

# **VIRGINIA INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM**

## **MONTHLY REPORT**

**Including Graphs of Statewide Trends**

**May 2016**

Prepared by:

Virginia Department of Social Services July 28, 2016

The June report is scheduled to be the last VIP report.

# Monthly Report, May 2016

## Table of Contents

Work Participation Estimates (from the VDSS data warehouse) (A work participation estimate percent should not be compared to a percent in a work activity in the main body of the report which includes only clients in VIEW and does not consider the number of hours in a work activity during a month)	i-vi
TANF, TANF-UP Case Activity.....	1
Graphs of Case Activity.....	at end
VIEW Work Activity	
Statewide .....	4
Graphs of Statewide Activity.....	at end
EDD1.....	8
EDD2.....	9
EDD3.....	10
EDD4.....	11
EDD5 & EDD18.....	12
EDD6.....	13
EDD7.....	14
EDD8.....	15
EDD9.....	16
EDD10.....	17
EDD11.....	18
EDD14.....	19
EDD1.....	20
EDD3.....	21
EDD11.....	22
EDD15.....	23
EDD17.....	24
VIEW Year to Date Rankings.....	25-27
VIEW Cumulative Rankings.....	28-30
VIEW Timeliness Measures.....	31-39
Child Support Enforcement.....	omitted
Diversion.....	41
VIEW Definition Sheet and Endnotes.....	42-44

# Estimated Work Participation Rates for May

*These are estimated locality May 2016 work participation rates that include clients receiving either TANF or VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). The official participation rate will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated May statewide rate is 45% with a numerator of 4,837 and a denominator of 10,825.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	17	46	37%	061	Fauquier	16	37	43%
003	Albemarle	29	49	59%	063	Floyd	2	15	13%
005	Alleghany/Covington	19	37	51%	065	Fluvanna	2	19	11%
007	Amelia	5	10	50%	067	Franklin County	30	70	43%
009	Amherst	17	38	45%	069	Frederick	18	32	56%
011	Appomattox	17	39	44%	071	Giles	5	17	29%
013	Arlington	28	60	47%	073	Gloucester	15	36	42%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	56	134	42%	075	Goochland	3	8	38%
017	Bath	0	0	N/A	077	Grayson	6	11	55%
019	Bedford County/City	31	67	46%	079	Greene	11	33	33%
021	Bland	0	4	0%	081	Greensville/Emporia	17	61	28%
023	Botetourt	4	10	40%	083	Halifax	18	53	34%
025	Brunswick	17	34	50%	085	Hanover	22	47	47%
027	Buchanan	9	43	21%	087	Henrico	176	409	43%
029	Buckingham	19	29	66%	089	Henry/Martinsville	57	156	37%
031	Campbell	37	93	40%	091	Highland	0	2	0%
033	Caroline	31	55	56%	093	Isle of Wight	14	40	35%
035	Carroll	30	37	81%	095	James City	31	80	39%
036	Charles City	3	8	38%	097	King and Queen	1	9	11%
037	Charlotte	6	22	27%	099	King George	13	21	62%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	135	282	48%	101	King William	6	11	55%
043	Clarke	0	0	N/A	103	Lancaster	7	19	37%
045	Craig	1	4	25%	105	Lee	45	94	48%
047	Culpeper	20	48	42%	107	Loudoun	38	99	38%
049	Cumberland	16	29	55%	109	Louisa	17	35	49%
051	Dickensen	9	22	41%	111	Lunenburg	7	13	54%
053	Dinwiddie	11	35	31%	113	Madison	1	2	50%
057	Essex	15	26	58%	115	Mathews	2	4	50%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	185	414	45%	117	Mecklenburg	13	34	38%

# Estimated Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Num-erator	Denom-inator	Particip-ation Percent
119	Middlesex	11	19	58%	187	Warren	27	47	57%
121	Montgomery	41	91	45%	191	Washington	31	64	48%
125	Nelson	9	25	36%	193	Westmoreland	17	39	44%
127	New Kent	10	14	71%	195	Wise	44	128	34%
131	Northampton	10	32	31%	197	Wythe	14	32	44%
133	Northumberland	3	8	38%	199	York/Poquoson	36	68	53%
135	Nottoway	22	38	58%	510	Alexandria	73	133	55%
137	Orange	0	41	0%	520	Bristol	31	59	53%
139	Page	3	14	21%	540	Charlottesville	65	120	54%
141	Patrick	13	33	39%	550	Chesapeake	178	357	50%
143	Pittsylvania	28	69	41%	590	Danville	56	134	42%
145	Powhatan	4	16	25%	620	Franklin City	7	20	35%
147	Prince Edward	28	44	64%	630	Fredericksburg	39	77	51%
149	Prince George	6	22	27%	640	Galax	9	21	43%
153	Prince William	146	367	40%	650	Hampton	213	489	44%
155	Pulaski	7	24	29%	670	Hopewell	61	139	44%
157	Rappahannock	0	3	0%	680	Lynchburg	107	195	55%
159	Richmond County	5	9	56%	683	Manassas	16	27	59%
161	Roanoke County	30	83	36%	685	Manassas Park	0	18	0%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	7	25	28%	700	Newport News	378	739	51%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	77	110	70%	710	Norfolk	403	749	54%
167	Russell	21	61	34%	720	Norton	7	24	29%
169	Scott	22	47	47%	730	Petersburg	95	210	45%
171	Shenandoah	2	18	11%	740	Portsmouth	176	380	46%
173	Smyth	20	53	38%	750	Radford	11	27	41%
175	Southampton	14	30	47%	760	Richmond City	324	895	36%
177	Spotsylvania	48	150	32%	770	Roanoke City	120	328	37%
179	Stafford	54	131	41%	800	Suffolk	61	115	53%
181	Surry	5	12	42%	810	Virginia Beach	167	295	57%
183	Sussex	12	30	40%	830	Williamsburg	8	13	62%
185	Tazewell	31	75	41%	840	Winchester	14	47	30%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF and VTP recipients who are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” The cases containing these client become the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rate presented here is the all families rate, i. e. the overall rate, including VTP..

# Estimated TANF only Work Participation Rates for May

*These are estimated locality May 2016 work participation rates that include only clients receiving TANF. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated May statewide rate is 37% with a numerator of 3,435 and a denominator of 9,300. VTP only estimates are on pages v and vi.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	Accomack	6	35	17%	061	Fauquier	8	29	28%
003	Albemarle	18	36	50%	063	Floyd	1	13	8%
005	Alleghany/Covington	13	29	45%	065	Fluvanna	0	16	0%
007	Amelia	3	8	38%	067	Franklin County	17	57	30%
009	Amherst	12	33	36%	069	Frederick	11	23	48%
011	Appomattox	10	32	31%	071	Giles	1	11	9%
013	Arlington	22	54	41%	073	Gloucester	10	30	33%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	30	106	28%	075	Goochland	3	8	38%
017	Bath	0	0	N/A	077	Grayson	5	10	50%
019	Bedford County/City	24	60	40%	079	Greene	9	29	31%
021	Bland	0	4	0%	081	Greensville/Emporia	11	52	21%
023	Botetourt	3	9	33%	083	Halifax	11	46	24%
025	Brunswick	12	27	44%	085	Hanover	14	38	37%
027	Buchanan	8	41	20%	087	Henrico	115	341	34%
029	Buckingham	11	20	55%	089	Henry/Martinsville	45	140	32%
031	Campbell	16	72	22%	091	Highland	0	2	0%
033	Caroline	20	44	45%	093	Isle of Wight	12	37	32%
035	Carroll	20	27	74%	095	James City	22	70	31%
036	Charles City	1	6	17%	097	King and Queen	1	9	11%
037	Charlotte	6	22	27%	099	King George	9	17	53%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	91	238	38%	101	King William	4	9	44%
043	Clarke	0	0	N/A	103	Lancaster	6	18	33%
045	Craig	1	3	33%	105	Lee	40	89	45%
047	Culpeper	13	41	32%	107	Loudoun	9	70	13%
049	Cumberland	12	25	48%	109	Louisa	16	32	50%
051	Dickensen	6	19	32%	111	Lunenburg	4	10	40%
053	Dinwiddie	9	32	28%	113	Madison	1	2	50%
057	Essex	11	22	50%	115	Mathews	2	4	50%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	116	341	34%	117	Mecklenburg	6	24	25%

# Estimated TANF Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	7	15	47%	187	Warren	22	42	52%
121	Montgomery	29	79	37%	191	Washington	25	58	43%
125	Nelson	6	21	29%	193	Westmoreland	13	35	37%
127	New Kent	8	12	67%	195	Wise	33	117	28%
131	Northampton	8	30	27%	197	Wythe	9	27	33%
133	Northumberland	2	7	29%	199	York/Poquoson	31	63	49%
135	Nottoway	17	33	52%	510	Alexandria	51	109	47%
137	Orange	0	41	0%	520	Bristol	25	53	47%
139	Page	2	13	15%	540	Charlottesville	44	98	45%
141	Patrick	8	27	30%	550	Chesapeake	131	307	43%
143	Pittsylvania	19	60	32%	590	Danville	40	115	35%
145	Powhatan	2	14	14%	620	Franklin City	6	18	33%
147	Prince Edward	11	27	41%	630	Fredericksburg	27	64	42%
149	Prince George	3	19	16%	640	Galax	5	17	29%
153	Prince William	81	299	27%	650	Hampton	148	415	36%
155	Pulaski	4	21	19%	670	Hopewell	37	115	32%
157	Rappahannock	0	3	0%	680	Lynchburg	79	167	47%
159	Richmond County	5	8	63%	683	Manassas	8	19	42%
161	Roanoke County	23	76	30%	685	Manassas Park	0	16	0%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	5	23	22%	700	Newport News	300	650	46%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	42	75	56%	710	Norfolk	351	697	50%
167	Russell	20	60	33%	720	Norton	3	20	15%
169	Scott	20	45	44%	730	Petersburg	72	184	39%
171	Shenandoah	1	13	8%	740	Portsmouth	127	327	39%
173	Smyth	15	48	31%	750	Radford	7	23	30%
175	Southampton	13	29	45%	760	Richmond City	254	808	31%
177	Spotsylvania	34	133	26%	770	Roanoke City	82	288	28%
179	Stafford	34	109	31%	800	Suffolk	40	93	43%
181	Surry	5	12	42%	810	Virginia Beach	102	230	44%
183	Sussex	9	27	33%	830	Williamsburg	6	11	55%
185	Tazewell	25	69	36%	840	Winchester	12	44	27%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF recipients who are considered to be "work-eligible individuals." The cases containing these client become the "denominator." To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are for the TANF all family rate not including VTP.

## *Estimated VTP only Work Participation Rates for May*

*These are estimated locality May 2016 work participation rates that include only clients receiving VTP. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated May statewide rate is 92% with a numerator of 1,402 and a denominator of 1,525. TANF only estimates are on pages iii and iv.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
001	<i>Accomack</i>	11	11	100%	061	<i>Fauquier</i>	8	8	100%
003	<i>Albemarle</i>	11	13	85%	063	<i>Floyd</i>	1	2	50%
005	<i>Alleghany/Covington</i>	6	8	75%	065	<i>Fluvanna</i>	2	3	67%
007	<i>Amelia</i>	2	2	100%	067	<i>Franklin County</i>	13	13	100%
009	<i>Amherst</i>	5	5	100%	069	<i>Frederick</i>	7	9	78%
011	<i>Appomattox</i>	7	7	100%	071	<i>Giles</i>	4	6	67%
013	<i>Arlington</i>	6	6	100%	073	<i>Gloucester</i>	5	6	83%
015	<i>Augusta/S'ton/W'boro</i>	26	28	93%	075	<i>Goochland</i>	0	0	N/A
017	<i>Bath</i>	0	0	N/A	077	<i>Grayson</i>	1	1	100%
019	<i>Bedford County/City</i>	7	7	100%	079	<i>Greene</i>	2	4	50%
021	<i>Bland</i>	0	0	N/A	081	<i>Greensville/Emporia</i>	6	9	67%
023	<i>Botetourt</i>	1	1	100%	083	<i>Halifax</i>	7	7	100%
025	<i>Brunswick</i>	5	7	71%	085	<i>Hanover</i>	8	9	89%
027	<i>Buchanan</i>	1	2	50%	087	<i>Henrico</i>	61	68	90%
029	<i>Buckingham</i>	8	9	89%	089	<i>Henry/Martinsville</i>	12	16	75%
031	<i>Campbell</i>	21	21	100%	091	<i>Highland</i>	0	0	N/A
033	<i>Caroline</i>	11	11	100%	093	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	2	3	67%
035	<i>Carroll</i>	10	10	100%	095	<i>James City</i>	9	10	90%
036	<i>Charles City</i>	2	2	100%	097	<i>King and Queen</i>	0	0	N/A
037	<i>Charlotte</i>	0	0	N/A	099	<i>King George</i>	4	4	100%
041	<i>Chesterfield/Col Hgts</i>	44	44	100%	101	<i>King William</i>	2	2	100%
043	<i>Clarke</i>	0	0	N/A	103	<i>Lancaster</i>	1	1	100%
045	<i>Craig</i>	0	1	0%	105	<i>Lee</i>	5	5	100%
047	<i>Culpeper</i>	7	7	100%	107	<i>Loudoun</i>	29	29	100%
049	<i>Cumberland</i>	4	4	100%	109	<i>Louisa</i>	1	3	33%
051	<i>Dickensen</i>	3	3	100%	111	<i>Lunenburg</i>	3	3	100%
053	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	2	3	67%	113	<i>Madison</i>	0	0	N/A
057	<i>Essex</i>	4	4	100%	115	<i>Mathews</i>	0	0	N/A
059	<i>Fairfax/Falls Church</i>	69	73	95%	117	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	7	10	70%

# Estimated VTP Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent	FIPS Code	Locality	Numerator	Denominator	Participation Percent
119	Middlesex	4	4	100%	187	Warren	5	5	100%
121	Montgomery	12	12	100%	191	Washington	6	6	100%
125	Nelson	3	4	75%	193	Westmoreland	4	4	100%
127	New Kent	2	2	100%	195	Wise	11	11	100%
131	Northampton	2	2	100%	197	Wythe	5	5	100%
133	Northumberland	1	1	100%	199	York/Poquoson	5	5	100%
135	Nottoway	5	5	100%	510	Alexandria	22	24	92%
137	Orange	0	0	N/A	520	Bristol	6	6	100%
139	Page	1	1	100%	540	Charlottesville	21	22	95%
141	Patrick	5	6	83%	550	Chesapeake	47	50	94%
143	Pittsylvania	9	9	100%	590	Danville	16	19	84%
145	Powhatan	2	2	100%	620	Franklin City	1	2	50%
147	Prince Edward	17	17	100%	630	Fredericksburg	12	13	92%
149	Prince George	3	3	100%	640	Galax	4	4	100%
153	Prince William	65	68	96%	650	Hampton	65	74	88%
155	Pulaski	3	3	100%	670	Hopewell	24	24	100%
157	Rappahannock	0	0	N/A	680	Lynchburg	28	28	100%
159	Richmond County	0	1	0%	683	Manassas	8	8	100%
161	Roanoke County	7	7	100%	685	Manassas Park	0	2	0%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	2	2	100%	700	Newport News	78	89	88%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	35	35	100%	710	Norfolk	52	52	100%
167	Russell	1	1	100%	720	Norton	4	4	100%
169	Scott	2	2	100%	730	Petersburg	23	26	88%
171	Shenandoah	1	5	20%	740	Portsmouth	49	53	92%
173	Smyth	5	5	100%	750	Radford	4	4	100%
175	Southampton	1	1	100%	760	Richmond City	70	87	80%
177	Spotsylvania	14	17	82%	770	Roanoke City	38	40	95%
179	Stafford	20	22	91%	800	Suffolk	21	22	95%
181	Surry	0	0	N/A	810	Virginia Beach	65	65	100%
183	Sussex	3	3	100%	830	Williamsburg	2	2	100%
185	Tazewell	6	6	100%	840	Winchester	2	3	67%

All VTP recipients are considered to be “work-eligible individuals.” Thus, all VTP cases are in the “denominator.” To determine the numerator, job follow-up entries are reviewed to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. The basic requirement for VTP recipients is employment for at least 30 hours per week. The requirement is contained in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are also sometimes referred to as the rates for the maintenance of effort program caseload. .

