

VIRGINIA INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM

MONTHLY REPORT

Including Graphs of Statewide Trends

January 2016

Prepared by:

Virginia Department of Social Services February 24, 2016

The last monthly report will be for April 2016

Monthly Report, January 2016

Table of Contents

Work Participation Estimates (from the VDSS data warehouse) (A work participation estimate percent should not be compared to a percent in a work activity in the main body of the report which includes only clients in VIEW and does not consider the number of hours in a work activity during a month)	i-vi
TANF, TANF-UP Case Activity.....	1
Graphs of Case Activity.....	at end
VIEW Work Activity	
Statewide	4
Graphs of Statewide Activity.....	at end
EDD1.....	8
EDD2.....	9
EDD3.....	10
EDD4.....	11
EDD5 & EDD18.....	12
EDD6.....	13
EDD7.....	14
EDD8.....	15
EDD9.....	16
EDD10.....	17
EDD11.....	18
EDD14.....	19
EDD1.....	20
EDD3.....	21
EDD11.....	22
EDD15.....	23
EDD17.....	24
VIEW Year to Date Rankings.....	25-27
VIEW Cumulative Rankings.....	28-30
VIEW Timeliness Measures.....	31-39
Child Support Enforcement.....	40
Diversion.....	41
VIEW Definition Sheet and Endnotes.....	42-44

Estimated Work Participation Rates for January

These are estimated locality January 2016 work participation rates that include clients receiving either TANF or VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). The official participation rate will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated January statewide rate is 44% with a numerator of 5,131 and a denominator of 11,759.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	17	57	30%	061	Fauquier	16	40	40%
003	Albemarle	24	53	45%	063	Floyd	6	17	35%
005	Alleghany/Covington	23	40	58%	065	Fluvanna	4	19	21%
007	Amelia	3	7	43%	067	Franklin County	39	73	53%
009	Amherst	19	38	50%	069	Frederick	18	34	53%
011	Appomattox	15	36	42%	071	Giles	4	12	33%
013	Arlington	30	62	48%	073	Gloucester	20	46	43%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	75	170	44%	075	Goochland	2	12	17%
017	Bath	0	0	N/A	077	Grayson	7	16	44%
019	Bedford County/City	26	65	40%	079	Greene	15	39	38%
021	Bland	2	5	40%	081	Greensville/Emporia	13	52	25%
023	Botetourt	3	13	23%	083	Halifax	15	49	31%
025	Brunswick	17	39	44%	085	Hanover	19	41	46%
027	Buchanan	19	46	41%	087	Henrico	200	445	45%
029	Buckingham	21	35	60%	089	Henry/Martinsville	58	165	35%
031	Campbell	45	103	44%	091	Highland	0	1	0%
033	Caroline	32	67	48%	093	Isle of Wight	8	42	19%
035	Carroll	23	37	62%	095	James City	33	92	36%
036	Charles City	5	8	63%	097	King and Queen	0	15	0%
037	Charlotte	12	29	41%	099	King George	10	22	45%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	139	291	48%	101	King William	7	16	44%
043	Clarke	0	0	N/A	103	Lancaster	7	20	35%
045	Craig	0	5	0%	105	Lee	45	85	53%
047	Culpeper	21	56	38%	107	Loudoun	36	107	34%
049	Cumberland	11	24	46%	109	Louisa	12	35	34%
051	Dickensen	11	14	79%	111	Lunenburg	8	21	38%
053	Dinwiddie	8	25	32%	113	Madison	1	5	20%
057	Essex	13	28	46%	115	Mathews	2	5	40%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	193	463	42%	117	Mecklenburg	16	42	38%

Estimated Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent
119	Middlesex	11	21	52%	187	Warren	31	49	63%
121	Montgomery	47	92	51%	191	Washington	38	73	52%
125	Nelson	11	22	50%	193	Westmoreland	12	39	31%
127	New Kent	5	17	29%	195	Wise	54	121	45%
131	Northampton	11	37	30%	197	Wythe	13	35	37%
133	Northumberland	3	8	38%	199	York/Poquoson	35	72	49%
135	Nottoway	17	47	36%	510	Alexandria	67	158	42%
137	Orange	20	46	43%	520	Bristol	38	77	49%
139	Page	2	11	18%	540	Charlottesville	63	129	49%
141	Patrick	20	42	48%	550	Chesapeake	170	374	45%
143	Pittsylvania	29	64	45%	590	Danville	71	165	43%
145	Powhatan	2	6	33%	620	Franklin City	8	23	35%
147	Prince Edward	35	55	64%	630	Fredericksburg	38	81	47%
149	Prince George	16	35	46%	640	Galax	7	17	41%
153	Prince William	150	407	37%	650	Hampton	265	524	51%
155	Pulaski	16	37	43%	670	Hopewell	65	136	48%
157	Rappahannock	0	4	0%	680	Lynchburg	117	218	54%
159	Richmond County	6	15	40%	683	Manassas	14	30	47%
161	Roanoke County	36	101	36%	685	Manassas Park	0	14	0%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	10	29	34%	700	Newport News	360	743	48%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	62	104	60%	710	Norfolk	395	834	47%
167	Russell	20	58	34%	720	Norton	10	26	38%
169	Scott	17	65	26%	730	Petersburg	96	213	45%
171	Shenandoah	7	23	30%	740	Portsmouth	208	458	45%
173	Smyth	26	67	39%	750	Radford	11	30	37%
175	Southampton	15	38	39%	760	Richmond City	375	1,003	37%
177	Spotsylvania	46	175	26%	770	Roanoke City	120	355	34%
179	Stafford	57	138	41%	800	Suffolk	73	125	58%
181	Surry	6	13	46%	810	Virginia Beach	182	319	57%
183	Sussex	12	29	41%	830	Williamsburg	4	10	40%
185	Tazewell	35	84	42%	840	Winchester	13	34	38%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF and VTP recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rate presented here is the all families rate, i. e. the overall rate, including VTP..

Estimated TANF only Work Participation Rates for January

These are estimated locality January 2016 work participation rates that include only clients receiving TANF. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated January statewide rate is 36% with a numerator of 3,671 and a denominator of 10,172. VTP only estimates are on pages v and vi.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	8	48	17%	061	Fauquier	8	32	25%
003	Albemarle	13	40	33%	063	Floyd	4	15	27%
005	Alleghany/Covington	19	36	53%	065	Fluvanna	4	18	22%
007	Amelia	3	7	43%	067	Franklin County	30	64	47%
009	Amherst	14	32	44%	069	Frederick	8	24	33%
011	Appomattox	10	31	32%	071	Giles	0	8	0%
013	Arlington	25	56	45%	073	Gloucester	11	37	30%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	44	137	32%	075	Goochland	0	10	0%
017	Bath	0	0	N/A	077	Grayson	6	15	40%
019	Bedford County/City	23	62	37%	079	Greene	11	33	33%
021	Bland	2	5	40%	081	Greensville/Emporia	6	45	13%
023	Botetourt	3	13	23%	083	Halifax	12	46	26%
025	Brunswick	10	31	32%	085	Hanover	15	37	41%
027	Buchanan	17	43	40%	087	Henrico	128	370	35%
029	Buckingham	14	27	52%	089	Henry/Martinsville	43	149	29%
031	Campbell	27	85	32%	091	Highland	0	1	0%
033	Caroline	17	50	34%	093	Isle of Wight	6	38	16%
035	Carroll	14	28	50%	095	James City	21	80	26%
036	Charles City	3	6	50%	097	King and Queen	0	15	0%
037	Charlotte	9	26	35%	099	King George	7	19	37%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	95	245	39%	101	King William	6	14	43%
043	Clarke	0	0	N/A	103	Lancaster	4	16	25%
045	Craig	0	5	0%	105	Lee	39	79	49%
047	Culpeper	15	50	30%	107	Loudoun	18	87	21%
049	Cumberland	8	20	40%	109	Louisa	11	31	35%
051	Dickensen	9	12	75%	111	Lunenburg	4	16	25%
053	Dinwiddie	5	22	23%	113	Madison	0	4	0%
057	Essex	11	23	48%	115	Mathews	2	5	40%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	110	377	29%	117	Mecklenburg	10	36	28%

Estimated TANF Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	7	17	41%	187	Warren	25	43	58%
121	Montgomery	36	80	45%	191	Washington	31	66	47%
125	Nelson	5	16	31%	193	Westmoreland	8	35	23%
127	New Kent	5	17	29%	195	Wise	44	111	40%
131	Northampton	8	34	24%	197	Wythe	10	32	31%
133	Northumberland	1	6	17%	199	York/Poquoson	27	64	42%
135	Nottoway	10	40	25%	510	Alexandria	42	127	33%
137	Orange	19	45	42%	520	Bristol	33	72	46%
139	Page	2	11	18%	540	Charlottesville	38	103	37%
141	Patrick	13	35	37%	550	Chesapeake	128	329	39%
143	Pittsylvania	26	61	43%	590	Danville	53	146	36%
145	Powhatan	0	4	0%	620	Franklin City	5	20	25%
147	Prince Edward	20	40	50%	630	Fredericksburg	25	68	37%
149	Prince George	8	26	31%	640	Galax	5	15	33%
153	Prince William	81	334	24%	650	Hampton	188	439	43%
155	Pulaski	8	29	28%	670	Hopewell	46	117	39%
157	Rappahannock	0	3	0%	680	Lynchburg	89	190	47%
159	Richmond County	6	13	46%	683	Manassas	10	26	38%
161	Roanoke County	25	89	28%	685	Manassas Park	0	12	0%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	7	26	27%	700	Newport News	286	658	43%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	28	69	41%	710	Norfolk	344	779	44%
167	Russell	16	53	30%	720	Norton	6	22	27%
169	Scott	13	61	21%	730	Petersburg	78	191	41%
171	Shenandoah	5	17	29%	740	Portsmouth	155	404	38%
173	Smyth	19	60	32%	750	Radford	3	21	14%
175	Southampton	15	38	39%	760	Richmond City	289	893	32%
177	Spotsylvania	35	160	22%	770	Roanoke City	80	314	25%
179	Stafford	42	123	34%	800	Suffolk	53	104	51%
181	Surry	6	13	46%	810	Virginia Beach	120	256	47%
183	Sussex	7	24	29%	830	Williamsburg	3	8	38%
185	Tazewell	24	73	33%	840	Winchester	8	29	28%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are for the TANF all family rate not including VTP.

Estimated VTP only Work Participation Rates for January

These are estimated locality January 2016 work participation rates that include only clients receiving VTP. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated January statewide rate is 92% with a numerator of 1,460 and a denominator of 1,587. TANF only estimates are on pages iii and iv.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	<i>Accomack</i>	9	9	100%	061	<i>Fauquier</i>	8	8	100%
003	<i>Albemarle</i>	11	13	85%	063	<i>Floyd</i>	2	2	100%
005	<i>Alleghany/Covington</i>	4	4	100%	065	<i>Fluvanna</i>	0	1	0%
007	<i>Amelia</i>	0	0	N/A	067	<i>Franklin County</i>	9	9	100%
009	<i>Amherst</i>	5	6	83%	069	<i>Frederick</i>	10	10	100%
011	<i>Appomattox</i>	5	5	100%	071	<i>Giles</i>	4	4	100%
013	<i>Arlington</i>	5	6	83%	073	<i>Gloucester</i>	9	9	100%
015	<i>Augusta/S'ton/W'boro</i>	31	33	94%	075	<i>Goochland</i>	2	2	100%
017	<i>Bath</i>	0	0	N/A	077	<i>Grayson</i>	1	1	100%
019	<i>Bedford County/City</i>	3	3	100%	079	<i>Greene</i>	4	6	67%
021	<i>Bland</i>	0	0	N/A	081	<i>Greensville/Emporia</i>	7	7	100%
023	<i>Botetourt</i>	0	0	N/A	083	<i>Halifax</i>	3	3	100%
025	<i>Brunswick</i>	7	8	88%	085	<i>Hanover</i>	4	4	100%
027	<i>Buchanan</i>	2	3	67%	087	<i>Henrico</i>	72	75	96%
029	<i>Buckingham</i>	7	8	88%	089	<i>Henry/Martinsville</i>	15	16	94%
031	<i>Campbell</i>	18	18	100%	091	<i>Highland</i>	0	0	N/A
033	<i>Caroline</i>	15	17	88%	093	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	2	4	50%
035	<i>Carroll</i>	9	9	100%	095	<i>James City</i>	12	12	100%
036	<i>Charles City</i>	2	2	100%	097	<i>King and Queen</i>	0	0	N/A
037	<i>Charlotte</i>	3	3	100%	099	<i>King George</i>	3	3	100%
041	<i>Chesterfield/Col Hgts</i>	44	46	96%	101	<i>King William</i>	1	2	50%
043	<i>Clarke</i>	0	0	N/A	103	<i>Lancaster</i>	3	4	75%
045	<i>Craig</i>	0	0	N/A	105	<i>Lee</i>	6	6	100%
047	<i>Culpeper</i>	6	6	100%	107	<i>Loudoun</i>	18	20	90%
049	<i>Cumberland</i>	3	4	75%	109	<i>Louisa</i>	1	4	25%
051	<i>Dickensen</i>	2	2	100%	111	<i>Lunenburg</i>	4	5	80%
053	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	3	3	100%	113	<i>Madison</i>	1	1	100%
057	<i>Essex</i>	2	5	40%	115	<i>Mathews</i>	0	0	N/A
059	<i>Fairfax/Falls Church</i>	83	86	97%	117	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	6	6	100%

Estimated VTP Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	4	4	100%	187	Warren	6	6	100%
121	Montgomery	11	12	92%	191	Washington	7	7	100%
125	Nelson	6	6	100%	193	Westmoreland	4	4	100%
127	New Kent	0	0	N/A	195	Wise	10	10	100%
131	Northampton	3	3	100%	197	Wythe	3	3	100%
133	Northumberland	2	2	100%	199	York/Poquoson	8	8	100%
135	Nottoway	7	7	100%	510	Alexandria	25	31	81%
137	Orange	1	1	100%	520	Bristol	5	5	100%
139	Page	0	0	N/A	540	Charlottesville	25	26	96%
141	Patrick	7	7	100%	550	Chesapeake	42	45	93%
143	Pittsylvania	3	3	100%	590	Danville	18	19	95%
145	Powhatan	2	2	100%	620	Franklin City	3	3	100%
147	Prince Edward	15	15	100%	630	Fredericksburg	13	13	100%
149	Prince George	8	9	89%	640	Galax	2	2	100%
153	Prince William	69	73	95%	650	Hampton	77	85	91%
155	Pulaski	8	8	100%	670	Hopewell	19	19	100%
157	Rappahannock	0	1	0%	680	Lynchburg	28	28	100%
159	Richmond County	0	2	0%	683	Manassas	4	4	100%
161	Roanoke County	11	12	92%	685	Manassas Park	0	2	0%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	3	3	100%	700	Newport News	74	85	87%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	34	35	97%	710	Norfolk	51	55	93%
167	Russell	4	5	80%	720	Norton	4	4	100%
169	Scott	4	4	100%	730	Petersburg	18	22	82%
171	Shenandoah	2	6	33%	740	Portsmouth	53	54	98%
173	Smyth	7	7	100%	750	Radford	8	9	89%
175	Southampton	0	0	N/A	760	Richmond City	86	110	78%
177	Spotsylvania	11	15	73%	770	Roanoke City	40	41	98%
179	Stafford	15	15	100%	800	Suffolk	20	21	95%
181	Surry	0	0	N/A	810	Virginia Beach	62	63	98%
183	Sussex	5	5	100%	830	Williamsburg	1	2	50%
185	Tazewell	11	11	100%	840	Winchester	5	5	100%

All VTP recipients are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” Thus, all VTP cases are in the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, job follow-up entries are reviewed to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. The basic requirement for VTP recipients is employment for at least 30 hours per week. The requirement is contained in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are also sometimes referred to as the rates for the maintenance of effort program caseload. .

