

# **VIRGINIA INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM**

## **MONTHLY REPORT**

**Including Graphs of Statewide Trends**

**August 2015**

# Monthly Report, August 2015

## Table of Contents

Work Participation Estimates (from the VDSS data warehouse) (A work participation estimate percent should not be compared to a percent in a work activity in the main body of the report which includes only clients in VIEW and does not consider the number of hours in a work activity during a month)	i-vi
TANF, TANF-UP Case Activity.....	1
Graphs of Case Activity.....	at end
VIEW Work Activity	
Statewide .....	4
Graphs of Statewide Activity.....	at end
EDD1.....	8
EDD2.....	9
EDD3.....	10
EDD4.....	11
EDD5 & EDD18.....	12
EDD6.....	13
EDD7.....	14
EDD8.....	15
EDD9.....	16
EDD10.....	17
EDD11.....	18
EDD14.....	19
EDD1.....	20
EDD3.....	21
EDD11.....	22
EDD15.....	23
EDD17.....	24
VIEW Year to Date Rankings.....	25-27
VIEW Cumulative Rankings.....	28-30
VIEW Timeliness Measures.....	31-39
Child Support Enforcement.....	40
Diversion.....	41
VIEW Definition Sheet and Endnotes.....	42-44

# Estimated Work Participation Rates for July

*These are estimated locality July 2015 work participation rates that include clients receiving either TANF or VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). The official participation rate will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated July statewide rate is 44% with a numerator of 5,334 and a denominator of 12,230.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	25	55	45%	061	Fauquier	22	43	51%
003	Albemarle	31	58	53%	063	Floyd	5	12	42%
005	Alleghany/Covington	22	40	55%	065	Fluvanna	1	19	5%
007	Amelia	5	11	45%	067	Franklin County	33	77	43%
009	Amherst	16	31	52%	069	Frederick	19	42	45%
011	Appomattox	16	40	40%	071	Giles	0	12	0%
013	Arlington	46	77	60%	073	Gloucester	22	52	42%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	73	153	48%	075	Goochland	3	11	27%
017	Bath	0	0	N/A	077	Grayson	7	14	50%
019	Bedford County/City	34	66	52%	079	Greene	13	28	46%
021	Bland	1	4	25%	081	Greensville/Emporia	31	63	49%
023	Botetourt	3	7	43%	083	Halifax	18	61	30%
025	Brunswick	21	48	44%	085	Hanover	14	36	39%
027	Buchanan	17	52	33%	087	Henrico	212	489	43%
029	Buckingham	15	32	47%	089	Henry/Martinsville	66	191	35%
031	Campbell	46	115	40%	091	Highland	0	2	0%
033	Caroline	39	69	57%	093	Isle of Wight	13	51	25%
035	Carroll	26	40	65%	095	James City	33	88	38%
036	Charles City	3	9	33%	097	King and Queen	0	13	0%
037	Charlotte	5	23	22%	099	King George	10	23	43%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	141	297	47%	101	King William	2	17	12%
043	Clarke	0	1	0%	103	Lancaster	5	17	29%
045	Craig	2	8	25%	105	Lee	50	87	57%
047	Culpeper	24	58	41%	107	Loudoun	30	97	31%
049	Cumberland	10	27	37%	109	Louisa	20	39	51%
051	Dickensen	10	17	59%	111	Lunenburg	5	15	33%
053	Dinwiddie	13	41	32%	113	Madison	0	6	0%
057	Essex	11	34	32%	115	Mathews	2	6	33%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	207	483	43%	117	Mecklenburg	20	50	40%

# Estimated Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent
119	Middlesex	13	22	59%	187	Warren	35	54	65%
121	Montgomery	44	95	46%	191	Washington	41	81	51%
125	Nelson	12	22	55%	193	Westmoreland	12	34	35%
127	New Kent	8	21	38%	195	Wise	48	111	43%
131	Northampton	14	29	48%	197	Wythe	11	26	42%
133	Northumberland	4	10	40%	199	York/Poquoson	28	71	39%
135	Nottoway	20	53	38%	510	Alexandria	71	140	51%
137	Orange	15	37	41%	520	Bristol	42	89	47%
139	Page	2	11	18%	540	Charlottesville	68	140	49%
141	Patrick	16	44	36%	550	Chesapeake	176	392	45%
143	Pittsylvania	20	64	31%	590	Danville	76	188	40%
145	Powhatan	2	11	18%	620	Franklin City	18	34	53%
147	Prince Edward	40	72	56%	630	Fredericksburg	45	84	54%
149	Prince George	18	48	38%	640	Galax	9	16	56%
153	Prince William	157	415	38%	650	Hampton	256	534	48%
155	Pulaski	16	37	43%	670	Hopewell	73	145	50%
157	Rappahannock	2	3	67%	680	Lynchburg	120	227	53%
159	Richmond County	5	15	33%	683	Manassas	28	45	62%
161	Roanoke County	33	99	33%	685	Manassas Park	2	8	25%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	12	25	48%	700	Newport News	379	747	51%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	68	117	58%	710	Norfolk	411	850	48%
167	Russell	24	69	35%	720	Norton	8	25	32%
169	Scott	25	63	40%	730	Petersburg	94	227	41%
171	Shenandoah	13	24	54%	740	Portsmouth	197	467	42%
173	Smyth	21	70	30%	750	Radford	15	34	44%
175	Southampton	19	42	45%	760	Richmond City	394	1,056	37%
177	Spotsylvania	15	172	9%	770	Roanoke City	116	347	33%
179	Stafford	60	133	45%	800	Suffolk	82	155	53%
181	Surry	8	12	67%	810	Virginia Beach	179	345	52%
183	Sussex	11	34	32%	830	Williamsburg	12	18	67%
185	Tazewell	38	85	45%	840	Winchester	15	29	52%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF and VTP recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rate presented here is the all families rate, i. e. the overall rate, including VTP..

# Estimated TANF only Work Participation Rates for July

*These are estimated locality July 2015 work participation rates that include only clients receiving TANF. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated July statewide rate is 37% with a numerator of 3,955 and a denominator of 10,750. VTP only estimates are on pages v and vi.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	15	44	34%	061	Fauquier	13	33	39%
003	Albemarle	18	44	41%	063	Floyd	4	11	36%
005	Alleghany/Covington	18	36	50%	065	Fluvanna	0	16	0%
007	Amelia	1	7	14%	067	Franklin County	26	70	37%
009	Amherst	7	22	32%	069	Frederick	10	32	31%
011	Appomattox	9	33	27%	071	Giles	0	12	0%
013	Arlington	39	69	57%	073	Gloucester	12	42	29%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	49	128	38%	075	Goochland	1	9	11%
017	Bath	0	0	N/A	077	Grayson	6	13	46%
019	Bedford County/City	29	61	48%	079	Greene	9	24	38%
021	Bland	0	3	0%	081	Greensville/Emporia	23	55	42%
023	Botetourt	3	7	43%	083	Halifax	17	60	28%
025	Brunswick	14	40	35%	085	Hanover	10	31	32%
027	Buchanan	12	47	26%	087	Henrico	149	420	35%
029	Buckingham	11	27	41%	089	Henry/Martinsville	56	180	31%
031	Campbell	28	97	29%	091	Highland	0	2	0%
033	Caroline	24	54	44%	093	Isle of Wight	10	48	21%
035	Carroll	15	29	52%	095	James City	23	77	30%
036	Charles City	1	7	14%	097	King and Queen	0	13	0%
037	Charlotte	2	20	10%	099	King George	5	18	28%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	103	256	40%	101	King William	2	16	13%
043	Clarke	0	1	0%	103	Lancaster	3	15	20%
045	Craig	2	8	25%	105	Lee	42	78	54%
047	Culpeper	14	48	29%	107	Loudoun	18	83	22%
049	Cumberland	7	23	30%	109	Louisa	12	31	39%
051	Dickensen	6	13	46%	111	Lunenburg	4	14	29%
053	Dinwiddie	8	36	22%	113	Madison	0	5	0%
057	Essex	6	29	21%	115	Mathews	2	5	40%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	138	412	33%	117	Mecklenburg	15	43	35%

# Estimated TANF Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	7	15	47%	187	Warren	26	45	58%
121	Montgomery	33	84	39%	191	Washington	36	76	47%
125	Nelson	12	21	57%	193	Westmoreland	12	34	35%
127	New Kent	6	19	32%	195	Wise	34	97	35%
131	Northampton	10	25	40%	197	Wythe	7	22	32%
133	Northumberland	2	8	25%	199	York/Poquoson	20	63	32%
135	Nottoway	14	47	30%	510	Alexandria	38	107	36%
137	Orange	15	37	41%	520	Bristol	38	85	45%
139	Page	1	10	10%	540	Charlottesville	43	113	38%
141	Patrick	12	40	30%	550	Chesapeake	139	349	40%
143	Pittsylvania	18	62	29%	590	Danville	59	170	35%
145	Powhatan	2	11	18%	620	Franklin City	14	29	48%
147	Prince Edward	23	55	42%	630	Fredericksburg	31	70	44%
149	Prince George	10	40	25%	640	Galax	7	14	50%
153	Prince William	102	356	29%	650	Hampton	185	460	40%
155	Pulaski	11	32	34%	670	Hopewell	51	123	41%
157	Rappahannock	2	3	67%	680	Lynchburg	102	209	49%
159	Richmond County	5	15	33%	683	Manassas	17	34	50%
161	Roanoke County	20	85	24%	685	Manassas Park	0	6	0%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	8	21	38%	700	Newport News	297	660	45%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	32	81	40%	710	Norfolk	377	809	47%
167	Russell	18	63	29%	720	Norton	4	21	19%
169	Scott	19	57	33%	730	Petersburg	76	203	37%
171	Shenandoah	6	15	40%	740	Portsmouth	161	429	38%
173	Smyth	16	64	25%	750	Radford	8	27	30%
175	Southampton	15	38	39%	760	Richmond City	323	974	33%
177	Spotsylvania	7	158	4%	770	Roanoke City	83	311	27%
179	Stafford	42	114	37%	800	Suffolk	67	139	48%
181	Surry	6	10	60%	810	Virginia Beach	123	288	43%
183	Sussex	9	31	29%	830	Williamsburg	9	15	60%
185	Tazewell	27	73	37%	840	Winchester	7	21	33%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF recipients who are considered to be "work-eligible individuals." The cases containing these client become the "denominator." To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are for the TANF all family rate not including VTP.

## *Estimated VTP only Work Participation Rates for July*

*These are estimated locality July 2015 work participation rates that include only clients receiving VTP. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated July statewide rate is 93% with a numerator of 1,379 and a denominator of 1,480. TANF only estimates are on pages iii and iv.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	<i>Accomack</i>	10	11	91%	061	<i>Fauquier</i>	9	10	90%
003	<i>Albemarle</i>	13	14	93%	063	<i>Floyd</i>	1	1	100%
005	<i>Alleghany/Covington</i>	4	4	100%	065	<i>Fluvanna</i>	1	3	33%
007	<i>Amelia</i>	4	4	100%	067	<i>Franklin County</i>	7	7	100%
009	<i>Amherst</i>	9	9	100%	069	<i>Frederick</i>	9	10	90%
011	<i>Appomattox</i>	7	7	100%	071	<i>Giles</i>	0	0	N/A
013	<i>Arlington</i>	7	8	88%	073	<i>Gloucester</i>	10	10	100%
015	<i>Augusta/S'ton/W'boro</i>	24	25	96%	075	<i>Goochland</i>	2	2	100%
017	<i>Bath</i>	0	0	N/A	077	<i>Grayson</i>	1	1	100%
019	<i>Bedford County/City</i>	5	5	100%	079	<i>Greene</i>	4	4	100%
021	<i>Bland</i>	1	1	100%	081	<i>Greensville/Emporia</i>	8	8	100%
023	<i>Botetourt</i>	0	0	N/A	083	<i>Halifax</i>	1	1	100%
025	<i>Brunswick</i>	7	8	88%	085	<i>Hanover</i>	4	5	80%
027	<i>Buchanan</i>	5	5	100%	087	<i>Henrico</i>	63	69	91%
029	<i>Buckingham</i>	4	5	80%	089	<i>Henry/Martinsville</i>	10	11	91%
031	<i>Campbell</i>	18	18	100%	091	<i>Highland</i>	0	0	N/A
033	<i>Caroline</i>	15	15	100%	093	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	3	3	100%
035	<i>Carroll</i>	11	11	100%	095	<i>James City</i>	10	11	91%
036	<i>Charles City</i>	2	2	100%	097	<i>King and Queen</i>	0	0	N/A
037	<i>Charlotte</i>	3	3	100%	099	<i>King George</i>	5	5	100%
041	<i>Chesterfield/Col Hgts</i>	38	41	93%	101	<i>King William</i>	0	1	0%
043	<i>Clarke</i>	0	0	N/A	103	<i>Lancaster</i>	2	2	100%
045	<i>Craig</i>	0	0	N/A	105	<i>Lee</i>	8	9	89%
047	<i>Culpeper</i>	10	10	100%	107	<i>Loudoun</i>	12	14	86%
049	<i>Cumberland</i>	3	4	75%	109	<i>Louisa</i>	8	8	100%
051	<i>Dickensen</i>	4	4	100%	111	<i>Lunenburg</i>	1	1	100%
053	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	5	5	100%	113	<i>Madison</i>	0	1	0%
057	<i>Essex</i>	5	5	100%	115	<i>Mathews</i>	0	1	0%
059	<i>Fairfax/Falls Church</i>	69	71	97%	117	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	5	7	71%

# Estimated VTP Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	6	7	86%	187	Warren	9	9	100%
121	Montgomery	11	11	100%	191	Washington	5	5	100%
125	Nelson	0	1	0%	193	Westmoreland	0	0	N/A
127	New Kent	2	2	100%	195	Wise	14	14	100%
131	Northampton	4	4	100%	197	Wythe	4	4	100%
133	Northumberland	2	2	100%	199	York/Poquoson	8	8	100%
135	Nottoway	6	6	100%	510	Alexandria	33	33	100%
137	Orange	0	0	N/A	520	Bristol	4	4	100%
139	Page	1	1	100%	540	Charlottesville	25	27	93%
141	Patrick	4	4	100%	550	Chesapeake	37	43	86%
143	Pittsylvania	2	2	100%	590	Danville	17	18	94%
145	Powhatan	0	0	N/A	620	Franklin City	4	5	80%
147	Prince Edward	17	17	100%	630	Fredericksburg	14	14	100%
149	Prince George	8	8	100%	640	Galax	2	2	100%
153	Prince William	55	59	93%	650	Hampton	71	74	96%
155	Pulaski	5	5	100%	670	Hopewell	22	22	100%
157	Rappahannock	0	0	N/A	680	Lynchburg	18	18	100%
159	Richmond County	0	0	N/A	683	Manassas	11	11	100%
161	Roanoke County	13	14	93%	685	Manassas Park	2	2	100%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	4	4	100%	700	Newport News	82	87	94%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	36	36	100%	710	Norfolk	34	41	83%
167	Russell	6	6	100%	720	Norton	4	4	100%
169	Scott	6	6	100%	730	Petersburg	18	24	75%
171	Shenandoah	7	9	78%	740	Portsmouth	36	38	95%
173	Smyth	5	6	83%	750	Radford	7	7	100%
175	Southampton	4	4	100%	760	Richmond City	71	82	87%
177	Spotsylvania	8	14	57%	770	Roanoke City	33	36	92%
179	Stafford	18	19	95%	800	Suffolk	15	16	94%
181	Surry	2	2	100%	810	Virginia Beach	56	57	98%
183	Sussex	2	3	67%	830	Williamsburg	3	3	100%
185	Tazewell	11	12	92%	840	Winchester	8	8	100%

All VTP recipients are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” Thus, all VTP cases are in the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, job follow-up entries are reviewed to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. The basic requirement for VTP recipients is employment for at least 30 hours per week. The requirement is contained in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are also sometimes referred to as the rates for the maintenance of effort program caseload. .

