - July 31, 2015

	<i>Total EDD9</i>	<i>Amherst</i>	<i>Appom.</i>	<i>Bedford</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Lynchburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD10 - Danville Region: July 1, 2015 - July 31, 2015

	<i>Total EDD10</i>	<i>Halifax</i>	<i>Henry/ Martinsville</i>	<i>Patrick</i>	<i>Pittsylvania</i>	<i>Danville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD11 – Southside Region: July 1, 2015 - July 31, 2015

	<i>Total EDD11</i>	<i>Amelia</i>	<i>Brunswick</i>	<i>Buckingham</i>	<i>Charlotte</i>	<i>Cumberland</i>	<i>Gr'nsville Emporia</i>	<i>Lunenburg</i>	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	<i>Nottoway</i>	<i>Pr. Edward</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹											
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²											
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴											
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD12 - Richmond Region: July 1, 2015 - July 31, 2015

	<i>Total EDD12</i>	<i>Chesterfield/ Col Hgts</i>	<i>Goochland</i>	<i>Hanover</i>	<i>Henrico</i>	<i>Powhatan</i>	<i>Richmond City</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	18	0	0	0	0	0	18
Average # of Days Over 30	35	0	0	0	0	0	35

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: July 1, 2015 - July 31, 2015

	<i>Total EDD13</i>	<i>Caroline</i>	<i>King George</i>	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	<i>Stafford</i>	<i>Fredericksburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	41	1	0	40	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	39	221	0	35	0	0

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: July 1, 2015 - July 31, 2015

	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North- umberland	Richmond County	West- moreland
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹										
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴										
# Over 30 Days	4	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	33	0	33	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: July 1, 2015 - July 31, 2015

	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: July 1, 2015 - July 31, 2015

	<i>Total EDD16</i>	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	<i>Prince George</i>	<i>Surry</i>	<i>Sussex</i>	<i>Hopewell</i>	<i>Petersburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: July 1, 2015 - July 31, 2015

	<i>Total EDD17</i>	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	<i>South- hampton</i>	<i>Chesapeake</i>	<i>Franklin City</i>	<i>Norfolk</i>	<i>Portsmouth</i>	<i>Suffolk</i>	<i>VA Beach</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
% Timely in July 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in July 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	4	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	17	16	0	0	0	18	0	0	0

Child Support Enforcement - Statewide Totals

Collections

	<i>Jul-14</i>	<i>Jul-15</i>	<i>% Change</i>
TANF Cases Collected	14,602	18,288	25.2%
NTANF Cases Collected	133,104	132,596	-0.4%
Total Cases Collected	147,706	150,884	2.2%
TANF Collections	\$2,532,249	\$2,748,614	8.5%
NTANF Collections	\$51,403,764	\$50,332,980	-2.1%
Total Collections	\$53,936,013	\$53,081,594	-1.6%
SFY YTD Collections	\$53,936,013	\$53,081,594	-1.6%
SFY YTD Average Collection	\$365.16	\$351.80	-3.7%

Paternities Established

	<i>Jul-15</i>
TANF Paternities	149
NTANF Paternities	303
Combined Paternities	452

Diversionsary Assistance

July Diversionsary Assistance Cases

Locality	Number of Cases		Locality	Number of Cases
Albemarle	1		Prince William	1
Amherst	1		Roanoke County	2
Augusta / Staunt'n / W'boro	4		Scott	1
Bedford	2		Shenandoah	5
Brunswick	1		Spotsylvania	1
Buchanan	1		Warren	3
Buckingham	1		Bristol	3
Campbell	1		Charlottesville	3
Caroline	1		Chesapeake	5
Chesterfield/Colonial Hgts	1		Franklin City	1
Culpeper	1		Fredericksburg	2
Fairfax / Falls Church	1		Hampton	3
Fauquier	1		Hopewell	8
Fluvanna	1		Lynchburg	2
Gloucester	1		Newport News	8
Hanover	1		Norfolk	3
Henrico	7		Petersburg	2
Henry / Martinsville	1		Portsmouth	1
Isle of Wight	1		Roanoke City	9
Loudoun	2		Suffolk	3
Louisa	1		Virginia Beach	7
Prince George	1		Statewide	106