TANF

TANF Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	Jan-16	Jan-15	Change from Jan-15	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	4,416	5,162	-14.5%	4,807	-8%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	2,565	2,650	-3.2%	1,658	55%
Applications Approved	922	1,369	-33%	2,820	-67%
Cases Closed *	1,585	2,419	-34%	4,476	-65%
Cases at End of Month	22,085	24,209	-9%	67,995	-68%
Cases Paid During Month ²	22,468	25,425	-12%	70,403	-68%

•The database indicates that of the 1,585 closed cases, 645 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 360 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 132 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 98 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

TANF-UP

TANF-UP Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	Jan-16	Jan-15	Change from Jan-15	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	301	508	-41%	338	-11%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	228	239	-5%	232	-2%
Applications Approved	104	188	-45%	81	28%
Cases Closed *	135	203	-33%	107	26%
Cases at End of Month	1,268	1,409	-10%	321	295%
Cases Paid During Month ²	1,257	1,444	-13%	394	219%

•The database indicates that of the 135 closed cases, 74 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 47 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 13 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those eight closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

Statewide - Status through January 31, 2016

TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/16 and Work Activity as of 1/31/16

Current Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	23,353	1,259	760	1,847	607	319	2,580	295	536	870	1,147	666	3,471	909	345	2,581	882	4,111	168
Total Enrolled in VIEW	8,484	397	267	509	185	108	1,068	112	276	347	297	262	1,220	380	109	1,093	312	1,485	57
Part. in a Work Activity	6,719	317	229	361	138	81	866	74	226	284	230	208	971	311	88	871	248	1,180	36
% of Enrolled	79%	80%	86%	71%	75%	75%	81%	66%	82%	82%	77%	79%	80%	82%	81%	80%	79%	79%	63%
Part. Assigned to Inactive	69	2	1	1	0	2	6	7	5	1	0	5	2	13	2	5	5	11	1
Participants Employed	4,912	186	158	298	125	66	572	60	160	202	178	138	739	231	75	650	171	877	26
% of Enrolled	58%	47%	59%	59%	68%	61%	54%	54%	58%	58%	60%	53%	61%	61%	69%	59%	55%	59%	46%
Full Time	3,971	152	132	252	104	56	451	46	107	135	152	104	603	169	63	516	149	764	16
Part Time	941	34	26	46	21	10	121	14	53	67	26	34	136	62	12	134	22	113	10
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.75	\$8.00	\$7.83	\$8.35	\$8.68	\$8.32	\$10.19	\$9.55	\$8.92	\$8.18	\$8.19	\$8.16	\$8.97	\$9.03	\$8.49	\$8.50	\$8.58	\$8.55	\$8.60
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,190	\$1,102	\$1,073	\$1,193	\$1,225	\$1,163	\$1,373	\$1,212	\$1,126	\$1,048	\$1,168	\$1,097	\$1,238	\$1,173	\$1,186	\$1,149	\$1,225	\$1,162	\$1,074

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – January 31, 2016, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	12,246	519	387	1,001	367	176	1,543	164	295	448	521	346	1,613	460	170	1,542	443	2,143	108
Total Enrolled in VIEW	16,463	698	507	1,129	417	248	2,045	209	463	689	672	507	2,248	707	225	2,070	671	2,824	134
Part. in a Work Activity	14,764	668	468	980	388	211	1,824	175	444	624	590	469	1,984	620	195	1,860	601	2,547	116
% of Total Enrolled	90%	96%	92%	87%	93%	85%	89%	84%	96%	91%	88%	93%	88%	88%	87%	90%	90%	90%	87%
Participants Employed	9,875	353	302	658	291	149	1,158	130	313	418	363	288	1,405	423	149	1,232	417	1,749	77
% of Total Enrolled	60%	51%	60%	58%	70%	60%	57%	62%	68%	61%	54%	57%	63%	60%	66%	60%	62%	62%	57%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.92	\$7.99	\$7.95	\$8.58	\$8.81	\$8.60	\$10.85	\$9.23	\$9.06	\$8.33	\$8.21	\$8.26	\$9.03	\$9.13	\$8.53	\$8.69	\$8.86	\$8.64	\$8.83
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,176	\$1,046	\$1,053	\$1,173	\$1,225	\$1,175	\$1,425	\$1,162	\$1,078	\$1,021	\$1,111	\$1,058	\$1,204	\$1,146	\$1,132	\$1,142	\$1,236	\$1,137	\$1,146
Three Months Employment ⁸	73%	71%	68%	66%	73%	65%	79%	73%	74%	72%	70%	76%	73%	78%	62%	76%	66%	74%	70%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	320,334	18,991	11,879	23,851	9,458	6,178	40,742	4,584	7,099	12,058	14,492	9,257	42,396	10,875	4,296	31,115	10,864	59,320	2,879
Total Enrolled in VIEW ⁵	248,406	14,760	10,012	18,578	7,406	4,672	31,338	3,488	5,574	10,019	11,640	7,315	33,465	8,185	3,249	23,882	8,518	44,130	2,175
Part. in a Work Activity	237,440	14,264	9,625	17,651	7,229	4,414	30,105	3,340	5,449	9,464	11,266	7,035	32,076	7,727	3,065	22,720	8,112	41,802	2,096
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	96%	95%	98%	94%	96%	96%	98%	94%	97%	96%	96%	94%	94%	95%	95%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	167,083	9,171	6,432	12,457	5,177	3,050	21,716	2,334	4,132	6,857	7,074	4,516	22,777	5,366	2,067	16,487	5,799	30,441	1,230
% of Total Enrolled	67%	62%	64%	67%	70%	65%	69%	67%	74%	68%	61%	62%	68%	66%	64%	69%	68%	69%	57%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.43	\$6.44	\$6.56	\$7.31	\$7.42	\$7.57	\$8.99	\$7.73	\$7.65	\$6.88	\$6.62	\$7.08	\$7.61	\$8.20	\$7.25	\$7.30	\$7.31	\$7.10	\$7.05
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$972	\$844	\$880	\$975	\$984	\$1,007	\$1,183	\$1,012	\$960	\$880	\$875	\$908	\$1,006	\$1,039	\$918	\$945	\$984	\$921	\$885
Three Months Employment ⁹	66%	67%	61%	60%	67%	63%	71%	65%	64%	64%	63%	65%	69%	65%	58%	66%	65%	67%	72%

EDDI - Southwest Region: Status through January 31, 2016

TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/16 and Work Activity as of 1/31/16

Current Data	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,259	114	58	235	142	130	196	335	49
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	397	23	15	114	31	47	56	89	22
Participants in a Work Activity	317	22	8	95	30	38	48	59	17
% of Enrolled	80%	96%	53%	83%	97%	81%	86%	66%	77%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
Participants Employed	186	11	6	52	8	19	25	55	10
% of Enrolled	47%	48%	40%	46%	26%	40%	45%	62%	45%
Full Time	152	8	6	40	7	12	22	48	9
Part Time	34	3	0	12	1	7	3	7	1
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.00	\$7.97	\$7.73	\$8.02	\$7.69	\$7.89	\$8.03	\$8.11	\$7.89
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,102	\$1,088	\$1,167	\$1,109	\$1,100	\$1,003	\$1,109	\$1,123	\$1,101

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – January 31, 2016, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	519	39	15	110	57	57	79	134	28
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	698	41	20	172	70	72	110	180	33
Participants in a Work Activity	668	39	18	167	65	69	108	174	28
% of Total Enrolled	96%	95%	90%	97%	93%	96%	98%	97%	85%
Participants Employed	353	21	9	81	23	38	55	106	20
% of Total Enrolled	51%	51%	45%	47%	33%	53%	50%	59%	61%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.99	\$7.93	\$7.73	\$8.07	\$7.84	\$8.05	\$7.98	\$8.01	\$7.86
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,046	\$992	\$1,035	\$1,044	\$991	\$963	\$1,019	\$1,109	\$1,080
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	83%	82%	80%	70%	64%	67%	61%	92%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDDI	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	18,991	1,833	1,267	2,700	2,608	1,889	3,603	4,466	625
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	14,760	1,393	992	2,113	2,104	1,415	2,756	3,489	498
Participants in a Work Activity	14,264	1,315	936	2,101	2,008	1,390	2,670	3,385	459
% of Total Enrolled	97%	94%	94%	99%	95%	98%	97%	97%	92%
Participants Employed	9,171	748	594	1,380	1,247	829	1,823	2,183	367
% of Total Enrolled	62%	54%	60%	65%	59%	59%	66%	63%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.44	\$6.60	\$6.42	\$6.30	\$6.57	\$6.77	\$6.30	\$6.45	\$6.25
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$844	\$858	\$852	\$818	\$866	\$897	\$828	\$850	\$768
Three Months Employment ⁹	67%	65%	55%	72%	66%	75%	66%	66%	71%

VIEW Work Activity

EDD2 – Bristol-Galax Region: Status through January 31, 2016

TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/16 and Work Activity as of 1/31/16

Current Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	760	12	81	31	155	175	90	180	36
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	267	4	28	8	41	57	22	101	6
Participants in a Work Activity	229	4	26	7	35	46	21	85	5
% of Enrolled	86%	100%	93%	88%	85%	81%	95%	84%	83%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	158	4	22	5	24	32	20	48	3
% of Enrolled	59%	100%	79%	63%	59%	56%	91%	48%	50%
Full Time	132	2	22	5	20	29	20	31	3
Part Time	26	2	0	0	4	3	0	17	0
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.83	\$7.60	\$7.84	\$7.75	\$6.80	\$8.47	\$7.95	\$7.90	\$7.83
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,073	\$853	\$1,119	\$1,142	\$982	\$1,196	\$1,176	\$984	\$1,072

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – January 31, 2016, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	387	6	36	10	81	76	38	128	12
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	507	6	62	10	91	110	38	170	20
Participants in a Work Activity	468	6	59	9	86	100	38	154	16
% of Total Enrolled	92%	100%	95%	90%	95%	91%	100%	91%	80%
Participants Employed	302	4	45	6	46	68	33	89	11
% of Total Enrolled	60%	67%	73%	60%	51%	62%	87%	52%	55%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.95	\$7.60	\$7.70	\$7.62	\$7.61	\$8.15	\$8.09	\$8.04	\$8.06
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,053	\$847	\$1,039	\$995	\$1,035	\$1,065	\$1,143	\$1,027	\$1,130
Three Months Employment ⁸	68%	100%	80%	40%	66%	71%	77%	60%	71%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	11,879	300	1,547	728	2,092	2,129	1,709	2,597	777
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	10,012	225	1,290	591	1,783	1,776	1,347	2,317	683
Participants in a Work Activity	9,625	213	1,199	554	1,729	1,728	1,324	2,233	645
% of Total Enrolled	96%	95%	93%	94%	97%	97%	98%	96%	94%
Participants Employed	6,432	132	870	382	1,044	1,100	904	1,573	427
% of Total Enrolled	64%	59%	67%	65%	59%	62%	67%	68%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.56	\$6.58	\$6.35	\$6.49	\$6.46	\$6.80	\$6.50	\$6.66	\$6.43
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$880	\$903	\$850	\$868	\$886	\$908	\$862	\$886	\$889
Three Months Employment ⁹	61%	56%	55%	60%	62%	58%	67%	62%	69%

EDD3 – Roanoke Region: Status through January 31, 2016

TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/16 and Work Activity as of 1/31/16

Current Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,847	83	41	14	44	172	39	218	113	228	55	840
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	509	33	13	7	6	54	6	71	15	70	20	214
Participants in a Work Activity	361	29	7	1	5	46	1	59	14	53	12	134
% of Enrolled	71%	88%	54%	14%	83%	85%	17%	83%	93%	76%	60%	63%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	298	20	2	1	5	29	0	54	11	37	11	128
% of Enrolled	59%	61%	15%	14%	83%	54%	0%	76%	73%	53%	55%	60%
Full Time	252	13	2	1	5	24	N/A	54	11	28	6	108
Part Time	46	7	0	0	0	5	N/A	0	0	9	5	20
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.35	\$8.32	\$8.50	\$10.00	\$7.80	\$8.53	N/A	\$8.55	\$8.29	\$7.94	\$8.32	\$8.37
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,193	\$1,079	\$1,418	\$1,299	\$1,090	\$1,208	N/A	\$1,291	\$1,225	\$1,065	\$1,075	\$1,209