## TANF

TANF Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	Aug-15	Aug-14	Change from Aug-14	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received <sup>1</sup>	5,442	5,788	-6.0%	4,807	13%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	3,713	3,976	-6.6%	1,658	124%
Applications Approved	1,610	1,896	-15%	2,820	-43%
Cases Closed *	1,841	2,104	-13%	4,476	-59%
Cases at End of Month	22,773	25,142	-9%	67,995	-67%
Cases Paid During Month <sup>2</sup>	23,366	25,871	-10%	70,403	-67%

•The database indicates that of the 1,841 closed cases, 761 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 457 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 142 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 109 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

## TANF-UP

TANF-UP Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	Aug-15	Aug-14	Change from Aug-14	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received <sup>1</sup>	337	540	-38%	338	0%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	305	382	-20%	232	31%
Applications Approved	142	184	-23%	81	75%
Cases Closed *	151	164	-8%	107	41%
Cases at End of Month	1,248	1,410	-11%	321	289%
Cases Paid During Month <sup>2</sup>	1,288	1,460	-12%	394	227%

•The database indicates that of the 151 closed cases, 84 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 52 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 15 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 11 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

## Statewide - Status through August 31, 2015

### TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/15 and Work Activity as of 8/31/15

Current Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	24,021	1,271	737	1,845	610	316	2,665	295	550	926	1,202	715	3,670	888	354	2,632	952	4,221	172
Total Enrolled in VIEW	8,768	371	268	532	181	108	1,094	105	267	403	351	276	1,302	351	115	1,077	355	1,547	65
Part. in a Work Activity	7,003	302	220	405	142	81	891	90	225	321	260	220	1,005	280	87	865	286	1,274	49
% of Enrolled	80%	81%	82%	76%	78%	75%	81%	86%	84%	80%	74%	80%	77%	80%	76%	80%	81%	82%	75%
Part. Assigned to Inactive	60	0	1	7	0	3	10	3	2	4	0	2	3	2	3	9	3	8	0
Participants Employed	5,166	198	163	330	134	75	578	73	160	233	166	155	738	225	73	649	223	954	39
% of Enrolled	59%	53%	61%	62%	74%	69%	53%	70%	60%	58%	47%	56%	57%	64%	63%	60%	63%	62%	60%
Full Time	4,196	161	135	282	121	65	451	56	114	160	141	124	572	170	63	545	182	824	30
Part Time	970	37	28	48	13	10	127	17	46	73	25	31	166	55	10	104	41	130	9
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.66	\$7.99	\$7.73	\$8.45	\$8.70	\$8.12	\$10.29	\$8.75	\$8.76	\$8.15	\$7.96	\$8.01	\$8.79	\$8.90	\$8.43	\$8.43	\$8.64	\$8.42	\$8.43
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,186	\$1,077	\$1,055	\$1,199	\$1,268	\$1,118	\$1,401	\$1,143	\$1,166	\$1,038	\$1,127	\$1,101	\$1,213	\$1,174	\$1,122	\$1,159	\$1,225	\$1,152	\$1,196

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – August 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	3,944	173	120	310	99	54	490	47	98	144	179	117	537	142	49	515	138	696	36
Total Enrolled in VIEW	10,621	456	312	675	229	152	1,306	123	323	479	439	339	1,546	453	148	1,305	463	1,790	83
Part. in a Work Activity	9,070	416	277	564	204	129	1,130	105	293	423	350	284	1,272	371	113	1,105	399	1,566	69
% of Total Enrolled	85%	91%	89%	84%	89%	85%	87%	85%	91%	88%	80%	84%	82%	82%	76%	85%	86%	87%	83%
Participants Employed	6,269	248	193	409	167	105	710	85	195	287	204	188	865	278	90	786	293	1,116	50
% of Total Enrolled	59%	54%	62%	61%	73%	69%	54%	69%	60%	60%	46%	55%	56%	61%	61%	60%	63%	62%	60%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.73	\$7.96	\$7.78	\$8.48	\$8.73	\$8.14	\$10.54	\$8.70	\$8.95	\$8.29	\$8.00	\$8.07	\$8.81	\$8.97	\$8.40	\$8.50	\$8.58	\$8.47	\$8.49
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,141	\$1,036	\$1,024	\$1,164	\$1,171	\$1,082	\$1,351	\$1,109	\$1,064	\$998	\$1,061	\$1,024	\$1,169	\$1,122	\$1,102	\$1,126	\$1,169	\$1,125	\$1,072
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	72%	68%	63%	68%	67%	66%	76%	75%	76%	72%	65%	69%	72%	76%	70%	71%	67%	74%	72%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	315,967	18,834	11,742	23,480	9,297	6,096	40,048	4,492	6,990	11,903	14,329	9,144	41,875	10,691	4,232	30,609	10,717	58,644	2,844
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>5</sup>	244,843	14,637	9,908	18,288	7,281	4,605	30,795	3,420	5,483	9,897	11,509	7,213	33,025	8,011	3,193	23,461	8,408	43,564	2,145
Part. in a Work Activity	234,085	14,139	9,529	17,391	7,111	4,355	29,618	3,286	5,361	9,349	11,146	6,932	31,648	7,565	3,011	22,301	8,006	41,268	2,069
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	96%	95%	98%	95%	96%	96%	98%	94%	97%	96%	96%	94%	94%	95%	95%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	164,691	9,100	6,363	12,291	5,090	3,013	21,384	2,298	4,050	6,772	6,976	4,447	22,406	5,264	2,020	16,203	5,731	30,071	1,212
% of Total Enrolled	67%	62%	64%	67%	70%	65%	69%	67%	74%	68%	61%	62%	68%	66%	63%	69%	68%	69%	57%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.40	\$6.42	\$6.54	\$7.29	\$7.39	\$7.54	\$8.96	\$7.70	\$7.62	\$6.86	\$6.59	\$7.05	\$7.58	\$8.18	\$7.22	\$7.27	\$7.27	\$7.07	\$7.01
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$969	\$842	\$878	\$972	\$980	\$1,003	\$1,179	\$1,009	\$959	\$879	\$871	\$905	\$1,003	\$1,037	\$914	\$942	\$979	\$918	\$879
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	66%	67%	61%	60%	67%	63%	71%	65%	64%	64%	63%	65%	69%	65%	58%	66%	65%	67%	72%

## EDD1 - Southwest Region: Status through August 31, 2015

### TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/15 and Work Activity as of 8/31/15

Current Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,271	125	51	226	163	140	196	324	46
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	371	23	10	99	39	38	60	85	17
Participants in a Work Activity	302	21	8	89	33	27	51	60	13
% of Enrolled	81%	91%	80%	90%	85%	71%	85%	71%	76%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	198	12	6	51	14	21	31	52	11
% of Enrolled	53%	52%	60%	52%	36%	55%	52%	61%	65%
Full Time	161	10	6	36	11	13	27	48	10
Part Time	37	2	0	15	3	8	4	4	1
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.99	\$8.17	\$7.68	\$8.13	\$8.07	\$8.07	\$7.79	\$7.96	\$7.74
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,077	\$1,135	\$1,054	\$1,045	\$1,134	\$961	\$1,043	\$1,158	\$1,034

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – August 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	173	11	6	33	29	16	27	43	8
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	456	28	11	111	49	48	75	114	20
Participants in a Work Activity	416	25	9	107	40	45	69	104	17
% of Total Enrolled	91%	89%	82%	96%	82%	94%	92%	91%	85%
Participants Employed	248	15	7	57	18	29	38	70	14
% of Total Enrolled	54%	54%	64%	51%	37%	60%	51%	61%	70%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.96	\$8.00	\$7.64	\$8.12	\$7.90	\$8.02	\$7.82	\$7.94	\$7.89
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,036	\$1,021	\$1,018	\$1,056	\$977	\$887	\$1,001	\$1,108	\$1,077
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	68%	80%	75%	77%	69%	54%	67%	65%	69%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	18,834	1,818	1,263	2,667	2,590	1,871	3,578	4,429	618
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	14,637	1,387	986	2,084	2,094	1,404	2,735	3,455	492
Participants in a Work Activity	14,139	1,308	931	2,071	1,996	1,379	2,649	3,352	453
% of Total Enrolled	97%	94%	94%	99%	95%	98%	97%	97%	92%
Participants Employed	9,100	743	593	1,366	1,243	824	1,813	2,156	362
% of Total Enrolled	62%	54%	60%	66%	59%	59%	66%	62%	74%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.42	\$6.59	\$6.42	\$6.28	\$6.56	\$6.75	\$6.28	\$6.43	\$6.23
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$842	\$858	\$851	\$816	\$866	\$895	\$827	\$848	\$765
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	67%	65%	55%	72%	66%	75%	66%	66%	71%

## EDD2 – Bristol-Galax Region: Status through August 31, 2015

### TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/15 and Work Activity as of 8/31/15

Current Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	737	9	78	32	163	168	77	173	37
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	268	2	36	7	38	63	23	88	11
Participants in a Work Activity	220	0	30	7	32	50	16	77	8
% of Enrolled	82%	N/A	83%	100%	84%	79%	70%	88%	73%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Participants Employed	163	0	28	5	27	35	14	47	7
% of Enrolled	61%	N/A	78%	71%	71%	56%	61%	53%	64%
Full Time	135	N/A	24	5	23	32	13	33	5
Part Time	28	N/A	4	0	4	3	1	14	2
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.73	N/A	\$7.74	\$7.60	\$7.29	\$7.77	\$7.75	\$7.92	\$8.05
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,055	N/A	\$1,068	\$1,053	\$1,052	\$1,052	\$1,080	\$1,033	\$1,121

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – August 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	120	1	13	2	30	27	11	31	5
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	312	2	43	7	51	71	26	97	15
Participants in a Work Activity	277	2	38	7	47	60	23	88	12
% of Total Enrolled	89%	100%	88%	100%	92%	85%	88%	91%	80%
Participants Employed	193	0	32	5	30	43	19	54	10
% of Total Enrolled	62%	0%	74%	71%	59%	61%	73%	56%	67%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.78	N/A	\$7.72	\$7.58	\$7.38	\$7.72	\$8.08	\$7.92	\$8.20
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,024	N/A	\$1,074	\$909	\$974	\$966	\$1,119	\$1,022	\$1,158
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	63%	75%	86%	33%	56%	65%	62%	59%	80%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	11,742	296	1,533	723	2,073	2,098	1,698	2,546	775
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	9,908	221	1,277	589	1,764	1,751	1,345	2,280	681
Participants in a Work Activity	9,529	209	1,185	553	1,712	1,705	1,320	2,201	644
% of Total Enrolled	96%	95%	93%	94%	97%	97%	98%	97%	95%
Participants Employed	6,363	128	861	381	1,037	1,084	896	1,549	427
% of Total Enrolled	64%	58%	67%	65%	59%	62%	67%	68%	63%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.54	\$6.55	\$6.34	\$6.49	\$6.44	\$6.76	\$6.48	\$6.63	\$6.42
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$878	\$905	\$850	\$866	\$884	\$904	\$859	\$884	\$889
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	61%	56%	55%	60%	62%	57%	67%	62%	69%

## EDD3 – Roanoke Region: Status through August 31, 2015

### TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/15 and Work Activity as of 8/31/15

Current Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,845	74	26	17	41	177	41	219	132	226	54	838
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	532	34	4	8	4	50	7	83	22	75	19	226
Participants in a Work Activity	405	29	4	4	4	45	4	66	20	50	15	164
% of Enrolled	76%	85%	100%	50%	100%	90%	57%	80%	91%	67%	79%	73%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	7	0	0	2	0	1	0	3	0	0	1	0
Participants Employed	330	20	0	2	2	29	2	63	18	33	13	148
% of Enrolled	62%	59%	0%	25%	50%	58%	29%	76%	82%	44%	68%	65%
Full Time	282	16	N/A	1	2	26	2	58	17	24	11	125
Part Time	48	4	N/A	1	0	3	0	5	1	9	2	23
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.45	\$8.26	N/A	\$8.88	\$8.25	\$9.14	\$13.75	\$8.52	\$8.03	\$8.01	\$8.49	\$8.38
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,199	\$1,121	N/A	\$985	\$1,072	\$1,379	\$1,820	\$1,232	\$1,152	\$1,035	\$1,148	\$1,203