## TANF

TANF Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	May-16	May-15	Change from May-15	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received <sup>1</sup>	4,593	4,703	-2.3%	4,807	-4%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	3,123	3,302	-5.4%	1,658	88%
Applications Approved	1,423	1,586	-10%	2,820	-50%
Cases Closed *	1,584	2,086	-24%	4,476	-65%
Cases at End of Month	21,085	22,874	-8%	67,995	-69%
Cases Paid During Month <sup>2</sup>	21,309	23,637	-10%	70,403	-70%

•The database indicates that of the 1,584 closed cases, 637 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 397 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 128 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 94 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

## TANF-UP

TANF-UP Case Activity	<i>Total Statewide</i>				
	May-16	May-15	Change from May-15	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received <sup>1</sup>	272	400	-32%	338	-20%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	240	281	-15%	232	3%
Applications Approved	127	170	-25%	81	57%
Cases Closed *	162	186	-13%	107	51%
Cases at End of Month	1,204	1,248	-4%	321	275%
Cases Paid During Month <sup>2</sup>	1,237	1,313	-6%	394	214%

•The database indicates that of the 162 closed cases, 83 were VIEW cases at closure, of which 61 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 20 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 17 closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

## Statewide - Status through May 31, 2016

### TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/16 and Work Activity as of 5/31/16

Current Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	22,289	1,250	748	1,761	583	323	2,418	279	545	815	1,015	608	3,347	882	338	2,466	850	3,905	156
Total Enrolled in VIEW	7,796	386	228	450	162	99	1,048	103	276	342	264	231	1,090	357	94	1,025	293	1,296	52
Part. in a Work Activity	6,334	311	197	324	128	86	874	79	231	270	218	178	901	292	78	831	238	1,063	35
% of Enrolled	81%	81%	86%	72%	79%	87%	83%	77%	84%	79%	83%	77%	83%	82%	83%	81%	81%	82%	67%
Part. Assigned to Inactive	45	1	0	3	0	1	3	3	3	3	0	1	5	8	2	3	5	4	0
Participants Employed	4,799	200	149	262	120	72	600	71	183	194	168	132	690	222	73	624	165	844	30
% of Enrolled	62%	52%	65%	58%	74%	73%	57%	69%	66%	57%	64%	57%	63%	62%	78%	61%	56%	65%	58%
Full Time	3,807	157	128	225	107	65	450	58	122	125	140	101	529	175	64	490	137	718	16
Part Time	992	43	21	37	13	7	150	13	61	69	28	31	161	47	9	134	28	126	14
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.88	\$8.03	\$7.99	\$8.44	\$8.89	\$8.36	\$10.51	\$9.43	\$8.90	\$8.26	\$8.45	\$8.39	\$9.01	\$9.37	\$8.53	\$8.54	\$8.81	\$8.57	\$8.34
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,202	\$1,097	\$1,111	\$1,205	\$1,294	\$1,169	\$1,405	\$1,266	\$1,146	\$1,039	\$1,205	\$1,139	\$1,204	\$1,268	\$1,176	\$1,170	\$1,202	\$1,151	\$1,016

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – May 31, 2016, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	17,444	777	537	1,411	522	253	2,232	236	432	642	722	474	2,276	724	255	2,150	659	2,998	144
Total Enrolled in VIEW	20,089	882	605	1,424	541	311	2,535	262	553	841	805	602	2,658	913	286	2,512	810	3,392	157
Part. in a Work Activity	18,233	844	566	1,263	512	269	2,276	225	533	761	728	561	2,407	816	255	2,273	722	3,086	136
% of Total Enrolled	91%	96%	94%	89%	95%	86%	90%	86%	96%	90%	90%	93%	91%	89%	89%	90%	89%	91%	87%
Participants Employed	12,321	448	372	805	383	195	1,483	167	395	517	455	366	1,709	561	186	1,539	503	2,144	93
% of Total Enrolled	61%	51%	61%	57%	71%	63%	59%	64%	71%	61%	57%	61%	64%	61%	65%	61%	62%	63%	59%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.99	\$8.00	\$7.99	\$8.60	\$8.98	\$8.62	\$11.09	\$9.25	\$9.02	\$8.38	\$8.36	\$8.38	\$9.06	\$9.30	\$8.47	\$8.70	\$8.93	\$8.64	\$8.72
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,188	\$1,050	\$1,068	\$1,182	\$1,267	\$1,182	\$1,465	\$1,199	\$1,082	\$1,028	\$1,139	\$1,096	\$1,204	\$1,180	\$1,113	\$1,147	\$1,229	\$1,139	\$1,123
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	73%	70%	68%	67%	69%	66%	80%	73%	79%	69%	67%	74%	73%	76%	61%	77%	65%	72%	71%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total State	Total ED 1	Total ED 2	Total ED 3	Total ED 4	Total ED 5	Total ED 6	Total ED 7	Total ED 8	Total ED 9	Total ED 10	Total ED 11	Total ED 12	Total ED 13	Total ED 14	Total ED 15	Total ED 16	Total ED 17	Total ED 18
Individuals Referred	323,421	19,130	11,951	24,077	9,548	6,232	41,209	4,630	7,185	12,173	14,601	9,332	42,782	11,066	4,358	31,462	10,981	59,797	2,907
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>5</sup>	250,851	14,868	10,071	18,761	7,490	4,721	31,712	3,529	5,643	10,116	11,727	7,378	33,744	8,348	3,297	24,162	8,605	44,488	2,191
Part. in a Work Activity	239,780	14,367	9,686	17,843	7,313	4,457	30,448	3,383	5,518	9,551	11,358	7,093	32,364	7,883	3,109	22,969	8,191	42,136	2,111
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	96%	95%	98%	94%	96%	96%	98%	94%	97%	96%	96%	94%	94%	95%	95%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	168,892	9,238	6,481	12,569	5,242	3,086	21,977	2,370	4,203	6,920	7,144	4,573	23,002	5,481	2,097	16,693	5,859	30,716	1,241
% of Total Enrolled	67%	62%	64%	67%	70%	65%	69%	67%	74%	68%	61%	62%	68%	66%	64%	69%	68%	69%	57%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.45	\$6.45	\$6.57	\$7.32	\$7.44	\$7.58	\$9.03	\$7.76	\$7.67	\$6.90	\$6.64	\$7.10	\$7.63	\$8.24	\$7.27	\$7.32	\$7.33	\$7.11	\$7.07
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$974	\$845	\$882	\$976	\$988	\$1,008	\$1,188	\$1,015	\$962	\$882	\$878	\$911	\$1,008	\$1,043	\$920	\$948	\$986	\$922	\$886
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	66%	67%	61%	60%	67%	63%	71%	65%	64%	64%	63%	65%	69%	65%	58%	66%	65%	67%	72%

## EDD1 - Southwest Region: Status through May 31, 2016

### TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/16 and Work Activity as of 5/31/16

Current Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,250	127	59	243	140	119	200	314	48
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	386	22	15	128	34	34	54	79	20
Participants in a Work Activity	311	17	10	107	29	29	51	59	9
% of Enrolled	81%	77%	67%	84%	85%	85%	94%	75%	45%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	200	7	5	68	13	18	28	52	9
% of Enrolled	52%	32%	33%	53%	38%	53%	52%	66%	45%
Full Time	157	5	4	54	6	12	25	46	5
Part Time	43	2	1	14	7	6	3	6	4
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.03	\$8.25	\$8.00	\$7.98	\$8.00	\$8.22	\$7.96	\$7.95	\$8.63
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,097	\$1,183	\$1,194	\$1,102	\$868	\$1,057	\$1,129	\$1,142	\$1,003

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – May 31, 2016, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	777	68	27	169	94	67	117	201	34
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	882	55	28	219	94	83	135	228	40
Participants in a Work Activity	844	53	24	217	83	80	133	219	35
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	86%	99%	88%	96%	99%	96%	88%
Participants Employed	448	26	12	109	33	40	71	132	25
% of Total Enrolled	51%	47%	43%	50%	35%	48%	53%	58%	63%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.00	\$8.08	\$7.95	\$8.03	\$7.86	\$8.08	\$7.91	\$8.02	\$8.09
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,050	\$1,042	\$1,098	\$1,052	\$927	\$985	\$1,020	\$1,117	\$1,046
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	70%	85%	71%	84%	61%	70%	65%	59%	85%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	19,130	1,854	1,275	2,720	2,626	1,894	3,622	4,508	631
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	14,868	1,402	997	2,134	2,116	1,422	2,771	3,522	504
Participants in a Work Activity	14,367	1,324	942	2,123	2,016	1,398	2,685	3,415	464
% of Total Enrolled	97%	94%	94%	99%	95%	98%	97%	97%	92%
Participants Employed	9,238	751	596	1,398	1,254	831	1,835	2,201	372
% of Total Enrolled	62%	54%	60%	66%	59%	58%	66%	62%	74%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.45	\$6.61	\$6.43	\$6.33	\$6.58	\$6.77	\$6.30	\$6.47	\$6.27
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$845	\$860	\$853	\$821	\$866	\$898	\$829	\$852	\$769
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	67%	65%	55%	72%	66%	75%	66%	66%	71%

## EDD2 – Bristol-Galax Region: Status through May 31, 2016

### TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/16 and Work Activity as of 5/31/16

Current Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	748	16	86	25	153	177	83	173	35
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	228	4	34	6	38	41	19	79	7
Participants in a Work Activity	197	4	32	6	27	35	16	70	7
% of Enrolled	86%	100%	94%	100%	71%	85%	84%	89%	100%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	149	3	26	6	25	26	15	44	4
% of Enrolled	65%	75%	76%	100%	66%	63%	79%	56%	57%
Full Time	128	0	24	6	20	24	15	36	3
Part Time	21	3	2	0	5	2	0	8	1
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.99	\$7.25	\$7.82	\$8.09	\$7.36	\$8.15	\$7.94	\$8.40	\$8.00
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,111	\$303	\$1,072	\$1,149	\$1,074	\$1,135	\$1,158	\$1,170	\$1,174

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – May 31, 2016, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	537	9	54	11	113	104	52	178	16
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	605	9	76	11	116	124	46	200	23
Participants in a Work Activity	566	9	73	11	108	116	45	184	20
% of Total Enrolled	94%	100%	96%	100%	93%	94%	98%	92%	87%
Participants Employed	372	5	53	7	62	76	36	118	15
% of Total Enrolled	61%	56%	70%	64%	53%	61%	78%	59%	65%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.99	\$7.53	\$7.68	\$7.83	\$7.74	\$8.11	\$8.04	\$8.14	\$8.07
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,068	\$752	\$1,040	\$1,026	\$1,067	\$1,060	\$1,141	\$1,062	\$1,156
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	68%	100%	74%	100%	64%	67%	72%	63%	67%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	11,951	302	1,555	728	2,108	2,144	1,713	2,623	778
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	10,071	227	1,297	592	1,799	1,788	1,350	2,335	683
Participants in a Work Activity	9,686	215	1,206	556	1,745	1,742	1,326	2,250	646
% of Total Enrolled	96%	95%	93%	94%	97%	97%	98%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	6,481	133	875	382	1,057	1,107	905	1,594	428
% of Total Enrolled	64%	59%	67%	65%	59%	62%	67%	68%	63%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.57	\$6.58	\$6.36	\$6.50	\$6.48	\$6.80	\$6.50	\$6.68	\$6.44
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$882	\$900	\$851	\$868	\$888	\$909	\$863	\$889	\$891
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	61%	57%	55%	60%	62%	58%	67%	62%	69%

## EDD3 – Roanoke Region: Status through May 31, 2016

### TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/16 and Work Activity as of 5/31/16

Current Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,761	75	36	12	44	150	40	218	112	214	50	810
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	450	27	5	5	7	34	11	69	12	54	16	210
Participants in a Work Activity	324	25	5	1	4	32	2	50	11	39	12	143
% of Enrolled	72%	93%	100%	20%	57%	94%	18%	72%	92%	72%	75%	68%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	0
Participants Employed	262	14	4	1	4	14	0	49	7	32	11	126
% of Enrolled	58%	52%	80%	20%	57%	41%	0%	71%	58%	59%	69%	60%
Full Time	225	7	4	1	3	12	N/A	49	7	24	11	107
Part Time	37	7	0	0	1	2	N/A	0	0	8	0	19
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.44	\$7.92	\$8.06	\$10.00	\$7.69	\$8.41	N/A	\$8.47	\$8.23	\$8.30	\$8.09	\$8.58
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,205	\$926	\$1,247	\$1,299	\$1,138	\$1,212	N/A	\$1,309	\$1,142	\$1,115	\$1,136	\$1,226

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – May 31, 2016, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	1,411	65	29	2	26	125	25	164	78	123	48	726
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,424	75	23	10	23	137	20	188	69	160	57	662
Participants in a Work Activity	1,263	74	23	4	23	130	17	166	62	134	51	579
% of Total Enrolled	89%	99%	100%	40%	100%	95%	85%	88%	90%	84%	89%	87%
Participants Employed	805	45	9	2	14	63	3	130	42	96	41	360
% of Total Enrolled	57%	60%	39%	20%	61%	46%	15%	69%	61%	60%	72%	54%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.60	\$8.36	\$8.32	\$9.00	\$8.34	\$8.81	\$12.17	\$8.67	\$8.73	\$8.33	\$8.49	\$8.63
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,182	\$1,050	\$1,234	\$765	\$1,240	\$1,182	\$1,982	\$1,277	\$1,162	\$1,077	\$1,159	\$1,192
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	67%	63%	63%	100%	91%	75%	100%	71%	47%	65%	48%	68%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/Covngton	Bote-tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont-gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	24,077	1,295	429	112	618	2,169	607	3,362	2,040	2,686	960	9,799
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	18,761	1,001	274	68	458	1,694	443	2,769	1,622	2,188	760	7,484
Participants in a Work Activity	17,843	969	242	65	441	1,541	432	2,711	1,558	2,042	725	7,117
% of Total Enrolled	95%	97%	88%	96%	96%	91%	98%	98%	96%	93%	95%	95%
Participants Employed	12,569	696	121	31	296	1,040	247	2,147	1,023	1,605	534	4,829
% of Total Enrolled	67%	70%	44%	46%	65%	61%	56%	78%	63%	73%	70%	65%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.32	\$7.10	\$7.59	\$7.42	\$7.20	\$7.26	\$7.19	\$7.16	\$6.97	\$7.80	\$7.22	\$7.39
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$976	\$864	\$974	\$1,026	\$965	\$970	\$966	\$967	\$961	\$1,040	\$933	\$990
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	60%	58%	55%	60%	54%	58%	52%	63%	53%	58%	61%	62%

## EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: Status through May 31, 2016

### TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/16 and Work Activity as of 5/31/16

Current Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	583	337	4	3	58	181
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	162	73	0	2	16	71
Participants in a Work Activity	128	54	N/A	0	11	63
% of Enrolled	79%	74%	N/A	0%	69%	89%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	N/A	0	0	0
Participants Employed	120	53	N/A	0	11	56
% of Enrolled	74%	73%	N/A	0	69%	79%
Full Time	107	46	N/A	N/A	10	51
Part Time	13	7	N/A	N/A	1	5
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.89	\$8.73	N/A	N/A	\$8.48	\$9.12
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,294	\$1,244	N/A	N/A	\$1,229	\$1,353

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – May 31, 2016, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	522	277	0	2	38	205
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	541	283	0	2	39	217
Participants in a Work Activity	512	268	N/A	2	31	211
% of Total Enrolled	95%	95%	N/A	100%	79%	97%
Participants Employed	383	190	N/A	0	23	170
% of Total Enrolled	71%	67%	N/A	0%	59%	78%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.98	\$8.84	N/A	N/A	\$8.75	\$9.16
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,267	\$1,212	N/A	N/A	\$1,153	\$1,338
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	69%	62%	N/A	N/A	72%	74%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	9,548	5,072	81	26	822	3,547
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	7,490	4,040	53	10	618	2,769
Participants in a Work Activity	7,313	3,969	52	10	573	2,709
% of Total Enrolled	98%	98%	98%	100%	93%	98%
Participants Employed	5,242	2,719	32	6	435	2,050
% of Total Enrolled	70%	67%	60%	60%	70%	74%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.44	\$7.41	\$6.56	\$7.79	\$6.96	\$7.59
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$988	\$984	\$717	\$784	\$884	\$1,019
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	67%	66%	61%	83%	68%	68%

# VIEW Work Activity

## EDDS 5 & 18 – Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: Status through May 31, 2016

### TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/16 and Work Activity as of 5/31/16

Current Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	323	8	75	27	42	89	82	156	109	47
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	99	0	16	7	13	36	27	52	23	29
Participants in a Work Activity	86	N/A	12	5	13	33	23	35	14	21
% of Enrolled	87%	N/A	75%	71%	100%	92%	85%	67%	61%	72%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	N/A	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Participants Employed	72	N/A	10	4	11	30	17	30	13	17
% of Enrolled	73%	N/A	63%	57%	85%	83%	63%	58%	57%	59%
Full Time	65	N/A	9	3	10	28	15	16	7	9
Part Time	7	N/A	1	1	1	2	2	14	6	8
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.36	N/A	\$8.50	\$8.19	\$8.44	\$8.12	\$8.70	\$8.34	\$8.46	\$8.26
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,169	N/A	\$1,212	\$1,214	\$1,080	\$1,140	\$1,240	\$1,016	\$1,057	\$984

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – May 31, 2016, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	253	2	64	27	29	61	70	144	108	36
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	311	1	66	30	35	98	81	157	103	54
Participants in a Work Activity	269	1	57	27	29	93	62	136	87	49
% of Total Enrolled	86%	1	86%	90%	83%	95%	77%	87%	84%	91%
Participants Employed	195	0	35	18	23	75	44	93	53	40
% of Total Enrolled	63%	0%	53%	60%	66%	77%	54%	59%	51%	74%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.62	N/A	\$9.38	\$8.62	\$8.99	\$7.94	\$8.82	\$8.72	\$8.96	\$8.39
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,182	N/A	\$1,264	\$1,135	\$1,253	\$1,087	\$1,237	\$1,123	\$1,219	\$992
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	66%	N/A	55%	56%	70%	84%	52%	71%	62%	89%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	6,232	235	1,381	919	773	1,493	1,431	2,907	1,887	1,020
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	4,721	142	1,042	755	493	1,149	1,140	2,191	1,393	798
Participants in a Work Activity	4,457	131	972	739	475	1,070	1,070	2,111	1,353	758
% of Total Enrolled	94%	92%	93%	98%	96%	93%	94%	96%	97%	95%
Participants Employed	3,086	82	610	525	295	796	778	1,241	715	526
% of Total Enrolled	65%	58%	59%	70%	60%	69%	68%	57%	51%	66%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.58	\$7.74	\$8.00	\$7.45	\$7.13	\$7.57	\$7.52	\$7.07	\$7.19	\$6.93
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,008	\$1,030	\$1,042	\$1,005	\$974	\$1,020	\$987	\$886	\$907	\$862
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	63%	58%	60%	59%	69%	70%	59%	72%	65%	80%

## EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: Status through May 31, 2016

### TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/16 and Work Activity as of 5/31/16

Current Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	2,418	162	884	173	737	350	85	27
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,048	50	410	100	266	197	21	4
Participants in a Work Activity	874	45	375	75	204	155	19	1
% of Enrolled	83%	90%	91%	75%	77%	79%	90%	25%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	1	0	1	1	0	0
Participants Employed	600	28	209	59	169	115	19	1
% of Enrolled	57%	56%	51%	59%	64%	58%	90%	25%
Full Time	450	18	145	40	148	84	14	1
Part Time	150	10	64	19	21	31	5	0
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$10.51	\$10.60	\$10.53	\$10.20	\$10.33	\$10.80	\$11.07	\$7.33
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,405	\$1,325	\$1,360	\$1,383	\$1,479	\$1,396	\$1,511	\$952

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – May 31, 2016, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	2,232	121	819	216	607	399	59	11
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,535	150	1,002	237	667	402	73	4
Participants in a Work Activity	2,276	146	925	215	587	337	63	3
% of Total Enrolled	90%	97%	92%	91%	88%	84%	86%	75%
Participants Employed	1,483	87	555	153	410	227	50	1
% of Total Enrolled	59%	58%	55%	65%	61%	56%	68%	25%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$11.09	\$10.74	\$11.50	\$11.39	\$10.52	\$11.14	\$11.00	\$7.33
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,465	\$1,312	\$1,508	\$1,475	\$1,431	\$1,457	\$1,543	\$946
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	80%	67%	78%	83%	80%	85%	88%	N/A

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	41,209	3,269	14,716	3,108	12,962	4,892	1,750	512
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	31,712	2,583	11,156	2,450	10,026	3,789	1,340	368
Participants in a Work Activity	30,448	2,564	10,721	2,328	9,592	3,575	1,304	364
% of Total Enrolled	96%	99%	96%	95%	96%	94%	97%	99%
Participants Employed	21,977	1,833	7,437	1,844	7,158	2,591	869	245
% of Total Enrolled	69%	71%	67%	75%	71%	68%	65%	67%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$9.03	\$8.53	\$9.16	\$9.65	\$9.00	\$8.82	\$8.56	\$8.33
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,188	\$1,083	\$1,198	\$1,241	\$1,212	\$1,130	\$1,147	\$1,108
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	71%	69%	70%	72%	70%	78%	70%	77%

## EDD7 - Culpeper Region: Status through May 31, 2016

### TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/16 and Work Activity as of 5/31/16

Current Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	279	103	66	19	86	5
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	103	33	25	2	41	2
Participants in a Work Activity	79	27	19	2	31	0
% of Enrolled	77%	82%	76%	100%	76%	0
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	2	0	1	0
Participants Employed	71	26	14	1	30	0
% of Enrolled	69%	79%	56%	50%	73%	0
Full Time	58	19	10	1	28	N/A
Part Time	13	7	4	0	2	N/A
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$9.43	\$9.25	\$9.36	\$10.00	\$9.59	N/A
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,266	\$1,117	\$1,264	\$1,299	\$1,396	N/A

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – May 31, 2016, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	236	102	58	7	60	9
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	262	104	65	12	74	7
Participants in a Work Activity	225	89	58	12	59	7
% of Total Enrolled	86%	86%	89%	100%	80%	100%
Participants Employed	167	65	41	8	52	1
% of Total Enrolled	64%	63%	63%	67%	70%	14%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$9.25	\$9.37	\$9.03	\$8.94	\$9.37	\$9.00
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,199	\$1,178	\$1,178	\$1,238	\$1,238	\$968
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	73%	74%	60%	100%	79%	N/A

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	4,630	1,806	1,339	415	943	127
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	3,529	1,331	1,038	311	766	83
Participants in a Work Activity	3,383	1,275	1,017	303	709	79
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	98%	97%	93%	95%
Participants Employed	2,370	868	722	184	550	46
% of Total Enrolled	67%	65%	70%	59%	72%	55%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.76	\$7.75	\$8.09	\$7.31	\$7.50	\$7.52
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,015	\$998	\$1,055	\$1,009	\$993	\$977
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	65%	66%	68%	59%	62%	49%

## EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: Status through May 31, 2016

### TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/16 and Work Activity as of 5/31/16

Current Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	545	108	55	36	93	52	201
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	276	69	5	25	41	20	116
Participants in a Work Activity	231	65	4	18	30	16	98
% of Enrolled	84%	94%	80%	72%	73%	80%	84%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	0	0	0	2	1
Participants Employed	183	43	4	17	28	14	77
% of Enrolled	66%	62%	80%	68%	68%	70%	66%
Full Time	122	25	3	4	21	8	61
Part Time	61	18	1	13	7	6	16
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.90	\$9.23	\$8.19	\$8.50	\$8.52	\$8.52	\$9.05
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,146	\$1,107	\$1,215	\$838	\$1,134	\$1,062	\$1,252

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – May 31, 2016, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	432	107	11	49	45	36	184
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	553	141	12	51	61	41	247
Participants in a Work Activity	533	139	12	47	59	37	239
% of Total Enrolled	96%	99%	100%	92%	97%	90%	97%
Participants Employed	395	99	6	38	41	29	182
% of Total Enrolled	71%	70%	50%	75%	67%	71%	74%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$9.02	\$9.37	\$8.00	\$8.43	\$8.68	\$8.64	\$9.14
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,082	\$1,089	\$1,098	\$1,023	\$1,093	\$1,022	\$1,098
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	79%	81%	100%	81%	84%	73%	76%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	7,185	1,754	337	562	1,128	394	3,010
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	5,643	1,307	247	403	855	289	2,542
Participants in a Work Activity	5,518	1,281	241	381	830	285	2,500
% of Total Enrolled	98%	98%	98%	95%	97%	99%	98%
Participants Employed	4,203	937	156	306	542	181	2,081
% of Total Enrolled	74%	72%	63%	76%	63%	63%	82%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.67	\$8.14	\$8.02	\$7.55	\$7.85	\$8.02	\$7.47
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$962	\$1,030	\$1,063	\$925	\$995	\$1,008	\$933
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	64%	58%	63%	59%	64%	65%	66%

## EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: Status through May 31, 2016

### TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/16 and Work Activity as of 5/31/16

Current Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	815	87	57	146	172	353
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	342	23	24	62	79	154
Participants in a Work Activity	270	14	19	52	62	123
% of Enrolled	79%	61%	79%	84%	78%	80%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	1	0	0	2
Participants Employed	194	10	14	35	33	102
% of Enrolled	57%	43%	58%	56%	42%	66%
Full Time	125	9	9	26	19	62
Part Time	69	1	5	9	14	40
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.26	\$8.00	\$7.04	\$8.81	\$8.55	\$8.16
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,039	\$1,115	\$873	\$1,133	\$1,020	\$1,028

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – May 31, 2016, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	642	59	44	123	147	269
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	841	60	60	143	210	368
Participants in a Work Activity	761	58	59	122	186	336
% of Total Enrolled	90%	97%	98%	85%	89%	91%
Participants Employed	517	30	40	90	112	245
% of Total Enrolled	61%	50%	67%	63%	53%	67%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.38	\$8.39	\$7.85	\$8.87	\$8.42	\$8.27
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,028	\$1,174	\$992	\$1,144	\$998	\$982
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	69%	59%	67%	66%	72%	72%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	12,173	1,130	943	2,459	2,838	4,803
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	10,116	900	832	1,975	2,440	3,969
Participants in a Work Activity	9,551	854	819	1,827	2,295	3,756
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	98%	93%	94%	95%
Participants Employed	6,920	589	589	1,286	1,669	2,787
% of Total Enrolled	68%	65%	71%	65%	68%	70%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.90	\$7.12	\$6.59	\$7.00	\$7.09	\$6.79
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$882	\$918	\$898	\$889	\$920	\$849
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	64%	60%	55%	60%	63%	68%

## EDD10 - Danville Region: Status through May 31, 2016

### TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/16 and Work Activity as of 5/31/16

Current Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	1,015	134	353	70	145	313
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	264	34	100	25	32	73
Participants in a Work Activity	218	22	87	23	28	58
% of Enrolled	83%	65%	87%	92%	88%	79%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	168	19	69	20	22	38
% of Enrolled	64%	56%	69%	80%	69%	52%
Full Time	140	18	58	13	16	35
Part Time	28	1	11	7	6	3
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.45	\$9.47	\$8.43	\$8.14	\$8.48	\$8.13
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,205	\$1,503	\$1,239	\$994	\$1,173	\$1,123

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – May 31, 2016, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	722	87	234	69	132	200
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	805	101	283	81	110	230
Participants in a Work Activity	728	88	254	77	105	204
% of Total Enrolled	90%	87%	90%	95%	95%	89%
Participants Employed	455	53	179	55	62	106
% of Total Enrolled	57%	52%	63%	68%	56%	46%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.36	\$9.01	\$8.34	\$8.22	\$8.38	\$8.14
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,139	\$1,285	\$1,133	\$1,079	\$1,150	\$1,101
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	67%	70%	68%	61%	71%	65%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	14,601	2,223	3,945	1,422	2,452	4,559
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	11,727	1,833	3,075	1,223	1,874	3,722
Participants in a Work Activity	11,358	1,769	2,953	1,156	1,848	3,632
% of Total Enrolled	97%	97%	96%	95%	99%	98%
Participants Employed	7,144	1,020	1,984	823	991	2,326
% of Total Enrolled	61%	56%	65%	67%	53%	62%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$6.64	\$6.62	\$7.07	\$6.54	\$6.63	\$6.33
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$878	\$873	\$953	\$847	\$887	\$825
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	63%	59%	64%	74%	59%	61%