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – January 31, 2016, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	1,001	45	24	2	19	95	17	111	53	93	36	506
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,129	61	18	10	18	116	14	154	54	135	49	500
Participants in a Work Activity	980	58	16	4	18	108	12	134	48	118	41	423
% of Total Enrolled	87%	95%	89%	40%	100%	93%	86%	87%	89%	87%	84%	85%
Participants Employed	658	37	3	1	13	57	3	108	35	83	31	287
% of Total Enrolled	58%	61%	17%	10%	72%	49%	21%	70%	65%	61%	63%	57%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.58	\$8.28	\$8.50	\$10.00	\$8.37	\$8.78	\$12.17	\$8.66	\$8.83	\$8.33	\$8.52	\$8.58
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,173	\$1,042	\$1,426	\$430	\$1,211	\$1,161	\$1,982	\$1,263	\$1,222	\$1,046	\$1,141	\$1,187
Three Months Employment ⁸	66%	63%	67%	100%	100%	71%	N/A	72%	59%	66%	77%	62%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	23,851	1,285	426	112	613	2,148	600	3,332	2,026	2,669	952	9,688
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	18,578	995	270	68	453	1,680	438	2,748	1,613	2,172	753	7,388
Participants in a Work Activity	17,651	961	236	65	436	1,525	428	2,687	1,548	2,027	716	7,022
% of Total Enrolled	95%	97%	87%	96%	96%	91%	98%	98%	96%	93%	95%	95%
Participants Employed	12,457	691	115	31	295	1,035	247	2,128	1,016	1,594	527	4,778
% of Total Enrolled	67%	69%	43%	46%	65%	62%	56%	77%	63%	73%	70%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.31	\$7.09	\$7.56	\$7.41	\$7.20	\$7.25	\$7.19	\$7.15	\$6.96	\$7.79	\$7.20	\$7.38
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$975	\$863	\$969	\$1,025	\$963	\$968	\$966	\$965	\$961	\$1,039	\$929	\$988
Three Months Employment ⁹	60%	58%	54%	60%	54%	58%	52%	63%	53%	58%	62%	62%

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: Status through January 31, 2016

TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/16 and Work Activity as of 1/31/16

Current Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	607	363	3	3	62	176
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	185	97	0	2	19	67
Participants in a Work Activity	138	69	N/A	0	13	56
% of Enrolled	75%	71%	N/A	0%	68%	84%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	N/A	0	0	0
Participants Employed	125	66	N/A	0	11	48
% of Enrolled	68%	68%	N/A	0	58%	72%
Full Time	104	54	N/A	N/A	8	42
Part Time	21	12	N/A	N/A	3	6
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.68	\$8.70	N/A	N/A	\$8.56	\$8.68
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,225	\$1,234	N/A	N/A	\$1,136	\$1,233

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – January 31, 2016, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	367	195	0	2	27	143
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	417	223	0	2	29	163
Participants in a Work Activity	388	205	N/A	2	23	158
% of Total Enrolled	93%	92%	N/A	100%	79%	97%
Participants Employed	291	147	N/A	0	17	127
% of Total Enrolled	70%	66%	N/A	0%	59%	78%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.81	\$8.85	N/A	N/A	\$8.51	\$8.79
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,225	\$1,201	N/A	N/A	\$1,121	\$1,263
Three Months Employment ⁸	73%	68%	N/A	N/A	71%	77%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	9,458	5,030	81	26	813	3,508
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	7,406	4,003	53	10	610	2,730
Participants in a Work Activity	7,229	3,931	52	10	566	2,670
% of Total Enrolled	98%	98%	98%	100%	93%	98%
Participants Employed	5,177	2,692	32	6	430	2,017
% of Total Enrolled	70%	67%	60%	60%	70%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.42	\$7.40	\$6.56	\$7.79	\$6.93	\$7.56
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$984	\$981	\$717	\$784	\$881	\$1,012
Three Months Employment ⁹	67%	66%	61%	83%	68%	68%

VIEW Work Activity

EDDS 5 & 18 – Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: Status through January 31, 2016

TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/16 and Work Activity as of 1/31/16

Current Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	319	8	81	19	44	93	74	168	116	52
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	108	0	15	7	15	44	27	57	28	29
Participants in a Work Activity	81	N/A	10	5	12	37	17	36	17	19
% of Enrolled	75%	N/A	67%	71%	80%	84%	63%	63%	61%	66%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	N/A	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	0
Participants Employed	66	N/A	8	3	9	34	12	26	13	13
% of Enrolled	61%	N/A	53%	43%	60%	77%	44%	46%	46%	45%
Full Time	56	N/A	6	2	8	31	9	16	9	7
Part Time	10	N/A	2	1	1	3	3	10	4	6
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.32	N/A	\$9.75	\$9.50	\$8.29	\$7.95	\$8.14	\$8.60	\$8.71	\$8.48
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,163	N/A	\$1,325	\$931	\$1,194	\$1,141	\$1,149	\$1,074	\$1,146	\$1,002

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – January 31, 2016, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	176	2	49	17	23	48	37	108	80	28
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	248	1	52	23	28	87	57	134	84	50
Participants in a Work Activity	211	1	47	20	23	81	39	116	72	44
% of Total Enrolled	85%	1	90%	87%	82%	93%	68%	87%	86%	88%
Participants Employed	149	0	27	12	17	62	31	77	42	35
% of Total Enrolled	60%	1	52%	52%	61%	71%	54%	57%	50%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.60	N/A	\$9.68	\$8.90	\$8.85	\$7.87	\$8.62	\$8.83	\$9.09	\$8.50
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,175	N/A	\$1,311	\$1,102	\$1,247	\$1,082	\$1,194	\$1,146	\$1,251	\$1,012
Three Months Employment ⁸	65%	N/A	56%	50%	60%	82%	64%	70%	70%	71%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	6,178	235	1,368	913	768	1,485	1,409	2,879	1,864	1,015
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	4,672	142	1,029	751	487	1,141	1,122	2,175	1,379	796
Participants in a Work Activity	4,414	131	963	735	470	1,061	1,054	2,096	1,340	756
% of Total Enrolled	94%	92%	94%	98%	97%	93%	94%	96%	97%	95%
Participants Employed	3,050	82	602	520	289	787	770	1,230	706	524
% of Total Enrolled	65%	58%	59%	69%	59%	69%	69%	57%	51%	66%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.57	\$7.74	\$7.99	\$7.45	\$7.09	\$7.57	\$7.50	\$7.05	\$7.16	\$6.91
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,007	\$1,030	\$1,042	\$1,004	\$969	\$1,020	\$984	\$885	\$904	\$861
Three Months Employment ⁹	63%	58%	61%	59%	68%	70%	59%	72%	65%	80%

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: Status through January 31, 2016

TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/16 and Work Activity as of 1/31/16

Current Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	2,580	173	933	206	788	360	95	25
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,068	61	400	122	285	167	29	4
Participants in a Work Activity	866	55	342	96	217	129	26	1
% of Enrolled	81%	90%	86%	79%	76%	77%	90%	25%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	6	0	5	0	0	1	0	0
Participants Employed	572	41	189	63	165	91	22	1
% of Enrolled	54%	67%	47%	52%	58%	54%	76%	25%
Full Time	451	32	135	44	145	76	18	1
Part Time	121	9	54	19	20	15	4	0
Average Wage ⁶	\$10.19	\$11.07	\$10.26	\$10.51	\$9.98	\$9.87	\$9.91	\$7.33
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,373	\$1,481	\$1,352	\$1,364	\$1,415	\$1,312	\$1,338	\$952

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – January 31, 2016, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	1,543	83	569	159	403	279	45	5
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,045	124	826	201	520	302	68	4
Participants in a Work Activity	1,824	120	755	180	456	253	57	3
% of Total Enrolled	89%	97%	91%	90%	88%	84%	84%	75%
Participants Employed	1,158	78	444	117	314	162	42	1
% of Total Enrolled	57%	63%	54%	58%	60%	54%	62%	25%
Average Wage ⁶	\$10.85	\$10.63	\$11.35	\$11.08	\$10.25	\$10.72	\$10.12	\$7.33
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,425	\$1,293	\$1,480	\$1,398	\$1,398	\$1,412	\$1,400	\$946
Three Months Employment ⁸	79%	69%	80%	74%	78%	88%	82%	100%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	40,742	3,245	14,558	3,066	12,826	4,801	1,739	507
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	31,338	2,564	11,022	2,424	9,917	3,708	1,335	368
Participants in a Work Activity	30,105	2,546	10,593	2,302	9,493	3,509	1,298	364
% of Total Enrolled	96%	99%	96%	95%	96%	95%	97%	99%
Participants Employed	21,716	1,829	7,343	1,819	7,081	2,537	862	245
% of Total Enrolled	69%	71%	67%	75%	71%	68%	65%	67%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.99	\$8.52	\$9.12	\$9.61	\$8.97	\$8.75	\$8.51	\$8.33
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,183	\$1,081	\$1,194	\$1,236	\$1,209	\$1,124	\$1,140	\$1,108
Three Months Employment ⁹	71%	69%	70%	72%	70%	78%	70%	77%

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: Status through January 31, 2016

TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/16 and Work Activity as of 1/31/16

Current Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	295	112	59	17	101	6
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	112	45	19	2	43	3
Participants in a Work Activity	74	28	15	1	28	2
% of Enrolled	66%	62%	79%	50%	65%	2
Participants Assigned to Inactive	7	3	1	0	3	0
Participants Employed	60	27	12	0	21	0
% of Enrolled	54%	60%	63%	0%	49%	2
Full Time	46	21	7	N/A	18	N/A
Part Time	14	6	5	N/A	3	N/A
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.55	\$9.16	\$10.26	N/A	\$9.64	N/A
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,212	\$1,112	\$1,281	N/A	\$1,302	N/A

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – January 31, 2016, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	164	76	35	5	41	7
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	209	84	49	10	59	7
Participants in a Work Activity	175	67	42	10	49	7
% of Total Enrolled	84%	80%	86%	100%	83%	100%
Participants Employed	130	53	32	7	37	1
% of Total Enrolled	62%	63%	65%	70%	63%	14%
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.23	\$9.36	\$9.06	\$8.79	\$9.29	\$9.00
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,162	\$1,153	\$1,160	\$1,231	\$1,167	\$968
Three Months Employment ⁸	73%	76%	68%	100%	71%	0%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	4,584	1,791	1,324	413	931	125
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	3,488	1,318	1,024	309	754	83
Participants in a Work Activity	3,340	1,257	1,002	301	701	79
% of Total Enrolled	96%	95%	98%	97%	93%	95%
Participants Employed	2,334	856	713	183	536	46
% of Total Enrolled	67%	65%	70%	59%	71%	55%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.73	\$7.73	\$8.08	\$7.30	\$7.45	\$7.52
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,012	\$995	\$1,053	\$1,008	\$985	\$977
Three Months Employment ⁹	65%	66%	68%	59%	61%	49%

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: Status through January 31, 2016

TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/16 and Work Activity as of 1/31/16

Current Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	536	106	53	49	91	45	192
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	276	62	11	31	33	11	128
Participants in a Work Activity	226	58	4	22	25	7	110
% of Enrolled	82%	94%	36%	71%	76%	64%	86%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	0	0	0	0	1	4
Participants Employed	160	37	4	19	22	7	71
% of Enrolled	58%	60%	36%	61%	67%	64%	55%
Full Time	107	25	4	11	16	6	45
Part Time	53	12	0	8	6	1	26
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.92	\$9.59	\$7.88	\$8.23	\$9.08	\$8.07	\$8.86
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,126	\$1,158	\$1,107	\$1,066	\$1,115	\$1,074	\$1,136

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – January 31, 2016, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	295	80	5	36	28	19	127
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	463	120	14	45	48	30	206
Participants in a Work Activity	444	118	12	42	47	27	198
% of Total Enrolled	96%	98%	86%	93%	98%	90%	96%
Participants Employed	313	83	5	29	32	21	143
% of Total Enrolled	68%	69%	36%	64%	67%	70%	69%
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.06	\$9.34	\$7.90	\$8.23	\$8.75	\$8.68	\$9.24
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,078	\$1,078	\$1,026	\$1,029	\$1,065	\$1,021	\$1,101
Three Months Employment ⁸	74%	74%	100%	87%	83%	63%	70%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	7,099	1,734	334	556	1,117	382	2,976
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	5,574	1,291	247	400	844	281	2,511
Participants in a Work Activity	5,449	1,265	241	379	820	277	2,467
% of Total Enrolled	98%	98%	98%	95%	97%	99%	98%
Participants Employed	4,132	921	155	300	535	173	2,048
% of Total Enrolled	74%	71%	63%	75%	63%	62%	82%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.65	\$8.12	\$8.02	\$7.51	\$7.84	\$8.00	\$7.46
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$960	\$1,029	\$1,061	\$924	\$993	\$1,009	\$932
Three Months Employment ⁹	64%	58%	63%	59%	64%	65%	66%

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: Status through January 31, 2016

TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/16 and Work Activity as of 1/31/16

Current Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	870	82	60	154	179	395
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	347	27	20	54	87	159
Participants in a Work Activity	284	23	17	45	67	132
% of Enrolled	82%	85%	85%	83%	77%	83%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	1	0	0	0
Participants Employed	202	14	14	28	41	105
% of Enrolled	58%	52%	70%	52%	47%	66%
Full Time	135	10	10	24	25	66
Part Time	67	4	4	4	16	39
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.18	\$8.04	\$7.29	\$8.23	\$8.44	\$8.20
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,048	\$1,147	\$891	\$1,127	\$1,043	\$1,036

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – January 31, 2016, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	448	37	26	84	102	199
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	689	45	46	112	178	308
Participants in a Work Activity	624	42	46	97	159	280
% of Total Enrolled	91%	93%	100%	87%	89%	91%
Participants Employed	418	25	33	65	94	201
% of Total Enrolled	61%	56%	72%	58%	53%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.33	\$8.41	\$7.87	\$8.67	\$8.37	\$8.25
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,021	\$1,175	\$998	\$1,111	\$965	\$995
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	67%	68%	71%	71%	74%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	12,058	1,117	933	2,430	2,814	4,764
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	10,019	892	823	1,952	2,420	3,932
Participants in a Work Activity	9,464	846	811	1,808	2,280	3,719
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	99%	93%	94%	95%
Participants Employed	6,857	586	584	1,271	1,657	2,759
% of Total Enrolled	68%	66%	71%	65%	68%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.88	\$7.11	\$6.58	\$6.96	\$7.07	\$6.77
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$880	\$916	\$897	\$885	\$919	\$848
Three Months Employment ⁹	64%	60%	55%	60%	63%	68%