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – August 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	310	14	2	0	3	28	6	41	16	36	10	154
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	675	39	5	9	9	67	7	98	31	90	28	292
Participants in a Work Activity	564	35	5	4	9	60	5	81	24	73	21	247
% of Total Enrolled	84%	90%	100%	44%	100%	90%	71%	83%	77%	81%	75%	85%
Participants Employed	409	23	0	2	4	35	2	70	20	54	17	182
% of Total Enrolled	61%	59%	0%	22%	44%	52%	29%	71%	65%	60%	61%	62%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.48	\$8.25	N/A	\$8.88	\$8.19	\$9.19	\$13.75	\$8.53	\$8.07	\$8.21	\$8.49	\$8.43
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,164	\$1,129	N/A	\$715	\$1,201	\$1,240	\$2,198	\$1,238	\$1,104	\$1,028	\$1,156	\$1,168
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	68%	57%	50%	100%	75%	69%	100%	81%	76%	72%	69%	62%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	23,480	1,262	407	111	600	2,115	593	3,283	2,004	2,637	935	9,533
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	18,288	977	258	67	446	1,652	432	2,708	1,596	2,139	736	7,277
Participants in a Work Activity	17,391	944	226	64	429	1,498	422	2,653	1,531	1,991	701	6,932
% of Total Enrolled	95%	97%	88%	96%	96%	91%	98%	98%	96%	93%	95%	95%
Participants Employed	12,291	681	112	31	288	1,021	246	2,100	1,007	1,570	515	4,720
% of Total Enrolled	67%	70%	43%	46%	65%	62%	57%	78%	63%	73%	70%	65%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.29	\$7.07	\$7.54	\$7.41	\$7.17	\$7.24	\$7.19	\$7.13	\$6.93	\$7.78	\$7.18	\$7.36
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$972	\$862	\$960	\$1,025	\$959	\$968	\$964	\$961	\$958	\$1,039	\$927	\$986
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	60%	58%	53%	63%	54%	58%	52%	63%	53%	58%	62%	62%

## EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: Status through August 31, 2015

### TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/15 and Work Activity as of 8/31/15

Current Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	610	353	4	4	53	196
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	181	94	0	0	11	76
Participants in a Work Activity	142	71	N/A	N/A	10	61
% of Enrolled	78%	76%	N/A	N/A	91%	80%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	N/A	N/A	0	0
Participants Employed	134	69	N/A	N/A	10	55
% of Enrolled	74%	73%	N/A	N/A	91%	72%
Full Time	121	60	N/A	N/A	8	53
Part Time	13	9	N/A	N/A	2	2
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.70	\$8.84	N/A	N/A	\$8.64	\$8.53
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,268	\$1,284	N/A	N/A	\$1,147	\$1,269

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – August 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	99	51	0	1	6	41
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	229	116	0	0	14	99
Participants in a Work Activity	204	103	N/A	N/A	11	90
% of Total Enrolled	89%	89%	N/A	N/A	79%	91%
Participants Employed	167	80	N/A	N/A	11	76
% of Total Enrolled	73%	69%	N/A	N/A	79%	77%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.73	\$8.86	N/A	N/A	\$8.53	\$8.63
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,171	\$1,121	N/A	N/A	\$1,074	\$1,235
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	67%	67%	N/A	N/A	71%	66%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	9,297	4,952	81	26	796	3,442
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	7,281	3,939	53	8	600	2,681
Participants in a Work Activity	7,111	3,872	52	8	557	2,622
% of Total Enrolled	98%	98%	98%	100%	93%	98%
Participants Employed	5,090	2,647	32	6	425	1,980
% of Total Enrolled	70%	67%	60%	75%	71%	74%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.39	\$7.37	\$6.56	\$7.79	\$6.92	\$7.53
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$980	\$977	\$717	\$784	\$879	\$1,008
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	67%	66%	61%	83%	68%	68%

## EDDS 5 & 18 – Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: Status through August 31, 2015

### TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/15 and Work Activity as of 8/31/15

Current Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	316	7	72	26	34	105	72	172	122	50
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	108	0	23	6	12	40	27	65	37	28
Participants in a Work Activity	81	N/A	17	4	10	37	13	49	30	19
% of Enrolled	75%	N/A	74%	67%	83%	93%	48%	75%	81%	68%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	N/A	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0
Participants Employed	75	N/A	15	2	10	35	13	39	22	17
% of Enrolled	69%	N/A	65%	33%	83%	88%	48%	60%	59%	61%
Full Time	65	N/A	11	2	9	33	10	30	17	13
Part Time	10	N/A	4	0	1	2	3	9	5	4
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.12	N/A	\$8.25	\$9.13	\$8.57	\$7.82	\$8.27	\$8.43	\$8.50	\$8.35
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,118	N/A	\$1,057	\$1,461	\$1,267	\$1,088	\$1,100	\$1,196	\$1,300	\$1,061

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – August 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	54	0	20	5	8	13	8	36	28	8
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	152	0	29	14	16	58	35	83	47	36
Participants in a Work Activity	129	N/A	26	11	14	56	22	69	37	32
% of Total Enrolled	85%	N/A	90%	79%	88%	97%	63%	83%	79%	89%
Participants Employed	105	N/A	19	6	14	46	20	50	26	24
% of Total Enrolled	69%	N/A	66%	43%	88%	79%	57%	60%	55%	67%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.14	N/A	\$8.24	\$8.33	\$8.87	\$7.77	\$8.33	\$8.49	\$8.67	\$8.31
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,082	N/A	\$1,042	\$1,036	\$1,240	\$1,056	\$1,081	\$1,072	\$1,190	\$950
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	66%	N/A	56%	39%	68%	74%	79%	72%	73%	70%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	6,096	233	1,345	906	755	1,463	1,394	2,844	1,837	1,007
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	4,605	141	1,009	745	477	1,123	1,110	2,145	1,355	790
Participants in a Work Activity	4,355	130	944	729	463	1,045	1,044	2,069	1,317	752
% of Total Enrolled	95%	92%	94%	98%	97%	93%	94%	96%	97%	95%
Participants Employed	3,013	82	593	515	286	775	762	1,212	694	518
% of Total Enrolled	65%	58%	59%	69%	60%	69%	69%	57%	51%	66%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.54	\$7.74	\$7.93	\$7.44	\$7.06	\$7.56	\$7.48	\$7.01	\$7.11	\$6.89
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,003	\$1,030	\$1,032	\$1,004	\$966	\$1,018	\$981	\$879	\$897	\$858
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	63%	58%	61%	59%	69%	70%	59%	72%	65%	80%

## EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: Status through August 31, 2015

### TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/15 and Work Activity as of 8/31/15

Current Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	2,665	183	985	183	809	378	100	27
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,094	64	455	100	270	167	34	4
Participants in a Work Activity	891	54	411	79	191	128	27	1
% of Enrolled	81%	84%	90%	79%	71%	77%	79%	25%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	10	0	2	3	1	3	1	0
Participants Employed	578	35	227	55	155	84	21	1
% of Enrolled	53%	55%	50%	55%	57%	50%	62%	25%
Full Time	451	20	166	39	136	70	19	1
Part Time	127	15	61	16	19	14	2	0
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$10.29	\$9.83	\$10.42	\$10.57	\$10.14	\$10.33	\$10.09	\$7.33
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,401	\$1,137	\$1,381	\$1,514	\$1,422	\$1,443	\$1,462	\$952

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – August 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	490	22	188	55	122	85	18	0
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,306	80	551	113	315	198	45	4
Participants in a Work Activity	1,130	74	506	100	257	156	34	3
% of Total Enrolled	87%	93%	92%	88%	82%	79%	76%	75%
Participants Employed	710	46	284	71	183	97	28	1
% of Total Enrolled	54%	58%	52%	63%	58%	49%	62%	25%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$10.54	\$9.66	\$10.95	\$10.53	\$10.15	\$10.58	\$10.47	\$7.33
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,351	\$1,123	\$1,370	\$1,314	\$1,342	\$1,418	\$1,474	\$946
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	76%	63%	79%	71%	75%	82%	73%	50%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	40,048	3,204	14,298	2,994	12,654	4,676	1,719	503
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	30,795	2,530	10,822	2,350	9,776	3,631	1,318	368
Participants in a Work Activity	29,618	2,511	10,417	2,237	9,364	3,440	1,285	364
% of Total Enrolled	96%	99%	96%	95%	96%	95%	97%	99%
Participants Employed	21,384	1,801	7,220	1,782	6,995	2,489	852	245
% of Total Enrolled	69%	71%	67%	76%	72%	69%	65%	67%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.96	\$8.47	\$9.07	\$9.57	\$8.95	\$8.72	\$8.50	\$8.33
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,179	\$1,077	\$1,187	\$1,232	\$1,207	\$1,120	\$1,139	\$1,108
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	71%	69%	70%	72%	70%	78%	70%	77%

## EDD7 - Culpeper Region: Status through August 31, 2015

### TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/15 and Work Activity as of 8/31/15

Current Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	295	112	65	23	91	4
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	105	41	28	5	29	2
Participants in a Work Activity	90	35	23	5	25	2
% of Enrolled	86%	85%	82%	100%	86%	100%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	1	1	0	1	0
Participants Employed	73	29	18	4	22	0
% of Enrolled	70%	71%	64%	80%	76%	0%
Full Time	56	22	11	3	20	N/A
Part Time	17	7	7	1	2	N/A
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.75	\$9.02	\$7.66	\$9.26	\$9.20	N/A
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,143	\$1,150	\$1,005	\$1,252	\$1,226	N/A

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – August 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	47	24	12	1	9	1
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	123	48	33	6	33	3
Participants in a Work Activity	105	41	27	6	28	3
% of Total Enrolled	85%	85%	82%	100%	85%	100%
Participants Employed	85	33	21	5	25	1
% of Total Enrolled	69%	69%	64%	83%	76%	33%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.70	\$8.94	\$7.75	\$9.21	\$9.08	\$9.00
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,109	\$1,180	\$929	\$1,289	\$1,134	\$968
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	75%	86%	80%	50%	63%	0%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	4,492	1,750	1,304	412	906	120
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	3,420	1,290	1,011	308	732	79
Participants in a Work Activity	3,286	1,237	989	300	685	75
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	98%	97%	94%	95%
Participants Employed	2,298	840	703	182	527	46
% of Total Enrolled	67%	65%	70%	59%	72%	58%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.70	\$7.69	\$8.04	\$7.30	\$7.40	\$7.52
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,009	\$994	\$1,048	\$1,008	\$981	\$977
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	65%	66%	69%	58%	61%	49%

## EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: Status through August 31, 2015

### TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/15 and Work Activity as of 8/31/15

Current Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	550	111	49	51	93	42	204
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	267	67	12	26	25	20	117
Participants in a Work Activity	225	62	6	21	23	20	93
% of Enrolled	84%	93%	50%	81%	92%	100%	79%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
Participants Employed	160	38	5	16	17	16	68
% of Enrolled	60%	57%	42%	62%	68%	80%	58%
Full Time	114	25	5	6	14	14	50
Part Time	46	13	0	10	3	2	18
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.76	\$8.84	\$7.90	\$7.96	\$8.66	\$8.60	\$9.03
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,166	\$1,084	\$1,163	\$958	\$1,186	\$1,237	\$1,238

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – August 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	98	19	1	12	15	6	45
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	323	88	13	29	34	21	138
Participants in a Work Activity	293	85	11	25	31	21	120
% of Total Enrolled	91%	97%	85%	86%	91%	100%	87%
Participants Employed	195	50	5	17	21	17	85
% of Total Enrolled	60%	57%	38%	59%	62%	81%	62%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.95	\$8.73	\$7.90	\$7.99	\$8.37	\$8.70	\$9.53
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,064	\$1,003	\$1,026	\$960	\$1,051	\$1,014	\$1,136
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	76%	74%	83%	87%	84%	71%	73%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	6,990	1,696	331	544	1,112	375	2,932
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	5,483	1,264	246	396	833	275	2,469
Participants in a Work Activity	5,361	1,238	240	374	809	273	2,427
% of Total Enrolled	98%	98%	98%	94%	97%	99%	98%
Participants Employed	4,050	896	155	291	527	170	2,011
% of Total Enrolled	74%	71%	63%	73%	63%	62%	81%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.62	\$8.06	\$8.02	\$7.49	\$7.81	\$7.99	\$7.44
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$959	\$1,026	\$1,061	\$920	\$992	\$1,009	\$931
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	64%	58%	63%	57%	64%	66%	66%

## EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: Status through August 31, 2015

### TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/15 and Work Activity as of 8/31/15

Current Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	926	74	64	165	212	411
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	403	18	26	61	118	180
Participants in a Work Activity	321	12	26	50	90	143
% of Enrolled	80%	67%	100%	82%	76%	79%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	2	0	0	2	0
Participants Employed	233	8	18	34	54	119
% of Enrolled	58%	44%	69%	56%	46%	66%
Full Time	160	7	11	24	29	89
Part Time	73	1	7	10	25	30
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.15	\$8.16	\$7.75	\$8.19	\$8.46	\$8.06
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,038	\$1,163	\$931	\$1,076	\$1,007	\$1,049

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – August 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	144	10	12	21	38	63
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	479	23	35	72	131	218
Participants in a Work Activity	423	19	35	63	114	192
% of Total Enrolled	88%	83%	100%	88%	87%	88%
Participants Employed	287	14	26	46	60	141
% of Total Enrolled	60%	61%	74%	64%	46%	65%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.29	\$8.44	\$8.03	\$8.75	\$8.47	\$8.10
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$998	\$1,124	\$1,018	\$1,100	\$924	\$975
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	72%	72%	76%	80%	71%	70%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	11,903	1,100	927	2,392	2,781	4,703
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	9,897	877	816	1,926	2,391	3,887
Participants in a Work Activity	9,349	832	804	1,787	2,251	3,675
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	99%	93%	94%	95%
Participants Employed	6,772	579	579	1,257	1,636	2,721
% of Total Enrolled	68%	66%	71%	65%	68%	70%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.86	\$7.08	\$6.57	\$6.95	\$7.06	\$6.74
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$879	\$910	\$897	\$882	\$918	\$846
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	64%	60%	55%	60%	63%	68%

## EDD10 - Danville Region: Status through August 31, 2015

### TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/15 and Work Activity as of 8/31/15

<b>Current Data</b>	<b>Total EDD10</b>	<b>Halifax</b>	<b>Henry/ Martinsville</b>	<b>Patrick</b>	<b>Pittsylvania</b>	<b>Danville</b>
<b>TANF Cases End of Month<sup>10</sup></b>	1,202	176	401	75	155	395
<b>Total Enrolled in VIEW<sup>3</sup></b>	351	48	126	37	35	105
<b>Participants in a Work Activity</b>	260	24	98	30	24	84
<b>% of Enrolled</b>	74%	50%	78%	81%	69%	80%
<b>Participants Assigned to Inactive</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Participants Employed</b>	166	20	64	20	20	42
<b>% of Enrolled</b>	47%	42%	51%	54%	57%	40%
Full Time	141	18	51	16	19	37
Part Time	25	2	13	4	1	5
<b>Average Wage<sup>6</sup></b>	\$7.96	\$8.03	\$8.19	\$7.68	\$7.61	\$7.89
<b>Average Monthly Earnings<sup>7</sup></b>	\$1,127	\$1,237	\$1,158	\$1,106	\$1,040	\$1,078

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – August 31, 2015, Year to Date