## EDD11 - Southside Region: Status through May 31, 2016

### TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/16 and Work Activity as of 5/31/16

Current Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	608	20	52	50	60	46	102	29	105	74	70
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	231	7	21	18	26	20	40	16	16	35	32
Participants in a Work Activity	178	6	15	17	14	18	31	9	12	25	31
% of Enrolled	77%	86%	71%	94%	54%	90%	78%	56%	75%	71%	97%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	132	5	13	12	11	13	23	6	6	22	21
% of Enrolled	57%	71%	62%	67%	42%	65%	58%	38%	38%	63%	66%
Full Time	101	4	12	11	8	10	17	6	5	17	11
Part Time	31	1	1	1	3	3	6	0	1	5	10
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.39	\$10.22	\$8.71	\$8.11	\$8.27	\$8.93	\$8.37	\$8.91	\$7.45	\$8.31	\$7.87
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,139	\$1,362	\$1,354	\$1,073	\$1,111	\$1,243	\$1,117	\$1,330	\$1,038	\$1,154	\$922

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – May 31, 2016, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	474	15	54	44	42	39	86	24	52	54	64
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	602	11	70	51	52	50	102	23	72	76	95
Participants in a Work Activity	561	9	66	49	47	44	95	15	70	73	93
% of Total Enrolled	93%	82%	94%	96%	90%	88%	93%	65%	97%	96%	98%
Participants Employed	366	8	37	33	22	32	60	10	42	54	68
% of Total Enrolled	61%	73%	53%	65%	42%	64%	59%	43%	58%	71%	72%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.38	\$9.36	\$8.33	\$8.51	\$7.99	\$8.98	\$8.29	\$9.45	\$8.00	\$8.56	\$8.10
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,096	\$1,150	\$1,154	\$1,091	\$978	\$1,173	\$1,110	\$1,429	\$1,109	\$1,122	\$975
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	74%	80%	67%	75%	69%	81%	79%	86%	61%	68%	81%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Brunswick	Buckingham	Charlotte	Cumberland	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunenburg	Mecklenburg	Nottoway	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	9,332	585	1,117	886	823	637	1,111	451	1,334	1,134	1,254
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	7,378	433	900	686	634	481	895	353	1,062	889	1,045
Participants in a Work Activity	7,093	409	898	652	597	456	863	348	1,021	829	1,020
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	100%	95%	94%	95%	96%	99%	96%	93%	98%
Participants Employed	4,573	267	526	401	331	283	551	177	697	598	742
% of Total Enrolled	62%	62%	58%	58%	52%	59%	62%	50%	66%	67%	71%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.10	\$7.69	\$6.57	\$7.41	\$7.48	\$7.67	\$7.12	\$6.90	\$6.81	\$7.14	\$7.02
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$911	\$982	\$859	\$988	\$941	\$985	\$870	\$866	\$866	\$933	\$910
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	65%	61%	66%	59%	59%	59%	65%	59%	66%	69%	69%

## EDD12 - Richmond Region: Status through May 31, 2016

### TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/16 and Work Activity as of 5/31/16

Current Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	3,347	609	23	96	846	36	1,737
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,090	195	7	34	386	8	460
Participants in a Work Activity	901	163	5	29	307	7	390
% of Enrolled	83%	84%	71%	85%	80%	88%	85%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	5	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	690	125	4	25	241	5	290
% of Enrolled	63%	64%	57%	74%	62%	63%	63%
Full Time	529	92	1	18	184	3	231
Part Time	161	33	3	7	57	2	59
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$9.01	\$9.24	\$10.94	\$10.14	\$9.16	\$8.25	\$8.69
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,204	\$1,236	\$843	\$1,338	\$1,228	\$1,131	\$1,165

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – May 31, 2016, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	2,276	423	14	74	752	23	990
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,658	471	16	77	926	22	1,146
Participants in a Work Activity	2,407	432	15	69	803	22	1,066
% of Total Enrolled	91%	92%	94%	90%	87%	100%	93%
Participants Employed	1,709	304	9	53	578	12	753
% of Total Enrolled	64%	65%	56%	69%	62%	55%	66%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$9.06	\$9.32	\$9.84	\$9.98	\$9.23	\$8.64	\$8.76
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,204	\$1,253	\$993	\$1,297	\$1,226	\$1,242	\$1,163
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	73%	80%	83%	89%	71%	100%	72%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	42,782	9,026	335	1,543	11,032	438	20,408
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	33,744	6,905	259	1,099	9,105	326	16,050
Participants in a Work Activity	32,364	6,683	246	1,018	8,564	309	15,544
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	95%	93%	94%	95%	97%
Participants Employed	23,002	4,394	169	718	6,212	183	11,326
% of Total Enrolled	68%	64%	65%	65%	68%	56%	71%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.63	\$7.95	\$7.54	\$8.48	\$7.96	\$7.95	\$7.32
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,008	\$1,059	\$950	\$1,076	\$1,037	\$1,010	\$974
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	69%	69%	64%	67%	66%	62%	71%

## EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: Status through May 31, 2016

### TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/16 and Work Activity as of 5/31/16

<b>Current Data</b>	<b>Total EDD13</b>	<b>Caroline</b>	<b>King George</b>	<b>Spotsylvania</b>	<b>Stafford</b>	<b>Fredericksburg</b>
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	882	117	47	315	270	133
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	357	59	12	106	110	70
Participants in a Work Activity	292	45	11	81	103	52
% of Enrolled	82%	76%	92%	76%	94%	74%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	8	1	0	3	1	3
Participants Employed	222	42	8	58	71	43
% of Enrolled	62%	71%	67%	55%	65%	61%
Full Time	175	31	7	49	54	34
Part Time	47	11	1	9	17	9
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$9.37	\$9.43	\$8.88	\$9.34	\$9.58	\$9.09
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,268	\$1,209	\$1,394	\$1,249	\$1,310	\$1,260

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – May 31, 2016, Year to Date

<b>State Fiscal Year to Date</b>	<b>Total EDD13</b>	<b>Caroline</b>	<b>King George</b>	<b>Spotsylvania</b>	<b>Stafford</b>	<b>Fredericksburg</b>
Individuals Referred	724	74	37	258	212	143
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	913	124	42	274	286	187
Participants in a Work Activity	816	106	39	243	263	165
% of Total Enrolled	89%	85%	93%	89%	92%	88%
Participants Employed	561	80	22	160	178	121
% of Total Enrolled	61%	65%	52%	58%	62%	65%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$9.30	\$9.51	\$9.09	\$8.99	\$9.64	\$9.08
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,180	\$1,169	\$1,254	\$1,172	\$1,185	\$1,180
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	76%	83%	62%	74%	73%	81%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

<b>Cumulative Data</b>	<b>Total EDD13</b>	<b>Caroline</b>	<b>King George</b>	<b>Spotsylvania</b>	<b>Stafford</b>	<b>Fredericksburg</b>
Individuals Referred	11,066	1,587	655	3,428	3,251	2,145
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	8,348	1,166	469	2,637	2,242	1,834
Participants in a Work Activity	7,883	1,108	446	2,460	2,133	1,736
% of Total Enrolled	94%	95%	95%	93%	95%	95%
Participants Employed	5,481	726	278	1,785	1,340	1,352
% of Total Enrolled	66%	62%	59%	68%	60%	74%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.24	\$7.91	\$7.77	\$8.17	\$8.91	\$8.00
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,043	\$994	\$955	\$1,030	\$1,148	\$1,010
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	65%	59%	57%	66%	68%	66%

## EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: Status through May 31, 2016

### TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/16 and Work Activity as of 5/31/16

Current Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North- umberland	Richmond County	West- moreland
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	338	55	24	24	40	18	49	28	24	76
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	94	16	2	5	12	4	21	6	6	22
Participants in a Work Activity	78	13	2	5	9	4	18	3	6	18
% of Enrolled	83%	81%	100%	100%	75%	100%	86%	50%	100%	82%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
Participants Employed	73	13	1	5	7	4	18	2	6	17
% of Enrolled	78%	81%	50%	100%	58%	100%	86%	33%	100%	77%
Full Time	64	12	0	4	5	4	17	2	6	14
Part Time	9	1	1	1	2	0	1	0	0	3
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.53	\$8.54	\$7.25	\$10.40	\$7.93	\$8.63	\$8.22	\$7.38	\$7.21	\$9.21
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,176	\$1,286	\$879	\$1,282	\$956	\$1,331	\$1,125	\$1,167	\$1,118	\$1,208

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – May 31, 2016, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North- umberland	Richmond County	West- moreland
Individuals Referred	255	43	9	24	31	8	38	15	23	64
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	286	45	9	24	26	11	54	17	26	74
Participants in a Work Activity	255	42	9	21	21	11	51	13	22	65
% of Total Enrolled	89%	93%	100%	88%	81%	100%	94%	76%	85%	88%
Participants Employed	186	33	5	16	13	8	40	8	14	49
% of Total Enrolled	65%	73%	56%	67%	50%	73%	74%	47%	54%	66%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.47	\$8.25	\$7.63	\$9.04	\$7.65	\$8.38	\$8.34	\$8.27	\$8.80	\$8.83
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,113	\$1,151	\$791	\$1,264	\$925	\$1,059	\$1,003	\$1,262	\$1,279	\$1,154
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	61%	54%	50%	67%	82%	0%	78%	50%	67%	46%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North- umberland	Richmond County	West- moreland
Individuals Referred	4,358	657	328	394	465	236	542	384	410	942
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	3,297	529	226	324	364	167	417	314	252	704
Participants in a Work Activity	3,109	506	215	308	345	160	395	300	236	644
% of Total Enrolled	94%	96%	95%	95%	95%	96%	95%	96%	94%	91%
Participants Employed	2,097	359	121	194	245	112	291	175	149	451
% of Total Enrolled	64%	68%	54%	60%	67%	67%	70%	56%	59%	64%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.27	\$7.28	\$7.26	\$7.72	\$7.01	\$7.19	\$7.30	\$6.94	\$7.28	\$7.31
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$920	\$902	\$875	\$1,016	\$873	\$914	\$918	\$875	\$962	\$941
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	58%	49%	44%	60%	51%	70%	72%	50%	44%	64%

## EDD15 - Peninsula Region: Status through May 31, 2016

### TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/16 and Work Activity as of 5/31/16

Current Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	NewKent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	2,466	13	70	126	39	109	822	1,272	15
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,025	5	22	51	12	67	305	555	8
Participants in a Work Activity	831	4	15	39	12	48	250	457	6
% of Enrolled	81%	80%	68%	76%	100%	72%	82%	82%	75%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0
Participants Employed	624	2	14	32	11	32	177	351	5
% of Enrolled	61%	40%	64%	63%	92%	48%	58%	63%	63%
Full Time	490	2	13	22	9	20	130	290	4
Part Time	134	0	1	10	2	12	47	61	1
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.54	\$7.25	\$7.84	\$8.89	\$8.07	\$8.79	\$8.52	\$8.54	\$8.85
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,170	\$1,570	\$1,047	\$1,073	\$1,109	\$1,164	\$1,126	\$1,205	\$1,265

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – May 31, 2016, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	2,150	8	56	118	22	99	694	1,127	26
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	2,512	13	78	134	35	119	809	1,288	36
Participants in a Work Activity	2,273	12	72	123	28	109	738	1,160	31
% of Total Enrolled	90%	92%	92%	92%	80%	92%	91%	90%	86%
Participants Employed	1,539	6	46	92	25	61	490	795	24
% of Total Enrolled	61%	46%	59%	69%	71%	51%	61%	62%	67%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.70	\$8.42	\$7.63	\$8.93	\$8.42	\$8.78	\$8.73	\$8.70	\$9.13
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,147	\$1,294	\$929	\$1,072	\$1,099	\$1,055	\$1,096	\$1,200	\$1,249
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	77%	60%	76%	58%	86%	81%	80%	76%	50%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	31,462	188	1,290	1,468	430	1,375	10,800	15,423	488
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	24,162	138	939	1,167	320	1,071	8,138	12,011	378
Participants in a Work Activity	22,969	132	869	1,083	305	1,020	7,910	11,299	351
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	93%	93%	95%	95%	97%	94%	93%
Participants Employed	16,693	80	602	808	188	658	5,697	8,399	261
% of Total Enrolled	69%	58%	64%	69%	59%	61%	70%	70%	69%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.32	\$7.32	\$7.00	\$7.82	\$7.79	\$7.64	\$7.32	\$7.27	\$7.56
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$948	\$986	\$856	\$943	\$1,043	\$915	\$939	\$960	\$934
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	66%	52%	57%	57%	72%	60%	64%	69%	64%

## EDD16 - Petersburg Region: Status through May 31, 2016

### TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/16 and Work Activity as of 5/31/16

Current Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	850	84	62	29	54	236	385
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	293	13	12	11	25	107	125
Participants in a Work Activity	238	12	10	8	21	88	99
% of Enrolled	81%	92%	83%	73%	84%	82%	79%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	0	0	0	0	3	2
Participants Employed	165	9	6	6	12	51	81
% of Enrolled	56%	69%	50%	55%	48%	48%	65%
Full Time	137	8	5	4	9	31	80
Part Time	28	1	1	2	3	20	1
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.81	\$8.25	\$8.07	\$8.60	\$8.53	\$8.72	\$9.05
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,202	\$1,260	\$1,194	\$1,115	\$1,145	\$1,012	\$1,331

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – May 31, 2016, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	659	41	67	19	51	192	289
Total Enrolled in VIEW	810	39	70	30	67	261	343
Participants in a Work Activity	722	39	65	27	62	244	285
% of Total Enrolled	89%	100%	93%	90%	93%	93%	83%
Participants Employed	503	25	37	18	42	167	214
% of Total Enrolled	62%	64%	53%	60%	63%	64%	62%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.93	\$8.48	\$8.92	\$8.97	\$9.23	\$8.95	\$8.90
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,229	\$1,274	\$1,183	\$1,055	\$1,301	\$1,159	\$1,287
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	65%	70%	56%	55%	68%	61%	69%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	10,981	1,402	1,031	432	864	2,751	4,501
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	8,605	908	771	361	697	2,254	3,614
Participants in a Work Activity	8,191	905	677	343	668	2,147	3,451
% of Total Enrolled	95%	100%	88%	95%	96%	95%	95%
Participants Employed	5,859	598	459	253	455	1,551	2,543
% of Total Enrolled	68%	66%	60%	70%	65%	69%	70%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.33	\$7.14	\$7.79	\$7.57	\$7.25	\$7.29	\$7.31
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$986	\$1,002	\$1,031	\$910	\$984	\$966	\$996
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	65%	58%	58%	55%	62%	62%	70%

## EDD17 - Tidewater Region: Status through May 31, 2016

### TANF Cases as of the end of 5/31/16 and Work Activity as of 5/31/16

Current Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South -ampton	Chesa-peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
TANF Cases End of Month <sup>10</sup>	3,905	96	74	634	57	1,354	770	290	630
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	1,296	28	20	207	24	522	246	71	178
Participants in a Work Activity	1,063	25	18	173	17	446	199	53	132
% of Enrolled	82%	89%	90%	84%	71%	85%	81%	75%	74%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	4	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
Participants Employed	844	22	17	132	10	361	146	46	110
% of Enrolled	65%	79%	85%	64%	42%	69%	59%	65%	62%
Full Time	718	17	14	116	7	318	110	39	97
Part Time	126	5	3	16	3	43	36	7	13
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.57	\$7.98	\$8.04	\$8.67	\$8.20	\$8.65	\$8.34	\$8.75	\$8.68
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,151	\$1,073	\$1,029	\$1,207	\$1,149	\$1,143	\$1,144	\$1,204	\$1,136

### Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2015 – May 31, 2016, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South -ampton	Chesa-peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	2,998	58	46	529	48	994	597	229	497
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3</sup>	3,392	71	61	571	63	1,176	677	247	526
Participants in a Work Activity	3,086	63	54	535	59	1,082	602	235	456
% of Total Enrolled	91%	89%	89%	94%	94%	92%	89%	95%	87%
Participants Employed	2,144	47	39	380	31	790	393	153	311
% of Total Enrolled	63%	66%	64%	67%	49%	67%	58%	62%	59%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$8.64	\$8.31	\$8.34	\$8.73	\$8.61	\$8.65	\$8.49	\$8.60	\$8.82
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$1,139	\$1,117	\$1,117	\$1,196	\$1,168	\$1,132	\$1,088	\$1,156	\$1,148
Three Months Employment <sup>8</sup>	72%	58%	76%	73%	29%	78%	65%	68%	70%

### Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South -ampton	Chesa-peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	59,797	1,375	1,118	9,784	953	19,087	9,640	3,826	14,014
Total Enrolled in VIEW <sup>3,5</sup>	44,488	999	909	7,270	776	14,123	7,697	3,000	9,714
Participants in a Work Activity	42,136	962	865	6,954	765	13,355	7,254	2,967	9,014
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	95%	96%	99%	95%	94%	99%	93%
Participants Employed	30,716	672	530	5,204	502	9,903	5,434	2,173	6,298
% of Total Enrolled	69%	67%	58%	72%	65%	70%	71%	72%	65%
Average Wage <sup>6</sup>	\$7.11	\$7.06	\$6.86	\$7.30	\$6.84	\$7.02	\$6.97	\$6.94	\$7.40
Average Monthly Earnings <sup>7</sup>	\$922	\$927	\$859	\$958	\$853	\$892	\$921	\$903	\$972
Three Months Employment <sup>9</sup>	67%	59%	55%	63%	62%	68%	69%	67%	69%

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date

*This section lists the relative state fiscal year to date rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Wage, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	51.5%	6.57	96	\$8.96	3.37	35	62.2%	6.22	89	5.38	88
003	Albemarle	70.2%	8.96	17	\$9.37	4.21	14	81.5%	8.15	25	7.11	10
005	Alleghany/Covington	60.0%	7.66	64	\$8.36	2.13	77	62.5%	6.25	87	5.35	90
007	Amelia	72.7%	9.28	9	\$9.36	4.19	17	80.0%	8.00	32	7.16	9
009	Amherst	50.0%	6.38	98	\$8.39	2.19	73	59.1%	5.91	99	4.83	103
011	Appomattox	66.7%	8.51	27	\$7.85	1.07	109	66.7%	6.67	74	5.42	83
013	Arlington	58.0%	7.40	76	\$10.74	7.05	6	66.7%	6.67	75	7.04	12
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	67.1%	8.57	26	\$8.84	3.12	42	61.8%	6.18	90	5.96	51
017	Bath	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
019	Bedford County/City	62.9%	8.03	50	\$8.87	3.18	41	65.9%	6.59	80	5.94	54
021	Bland	55.6%	7.09	81	\$7.53	0.41	116	100.0%	10.00	1	5.83	62
023	Botetourt	39.1%	4.99	112	\$8.32	2.05	84	62.5%	6.25	88	4.43	110
025	Brunswick	52.9%	6.75	91	\$8.33	2.07	82	66.7%	6.67	76	5.16	96
027	Buchanan	47.3%	6.03	104	\$8.08	1.55	96	84.6%	8.46	16	5.35	89
029	Buckingham	64.7%	8.26	38	\$8.51	2.44	65	75.0%	7.50	44	6.07	48
031	Campbell	53.3%	6.81	89	\$8.42	2.25	70	71.7%	7.17	56	5.41	84
033	Caroline	64.5%	8.24	42	\$9.51	4.50	11	82.9%	8.29	22	7.01	14
035	Carroll	69.7%	8.90	18	\$7.68	0.72	112	73.9%	7.39	48	5.67	67
036	Charles City	46.2%	5.89	106	\$8.42	2.25	71	60.0%	6.00	96	4.71	105
037	Charlotte	42.3%	5.40	111	\$7.99	1.36	104	69.2%	6.92	66	4.56	109
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	64.5%	8.24	41	\$9.32	4.11	18	79.6%	7.96	34	6.77	18
043	Clarke	0.0%	0.00	118	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.00	118
045	Craig	20.0%	2.55	115	\$9.00	3.45	29	100.0%	10.00	2	5.33	91
047	Culpeper	62.5%	7.98	52	\$9.37	4.21	15	74.3%	7.43	46	6.54	27
049	Cumberland	64.0%	8.17	44	\$8.98	3.41	33	81.3%	8.13	27	6.57	24
051	Dickensen	42.9%	5.47	110	\$7.95	1.28	105	71.4%	7.14	57	4.63	108
053	Dinwiddie	64.1%	8.18	43	\$8.48	2.38	68	70.0%	7.00	61	5.85	61
057	Essex	73.3%	9.36	8	\$8.25	1.90	89	54.2%	5.42	106	5.56	69
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	55.4%	7.07	83	\$11.50	8.62	2	78.3%	7.83	37	7.84	4
061	Fauquier	63.1%	8.05	49	\$9.03	3.51	27	60.0%	6.00	97	5.85	60
063	Floyd	60.9%	7.77	61	\$8.34	2.09	78	90.9%	9.09	9	6.32	34
065	Fluvanna	50.0%	6.38	99	\$8.00	1.38	102	100.0%	10.00	3	5.92	55
067	Franklin County	46.0%	5.87	108	\$8.81	3.06	46	75.0%	7.50	45	5.48	76
069	Frederick	53.0%	6.77	90	\$9.38	4.24	13	55.0%	5.50	104	5.50	73
071	Giles	15.0%	1.91	116	\$12.17	10.00	1	100.0%	10.00	4	7.30	7
073	Gloucester	59.0%	7.53	70	\$7.63	0.62	114	76.5%	7.65	40	5.26	93
075	Goochland	56.3%	7.18	80	\$9.84	5.19	9	83.3%	8.33	21	6.90	16
077	Grayson	63.6%	8.12	47	\$7.83	1.03	110	100.0%	10.00	5	6.39	30

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	74.5%	9.51	4	\$8.43	2.27	69	80.8%	8.08	29	6.62	22
081	Greensville/Emporia	58.8%	7.51	72	\$8.29	1.98	86	79.3%	7.93	35	5.81	63
083	Halifax	52.5%	6.70	94	\$9.01	3.47	28	70.0%	7.00	62	5.72	66
085	Hanover	68.8%	8.79	20	\$9.98	5.48	8	89.3%	8.93	11	7.73	5
087	Henrico	62.4%	7.97	54	\$9.23	3.93	19	70.6%	7.06	59	6.32	33
089	Henry/Martinsville	63.3%	8.07	48	\$8.34	2.09	79	68.3%	6.83	68	5.66	68
091	Highland	0.0%	0.00	119	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.00	119
093	Isle of Wight	66.2%	8.45	34	\$8.31	2.02	85	58.3%	5.83	100	5.44	80
095	James City	68.7%	8.76	21	\$8.93	3.31	38	57.6%	5.76	101	5.94	53
097	King and Queen	55.6%	7.09	82	\$7.63	0.62	115	50.0%	5.00	108	4.24	112
099	King George	52.4%	6.69	95	\$9.09	3.64	24	61.5%	6.15	91	5.49	75
101	King William	66.7%	8.51	28	\$9.04	3.53	26	66.7%	6.67	77	6.24	39
103	Lancaster	50.0%	6.38	100	\$7.65	0.66	113	81.8%	8.18	24	5.08	98
105	Lee	49.8%	6.35	101	\$8.03	1.45	100	83.8%	8.38	19	5.39	86
107	Loudoun	64.6%	8.24	40	\$11.39	8.39	3	82.8%	8.28	23	8.30	2
109	Louisa	67.2%	8.58	24	\$8.68	2.79	55	84.2%	8.42	18	6.60	23
111	Lunenburg	43.5%	5.55	109	\$9.45	4.38	12	85.7%	8.57	13	6.17	44
113	Madison	66.7%	8.51	29	\$8.94	3.33	37	100.0%	10.00	6	7.28	8
115	Mathews	72.7%	9.28	10	\$8.38	2.17	75	0.0%	0.00	115	3.82	115
117	Mecklenburg	58.3%	7.45	74	\$8.00	1.38	103	60.7%	6.07	95	4.97	100
119	Middlesex	74.1%	9.46	5	\$8.34	2.09	80	77.8%	7.78	39	6.44	29
121	Montgomery	69.1%	8.83	19	\$8.67	2.77	56	70.9%	7.09	58	6.23	40
125	Nelson	70.7%	9.03	15	\$8.64	2.71	58	73.3%	7.33	51	6.36	32
127	New Kent	71.4%	9.12	13	\$8.42	2.25	72	85.7%	8.57	14	6.65	21
131	Northampton	74.1%	9.46	6	\$8.39	2.19	74	89.5%	8.95	10	6.86	17
133	Northumberland	47.1%	6.01	105	\$8.27	1.94	87	50.0%	5.00	109	4.32	111
135	Nottoway	71.1%	9.07	14	\$8.56	2.54	64	67.9%	6.79	70	6.13	45
137	Orange	70.3%	8.97	16	\$9.37	4.21	16	78.6%	7.86	36	7.01	13
139	Page	60.0%	7.66	65	\$8.62	2.67	61	55.6%	5.56	103	5.29	92
141	Patrick	67.9%	8.67	23	\$8.22	1.84	90	61.1%	6.11	93	5.54	70
143	Pittsylvania	56.4%	7.19	79	\$8.38	2.17	76	70.6%	7.06	60	5.47	77
145	Powhatan	54.5%	6.96	84	\$8.64	2.71	59	100.0%	10.00	7	6.56	26
147	Prince Edward	71.6%	9.14	12	\$8.10	1.59	94	80.9%	8.09	28	6.27	36
149	Prince George	52.9%	6.75	92	\$8.92	3.29	39	56.0%	5.60	102	5.21	94
153	Prince William	61.5%	7.85	59	\$10.52	6.59	7	79.7%	7.97	33	7.47	6
155	Pulaski	60.9%	7.77	62	\$8.73	2.89	51	46.9%	4.69	112	5.12	97
157	Rappahannock	14.3%	1.82	117	\$9.00	3.45	30	N/A	N/A	N/A	2.64	116
159	Richmond County	53.8%	6.87	87	\$8.80	3.04	47	66.7%	6.67	78	5.53	71
161	Roanoke County	60.0%	7.66	66	\$8.33	2.07	83	65.4%	6.54	81	5.42	82
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	59.0%	7.53	71	\$8.75	2.93	50	92.3%	9.23	8	6.56	25
165	Rockingham/H'burg	78.3%	10.00	1	\$9.16	3.78	21	73.7%	7.37	49	7.05	11
167	Russell	35.1%	4.48	113	\$7.86	1.10	108	61.1%	6.11	94	3.90	114
169	Scott	48.2%	6.15	103	\$8.08	1.55	97	69.6%	6.96	65	4.89	102
171	Shenandoah	65.7%	8.39	35	\$8.99	3.43	31	70.0%	7.00	63	6.27	35

# VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	53.4%	6.82	<b>88</b>	\$7.74	0.85	<b>111</b>	63.6%	6.36	<b>85</b>	4.68	<b>106</b>
175	<i>Southampton</i>	63.9%	8.16	<b>46</b>	\$8.34	2.09	<b>81</b>	76.2%	7.62	<b>42</b>	5.96	<b>52</b>
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	58.4%	7.45	<b>73</b>	\$8.99	3.43	<b>32</b>	74.3%	7.43	<b>47</b>	6.10	<b>47</b>
179	<i>Stafford</i>	62.2%	7.94	<b>56</b>	\$9.64	4.77	<b>10</b>	73.5%	7.35	<b>50</b>	6.69	<b>19</b>
181	<i>Surry</i>	60.0%	7.66	<b>67</b>	\$8.97	3.39	<b>34</b>	54.6%	5.46	<b>105</b>	5.50	<b>74</b>
183	<i>Sussex</i>	62.7%	8.00	<b>51</b>	\$9.23	3.93	<b>20</b>	68.0%	6.80	<b>69</b>	6.24	<b>37</b>
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	52.6%	6.71	<b>93</b>	\$7.91	1.20	<b>107</b>	64.7%	6.47	<b>82</b>	4.79	<b>104</b>
187	<i>Warren</i>	76.5%	9.77	<b>3</b>	\$7.94	1.26	<b>106</b>	83.8%	8.38	<b>20</b>	6.47	<b>28</b>
191	<i>Washington</i>	61.3%	7.82	<b>60</b>	\$8.11	1.61	<b>93</b>	67.4%	6.74	<b>73</b>	5.39	<b>87</b>
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	66.2%	8.45	<b>33</b>	\$8.83	3.10	<b>43</b>	46.4%	4.64	<b>113</b>	5.40	<b>85</b>
195	<i>Wise</i>	57.9%	7.39	<b>77</b>	\$8.02	1.43	<b>101</b>	59.3%	5.93	<b>98</b>	4.91	<b>101</b>
197	<i>Wythe</i>	78.3%	9.99	<b>2</b>	\$8.04	1.47	<b>99</b>	72.0%	7.20	<b>53</b>	6.22	<b>42</b>
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	51.3%	6.54	<b>97</b>	\$8.78	3.00	<b>48</b>	80.7%	8.07	<b>30</b>	5.87	<b>58</b>
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	56.5%	7.21	<b>78</b>	\$11.14	7.87	<b>4</b>	85.0%	8.50	<b>15</b>	7.86	<b>3</b>
520	<i>Bristol</i>	59.0%	7.53	<b>69</b>	\$8.14	1.67	<b>91</b>	63.3%	6.33	<b>86</b>	5.18	<b>95</b>
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	73.7%	9.41	<b>7</b>	\$9.14	3.74	<b>22</b>	76.1%	7.61	<b>43</b>	6.92	<b>15</b>
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	66.5%	8.49	<b>32</b>	\$8.73	2.89	<b>52</b>	73.3%	7.33	<b>52</b>	6.24	<b>38</b>
590	<i>Danville</i>	46.1%	5.88	<b>107</b>	\$8.14	1.67	<b>92</b>	64.7%	6.47	<b>83</b>	4.68	<b>107</b>
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	49.2%	6.28	<b>102</b>	\$8.61	2.64	<b>62</b>	29.4%	2.94	<b>114</b>	3.96	<b>113</b>
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	64.7%	8.26	<b>39</b>	\$9.08	3.62	<b>25</b>	81.5%	8.15	<b>26</b>	6.67	<b>20</b>
640	<i>Galax</i>	65.2%	8.32	<b>37</b>	\$8.07	1.53	<b>98</b>	66.7%	6.67	<b>79</b>	5.51	<b>72</b>
650	<i>Hampton</i>	60.6%	7.73	<b>63</b>	\$8.73	2.89	<b>53</b>	80.4%	8.04	<b>31</b>	6.22	<b>41</b>
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	64.0%	8.17	<b>45</b>	\$8.95	3.35	<b>36</b>	61.5%	6.15	<b>92</b>	5.89	<b>56</b>
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	66.6%	8.50	<b>31</b>	\$8.27	1.94	<b>88</b>	71.8%	7.18	<b>54</b>	5.87	<b>57</b>
683	<i>Manassas</i>	68.5%	8.74	<b>22</b>	\$11.00	7.58	<b>5</b>	88.5%	8.85	<b>12</b>	8.39	<b>1</b>
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	25.0%	3.19	<b>114</b>	\$7.33	0.00	<b>117</b>	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.60	<b>117</b>
700	<i>Newport News</i>	61.7%	7.88	<b>58</b>	\$8.70	2.83	<b>54</b>	76.4%	7.64	<b>41</b>	6.12	<b>46</b>
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	67.2%	8.57	<b>25</b>	\$8.65	2.73	<b>57</b>	78.0%	7.80	<b>38</b>	6.37	<b>31</b>
720	<i>Norton</i>	62.5%	7.98	<b>53</b>	\$8.09	1.57	<b>95</b>	84.6%	8.46	<b>17</b>	6.00	<b>50</b>
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	62.4%	7.96	<b>55</b>	\$8.90	3.24	<b>40</b>	68.9%	6.89	<b>67</b>	6.03	<b>49</b>
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	58.1%	7.41	<b>75</b>	\$8.49	2.40	<b>66</b>	64.7%	6.47	<b>84</b>	5.42	<b>81</b>
750	<i>Radford</i>	71.9%	9.18	<b>11</b>	\$8.49	2.40	<b>67</b>	47.8%	4.78	<b>111</b>	5.45	<b>79</b>
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	65.7%	8.39	<b>36</b>	\$8.76	2.95	<b>49</b>	71.7%	7.17	<b>55</b>	6.17	<b>43</b>
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	54.4%	6.94	<b>85</b>	\$8.63	2.69	<b>60</b>	67.8%	6.78	<b>72</b>	5.47	<b>78</b>
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	61.9%	7.91	<b>57</b>	\$8.60	2.62	<b>63</b>	67.9%	6.79	<b>71</b>	5.77	<b>64</b>
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	59.1%	7.55	<b>68</b>	\$8.82	3.08	<b>44</b>	69.6%	6.96	<b>64</b>	5.86	<b>59</b>
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	66.7%	8.51	<b>30</b>	\$9.13	3.72	<b>23</b>	50.0%	5.00	<b>110</b>	5.74	<b>65</b>
840	<i>Winchester</i>	54.3%	6.93	<b>86</b>	\$8.82	3.08	<b>45</b>	52.0%	5.20	<b>107</b>	5.07	<b>99</b>