EDD10 - Danville Region: Status through January 31, 2016

TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/16 and Work Activity as of 1/31/16

Current Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,147	159	388	79	162	359
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	297	36	102	29	46	84
Participants in a Work Activity	230	18	86	22	36	68
% of Enrolled	77%	50%	84%	76%	78%	81%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	178	17	67	15	29	50
% of Enrolled	60%	47%	66%	52%	63%	60%
Full Time	152	15	56	9	26	46
Part Time	26	2	11	6	3	4
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.19	\$7.97	\$8.31	\$8.51	\$8.17	\$8.00
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,168	\$1,108	\$1,196	\$1,126	\$1,177	\$1,158

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – January 31, 2016, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	521	65	161	50	95	150
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	672	86	237	68	88	193
Participants in a Work Activity	590	74	204	62	79	171
% of Total Enrolled	88%	86%	86%	91%	90%	89%
Participants Employed	363	40	140	44	46	93
% of Total Enrolled	54%	47%	59%	65%	52%	48%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.21	\$8.65	\$8.26	\$8.09	\$8.06	\$8.04
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,111	\$1,206	\$1,117	\$1,084	\$1,100	\$1,080
Three Months Employment ⁸	70%	71%	71%	64%	78%	69%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	14,492	2,210	3,912	1,412	2,425	4,533
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	11,640	1,823	3,047	1,217	1,854	3,699
Participants in a Work Activity	11,266	1,759	2,923	1,148	1,824	3,612
% of Total Enrolled	97%	96%	96%	94%	98%	98%
Participants Employed	7,074	1,008	1,957	816	976	2,317
% of Total Enrolled	61%	55%	64%	67%	53%	63%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.62	\$6.59	\$7.05	\$6.52	\$6.60	\$6.32
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$875	\$867	\$950	\$845	\$882	\$824
Three Months Employment ⁹	63%	58%	64%	74%	59%	61%

EDD11 - Southside Region: Status through January 31, 2016

TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/16 and Work Activity as of 1/31/16

Current Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	666	25	59	55	55	50	104	28	120	82	88
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	262	5	26	20	30	19	36	14	32	40	40
Participants in a Work Activity	208	3	20	20	25	13	33	8	26	24	36
% of Enrolled	79%	60%	77%	100%	83%	68%	92%	57%	81%	60%	90%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	1	0	0	0	2	1	0	1	0	0
Participants Employed	138	2	12	16	12	9	17	7	13	18	32
% of Enrolled	53%	40%	46%	80%	40%	47%	47%	50%	41%	45%	80%
Full Time	104	1	9	13	9	5	16	7	10	11	23
Part Time	34	1	3	3	3	4	1	0	3	7	9
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.16	\$7.38	\$7.85	\$9.18	\$7.63	\$8.46	\$8.40	\$8.57	\$7.70	\$8.17	\$7.90
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,097	\$1,004	\$1,089	\$1,113	\$1,045	\$1,008	\$1,177	\$1,301	\$1,092	\$1,021	\$1,101

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – January 31, 2016, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	346	7	36	33	27	23	56	17	48	44	55
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	507	7	57	42	41	38	79	19	67	70	87
Participants in a Work Activity	469	5	52	41	38	33	75	11	63	66	85
% of Total Enrolled	93%	71%	91%	98%	93%	87%	95%	58%	94%	94%	98%
Participants Employed	288	4	29	27	17	21	41	8	35	42	64
% of Total Enrolled	57%	57%	51%	64%	41%	55%	52%	42%	52%	60%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.26	\$8.28	\$8.04	\$8.71	\$7.65	\$9.21	\$7.94	\$8.94	\$7.92	\$8.56	\$8.12
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,058	\$961	\$1,062	\$1,064	\$930	\$1,151	\$988	\$1,377	\$1,072	\$1,118	\$1,018
Three Months Employment ⁸	76%	60%	59%	83%	86%	74%	78%	75%	65%	78%	85%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	9,257	579	1,105	877	816	627	1,098	444	1,331	1,131	1,249
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	7,315	431	891	678	627	472	883	349	1,058	886	1,040
Participants in a Work Activity	7,035	407	889	645	590	449	853	344	1,016	827	1,015
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	100%	95%	94%	95%	97%	99%	96%	93%	98%
Participants Employed	4,516	264	521	396	326	275	539	175	690	592	738
% of Total Enrolled	62%	61%	58%	58%	52%	58%	61%	50%	65%	67%	71%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.08	\$7.67	\$6.54	\$7.40	\$7.46	\$7.63	\$7.07	\$6.86	\$6.79	\$7.12	\$7.01
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$908	\$979	\$853	\$986	\$940	\$979	\$858	\$861	\$863	\$931	\$912
Three Months Employment ⁹	65%	61%	66%	59%	59%	58%	65%	59%	66%	69%	69%

EDD12 - Richmond Region: Status through January 31, 2016

TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/16 and Work Activity as of 1/31/16

Current Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	3,471	631	27	105	876	30	1,802
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,220	217	7	53	386	1	556
Participants in a Work Activity	971	178	6	37	295	1	454
% of Enrolled	80%	82%	86%	70%	76%	100%	82%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
Participants Employed	739	144	5	32	220	1	337
% of Enrolled	61%	66%	71%	60%	57%	100%	61%
Full Time	603	116	4	27	181	1	274
Part Time	136	28	1	5	39	0	63
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.97	\$9.20	\$9.55	\$9.42	\$9.12	\$7.25	\$8.73
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,238	\$1,256	\$1,394	\$1,234	\$1,295	\$1,884	\$1,189

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – January 31, 2016, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	1,613	293	12	47	527	15	719
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,248	390	14	72	751	15	1,006
Participants in a Work Activity	1,984	353	13	59	626	14	919
% of Total Enrolled	88%	91%	93%	82%	83%	93%	91%
Participants Employed	1,405	251	8	44	446	8	648
% of Total Enrolled	63%	64%	57%	61%	59%	53%	64%
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.03	\$9.32	\$8.91	\$9.65	\$9.21	\$8.71	\$8.76
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,204	\$1,264	\$985	\$1,261	\$1,218	\$1,299	\$1,170
Three Months Employment ⁸	73%	76%	75%	74%	71%	50%	73%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	42,396	8,939	334	1,522	10,891	431	20,279
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	33,465	6,841	258	1,090	8,981	320	15,975
Participants in a Work Activity	32,076	6,618	245	1,011	8,436	303	15,463
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	95%	93%	94%	95%	97%
Participants Employed	22,777	4,349	169	711	6,110	181	11,257
% of Total Enrolled	68%	64%	66%	65%	68%	57%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.61	\$7.94	\$7.48	\$8.45	\$7.94	\$7.94	\$7.31
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,006	\$1,058	\$950	\$1,073	\$1,034	\$1,009	\$973
Three Months Employment ⁹	69%	69%	63%	66%	66%	62%	71%

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: Status through January 31, 2016

TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/16 and Work Activity as of 1/31/16

Current Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	909	122	54	315	278	140
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	380	60	16	113	118	73
Participants in a Work Activity	311	43	15	85	108	60
% of Enrolled	82%	72%	94%	75%	92%	82%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	13	2	0	3	6	2
Participants Employed	231	35	11	63	75	47
% of Enrolled	61%	58%	69%	56%	64%	64%
Full Time	169	22	11	54	54	28
Part Time	62	13	0	9	21	19
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.03	\$9.72	\$8.87	\$8.65	\$9.20	\$8.81
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,173	\$1,104	\$1,392	\$1,189	\$1,196	\$1,116

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – January 31, 2016, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	460	55	25	156	128	96
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	707	105	35	194	224	149
Participants in a Work Activity	620	89	32	166	202	131
% of Total Enrolled	88%	85%	91%	86%	90%	88%
Participants Employed	423	66	18	112	129	98
% of Total Enrolled	60%	63%	51%	58%	58%	66%
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.13	\$9.52	\$8.99	\$8.74	\$9.26	\$9.10
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,146	\$1,159	\$1,307	\$1,129	\$1,104	\$1,188
Three Months Employment ⁸	78%	85%	71%	77%	73%	85%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	10,875	1,575	645	3,360	3,178	2,117
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	8,185	1,151	462	2,577	2,186	1,809
Participants in a Work Activity	7,727	1,094	439	2,403	2,079	1,712
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	95%	93%	95%	95%
Participants Employed	5,366	714	274	1,750	1,296	1,332
% of Total Enrolled	66%	62%	59%	68%	59%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.20	\$7.89	\$7.75	\$8.14	\$8.85	\$7.98
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,039	\$991	\$954	\$1,026	\$1,142	\$1,009
Three Months Employment ⁹	65%	59%	58%	66%	68%	66%

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: Status through January 31, 2016

TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/16 and Work Activity as of 1/31/16

Current Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	345	59	30	27	41	20	42	28	27	71
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	109	18	3	13	10	7	18	3	11	26
Participants in a Work Activity	88	16	2	10	7	4	18	3	8	20
% of Enrolled	81%	89%	67%	77%	70%	57%	100%	100%	73%	77%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	75	16	2	9	5	4	15	1	5	18
% of Enrolled	69%	89%	67%	69%	50%	57%	83%	33%	45%	69%
Full Time	63	15	1	7	2	4	14	0	5	15
Part Time	12	1	1	2	3	0	1	1	0	3
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.49	\$7.66	\$8.00	\$9.58	\$8.20	\$7.50	\$8.52	\$9.00	\$8.57	\$8.98
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,186	\$1,157	\$969	\$1,388	\$875	\$1,055	\$1,156	\$1,013	\$1,319	\$1,249

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – January 31, 2016, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	170	27	7	22	17	8	20	8	20	41
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	225	36	7	24	19	10	36	11	25	57
Participants in a Work Activity	195	32	5	21	16	10	33	10	20	48
% of Total Enrolled	87%	89%	71%	88%	84%	100%	92%	91%	80%	84%
Participants Employed	149	28	3	16	10	6	28	7	11	40
% of Total Enrolled	66%	78%	43%	67%	53%	60%	78%	64%	44%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.53	\$8.11	\$8.00	\$9.11	\$7.67	\$7.92	\$8.38	\$8.52	\$9.36	\$8.82
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,132	\$1,121	\$813	\$1,279	\$963	\$880	\$1,033	\$1,292	\$1,438	\$1,132
Three Months Employment ⁸	62%	50%	33%	55%	77%	100%	77%	67%	55%	63%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
Individuals Referred	4,296	644	328	392	457	236	527	379	407	926
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	3,249	522	225	324	360	166	402	310	250	690
Participants in a Work Activity	3,065	499	214	308	342	159	380	299	234	630
% of Total Enrolled	94%	96%	95%	95%	95%	96%	95%	96%	94%	91%
Participants Employed	2,067	356	120	194	243	110	280	174	146	444
% of Total Enrolled	64%	68%	53%	60%	68%	66%	70%	56%	58%	64%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.25	\$7.26	\$7.26	\$7.72	\$7.00	\$7.16	\$7.27	\$6.94	\$7.29	\$7.28
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$918	\$898	\$877	\$1,017	\$874	\$907	\$918	\$873	\$969	\$936
Three Months Employment ⁹	58%	49%	44%	59%	51%	71%	72%	50%	44%	64%

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: Status through January 31, 2016

TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/16 and Work Activity as of 1/31/16

Current Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	NewKent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	2,581	16	80	139	38	107	847	1,338	16
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,093	4	25	52	12	62	373	560	5
Participants in a Work Activity	871	3	23	37	10	43	304	447	4
% of Enrolled	80%	75%	92%	71%	83%	69%	82%	80%	80%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	0	0	1	0	0	4	0	0
Participants Employed	650	3	17	34	8	25	205	355	3
% of Enrolled	59%	75%	68%	65%	67%	40%	55%	63%	60%
Full Time	516	3	15	22	7	15	157	294	3
Part Time	134	0	2	12	1	10	48	61	0
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.50	\$8.67	\$7.34	\$8.88	\$7.81	\$8.38	\$8.51	\$8.53	\$9.00
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,149	\$1,545	\$900	\$1,036	\$1,187	\$1,002	\$1,127	\$1,189	\$1,282

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – January 31, 2016, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	1,542	6	41	75	16	64	504	819	17
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,070	10	63	102	31	96	686	1,055	27
Participants in a Work Activity	1,860	9	60	94	25	87	618	944	23
% of Total Enrolled	90%	90%	95%	92%	81%	91%	90%	89%	85%
Participants Employed	1,232	6	41	74	19	40	400	634	18
% of Total Enrolled	60%	60%	65%	73%	61%	42%	58%	60%	67%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.69	\$8.42	\$7.53	\$9.04	\$8.60	\$8.87	\$8.71	\$8.69	\$8.63
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,142	\$1,294	\$892	\$1,093	\$1,173	\$1,018	\$1,094	\$1,197	\$1,124
Three Months Employment ⁸	76%	33%	78%	53%	92%	77%	78%	78%	69%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	31,115	186	1,277	1,433	423	1,348	10,707	15,260	481
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	23,882	135	926	1,138	316	1,053	8,073	11,872	369
Participants in a Work Activity	22,720	129	858	1,057	302	1,005	7,851	11,174	344
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	93%	93%	96%	95%	97%	94%	93%
Participants Employed	16,487	80	599	795	182	646	5,634	8,296	255
% of Total Enrolled	69%	59%	65%	70%	58%	61%	70%	70%	69%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.30	\$7.32	\$6.99	\$7.80	\$7.79	\$7.62	\$7.30	\$7.25	\$7.51
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$945	\$986	\$854	\$943	\$1,048	\$911	\$938	\$957	\$923
Three Months Employment ⁹	66%	51%	57%	57%	72%	60%	64%	69%	65%

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: Status through January 31, 2016

TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/16 and Work Activity as of 1/31/16

Current Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	882	74	68	34	57	243	406
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	312	10	23	11	23	116	129
Participants in a Work Activity	248	10	17	9	13	99	100
% of Enrolled	79%	100%	74%	82%	57%	85%	78%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	0	1	0	0	3	1
Participants Employed	171	8	12	8	7	55	81
% of Enrolled	55%	80%	52%	73%	30%	47%	63%
Full Time	149	8	10	6	6	43	76
Part Time	22	0	2	2	1	12	5
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.58	\$8.25	\$8.12	\$8.34	\$8.71	\$8.68	\$8.62
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,225	\$1,335	\$1,214	\$1,100	\$1,054	\$1,249	\$1,227