<b>State Fiscal Year to Date</b>	<b>Total EDD10</b>	<b>Halifax</b>	<b>Henry/ Martinsville</b>	<b>Patrick</b>	<b>Pittsylvania</b>	<b>Danville</b>
<b>Individuals Referred</b>	179	23	59	18	27	52
<b>Total Enrolled in VIEW<sup>3</sup></b>	439	53	169	42	44	131
<b>Participants in a Work Activity</b>	350	37	133	35	39	106
<b>% of Total Enrolled</b>	80%	70%	79%	83%	89%	81%
<b>Participants Employed</b>	204	21	81	23	27	52
<b>% of Total Enrolled</b>	46%	40%	48%	55%	61%	40%
<b>Average Wage<sup>6</sup></b>	\$8.00	\$8.17	\$8.15	\$7.93	\$7.65	\$7.89
<b>Average Monthly Earnings<sup>7</sup></b>	\$1,061	\$1,159	\$1,067	\$1,121	\$1,025	\$1,003
<b>Three Months Employment<sup>8</sup></b>	65%	72%	70%	56%	57%	61%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/96

<b>Cumulative Data</b>	<b>Total EDD10</b>	<b>Halifax</b>	<b>Henry/ Martinsville</b>	<b>Patrick</b>	<b>Pittsylvania</b>	<b>Danville</b>
<b>Individuals Referred</b>	14,329	2,187	3,872	1,395	2,388	4,487
<b>Total Enrolled in VIEW<sup>3,5</sup></b>	11,509	1,804	3,011	1,202	1,824	3,668
<b>Participants in a Work Activity</b>	11,146	1,742	2,894	1,133	1,797	3,580
<b>% of Total Enrolled</b>	97%	97%	96%	94%	99%	98%
<b>Participants Employed</b>	6,976	995	1,925	803	962	2,291
<b>% of Total Enrolled</b>	61%	55%	64%	67%	53%	62%
<b>Average Wage<sup>6</sup></b>	\$6.59	\$6.56	\$7.02	\$6.49	\$6.57	\$6.30
<b>Average Monthly Earnings<sup>7</sup></b>	\$871	\$863	\$947	\$842	\$879	\$821
<b>Three Months Employment<sup>9</sup></b>	63%	58%	64%	74%	58%	61%

## EDD11 - Southside Region: Status through August 31, 2015

### TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/15 and Work Activity as of 8/31/15

Current Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	715	32	75	57	50	51	110	30	131	89	90
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	276	2	32	25	17	20	44	12	33	42	49
Participants in a Work Activity	220	1	20	19	17	19	39	6	29	26	44
% of Enrolled	80%	50%	63%	76%	100%	95%	89%	50%	88%	62%	90%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	155	1	13	15	7	11	26	5	19	22	36
% of Enrolled	56%	50%	41%	60%	41%	55%	59%	42%	58%	52%	73%
Full Time	124	1	12	13	5	7	23	5	12	17	29
Part Time	31	0	1	2	2	4	3	0	7	5	7
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.01	\$7.25	\$7.87	\$8.11	\$7.61	\$8.83	\$7.65	\$7.85	\$7.77	\$8.41	\$8.05
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,101	\$1,162	\$1,090	\$1,065	\$1,033	\$1,147	\$1,062	\$1,165	\$1,030	\$1,153	\$1,144

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – August 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	117	1	15	15	8	6	19	7	15	11	20
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	339	2	43	28	23	23	54	16	41	50	59
Participants in a Work Activity	284	1	33	22	20	22	48	8	37	37	56
% of Total Enrolled	84%	50%	77%	79%	87%	96%	89%	50%	90%	74%	95%
Participants Employed	188	1	18	17	8	13	30	6	24	31	40
% of Total Enrolled	55%	50%	42%	61%	35%	57%	56%	38%	59%	62%	68%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.07	\$7.25	\$8.13	\$8.14	\$7.56	\$8.76	\$7.67	\$8.46	\$7.91	\$8.36	\$8.04
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,024	\$1,153	\$1,017	\$1,063	\$932	\$1,061	\$919	\$1,294	\$1,017	\$1,098	\$1,001
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	69%	44%	66%	58%	76%	68%	68%	40%	70%	82%	83%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	9,144	574	1,099	866	804	618	1,082	438	1,318	1,118	1,227
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	7,213	427	885	669	613	463	870	347	1,045	873	1,021
Participants in a Work Activity	6,932	405	883	635	578	440	839	342	1,003	810	997
% of Total Enrolled	96%	95%	100%	95%	94%	95%	96%	99%	96%	93%	98%
Participants Employed	4,447	263	513	388	320	268	532	174	681	584	724
% of Total Enrolled	62%	62%	58%	58%	52%	58%	61%	50%	65%	67%	71%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.05	\$7.66	\$6.51	\$7.36	\$7.46	\$7.59	\$7.05	\$6.84	\$6.78	\$7.09	\$6.99
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$905	\$980	\$849	\$985	\$941	\$974	\$855	\$855	\$860	\$928	\$910
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	65%	61%	66%	59%	59%	58%	65%	59%	66%	69%	69%

## EDD12 - Richmond Region: Status through August 31, 2015

### TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/15 and Work Activity as of 8/31/15

Current Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	3,670	666	23	89	957	34	1,901
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,302	201	8	49	410	9	625
Participants in a Work Activity	1,005	159	3	36	294	7	506
% of Enrolled	77%	79%	38%	73%	72%	78%	81%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	0	1	0	0	2
Participants Employed	738	134	1	32	226	4	341
% of Enrolled	57%	67%	13%	65%	55%	44%	55%
Full Time	572	106	1	27	166	4	268
Part Time	166	28	0	5	60	0	73
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.79	\$9.06	\$8.50	\$9.43	\$8.92	\$9.00	\$8.54
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,213	\$1,282	\$1,472	\$1,290	\$1,222	\$1,480	\$1,170

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – August 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	537	96	7	15	154	6	259
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,546	237	11	51	501	13	733
Participants in a Work Activity	1,272	198	6	39	391	12	626
% of Total Enrolled	82%	84%	55%	76%	78%	92%	85%
Participants Employed	865	149	2	35	265	7	407
% of Total Enrolled	56%	63%	18%	69%	53%	54%	56%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.81	\$9.12	\$8.75	\$9.43	\$8.92	\$8.92	\$8.57
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,169	\$1,245	\$780	\$1,238	\$1,159	\$1,280	\$1,142
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	72%	72%	33%	67%	69%	67%	74%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	41,875	8,826	331	1,504	10,690	423	20,101
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	33,025	6,729	256	1,077	8,814	318	15,831
Participants in a Work Activity	31,648	6,513	239	997	8,283	301	15,315
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	93%	93%	94%	95%	97%
Participants Employed	22,406	4,268	163	705	5,980	180	11,110
% of Total Enrolled	68%	63%	64%	65%	68%	57%	70%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.58	\$7.91	\$7.45	\$8.43	\$7.90	\$7.94	\$7.28
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,003	\$1,055	\$948	\$1,071	\$1,029	\$1,008	\$971
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	69%	69%	64%	66%	66%	62%	71%

## EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: Status through August 31, 2015

### TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/15 and Work Activity as of 8/31/15

Current Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	888	116	50	318	271	133
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	351	61	13	95	105	77
Participants in a Work Activity	280	49	11	67	95	58
% of Enrolled	80%	80%	85%	71%	90%	75%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	1	0	0	0	1
Participants Employed	225	40	5	61	67	52
% of Enrolled	64%	66%	38%	64%	64%	68%
Full Time	170	28	5	48	48	41
Part Time	55	12	0	13	19	11
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.90	\$9.49	\$8.23	\$8.55	\$9.27	\$8.43
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,174	\$1,144	\$1,402	\$1,114	\$1,213	\$1,197

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – August 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	142	10	8	49	44	31
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	453	75	21	119	143	95
Participants in a Work Activity	371	58	18	86	128	81
% of Total Enrolled	82%	77%	86%	72%	90%	85%
Participants Employed	278	48	9	75	82	64
% of Total Enrolled	61%	64%	43%	63%	57%	67%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.97	\$9.47	\$8.64	\$8.50	\$9.15	\$8.92
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,122	\$1,144	\$1,334	\$1,059	\$1,097	\$1,184
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	76%	78%	74%	70%	78%	82%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	10,691	1,551	636	3,305	3,120	2,079
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	8,011	1,132	454	2,529	2,123	1,773
Participants in a Work Activity	7,565	1,075	431	2,356	2,023	1,680
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	95%	93%	95%	95%
Participants Employed	5,264	703	266	1,729	1,257	1,309
% of Total Enrolled	66%	62%	59%	68%	59%	74%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.18	\$7.85	\$7.72	\$8.13	\$8.83	\$7.96
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,037	\$988	\$948	\$1,024	\$1,145	\$1,006
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	65%	59%	58%	66%	68%	66%

## EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: Status through August 31, 2015

### TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/15 and Work Activity as of 8/31/15

Current Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	354	63	30	32	44	19	39	27	27	73
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	115	17	4	11	7	6	16	4	22	28
Participants in a Work Activity	87	16	1	10	5	6	15	4	10	20
% of Enrolled	76%	94%	25%	91%	71%	100%	94%	100%	45%	71%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2
Participants Employed	73	13	1	7	4	6	15	3	7	17
% of Enrolled	63%	76%	25%	64%	57%	100%	94%	75%	32%	61%
Full Time	63	11	0	5	4	6	15	3	7	12
Part Time	10	2	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	5
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.43	\$8.34	\$7.50	\$9.04	\$8.63	\$7.92	\$8.58	\$7.72	\$7.95	\$8.61
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,122	\$1,137	\$650	\$1,148	\$1,370	\$1,135	\$1,126	\$1,058	\$1,089	\$1,087

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – August 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	49	11	1	6	6	3	1	2	6	13
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	148	23	4	13	11	6	23	7	23	38
Participants in a Work Activity	113	18	1	10	9	6	18	6	14	31
% of Total Enrolled	76%	78%	25%	77%	82%	100%	78%	86%	61%	82%
Participants Employed	90	15	1	7	5	6	18	5	8	25
% of Total Enrolled	61%	65%	25%	54%	45%	100%	78%	71%	35%	66%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.40	\$8.26	\$7.50	\$9.04	\$8.35	\$7.92	\$8.51	\$7.73	\$8.21	\$8.58
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,102	\$1,126	\$645	\$1,158	\$1,288	\$880	\$1,125	\$1,174	\$1,204	\$1,045
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	70%	72%	43%	57%	43%	100%	78%	57%	40%	94%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	4,232	640	323	381	452	232	519	376	399	910
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	3,193	517	222	314	356	162	393	307	243	679
Participants in a Work Activity	3,011	494	211	299	338	155	371	296	227	620
% of Total Enrolled	94%	96%	95%	95%	95%	96%	94%	96%	93%	91%
Participants Employed	2,020	349	118	186	239	110	273	173	142	430
% of Total Enrolled	63%	68%	53%	59%	67%	68%	69%	56%	58%	63%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.22	\$7.25	\$7.24	\$7.67	\$6.99	\$7.16	\$7.25	\$6.91	\$7.19	\$7.23
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$914	\$894	\$877	\$1,006	\$877	\$907	\$920	\$868	\$948	\$929
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	58%	49%	45%	59%	50%	72%	72%	50%	44%	65%

## EDD15 - Peninsula Region: Status through August 31, 2015

### TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/15 and Work Activity as of 8/31/15

Current Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	NewKent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	2,632	19	96	132	44	104	900	1,312	25
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,077	5	37	49	16	61	355	539	15
Participants in a Work Activity	865	2	29	36	14	39	293	438	14
% of Enrolled	80%	40%	78%	73%	88%	64%	83%	81%	93%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	9	0	1	1	1	0	6	0	0
Participants Employed	649	0	24	32	12	23	210	336	12
% of Enrolled	60%	0%	65%	65%	75%	38%	59%	62%	80%
Full Time	545	N/A	18	21	10	11	168	308	9
Part Time	104	N/A	6	11	2	12	42	28	3
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.43	N/A	\$7.43	\$8.55	\$8.35	\$9.08	\$8.41	\$8.45	\$8.85
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,159	N/A	\$864	\$1,075	\$1,205	\$1,025	\$1,134	\$1,211	\$1,160

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – August 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	515	2	22	17	6	23	177	262	6
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,305	7	50	66	20	66	424	651	21
Participants in a Work Activity	1,105	6	44	54	15	54	367	548	17
% of Total Enrolled	85%	86%	88%	82%	75%	82%	87%	84%	81%
Participants Employed	786	2	35	45	13	25	253	399	14
% of Total Enrolled	60%	29%	70%	68%	65%	38%	60%	61%	67%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.50	\$8.00	\$7.52	\$8.72	\$8.29	\$9.22	\$8.53	\$8.50	\$8.89
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,126	\$1,032	\$892	\$1,053	\$1,154	\$1,063	\$1,091	\$1,180	\$1,161
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	71%	100%	76%	63%	75%	81%	70%	71%	81%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	30,609	184	1,264	1,396	415	1,318	10,541	15,015	476
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	23,461	134	917	1,112	306	1,034	7,929	11,665	364
Participants in a Work Activity	22,301	128	848	1,029	293	986	7,712	10,965	340
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	92%	93%	96%	95%	97%	94%	93%
Participants Employed	16,203	77	595	772	177	638	5,540	8,153	251
% of Total Enrolled	69%	57%	65%	69%	58%	62%	70%	70%	69%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.27	\$7.28	\$6.99	\$7.75	\$7.75	\$7.61	\$7.27	\$7.21	\$7.51
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$942	\$973	\$854	\$938	\$1,045	\$911	\$935	\$952	\$923
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	66%	52%	58%	58%	71%	60%	64%	69%	65%

## EDD16 - Petersburg Region: Status through August 31, 2015

### TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/15 and Work Activity as of 8/31/15

Current Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	952	99	84	31	66	246	426
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	355	19	31	13	29	118	145
Participants in a Work Activity	286	17	25	13	26	95	110
% of Enrolled	81%	89%	81%	100%	90%	81%	76%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	0	0	0	1	2
Participants Employed	223	15	18	11	16	63	100
% of Enrolled	63%	79%	58%	85%	55%	53%	69%
Full Time	182	14	10	6	14	45	93
Part Time	41	1	8	5	2	18	7
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.64	\$8.02	\$8.97	\$8.82	\$8.64	\$8.68	\$8.62
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,225	\$1,271	\$1,192	\$1,170	\$1,174	\$1,184	\$1,264