Definitions of Selected Terms Contained in Report

Average Monthly Earnings. This is the average monthly earnings of the unsubsidized jobs that participants obtained. The value is calculated by multiplying the hourly wage by the weekly hours worked by 4.3 average weeks per month. For the cumulative figures the initial wage and initial hours are used. For the current figures, the initial wage and most current hours are used, except for the November 2001 report which used initial hours. Earlier than June 1999, wage changes that were entered overwrote the initial wage, so prior to that date, the cumulative figures used the initial hours and the last wage entered. With the advent of the VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) program in October 2006 the end of month average monthly earnings might be expected to decline somewhat because prior to VTP, cases with high earnings might remain open for job follow-up, but after the implementation of VTP the VIEW case would be closed when the TANF case was closed and a VTP case opened. VTP cases are not included in this report except for estimating the participation rate.

Average Wage. The average of the wages reported for each occurrence of unsubsidized employment. After June 1999 the wage is the wage at hiring, that is, the initial wage. Prior to June 1999, that wage may have been updated if changed during employment. After the implementation of the VIEW Transitional Payment program the end of month point in time average wage might be expected to decline some for the same reason mentioned in the explanation of possible decline in Average Monthly earnings, above. See note 6 on page 44.

Cases Closed with Employment. These are TANF or TANF-UP cases that are closed with an indication in the data base of employment at the date of closure. It does not mean that the case necessarily closed because of employment. For example, a TANF case may have an indication of closing at the client's request, along with indication of existing employment in VIEW. That case is counted as closed with employment.

EDD. This stands for Economic Development District. EDDs were developed during the administration of Governor Allen. They generally are no longer in use. This report continues to refer to them because VIEW was phased in by EDD and their use provides consistency over time.

Enrolled. Unduplicated number by locality of TANF recipients who were interviewed, received an individual assessment, signed the Agreement of Personal Responsibility, and enrolled in the VIEW program. Because the count is by locality, a recipient may be counted once in more than one locality. The fiscal year to date numbers include those who were enrolled at the beginning of the fiscal year as well as those enrolled during the year. There are clients enrolled in VIEW who have had their TANF case closed because VIEW policy requires that the enrollment remain open for job follow-up after employment unless the employment meets federal work participation guidelines, in which case the VIEW case is closed and a VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) case is opened.

Full Time Employment. Employment at 30 hours a week or greater.

Participants Assigned to Inactive. Inactive is a status assigned to participants who cannot participate in the VIEW program for reasons other than being exempt. Examples are unavailability of child care, unavailability of transportation, and mental or medical problems that make participation impractical. Inactive participants are due to be reviewed each month. While in inactive status, the 24 month time limit for TANF benefits is suspended.

Participants Employed. Total number of participants by locality who obtained an unsubsidized job, either full time or part time. If employed more than one time, they are still only counted once, but may be counted in more than one locality. Also, these participants may have left their job and not gotten another one. For the year to date numbers, those employed at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first became employed in the fiscal year.

Participants in a Work Activity. Unduplicated count by locality of persons who have been in any of the following components: unsubsidized employment, full employment program (FEP), on-the-job training (OJT), community work experience program (CWEP), public service program (PSP), vocational education and training, and job search/job readiness. For example, a person who was in community work experience and then employed would be counted only once.

For the year to date numbers, those in a work activity at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first entered a work activity in the fiscal year. Note that this is a revised definition of work activity from reports through October 2006. The revised definition captures the activities that count toward the first 20 hours of federal participation requirements – the core work activities. Clients who are counted as being in a core work activity must meet additional participation rate requirements in order to be included in the work participation rate, and only VIEW clients are counted here, not all work eligible TANF clients **Thus, these percentages in a work activity are quite different from the percentages in the TANF work participation rate.**

Part Time Employment. Employment at fewer than 30 weekly hours.

Referred. Unduplicated number of TANF recipients by locality who were initially evaluated as eligible for VIEW and had their names referred to the automated VIEW list for participation.