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – January 31, 2016, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	443	25	53	14	36	122	193
Total Enrolled in VIEW	671	30	67	25	56	215	278
Participants in a Work Activity	601	30	61	21	51	203	235
% of Total Enrolled	90%	100%	91%	84%	91%	94%	85%
Participants Employed	417	22	34	15	34	132	180
% of Total Enrolled	62%	73%	51%	60%	61%	61%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.86	\$8.35	\$8.94	\$8.78	\$9.21	\$8.93	\$8.80
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,236	\$1,262	\$1,185	\$936	\$1,289	\$1,211	\$1,276
Three Months Employment ⁸	66%	80%	65%	77%	67%	62%	66%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	10,864	1,388	1,019	430	859	2,717	4,451
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	8,518	899	768	359	692	2,223	3,577
Participants in a Work Activity	8,112	896	674	341	663	2,119	3,419
% of Total Enrolled	95%	100%	88%	95%	96%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	5,799	595	456	250	449	1,528	2,521
% of Total Enrolled	68%	66%	59%	70%	65%	69%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.31	\$7.14	\$7.79	\$7.54	\$7.22	\$7.26	\$7.29
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$984	\$1,001	\$1,031	\$904	\$980	\$966	\$993
Three Months Employment ⁹	65%	58%	58%	55%	62%	63%	70%

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: Status through January 31, 2016

TANF Cases as of the end of 1/31/16 and Work Activity as of 1/31/16

Current Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	4,111	104	79	680	60	1,404	827	290	667
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,485	25	29	221	24	615	300	69	202
Participants in a Work Activity	1,180	20	25	179	16	476	242	58	164
% of Enrolled	79%	80%	86%	81%	67%	77%	81%	84%	81%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	11	0	0	0	2	3	4	0	2
Participants Employed	877	16	19	140	12	370	141	49	130
% of Enrolled	59%	64%	66%	63%	50%	60%	47%	71%	64%
Full Time	764	15	18	124	8	331	112	47	109
Part Time	113	1	1	16	4	39	29	2	21
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.55	\$8.02	\$8.38	\$8.71	\$7.68	\$8.65	\$8.26	\$8.36	\$8.65
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,162	\$1,144	\$1,173	\$1,219	\$989	\$1,159	\$1,137	\$1,213	\$1,135

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2014 – January 31, 2016, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	2,143	36	39	372	37	699	441	163	356
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,824	52	57	480	49	977	574	201	434
Participants in a Work Activity	2,547	43	49	441	45	880	516	194	379
% of Total Enrolled	90%	83%	86%	92%	92%	90%	90%	97%	87%
Participants Employed	1,749	36	34	307	26	641	319	128	258
% of Total Enrolled	62%	69%	60%	64%	53%	66%	56%	64%	59%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.64	\$8.44	\$8.46	\$8.70	\$8.69	\$8.65	\$8.50	\$8.49	\$8.82
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,137	\$1,151	\$1,150	\$1,176	\$1,211	\$1,138	\$1,089	\$1,142	\$1,134
Three Months Employment ⁸	74%	72%	90%	77%	48%	78%	68%	69%	75%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	59,320	1,361	1,114	9,693	949	18,939	9,561	3,785	13,918
Total Enrolled in VIEW ^{3,5}	44,130	985	905	7,209	770	14,006	7,641	2,973	9,641
Participants in a Work Activity	41,802	947	860	6,890	759	13,239	7,210	2,943	8,954
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	95%	96%	99%	95%	94%	99%	93%
Participants Employed	30,441	665	525	5,151	500	9,799	5,388	2,156	6,257
% of Total Enrolled	69%	68%	58%	71%	65%	70%	71%	73%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.10	\$7.05	\$6.85	\$7.29	\$6.83	\$7.00	\$6.96	\$6.93	\$7.39
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$921	\$926	\$858	\$955	\$853	\$890	\$920	\$901	\$971
Three Months Employment ⁹	67%	59%	55%	64%	63%	68%	69%	67%	69%

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date

This section lists the relative state fiscal year to date rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Wage, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	50.0%	5.76	99	\$9.09	3.64	24	70.0%	7.00	71	5.46	69
003	Albemarle	69.2%	7.96	19	\$9.34	4.15	14	74.5%	7.45	50	6.52	12
005	Alleghany/Covington	60.7%	6.98	53	\$8.28	1.96	80	63.0%	6.30	95	5.08	90
007	Amelia	57.1%	6.58	74	\$8.28	1.96	81	60.0%	6.00	101	4.85	96
009	Amherst	55.6%	6.40	77	\$8.41	2.23	74	66.7%	6.67	82	5.10	88
011	Appomattox	71.7%	8.26	10	\$7.87	1.12	105	68.0%	6.80	78	5.39	70
013	Arlington	62.9%	7.24	42	\$10.63	6.82	5	69.5%	6.95	73	7.00	6
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	65.9%	7.59	24	\$8.85	3.14	35	68.3%	6.83	77	5.85	40
017	Bath	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
019	Bedford County/City	58.0%	6.68	70	\$8.67	2.77	55	70.8%	7.08	66	5.51	63
021	Bland	66.7%	7.68	20	\$7.60	0.56	115	100.0%	10.00	1	6.08	26
023	Botetourt	16.7%	1.92	115	\$8.50	2.42	67	66.7%	6.67	83	3.67	115
025	Brunswick	50.9%	5.86	96	\$8.04	1.47	94	58.6%	5.86	104	4.40	109
027	Buchanan	51.2%	5.90	95	\$7.93	1.24	101	83.3%	8.33	17	5.16	82
029	Buckingham	64.3%	7.40	36	\$8.71	2.85	48	83.3%	8.33	18	6.20	23
031	Campbell	52.8%	6.08	85	\$8.37	2.15	76	71.4%	7.14	59	5.12	85
033	Caroline	62.9%	7.24	43	\$9.52	4.52	11	84.6%	8.46	16	6.74	9
035	Carroll	72.6%	8.36	7	\$7.70	0.76	110	80.0%	8.00	24	5.71	56
036	Charles City	60.0%	6.91	57	\$8.42	2.25	73	33.3%	3.33	114	4.16	112
037	Charlotte	41.5%	4.77	110	\$7.65	0.66	112	85.7%	8.57	13	4.67	101
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	64.4%	7.41	35	\$9.32	4.11	15	75.9%	7.59	45	6.37	15
043	Clarke	0.0%	0.00	118	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.00	118
045	Craig	10.0%	1.15	117	\$10.00	5.52	8	100.0%	10.00	2	5.56	61
047	Culpeper	63.1%	7.27	41	\$9.36	4.19	12	75.8%	7.58	46	6.35	16
049	Cumberland	55.3%	6.36	78	\$9.21	3.88	19	73.7%	7.37	53	5.87	38
051	Dickensen	45.0%	5.18	105	\$7.73	0.83	109	81.8%	8.18	20	4.73	100
053	Dinwiddie	73.3%	8.44	6	\$8.35	2.11	78	80.0%	8.00	25	6.18	24
057	Essex	77.8%	8.96	3	\$8.11	1.61	87	50.0%	5.00	109	5.19	81
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	53.8%	6.19	81	\$11.35	8.31	2	79.9%	7.99	26	7.50	1
061	Fauquier	65.3%	7.52	27	\$9.06	3.57	25	67.7%	6.77	79	5.96	34
063	Floyd	72.2%	8.32	9	\$8.37	2.15	77	100.0%	10.00	3	6.82	8
065	Fluvanna	35.7%	4.11	111	\$7.90	1.18	104	100.0%	10.00	4	5.10	89
067	Franklin County	49.1%	5.66	101	\$8.78	3.00	43	71.4%	7.14	60	5.27	74
069	Frederick	51.9%	5.98	92	\$9.68	4.86	9	56.0%	5.60	105	5.48	68
071	Giles	21.4%	2.47	114	\$12.17	10.00	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	6.23	21
073	Gloucester	65.1%	7.49	29	\$7.53	0.41	116	78.3%	7.83	28	5.24	76
075	Goochland	57.1%	6.58	75	\$8.91	3.26	32	75.0%	7.50	47	5.78	49
077	Grayson	60.0%	6.91	58	\$7.62	0.60	113	40.0%	4.00	113	3.84	114

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	64.4%	7.42	33	\$8.23	1.86	84	87.0%	8.70	12	5.99	31
081	Greensville/Emporia	51.9%	5.98	93	\$7.94	1.26	100	77.8%	7.78	32	5.00	92
083	Halifax	46.5%	5.36	104	\$8.65	2.73	57	70.8%	7.08	67	5.06	91
085	Hanover	61.1%	7.04	50	\$9.65	4.79	10	73.9%	7.39	51	6.41	14
087	Henrico	59.4%	6.84	64	\$9.21	3.88	20	71.1%	7.11	64	5.95	35
089	Henry/Martinsville	59.1%	6.80	65	\$8.26	1.92	82	70.9%	7.09	65	5.27	73
091	Highland	0.0%	0.00	119	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.00	119
093	Isle of Wight	69.2%	7.97	18	\$8.44	2.29	72	72.0%	7.20	58	5.82	44
095	James City	72.5%	8.35	8	\$9.04	3.53	26	53.5%	5.35	108	5.74	53
097	King and Queen	42.9%	4.94	107	\$8.00	1.38	98	33.3%	3.33	115	3.22	116
099	King George	51.4%	5.92	94	\$8.99	3.43	28	71.4%	7.14	61	5.50	65
101	King William	66.7%	7.68	21	\$9.11	3.68	22	54.6%	5.46	106	5.60	59
103	Lancaster	52.6%	6.06	87	\$7.67	0.70	111	76.9%	7.69	39	4.82	97
105	Lee	47.1%	5.42	103	\$8.07	1.53	90	80.3%	8.03	23	4.99	94
107	Loudoun	58.2%	6.70	69	\$11.08	7.75	3	73.8%	7.38	52	7.28	3
109	Louisa	66.7%	7.68	22	\$8.75	2.93	46	83.3%	8.33	19	6.31	18
111	Lunenburg	42.1%	4.85	108	\$8.94	3.33	29	75.0%	7.50	48	5.22	79
113	Madison	70.0%	8.06	14	\$8.79	3.02	41	100.0%	10.00	5	7.03	4
115	Mathews	60.0%	6.91	59	\$7.92	1.22	102	100.0%	10.00	6	6.04	28
117	Mecklenburg	52.2%	6.02	90	\$7.92	1.22	103	65.4%	6.54	89	4.59	106
119	Middlesex	77.8%	8.96	4	\$8.38	2.17	75	76.9%	7.69	40	6.27	20
121	Montgomery	70.1%	8.08	13	\$8.66	2.75	56	72.1%	7.21	57	6.01	30
125	Nelson	70.0%	8.06	15	\$8.68	2.79	54	63.2%	6.32	94	5.72	55
127	New Kent	61.3%	7.06	49	\$8.60	2.62	61	92.3%	9.23	8	6.30	19
131	Northampton	70.0%	8.06	16	\$8.50	2.42	68	71.4%	7.14	62	5.87	37
133	Northumberland	63.6%	7.33	39	\$8.52	2.46	64	66.7%	6.67	84	5.48	66
135	Nottoway	60.0%	6.91	60	\$8.56	2.54	63	77.8%	7.78	33	5.74	54
137	Orange	62.7%	7.22	44	\$9.29	4.05	16	70.8%	7.08	68	6.12	25
139	Page	52.2%	6.01	91	\$8.90	3.24	33	50.0%	5.00	110	4.75	99
141	Patrick	64.7%	7.45	32	\$8.09	1.57	88	63.6%	6.36	93	5.13	83
143	Pittsylvania	52.3%	6.02	89	\$8.06	1.51	91	78.3%	7.83	29	5.12	87
145	Powhatan	53.3%	6.14	83	\$8.71	2.85	49	50.0%	5.00	111	4.66	102
147	Prince Edward	73.6%	8.47	5	\$8.12	1.63	86	85.5%	8.55	14	6.22	22
149	Prince George	50.7%	5.84	97	\$8.94	3.33	30	65.4%	6.54	90	5.24	78
153	Prince William	60.4%	6.95	55	\$10.25	6.03	6	77.6%	7.76	34	6.92	7
155	Pulaski	64.8%	7.46	30	\$8.83	3.10	37	58.8%	5.88	103	5.48	67
157	Rappahannock	14.3%	1.65	116	\$9.00	3.45	27	0.0%	0.00	116	1.70	117
159	Richmond County	44.0%	5.07	106	\$9.36	4.19	13	54.6%	5.46	107	4.91	95
161	Roanoke County	61.5%	7.08	47	\$8.33	2.07	79	66.1%	6.61	86	5.25	75
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	58.6%	6.75	67	\$8.51	2.44	66	78.6%	7.86	27	5.68	58
165	Rockingham/H'burg	77.9%	8.97	2	\$8.79	3.02	42	76.6%	7.66	44	6.55	11
167	Russell	32.9%	3.78	112	\$7.84	1.05	108	69.6%	6.96	72	3.93	113
169	Scott	52.8%	6.08	86	\$8.05	1.49	93	64.1%	6.41	92	4.66	103
171	Shenandoah	60.7%	6.99	51	\$8.85	3.14	36	60.0%	6.00	102	5.38	71