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – August 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	138	7	24	2	11	33	61
Total Enrolled in VIEW	463	22	43	15	41	149	193
Participants in a Work Activity	399	22	37	14	36	136	154
% of Total Enrolled	86%	100%	86%	93%	88%	91%	80%
Participants Employed	293	19	23	11	25	85	130
% of Total Enrolled	63%	86%	53%	73%	61%	57%	67%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.58	\$8.03	\$8.75	\$8.82	\$8.69	\$8.73	\$8.50
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,169	\$1,181	\$1,047	\$1,020	\$1,167	\$1,144	\$1,217
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	67%	73%	78%	57%	83%	56%	70%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	10,717	1,376	1003	423	847	2,681	4,387
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	8,408	896	751	352	683	2,196	3,530
Participants in a Work Activity	8,006	893	656	336	654	2,088	3,379
% of Total Enrolled	95%	100%	87%	95%	96%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	5,731	595	448	248	443	1,502	2,495
% of Total Enrolled	68%	66%	60%	70%	65%	68%	71%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.27	\$7.13	\$7.76	\$7.53	\$7.18	\$7.21	\$7.26
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$979	\$999	\$1,024	\$906	\$973	\$960	\$988
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	65%	58%	59%	55%	62%	63%	70%

## EDD17 - Tidewater Region: Status through August 31, 2015

### TANF Cases as of the end of 8/31/15 and Work Activity as of 8/31/15

Current Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	4,221	103	71	685	61	1,436	874	305	686
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,547	33	28	241	26	600	296	100	223
Participants in a Work Activity	1,274	24	25	210	22	496	234	90	173
% of Enrolled	82%	73%	89%	87%	85%	83%	79%	90%	78%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	8	0	1	0	0	0	4	0	3
Participants Employed	954	19	16	163	16	365	175	67	133
% of Enrolled	62%	58%	57%	68%	62%	61%	59%	67%	60%
Full Time	824	17	8	140	10	332	141	57	119
Part Time	130	2	8	23	6	33	34	10	14
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.42	\$8.35	\$8.48	\$8.49	\$8.04	\$8.31	\$8.39	\$8.30	\$8.80
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,152	\$1,132	\$1,079	\$1,177	\$1,069	\$1,118	\$1,195	\$1,138	\$1,187

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – August 31, 2015, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	696	11	15	129	7	207	159	64	104
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,790	39	32	305	32	640	348	123	271
Participants in a Work Activity	1,566	29	29	277	28	567	300	112	224
% of Total Enrolled	87%	74%	91%	91%	88%	89%	86%	91%	83%
Participants Employed	1,116	25	18	204	18	392	220	82	157
% of Total Enrolled	62%	64%	56%	67%	56%	61%	63%	67%	58%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.47	\$8.46	\$8.40	\$8.56	\$8.24	\$8.37	\$8.38	\$8.48	\$8.80
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,125	\$1,126	\$1,112	\$1,168	\$974	\$1,108	\$1,104	\$1,142	\$1,153
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	74%	88%	90%	70%	55%	78%	69%	69%	76%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	58,644	1,344	1,099	9,573	937	18,734	9,451	3,737	13,769
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	43,564	976	887	7,109	761	13,831	7,545	2,931	9,524
Participants in a Work Activity	41,268	939	846	6,795	750	13,076	7,115	2,902	8,845
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	95%	96%	99%	95%	94%	99%	93%
Participants Employed	30,071	657	516	5,081	494	9,661	5,340	2,133	6,189
% of Total Enrolled	69%	67%	58%	71%	65%	70%	71%	73%	65%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.07	\$7.03	\$6.82	\$7.26	\$6.80	\$6.97	\$6.94	\$6.91	\$7.38
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$918	\$923	\$853	\$953	\$844	\$886	\$919	\$898	\$969
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	67%	59%	55%	64%	63%	68%	69%	67%	69%

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date

*This section lists the relative state fiscal year to date rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Wage, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	55.3%	5.53	81	\$8.67	2.18	38	73.0%	7.30	49	5.00	60
003	Albemarle	56.8%	5.68	74	\$8.73	2.28	33	74.5%	7.45	43	5.14	48
005	Alleghany/Covington	59.0%	5.90	64	\$8.25	1.54	69	56.5%	5.65	99	4.36	92
007	Amelia	50.0%	5.00	92	\$7.25	0.00	115	43.8%	4.38	109	3.13	111
009	Amherst	60.9%	6.09	57	\$8.44	1.83	56	72.4%	7.24	52	5.05	56
011	Appomattox	74.3%	7.43	12	\$8.03	1.20	85	76.0%	7.60	34	5.41	33
013	Arlington	57.5%	5.75	70	\$9.66	3.71	7	63.5%	6.35	87	5.27	38
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	69.0%	6.90	20	\$8.86	2.48	26	67.4%	6.74	79	5.37	36
017	Bath	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
019	Bedford County/City	63.9%	6.39	40	\$8.75	2.31	30	80.4%	8.04	21	5.58	22
021	Bland	0.0%	0.00	116	N/A	N/A	N/A	75.0%	7.50	38	3.75	105
023	Botetourt	0.0%	0.00	117	N/A	N/A	N/A	50.0%	5.00	106	2.50	114
025	Brunswick	41.9%	4.19	100	\$8.13	1.35	79	65.6%	6.56	84	4.03	101
027	Buchanan	53.6%	5.36	85	\$8.00	1.15	88	80.0%	8.00	22	4.84	74
029	Buckingham	60.7%	6.07	58	\$8.14	1.37	78	57.9%	5.79	94	4.41	89
031	Campbell	45.8%	4.58	95	\$8.47	1.88	53	70.7%	7.07	59	4.51	86
033	Caroline	64.0%	6.40	39	\$9.47	3.42	9	78.0%	7.80	30	5.87	11
035	Carroll	74.4%	7.44	11	\$7.72	0.72	104	85.7%	8.57	9	5.58	23
036	Charles City	28.6%	2.86	110	\$8.00	1.15	89	100.0%	10.00	1	4.67	78
037	Charlotte	34.8%	3.48	107	\$7.56	0.48	110	76.5%	7.65	33	3.87	103
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	62.9%	6.29	45	\$9.12	2.88	15	72.2%	7.22	53	5.46	29
043	Clarke	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
045	Craig	22.2%	2.22	114	\$8.88	2.51	24	100.0%	10.00	2	4.91	71
047	Culpeper	68.8%	6.88	21	\$8.94	2.60	19	85.7%	8.57	10	6.02	8
049	Cumberland	56.5%	5.65	75	\$8.76	2.32	29	68.4%	6.84	76	4.94	67
051	Dickensen	63.6%	6.36	41	\$7.64	0.60	108	75.0%	7.50	39	4.82	75
053	Dinwiddie	86.4%	8.64	3	\$8.03	1.20	86	73.1%	7.31	48	5.71	17
057	Essex	65.2%	6.52	34	\$8.26	1.55	68	72.0%	7.20	54	5.09	52
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	51.5%	5.15	89	\$10.95	5.69	2	79.5%	7.95	25	6.26	3
061	Fauquier	63.6%	6.36	42	\$7.75	0.77	102	80.0%	8.00	23	5.04	57
063	Floyd	44.4%	4.44	97	\$8.19	1.45	75	75.0%	7.50	40	4.46	87
065	Fluvanna	38.5%	3.85	103	\$7.90	1.00	96	83.3%	8.33	12	4.39	91
067	Franklin County	52.2%	5.22	88	\$9.19	2.98	13	68.9%	6.89	73	5.03	58
069	Frederick	65.5%	6.55	33	\$8.24	1.52	70	55.6%	5.56	103	4.54	83
071	Giles	28.6%	2.86	111	\$13.75	10.00	1	100.0%	10.00	3	7.62	1
073	Gloucester	70.0%	7.00	18	\$7.52	0.42	111	75.9%	7.59	35	5.00	61
075	Goochland	18.2%	1.82	115	\$8.75	2.31	31	33.3%	3.33	115	2.49	115
077	Grayson	71.4%	7.14	15	\$7.58	0.51	109	33.3%	3.33	116	3.66	107

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	58.6%	5.86	66	\$7.99	1.14	90	86.7%	8.67	8	5.22	41
081	Greensville/Emporia	55.6%	5.56	79	\$7.67	0.65	106	67.5%	6.75	78	4.32	93
083	Halifax	39.6%	3.96	102	\$8.17	1.42	76	72.0%	7.20	55	4.19	97
085	Hanover	68.6%	6.86	22	\$9.43	3.35	10	66.7%	6.67	81	5.63	20
087	Henrico	52.9%	5.29	87	\$8.92	2.57	20	69.3%	6.93	68	4.93	69
089	Henry/Martinsville	47.9%	4.79	94	\$8.15	1.38	77	70.2%	7.02	61	4.40	90
091	Highland	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
093	Isle of Wight	64.1%	6.41	38	\$8.46	1.86	54	88.5%	8.85	7	5.71	18
095	James City	68.2%	6.82	23	\$8.72	2.26	35	63.0%	6.30	89	5.13	49
097	King and Queen	25.0%	2.50	112	\$7.50	0.38	112	42.9%	4.29	110	2.39	116
099	King George	42.9%	4.29	98	\$8.64	2.14	39	73.7%	7.37	46	4.60	81
101	King William	53.8%	5.38	83	\$9.04	2.75	17	57.1%	5.71	95	4.62	80
103	Lancaster	45.5%	4.55	96	\$8.35	1.69	63	42.9%	4.29	111	3.51	108
105	Lee	51.4%	5.14	90	\$8.12	1.34	80	77.4%	7.74	32	4.74	77
107	Loudoun	62.8%	6.28	46	\$10.53	5.05	4	71.2%	7.12	58	6.15	5
109	Louisa	61.8%	6.18	50	\$8.37	1.72	60	84.0%	8.40	11	5.43	31
111	Lunenburg	37.5%	3.75	105	\$8.46	1.86	55	40.0%	4.00	112	3.20	110
113	Madison	83.3%	8.33	4	\$9.21	3.02	12	50.0%	5.00	107	5.45	30
115	Mathews	100.0%	10.00	1	\$7.92	1.03	93	100.0%	10.00	4	7.01	2
117	Mecklenburg	58.5%	5.85	67	\$7.91	1.02	95	70.0%	7.00	64	4.62	79
119	Middlesex	78.3%	7.83	8	\$8.51	1.94	47	78.3%	7.83	28	5.86	12
121	Montgomery	71.4%	7.14	16	\$8.53	1.97	44	80.6%	8.06	20	5.72	16
125	Nelson	81.0%	8.10	5	\$8.70	2.23	36	71.4%	7.14	56	5.82	14
127	New Kent	65.0%	6.50	35	\$8.29	1.60	67	75.0%	7.50	41	5.20	44
131	Northampton	66.7%	6.67	28	\$8.31	1.63	66	70.0%	7.00	65	5.10	51
133	Northumberland	71.4%	7.14	17	\$7.73	0.74	103	57.1%	5.71	96	4.53	84
135	Nottoway	62.0%	6.20	49	\$8.36	1.71	62	81.8%	8.18	16	5.36	37
137	Orange	75.8%	7.58	10	\$9.08	2.82	16	63.2%	6.32	88	5.57	24
139	Page	42.9%	4.29	99	\$8.33	1.66	64	38.9%	3.89	114	3.28	109
141	Patrick	54.8%	5.48	82	\$7.93	1.05	92	56.0%	5.60	100	4.04	100
143	Pittsylvania	61.4%	6.14	53	\$7.65	0.62	107	57.1%	5.71	97	4.16	99
145	Powhatan	53.8%	5.38	84	\$8.92	2.57	21	66.7%	6.67	82	4.87	73
147	Prince Edward	67.8%	6.78	24	\$8.04	1.22	84	82.6%	8.26	14	5.42	32
149	Prince George	53.5%	5.35	86	\$8.75	2.31	32	78.3%	7.83	29	5.16	45
153	Prince William	58.1%	5.81	68	\$10.15	4.46	6	74.6%	7.46	42	5.91	10
155	Pulaski	64.5%	6.45	37	\$8.07	1.26	83	75.9%	7.59	36	5.10	50
157	Rappahannock	33.3%	3.33	109	\$9.00	2.69	18	0.0%	0.00	117	2.01	117
159	Richmond County	34.8%	3.48	108	\$8.21	1.48	72	40.0%	4.00	113	2.99	112
161	Roanoke County	60.0%	6.00	62	\$8.21	1.48	73	72.5%	7.25	51	4.91	72
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	78.6%	7.86	7	\$8.53	1.97	45	69.2%	6.92	70	5.58	21
165	Rockingham/H'burg	76.8%	7.68	9	\$8.63	2.12	40	66.3%	6.63	83	5.48	28
167	Russell	36.7%	3.67	106	\$7.90	1.00	97	69.2%	6.92	71	3.87	104
169	Scott	60.4%	6.04	61	\$8.02	1.18	87	54.0%	5.40	105	4.21	95
171	Shenandoah	87.5%	8.75	2	\$8.87	2.49	25	68.4%	6.84	77	6.03	7