Relationship Between TANF and VIEW Participation. On the chart at page 5a, the column heading “Total Adults in the Case” includes adults who are not considered to be part of the assistance unit. For example, a mother receiving SSI may be in the case, but because she receives SSI is not considered eligible for TANF and thus is not part of the assistance unit. Persons such as she who are not in the assistance unit are not eligible to participate in VIEW. Also included are adults who are part of the assistance unit but exempt from VIEW

Five Months Employment. In earlier reports, this represented the percent of all participants that were employed 150 days after their first employment. Participants who were employed in any job 150 days after their first employment were counted in this measure.. This measure became unavailable after July 1, 2011. See note 9 on page 44.

Three Months Employment. This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 90 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 90 days after their first employment are counted in this measure. For year to date percents, the calculations are based on the first employment that began one year before the end of the report month. That employment may not have been the first ever for the participant. The year to date percents were calculated differently in reports before September 2004. Also for year to date percents, transferred cases were not counted for months after the January 2002 report.

TANF / TANF-UP Payment Cases. Up to November 2003 these counts were taken from a monthly report with no adjustment. After that, the monthly counts included some cases that were being paid because child support payments had been received and were being passed on. These counts were adjusted to mostly eliminate those additional cases. Further adjustment may be appropriate. It should also be noted that the counts include both strictly TANF cases and MOE cases for some years. With the end of waiver provisions in July 2003, a substantial part of the caseload was placed in a state program and funded with MOE funds. This change in July 2003 was a change in funding, not a change in eligibility requirements or case payment amounts. It ended in September 2006 when VIEW transitional payments (VTP) were introduced. Adjustments have been made to take out VTP cases. Also, TANF-UP cases are currently funded with only state monies.

VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). Beginning in October 2006, VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) were introduced. These are payments of \$50 per client per month for one year to VIEW clients that leave “regular” TANF with employment sufficient to meet Federal work participation requirements. The employment must be maintained to be eligible for VTP. Previously, VTP clients normally would have remained VIEW clients for five or six months of job-follow-up. Thus, the VTP program has had a diminishing effect on some of the statistics in this report such as end of month percent employed and average wage. See graph on page 5a.

Time That Data Represent. The data in the top sections of page 4 and pages 8 – 24 are point in time data as of the date indicated. The year to date section represents state fiscal year to date data, with the exception of three month retention (see above). The bottom section is cumulative from when the locality began VIEW.

General Statement About Accuracy. The report is based on an extract from the Employment Services automated system. The extract is normally made the night of the first work day after the end of the report month. Data that staff of local social services agencies enter into the automated system after the time of the extract are not included in the report, even though the activity reflected actually occurred in the report month. However, that data, and the activity reflected, are included in the reports for subsequent months. Further, because the data are entered by staff in the 120 localities, the accuracy of the data in the data base is, of course, subject to inadvertent error.

¹ Applications are not necessarily acted upon in the month that they are received. Also, applications may be transferred from regular TANF to TANF-UP and vice versa as they are processed. November applications are being reviewed for accuracy.

² These TANF cases paid counts are prior to possible adjustment. See definition of payment cases. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes.

³ VIEW enrolled counts do not include VTP clients

⁴ A case may be open in more than one locality during the time period.

⁵ Prior to June 1999, when individuals were transferred from one locality to another, their history was transferred as well. This may have an effect on a locality's cumulative VIEW statistics.

⁶ The minimum wage rose from \$6.55 to \$7.25 an hour on 7/24/09. The report reflects initial wages so some effect on wages in the report was delayed. The average wage includes full and part time, unless otherwise indicated. See the definition of average wage on page 42.

⁷ Includes full and part time earnings.

⁸ This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed three months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed three months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous. See definition on page 43 for the revised method of calculating the year to date percentages.

⁹ Until the July 2011 report, this was employed after five months. However, on July 1, 2011 the number of required job follow-ups was reduced to three. Thus, data for five month job retention became unavailable.

¹⁰ Number includes TANF-UP and represents cases carried over to the next month. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes, but with the advent of VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) such occurrences were reduced from earlier months.

¹¹ Enrollment is timely if done within 30 days of referral in previous month of the state fiscal year. The monthly percent includes evaluation of clients waiting in the queue. The year to date does not.