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	50.5%	5.82	98	\$7.61	0.58	114	65.5%	6.55	88	4.32	110
175	<i>Southampton</i>	59.6%	6.87	62	\$8.46	2.33	71	90.0%	9.00	10	6.07	27
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	57.7%	6.65	71	\$8.74	2.91	47	77.1%	7.71	37	5.76	52
179	<i>Stafford</i>	57.6%	6.63	72	\$9.26	3.99	17	72.8%	7.28	56	5.97	32
181	<i>Surry</i>	60.0%	6.91	61	\$8.78	3.00	44	76.9%	7.69	41	5.87	39
183	<i>Sussex</i>	60.7%	6.99	52	\$9.21	3.88	21	66.7%	6.67	85	5.85	41
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	50.0%	5.76	100	\$7.98	1.34	99	67.4%	6.74	81	4.61	105
187	<i>Warren</i>	71.3%	8.21	11	\$7.87	1.12	106	81.8%	8.18	21	5.83	42
191	<i>Washington</i>	61.8%	7.12	45	\$8.15	1.69	85	70.7%	7.07	69	5.29	72
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	70.2%	8.08	12	\$8.82	3.08	38	63.0%	6.30	96	5.82	45
195	<i>Wise</i>	58.9%	6.78	66	\$8.01	1.40	97	61.1%	6.11	99	4.77	98
197	<i>Wythe</i>	86.8%	10.00	1	\$8.09	1.57	89	76.9%	7.69	42	6.42	13
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	41.7%	4.80	109	\$8.87	3.18	34	77.4%	7.74	36	5.24	77
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	53.6%	6.18	82	\$10.72	7.00	4	88.1%	8.81	11	7.33	2
520	<i>Bristol</i>	52.4%	6.03	88	\$8.04	1.47	95	60.3%	6.03	100	4.51	108
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	69.4%	7.99	17	\$9.24	3.95	18	70.2%	7.02	70	6.32	17
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	64.0%	7.36	37	\$8.70	2.83	51	77.0%	7.70	38	5.97	33
590	<i>Danville</i>	48.2%	5.55	102	\$8.04	1.47	96	69.0%	6.90	75	4.64	104
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	53.1%	6.11	84	\$8.69	2.81	52	47.8%	4.78	112	4.57	107
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	65.8%	7.57	25	\$9.10	3.66	23	84.8%	8.48	15	6.57	10
640	<i>Galax</i>	55.0%	6.33	79	\$8.06	1.51	92	71.4%	7.14	63	4.99	93
650	<i>Hampton</i>	58.3%	6.71	68	\$8.71	2.85	50	78.1%	7.81	30	5.79	48
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	61.4%	7.07	48	\$8.93	3.31	31	61.5%	6.15	98	5.51	64
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	65.3%	7.51	28	\$8.25	1.90	83	73.6%	7.36	54	5.59	60
683	<i>Manassas</i>	61.8%	7.11	46	\$10.12	5.76	7	81.8%	8.18	22	7.02	5
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	25.0%	2.88	113	\$7.33	0.00	117	100.0%	10.00	7	4.29	111
700	<i>Newport News</i>	60.1%	6.92	56	\$8.69	2.81	53	77.6%	7.76	35	5.83	43
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	65.6%	7.55	26	\$8.65	2.73	58	78.1%	7.81	31	6.03	29
720	<i>Norton</i>	60.6%	6.98	54	\$7.86	1.10	107	92.3%	9.23	9	5.77	50
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	64.7%	7.46	31	\$8.80	3.04	40	65.9%	6.59	87	5.70	57
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	55.6%	6.40	76	\$8.50	2.42	69	67.6%	6.76	80	5.19	80
750	<i>Radford</i>	63.3%	7.29	40	\$8.52	2.46	65	76.9%	7.69	43	5.81	46
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	64.4%	7.42	34	\$8.76	2.95	45	73.0%	7.30	55	5.89	36
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	57.4%	6.61	73	\$8.58	2.58	62	61.8%	6.18	97	5.13	84
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	63.7%	7.33	38	\$8.49	2.40	70	69.0%	6.90	76	5.54	62
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	59.4%	6.85	63	\$8.82	3.08	39	74.6%	7.46	49	5.79	47
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	66.7%	7.68	23	\$8.63	2.69	59	69.2%	6.92	74	5.76	51
840	<i>Winchester</i>	54.4%	6.26	80	\$8.62	2.67	60	64.3%	6.43	91	5.12	86

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores is done to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

VIEW Rankings, From Inception of VIEW

This section lists the relative cumulative rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Monthly Earnings, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	51.2%	2.21	117	\$904	3.61	79	65.5%	5.45	48	3.76	102
003	Albemarle	71.3%	7.38	12	\$1,029	6.02	19	58.2%	3.60	95	5.66	30
005	Alleghany/Covington	69.4%	6.89	30	\$863	2.81	100	58.4%	3.64	93	4.45	81
007	Amelia	61.3%	4.79	84	\$979	5.05	43	60.9%	4.28	73	4.71	69
009	Amherst	65.7%	5.93	55	\$916	3.83	74	59.6%	3.95	79	4.57	75
011	Appomattox	71.0%	7.28	16	\$897	3.47	85	55.3%	2.85	105	4.53	78
013	Arlington	71.3%	7.38	13	\$1,081	7.02	8	68.8%	6.29	25	6.90	6
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	67.2%	6.33	45	\$981	5.10	40	66.0%	5.59	40	5.67	29
017	Bath	60.4%	4.56	86	\$717	0.00	120	61.3%	4.38	71	2.98	115
019	Bedford County/City	65.1%	5.78	61	\$885	3.23	90	60.2%	4.11	76	4.37	85
021	Bland	58.7%	4.12	97	\$903	3.59	81	56.0%	3.04	104	3.59	107
023	Botetourt	42.6%	0.00	120	\$969	4.85	48	54.3%	2.59	110	2.48	119
025	Brunswick	58.5%	4.08	101	\$853	2.62	109	65.8%	5.54	43	4.08	95
027	Buchanan	53.7%	2.85	113	\$858	2.72	106	64.9%	5.29	50	3.62	105
029	Buckingham	58.4%	4.06	102	\$986	5.19	37	59.4%	3.89	81	4.38	84
031	Campbell	68.5%	6.64	36	\$919	3.89	72	62.6%	4.71	63	5.08	58
033	Caroline	62.0%	4.99	79	\$991	5.29	34	58.9%	3.78	84	4.69	72
035	Carroll	67.4%	6.38	44	\$850	2.57	111	54.9%	2.75	108	3.90	98
036	Charles City	59.3%	4.28	95	\$986	5.19	36	50.8%	1.71	115	3.72	104
037	Charlotte	52.0%	2.41	116	\$940	4.30	62	58.7%	3.71	89	3.47	108
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	63.6%	5.38	72	\$1,058	6.57	11	68.8%	6.30	24	6.09	18
043	Clarke	57.7%	3.89	106	\$1,030	6.03	18	57.5%	3.43	101	4.45	80
045	Craig	45.6%	0.77	119	\$1,025	5.94	21	60.0%	4.05	78	3.59	106
047	Culpeper	64.9%	5.74	62	\$995	5.37	31	66.4%	5.68	36	5.59	34
049	Cumberland	58.3%	4.02	104	\$979	5.05	42	58.3%	3.63	94	4.23	89
051	Dickenson	59.9%	4.44	88	\$852	2.60	110	54.7%	2.70	109	3.25	111
053	Dinwiddie	66.2%	6.05	52	\$1,001	5.48	30	58.1%	3.57	96	5.04	59
057	Essex	68.2%	6.57	38	\$898	3.49	83	49.2%	1.30	117	3.79	101
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	66.6%	6.17	49	\$1,194	9.19	3	69.8%	6.55	18	7.30	5
061	Fauquier	69.6%	6.94	29	\$1,053	6.48	12	68.1%	6.11	29	6.51	10
063	Floyd	65.1%	5.78	60	\$963	4.75	54	53.7%	2.44	111	4.32	87
065	Fluvanna	62.8%	5.17	75	\$1,061	6.64	10	63.4%	4.92	58	5.58	36
067	Franklin County	61.6%	4.88	81	\$968	4.85	50	58.0%	3.55	97	4.43	83
069	Frederick	58.5%	4.08	100	\$1,042	6.26	14	60.6%	4.22	74	4.85	66
071	Giles	56.4%	3.54	109	\$966	4.80	52	52.1%	2.03	113	3.46	109
073	Gloucester	64.7%	5.67	66	\$854	2.64	107	57.2%	3.33	102	3.88	99
075	Goochland	65.5%	5.88	56	\$950	4.49	60	63.4%	4.92	59	5.10	57
077	Grayson	64.6%	5.66	68	\$868	2.90	96	60.2%	4.10	77	4.22	92

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	75.0%	8.32	4	\$924	3.99	69	58.7%	3.72	88	5.34	44
081	Greensville/Emporia	61.0%	4.73	85	\$858	2.73	104	64.5%	5.20	52	4.22	91
083	Halifax	55.3%	3.26	112	\$867	2.89	97	58.5%	3.67	91	3.27	110
085	Hanover	65.2%	5.81	58	\$1,073	6.86	9	66.4%	5.67	37	6.12	17
087	Henrico	68.0%	6.53	39	\$1,034	6.11	16	65.7%	5.50	45	6.05	19
089	Henry/Martinsville	64.2%	5.55	71	\$950	4.50	59	63.7%	4.99	55	5.02	60
091	Highland	60.0%	4.47	87	\$784	1.28	118	83.3%	10.00	1	5.25	48
093	Isle of Wight	67.5%	6.39	42	\$926	4.02	68	58.7%	3.72	87	4.71	68
095	James City	69.9%	7.00	25	\$943	4.35	61	57.0%	3.29	103	4.88	65
097	King and Queen	53.3%	2.76	114	\$877	3.09	93	44.2%	0.04	119	1.96	120
099	King George	59.3%	4.29	92	\$954	4.57	58	57.6%	3.45	99	4.10	93
101	King William	59.9%	4.44	89	\$1,017	5.78	23	59.2%	3.86	82	4.69	71
103	Lancaster	67.5%	6.39	43	\$874	3.02	94	51.1%	1.80	114	3.74	103
105	Lee	65.3%	5.83	57	\$818	1.95	117	72.0%	7.10	9	4.96	63
107	Loudoun	75.0%	8.33	3	\$1,236	10.00	1	72.1%	7.13	8	8.48	1
109	Louisa	63.4%	5.34	73	\$993	5.32	33	63.9%	5.05	54	5.24	49
111	Lunenburg	50.1%	1.94	118	\$861	2.77	103	59.5%	3.92	80	2.87	117
113	Madison	59.2%	4.27	96	\$1,008	5.61	28	58.9%	3.76	85	4.55	77
115	Mathews	66.3%	6.07	51	\$907	3.65	78	71.2%	6.90	13	5.54	37
117	Mecklenburg	65.2%	5.81	59	\$863	2.81	99	65.6%	5.47	47	4.70	70
119	Middlesex	69.7%	6.94	27	\$918	3.88	73	72.2%	7.16	7	6.00	21
121	Montgomery	77.4%	8.94	2	\$965	4.78	53	63.0%	4.83	60	6.18	16
125	Nelson	61.6%	4.87	82	\$1,009	5.64	25	65.4%	5.43	49	5.31	46
127	New Kent	57.6%	3.85	107	\$1,048	6.39	13	71.8%	7.07	10	5.77	27
131	Northampton	65.8%	5.96	54	\$861	2.78	102	80.0%	9.16	2	5.97	23
133	Northumberland	56.1%	3.47	110	\$873	3.00	95	50.3%	1.59	116	2.69	118
135	Nottoway	66.8%	6.22	48	\$931	4.12	66	69.3%	6.41	20	5.58	35
137	Orange	71.1%	7.31	14	\$985	5.17	38	61.2%	4.35	72	5.61	33
139	Page	69.2%	6.84	31	\$1,004	5.54	29	59.2%	3.84	83	5.41	43
141	Patrick	67.1%	6.28	47	\$845	2.46	114	74.0%	7.62	6	5.45	40
143	Pittsylvania	52.6%	2.58	115	\$882	3.19	91	58.6%	3.71	90	3.16	113
145	Powhatan	56.6%	3.58	108	\$1,009	5.63	26	61.9%	4.55	65	4.59	74
147	Prince Edward	71.0%	7.28	15	\$912	3.76	75	69.4%	6.44	19	5.83	25
149	Prince George	59.4%	4.31	90	\$1,031	6.05	17	58.5%	3.67	92	4.67	73
153	Prince William	71.4%	7.39	11	\$1,209	9.49	2	70.3%	6.68	14	7.85	2
155	Pulaski	63.0%	5.23	74	\$961	4.70	55	53.2%	2.33	112	4.09	94
157	Rappahannock	55.4%	3.29	111	\$977	5.01	44	48.9%	1.22	118	3.18	112
159	Richmond County	58.4%	4.06	103	\$969	4.85	49	44.1%	0.00	120	2.97	116
161	Roanoke County	73.4%	7.90	8	\$1,039	6.20	15	57.9%	3.53	98	5.88	24
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	70.5%	7.16	18	\$881	3.16	92	68.2%	6.14	28	5.49	38
165	Rockingham/H'burg	73.9%	8.03	5	\$1,012	5.70	24	67.9%	6.07	31	6.60	8
167	Russell	59.3%	4.28	94	\$866	2.88	98	66.2%	5.64	38	4.27	88
169	Scott	58.6%	4.10	98	\$897	3.47	84	75.5%	8.00	5	5.19	52
171	Shenandoah	59.3%	4.30	91	\$969	4.86	47	68.3%	6.16	27	5.11	56