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	58.8%	5.88	<b>65</b>	\$7.38	0.20	<b>113</b>	55.8%	5.58	<b>102</b>	3.89	<b>102</b>
175	<i>Southampton</i>	56.3%	5.63	<b>76</b>	\$8.40	1.77	<b>58</b>	90.5%	9.05	<b>6</b>	5.48	<b>27</b>
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	63.0%	6.30	<b>44</b>	\$8.50	1.92	<b>48</b>	70.2%	7.02	<b>62</b>	5.08	<b>53</b>
179	<i>Stafford</i>	57.3%	5.73	<b>71</b>	\$9.15	2.92	<b>14</b>	78.3%	7.83	<b>27</b>	5.50	<b>26</b>
181	<i>Surry</i>	73.3%	7.33	<b>13</b>	\$8.82	2.42	<b>27</b>	57.1%	5.71	<b>98</b>	5.15	<b>46</b>
183	<i>Sussex</i>	61.0%	6.10	<b>56</b>	\$8.69	2.22	<b>37</b>	83.3%	8.33	<b>13</b>	5.55	<b>25</b>
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	50.7%	5.07	<b>91</b>	\$7.82	0.88	<b>100</b>	67.4%	6.74	<b>80</b>	4.23	<b>94</b>
187	<i>Warren</i>	79.3%	7.93	<b>6</b>	\$7.77	0.80	<b>101</b>	74.4%	7.44	<b>45</b>	5.39	<b>34</b>
191	<i>Washington</i>	60.6%	6.06	<b>60</b>	\$7.72	0.72	<b>105</b>	65.3%	6.53	<b>85</b>	4.44	<b>88</b>
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	65.8%	6.58	<b>32</b>	\$8.58	2.05	<b>41</b>	93.8%	9.38	<b>5</b>	6.00	<b>9</b>
195	<i>Wise</i>	61.4%	6.14	<b>52</b>	\$7.94	1.06	<b>91</b>	64.8%	6.48	<b>86</b>	4.56	<b>82</b>
197	<i>Wythe</i>	73.1%	7.31	<b>14</b>	\$8.08	1.28	<b>82</b>	61.9%	6.19	<b>90</b>	4.92	<b>70</b>
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	37.9%	3.79	<b>104</b>	\$9.22	3.03	<b>11</b>	80.7%	8.07	<b>19</b>	4.96	<b>65</b>
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	49.0%	4.90	<b>93</b>	\$10.58	5.12	<b>3</b>	81.8%	8.18	<b>17</b>	6.07	<b>6</b>
520	<i>Bristol</i>	55.7%	5.57	<b>78</b>	\$7.92	1.03	<b>94</b>	58.9%	5.89	<b>93</b>	4.16	<b>98</b>
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	61.6%	6.16	<b>51</b>	\$9.53	3.51	<b>8</b>	73.3%	7.33	<b>47</b>	5.66	<b>19</b>
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	66.9%	6.69	<b>27</b>	\$8.56	2.02	<b>43</b>	70.0%	7.00	<b>66</b>	5.23	<b>40</b>
590	<i>Danville</i>	39.7%	3.97	<b>101</b>	\$7.89	0.98	<b>98</b>	60.5%	6.05	<b>92</b>	3.67	<b>106</b>
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	56.3%	5.63	<b>77</b>	\$8.24	1.52	<b>71</b>	54.6%	5.46	<b>104</b>	4.20	<b>96</b>
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	67.4%	6.74	<b>25</b>	\$8.92	2.57	<b>22</b>	82.1%	8.21	<b>15</b>	5.84	<b>13</b>
640	<i>Galax</i>	66.7%	6.67	<b>29</b>	\$8.20	1.46	<b>74</b>	80.0%	8.00	<b>24</b>	5.38	<b>35</b>
650	<i>Hampton</i>	59.7%	5.97	<b>63</b>	\$8.53	1.97	<b>46</b>	70.1%	7.01	<b>63</b>	4.98	<b>63</b>
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	57.0%	5.70	<b>73</b>	\$8.73	2.28	<b>34</b>	55.9%	5.59	<b>101</b>	4.52	<b>85</b>
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	64.7%	6.47	<b>36</b>	\$8.10	1.31	<b>81</b>	70.2%	7.02	<b>60</b>	4.93	<b>68</b>
683	<i>Manassas</i>	62.2%	6.22	<b>48</b>	\$10.47	4.95	<b>5</b>	73.0%	7.30	<b>50</b>	6.16	<b>4</b>
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	25.0%	2.50	<b>113</b>	\$7.33	0.12	<b>114</b>	50.0%	5.00	<b>108</b>	2.54	<b>113</b>
700	<i>Newport News</i>	61.3%	6.13	<b>54</b>	\$8.50	1.92	<b>49</b>	71.4%	7.14	<b>57</b>	5.06	<b>55</b>
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	61.3%	6.13	<b>55</b>	\$8.37	1.72	<b>61</b>	78.0%	7.80	<b>31</b>	5.21	<b>42</b>
720	<i>Norton</i>	70.0%	7.00	<b>19</b>	\$7.89	0.98	<b>99</b>	69.2%	6.92	<b>72</b>	4.97	<b>64</b>
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	67.4%	6.74	<b>26</b>	\$8.50	1.92	<b>50</b>	69.8%	6.98	<b>67</b>	5.21	<b>43</b>
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	63.2%	6.32	<b>43</b>	\$8.38	1.74	<b>59</b>	69.3%	6.93	<b>69</b>	5.00	<b>62</b>
750	<i>Radford</i>	60.7%	6.07	<b>59</b>	\$8.49	1.91	<b>51</b>	68.8%	6.88	<b>74</b>	4.95	<b>66</b>
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	55.5%	5.55	<b>80</b>	\$8.57	2.03	<b>42</b>	74.4%	7.44	<b>44</b>	5.01	<b>59</b>
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	62.3%	6.23	<b>47</b>	\$8.43	1.82	<b>57</b>	61.8%	6.18	<b>91</b>	4.74	<b>76</b>
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	66.7%	6.67	<b>30</b>	\$8.48	1.89	<b>52</b>	68.7%	6.87	<b>75</b>	5.14	<b>47</b>
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	57.9%	5.79	<b>69</b>	\$8.80	2.38	<b>28</b>	75.8%	7.58	<b>37</b>	5.25	<b>39</b>
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	66.7%	6.67	<b>31</b>	\$8.89	2.52	<b>23</b>	81.3%	8.13	<b>18</b>	5.77	<b>15</b>
840	<i>Winchester</i>	57.1%	5.71	<b>72</b>	\$8.33	1.66	<b>65</b>	78.6%	7.86	<b>26</b>	5.08	<b>54</b>

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores is done to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception of VIEW

*This section lists the relative cumulative rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Monthly Earnings, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	51.2%	2.05	117	\$897	3.50	83	65.3%	5.44	50	3.66	103
003	Albemarle	70.9%	7.22	17	\$1,026	6.01	18	58.0%	3.60	95	5.61	35
005	Alleghany/Covington	69.7%	6.91	28	\$862	2.82	99	58.5%	3.71	89	4.48	80
007	Amelia	61.6%	4.78	85	\$980	5.10	39	60.9%	4.32	74	4.74	68
009	Amherst	66.0%	5.94	55	\$910	3.75	76	59.5%	3.97	79	4.56	75
011	Appomattox	71.0%	7.24	15	\$897	3.50	82	55.2%	2.87	106	4.54	78
013	Arlington	71.2%	7.30	14	\$1,077	6.99	8	68.9%	6.35	23	6.88	6
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	67.2%	6.25	46	\$977	5.05	41	66.3%	5.68	38	5.66	33
017	Bath	60.4%	4.46	87	\$717	0.00	120	61.3%	4.42	72	2.96	115
019	Bedford County/City	65.3%	5.75	59	\$882	3.21	90	60.2%	4.14	77	4.37	84
021	Bland	57.9%	3.81	105	\$905	3.65	79	56.0%	3.09	104	3.52	107
023	Botetourt	43.4%	0.00	120	\$960	4.72	51	53.3%	2.39	112	2.37	119
025	Brunswick	58.0%	3.83	104	\$849	2.56	110	66.5%	5.73	36	4.04	94
027	Buchanan	53.6%	2.67	113	\$858	2.74	103	64.7%	5.29	52	3.57	105
029	Buckingham	58.0%	3.83	103	\$985	5.21	36	59.1%	3.86	83	4.30	86
031	Campbell	68.4%	6.58	37	\$918	3.90	73	62.9%	4.83	63	5.10	56
033	Caroline	62.1%	4.91	80	\$988	5.26	34	59.0%	3.84	85	4.67	71
035	Carroll	67.4%	6.31	44	\$850	2.58	109	54.7%	2.75	109	3.88	100
036	Charles City	57.5%	3.69	108	\$973	4.97	44	51.7%	1.99	114	3.55	106
037	Charlotte	52.2%	2.31	116	\$941	4.35	61	59.0%	3.84	84	3.50	108
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	63.4%	5.26	72	\$1,055	6.56	11	68.8%	6.32	27	6.05	19
043	Clarke	58.2%	3.88	102	\$1,030	6.08	16	57.5%	3.47	100	4.48	81
045	Craig	46.3%	0.75	119	\$1,025	5.98	19	63.3%	4.94	60	3.89	99
047	Culpeper	65.1%	5.71	61	\$994	5.39	31	66.2%	5.67	39	5.59	36
049	Cumberland	57.9%	3.80	106	\$974	4.99	42	57.9%	3.56	97	4.12	92
051	Dickenson	60.1%	4.40	88	\$851	2.61	108	54.8%	2.79	107	3.27	111
053	Dinwiddie	66.4%	6.05	53	\$999	5.48	30	58.1%	3.61	94	5.04	58
057	Essex	67.5%	6.33	43	\$894	3.43	85	49.2%	1.36	117	3.71	102
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	66.7%	6.13	50	\$1,187	9.13	3	69.8%	6.57	17	7.27	5
061	Fauquier	69.5%	6.87	29	\$1,048	6.43	12	68.5%	6.26	28	6.52	11
063	Floyd	64.6%	5.56	69	\$959	4.70	53	53.7%	2.51	110	4.26	89
065	Fluvanna	63.0%	5.15	76	\$1,061	6.69	10	63.1%	4.88	62	5.58	37
067	Franklin County	61.8%	4.84	83	\$968	4.87	47	57.9%	3.57	96	4.42	82
069	Frederick	58.8%	4.04	96	\$1,032	6.12	15	60.5%	4.23	75	4.80	66
071	Giles	56.9%	3.56	109	\$964	4.81	49	52.1%	2.09	113	3.48	109
073	Gloucester	64.9%	5.65	64	\$854	2.66	106	57.8%	3.54	98	3.95	98
075	Goochland	63.7%	5.33	71	\$948	4.50	57	63.8%	5.05	56	4.96	61
077	Grayson	64.7%	5.59	67	\$866	2.90	96	59.9%	4.08	78	4.19	91

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	73.5%	7.91	8	\$920	3.95	70	57.5%	3.46	103	5.11	55
081	Greensville/Emporia	61.1%	4.66	86	\$855	2.68	105	64.7%	5.28	53	4.21	90
083	Halifax	55.2%	3.09	112	\$863	2.83	98	58.4%	3.70	90	3.21	112
085	Hanover	65.5%	5.80	58	\$1,071	6.88	9	65.9%	5.60	44	6.09	18
087	Henrico	67.8%	6.42	42	\$1,029	6.07	17	65.8%	5.57	45	6.02	21
089	Henry/Martinsville	63.9%	5.39	70	\$947	4.46	60	63.8%	5.07	55	4.98	59
091	Highland	75.0%	8.30	4	\$784	1.29	118	83.3%	10.00	1	6.53	10
093	Isle of Wight	67.3%	6.28	45	\$923	4.01	68	59.3%	3.91	80	4.73	69
095	James City	69.4%	6.84	31	\$938	4.30	62	57.5%	3.47	101	4.87	65
097	King and Queen	53.2%	2.56	114	\$877	3.11	93	44.7%	0.22	119	1.96	120
099	King George	58.6%	3.99	98	\$948	4.50	58	57.8%	3.53	99	4.01	95
101	King William	59.2%	4.16	92	\$1,006	5.61	28	59.2%	3.90	82	4.56	76
103	Lancaster	67.1%	6.24	47	\$877	3.11	94	49.8%	1.51	116	3.62	104
105	Lee	65.5%	5.82	57	\$816	1.93	117	72.0%	7.14	8	4.96	60
107	Loudoun	75.8%	8.52	3	\$1,232	10.00	1	71.8%	7.08	10	8.53	1
109	Louisa	63.3%	5.22	74	\$992	5.34	32	64.0%	5.12	54	5.22	48
111	Lunenburg	50.1%	1.77	118	\$855	2.69	104	58.9%	3.82	87	2.76	117
113	Madison	59.1%	4.12	94	\$1,008	5.65	25	58.4%	3.69	91	4.49	79
115	Mathews	67.9%	6.44	41	\$907	3.68	77	71.8%	7.09	9	5.74	28
117	Mecklenburg	65.2%	5.72	60	\$860	2.77	100	66.1%	5.63	42	4.71	70
119	Middlesex	69.5%	6.85	30	\$920	3.94	71	72.4%	7.23	7	6.00	22
121	Montgomery	77.5%	8.97	2	\$961	4.75	50	63.2%	4.92	61	6.21	16
125	Nelson	61.8%	4.84	82	\$1,009	5.68	23	65.6%	5.50	47	5.34	46
127	New Kent	57.8%	3.79	107	\$1,045	6.37	13	71.1%	6.90	13	5.69	30
131	Northampton	65.6%	5.83	56	\$858	2.74	102	79.7%	9.08	2	5.88	25
133	Northumberland	56.4%	3.40	111	\$868	2.93	95	50.3%	1.65	115	2.66	118
135	Nottoway	66.9%	6.17	48	\$928	4.10	66	69.2%	6.42	20	5.57	38
137	Orange	72.0%	7.51	11	\$981	5.14	37	61.1%	4.36	73	5.67	31
139	Page	69.1%	6.76	32	\$1,004	5.57	29	59.2%	3.90	81	5.41	41
141	Patrick	66.8%	6.15	49	\$842	2.42	114	74.0%	7.64	6	5.40	42
143	Pittsylvania	52.7%	2.45	115	\$879	3.14	92	58.4%	3.68	92	3.09	114
145	Powhatan	56.6%	3.47	110	\$1,008	5.65	26	61.9%	4.57	69	4.56	74
147	Prince Edward	70.9%	7.23	16	\$910	3.75	75	68.8%	6.32	25	5.77	27
149	Prince George	59.7%	4.27	90	\$1,024	5.96	20	58.6%	3.73	88	4.65	72
153	Prince William	71.6%	7.40	12	\$1,207	9.51	2	70.3%	6.70	14	7.87	2
155	Pulaski	63.1%	5.17	75	\$958	4.68	54	53.4%	2.42	111	4.09	93
157	Rappahannock	58.2%	3.90	100	\$977	5.05	40	48.9%	1.29	118	3.41	110
159	Richmond County	58.4%	3.95	99	\$948	4.48	59	43.8%	0.00	120	2.81	116
161	Roanoke County	73.4%	7.88	9	\$1,039	6.26	14	58.3%	3.66	93	5.93	24
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	70.8%	7.21	18	\$879	3.14	91	67.6%	6.03	33	5.46	39
165	Rockingham/H'burg	73.9%	8.00	5	\$1,008	5.66	24	67.7%	6.04	32	6.57	9
167	Russell	59.4%	4.19	91	\$866	2.89	97	66.4%	5.70	37	4.26	88
169	Scott	58.7%	4.02	97	\$895	3.46	84	75.4%	7.98	5	5.15	54
171	Shenandoah	60.0%	4.35	89	\$966	4.83	48	69.3%	6.46	19	5.21	49