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores is done to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception of VIEW

*This section lists the relative cumulative rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Monthly Earnings, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.*

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
001	Accomack	51.3%	1.90	117	\$907	3.63	80	65.2%	5.37	49	3.63	102
003	Albemarle	71.7%	7.30	11	\$1,030	5.97	19	58.2%	3.57	97	5.61	30
005	Alleghany/Covington	69.5%	6.73	30	\$864	2.80	102	58.4%	3.62	93	4.38	80
007	Amelia	61.7%	4.64	82	\$982	5.05	43	61.4%	4.40	71	4.70	68
009	Amherst	65.4%	5.64	57	\$918	3.83	74	59.6%	3.94	79	4.47	76
011	Appomattox	70.8%	7.06	16	\$898	3.44	85	55.3%	2.84	106	4.45	77
013	Arlington	71.0%	7.11	15	\$1,083	6.98	8	68.8%	6.29	24	6.79	6
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	67.3%	6.14	44	\$984	5.09	42	65.8%	5.51	44	5.58	32
017	Bath	60.4%	4.30	86	\$717	0.00	120	61.3%	4.37	73	2.89	115
019	Bedford County/City	65.1%	5.56	62	\$889	3.27	89	60.2%	4.08	76	4.30	84
021	Bland	58.6%	3.83	100	\$900	3.50	83	56.8%	3.22	104	3.51	105
023	Botetourt	44.2%	0.00	120	\$974	4.90	46	54.6%	2.65	110	2.52	119
025	Brunswick	58.4%	3.79	103	\$859	2.71	106	66.0%	5.57	42	4.02	93
027	Buchanan	53.6%	2.49	113	\$860	2.72	105	64.9%	5.28	50	3.50	106
029	Buckingham	58.5%	3.79	102	\$988	5.18	37	59.2%	3.82	84	4.26	85
031	Campbell	68.4%	6.43	35	\$920	3.87	72	62.6%	4.71	61	5.00	58
033	Caroline	62.3%	4.80	80	\$994	5.28	34	59.5%	3.90	81	4.66	69
035	Carroll	67.5%	6.18	42	\$851	2.56	112	54.8%	2.71	108	3.82	98
036	Charles City	58.0%	3.66	106	\$986	5.13	39	51.6%	1.88	114	3.56	104
037	Charlotte	52.2%	2.13	116	\$941	4.28	62	58.5%	3.67	92	3.36	108
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	63.6%	5.17	72	\$1,059	6.52	11	68.7%	6.27	27	5.99	19
043	Clarke	57.7%	3.60	107	\$1,030	5.97	20	57.5%	3.41	99	4.33	82
045	Craig	45.6%	0.38	119	\$1,026	5.89	21	60.0%	4.04	78	3.44	107
047	Culpeper	65.2%	5.58	61	\$998	5.35	31	66.3%	5.65	38	5.53	35
049	Cumberland	58.8%	3.89	97	\$985	5.12	40	58.7%	3.70	89	4.24	88
051	Dickenson	59.8%	4.14	90	\$853	2.59	110	54.7%	2.68	109	3.14	111
053	Dinwiddie	65.9%	5.75	54	\$1,002	5.44	30	58.3%	3.61	94	4.93	60
057	Essex	67.9%	6.29	40	\$902	3.52	82	49.4%	1.32	117	3.71	101
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	66.7%	5.97	50	\$1,198	9.18	3	69.9%	6.57	18	7.24	4
061	Fauquier	69.6%	6.74	28	\$1,055	6.44	12	67.9%	6.04	30	6.41	10
063	Floyd	64.6%	5.43	66	\$965	4.72	53	54.4%	2.61	111	4.25	87
065	Fluvanna	63.2%	5.04	74	\$1,063	6.60	10	63.4%	4.91	59	5.52	37
067	Franklin County	61.4%	4.57	85	\$970	4.82	49	58.2%	3.58	95	4.33	83
069	Frederick	58.5%	3.81	101	\$1,042	6.19	14	60.4%	4.14	74	4.72	67
071	Giles	55.8%	3.08	109	\$966	4.74	52	51.8%	1.95	113	3.26	109
073	Gloucester	64.1%	5.29	70	\$856	2.65	108	57.3%	3.36	101	3.77	100
075	Goochland	65.3%	5.59	60	\$950	4.45	60	63.9%	5.03	53	5.02	57
077	Grayson	64.5%	5.40	67	\$868	2.88	98	60.3%	4.12	75	4.13	92

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	75.9%	8.43	3	\$925	3.97	70	58.6%	3.69	90	5.36	41
081	Greensville/Emporia	61.6%	4.62	83	\$870	2.92	97	64.7%	5.23	51	4.26	86
083	Halifax	55.6%	3.05	111	\$873	2.97	96	58.8%	3.74	86	3.25	110
085	Hanover	65.3%	5.62	58	\$1,076	6.85	9	66.6%	5.72	36	6.06	17
087	Henrico	68.2%	6.38	39	\$1,037	6.10	16	65.7%	5.49	45	5.99	18
089	Henry/Martinsville	64.5%	5.40	69	\$953	4.50	59	63.7%	4.97	56	4.96	59
091	Highland	60.0%	4.20	87	\$784	1.27	118	83.3%	10.00	1	5.16	48
093	Isle of Wight	67.3%	6.13	46	\$927	4.00	69	58.7%	3.71	87	4.61	71
095	James City	69.2%	6.65	32	\$943	4.32	61	57.1%	3.30	103	4.76	65
097	King and Queen	53.5%	2.49	114	\$875	3.01	94	44.3%	0.04	119	1.84	120
099	King George	59.3%	4.01	93	\$955	4.54	58	57.3%	3.35	102	3.97	95
101	King William	59.9%	4.17	88	\$1,016	5.71	24	59.6%	3.93	80	4.60	72
103	Lancaster	67.3%	6.14	43	\$873	2.97	95	50.7%	1.66	115	3.59	103
105	Lee	65.5%	5.66	56	\$821	1.99	117	72.0%	7.11	9	4.92	61
107	Loudoun	75.3%	8.25	4	\$1,241	10.00	1	72.0%	7.11	8	8.45	1
109	Louisa	63.4%	5.10	73	\$995	5.30	33	63.7%	4.99	55	5.13	51
111	Lunenburg	50.1%	1.59	118	\$866	2.84	99	59.3%	3.87	82	2.76	117
113	Madison	59.2%	3.98	95	\$1,009	5.56	27	58.9%	3.75	85	4.43	79
115	Mathews	67.1%	6.08	48	\$914	3.76	76	70.5%	6.72	13	5.52	36
117	Mecklenburg	65.6%	5.69	55	\$866	2.84	100	65.5%	5.45	47	4.66	70
119	Middlesex	69.8%	6.80	27	\$918	3.84	73	72.1%	7.13	7	5.92	22
121	Montgomery	77.5%	8.85	2	\$967	4.77	50	63.1%	4.83	60	6.15	15
125	Nelson	62.6%	4.90	77	\$1,008	5.55	28	65.4%	5.43	48	5.29	46
127	New Kent	58.8%	3.87	99	\$1,043	6.21	13	71.9%	7.08	10	5.72	27
131	Northampton	65.9%	5.77	53	\$862	2.76	104	80.0%	9.16	2	5.90	23
133	Northumberland	55.7%	3.07	110	\$875	3.01	93	50.0%	1.48	116	2.52	118
135	Nottoway	67.3%	6.13	47	\$933	4.12	66	69.2%	6.40	20	5.55	34
137	Orange	71.8%	7.33	10	\$993	5.27	35	61.7%	4.46	69	5.69	28
139	Page	69.5%	6.73	29	\$1,005	5.50	29	59.2%	3.84	83	5.36	42
141	Patrick	67.3%	6.14	45	\$847	2.47	114	73.8%	7.56	6	5.39	40
143	Pittsylvania	52.9%	2.31	115	\$887	3.24	91	58.7%	3.70	88	3.09	112
145	Powhatan	56.1%	3.18	108	\$1,010	5.59	26	61.9%	4.53	66	4.43	78
147	Prince Edward	71.0%	7.12	14	\$910	3.68	77	69.5%	6.46	19	5.75	25
149	Prince George	59.5%	4.08	92	\$1,031	5.99	17	58.2%	3.57	96	4.55	73
153	Prince William	71.4%	7.22	13	\$1,212	9.43	2	70.3%	6.67	14	7.78	2
155	Pulaski	63.1%	5.02	75	\$961	4.65	55	53.0%	2.25	112	3.97	94
157	Rappahannock	55.4%	2.99	112	\$977	4.96	44	48.9%	1.20	118	3.05	114
159	Richmond County	59.1%	3.97	96	\$962	4.68	54	44.2%	0.00	120	2.88	116
161	Roanoke County	73.4%	7.74	8	\$1,040	6.16	15	57.8%	3.48	98	5.79	24
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	70.4%	6.96	19	\$884	3.18	92	68.4%	6.18	28	5.44	38
165	Rockingham/H'burg	74.0%	7.92	5	\$1,019	5.76	23	67.7%	6.01	33	6.57	9
167	Russell	59.3%	4.01	94	\$866	2.83	101	66.2%	5.62	40	4.15	90
169	Scott	58.4%	3.79	104	\$898	3.45	84	75.3%	7.96	5	5.07	56
171	Shenandoah	59.8%	4.16	89	\$974	4.90	47	68.8%	6.27	26	5.11	52

# VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Employed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Monthly Earnings	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	<i>Smyth</i>	58.8%	3.87	<b>98</b>	\$888	3.27	<b>90</b>	61.7%	4.47	<b>68</b>	3.87	<b>97</b>
175	<i>Southampton</i>	58.3%	3.75	<b>105</b>	\$859	2.71	<b>107</b>	55.0%	2.76	<b>107</b>	3.07	<b>113</b>
177	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	67.7%	6.24	<b>41</b>	\$1,030	5.98	<b>18</b>	65.9%	5.55	<b>43</b>	5.92	<b>21</b>
179	<i>Stafford</i>	59.8%	4.14	<b>91</b>	\$1,148	8.22	<b>4</b>	68.0%	6.08	<b>29</b>	6.15	<b>16</b>
181	<i>Surry</i>	70.1%	6.88	<b>24</b>	\$910	3.68	<b>78</b>	55.4%	2.87	<b>105</b>	4.47	<b>75</b>
183	<i>Sussex</i>	65.3%	5.60	<b>59</b>	\$984	5.10	<b>41</b>	62.1%	4.58	<b>65</b>	5.09	<b>53</b>
185	<i>Tazewell</i>	66.2%	5.85	<b>52</b>	\$829	2.13	<b>115</b>	65.5%	5.45	<b>46</b>	4.48	<b>74</b>
187	<i>Warren</i>	69.3%	6.66	<b>31</b>	\$1,020	5.78	<b>22</b>	70.0%	6.60	<b>16</b>	6.35	<b>12</b>
191	<i>Washington</i>	61.9%	4.71	<b>81</b>	\$909	3.65	<b>79</b>	57.5%	3.41	<b>100</b>	3.92	<b>96</b>
193	<i>Westmoreland</i>	64.1%	5.28	<b>71</b>	\$941	4.27	<b>63</b>	63.7%	4.99	<b>54</b>	4.85	<b>63</b>
195	<i>Wise</i>	62.5%	4.86	<b>79</b>	\$852	2.57	<b>111</b>	66.1%	5.59	<b>41</b>	4.34	<b>81</b>
197	<i>Wythe</i>	67.0%	6.07	<b>49</b>	\$863	2.78	<b>103</b>	66.8%	5.77	<b>35</b>	4.87	<b>62</b>
199	<i>York/Poquoson</i>	61.4%	4.58	<b>84</b>	\$915	3.78	<b>75</b>	60.0%	4.05	<b>77</b>	4.14	<b>91</b>
510	<i>Alexandria</i>	68.4%	6.42	<b>36</b>	\$1,130	7.88	<b>6</b>	78.3%	8.71	<b>3</b>	7.67	<b>3</b>
520	<i>Bristol</i>	68.3%	6.39	<b>37</b>	\$889	3.28	<b>88</b>	61.8%	4.49	<b>67</b>	4.72	<b>66</b>
540	<i>Charlottesville</i>	81.9%	10.00	<b>1</b>	\$933	4.12	<b>67</b>	66.4%	5.67	<b>37</b>	6.60	<b>8</b>
550	<i>Chesapeake</i>	71.6%	7.27	<b>12</b>	\$958	4.59	<b>57</b>	63.5%	4.93	<b>58</b>	5.60	<b>31</b>
590	<i>Danville</i>	62.5%	4.86	<b>78</b>	\$825	2.07	<b>116</b>	61.4%	4.40	<b>70</b>	3.78	<b>99</b>
620	<i>Franklin City</i>	64.7%	5.45	<b>65</b>	\$853	2.60	<b>109</b>	62.3%	4.62	<b>64</b>	4.22	<b>89</b>
630	<i>Fredericksburg</i>	73.7%	7.84	<b>7</b>	\$1,010	5.60	<b>25</b>	66.2%	5.62	<b>39</b>	6.35	<b>11</b>
640	<i>Galax</i>	62.7%	4.91	<b>76</b>	\$891	3.32	<b>87</b>	68.8%	6.28	<b>25</b>	4.84	<b>64</b>
650	<i>Hampton</i>	70.0%	6.85	<b>25</b>	\$939	4.23	<b>64</b>	63.5%	4.94	<b>57</b>	5.34	<b>43</b>
670	<i>Hopewell</i>	68.8%	6.54	<b>34</b>	\$966	4.76	<b>51</b>	62.5%	4.67	<b>62</b>	5.32	<b>44</b>
680	<i>Lynchburg</i>	70.2%	6.91	<b>22</b>	\$849	2.51	<b>113</b>	67.8%	6.04	<b>31</b>	5.15	<b>49</b>
683	<i>Manassas</i>	64.9%	5.49	<b>63</b>	\$1,147	8.19	<b>5</b>	70.1%	6.63	<b>15</b>	6.77	<b>7</b>
685	<i>Manassas Park</i>	66.6%	5.95	<b>51</b>	\$1,108	7.46	<b>7</b>	76.6%	8.29	<b>4</b>	7.23	<b>5</b>
700	<i>Newport News</i>	69.9%	6.83	<b>26</b>	\$960	4.63	<b>56</b>	69.0%	6.34	<b>22</b>	5.93	<b>20</b>
710	<i>Norfolk</i>	70.1%	6.88	<b>23</b>	\$892	3.34	<b>86</b>	67.7%	6.01	<b>32</b>	5.41	<b>39</b>
720	<i>Norton</i>	73.8%	7.86	<b>6</b>	\$769	1.00	<b>119</b>	71.2%	6.90	<b>12</b>	5.26	<b>47</b>
730	<i>Petersburg</i>	70.4%	6.95	<b>20</b>	\$996	5.33	<b>32</b>	69.9%	6.58	<b>17</b>	6.28	<b>13</b>
740	<i>Portsmouth</i>	70.6%	7.01	<b>17</b>	\$921	3.90	<b>71</b>	68.9%	6.31	<b>23</b>	5.74	<b>26</b>
750	<i>Radford</i>	70.3%	6.92	<b>21</b>	\$933	4.11	<b>68</b>	61.3%	4.38	<b>72</b>	5.14	<b>50</b>
760	<i>Richmond City</i>	70.6%	7.00	<b>18</b>	\$974	4.90	<b>45</b>	71.4%	6.94	<b>11</b>	6.28	<b>14</b>
770	<i>Roanoke City</i>	64.5%	5.40	<b>68</b>	\$990	5.21	<b>36</b>	62.3%	4.63	<b>63</b>	5.08	<b>54</b>
800	<i>Suffolk</i>	72.4%	7.50	<b>9</b>	\$903	3.54	<b>81</b>	67.1%	5.85	<b>34</b>	5.63	<b>29</b>
810	<i>Virginia Beach</i>	64.8%	5.48	<b>64</b>	\$972	4.86	<b>48</b>	69.0%	6.34	<b>21</b>	5.56	<b>33</b>
830	<i>Williamsburg</i>	69.0%	6.60	<b>33</b>	\$934	4.14	<b>65</b>	64.3%	5.14	<b>52</b>	5.29	<b>45</b>
840	<i>Winchester</i>	68.2%	6.39	<b>38</b>	\$987	5.15	<b>38</b>	58.6%	3.69	<b>91</b>	5.07	<b>55</b>

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores was begun in May 1999 to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

## State Summary July 1, 2015 – May 31, 2016

	State	ED 1	ED 2	ED 3	ED 4	ED 5	ED 6	ED 7	ED 8	ED 9	ED 10	ED 11	ED 12	ED 13	ED 14	ED 15	ED 16	ED 17	ED 18
<b><u>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></u></b>																			
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	12,819	601	394	1,006	417	198	1,525	175	332	509	529	411	1,635	532	190	1,670	481	2,103	111
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	92%	96%	98%	96%	96%	94%	81%	94%	95%	96%	97%	98%	89%	89%	96%	96%	95%	90%	95%
% Timely in May 2016	92%	95%	100%	97%	95%	100%	78%	95%	81%	90%	98%	93%	84%	96%	87%	97%	94%	97%	90%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></u></b>																			
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	9,414	448	309	747	312	126	1,107	119	249	379	388	322	1,202	374	137	1,193	342	1,572	88
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	95%	98%	99%	96%	98%	95%	92%	87%	98%	94%	96%	98%	93%	95%	98%	94%	96%	94%	98%
Percent in May 2016	95%	98%	100%	96%	100%	94%	91%	100%	100%	96%	90%	100%	93%	98%	100%	93%	97%	96%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></u></b>																			
# Over 30 Days	127	4	0	1	1	0	64	0	9	1	0	4	33	1	1	4	1	3	0
Average # of Days Over 30	48	15	0	131	37	0	60	0	39	11	0	22	44	11	4	11	45	22	0

## EDD1 – Southwest Region: July 1, 2015 - May 31, 2016

	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
<b><u>Timely Enrollment<sup>11</sup></u></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	601	37	20	151	63	47	91	162	30
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	96%	92%	100%	99%	100%	79%	99%	97%	83%
% Timely in May 2016	95%	67%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	89%	67%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral<sup>12</sup></u></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	448	26	14	113	42	38	64	130	21
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	57%
Percent in May 2016	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	0%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment<sup>14</sup></u></b>									
# Over 30 Days	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	2
Average # of Days Over 30	15	11	0	0	0	0	0	5	22

## EDD2 - Bristol-Galax Region: July 1, 2015 - May 31, 2016

	<i>Total EDD2</i>	<i>Bland</i>	<i>Carroll</i>	<i>Grayson</i>	<i>Smyth</i>	<i>Wash.</i>	<i>Wythe</i>	<i>Bristol</i>	<i>Galax</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	394	5	51	8	79	72	26	140	13
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	98%	100%	100%	100%	99%	94%	96%	99%	100%
% Timely in May 2016	100%	N/A	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	309	4	36	8	68	58	22	104	9
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	99%	75%	100%	100%	99%	100%	100%	100%	89%
Percent in May 2016	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>									
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD3 - Roanoke Region: July 1, 2015 - May 31, 2016

	<i>Total EDD3</i>	<i>Alleghny/ Covington</i>	<i>Bote- tourt</i>	<i>Craig</i>	<i>Floyd</i>	<i>Franklin County</i>	<i>Giles</i>	<i>Mont- gomery</i>	<i>Pulaski</i>	<i>Roanoke County</i>	<i>Radford</i>	<i>Roanoke City</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>												
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	1,006	58	20	3	16	98	14	123	48	89	39	498
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	96%	98%	100%	67%	100%	100%	100%	98%	100%	98%	95%	94%
% Timely in May 2016	97%	100%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	94%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>												
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	747	44	16	2	14	76	8	90	41	65	29	362
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	96%	100%	100%	50%	100%	99%	100%	100%	100%	95%	90%	94%
Percent in May 2016	96%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	94%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>												
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	131	0	0	131	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: July 1, 2015 - May 31, 2016

	<i>Total EDD4</i>	<i>Aug/S'ton /W'boro</i>	<i>Bath</i>	<i>Highland</i>	<i>Rockbridge/ BV/Lex</i>	<i>Rockingham/ HBurg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	417	229	0	1	26	161
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	96%	98%	N/A	0%	88%	96%
% Timely in May 2016	95%	91%	N/A	N/A	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	312	173	0	0	21	118
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	98%	99%	N/A	N/A	86%	99%
Percent in May 2016	100%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	1	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	37	0	0	37	0	0

## EDD5 & EDD18 - Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: July 1, 2015 - May 31, 2016

	<i>Total EDD5</i>	<i>Clarke</i>	<i>Frederick</i>	<i>Page</i>	<i>Shen.</i>	<i>Warren</i>	<i>Winchester</i>	<i>Total EDD18</i>	<i>Accomack</i>	<i>Nhampton</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	198	1	50	23	23	46	55	111	84	27
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	94%	0%	94%	100%	96%	89%	96%	95%	98%	89%
% Timely in May 2016	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	90%	100%	67%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	126	1	34	15	14	36	26	88	65	23
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	95%	100%	91%	100%	100%	100%	88%	98%	98%	96%
Percent in May 2016	94%	N/A	75%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>										
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: July 1, 2015 - May 31, 2016

	<i>Total EDD6</i>	<i>Arlington</i>	<i>Fairfax</i>	<i>Loudoun</i>	<i>Prince William</i>	<i>Alexandria</i>	<i>Manassas</i>	<i>Manassas Park</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>								
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	1,525	96	568	147	435	234	40	5
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	81%	98%	94%	92%	47%	99%	78%	0%
% Timely in May 2016	78%	100%	96%	100%	33%	100%	0%	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>								
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	1,107	79	432	115	294	157	30	0
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	92%	100%	97%	93%	84%	89%	100%	N/A
Percent in May 2016	91%	100%	93%	100%	84%	85%	100%	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>								
# Over 30 Days	64	0	0	2	55	0	2	5
Average # of Days Over 30	60	0	0	33	58	0	9	111

## EDD7 - Culpeper Region: July 1, 2015 - May 31, 2016

	<i>Total EDD7</i>	<i>Culpeper</i>	<i>Fauquier</i>	<i>Madison</i>	<i>Orange</i>	<i>Rapp.</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	175	72	44	7	48	4
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	94%	100%	91%	100%	85%	100%
% Timely in May 2016	95%	100%	80%	N/A	100%	N/A
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	119	54	28	5	28	4
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	87%	93%	96%	100%	64%	100%
Percent in May 2016	100%	100%	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: July 1, 2015 - May 31, 2016

	<i>Total EDD8</i>	<i>Albemarle</i>	<i>Fluvanna</i>	<i>Greene</i>	<i>Louisa</i>	<i>Nelson</i>	<i>Cville</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	332	86	8	32	29	28	149
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	95%	99%	0%	94%	100%	89%	99%
% Timely in May 2016	81%	100%	0%	50%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	249	67	1	24	19	20	118
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	98%	100%	100%	92%	100%	100%	98%
Percent in May 2016	100%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	9	0	7	2	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	39	0	45	17	0	0	0

## EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: July 1, 2015 - May 31, 2016

	<i>Total EDD9</i>	<i>Amherst</i>	<i>Appom.</i>	<i>Bedford</i>	<i>Campbell</i>	<i>Lynchburg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	509	49	37	98	115	210
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	96%	94%	86%	94%	99%	97%
% Timely in May 2016	90%	100%	100%	70%	100%	91%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	379	32	30	70	89	158
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	94%	100%	100%	91%	94%	93%
Percent in May 2016	96%	100%	100%	100%	92%	95%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	1	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	11	0	0	11	0	0

## EDD10 - Danville Region: July 1, 2015 - May 31, 2016

	<i>Total EDD10</i>	<i>Halifax</i>	<i>Henry/ Martinsville</i>	<i>Patrick</i>	<i>Pittsylvania</i>	<i>Danville</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	529	62	179	57	86	145
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	97%	95%	94%	100%	100%	98%
% Timely in May 2016	98%	100%	94%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	388	51	126	48	61	102
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	96%	96%	95%	98%	98%	95%
Percent in May 2016	90%	100%	85%	91%	100%	91%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD11 – Southside Region: July 1, 2015 - May 31, 2016

	<i>Total EDD11</i>	<i>Amelia</i>	<i>Brunswick</i>	<i>Buckingham</i>	<i>Charlotte</i>	<i>Cumberland</i>	<i>Gr'nsville Emporia</i>	<i>Lunenburg</i>	<i>Mecklenburg</i>	<i>Nottoway</i>	<i>Pr. Edward</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>											
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	411	8	47	37	41	36	67	12	57	48	58
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	98%	100%	98%	100%	100%	94%	96%	75%	100%	98%	100%
% Timely in May 2016	93%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	67%	100%	50%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>											
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	322	6	35	31	32	23	51	8	49	37	50
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	98%	83%	100%	94%	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	97%	100%
Percent in May 2016	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>											
# Over 30 Days	4	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	22	0	0	0	0	3	66	10	0	0	0

## EDD12 - Richmond Region: July 1, 2015 - May 31, 2016

	<i>Total EDD12</i>	<i>Chesterfield/ Col Hgts</i>	<i>Goochland</i>	<i>Hanover</i>	<i>Henrico</i>	<i>Powhatan</i>	<i>Richmond City</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	1,635	300	9	45	646	15	620
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	89%	91%	89%	93%	90%	100%	87%
% Timely in May 2016	84%	96%	N/A	75%	88%	100%	75%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	1,202	235	8	34	458	12	455
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	93%	96%	88%	94%	90%	100%	93%
Percent in May 2016	93%	97%	N/A	100%	86%	100%	97%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	33	3	0	1	6	0	23
Average # of Days Over 30	44	81	0	24	17	0	47

## EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: July 1, 2015 - May 31, 2016

	<i>Total EDD13</i>	<i>Caroline</i>	<i>King George</i>	<i>Spotsylvania</i>	<i>Stafford</i>	<i>Fredericksburg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>						
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	532	60	30	156	166	120
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	89%	83%	100%	72%	98%	98%
% Timely in May 2016	96%	67%	100%	95%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	374	44	25	102	117	86
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	95%	98%	100%	83%	99%	99%
Percent in May 2016	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	92%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>						
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	1	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	11	0	0	11	0	0

## EDD14 – Northern Neck Region: July 1, 2015 - May 31, 2016

	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North-umberland	Richmond County	West-moreland
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>										
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	190	37	6	17	17	5	34	11	15	48
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	96%	97%	83%	94%	88%	100%	100%	100%	93%	98%
% Timely in May 2016	87%	50%	100%	N/A	50%	N/A	100%	100%	N/A	88%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	137	25	4	15	14	5	21	6	14	33
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	98%	100%	75%	100%	93%	100%	95%	100%	100%	100%
Percent in May 2016	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>										
# Over 30 Days	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## EDD15 - Peninsula Region: July 1, 2015 - May 31, 2016

	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	1,670	7	45	81	16	68	510	922	21
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	96%	100%	93%	94%	100%	93%	97%	96%	95%
% Timely in May 2016	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	98%	96%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	1,193	5	34	55	10	52	367	660	10
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	94%	100%	97%	95%	90%	90%	92%	96%	100%
Percent in May 2016	93%	N/A	100%	83%	N/A	100%	96%	92%	100%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>									
# Over 30 Days	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0
Average # of Days Over 30	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	0