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	58.6%	4.10	99	\$886	3.25	89	61.9%	4.54	66	3.96	97
175	<i>Southampton</i>	58.0%	3.96	105	\$858	2.72	105	54.9%	2.76	107	3.15	114
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	67.9%	6.50	40	\$1,026	5.97	20	65.8%	5.54	44	6.00	20
179	<i>Stafford</i>	59.3%	4.28	93	\$1,142	8.19	4	68.0%	6.10	30	6.19	15
181	<i>Surry</i>	69.6%	6.94	28	\$904	3.61	80	55.2%	2.84	106	4.46	79
183	<i>Sussex</i>	64.9%	5.72	65	\$980	5.07	41	61.9%	4.54	67	5.11	55
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	66.1%	6.04	53	\$828	2.14	115	65.6%	5.47	46	4.55	76
187	<i>Warren</i>	69.0%	6.77	33	\$1,020	5.83	22	69.9%	6.56	16	6.39	12
191	<i>Washington</i>	61.9%	4.96	80	\$908	3.68	77	57.5%	3.43	100	4.02	96
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	64.3%	5.58	70	\$936	4.23	64	64.0%	5.07	53	4.96	61
195	<i>Wise</i>	62.6%	5.13	77	\$850	2.57	112	66.0%	5.59	41	4.43	82
197	<i>Wythe</i>	67.1%	6.29	46	\$862	2.79	101	66.9%	5.80	35	4.96	62
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	61.3%	4.81	83	\$911	3.74	76	60.2%	4.11	75	4.22	90
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	68.4%	6.63	37	\$1,124	7.84	6	78.1%	8.68	3	7.71	3
520	<i>Bristol</i>	67.9%	6.49	41	\$886	3.26	88	61.8%	4.51	68	4.75	67
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	81.6%	10.00	1	\$932	4.14	65	66.2%	5.63	39	6.59	9
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	71.5%	7.41	10	\$955	4.59	57	63.6%	4.98	57	5.66	32
590	<i>Danville</i>	62.6%	5.14	76	\$824	2.06	116	61.5%	4.42	70	3.88	100
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	64.9%	5.73	63	\$853	2.62	108	62.7%	4.74	61	4.36	86
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	73.6%	7.97	7	\$1,009	5.63	27	66.0%	5.59	42	6.39	11
640	<i>Galax</i>	62.5%	5.11	78	\$889	3.32	87	68.8%	6.29	26	4.91	64
650	<i>Hampton</i>	69.8%	6.98	26	\$938	4.25	63	63.7%	4.99	56	5.41	42
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	68.7%	6.71	34	\$966	4.81	51	62.7%	4.74	62	5.42	41
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	70.2%	7.08	21	\$848	2.53	113	67.8%	6.05	32	5.22	50
683	<i>Manassas</i>	64.6%	5.64	69	\$1,140	8.15	5	70.0%	6.59	15	6.79	7
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	66.6%	6.15	50	\$1,108	7.54	7	76.6%	8.30	4	7.33	4
700	<i>Newport News</i>	69.9%	7.00	24	\$957	4.62	56	69.0%	6.34	22	5.99	22
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	70.0%	7.02	23	\$890	3.33	86	67.7%	6.03	33	5.46	39
720	<i>Norton</i>	73.7%	7.98	6	\$768	0.98	119	71.2%	6.92	12	5.29	47
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	70.5%	7.16	19	\$993	5.33	32	69.8%	6.55	17	6.35	14
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	70.5%	7.17	17	\$920	3.92	71	68.9%	6.33	23	5.80	26
750	<i>Radford</i>	70.0%	7.03	22	\$929	4.09	67	61.7%	4.49	69	5.20	51
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	70.5%	7.15	20	\$973	4.94	45	71.4%	6.96	11	6.35	13
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	64.7%	5.67	67	\$988	5.23	35	62.2%	4.62	64	5.17	54
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	72.5%	7.68	9	\$901	3.54	82	67.3%	5.92	34	5.71	28
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	64.9%	5.72	64	\$971	4.89	46	69.1%	6.37	21	5.66	31
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	69.1%	6.80	32	\$923	3.98	70	64.6%	5.22	51	5.33	45
840	<i>Winchester</i>	68.6%	6.68	35	\$984	5.14	39	58.8%	3.74	86	5.19	53

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores was begun in May 1999 to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

State Summary July 1, 2014 – January 31, 2016

	State	ED 1	ED 2	ED 3	ED 4	ED 5	ED 6	ED 7	ED 8	ED 9	ED 10	ED 11	ED 12	ED 13	ED 14	ED 15	ED 16	ED 17	ED 18
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹																			
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	8,350	381	271	658	257	125	980	114	211	326	356	260	1,088	304	123	1,106	305	1,408	77
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	91%	95%	97%	96%	96%	90%	79%	93%	96%	97%	97%	98%	87%	88%	96%	96%	97%	88%	96%
% Timely in January 2016	87%	93%	96%	97%	97%	79%	61%	95%	83%	95%	100%	100%	78%	89%	88%	98%	97%	89%	90%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²																			
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	5,177	238	171	407	164	68	599	67	149	203	224	178	681	160	83	679	179	882	45
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	95%	99%	99%	97%	98%	96%	93%	88%	99%	94%	97%	98%	93%	93%	96%	94%	96%	93%	98%
Percent in January 2016	96%	100%	100%	97%	96%	90%	94%	92%	100%	92%	100%	98%	91%	93%	100%	97%	92%	96%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴																			
# Over 30 Days	141	5	1	2	2	2	74	2	3	0	1	0	39	3	1	2	1	3	0
Average # of Days Over 30	34	36	11	10	83	21	35	41	14	0	31	0	31	86	17	33	9	13	0

EDD1 – Southwest Region: July 1, 2014 - January 31, 2016

	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2015		381	17	11	87	37	38	57	23
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015		95%	94%	100%	100%	100%	68%	98%	83%
% Timely in January 2016		93%	100%	100%	100%	100%	25%	100%	80%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015		238	11	5	61	21	24	40	12
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015		99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	83%
Percent in January 2016		100%	100%	0%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days		5	0	0	0	0	4	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30		36	0	0	0	0	44	0	3

EDD2 - Bristol-Galax Region: July 1, 2014 - January 31, 2016

	<i>Total EDD2</i>	<i>Bland</i>	<i>Carroll</i>	<i>Grayson</i>	<i>Smyth</i>	<i>Wash.</i>	<i>Wythe</i>	<i>Bristol</i>	<i>Galax</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	271	3	32	7	57	52	17	93	10
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	97%	100%	100%	86%	98%	94%	94%	98%	100%
% Timely in January 2016	96%	N/A	100%	67%	100%	83%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	171	3	22	3	37	40	13	48	5
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	99%	67%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Percent in January 2016	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	11	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0

EDD3 - Roanoke Region: July 1, 2014 - January 31, 2016

	<i>Total EDD3</i>	<i>Alleghny/ Covington</i>	<i>Bote- tourt</i>	<i>Craig</i>	<i>Floyd</i>	<i>Franklin County</i>	<i>Giles</i>	<i>Mont- gomery</i>	<i>Pulaski</i>	<i>Roanoke County</i>	<i>Radford</i>	<i>Roanoke City</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹												
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	658	41	14	3	11	66	8	86	31	67	27	304
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	96%	98%	93%	67%	100%	100%	100%	98%	100%	97%	93%	94%
% Timely in January 2016	97%	89%	80%	0%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²												
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	407	28	7	1	6	41	7	52	23	42	14	186
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	98%	100%	100%	100%	95%	100%	94%
Percent in January 2016	97%	100%	100%	100%	0%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	93%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴												
# Over 30 Days	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	10	0	9	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: July 1, 2014 - January 31, 2016

	<i>Total EDD4</i>	<i>Aug/S'ton /W'boro</i>	<i>Bath</i>	<i>Highland</i>	<i>Rockbridge/ BV/Lex</i>	<i>Rockingham/ HBurg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	257	144	0	1	16	96
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	96%	99%	N/A	0%	81%	96%
% Timely in January 2016	97%	100%	N/A	N/A	50%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	164	91	0	0	11	62
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	98%	99%	N/A	N/A	73%	100%
Percent in January 2016	96%	100%	N/A	N/A	50%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	1	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	83	0	0	156	10	0

EDD5 & EDD18 - Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: July 1, 2014 - January 31, 2016

	<i>Total EDD5</i>	<i>Clarke</i>	<i>Frederick</i>	<i>Page</i>	<i>Shen.</i>	<i>Warren</i>	<i>Winchester</i>	<i>Total EDD18</i>	<i>Accomack</i>	<i>Nhampton</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹										
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	125	1	34	12	15	38	25	77	55	22
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	90%	0%	91%	100%	93%	82%	96%	96%	98%	91%
% Timely in January 2016	79%	N/A	100%	100%	50%	50%	100%	90%	86%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	68	1	24	7	3	22	11	45	33	12
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	96%	100%	92%	100%	100%	100%	91%	98%	97%	100%
Percent in January 2016	90%	100%	71%	100%	0%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴										
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	21	0	0	0	0	21	0	0	0	0

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: July 1, 2014 - January 31, 2016

	<i>Total EDD6</i>	<i>Arlington</i>	<i>Fairfax</i>	<i>Loudoun</i>	<i>Prince William</i>	<i>Alexandria</i>	<i>Manassas</i>	<i>Manassas Park</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹								
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	980	59	368	110	263	144	32	4
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	79%	97%	95%	89%	45%	90%	78%	0%
% Timely in January 2016	61%	100%	91%	78%	21%	46%	50%	0%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²								
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	599	40	230	68	158	84	19	0
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	93%	100%	97%	91%	86%	89%	100%	N/A
Percent in January 2016	94%	100%	96%	92%	90%	95%	100%	N/A
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴								
# Over 30 Days	74	0	0	4	53	12	1	4
Average # of Days Over 30	35	0	0	15	41	11	2	56

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: July 1, 2014 - January 31, 2016

	<i>Total EDD7</i>	<i>Culpeper</i>	<i>Fauquier</i>	<i>Madison</i>	<i>Orange</i>	<i>Rapp.</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	114	50	23	5	33	3
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	93%	100%	100%	100%	76%	100%
% Timely in January 2016	95%	100%	100%	100%	83%	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	67	30	13	4	18	2
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	88%	93%	92%	100%	72%	100%
Percent in January 2016	92%	91%	100%	0%	90%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	0	2	0
Average # of Days Over 30	41	0	0	0	41	0

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: July 1, 2014 - January 31, 2016

	<i>Total EDD8</i>	<i>Albemarle</i>	<i>Fluvanna</i>	<i>Greene</i>	<i>Louisa</i>	<i>Nelson</i>	<i>Cville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	211	56	2	23	18	14	98
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	96%	98%	0%	96%	94%	79%	99%
% Timely in January 2016	83%	100%	N/A	67%	0%	75%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	149	42	1	13	15	10	68
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	97%
Percent in January 2016	100%	100%	0%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	3	0	1	1	1	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	14	0	31	11	1	0	0

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: July 1, 2014 - January 31, 2016

	<i>Total EDD9</i>	<i>Amherst</i>	<i>Appom.</i>	<i>Bedford</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Lynchburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	326	30	21	61	81	133
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	97%	93%	86%	97%	99%	98%
% Timely in January 2016	95%	100%	100%	91%	91%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	203	16	18	31	50	88
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	94%	100%	100%	84%	94%	94%
Percent in January 2016	92%	100%	100%	75%	91%	97%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD10 - Danville Region: July 1, 2014 - January 31, 2016

	<i>Total EDD10</i>	<i>Halifax</i>	<i>Henry/ Martinsville</i>	<i>Patrick</i>	<i>Pittsylvania</i>	<i>Danville</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	356	45	111	39	59	102
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	97%	91%	95%	100%	100%	98%
% Timely in January 2016	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	224	33	67	29	34	61
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	97%	97%	99%	100%	100%	93%
Percent in January 2016	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	1	1	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	31	31	0	0	0	0

EDD11 – Southside Region: July 1, 2014 - January 31, 2016

	<i>Total EDD11</i>	<i>Amelia</i>	<i>Brunswick</i>	<i>Buckingham</i>	<i>Charlotte</i>	<i>Cumberland</i>	<i>Gr'nsville Emporia</i>	<i>Lunenburg</i>	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	<i>Nottoway</i>	<i>Pr. Edward</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹											
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	260	4	30	23	23	19	36	5	45	31	44
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	98%	100%	97%	100%	100%	95%	100%	80%	100%	97%	100%
% Timely in January 2016	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²											
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	178	2	21	18	18	12	19	5	30	23	30
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	98%	100%	100%	89%	94%	100%	100%	100%	100%	96%	100%
Percent in January 2016	98%	0%	100%	100%	86%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴											
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD12 - Richmond Region: July 1, 2014 - January 31, 2016

	<i>Total EDD12</i>	<i>Chesterfield/ Col Hgts</i>	<i>Goochland</i>	<i>Hanover</i>	<i>Henrico</i>	<i>Powhatan</i>	<i>Richmond City</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	1,088	206	7	31	411	7	426
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	87%	91%	100%	94%	87%	100%	85%
% Timely in January 2016	78%	95%	100%	50%	77%	N/A	73%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	681	146	6	20	232	6	271
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	93%	97%	83%	90%	92%	100%	93%
Percent in January 2016	91%	95%	0%	60%	92%	100%	90%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	39	1	0	2	14	0	22
Average # of Days Over 30	31	72	0	10	10	0	44

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: July 1, 2014 - January 31, 2016

	<i>Total EDD13</i>	<i>Caroline</i>	<i>King George</i>	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	<i>Stafford</i>	<i>Fredericksburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹						
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	304	39	20	67	105	73
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	88%	77%	100%	66%	98%	97%
% Timely in January 2016	89%	50%	100%	88%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	160	22	15	21	59	43
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	93%	100%	100%	48%	100%	100%
Percent in January 2016	93%	100%	100%	57%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴						
# Over 30 Days	3	1	0	2	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	86	3	0	128	0	0

EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: July 1, 2014 - January 31, 2016

	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North- umberland	Richmond County	West- moreland
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹										
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	123	25	2	17	12	4	17	5	13	28
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	96%	100%	50%	94%	92%	100%	94%	100%	92%	100%
% Timely in January 2016	88%	100%	N/A	100%	0%	N/A	80%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	83	14	2	13	9	4	7	4	12	18
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	96%	100%	50%	100%	89%	100%	86%	100%	100%	100%
Percent in January 2016	100%	100%	0%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴										
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	17	0	0	0	0	0	17	0	0	0

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: July 1, 2014 - January 31, 2016

	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	1,106	5	28	47	12	46	354	602	12
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	96%	100%	89%	96%	92%	91%	96%	96%	92%
% Timely in January 2016	98%	100%	100%	89%	N/A	83%	100%	99%	N/A
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	679	2	20	27	6	27	217	374	6
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	94%	100%	95%	100%	100%	89%	90%	97%	100%
Percent in January 2016	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	94%	97%	100%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	33	0	0	0	61	0	0	4	0

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: July 1, 2014 - January 31, 2016

	<i>Total EDD16</i>	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	<i>Prince George</i>	<i>Surry</i>	<i>Sussex</i>	<i>Hopewell</i>	<i>Petersburg</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹							
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	305	8	37	12	25	93	130
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	97%	88%	97%	100%	96%	95%	98%
% Timely in January 2016	97%	N/A	86%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	179	6	23	6	16	55	73
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	96%	100%	100%	100%	94%	98%	93%
Percent in January 2016	92%	100%	100%	100%	100%	93%	85%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴							
# Over 30 Days	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	9	0	9	0	0	0	0

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: July 1, 2014 - January 31, 2016