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	58.8%	4.04	<b>95</b>	\$884	3.24	<b>89</b>	62.1%	4.63	<b>66</b>	3.97	<b>97</b>
175	<i>Southampton</i>	58.2%	3.88	<b>101</b>	\$853	2.64	<b>107</b>	54.8%	2.79	<b>108</b>	3.10	<b>113</b>
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	68.4%	6.56	<b>39</b>	\$1,024	5.96	<b>21</b>	66.0%	5.62	<b>43</b>	6.04	<b>20</b>
179	<i>Stafford</i>	59.2%	4.15	<b>93</b>	\$1,145	8.31	<b>4</b>	68.1%	6.14	<b>29</b>	6.20	<b>17</b>
181	<i>Surry</i>	70.5%	7.11	<b>21</b>	\$906	3.68	<b>78</b>	55.5%	2.95	<b>105</b>	4.58	<b>73</b>
183	<i>Sussex</i>	64.9%	5.64	<b>66</b>	\$973	4.98	<b>43</b>	62.0%	4.61	<b>67</b>	5.08	<b>57</b>
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	66.3%	6.01	<b>54</b>	\$827	2.13	<b>115</b>	65.6%	5.50	<b>48</b>	4.55	<b>77</b>
187	<i>Warren</i>	69.0%	6.73	<b>33</b>	\$1,018	5.86	<b>22</b>	69.7%	6.55	<b>18</b>	6.38	<b>13</b>
191	<i>Washington</i>	61.9%	4.86	<b>81</b>	\$904	3.63	<b>80</b>	57.5%	3.46	<b>102</b>	3.98	<b>96</b>
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	63.3%	5.24	<b>73</b>	\$929	4.12	<b>65</b>	64.7%	5.29	<b>51</b>	4.88	<b>64</b>
195	<i>Wise</i>	62.4%	4.99	<b>79</b>	\$848	2.54	<b>111</b>	66.1%	5.65	<b>40</b>	4.39	<b>83</b>
197	<i>Wythe</i>	66.6%	6.10	<b>51</b>	\$859	2.76	<b>101</b>	66.9%	5.83	<b>35</b>	4.90	<b>63</b>
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	61.7%	4.81	<b>84</b>	\$911	3.77	<b>74</b>	60.5%	4.22	<b>76</b>	4.27	<b>87</b>
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	68.5%	6.61	<b>36</b>	\$1,120	7.82	<b>6</b>	78.0%	8.65	<b>3</b>	7.69	<b>3</b>
520	<i>Bristol</i>	67.9%	6.45	<b>40</b>	\$884	3.25	<b>88</b>	62.0%	4.59	<b>68</b>	4.76	<b>67</b>
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	81.4%	10.00	<b>1</b>	\$931	4.15	<b>64</b>	66.1%	5.64	<b>41</b>	6.60	<b>8</b>
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	71.5%	7.38	<b>13</b>	\$953	4.58	<b>55</b>	63.7%	5.03	<b>57</b>	5.66	<b>32</b>
590	<i>Danville</i>	62.5%	5.01	<b>78</b>	\$821	2.02	<b>116</b>	61.4%	4.44	<b>71</b>	3.82	<b>101</b>
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	64.9%	5.65	<b>63</b>	\$844	2.46	<b>113</b>	63.4%	4.96	<b>59</b>	4.36	<b>85</b>
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	73.8%	8.00	<b>6</b>	\$1,006	5.62	<b>27</b>	65.8%	5.57	<b>46</b>	6.40	<b>12</b>
640	<i>Galax</i>	62.7%	5.07	<b>77</b>	\$889	3.34	<b>86</b>	68.8%	6.32	<b>26</b>	4.91	<b>62</b>
650	<i>Hampton</i>	69.9%	6.96	<b>26</b>	\$935	4.25	<b>63</b>	63.5%	4.99	<b>58</b>	5.40	<b>43</b>
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	68.4%	6.57	<b>38</b>	\$960	4.71	<b>52</b>	62.6%	4.76	<b>64</b>	5.35	<b>45</b>
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	70.0%	6.99	<b>23</b>	\$846	2.51	<b>112</b>	67.8%	6.08	<b>30</b>	5.19	<b>52</b>
683	<i>Manassas</i>	64.6%	5.58	<b>68</b>	\$1,139	8.20	<b>5</b>	69.8%	6.59	<b>16</b>	6.79	<b>7</b>
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	66.6%	6.09	<b>52</b>	\$1,108	7.60	<b>7</b>	76.6%	8.31	<b>4</b>	7.33	<b>4</b>
700	<i>Newport News</i>	69.9%	6.96	<b>25</b>	\$952	4.57	<b>56</b>	68.8%	6.33	<b>24</b>	5.95	<b>23</b>
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	69.9%	6.95	<b>27</b>	\$886	3.28	<b>87</b>	67.7%	6.05	<b>31</b>	5.42	<b>40</b>
720	<i>Norton</i>	73.6%	7.93	<b>7</b>	\$765	0.94	<b>119</b>	71.2%	6.93	<b>12</b>	5.27	<b>47</b>
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	70.7%	7.17	<b>20</b>	\$988	5.27	<b>33</b>	69.9%	6.59	<b>15</b>	6.34	<b>14</b>
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	70.8%	7.19	<b>19</b>	\$919	3.92	<b>72</b>	69.0%	6.37	<b>22</b>	5.83	<b>26</b>
750	<i>Radford</i>	70.0%	6.98	<b>24</b>	\$927	4.08	<b>67</b>	61.7%	4.53	<b>70</b>	5.20	<b>51</b>
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	70.2%	7.04	<b>22</b>	\$971	4.93	<b>45</b>	71.5%	7.00	<b>11</b>	6.32	<b>15</b>
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	64.9%	5.64	<b>65</b>	\$986	5.22	<b>35</b>	62.2%	4.65	<b>65</b>	5.17	<b>53</b>
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	72.8%	7.72	<b>10</b>	\$898	3.52	<b>81</b>	67.4%	5.96	<b>34</b>	5.73	<b>29</b>
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	65.0%	5.67	<b>62</b>	\$969	4.90	<b>46</b>	69.1%	6.40	<b>21</b>	5.66	<b>34</b>
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	69.0%	6.72	<b>34</b>	\$923	4.00	<b>69</b>	65.3%	5.44	<b>49</b>	5.39	<b>44</b>
840	<i>Winchester</i>	68.6%	6.63	<b>35</b>	\$981	5.12	<b>38</b>	59.0%	3.84	<b>86</b>	5.20	<b>50</b>

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores was begun in May 1999 to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

## State Summary July 1, 2015 – August 31, 2015

	State	ED 1	ED 2	ED 3	ED 4	ED 5	ED 6	ED 7	ED 8	ED 9	ED 10	ED 11	ED 12	ED 13	ED 14	ED 15	ED 16	ED 17	ED 18
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>																			
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	1,506	73	45	125	43	21	175	17	41	65	60	46	213	55	27	201	50	233	16
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	90%	100%	96%	97%	93%	100%	70%	94%	95%	98%	98%	98%	84%	64%	78%	99%	92%	94%	100%
% Timely in August 2015	90%	100%	96%	97%	93%	100%	70%	94%	95%	98%	98%	98%	84%	64%	78%	99%	92%	94%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>																			
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>																			
# Over 30 Days	222	0	0	1	1	0	105	2	1	0	0	1	34	54	10	1	4	8	0
Average # of Days Over 30	45	0	0	2	3	0	89	24	18	0	0	2	27	48	28	4	13	32	0

## EDD1 – Southwest Region: July 1, 2015 - August 31, 2015

	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	73	3	1	14	9	8	13	20	5
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
% Timely in August 2015	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>									
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD2 - Bristol-Galax Region: July 1, 2015 - August 31, 2015

	<i>Total EDD2</i>	<i>Bland</i>	<i>Carroll</i>	<i>Grayson</i>	<i>Smyth</i>	<i>Wash.</i>	<i>Wythe</i>	<i>Bristol</i>	<i>Galax</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	45	0	5	0	14	8	4	14	0
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	96%	N/A	100%	N/A	100%	88%	75%	100%	N/A
% Timely in August 2015	96%	N/A	100%	N/A	100%	88%	75%	100%	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>									
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD3 - Roanoke Region: July 1, 2015 - August 31, 2015

	<i>Total EDD3</i>	<i>Alleghny/ Covington</i>	<i>Bote- tourt</i>	<i>Craig</i>	<i>Floyd</i>	<i>Franklin County</i>	<i>Giles</i>	<i>Mont- gomery</i>	<i>Pulaski</i>	<i>Roanoke County</i>	<i>Radford</i>	<i>Roanoke City</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>												
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	125	9	2	0	2	7	1	18	9	17	2	58
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	97%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	94%	100%	95%
% Timely in August 2015	97%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	94%	100%	95%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>												
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>												
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2

## EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: July 1, 2015 - August 31, 2015

	<i>Total EDD4</i>	<i>Aug/S'ton /W'boro</i>	<i>Bath</i>	<i>Highland</i>	<i>Rockbridge/ BV/Lex</i>	<i>Rockingham/ HBurg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	43	27	0	1	3	12
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	93%	100%	N/A	0%	67%	92%
% Timely in August 2015	93%	100%	N/A	0%	67%	92%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	1	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	3	0	0	3	0	0

## EDD5 & EDD18 - Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: July 1, 2015 - August 31, 2015

	<i>Total EDD5</i>	<i>Clarke</i>	<i>Frederick</i>	<i>Page</i>	<i>Shen.</i>	<i>Warren</i>	<i>Winchester</i>	<i>Total EDD18</i>	<i>Accomack</i>	<i>Nhampton</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	21	0	4	3	3	8	3	16	12	4
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
% Timely in August 2015	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>										
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: July 1, 2015 - August 31, 2015

	<i>Total EDD6</i>	<i>Arlington</i>	<i>Fairfax</i>	<i>Loudoun</i>	<i>Prince William</i>	<i>Alexandria</i>	<i>Manassas</i>	<i>Manassas Park</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>								
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	175	9	63	11	63	20	9	0
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	70%	100%	100%	91%	24%	90%	89%	N/A
% Timely in August 2015	70%	100%	100%	91%	24%	90%	89%	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>								
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>								
# Over 30 Days	105	0	0	0	101	2	1	1
Average # of Days Over 30	89	0	0	0	92	12	19	32

## EDD7 - Culpeper Region: July 1, 2015 - August 31, 2015

	<i>Total EDD7</i>	<i>Culpeper</i>	<i>Fauquier</i>	<i>Madison</i>	<i>Orange</i>	<i>Rapp.</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	17	6	7	0	4	0
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	94%	100%	100%	N/A	75%	N/A
% Timely in August 2015	94%	100%	100%	N/A	75%	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	0	2	0
Average # of Days Over 30	24	0	0	0	24	0

## EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: July 1, 2015 - August 31, 2015

	<i>Total EDD8</i>	<i>Albemarle</i>	<i>Fluvanna</i>	<i>Greene</i>	<i>Louisa</i>	<i>Nelson</i>	<i>Cville</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	41	13	1	6	2	4	15
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	95%	92%	0%	100%	100%	100%	100%
% Timely in August 2015	95%	92%	0%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	18	0	18	0	0	0	0

## EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: July 1, 2015 - August 31, 2015

	<i>Total EDD9</i>	<i>Amherst</i>	<i>Appom.</i>	<i>Bedford</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Lynchburg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	65	5	4	10	20	26
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	96%
% Timely in August 2015	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	96%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD10 - Danville Region: July 1, 2015 - August 31, 2015

	<i>Total EDD10</i>	<i>Halifax</i>	<i>Henry/ Martinsville</i>	<i>Patrick</i>	<i>Pittsylvania</i>	<i>Danville</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	60	7	25	5	5	18
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	98%	100%	96%	100%	100%	100%
% Timely in August 2015	98%	100%	96%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD11 – Southside Region: July 1, 2015 - August 31, 2015

	<i>Total EDD11</i>	<i>Amelia</i>	<i>Brunswick</i>	<i>Buckingham</i>	<i>Charlotte</i>	<i>Cumberland</i>	<i>Gr'nsville Emporia</i>	<i>Lunenburg</i>	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	<i>Nottoway</i>	<i>Pr. Edward</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>											
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	46	1	5	4	3	4	4	3	10	2	10
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	67%	100%	100%	100%
% Timely in August 2015	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	67%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>											
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>											
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0

## EDD12 - Richmond Region: July 1, 2015 - August 31, 2015

	<i>Total EDD12</i>	<i>Chesterfield/ Col Hgts</i>	<i>Goochland</i>	<i>Hanover</i>	<i>Henrico</i>	<i>Powhatan</i>	<i>Richmond City</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	213	40	3	5	74	4	87
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	84%	88%	100%	100%	86%	100%	78%
% Timely in August 2015	84%	88%	100%	100%	86%	100%	78%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	34	4	0	0	5	0	25
Average # of Days Over 30	27	10	0	0	3	0	35

## EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: July 1, 2015 - August 31, 2015

	<i>Total EDD13</i>	<i>Caroline</i>	<i>King George</i>	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	<i>Stafford</i>	<i>Fredericksburg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	55	3	3	19	17	13
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	64%	100%	100%	0%	100%	92%
% Timely in August 2015	64%	100%	100%	0%	100%	92%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	54	1	0	53	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	48	252	0	44	0	0

## EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: July 1, 2015 - August 31, 2015

	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North- umberland	Richmond County	West- moreland
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	27	7	4	4	2	1	0	0	4	5
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	78%	100%	0%	75%	100%	0%	N/A	N/A	100%	100%
% Timely in August 2015	78%	100%	0%	75%	100%	0%	N/A	N/A	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>										
# Over 30 Days	10	0	8	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	28	0	35	1	0	1	0	0	0	0

## EDD15 - Peninsula Region: July 1, 2015 - August 31, 2015

	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	201	1	4	4	2	6	59	123	2
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	99%	100%	100%	100%	50%	100%	100%	99%	100%
% Timely in August 2015	99%	100%	100%	100%	50%	100%	100%	99%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>									
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	4	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0

## EDD16 - Petersburg Region: July 1, 2015 - August 31, 2015

	<i>Total EDD16</i>	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	<i>Prince George</i>	<i>Surry</i>	<i>Sussex</i>	<i>Hopewell</i>	<i>Petersburg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	50	1	6	2	3	13	25
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	92%	100%	67%	100%	100%	100%	92%
% Timely in August 2015	92%	100%	67%	100%	100%	100%	92%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	4	0	2	0	0	0	2
Average # of Days Over 30	13	0	5	0	0	0	21

## EDD17 - Tidewater Region: July 1, 2015 - August 31, 2015

	<i>Total EDD17</i>	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	<i>South- hampton</i>	<i>Chesapeake</i>	<i>Franklin City</i>	<i>Norfolk</i>	<i>Portsmouth</i>	<i>Suffolk</i>	<i>VA Beach</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	233	6	6	49	2	74	37	21	38
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	94%	83%	100%	84%	100%	97%	92%	100%	100%
% Timely in August 2015	94%	83%	100%	84%	100%	97%	92%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percent in August 2015	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>									
# Over 30 Days	8	2	0	1	0	5	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	32	36	0	3	0	36	0	0	0