¹² Placement in a work activity on the 95th day after referral was a measure of timeliness before Oct 1, 2006. The revised definition of work activity has increased the percentage from reports before November 2006. Clients whose cases have closed before the 95th day are excluded, so 100% is an attainable mark.


¹⁴ As of the morning of August 3, 2015. Some referrals to the waiting list are not appropriate; there may be considerable lag time in correcting them.

This report is available on the Department of Social Services web site at:
http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial_assistance/tanf.cgi

The scores in the VIEW Rankings are determined by placing a locality on a scale of zero to ten in the same relative position as its place in the difference between the high and low of the measure in question. This converts all measures to a similar scale and provides a more equitable way to combine the ratings in each measure to determine a combined rank. (Questions, please call 804 726-7618.)

Comments on the format or content of the report and requests for Excel files for portions of current and past reports may be sent by e-mail to: william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov.

TANF and TANF-UP Cases Paid at Six Month Intervals, except last month June 1995 through July 2015




This graph illustrates the dramatic decline of the TANF caseload as VIEW was implemented. There was some sign of slowing in the rate of decline after June 1998. Nevertheless, wide community involvement, a strong economy, and the effect of the 24 month rule allowed the caseload to continue to fall until it was near the 30,000 level. There was little net change in the caseload from June 2000 to June 2002, but some upward movement was seen after June 2002, until 2005. The more recent trends are presumed to be a result of economic conditions. The caseload leveled off after recession spurred growth and now is declining.

Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

Applications Received with Approval Percentage


Six Month Asymmetrical Moving Averages by Quarter, July 1995 through July 2015



This graph of application activity is presented as six month moving averages to produce smoother lines from which trends may be seen and in recognition that applications are not always acted on in the month received. There seems to have been a general decline in the number of applications received until the July 2001 data. The decline in applications received after December 2004 and the more recent changes appear to be reflected in the case load trends. A reason for the general decrease in percent approved may be the lack of any increase in income threshold for initial eligibility; also, a reason for more recent decline may be the availability of applications on line that offers ready access for applying to multiple assistance programs. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

TANF Cases, VIEW Enrolled, and Employed VIEW Participants


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. July is the last month



This graph shows that the number enrolled in VIEW increased during early implementation and then generally tracked the number of TANF cases. The effects of recent economic conditions are apparent. A VIEW case may remain open for some months after the TANF case closes, if job follow-up is being done and the case has not been converted to the VIEW Transitional Payment program. It is unlikely that the number employed will ever equal the number enrolled because there are always new enrollees without employment, and there are some enrollees in work activities, other than employment, that meet VIEW requirements. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months. It includes TANF-UP.

Chart Displaying the Relationships Between TANF and VIEW Participation


July 31, 2015



This chart illustrates that there are a large number of adults who are exempt from VIEW and that many of the VIEW enrollees have had their TANF cases closed. They are now in a job follow-up phase of VIEW. They have not had their VIEW case closed and become enrolled in the VIEW Transitional Payment program. The source of this information is a monthly TANF extract from ADAPT and the monthly extract from ESPAS, the VIEW automated data system. The extracts were made at the beginning of August and represent end of July data. TANF-UP is included.

VIEW Percent Employed and Average Wage at End of Month


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. July is the last month.



This graph shows that the average wage has seen a general increase as the percent employed has varied somewhat. Besides employment, VIEW participants may be in various other activities or initial assessment and job search, and economic conditions (one slight recession and one large one) apparently had expected effects. The wages shown are generally the initial wages. When the average wage was calculated at about the end of July it was \$8.79 rather than the \$8.65 initial wages used to calculate wages shown on this graph. See page 42 of the report for an explanation of why the VIEW average wage might decline after October 2006 and note 6 on page 44 for the effect of the increase in minimum wage. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.

Percent of Jobs Found During a Month That Were Full Time and Percent Part Time

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. July is the last month.



This graph illustrates an initial trend of VIEW participants obtaining a greater percent of full time jobs than part time. After the initial months, the trend seems to be fairly level with an increase in the percent full time after TANF reauthorization which probably reflects increased emphasis on meeting the required TANF work participation rate. The more recent changes are presumed to be a result of economic developments and increased use of other work activities to help meet the required work participation hours. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.