	<i>Total EDD17</i>	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	<i>South- hampton</i>	<i>Chesapeake</i>	<i>Franklin City</i>	<i>Norfolk</i>	<i>Portsmouth</i>	<i>Suffolk</i>	<i>VA Beach</i>
<u>Timely Enrollment</u>¹¹									
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	1,408	16	33	242	30	425	315	121	226
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	88%	88%	100%	85%	93%	82%	90%	97%	95%
% Timely in January 2016	89%	100%	100%	81%	67%	86%	88%	100%	100%
<u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u>¹²									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	882	12	23	162	15	266	182	83	139
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	93%	100%	96%	95%	100%	86%	97%	98%	96%
Percent in January 2016	96%	100%	100%	94%	100%	94%	100%	96%	98%
<u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u>¹⁴									
# Over 30 Days	3	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	13	0	0	0	3	0	18	0	0

Child Support Enforcement - Statewide Totals

Collections

	<i>Jan-15</i>	<i>Jan-16</i>	<i>% Change</i>
TANF Cases Collected	13,629	16,523	21.2%
NTANF Cases Collected	127,556	127,061	-0.4%
Total Cases Collected	141,185	143,584	1.7%
TANF Collections	\$2,137,067	\$2,102,647	-1.6%
NTANF Collections	\$47,185,351	\$46,470,838	-1.5%
Total Collections	\$49,322,418	\$48,573,485	-1.5%
SFY YTD Collections	\$362,463,585	\$362,334,405	0.0%
SFY YTD Average Collection	\$357.61	\$348.95	-2.4%

Paternities Established

	<i>Jan-16</i>
TANF Paternities	128
NTANF Paternities	212
Combined Paternities	340

Diversiory Assistance

January Diversiory Assistance Cases

Locality	Number of Cases		Locality	Number of Cases
Augusta / Staunt'n / W'boro	2		Spotsylvania	2
Bedford	1		Warren	3
Chesterfield/Colonial Hgts	3		Westmoreland	1
Dickenson	1		Charlottesville	1
Dinwiddie	1		Chesapeake	1
Fauquier	2		Franklin City	2
Gloucester	2		Hampton	2
Goochland	1		Hopewell	5
Greene	3		Newport News	9
Halifax	1		Norfolk	4
Henrico	8		Roanoke City	4
Henry / Martinsville	2		Suffolk	1
Montgomery	1		Virginia Beach	3
Shenandoah	5		Winchester	2
Southampton	1		Statewide	74

Definitions of Selected Terms Contained in Report

Average Monthly Earnings. This is the average monthly earnings of the unsubsidized jobs that participants obtained. The value is calculated by multiplying the hourly wage by the weekly hours worked by 4.3 average weeks per month. For the cumulative figures the initial wage and initial hours are used. For the current figures, the initial wage and most current hours are used, except for the November 2001 report which used initial hours. Earlier than June 1999, wage changes that were entered overwrote the initial wage, so prior to that date, the cumulative figures used the initial hours and the last wage entered. With the advent of the VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) program in October 2006 the end of month average monthly earnings might be expected to decline somewhat because prior to VTP, cases with high earnings might remain open for job follow-up, but after the implementation of VTP the VIEW case would be closed when the TANF case was closed and a VTP case opened. VTP cases are not included in this report except for estimating the participation rate.

Average Wage. The average of the wages reported for each occurrence of unsubsidized employment. After June 1999 the wage is the wage at hiring, that is, the initial wage. Prior to June 1999, that wage may have been updated if changed during employment. After the implementation of the VIEW Transitional Payment program the end of month point in time average wage might be expected to decline some for the same reason mentioned in the explanation of possible decline in Average Monthly earnings, above. See note 6 on page 44.

Cases Closed with Employment. These are TANF or TANF-UP cases that are closed with an indication in the data base of employment at the date of closure. It does not mean that the case necessarily closed because of employment. For example, a TANF case may have an indication of closing at the client's request, along with indication of existing employment in VIEW. That case is counted as closed with employment.

EDD. This stands for Economic Development District. EDDs were developed during the administration of Governor Allen. They generally are no longer in use. This report continues to refer to them because VIEW was phased in by EDD and their use provides consistency over time.

Enrolled. Unduplicated number by locality of TANF recipients who were interviewed, received an individual assessment, signed the Agreement of Personal Responsibility, and enrolled in the VIEW program. Because the count is by locality, a recipient may be counted once in more than one locality. The fiscal year to date numbers include those who were enrolled at the beginning of the fiscal year as well as those enrolled during the year. There are clients enrolled in VIEW who have had their TANF case closed because VIEW policy requires that the enrollment remain open for job follow-up after employment unless the employment meets federal work participation guidelines, in which case the VIEW case is closed and a VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) case is opened.

Full Time Employment. Employment at 30 hours a week or greater.

Participants Assigned to Inactive. Inactive is a status assigned to participants who cannot participate in the VIEW program for reasons other than being exempt. Examples are unavailability of child care, unavailability of transportation, and mental or medical problems that make participation impractical. Inactive participants are due to be reviewed each month. While in inactive status, the 24 month time limit for TANF benefits is suspended.

Participants Employed. Total number of participants by locality who obtained an unsubsidized job, either full time or part time. If employed more than one time, they are still only counted once, but may be counted in more than one locality. Also, these participants may have left their job and not gotten another one. For the year to date numbers, those employed at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first became employed in the fiscal year.

Participants in a Work Activity. Unduplicated count by locality of persons who have been in any of the following components: unsubsidized employment, full employment program (FEP), on-the-job training (OJT), community work experience program (CWEP), public service program (PSP), vocational education and training, and job search/job readiness. For example, a person who was in community work experience and then employed would be counted only once.

For the year to date numbers, those in a work activity at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first entered a work activity in the fiscal year. Note that this is a revised definition of work activity from reports through October 2006. The revised definition captures the activities that count toward the first 20 hours of federal participation requirements – the core work activities. Clients who are counted as being in a core work activity must meet additional participation rate requirements in order to be included in the work participation rate, and only VIEW clients are counted here, not all work eligible TANF clients **Thus, these percentages in a work activity are quite different from the percentages in the TANF work participation rate.**

Part Time Employment. Employment at fewer than 30 weekly hours.

Referred. Unduplicated number of TANF recipients by locality who were initially evaluated as eligible for VIEW and had their names referred to the automated VIEW list for participation.

Relationship Between TANF and VIEW Participation. On the chart at page 5a, the column heading “Total Adults in the Case” includes adults who are not considered to be part of the assistance unit. For example, a mother receiving SSI may be in the case, but because she receives SSI is not considered eligible for TANF and thus is not part of the assistance unit. Persons such as she who are not in the assistance unit are not eligible to participate in VIEW. Also included are adults who are part of the assistance unit but exempt from VIEW

Five Months Employment. In earlier reports, this represented the percent of all participants that were employed 150 days after their first employment. Participants who were employed in any job 150 days after their first employment were counted in this measure.. This measure became unavailable after July 1, 2011. See note 9 on page 44.

Three Months Employment. This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 90 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 90 days after their first employment are counted in this measure. For year to date percents, the calculations are based on the first employment that began one year before the end of the report month. That employment may not have been the first ever for the participant. The year to date percents were calculated differently in reports before September 2004. Also for year to date percents, transferred cases were not counted for months after the January 2002 report.

TANF / TANF-UP Payment Cases. Up to November 2003 these counts were taken from a monthly report with no adjustment. After that, the monthly counts included some cases that were being paid because child support payments had been received and were being passed on. These counts were adjusted to mostly eliminate those additional cases. Further adjustment may be appropriate. It should also be noted that the counts include both strictly TANF cases and MOE cases for some years. With the end of waiver provisions in July 2003, a substantial part of the caseload was placed in a state program and funded with MOE funds. This change in July 2003 was a change in funding, not a change in eligibility requirements or case payment amounts. It ended in September 2006 when VIEW transitional payments (VTP) were introduced. Adjustments have been made to take out VTP cases. Also, TANF-UP cases are currently funded with only state monies.

VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). Beginning in October 2006, VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) were introduced. These are payments of \$50 per client per month for one year to VIEW clients that leave “regular” TANF with employment sufficient to meet Federal work participation requirements. The employment must be maintained to be eligible for VTP. Previously, VTP clients normally would have remained VIEW clients for five or six months of job-follow-up. Thus, the VTP program has had a diminishing effect on some of the statistics in this report such as end of month percent employed and average wage. See graph on page 5a.

Time That Data Represent. The data in the top sections of page 4 and pages 8 – 24 are point in time data as of the date indicated. The year to date section represents state fiscal year to date data, with the exception of three month retention (see above). The bottom section is cumulative from when the locality began VIEW.

General Statement About Accuracy. The report is based on an extract from the Employment Services automated system. The extract is normally made the night of the first work day after the end of the report month. Data that staff of local social services agencies enter into the automated system after the time of the extract are not included in the report, even though the activity reflected actually occurred in the report month. However, that data, and the activity reflected, are included in the reports for subsequent months. Further, because the data are entered by staff in the 120 localities, the accuracy of the data in the data base is, of course, subject to inadvertent error.

¹ Applications are not necessarily acted upon in the month that they are received. Also, applications may be transferred from regular TANF to TANF-UP and vice versa as they are processed. November applications are being reviewed for accuracy.

² These TANF cases paid counts are prior to possible adjustment. See definition of payment cases. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes.

³ VIEW enrolled counts do not include VTP clients

⁴ A case may be open in more than one locality during the time period.

⁵ Prior to June 1999, when individuals were transferred from one locality to another, their history was transferred as well. This may have an effect on a locality's cumulative VIEW statistics.

⁶ The minimum wage rose from \$6.55 to \$7.25 an hour on 7/24/09. The report reflects initial wages so some effect on wages in the report was delayed. The average wage includes full and part time, unless otherwise indicated. See the definition of average wage on page 42.

⁷ Includes full and part time earnings.

⁸ This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed three months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed three months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous. See definition on page 43 for the revised method of calculating the year to date percentages.

⁹ Until the July 2011 report, this was employed after five months. However, on July 1, 2011 the number of required job follow-ups was reduced to three. Thus, data for five month job retention became unavailable.

¹⁰ Number includes TANF-UP and represents cases carried over to the next month. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes, but with the advent of VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) such occurrences were reduced from earlier months.

¹¹ Enrollment is timely if done within 30 days of referral in previous month of the state fiscal year. The monthly percent includes evaluation of clients waiting in the queue. The year to date does not.

¹² Placement in a work activity on the 95th day after referral was a measure of timeliness before Oct 1, 2006. The revised definition of work activity has increased the percentage from reports before November 2006. Clients whose cases have closed before the 95th day are excluded, so 100% is an attainable mark.


¹⁴ As of the morning of February 1, 2016. Some referrals to the waiting list are not appropriate; there may be considerable lag time in correcting them.

This report is available on the Department of Social Services web site at:
http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial_assistance/tanf.cgi

The scores in the VIEW Rankings are determined by placing a locality on a scale of zero to ten in the same relative position as its place in the difference between the high and low of the measure in question. This converts all measures to a similar scale and provides a more equitable way to combine the ratings in each measure to determine a combined rank. (Questions, please call 804 726-7618.)

Comments on the format or content of the report and requests for Excel files for portions of current and past reports may be sent by e-mail to: william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov.

TANF and TANF-UP Cases Paid at Six Month Intervals, except last month June 1995 through January 2016




This graph illustrates the dramatic decline of the TANF caseload as VIEW was implemented. There was some sign of slowing in the rate of decline after June 1998. Nevertheless, wide community involvement, a strong economy, and the effect of the 24 month rule allowed the caseload to continue to fall until it was near the 30,000 level. There was little net change in the caseload from June 2000 to June 2002, but some upward movement was seen after June 2002, until 2005. The more recent trends are presumed to be a result of economic conditions. The caseload leveled off after recession spurred growth and now is declining.

Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

Applications Received with Approval Percentage


Six Month Asymmetrical Moving Averages by Quarter, July 1995 through January 2016



This graph of application activity is presented as six month moving averages to produce smoother lines from which trends may be seen and in recognition that applications are not always acted on in the month received. There seems to have been a general decline in the number of applications received until the July 2001 data. The decline in applications received after December 2004 and the more recent changes appear to be reflected in the case load trends. A reason for the general decrease in percent approved may be the lack of any increase in income threshold for initial eligibility; also, a reason for more recent decline may be the availability of applications on line that offers ready access for applying to multiple assistance programs. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

TANF Cases, VIEW Enrolled, and Employed VIEW Participants


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. January is the last month.



This graph shows that the number enrolled in VIEW increased during early implementation and then generally tracked the number of TANF cases. The effects of recent economic conditions are apparent. A VIEW case may remain open for some months after the TANF case closes, if job follow-up is being done and the case has not been converted to the VIEW Transitional Payment program. It is unlikely that the number employed will ever equal the number enrolled because there are always new enrollees without employment, and there are some enrollees in work activities, other than employment, that meet VIEW requirements. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months. It includes TANF-UP.

Chart Displaying the Relationships Between TANF and VIEW Participation


January 31, 2016



This chart illustrates that there are a large number of adults who are exempt from VIEW and that many of the VIEW enrollees have had their TANF cases closed. They are now in a job follow-up phase of VIEW. They have not had their VIEW case closed and become enrolled in the VIEW Transitional Payment program. The source of this information is a monthly TANF extract from ADAPT and the monthly extract from ESPAS, the VIEW automated data system. The extracts were made at the beginning of February and represent end of January data. TANF-UP is included.

VIEW Percent Employed and Average Wage at End of Month


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. January is the last month.



This graph shows that the average wage has seen a general increase as the percent employed has varied somewhat. Besides employment, VIEW participants may be in various other activities or initial assessment and job search, and economic conditions (one slight recession and one large one) apparently had expected effects. The wages shown are generally the initial wages. When the average wage was calculated at about the end of January it was \$8.92 rather than the \$8.75 initial wages used to calculate wages shown on this graph. See page 42 of the report for an explanation of why the VIEW average wage might decline after October 2006 and note 6 on page 44 for the effect of the increase in minimum wage. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.

Percent of Jobs Found During a Month That Were Full Time and Percent Part Time

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. January is the last month.



This graph illustrates an initial trend of VIEW participants obtaining a greater percent of full time jobs than part time. After the initial months, the trend seems to be fairly level with an increase in the percent full time after TANF reauthorization which probably reflects increased emphasis on meeting the required TANF work participation rate. The more recent changes are presumed to be a result of economic developments and increased use of other work activities to help meet the required work participation hours. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.