## *Child Support Enforcement - Statewide Totals*

### *Collections*

	<i>Aug-14</i>	<i>Aug-15</i>	<i>% Change</i>
TANF Cases Collected	14,229	18,310	28.7%
NTANF Cases Collected	130,140	130,537	0.3%
Total Cases Collected	144,369	148,847	3.1%
TANF Collections	\$2,454,876	\$2,675,333	9.0%
NTANF Collections	\$47,829,145	\$49,351,195	3.2%
Total Collections	\$50,284,021	\$52,026,528	3.5%
SFY YTD Collections	\$104,220,034	\$105,108,122	0.9%
SFY YTD Average Collection	\$356.83	\$350.67	-1.7%

### *Paternities Established*

	<i>Aug-15</i>
TANF Paternities	147
NTANF Paternities	271
Combined Paternities	418

# *Diversionsary Assistance*

## *August Diversionsary Assistance Cases*

<b>Locality</b>	<b>Number of Cases</b>		<b>Locality</b>	<b>Number of Cases</b>
Albemarle	1		Richmond County	1
Augusta / Staunt'n / W'boro	2		Shenandoah	10
Buchanan	1		Stafford	1
Clarke	1		Sussex	1
Dickenson	2		Warren	3
Dinwiddie	1		Bristol	1
Fairfax/ Falls Church	1		Charlottesville	3
Floyd	1		Chesapeake	1
Fluvanna	1		Fredericksburg	3
Halifax	1		Hampton	4
Hanover	1		Hopewell	4
Henrico	5		Manassas Park	1
Henry / Martinsville	2		Newport News	11
James City	1		Norfolk	8
Loudoun	3		Petersburg	1
Louisa	1		Richmond City	1
Lunenburg	1		Roanoke City	5
New Kent	1		Suffolk	3
Patrick	1		Virginia Beach	7
Prince George	1		Winchester	1
			Statewide	99

## *Definitions of Selected Terms Contained in Report*

**Average Monthly Earnings.** This is the average monthly earnings of the unsubsidized jobs that participants obtained. The value is calculated by multiplying the hourly wage by the weekly hours worked by 4.3 average weeks per month. For the cumulative figures the initial wage and initial hours are used. For the current figures, the initial wage and most current hours are used, except for the November 2001 report which used initial hours. Earlier than June 1999, wage changes that were entered overwrote the initial wage, so prior to that date, the cumulative figures used the initial hours and the last wage entered. With the advent of the VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) program in October 2006 the end of month average monthly earnings might be expected to decline somewhat because prior to VTP, cases with high earnings might remain open for job follow-up, but after the implementation of VTP the VIEW case would be closed when the TANF case was closed and a VTP case opened. VTP cases are not included in this report except for estimating the participation rate.

**Average Wage.** The average of the wages reported for each occurrence of unsubsidized employment. After June 1999 the wage is the wage at hiring, that is, the initial wage. Prior to June 1999, that wage may have been updated if changed during employment. After the implementation of the VIEW Transitional Payment program the end of month point in time average wage might be expected to decline some for the same reason mentioned in the explanation of possible decline in Average Monthly earnings, above. See note 6 on page 44.

**Cases Closed with Employment.** These are TANF or TANF-UP cases that are closed with an indication in the data base of employment at the date of closure. It does not mean that the case necessarily closed because of employment. For example, a TANF case may have an indication of closing at the client's request, along with indication of existing employment in VIEW. That case is counted as closed with employment.

**EDD.** This stands for Economic Development District. EDDs were developed during the administration of Governor Allen. They generally are no longer in use. This report continues to refer to them because VIEW was phased in by EDD and their use provides consistency over time.

**Enrolled.** Unduplicated number by locality of TANF recipients who were interviewed, received an individual assessment, signed the Agreement of Personal Responsibility, and enrolled in the VIEW program. Because the count is by locality, a recipient may be counted once in more than one locality. The fiscal year to date numbers include those who were enrolled at the beginning of the fiscal year as well as those enrolled during the year. There are clients enrolled in VIEW who have had their TANF case closed because VIEW policy requires that the enrollment remain open for job follow-up after employment unless the employment meets federal work participation guidelines, in which case the VIEW case is closed and a VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) case is opened.

**Full Time Employment.** Employment at 30 hours a week or greater.

**Participants Assigned to Inactive.** Inactive is a status assigned to participants who cannot participate in the VIEW program for reasons other than being exempt. Examples are unavailability of child care, unavailability of transportation, and mental or medical problems that make participation impractical. Inactive participants are due to be reviewed each month. While in inactive status, the 24 month time limit for TANF benefits is suspended.

**Participants Employed.** Total number of participants by locality who obtained an unsubsidized job, either full time or part time. If employed more than one time, they are still only counted once, but may be counted in more than one locality. Also, these participants may have left their job and not gotten another one. For the year to date numbers, those employed at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first became employed in the fiscal year.

**Participants in a Work Activity.** Unduplicated count by locality of persons who have been in any of the following components: unsubsidized employment, full employment program (FEP), on-the-job training (OJT), community work experience program (CWEP), public service program (PSP), vocational education and training, and job search/job readiness. For example, a person who was in community work experience and then employed would be counted only once.

For the year to date numbers, those in a work activity at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first entered a work activity in the fiscal year. Note that this is a revised definition of work activity from reports through October 2006. The revised definition captures the activities that count toward the first 20 hours of federal participation requirements – the core work activities. Clients who are counted as being in a core work activity must meet additional participation rate requirements in order to be included in the work participation rate, and only VIEW clients are counted here, not all work eligible TANF clients **Thus, these percentages in a work activity are quite different from the percentages in the TANF work participation rate.**

**Part Time Employment.** Employment at fewer than 30 weekly hours.

**Referred.** Unduplicated number of TANF recipients by locality who were initially evaluated as eligible for VIEW and had their names referred to the automated VIEW list for participation.

**Relationship Between TANF and VIEW Participation.** On the chart at page 5a, the column heading “Total Adults in the Case” includes adults who are not considered to be part of the assistance unit. For example, a mother receiving SSI may be in the case, but because she receives SSI is not considered eligible for TANF and thus is not part of the assistance unit. Persons such as she who are not in the assistance unit are not eligible to participate in VIEW. Also included are adults who are part of the assistance unit but exempt from VIEW

**Five Months Employment.** In earlier reports, this represented the percent of all participants that were employed 150 days after their first employment. Participants who were employed in any job 150 days after their first employment were counted in this measure.. This measure became unavailable after July 1, 2011. See note 9 on page 44.

**Three Months Employment.** This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 90 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 90 days after their first employment are counted in this measure. For year to date percents, the calculations are based on the first employment that began one year before the end of the report month. That employment may not have been the first ever for the participant. The year to date percents were calculated differently in reports before September 2004. Also for year to date percents, transferred cases were not counted for months after the January 2002 report.

**TANF / TANF-UP Payment Cases.** Up to November 2003 these counts were taken from a monthly report with no adjustment. After that, the monthly counts included some cases that were being paid because child support payments had been received and were being passed on. These counts were adjusted to mostly eliminate those additional cases. Further adjustment may be appropriate. It should also be noted that the counts include both strictly TANF cases and MOE cases for some years. With the end of waiver provisions in July 2003, a substantial part of the caseload was placed in a state program and funded with MOE funds. This change in July 2003 was a change in funding, not a change in eligibility requirements or case payment amounts. It ended in September 2006 when VIEW transitional payments (VTP) were introduced. Adjustments have been made to take out VTP cases. Also, TANF-UP cases are currently funded with only state monies.

**VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP).** Beginning in October 2006, VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) were introduced. These are payments of \$50 per client per month for one year to VIEW clients that leave “regular” TANF with employment sufficient to meet Federal work participation requirements. The employment must be maintained to be eligible for VTP. Previously, VTP clients normally would have remained VIEW clients for five or six months of job-follow-up. Thus, the VTP program has had a diminishing effect on some of the statistics in this report such as end of month percent employed and average wage. See graph on page 5a.

**Time That Data Represent.** The data in the top sections of page 4 and pages 8 – 24 are point in time data as of the date indicated. The year to date section represents state fiscal year to date data, with the exception of three month retention (see above). The bottom section is cumulative from when the locality began VIEW.

**General Statement About Accuracy.** The report is based on an extract from the Employment Services automated system. The extract is normally made the night of the first work day after the end of the report month. Data that staff of local social services agencies enter into the automated system after the time of the extract are not included in the report, even though the activity reflected actually occurred in the report month. However, that data, and the activity reflected, are included in the reports for subsequent months. Further, because the data are entered by staff in the 120 localities, the accuracy of the data in the data base is, of course, subject to inadvertent error.

<sup>1</sup> Applications are not necessarily acted upon in the month that they are received. Also, applications may be transferred from regular TANF to TANF-UP and vice versa as they are processed. November applications are being reviewed for accuracy.

<sup>2</sup> These TANF cases paid counts are prior to possible adjustment. See definition of payment cases. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes.

<sup>3</sup> VIEW enrolled counts do not include VTP clients

<sup>4</sup> A case may be open in more than one locality during the time period.

<sup>5</sup> Prior to June 1999, when individuals were transferred from one locality to another, their history was transferred as well. This may have an effect on a locality's cumulative VIEW statistics.

<sup>6</sup> The minimum wage rose from \$6.55 to \$7.25 an hour on 7/24/09. The report reflects initial wages so some effect on wages in the report was delayed. The average wage includes full and part time, unless otherwise indicated. See the definition of average wage on page 42.

<sup>7</sup> Includes full and part time earnings.

<sup>8</sup> This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed three months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed three months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous. See definition on page 43 for the revised method of calculating the year to date percentages.

<sup>9</sup> Until the July 2011 report, this was employed after five months. However, on July 1, 2011 the number of required job follow-ups was reduced to three. Thus, data for five month job retention became unavailable.

<sup>10</sup> Number includes TANF-UP and represents cases carried over to the next month. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes, but with the advent of VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) such occurrences were reduced from earlier months.

<sup>11</sup> Enrollment is timely if done within 30 days of referral in previous month of the state fiscal year. The monthly percent includes evaluation of clients waiting in the queue. The year to date does not.

<sup>12</sup> Placement in a work activity on the 95th day after referral was a measure of timeliness before Oct 1, 2006. The revised definition of work activity has increased the percentage from reports before November 2006. Clients whose cases have closed before the 95<sup>th</sup> day are excluded, so 100% is an attainable mark.


<sup>14</sup> As of the morning of September 1, 2015. Some referrals to the waiting list are not appropriate; there may be considerable lag time in correcting them.

This report is available on the Department of Social Services web site at:  
[http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial\\_assistance/tanf.cgi](http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial_assistance/tanf.cgi)

The scores in the VIEW Rankings are determined by placing a locality on a scale of zero to ten in the same relative position as its place in the difference between the high and low of the measure in question. This converts all measures to a similar scale and provides a more equitable way to combine the ratings in each measure to determine a combined rank. (Questions, please call 804 726-7618.)

**Comments on the format or content of the report and requests for Excel files for portions of current and past reports may be sent by e-mail to: [william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov](mailto:william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov).**

## TANF and TANF-UP Cases Paid at Six Month Intervals, except last month June 1995 through August 2015




This graph illustrates the dramatic decline of the TANF caseload as VIEW was implemented. There was some sign of slowing in the rate of decline after June 1998. Nevertheless, wide community involvement, a strong economy, and the effect of the 24 month rule allowed the caseload to continue to fall until it was near the 30,000 level. There was little net change in the caseload from June 2000 to June 2002, but some upward movement was seen after June 2002, until 2005. The more recent trends are presumed to be a result of economic conditions. The caseload leveled off after recession spurred growth and now is declining.

Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

# Applications Received with Approval Percentage

Six Month Asymmetrical Moving Averages by Quarter, July 1995 through August 2015



This graph of application activity is presented as six month moving averages to produce smoother lines from which trends may be seen and in recognition that applications are not always acted on in the month received. There seems to have been a general decline in the number of applications received until the July 2001 data. The decline in applications received after December 2004 and the more recent changes appear to be reflected in the case load trends. A reason for the general decrease in percent approved may be the lack of any increase in income threshold for initial eligibility; also, a reason for more recent decline may be the availability of applications on line that offers ready access for applying to multiple assistance programs. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

## TANF Cases, VIEW Enrolled, and Employed VIEW Participants


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide  
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. August is the last month



This graph shows that the number enrolled in VIEW increased during early implementation and then generally tracked the number of TANF cases. The effects of recent economic conditions are apparent. A VIEW case may remain open for some months after the TANF case closes, if job follow-up is being done and the case has not been converted to the VIEW Transitional Payment program. It is unlikely that the number employed will ever equal the number enrolled because there are always new enrollees without employment, and there are some enrollees in work activities, other than employment, that meet VIEW requirements. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months. It includes TANF-UP.

# Chart Displaying the Relationships Between TANF and VIEW Participation


August 31, 2015



This chart illustrates that there are a large number of adults who are exempt from VIEW and that many of the VIEW enrollees have had their TANF cases closed. They are now in a job follow-up phase of VIEW. They have not had their VIEW case closed and become enrolled in the VIEW Transitional Payment program. The source of this information is a monthly TANF extract from ADAPT and the monthly extract from ESPAS, the VIEW automated data system. The extracts were made at the beginning of September and represent end of August data. TANF-UP is included.

## VIEW Percent Employed and Average Wage at End of Month


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide  
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. August is the last month.



This graph shows that the average wage has seen a general increase as the percent employed has varied somewhat. Besides employment, VIEW participants may be in various other activities or initial assessment and job search, and economic conditions (one slight recession and one large one) apparently had expected effects. The wages shown are generally the initial wages. When the average wage was calculated at about the end of July it was \$8.81 rather than the \$8.66 initial wages used to calculate wages shown on this graph. See page 42 of the report for an explanation of why the VIEW average wage might decline after October 2006 and note 6 on page 44 for the effect of the increase in minimum wage. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.

# Percent of Jobs Found During a Month That Were Full Time and Percent Part Time

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide  
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. August is the last month.



This graph illustrates an initial trend of VIEW participants obtaining a greater percent of full time jobs than part time. After the initial months, the trend seems to be fairly level with an increase in the percent full time after TANF reauthorization which probably reflects increased emphasis on meeting the required TANF work participation rate. The more recent changes are presumed to be a result of economic developments and increased use of other work activities to help meet the required work participation hours. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.