## EDD16 - Petersburg Region: July 1, 2015 - May 31, 2016

	<i>Total EDD16</i>	<i>Dinwiddie</i>	<i>Prince George</i>	<i>Surry</i>	<i>Sussex</i>	<i>Hopewell</i>	<i>Petersburg</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>							
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	481	18	43	18	37	156	209
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	95%	94%	98%	94%	97%	93%	96%
% Timely in May 2016	94%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	94%	91%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	342	12	37	12	31	109	141
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	96%	100%	100%	92%	97%	98%	93%
Percent in May 2016	97%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	94%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>							
# Over 30 Days	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	45	0	45	0	0	0	0

## EDD17 - Tidewater Region: July 1, 2015 - May 31, 2016

	<i>Total EDD17</i>	<i>Isle of Wight</i>	<i>South- hampton</i>	<i>Chesapeake</i>	<i>Franklin City</i>	<i>Norfolk</i>	<i>Portsmouth</i>	<i>Suffolk</i>	<i>VA Beach</i>
<b><u>Timely Enrollment</u><sup>11</sup></b>									
Total Due Since July 1, 2015	2,103	45	38	356	50	675	439	165	335
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2015	90%	84%	100%	86%	98%	84%	93%	97%	96%
% Timely in May 2016	97%	86%	N/A	93%	100%	95%	100%	100%	100%
<b><u>Work Activity 95 Days after Referral</u><sup>12</sup></b>									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2015	1,572	28	33	280	39	509	325	123	235
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2015	94%	93%	97%	95%	100%	90%	97%	98%	95%
Percent in May 2016	96%	100%	100%	96%	100%	95%	97%	100%	96%
<b><u>Status of Waiting List for Enrollment</u><sup>14</sup></b>									
# Over 30 Days	3	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	22	9	0	20	0	38	0	0	0

## *Child Support Enforcement - Statewide Totals*

*Collections*  
**Omitted**

*Paternities Established*  
**Omitted**

# *Diversionsary Assistance*

## *May Diversionsary Assistance Cases*

<b>Locality</b>	<b>Number of Cases</b>		<b>Locality</b>	<b>Number of Cases</b>
Albemarle	2		Pittsylvania	1
Alleghany / Covington	1		Roanoke County	1
Appomattox	1		Shenandoah	3
Augusta / Staunt'n / W'boro	3		Stafford	1
Campbell	1		Bristol	1
Chesterfield/Colonial Hgts	3		Charlottesville	3
Culpeper	1		Chesapeake	2
Fairfax / Falls Church	2		Fredericksburg	1
Floyd	1		Hampton	7
Greene	7		Hopewell	4
Hanover	3		Newport News	19
Henrico	1		Norfolk	2
Loudoun	1		Portsmouth	2
Lunenburg	1		Roanoke City	7
Mecklenburg	1		Suffolk	5
Montgomery	2		Virginia Beach	1
Nelson	1		Statewide	92

## *Definitions of Selected Terms Contained in Report*

**Average Monthly Earnings.** This is the average monthly earnings of the unsubsidized jobs that participants obtained. The value is calculated by multiplying the hourly wage by the weekly hours worked by 4.3 average weeks per month. For the cumulative figures the initial wage and initial hours are used. For the current figures, the initial wage and most current hours are used, except for the November 2001 report which used initial hours. Earlier than June 1999, wage changes that were entered overwrote the initial wage, so prior to that date, the cumulative figures used the initial hours and the last wage entered. With the advent of the VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) program in October 2006 the end of month average monthly earnings might be expected to decline somewhat because prior to VTP, cases with high earnings might remain open for job follow-up, but after the implementation of VTP the VIEW case would be closed when the TANF case was closed and a VTP case opened. VTP cases are not included in this report except for estimating the participation rate.

**Average Wage.** The average of the wages reported for each occurrence of unsubsidized employment. After June 1999 the wage is the wage at hiring, that is, the initial wage. Prior to June 1999, that wage may have been updated if changed during employment. After the implementation of the VIEW Transitional Payment program the end of month point in time average wage might be expected to decline some for the same reason mentioned in the explanation of possible decline in Average Monthly earnings, above. See note 6 on page 44.

**Cases Closed with Employment.** These are TANF or TANF-UP cases that are closed with an indication in the data base of employment at the date of closure. It does not mean that the case necessarily closed because of employment. For example, a TANF case may have an indication of closing at the client's request, along with indication of existing employment in VIEW. That case is counted as closed with employment.

**EDD.** This stands for Economic Development District. EDDs were developed during the administration of Governor Allen. They generally are no longer in use. This report continues to refer to them because VIEW was phased in by EDD and their use provides consistency over time.

**Enrolled.** Unduplicated number by locality of TANF recipients who were interviewed, received an individual assessment, signed the Agreement of Personal Responsibility, and enrolled in the VIEW program. Because the count is by locality, a recipient may be counted once in more than one locality. The fiscal year to date numbers include those who were enrolled at the beginning of the fiscal year as well as those enrolled during the year. There are clients enrolled in VIEW who have had their TANF case closed because VIEW policy requires that the enrollment remain open for job follow-up after employment unless the employment meets federal work participation guidelines, in which case the VIEW case is closed and a VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) case is opened.

**Full Time Employment.** Employment at 30 hours a week or greater.

**Participants Assigned to Inactive.** Inactive is a status assigned to participants who cannot participate in the VIEW program for reasons other than being exempt. Examples are unavailability of child care, unavailability of transportation, and mental or medical problems that make participation impractical. Inactive participants are due to be reviewed each month. While in inactive status, the 24 month time limit for TANF benefits is suspended.

**Participants Employed.** Total number of participants by locality who obtained an unsubsidized job, either full time or part time. If employed more than one time, they are still only counted once, but may be counted in more than one locality. Also, these participants may have left their job and not gotten another one. For the year to date numbers, those employed at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first became employed in the fiscal year.

**Participants in a Work Activity.** Unduplicated count by locality of persons who have been in any of the following components: unsubsidized employment, full employment program (FEP), on-the-job training (OJT), community work experience program (CWEP), public service program (PSP), vocational education and training, and job search/job readiness. For example, a person who was in community work experience and then employed would be counted only once.

For the year to date numbers, those in a work activity at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first entered a work activity in the fiscal year. Note that this is a revised definition of work activity from reports through October 2006. The revised definition captures the activities that count toward the first 20 hours of federal participation requirements – the core work activities. Clients who are counted as being in a core work activity must meet additional participation rate requirements in order to be included in the work participation rate, and only VIEW clients are counted here, not all work eligible TANF clients **Thus, these percentages in a work activity are quite different from the percentages in the TANF work participation rate.**

**Part Time Employment.** Employment at fewer than 30 weekly hours.

**Referred.** Unduplicated number of TANF recipients by locality who were initially evaluated as eligible for VIEW and had their names referred to the automated VIEW list for participation.

**Relationship Between TANF and VIEW Participation.** On the chart at page 5a, the column heading “Total Adults in the Case” includes adults who are not considered to be part of the assistance unit. For example, a mother receiving SSI may be in the case, but because she receives SSI is not considered eligible for TANF and thus is not part of the assistance unit. Persons such as she who are not in the assistance unit are not eligible to participate in VIEW. Also included are adults who are part of the assistance unit but exempt from VIEW

**Five Months Employment.** In earlier reports, this represented the percent of all participants that were employed 150 days after their first employment. Participants who were employed in any job 150 days after their first employment were counted in this measure.. This measure became unavailable after July 1, 2011. See note 9 on page 44.

**Three Months Employment.** This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 90 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 90 days after their first employment are counted in this measure. For year to date percents, the calculations are based on the first employment that began one year before the end of the report month. That employment may not have been the first ever for the participant. The year to date percents were calculated differently in reports before September 2004. Also for year to date percents, transferred cases were not counted for months after the January 2002 report.

**TANF / TANF-UP Payment Cases.** Up to November 2003 these counts were taken from a monthly report with no adjustment. After that, the monthly counts included some cases that were being paid because child support payments had been received and were being passed on. These counts were adjusted to mostly eliminate those additional cases. Further adjustment may be appropriate. It should also be noted that the counts include both strictly TANF cases and MOE cases for some years. With the end of waiver provisions in July 2003, a substantial part of the caseload was placed in a state program and funded with MOE funds. This change in July 2003 was a change in funding, not a change in eligibility requirements or case payment amounts. It ended in September 2006 when VIEW transitional payments (VTP) were introduced. Adjustments have been made to take out VTP cases. Also, TANF-UP cases are currently funded with only state monies.

**VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP).** Beginning in October 2006, VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) were introduced. These are payments of \$50 per client per month for one year to VIEW clients that leave “regular” TANF with employment sufficient to meet Federal work participation requirements. The employment must be maintained to be eligible for VTP. Previously, VTP clients normally would have remained VIEW clients for five or six months of job-follow-up. Thus, the VTP program has had a diminishing effect on some of the statistics in this report such as end of month percent employed and average wage. See graph on page 5a.

**Time That Data Represent.** The data in the top sections of page 4 and pages 8 – 24 are point in time data as of the date indicated. The year to date section represents state fiscal year to date data, with the exception of three month retention (see above). The bottom section is cumulative from when the locality began VIEW.

**General Statement About Accuracy.** The report is based on an extract from the Employment Services automated system. The extract is normally made the night of the first work day after the end of the report month. Data that staff of local social services agencies enter into the automated system after the time of the extract are not included in the report, even though the activity reflected actually occurred in the report month. However, that data, and the activity reflected, are included in the reports for subsequent months. Further, because the data are entered by staff in the 120 localities, the accuracy of the data in the data base is, of course, subject to inadvertent error.

<sup>1</sup> Applications are not necessarily acted upon in the month that they are received. Also, applications may be transferred from regular TANF to TANF-UP and vice versa as they are processed. November applications are being reviewed for accuracy.

<sup>2</sup> These TANF cases paid counts are prior to possible adjustment. See definition of payment cases. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes.

<sup>3</sup> VIEW enrolled counts do not include VTP clients

<sup>4</sup> A case may be open in more than one locality during the time period.

<sup>5</sup> Prior to June 1999, when individuals were transferred from one locality to another, their history was transferred as well. This may have an effect on a locality's cumulative VIEW statistics.

<sup>6</sup> The minimum wage rose from \$6.55 to \$7.25 an hour on 7/24/09. The report reflects initial wages so some effect on wages in the report was delayed. The average wage includes full and part time, unless otherwise indicated. See the definition of average wage on page 42.

<sup>7</sup> Includes full and part time earnings.

<sup>8</sup> This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed three months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed three months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous. See definition on page 43 for the revised method of calculating the year to date percentages.

<sup>9</sup> Until the July 2011 report, this was employed after five months. However, on July 1, 2011 the number of required job follow-ups was reduced to three. Thus, data for five month job retention became unavailable.

<sup>10</sup> Number includes TANF-UP and represents cases carried over to the next month. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes, but with the advent of VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) such occurrences were reduced from earlier months.

<sup>11</sup> Enrollment is timely if done within 30 days of referral in previous month of the state fiscal year. The monthly percent includes evaluation of clients waiting in the queue. The year to date does not.

<sup>12</sup> Placement in a work activity on the 95th day after referral was a measure of timeliness before Oct 1, 2006. The revised definition of work activity has increased the percentage from reports before November 2006. Clients whose cases have closed before the 95<sup>th</sup> day are excluded, so 100% is an attainable mark.


<sup>14</sup> As of the morning of June 1, 2016. Some referrals to the waiting list are not appropriate; there may be considerable lag time in correcting them.

This report is available on the Department of Social Services web site at:  
[http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial\\_assistance/tanf.cgi](http://www.dss.virginia.gov/geninfo/reports/financial_assistance/tanf.cgi)

The scores in the VIEW Rankings are determined by placing a locality on a scale of zero to ten in the same relative position as its place in the difference between the high and low of the measure in question. This converts all measures to a similar scale and provides a more equitable way to combine the ratings in each measure to determine a combined rank. (Questions, please call 804 726-7618.)

**Comments on the format or content of the report and requests for Excel files for portions of current and past reports may be sent by e-mail to: [william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov](mailto:william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov).**

## TANF and TANF-UP Cases Paid at Six Month Intervals, except last month June 1995 through May 2016




This graph illustrates the dramatic decline of the TANF caseload as VIEW was implemented. There was some sign of slowing in the rate of decline after June 1998. Nevertheless, wide community involvement, a strong economy, and the effect of the 24 month rule allowed the caseload to continue to fall until it was near the 30,000 level. There was little net change in the caseload from June 2000 to June 2002, but some upward movement was seen after June 2002, until 2005. The more recent trends are presumed to be a result of economic conditions. The caseload leveled off after recession spurred growth and now is declining.

Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

# Applications Received with Approval Percentage


Six Month Asymmetrical Moving Averages by Quarter, July 1995 through May 2016



This graph of application activity is presented as six month moving averages to produce smoother lines from which trends may be seen and in recognition that applications are not always acted on in the month received. There seems to have been a general decline in the number of applications received until the July 2001 data. The decline in applications received after December 2004 and the more recent changes appear to be reflected in the case load trends. A reason for the general decrease in percent approved may be the lack of any increase in income threshold for initial eligibility; also, a reason for more recent decline may be the availability of applications on line that offers ready access for applying to multiple assistance programs. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

## TANF Cases, VIEW Enrolled, and Employed VIEW Participants


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide  
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. May is the last month.



This graph shows that the number enrolled in VIEW increased during early implementation and then generally tracked the number of TANF cases. The effects of recent economic conditions are apparent. A VIEW case may remain open for some months after the TANF case closes, if job follow-up is being done and the case has not been converted to the VIEW Transitional Payment program. It is unlikely that the number employed will ever equal the number enrolled because there are always new enrollees without employment, and there are some enrollees in work activities, other than employment, that meet VIEW requirements. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months. It includes TANF-UP.

# Chart Displaying the Relationships Between TANF and VIEW Participation

May 31, 2016




This chart illustrates that there are a large number of adults who are exempt from VIEW and that many of the VIEW enrollees have had their TANF cases closed. They are now in a job follow-up phase of VIEW. They have not had their VIEW case closed and become enrolled in the VIEW Transitional Payment program. The source of this information is a monthly TANF extract from ADAPT and the monthly extract from ESPAS, the VIEW automated data system. The extracts were made at the beginning of June and represent end of May data. TANF-UP is included.

## VIEW Percent Employed and Average Wage at End of Month

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide


Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. May is the last month.



This graph shows that the average wage has seen a general increase as the percent employed has varied somewhat. Besides employment, VIEW participants may be in various other activities or initial assessment and job search, and economic conditions (one slight recession and one large one) apparently had expected effects. The wages shown are generally the initial wages. When the average wage was calculated at about the end of May it was \$9.04 rather than the \$8.88 initial wages used to calculate wages shown on this graph. See page 42 of the report for an explanation of why the VIEW average wage might decline after October 2006 and note 6 on page 44 for the effect of the increase in minimum wage. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.

# Percent of Jobs Found During a Month That Were Full Time and Percent Part Time

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide  
Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. May is the last month.



This graph illustrates an initial trend of VIEW participants obtaining a greater percent of full time jobs than part time. After the initial months, the trend seems to be fairly level with an increase in the percent full time after TANF reauthorization which probably reflects increased emphasis on meeting the required TANF work participation rate. The more recent changes are presumed to be a result of increased use of other work activities to help meet the required work participation hours and economic developments. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.